

DISCLOSURE MATERIALS

Certified B Corporations must complete a Disclosure Questionnaire to identify potentially sensitive issues related to the company (e.g. historical fines, sanctions, material litigation, or sensitive industry practices).

This component does not affect the company's score on the B Impact Assessment. If the company answers affirmatively to any items in the Disclosure Questionnaire and B Lab deems them to be material, the company must:

- 1) Be transparent about the disclosure issues identified on the company's public B Impact Report
- 2) Describe how the company has addressed this issue.
- 3) Demonstrate that management systems are in place to avoid similar issues from arising in the future.

In all cases, the Standards Advisory council reserves the right to refuse certification if the company is ultimately deemed not to uphold the spirit of the community.

In addition to the voluntary indication of sensitive issues in the Disclosure Questionnaire, companies pursuing Certification also are subject to background checks by B Lab staff. Background checks include a review of public records, news sources, and search engines for company names, brands, executives/founders, and other relevant topics.

Sensitive issues identified through background checks may or may not be within the scope of questions in the Disclosure Questionnaire, but undergo the same review process and are subject to the same possible review by the Standards Advisory Council, including ineligibility for B Corp Certification, required remediation, or disclosure.

This document contains a copy of the company's completed Disclosure Questionnaire and related disclosure documentation provided by the company.



DISCLOSURE QUESTIONNAIRE

Company Name: Impact Makers Date Submitted: 09/08/2022

| Industries & Products | Yes | No |
|--|--------------------|--|
| Please indicate if the company is involved in pro | oduction of or tra | ade in any the |
| following. Select Yes for all options that apply. Animal Products or Services | ······ | ····· |
| | | √, |
| Biodiversity Impacts | | N, |
| Chemicals | | √, |
| Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags | | √ |
| Disclosure Alcohol | | √ |
| Disclosure Firearms Weapons | | V |
| Disclosure Mining | | √ |
| Disclosure Pornography | | V |
| Disclosure Tobacco | | √ |
| Energy and Emissions Intensive Industries | | √ |
| Fossil fuels | | √ |
| Gambling | | V |
| Genetically Modified Organisms | | V |
| Illegal Products or Subject to Phase Out | | V |
| Industries at Risk of Human Rights Violations | | V |
| Monoculture Agriculture | | 7 |
| Nuclear Power or Hazardous Materials | | V |
| Payday, Short Term, or High Interest Lending | | V |
| Water Intensive Industries | | , j |
| Tax Advisory Services | | , J |
| | | ······································ |
| Supply Chain Disclosures | Yes | No |
| Please indicate if any of the following statemen | ts are true regar | ding your |
| | | |
| company's significant suppliers. | | ····· 1 ····· |
| Business in Conflict Zones | | V, |
| Business in Conflict Zones Child or Forced Labor | | V V |
| Business in Conflict Zones Child or Forced Labor Negative Environmental Impact | | \[\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}} \sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}} \] |
| Business in Conflict Zones Child or Forced Labor | | \ \ \ \ \ |

