1

Quality-of-life Research in Tourism and Hospitality

MUZAFFER UYSAL, 1* M. JOSEPH SIRGY² AND STEFAN KRUGER³

¹Isenberg School of Management, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, USA; ²Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, USA; ³North-West University, Potchefstroom, South Africa

Introduction

The enterprise of tourism and hospitality has become a major global force because of its socio-economic and development implications. The nature of tourism research for both practical and theoretical reasons embodies the interplay of such constructs as sustainability, destination competitiveness and attractiveness, and the quality of life (QoL) of stakeholders as they are impacted by tourism (Uysal and Modica, 2017). Both the explicit and implicit assumptions of tourism have always been that tourism, as an industry, provides significant benefits to its stakeholders. The nature of these benefits, tangible and intangible, vary depending on the level of destination life cycle and its infrastructure development. Tourism activities also provide benefits for those who are not necessarily part of the production and consumption system of the tourism and hospitality enterprise in the form of economic benefits (e.g. tax, investment into improved infrastructure, education and health systems) to the host communities. In recent years, there has been accelerated research examining the assumed benefits and cost of tourism on the well-being of the different stakeholders (McCabe et al., 2010; Uysal et al., 2012a, 2012b, 2015, 2016; Woo et al., 2018). This area of research in tourism is gaining momentum and is likely to receive more systematic attention in the years to come. Our scholarly research has long attempted to shed light on how to attract more visitors, how to get more people to stay at our hotels, dine at our restaurants, and influence the attitude and behaviour of our customers in general. Still, the critical research question that needs to be fully examined from both demand and supply sides of tourism is how tourism experiences relate to QoL of consumers and community residents - tourists are consumers of different tourism and hospitality goods and services at a destination, and community residents are people residing in local areas serving tourists (Jennings and Nickerson, 2006; Pearce et al., 2010).

^{*}Corresponding author: muysal@isenberg.umass.edu

Uysal et al. (2012b) argued that there are two models capturing the interplays of the tourism system. The first model examines the impact of tourist-related factors on the well-being of the tourist participants. The second model examines the impact of tourism-related factors on the well-being of residents of the host community and its different stakeholders, including providers of tourism goods and services. In these two nested models of the tourism system, tourists as consumers, service providers and community residents become the core components of the system, with reciprocal interactions between supply and demand. These interactions play a significant role on the total vacation experience. The models described by Uysal et al. (2012b) are helpful in understanding how the tourism system works, what benefits it has, how resources are allocated, and how marketing and management plans are developed and implemented.

QoL from the Perspective of Tourists

The first model addresses questions such as how tourists' experiences contribute to QoL, what are the tourists' characteristics mostly associated with tourists' well-being, what are trip characteristics that are likely to play a significant role in tourists' well-being, and what are the moderators and mediators involved in the abovementioned relationships (Uysal *et al.*, 2012a). Tourism characteristics refer to factors directly affecting the tourists. Research in this area tries to uncover individual differences in the way tourism affects QoL. Trip characteristics are factors directly related to trips, and can affect QoL either directly or indirectly. Both characteristics interact and help link tourist satisfaction with particular life domains or satisfaction with life in general (Uysal *et al.*, 2016). The main thrust of this model is incorporating tourist motivation with profile variables, QoL domains and overall QoL. Satisfaction with life domains and life overall are essentially the outcome variables. Much of the research in QoL treats QoL as the ultimate dependent variable (Sirgy, 2012). Our focus here is to identify tourism factors most likely to impact this dependent variable.

QoL from the Perspectives of Residents and Stakeholders

The second model deals with tourism research from the perspectives of host community residents. This model focuses on how residents see their living conditions (as a destination attraction) and how these living conditions impact their QoL (Uysal et al., 2012b; Woo et al., 2018). The nature of existing resources allocated for tourism also impacts community residents by influencing the economic conditions and infrastructure of the community. Community resources and infrastructure are key elements of tourism development. Thus an important research question is how to utilize and develop community resources for tourism development. Another key research question is how to manage these resources over time, once they are allocated. The answers to these questions have to involve the community stakeholders. Stakeholders are affected in different ways by tourists and therefore the challenge is to match stakeholder goals and objectives with planning and development of tourism projects. The model also takes into account the reciprocal interactions between perceived

living conditions and perceived impact of tourism among community residents. Research on tourism impact has a greater focus today on sustainability issues than ever. This is an intergenerational shift of theoretical perspective, from the perspective of how we utilize resources today to a perspective of how we can use our resources carefully and sustainably. Support from community residents is quintessential in this regard. This type of research should be well grounded in behavioural, socio-economic and development theories.

