

DISCLOSURE MATERIALS

Certified B Corporations must complete a Disclosure Questionnaire to identify potentially sensitive issues related to the company (e.g. historical fines, sanctions, material litigation, or sensitive industry practices).

This component does not affect the company's score on the B Impact Assessment. If the company answers affirmatively to any items in the Disclosure Questionnaire and B Lab deems them to be material, the company must:

- 1) Be transparent about the disclosure issues identified on the company's public B Impact Report
- 2) Describe how the company has addressed this issue.
- 3) Demonstrate that management systems are in place to avoid similar issues from arising in the future.

In all cases, the Standards Advisory council reserves the right to refuse certification if the company is ultimately deemed not to uphold the spirit of the community.

In addition to the voluntary indication of sensitive issues in the Disclosure Questionnaire, companies pursuing Certification also are subject to background checks by B Lab staff. Background checks include a review of public records, news sources, and search engines for company names, brands, executives/founders, and other relevant topics.

Sensitive issues identified through background checks may or may not be within the scope of questions in the Disclosure Questionnaire, but undergo the same review process and are subject to the same possible review by the Standards Advisory Council, including ineligibility for B Corp Certification, required remediation, or disclosure.

This document contains a copy of the company's completed Disclosure Questionnaire and related disclosure documentation provided by the company.



DISCLOSURE QUESTIONNAIRE

Company Name: Guayaki Yerba Mate Date Submitted: August 6, 2021

Industries & Products	Yes	No			
following. Select Yes for all options that apply.	Please indicate if the company is involved in production of or trade in any the following. Select Yes for all ontions that apply				
Animal Products or Services					
Biodiversity Impacts					
Chemicals					
Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags					
Disclosure Alcohol					
Disclosure Firearms Weapons		Ż			
Disclosure Mining		V			
Disclosure Pornography		V			
Disclosure Tobacco		V			
Energy and Emissions Intensive Industries		V			
Fossil fuels		V			
Gambling					
Genetically Modified Organisms		V			
Illegal Products or Subject to Phase Out		V			
Industries at Risk of Human Rights Violations		$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$			
Monoculture Agriculture		$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$			
Nuclear Power or Hazardous Materials					
Payday, Short Term, or High Interest Lending					
Water Intensive Industries					
Tax Advisory Services					
Supply Chain Disclosures	Yes	No			
Please indicate if any of the following statements are true regarding your					
company's significant suppliers. Business in Conflict Zones	T	,			
Child or Forced Labor		N			
		N			
Negative Environmental Impact		Ŋ			
Negative Social Impact		N			
Other		N			

Outcomes & Penalties	True	False		
Please indicate if the company has had any formal complaint to a regulatory agency or been assessed any fine or sanction in the past five years for any of the following practices or policies. Check all that apply.				
Anti-Competitive Behavior				
Breaches of Confidential Information				
Bribery, Fraud, or Corruption				
Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags				
Company has filed for bankruptcy				
Consumer Protection				
Financial Reporting, Taxes, Investments, or Loans				
Hazardous Discharges Into Air/Land/Water (Past 5 Yrs)				
Labor Issues				
Large Scale Land Conversion, Acquisition, or Relocation				
Litigation or Arbitration				
On-Site Fatality				
Penalties Assessed For Environmental Issues				
Political Contributions or International Affairs				
Recalls				
Significant Layoffs				
Violation of Indigenous Peoples Rights				
Other				
	*			
Practices	True	False		

Please indicate if the following statements are true regarding whether or not the company engages in the following practices. Check all that apply. If the statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No."			
Animal Testing			
Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age)			
Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags		\checkmark	
Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining			
Company workers are prisoners		\checkmark	
Conduct Business in Conflict Zones			
Confirmation of Right to Work			
Does not transparently report corporate financials to government		\checkmark	
government Employs Individuals on Zero-Hour Contracts		\checkmark	
Facilities located in sensitive ecosystems			
ID Cards Withheld or Penalties for Resignation			
No formal Registration Under Domestic Regulations			
No signed employment contracts for all workers			
Overtime For Hourly Workers Is Compulsory			
Payslips not provided to show wage calculation and deductions		\checkmark	
Sale of Data		\checkmark	
Tax Reduction Through Corporate Shells			
Workers cannot leave site during non-working hours			
Workers not Provided Clean Drinking Water or Toilets			
Workers paid below minimum wage			
Workers Under Bond			
Other			
		•	



B Corp Certification - Disclosure Questionnaire Documentation

PROVIDED BY:	Suayaki Yerba Mate	UPDATED AS OF:	August 6, 2021
DISCLOSURE QUESTIONNAIRE CATEGORY	Litigation and Arbitration		
ISSUE DATE	2017-2019		
TOPIC	Settlements related to contract disputes with distributors		
SUMMARY OF ISSUE	Case 1 - In 2017, GSRP terminated a distribution agreement with a New York distributor. The distributor filed a claim for damages because the distribution agreement lacked specificity in regards to a termination fee, and the parties could not agree. In December 2019, an arbitration panel issued a settlement amount. Case 2 - In February 2018, a distributor brought a lawsuit against Guayaki Sustainable Rainforest Products (GSRP) seeking damages in a dispute over an oral contract related to distribution. In February 2019, the parties agreed to a settlement.		
SIZE/SCOPE OF ISSUE (e.g. \$ financial implication, # of individuals affected)	Case 1 - Settled for an amount that represented 6% of the company's revenue. Case 2 - Settled for an amount that represented < 1% of the company's revenue.		
IMPACT ON STAKEHOLDERS	Case 1 - The distributor was seeking a termination fee. Case 2 - The distributor was seeking damages related to an oral contract.		
RESOLUTION	Case 1 - Closed in December 2019 with an arbitration panel issuing a settlement amount. Case 2 - Closed in February 2019 with the parties agreeing to a settlement.		
IMPLEMENTED MGT PRACTICES	Case 1 - Distribution contracts are review spelled out. Case 2 - Only certain individuals within the negotiate with third party distributors.		·
RELATED INCIDENTS (YES/ NO)	These two cases are the only cases relate against GSRP in the last five years.	ed to contract disputes with d	istributors brought