| Outcomes & Penalties | True | False | | |
|--|----------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Please indicate if the company has had any formal complaint to a regulatory | | | | |
| agency or been assessed any fine or sanction in the | ne past five y | ears for any of | | |
| the following practices or policies. Check all that a Anti-Competitive Behavior | pply. | .7 | | |
| Breaches of Confidential Information | | N | | |
| Bribery, Fraud, or Corruption | | N | | |
| Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags | | V , | | |
| Company has filed for bankruptcy | | N | | |
| Consumer Protection | | V , | | |
| Financial Reporting, Taxes, Investments, or Loans | | <u> </u> | | |
| Hazardous Discharges Into Air/Land/Water (Past 5 Yrs) | | <u>V</u> | | |
| Labor Issues | | <u> </u> | | |
| | | <u>γ</u> | | |
| Large Scale Land Conversion, Acquisition, or Relocation | ļ | <u>V</u> | | |
| Litigation or Arbitration On-Site Fatality | ν | ļ | | |
| Penalties Assessed For Environmental Issues | | <u>ν</u> | | |
| Political Contributions or International Affairs | | ν _γ | | |
| Recalls | | N, | | |
| Significant Layoffs | | ν, | | |
| Violation of Indigenous Peoples Rights | | N ₁ | | |
| Other | | Ž, | | |
| Otrier | İ | <u> </u> | | |
| | | | | |
| Practices | True | False | | |
| | | | | |
| Please indicate if the following statements are true | regarding w | hether or not the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Chec | k all that app | hether or not the ly. If the | | |
| Please indicate if the following statements are true company engages in the following practices. Chec statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing | k all that app | hether or not the ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Chec statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other | k all that app | hether or not the ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Chec statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) | k all that app | hether or not the ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Chec statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags | k all that app | hether or not the ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Chec statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) | k all that app | hether or not the ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Chec statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags Company prohibits freedom of association/collective | k all that app | hether or not the ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Chec statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining | k all that app | hether or not the ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Chec statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining Company workers are prisoners | k all that app | hether or not the ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Chec statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining Company workers are prisoners Conduct Business in Conflict Zones Confirmation of Right to Work Does not transparently report corporate financials to | k all that app | hether or not the ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Chec statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining Company workers are prisoners Conduct Business in Conflict Zones Confirmation of Right to Work Does not transparently report corporate financials to government | k all that app | hether or not the ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Check statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining Company workers are prisoners Conduct Business in Conflict Zones Confirmation of Right to Work Does not transparently report corporate financials to government Employs Individuals on Zero-Hour Contracts | k all that app | hether or not the ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Chec statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining Company workers are prisoners Conduct Business in Conflict Zones Confirmation of Right to Work Does not transparently report corporate financials to government | k all that app | ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Chec statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining Company workers are prisoners Conduct Business in Conflict Zones Confirmation of Right to Work Does not transparently report corporate financials to government Employs Individuals on Zero-Hour Contracts Facilities located in sensitive ecosystems | k all that app | hether or not the ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Chec statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining Company workers are prisoners Conduct Business in Conflict Zones Confirmation of Right to Work Does not transparently report corporate financials to government Employs Individuals on Zero-Hour Contracts Facilities located in sensitive ecosystems ID Cards Withheld or Penalties for Resignation No formal Registration Under Domestic Regulations No signed employment contracts for all workers | k all that app | ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Chec statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining Company workers are prisoners Conduct Business in Conflict Zones Confirmation of Right to Work Does not transparently report corporate financials to government Employs Individuals on Zero-Hour Contracts Facilities located in sensitive ecosystems ID Cards Withheld or Penalties for Resignation No formal Registration Under Domestic Regulations No signed employment contracts for all workers | k all that app | ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Chec statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining Company workers are prisoners Conduct Business in Conflict Zones Confirmation of Right to Work Does not transparently report corporate financials to government Employs Individuals on Zero-Hour Contracts Facilities located in sensitive ecosystems ID Cards Withheld or Penalties for Resignation No formal Registration Under Domestic Regulations No signed employment contracts for all workers Overtime For Hourly Workers Is Compulsory Payslips not provided to show wage calculation and | k all that app | ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Chec statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining Company workers are prisoners Conduct Business in Conflict Zones Confirmation of Right to Work Does not transparently report corporate financials to government Employs Individuals on Zero-Hour Contracts Facilities located in sensitive ecosystems ID Cards Withheld or Penalties for Resignation No formal Registration Under Domestic Regulations No signed employment contracts for all workers Overtime For Hourly Workers Is Compulsory | k all that app | ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Chec statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining Company workers are prisoners Conduct Business in Conflict Zones Confirmation of Right to Work Does not transparently report corporate financials to government Employs Individuals on Zero-Hour Contracts Facilities located in sensitive ecosystems ID Cards Withheld or Penalties for Resignation No formal Registration Under Domestic Regulations No signed employment contracts for all workers Overtime For Hourly Workers Is Compulsory Payslips not provided to show wage calculation and deductions | k all that app | ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Check statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining Company workers are prisoners Conduct Business in Conflict Zones Confirmation of Right to Work Does not transparently report corporate financials to government Employs Individuals on Zero-Hour Contracts Facilities located in sensitive ecosystems ID Cards Withheld or Penalties for Resignation No formal Registration Under Domestic Regulations No signed employment contracts for all workers Overtime For Hourly Workers Is Compulsory Payslips not provided to show wage calculation and deductions Sale of Data Tax Reduction Through Corporate Shells | k all that app | ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Check statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining Company workers are prisoners Conduct Business in Conflict Zones Confirmation of Right to Work Does not transparently report corporate financials to government Employs Individuals on Zero-Hour Contracts Facilities located in sensitive ecosystems ID Cards Withheld or Penalties for Resignation No formal Registration Under Domestic Regulations No signed employment contracts for all workers Overtime For Hourly Workers is Compulsory Payslips not provided to show wage calculation and deductions Sale of Data Tax Reduction Through Corporate Shells Workers cannot leave site during non-working hours | k all that app | ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Check statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining Company workers are prisoners Conduct Business in Conflict Zones Confirmation of Right to Work Does not transparently report corporate financials to government Employs Individuals on Zero-Hour Contracts Facilities located in sensitive ecosystems ID Cards Withheld or Penalties for Resignation No formal Registration Under Domestic Regulations No signed employment contracts for all workers Overtime For Hourly Workers Is Compulsory Payslips not provided to show wage calculation and deductions Sale of Data Tax Reduction Through Corporate Shells | k all that app | ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Chec statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining Company workers are prisoners Conduct Business in Conflict Zones Confirmation of Right to Work Does not transparently report corporate financials to government Employs Individuals on Zero-Hour Contracts Facilities located in sensitive ecosystems ID Cards Withheld or Penalties for Resignation No formal Registration Under Domestic Regulations No signed employment contracts for all workers Overtime For Hourly Workers Is Compulsory Payslips not provided to show wage calculation and deductions Sale of Data Tax Reduction Through Corporate Shells Workers cannot leave site during non-working hours | k all that app | ly. If the | | |
| company engages in the following practices. Chec statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No. Animal Testing Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age) Company Explanation Of Disclosure Item Flags Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining Company workers are prisoners Conduct Business in Conflict Zones Confirmation of Right to Work Does not transparently report corporate financials to government Employs Individuals on Zero-Hour Contracts Facilities located in sensitive ecosystems ID Cards Withheld or Penalties for Resignation No formal Registration Under Domestic Regulations No signed employment contracts for all workers Overtime For Hourly Workers Is Compulsory Payslips not provided to show wage calculation and deductions Sale of Data Tax Reduction Through Corporate Shells Workers cannot leave site during non-working hours Workers paid below minimum wage | k all that app | ly. If the | | |