Recent Studies on Different Aspects of QoL

The accumulation of knowledge as reflected in academic journals and recent books supports the notion that there is a heightened interest in the study of QoL research in tourism and hospitality. Mainstream and aligned journals in the field of tourism and hospitality have witnessed an increased number of conceptual and empirical studies examining some aspect of QoL, further attesting to the increasing popularity and importance of this line of research. QoL research in tourism and hospitality has significant practical implications for policy and decision making (e.g. Richards, 1999; Andereck and Jurowski, 2006; Moscardo, 2009; McCabe *et al.*, 2010; McCabe *et al.*, 2011; Croes, 2012; Deery *et al.*, 2012; Kim *et al.*, 2013; McCabe and Johnson, 2013; Björk, 2014; Tuo *et al.*, 2014; Woo *et al.*, 2015; Uysal *et al.*, 2015; Filep *et al.*, 2016; Uysal *et al.*, 2016; Woo *et al.*, 2016; Sirgy *et al.*, 2017; Smith and Diekmann, 2017). Practitioners are becoming increasingly interested in understanding the science of QoL to help them develop better marketing and managerial policies and programmes designed to enhance QoL of their customers and employees as well as community residents.

From the perspective of tourism marketing, there is also shift away from emphasizing profit to emphasizing sustainability. The sustainability approach is a holistic, integrative view of marketing, taking into account social equity, environmental protection, and economic liveability (Jamrozy, 2007). While acknowledging the economic significance of QoL research, Sirgy and Uysal (2016) highlighted the value of such research in non-economic terms, such as QoL of a variety of stakeholders wellness and happiness of tourists and patrons, conservation and environmental protection, well-being of host community residents, well-being of employees, to name a few. As destinations go through structural changes over time, the nature of behavioural responses of stakeholder groups to those changes also impacts management decision making and planning to mitigate possible adverse consequences arising from those changes and reinforce positive outcomes (Uysal et al., 2012a). It is now well substantiated that tourism development and activities as an economic tool have the potential to enhance and improve the liveability and amenities of communities as destinations. QoL enhancement is the direct and indirect result of tourism activities.

Recently, we have also seen some case studies and best practices dealing with some aspects of tourism QoL research that have made their way into the academic arena. Examples include:

• tourist satisfaction and subjective well-being index (Saayman et al., 2018);

- impact of festival and event attendance upon family QoL (Jepson and Stadler, 2017);
- place attachment and QoL in a national park setting (Ramkissoon et al., 2017);
- impact of festivals and events on residents' well-being (Yolal et al., 2016);
- effects of the wellness tourism experience (Luo *et al.*, 2017);
- leisure satisfaction of residents and quality-of-life perceptions for a fast-growing tourism destination: Macao (Liao *et al.*, 2016);
- happiness from the perspective of positive psychology and Chinese traditional culture (Liu, 2016);
- tourism participation and improvement in self-reported health among older people (Ferrer *et al.*, 2016);
- QoL and competitiveness within the framework of sustainability with respect to island destinations (Modica and Uysal, 2016, pp. 3–18);
- tourism area life cycle of Cuba and QoL (Beardsley, 2016);
- practice of responsible tourism and its contribution towards a better QoL in the case of the Langkawi Island communities (Hanafiah *et al.*, 2016);
- effects of destination social responsibility on residents' support for tourism development and QoL (Su et al., 2016);
- satisfaction with life in the context of seasonal migrants in the Rio Grande Valley of Texas (Simpson *et al.*, 2016);
- linkages between tourism development and QoL and economic growth for the island of Aruba (Ridderstaat *et al.*, 2016);
- the relation between pathological gamblers as compared with non-gamblers and QoL in physical health, psychological well-being, social relationships and environment life domains in the case of participants from Macao and Australia (Loo et al., 2016);
- residents' perceived QoL in a cultural-heritage tourism destination (Jeon et al., 2016);
- holiday recovery experiences, tourism satisfaction and life satisfaction (Chen *et al.*, 2016);
- tourism development and happiness (Rivera et al., 2016);
- how to improve QoL of residents and visitor experiences in 'Cittaslow' cities in Turkey (Hatipoglu, 2015);
- how participating in appreciative activities may influence life satisfaction (Bimonte and Faralla, 2015);
- enterprise growth and a good work-life relationship (Peters and Schuckert, 2014);
- QoL segmentation of youth market (Eusébio and Carneiro, 2014);
- healthy-living market segment and its motivational behaviour to spa-wellness facilities in Slovenia (Rančić *et al.*, 2014);
- the wine festival experience on tourists' QoL in Robertson, South Africa (Kruger *et al.*, 2013);
- vacation and well-being: a study of Chinese tourists (Chen *et al.*, 2013);
- leisure benefit systems and frontline employees' QoL and work-to-leisure conflicts (Lin et al., 2013);
- alternative forms of tourism and the economics of happiness (Bimonte and Faralla, 2012);

