

Liz Earle Beauty Co Ltd

Disclosure Report

Date Submitted: February 1st, 2024



Disclosure Materials

Certified B Corporations must complete a Disclosure Questionnaire to identify potentially sensitive issues related to the company (e.g. historical fines, sanctions, material litigation, or sensitive industry practices).

This component does not affect the company's score on the B Impact Assessment. If the company answers affirmatively to any items in the Disclosure Questionnaire that B Lab deems relevant for public stakeholders, then, as a condition of their certification, the company must:

- Be transparent about details of the disclosure issues identified on the company's public B Impact Report
- 2) Describe how the company has addressed this issue
- 3) Demonstrate that management practices are in place to avoid similar issues from arising in the future, when necessary.

In all cases, the Standards Advisory council reserves the right to refuse certification if the company is ultimately deemed not to uphold the spirit and integrity of the community.

In addition to the voluntary indication of sensitive issues in the Disclosure Questionnaire, companies pursuing Certification also are subject to a background check by B Lab staff. Background checks include a review of public records, news sources, and search engines for company names, brands, executives/founders, and other relevant topics.

Sensitive issues identified through background checks may or may not be within the scope of questions in the Disclosure Questionnaire, but undergo the same review process and are subject to the same possible review by the Standards Advisory Council, including ineligibility for B Corp Certification, required remediation, or disclosure.

This document contains a copy of the company's completed Disclosure Questionnaire and related disclosure documentation provided by the company



Disclosure Questionnaire

Industries and Products

Yes No Please indicate if the company is involved in production of or trade in any of the following. Select Yes for all options that **Animal Products or Services** $\boxed{}$ **Biodiversity Impacts** \square Chemicals $\boxed{}$ **Disclosure Alcohol** \square **Disclosure Firearms Weapons** $\boxed{}$ **Disclosure Mining** $\boxed{}$ **Disclosure Pornography** $\boxed{}$ **Disclosure Tobacco** $\boxed{}$ **Energy and Emissions Intensive** $\boxed{}$ Industries Gambling \square **Genetically Modified Organisms** \square Illegal Products or Subject to \square **Phase Out** Industries at Risk of Human $\boxed{}$ **Rights Violations Monoculture Agriculture Nuclear Power or Hazardous** \square Materials Payday, Short Term, or High $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ **Interest Lending** Water Intensive Industries \square **Tax Advisory Services** \square

Outcomes & Penalties

	Yes	No
Please indicate if the company has had any formal complaint to a regulatory agency or been assessed any fine or sanction in the past five years for any of the following practices or policies. Check all that apply.		
Anti-Competitive Behavior		V
Breaches of Confidential Information		N
Bribery, Fraud, or Corruption		V
Company has filed for bankruptcy		V
Consumer Protection		V
Financial Reporting, Taxes, Investments, or Loans		N
Hazardous Discharges Into Air/Land/Water (Past 5 Yrs)		N
Labor Issues		
Large Scale Land Conversion, Acquisition, or Relocation		N
Litigation or Arbitration	V	
On-Site Fatality		\searrow
Penalties Assessed For Environmental Issues		V
Political Contributions or International Affairs		N
Recalls		
Significant Layoffs		V
Violation of Indigenous Peoples Rights		V
Other		\checkmark



Practices

	Yes	No
Please indicate if the following statements are true regarding whether or not the company engages in the following practices. Check all that apply. If the statement is true, select "Yes." If false, select "No."		
Animal Testing		V
Company/Suppliers Employ Under Age 15 (Or Other ILO Minimum Age)		N
Company prohibits freedom of association/collective bargaining		\searrow
Company workers are prisoners		V
Conduct Business in Conflict Zones		\vee
Confirmation of Right to Work		V
Does not transparently report corporate financials to government		N
Employs Individuals on Zero-Hour Contracts		N
Facilities located in sensitive ecosystems		N
ID Cards Withheld or Penalties for Resignation		N
No formal Registration Under Domestic Regulations		\vee
No signed employment contracts for all workers		\checkmark
Overtime For Hourly Workers Is Compulsory		V
Payslips not provided to show wage calculation and deductions		V