B Corp Certification - Disclosure Questionnaire Documentation

PROVIDED BY: Impact Makers UPDATED AS OF: 09/08/2022

| TOPIC Material Litigation As a Virginia benefit corporation, Impact Makers is subject to the possibility of a benefit enforcement proceeding that is used to hold the company accountable to its fiduciary duties to consider stakeholders in its decision making. In May 2019, a benefit enforcement proceeding was initiated against the company by Michael Pirron, co-founder, former CEO, and member of the Board of Directors. The benefit enforcement proceeding alleged that the company and its directors engaged in coordinated efforts to ""empower and enrich themselves by targeting and eliminating Michael Pirron's (""Pirron"") authority as Permanent Director of IM Holdings, Inc. (""IMH"") and removing him as Senior Director of Impact Makers Inc. (""IMF public benefit mission."" Part of the lawsuit included allegations that the defendants sold Impact Makers Inc., which was owned with a controlling interest of IM Holdings, to a new corporate entity, Benefit Holdings Inc. Impact Makers' response to the lawsuit, as stated by CEO Andy Wolf, was as follows: ""The actions that we took were to support our commitment to doing what's right to improve our company's ability to fulfill our mission, which is essentially why we exist. This lawsuit is a culmination of claims and demands that we believe have no merit and do intend vigorously to defend it. Our intent is to make sure this lawsuit will not affect our dedication to serving our customers and making our community a better place to live." A countersuit was also filed by Impact Makers against Pirron. SIZE/SCOPE OF ISSUE (e.g. \$ financial implication, # for financial implication, # for findividuals affected) The benefit enforcement proceeding sought an injunction to reverse the sale of Impact Makers or \$15 million dollars in damages to be paid to Impact Makers Holdings and \$3 million to findividuals affected) | DISCLOSURE QUESTIONNAIRE CATEGORY | Litigation & Arbitration |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| As a Virginia benefit corporation, Impact Makers is subject to the possibility of a benefit enforcement proceeding that is used to hold the company accountable to its fiduciary duties to consider stakeholders in its decision making. In May 2019, a benefit enforcement proceeding was initiated against the company by Michael Pirron, co-founder, former CEO, and member of the Board of Directors. The benefit enforcement proceeding alleged that the company and its directors engaged in coordinated efforts to ""empower and enrich themselves by targeting and eliminating Michael Pirron's (""Pirron"") authority as Permanent Director of IM Holdings, Inc. (""IM"") and removing him as Senior Director of Impact Makers Inc. (""IM"") in violation of Virginia law and their charters thus destroying IMH's purpose and gutting IM's public benefit mission."" Part of the lawsuit included allegations that the defendants sold Impact Makers Inc., which was owned with a controlling interest of IM Holdings, to a new corporate entity, Benefit Holdings Inc. Impact Makers' response to the lawsuit, as stated by CEO Andy Wolf, was as follows: ""The actions that we took were to support our commitment to doing what's right to improve our company's ability to fulfill our mission, which is essentially why we exist. This lawsuit is a culmination of claims and demands that we believe have no merit and do intend vigorously to defend it. Our intent is to make sure this lawsuit will not affect our dedication to serving our customers and making our community a better place to live." A countersuit was also filed by Impact Makers against Pirron. The benefit enforcement proceeding sought an injunction to reverse the sale of Impact Makers or \$15 million dollars in damages to be paid to Impact Makers Holdings and \$3 million | ISSUE DATE | 2019 |
| enforcement proceeding that is used to hold the company accountable to its fiduciary duties to consider stakeholders in its decision making. In May 2019, a benefit enforcement proceeding was initiated against the company by Michael Pirron, co-founder, former CEO, and member of the Board of Directors. The benefit enforcement proceeding alleged that the company and its directors engaged in coordinated efforts to ""empower and enrich themselves by targeting and eliminating Michael Pirron's (""Pirron"") authority as Permanent Director of IM Holdings, Inc. (""IMH"") and removing him as Senior Director of Impact Makers Inc. (""IM"") in violation of Virginia law and their charters thus destroying IMH's purpose and gutting IM's public benefit mission."" Part of the lawsuit included allegations that the defendants sold Impact Makers Inc., which was owned with a controlling interest of IM Holdings, to a new corporate entity, Benefit Holdings Inc. Impact Makers' response to the lawsuit, as stated by CEO Andy Wolf, was as follows: ""The actions that we took were to support our commitment to doing what's right to improve our company's ability to fulfill our mission, which is essentially why we exist. This lawsuit is a culmination of claims and demands that we believe have no merit and do intend vigorously to defend it. Our intent is to make sure this lawsuit will not affect our dedication to serving our customers and making our community a better place to live." A countersuit was also filed by Impact Makers against Pirron. SIZE/SCOPE OF ISSUE [e.g. \$financial implication, # The benefit enforcement proceeding sought an injunction to reverse the sale of Impact Makers or \$15 million dollars in damages to be paid to Impact Makers Holdings and \$3 million | ТОРІС | Material Litigation |
| actions that we took were to support our commitment to doing what's right to improve our company's ability to fulfill our mission, which is essentially why we exist. This lawsuit is a culmination of claims and demands that we believe have no merit and do intend vigorously to defend it. Our intent is to make sure this lawsuit will not affect our dedication to serving our customers and making our community a better place to live." A countersuit was also filed by Impact Makers against Pirron. SIZE/SCOPE OF ISSUE (e.g. \$ financial implication, # Makers or \$15 million dollars in damages to be paid to Impact Makers Holdings and \$3 million | SUMMARY OF ISSUE | enforcement proceeding that is used to hold the company accountable to its fiduciary duties to consider stakeholders in its decision making. In May 2019, a benefit enforcement proceeding was initiated against the company by Michael Pirron, co-founder, former CEO, and member of the Board of Directors. The benefit enforcement proceeding alleged that the company and its directors engaged in coordinated efforts to ""empower and enrich themselves by targeting and eliminating Michael Pirron's (""Pirron"") authority as Permanent Director of IM Holdings, Inc. (""IMH"") and removing him as Senior Director of Impact Makers Inc. (""IM") in violation of Virginia law and their charters thus destroying IMH's purpose and gutting IM's public benefit mission."" Part of the lawsuit included allegations that the defendants sold Impact Makers Inc., which was owned with a controlling interest of IM Holdings, to a new corporate entity, Benefit |
| (e.g. \$ financial implication, # Makers or \$15 million dollars in damages to be paid to Impact Makers Holdings and \$3 million | | actions that we took were to support our commitment to doing what's right to improve our company's ability to fulfill our mission, which is essentially why we exist. This lawsuit is a culmination of claims and demands that we believe have no merit and do intend vigorously to defend it. Our intent is to make sure this lawsuit will not affect our dedication to serving our customers and making our community a better place to live." A countersuit was also filed by |
| | (e.g. \$ financial implication, # | |
| The lawsuit alleged that the company's failure to consider stakeholders would hinder the company's ability to support the community organizations that it is designed to distribute its profits to. | IMPACT ON STAKEHOLDERS | The lawsuit alleged that the company's failure to consider stakeholders would hinder the company's ability to support the community organizations that it is designed to distribute its |
| A new Board was defined, dedicated to social enterprise, including many founders of B Corps themselves. | | |