- best practice in accessible tourism inclusion, disability and an ageing population (Buhalis et al., 2012);
- residents' QoL in Saudi Arabia (Khizindar, 2012);
- the effect of tourism upon QoL in Shiraz, Iran (Aref, 2011);
- segmentation of visitors based on perceptions of tourism impact on their QoL (João and Eusébio, 2011);
- the effects of tourism impacts on resident QoL rural Midwestern communities in the USA (Chancellor *et al.*, 2011);
- vacation and happiness (Nawijn, 2011);
- community development and QoL (Yu et al., 2016);
- the influence of tourism on Hungarian society perception of well-being and welfare (Ratz and Michalko, 2011);
- cultural tourism and QoL (Cecil *et al.*, 2010);
- lake wellness experience in the Finnish context, (Konu, 2010);
- tourism development and regional QoL in China (Meng et al., 2010);
- hotel companies' contributions to improving the QoL in host communities and the well-being of their employees (Bohdanowicz and Zientara, 2009);
- QoL and social exchange paradigm in casino settings (Chhabra and Gursoy, 2009);
- measuring tourist satisfaction with QoL issues at an arts festival the Aardklop National Arts Festival in Potchefstroom, South Africa (Kruger and Petzer, 2008);
- cultural tourism and QoL (Wang et al., 2006);
- the influence of Lake Balaton's tourist milieu on visitors' QoL in Hungary (Rátz *et al.*, 2008);
- holiday taking and the sense of well-being (Gilbert and Abdullah, 2004);
- frequent-flyer relationship programmes and business travellers' QoL (Long et al., 2003).

Why This Book?

As indicated, we have seen a significant number of empirical and conceptual studies that examine the assumed link between engaging in tourism activities and the well-being of stakeholders that are in the centre of the production and consumption of tourism goods and services. This line of research as reflected in both journals, books and research reports has brought the topic to the forefront of our research agenda to the point where we as researchers and practitioners of tourism providers would also benefit from examples of best practice managing QoL in tourism and hospitality.

Why is this important? As we make further progress toward our understanding of the assumed link between tourism/hospitality and the well-being of participants with differing roles, we also need to provide examples and solutions in terms of case studies and best practices. These examples and solutions can be transferred to similar settings, thus enabling practitioners to design, develop, and also practice and monitor appropriate plans of action in space and over time. The enterprise of tourism and hospitality is multifaceted with complex offerings and experience settings. And

tourism activities take place in different spheres of experience and are designed with different goals, targets and groups in mind. Thus, we believe that there is still ample opportunity to further generate knowledge on how QoL research is applied in different tourism and hospitality settings. The scope of such opportunities is huge. These opportunities translate to best practices and case studies encouraging emulation and replication. The current book attempts to do just that; namely, provide a vehicle to publish best practices and case studies for others to emulate and replicate.

Acknowledgements

An edited volume like this would not have been possible without generous support from our esteemed colleagues around the world. We thank all the contributors and are grateful to them for sharing their talent and expertise in writing their valuable chapters. We are also grateful for the support and patience of CABI's editors. We also thank CABI's wonderful staff members for helping us to finalize the project. Finally, we thank our family members for their constant support and encouragement.