	Yes	No
Sale of Data		V
Tax Reduction Through Corporate Shells		V
Workers cannot leave site during non-working hours		V
Workers not Provided Clean Drinking Water or Toilets		\supset
Workers paid below minimum wage		N
Workers Under Bond		✓
Other		\checkmark

Supply Chain Disclosures

	Yes	No
Please indicate if any of the following statements are true regarding your company's significant suppliers.		
Business in Conflict Zones		V
Child or Forced Labor		∀
Negative Environmental Impact		✓
Negative Social Impact		V
Other		V



Disclosure Questionnaire Statement

Disclosure Questionnaire Category: Litigation

Issue Date	2018-2022
Topic	Labor Litigation cases filed against the company by employees or ex-employees/suppliers employees.
Summary of Issue	Liz Early Beauty sells personal care products, manufactured by themself. Liz Early Beauty has had 2 accidents, and 1 wrongful dismissal claim resulting in litigation, over the last 5 years. All of the cases are closed.
	 1.(2019) Employee sought damages for personal injury (fractured wrist) after a slip/trip accident at work. Case closed, settled with payment. 2. (2019) Ex-Employee sought damages for personal injury (fractured finger) after a crush injury at work. Case closed, settled with payment. 3. (2019) Ex-employee made a claim for unfair dismissal following redundancy. Case closed, settled with payment.
Size/Scope of Issue (e.g. \$ financial implication, # of individuals affected)	In the 3 cases, the total amount of payments made by the company represented <1% of revenue: Case 1: £12,305 Case 2: £10,035 Case 3: £17,000
Impact on Stakeholders	1 Employee and 2 former employees were impacted.
Resolution	All cases have been closed and corresponding settlement has been paid.
Implemented Management Practices	Case 1 & 2: The company conducted a full review of ways of working relating to the root cause of the accident. The company implemented updated worker safety training. Case 3: The company reviewed and updated policy relating to dismissal including redundancy. The company implemented updated manager training.
	Health & Safety processes and practices have been reviewed and improved since the personal injury claims in 2019 - this includes improvements to ways of working, use of PPE, training and incident management/reporting processes. All team members are invited to attend monthly H&S forums to discuss any causes for concerns or risks identified and through



	minimising the risk of injury by improving awareness and practice around H&S has a direct impact on the risk of future litigation. The policy and governance has been updated, providing managers with access to updated training materials in relation to instances of dismissal. Managers have a clearer understanding of the potential risks, implications and impacts on both the
	individual employee and the business as a whole.
Related Incidents (Yes/No)	No.



Disclosure Questionnaire Statement

Disclosure Questionnaire Category: Litigation

Issue Date	2017
Topic	Litigation cases filed against the company by customer, in relation to personal injury
Summary of Issue	Liz Early Beauty had a personal injury claim from a customer over the last 5 years. This case was closed and settled The claimant sought damages for personal injury (severe allergic reaction) allegedly suffered as a result of using a Liz Early product, early settlement reached with payment.
Size/Scope of Issue (e.g. \$ financial implication, # of individuals affected)	The total amount of payments made by the company represented <1% of revenue; £4,030
Impact on Stakeholders	Customer
Resolution	Settled with payment
Implemented Management Practices	The product in question came from a batch that was recalled in 2016 following identification of a micro-contamination issue however the customers' claim wasn't filed until 2017 post recall. Following identification of the original micro-contamination issue, a product recall was initiated and a full view of manufacturing practices was undertaken with the contract filler resulting in changes made to both the manufacturing process and improvements being made to the formulation to improve the stability of the preservative system. This was in addition to regular audits of quality and compliance regarding micro- testing protocols.
Related Incidents (Yes/No)	No.