B Corp Certification - Disclosure Questionnaire Documentation

PROVIDED BY: Impact Makers UPDATED AS OF: 09/08/2022

| DISCLOSURE QUESTIONNAIRE CATEGORY | Litigation & Arbitration Cont. |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| ISSUE DATE | 2019 |
| торіс | Material Litigation Cont. |
| RESOLUTION | In June 2019, a settlement agreement was reached between Pirron and the defendants. The settlement involved the following: 1) Dismissal of lawsuit filed by Pirron against Impact Makers, Impact Makers Holdings, and its directors and executives. 2) Dismissal of the lawsuit filed by Impact Makers against Pirron 3) Reversal of the sale of Impact Makers to Benefit Holdings, thereby having Impact Makers Holdings retain ownership 4) Pirron resigning as Senior Director of Impact Makers, although maintaining his role as Permanent Director of Impact Makers Holdings 5) Nomination of Sherrie Armstrong to the Board of Impact Makers 6) Payment of Pirron's legal fees by Impact Makers The parties to the settlement issued the following statement: "Impact Makers and Michael Pirron have come to an agreement which resolves existing litigation and demonstrates both parties' commitment to the principles of Benefit Corporations and social enterprise. The parties agree to work together to support the future growth of Impact Makers to maximize its impact on the community and serve as a model for the Benefit Corporation community." |
| REPORT | A copy of the lawsuit can be found here. |



B Corp Certification - Disclosure Questionnaire Documentation

PROVIDED BY: Impact Makers UPDATED AS OF: 09/08/2022

| Litigation & Arbitration |
|---|
| 2021 |
| Material Litigation |
| A formal employee at the time filed a lawsuit for severance that, at that moment for the company did not apply due to new policies however, considering that the employee did not sign the company's acknowledgement of the new policies, the company had to pay for severance. |
| The company had to pay \$12,500 for severance. |
| Company finance |
| The company had updated the severance policy to be clearer, and have ensured every year that all staff sign the acceptance of the employee handbook and policies after providing the revisions each year. |
| Verdict Against Company |
| |