References

- Andereck, K.L. and Jurowski, C. (2006) Tourism and quality of life. In: Jennings, G. and Nickerson, N. (eds) *Quality Tourism Experiences*. Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, UK, pp. 136–154.
- Aref, F. (2011) The effects of tourism on quality of life: a case study of Shiraz, Iran. *Life Science Journal* 8(2), 26–30.
- Beardsley, M. (2016) Quality of life, the tourism area life cycle and sustainability: a case of Cuba. In: Modica, P. and Uysal, M. (eds) *Sustainable Island Tourism: Competitiveness and Quality of Life*. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp. 93–105.
- Bimonte, S. and Faralla, V. (2012) Tourist types and happiness a comparative study in Maremma, Italy. *Annals of Tourism Research* 39(4), 1929–1950.
- Bimonte, S. and Faralla, V. (2015) Happiness and outdoor vacations appreciative versus consumptive tourists. *Journal of Travel Research* 54(2), 179–192.
- Björk, P. (2014) Tourist experience value: tourist experience and life satisfaction. In: Prebensen, N., Chen, J. and Uysal, M. (eds) *Creating Experience Value in Tourism*. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp. 21–33.
- Bohdanowicz, P. and Zientara, P. (2009) Hotel companies' contribution to improving the quality of life of local communities and the well-being of their employees. *Tourism and Hospitality Research* 9(2), 147–158.
- Buhalis, D., Darcy, S. and Ambrose, I. (eds) (2012) *Best Practice in Accessible Tourism: Inclusion, Disability, Ageing Population and Tourism*, Vol. 53. Channel View Publications, Bristol, UK.
- Cecil, A.K., Fu, Y., Wang, S. and Avgoustis, S. (2010) Cultural tourism and quality of life: results of a longitudinal study. *European Journal of Tourism Research* 3(1), 54–66.
- Chancellor, C., Yu, C.P.S. and Cole, S.T. (2011) Exploring quality of life perceptions in rural Midwestern (USA) communities: an application of the core–periphery concept in a tourism development context. *International Journal of Tourism Research* 13(5), 496–507.
- Chen, C.C., Huang, W.J. and Petrick, J.F. (2016) Holiday recovery experiences, tourism satisfaction and life satisfaction is there a relationship? *Tourism Management* 53, 140–147.
- Chen, Y., Lehto, X.Y. and Cai, L. (2013) Vacation and well-being: a study of Chinese tourists. *Annals of Tourism Research* 42, 284–310.

- Chhabra, D. and Gursoy, D. (2009) Life dynamism explorations on perceived quality of life and social exchange paradigms in casino settings. *Leisure Sciences* 31(2), 136–157.
- Croes, R. (2012) Tourism, poverty relief, and the quality-of-life in developing countries. In: Uysal, M., Perdue, R. and Sirgy, M.J. (eds) *Handbook of Tourism and Quality-of-Life Research: Enhancing the Lives of Tourists and Residents of Host Communities.* Springer, The Netherlands, pp. 85–103.
- Deery, M., Jago, L. and Fredline, L. (2012) Rethinking social impacts of tourism research: a new research agenda. *Tourism Management* 33(1), 64–73.
- Eusébio, C. and Carneiro, M.J. (2014) The impact of tourism on quality of life: a segmentation analysis of the youth market. *Tourism Analysis* 19(6), 741–757.
- Ferrer, J.G., Sanz, M.F., Ferrandis, E.D., McCabe, S. and García, J.S. (2016) Social tourism and healthy ageing. *International Journal of Tourism Research* 18(4), 297–307.
- Filep, S., Laing, J. and Csikszentmihalyi, M. (eds) (2016) Positive Tourism. Routledge, Abingdon, UK.
- Gilbert, D. and Abdullah, J. (2004) Holidaymaking and the sense of well-being. *Annals of Tourism Research* 31(1), 103–121.
- Hanafiah, M.H., Azman, I., Jamaluddin, M.R. and Aminuddin, N. (2016) Responsible tourism practices and quality of life: perspective of Langkawi island communities. *Procedia–Social and Behavioral Sci*ences 222, 406–413.
- Hatipoglu, B. (2015) 'Cittaslow': quality of life and visitor experiences. *Tourism Planning & Development* 12(1), 20–36.
- Jamrozy, U. (2007) Marketing of tourism: a paradigm shift toward sustainability. *International Journal of Culture, Tourism and Hospitality Research* 1(2), 117–130.
- Jennings, G. and Nickerson, N. (eds) (2006) *Quality Tourism Experiences*. Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, UK.
- Jeon, M.M., Kang, M.M. and Desmarais, E. (2016) Residents' perceived quality of life in a cultural-heritage tourism destination. *Applied Research in Quality of Life* 11(1), 105–123.
- Jepson, A. and Stadler, R. (2017) Conceptualizing the impact of festival and event attendance upon family quality of life (QOL). *Event Management* 21(1), 47–60.
- João Carneiro, M. and Eusébio, C. (2011) Segmentation of the tourism market using the impact of tourism on quality of life. *Tourism & Management Studies* (7), 91–100.
- Khizindar, T.M. (2012) Effects of tourism on residents' quality of life in Saudi Arabia: an empirical study. Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management 21(6), 617–637.
- Kim, K., Uysal, M. and Sirgy, M.J. (2013) How does tourism in a community impact the quality of life of community residents? *Tourism Management* 36, 527–540.
- Konu, H. (2010) İdentifying potential wellbeing tourism segments in Finland. *Tourism Review* 65(2), 41–51.
- Kruger, S. and Petzer, D. (2008) Measuring tourists' satisfaction with quality of life issues at an arts festival. *Acta Commercii* 8(1), 113–127.
- Kruger, S., Rootenberg, C. and Ellis, S. (2013) Examining the influence of the wine festival experience on tourists' quality of life. *Social Indicators Research* 111(2), 435–452.
- Liao, X.Y., So, S.I. and Lam, D. (2016) Residents' perceptions of the role of leisure satisfaction and quality of life in overall tourism development: case of a fast-growing tourism destination Macao. Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research 21(10), 1100–1113.
- Lin, J.H., Wong, J.Y. and Ho, C.H. (2013) Promoting frontline employees' quality of life: leisure benefit systems and work-to-leisure conflicts. *Tourism Management* 36, 178–187.
- Liu, Y.D. (2016) Event and quality of life: a case study of Liverpool as the 2008 European capital of culture. *Applied Research in Quality of Life* 11(3), 707–721.
- Long, M.M., Clark, S.D., Schiffman, L.G. and McMellon, C. (2003) In the air again: frequent flyer relationship programmes and business travellers' quality of life. *International Journal of Tourism Research* 5(6), 421–432.
- Loo, J.M., Shi, Y. and Pu, X. (2016) Gambling, drinking and quality of life: evidence from Macao and Australia. *Journal of Gambling Studies* 32(2), 391–407.

