

Annex

**QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE
TESTING OF THE GUIDANCE ON RISK ASSESSMENT OF LIVING MODIFIED ORGANISMS**

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE TESTING

<p>Q1. These results are being submitted on behalf of a:</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Party. Please specify: Thailand <input type="checkbox"/> Other Government. Please specify: <Country's name> <input type="checkbox"/> Organization: Please specify: <Organization's name>
<p>Q2. When was the testing of the Guidance conducted?</p>	<p>Please enter date: 17 November 2011</p>
<p>Q3. Type of event where the testing of the Guidance was conducted?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Group event (e.g., workshop, training course, meeting). Please provide the title of the event and name of organizer: Plant Biosafety Subcommittee Type of meeting: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Face-to-face <input type="checkbox"/> Online <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Individual exercise. Please provide your name, occupation and affiliation: Dr. Kanutcharee Thainispong, government officer, Bureau of Vector Borne Disease; Department of Disease Control <input type="checkbox"/> Other: Please specify: <Type here>
<p>Q4. Which sections of the Guidance were tested?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Part I: The Roadmap for Risk assessment of LMOs Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risk assessment of LMOs with stacked genes or traits <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risk assessment of LM crops with tolerance to abiotic stress <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risk assessment of LM mosquitoes

OVERALL EVALUATION

	Very poor	Poor	Neutral	Good	Very good
<i>Please indicate the level of agreement you attribute to each of the questions in the left column.</i>					
<p>Q5. How do you evaluate the level of consistency of the Guidance with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety, particularly with its Article 15 and Annex III?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>Q6. How do you evaluate the usefulness of the Guidance as a tool to assist countries in conducting and reviewing risk assessments of LMOs in a <u>scientifically sound and case-by-case manner</u>?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>Q7. How do you evaluate the usefulness of the Guidance as a tool to assist countries in conducting and reviewing risk assessments of LMOs <u>introduced into various receiving environments</u>?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

PART I: ROADMAP FOR RISK ASSESSMENT OF LIVING MODIFIED ORGANISMS

Please answer each of the questions in the left column with “yes” or “no” and add comments if needed.

Q8. Does the Roadmap provide useful guidance for conducting risk assessments of LMOs in accordance with the Protocol?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Comments: <Type here>
Q9. Is the Roadmap useful to risk assessors who have limited experience with LMO risk assessment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Comments: <Type here>
Q10. Is the Roadmap organized in a logic and structured manner?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Comments: <Type here>
Q11. Is the Roadmap user-friendly taking into account that risk assessment is a complex scientific and multidisciplinary activity?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Comments: <Type here>
Q12. Is the Roadmap applicable to all types of LMOs (e.g. plants, animals, microorganisms)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Comments: <Type here>
Q13. Is the Roadmap applicable to all types of introductions into the environment (e.g. small- and large-scale releases, placing on the market/commercialisation)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Comments: <Type here>
Q14. Is there any other issue or concept that you would like to see included in the Roadmap?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Comments: In regarding to the receiving environment, the intended scale and duration of the environmental release should be clearly indicated.
Q15. Does the flowchart provide a useful graphic representation of the risk assessment process as described in the Roadmap?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Comments: <Type here>

PART II: SPECIFIC TYPES OF LIVING MODIFIED ORGANISMS OR TRAITS

Risk assessment of living modified organisms with stacked genes or traits

Please answer each of the questions in the left column with "yes" or "no" and add comments if needed.

Q16. Does this section provide useful guidance when conducting risk assessments of LMOs with stacked genes or traits in accordance with the Protocol? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q17. Is this section of the Guidance useful to risk assessors who have limited experience with risk assessments of LMOs with stacked genes or traits? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q18. Is this section of the Guidance organized in a logic and structured manner? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q19. Is this section of the Guidance user-friendly taking into account that risk assessment is a complex scientific and multidisciplinary activity? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q20. Is there any other issue or concept that you would like to see included in this section of the Guidance? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Risk assessment of living modified crops with tolerance to abiotic stress

Please answer each of the questions in the left column with "yes" or "no" and add comments if needed.

Q21. Does this section provide useful guidance when conducting risk assessments of LM crops with tolerance to abiotic stress(es) in accordance with the Protocol? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q22. Is this section of the Guidance useful to risk assessors who have limited experience with risk assessments of LM crops with tolerance to abiotic stress(es)? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q23. Is this section of the Guidance organized in a logic and structured manner? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q24. Is this section of the Guidance user-friendly taking into account that risk assessment is a complex scientific and multidisciplinary activity? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q25. Is there any other issue or concept that you would like to see included in this section of the Guidance? Yes No Comments: In the last 2 lines of page 18: "Would the abiotic stress tolerance trait, for example, via pleiotropic effects, have the potential to affect, inter alia, pest and disease resistance mechanisms of the LM crop?" it difficult to understand./ is the term "abioteic stress" include the meaning of herbicide tolerance?

Risk assessment of living modified mosquitoes

Please answer each of the questions in the left column with “yes” or “no” and add comments if needed.

Q26. Does this section provide useful guidance when conducting risk assessments of LM mosquitoes in accordance with the Protocol? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q27. Is this section of the Guidance useful to risk assessors who have limited experience with risk assessments of LM mosquitoes? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q28. Is this section of the Guidance organized in a logic and structured manner? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q29. Is this section of the Guidance user-friendly taking into account that risk assessment is a complex scientific and multidisciplinary activity? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q30. Is there any other issue or concept that you would like to see included in this section of the Guidance? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Please add any additional comment you may have regarding the “Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms” below.

Q31. This Roadmap provides further guidance which can be useful as a reference for risk assessors when conducting or reviewing risk assessments. However, LMOs of different taxa would need to be evaluated differently.