- Luo, Y., Lanlung, C., Kim, E., Tang, L.R. and Song, S.M. (2017) Towards quality of life: the effects of the wellness tourism experience. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing* 1–15, DOI: 10.1080/10548408.2017.1358236.
- McCabe, S. and Johnson, S. (2013). The happiness factor in tourism: subjective well-being and social tourism. *Annals of Tourism Research* 41, 42–65.
- McCabe, S., Joldersma, T. and Li, C. (2010) Understanding the benefits of social tourism: Linking participation to subjective well-being and quality of life. *International Journal of Tourism Research* 12(6), 761–773.
- McCabe, S., Minnaert, L. and Diekmann, A. (eds) (2011) *Social Tourism in Europe: Theory and Practice*, Vol. 52. Channel View Publications, Bristol, UK.
- Meng, F., Li, X. and Uysal, M. (2010) Tourism development and regional quality of life: the case of China. *Journal of China Tourism Research* 6(2), 164–182.
- Modica, P. and Uysal, M. (eds) (2016) Sustainable Island Tourism: In Competitiveness and Quality of Life. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- Moscardo, G. (2009) Tourism and quality of life: towards a more critical approach. *Tourism and Hospitality Research* 9(2), 159–170.
- Nawijn, J. (2011) Determinants of daily happiness on vacation. *Journal of Travel Research* 50(5), 559–566.
- Pearce, P., Filep, S. and Ross, G. (2010) *Tourists, Tourism and the Good Life*. Routledge, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, UK.
- Peters, M. and Schuckert, M. (2014) Tourism entrepreneurs' perception of quality of life: an explorative study. *Tourism Analysis* 19(6), 731–740.
- Ramkissoon, H., Mavondo, F. and Uysal, M. (2017) Social involvement and park citizenship as moderators for quality-of-life in a national park. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism* 1–21. DOI: 10.1080/09669582.2017.1354866.
- Rančić, M., Pavić, L. and Mijatov, M. (2014) Wellness centers in Slovenia: tourists' profiles and motivational factors. *Turizam* 18(2), 72–83.
- Ratz, T. and Michalko, G. (2011) The contribution of tourism to well-being and welfare: the case of Hungary. *International Journal of Sustainable Development* 14(3–4), 332–346.
- Rátz, T., Michalkó, G. and Kovács, B. (2008) The influence of Lake Balaton's tourist milieu on visitors' quality of life. *Turizam: znanstveno-stručni* časopis 56(2), 127–142.
- Richards, G. (1999). Vacations and the quality of life: patterns and structures. *Journal of Business Research* 44(3), 189–198.
- Ridderstaat, J., Croes, R. and Nijkamp, P. (2016) A two-way causal chain between tourism development and quality of life in a small island destination: an empirical analysis. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism* 24(10), 1461–1479.
- Rivera, M., Croes, R. and Lee, S.H. (2016) Tourism development and happiness: a residents' perspective. Journal of Destination Marketing & Management 5(1), 5–15.
- Saayman, M., Li, G., Uysal, M. and Song, H. (2018) Tourist satisfaction and subjective well-being: an index approach. *International Journal of Tourism Research*. DOI: 10.1002/jtr.2190.
- Simpson, P.M., Siguaw, J.A. and Sheng, X. (2016) Tourists' life satisfaction at home and away: a tale of two cities. *Journal of Travel Research* 55(2), 161–175.
- Sirgy, M.J. (2012) *The Psychology of Quality of Life: Hedonic Well-Being, Life Satisfaction, and Eudaimonia.* Springer Science & Business Media, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Sirgy, M.J. and Uysal, M. (2016) Developing a eudaimonia research agenda in travel and tourism. In: Vitterso, J. (ed.) *Handbook of Eudaimonic Well-Being*. Springer International Publishing, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp. 485–495.
- Sirgy, M. J., Uysal, M. and Kruger, S. (2017) Towards a benefits theory of leisure well-being. *Applied Research in Quality of Life* 12(1), 205–228.
- Smith, M.K. and Diekmann, A. (2017) Tourism and wellbeing. Annals of Tourism Research 66, 1-13.

- Su, L., Huang, S. and Huang, J. (2016) Effects of destination social responsibility and tourism impacts on residents' support for tourism and perceived quality of life. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*. DOI: 10.1177/1096348016671395.
- Tuo, Y., Bai, C. and Chen, Z. (2014) Travel for meaning: the present and future of tourist wellbeing. In: Computational Sciences and Optimization (CSO), July 2014 Seventh International Joint Conference. IEEE, Beijing, China, pp. 479–483.
- Uysal, M. and Modica, P. (2017) Island tourism: challenges and future research directions. In: *Sustainable Island Tourism: Competitiveness and Quality of Life*. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp. 173–188.
- Uysal, M., Woo, E. and Singal, M. (2012a) The tourist area life cycle (TALC) and its effect on the quality-of-life (QOL) of destination community. In: Uysal, M., Perdue, R. and Sirgy, M.J. (eds) Handbook of Tourism and Quality-of-life Research: Enhancing the Lives of Tourists and Residents of Host Communities. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp. 423–443.
- Uysal, M., Perdue, R. and Sirgy, M.J. (2012b) Prologue: tourism and quality-of-life (QoL) research: the missing links. In: Uysal, M., Perdue, R. and Sirgy, M.J. (eds) *Handbook of Tourism and Quality-of-Life Research: Enhancing the Lives of Tourists and Residents of Host Communities.* Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp. 1–5.
- Uysal, M., Sirgy, M.J., Woo, E. and Kim, H.L. (2015) Quality of Life (QoL) and well-being research in tourism. *Tourism Management* 53, 244–261.
- Uysal, M., Sirgy, M.J., Woo, E. and Kim, H.L. (2016) The impact of tourist activities on tourists' subjective wellbeing. In: Modica, P. and Uysal, M. (eds) *The Routledge Handbook of Health Tourism*. Routledge, London, pp. 65–78.
- Wang, S., Fu, Y.Y., Cecil, A.K. and Avgoustis, S.H. (2006) Residents' perceptions of cultural tourism and quality of life a longitudinal approach. *Tourism Today* 6, 47–61.
- Woo, E., Kim, H. and Uysal, M. (2015) Life satisfaction and support for tourism development. Annals of Tourism Research 50, 84–97.
- Woo, E., Kim, H. and Uysal, M. (2016) A measure of quality of life in elderly tourists. *Applied Research in Quality of Life* 11(1), 65–82.
- Woo, E., Uysal, M. and Sirgy, M.J. (2018) Tourism impact and stakeholders' quality of life. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research* 42(2), 260–268.
- Yolal, M., Gursoy, D., Uysal, M., Kim, H.L. and Karacaoğlu, S. (2016) Impacts of festivals and events on residents' well-being. *Annals of Tourism Research* 61, 1–18.
- Yu, C.P., Charles Chancellor, H. and Tian Cole, S. (2011) Examining the effects of tourism impacts on resident quality of life: evidence from rural midwestern communities in USA. *International Journal of Tourism Sciences* 11(2), 161–186.
- Yu, C.P., Cole, S.T. and Chancellor, C. (2016) Assessing community quality of life in the context of tourism development. *Applied Research in Quality of Life* 11(1), 147–162.