

PART I: ROADMAP FOR RISK ASSESSMENT OF LIVING MODIFIED ORGANISMS

Please answer each of the questions in the left column with “yes” or “no” and add comments if needed.

<p>Q8. Does the Roadmap provide useful guidance for conducting risk assessments of LMOs in accordance with the Protocol?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Comments: <Type here></p>
<p>Q9. Is the Roadmap useful to risk assessors who have limited experience with LMO risk assessment?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Comments: La hoja de ruta constituye una herramienta útil aunque probablemente necesite acompañarse de talleres específicos que la desarrollen, aclarando dudas prácticas y dando claridad a todos los conceptos. Para poder establecer la valoración de los riesgos hay que apoyarse en análisis de información procedentes de estudios, experimentos, etc. La guía permite identificar la lógica del proceso de la evaluación de riesgos y los pasos a seguir pero no siempre cómo conseguir la información para realizar las estimaciones.</p>
<p>Q10. Is the Roadmap organized in a logic and structured manner?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Comments: <Type here></p>
<p>Q11. Is the Roadmap user-friendly taking into account that risk assessment is a complex scientific and multidisciplinary activity?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Comments: <Type here></p>
<p>Q12. Is the Roadmap applicable to all types of LMOs (e.g. plants, animals, microorganisms)?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Comments: La hoja de ruta es aplicable a cualquier tipo de organismos vivos modificados, no obstante parece especialmente orientado a plantas, quedando otros organismos vivos modificados como animales, árboles o microorganismos menos representados.</p>
<p>Q13. Is the Roadmap applicable to all types of introductions into the environment (e.g. small- and large-scale releases, placing on the market/commercialisation)?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Comments: El documento se centra más en los casos de las liberaciones voluntarias al medio ambiente de cultivos pero se adapta razonablemente bien a otro tipo de actuaciones y organismos vivos modificados</p>
<p>Q14. Is there any other issue or concept that you would like to see included in the Roadmap?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Comments: <Type here></p>
<p>Q15. Does the flowchart provide a useful graphic representation of the risk assessment process as described in the Roadmap?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Comments: El gráfico resulta muy útil, clarificando todo el proceso de un solo golpe de vista, ayudando a entender la importancia de cada paso de la evaluación de la riesgos</p>

PART II: SPECIFIC TYPES OF LIVING MODIFIED ORGANISMS OR TRAITS

Risk assessment of living modified organisms with stacked genes or traits

Please answer each of the questions in the left column with “yes” or “no” and add comments if needed.

Q16. Does this section provide useful guidance when conducting risk assessments of LMOs with stacked genes or traits in accordance with the Protocol? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q17. Is this section of the Guidance useful to risk assessors who have limited experience with risk assessments of LMOs with stacked genes or traits? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q18. Is this section of the Guidance organized in a logic and structured manner? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q19. Is this section of the Guidance user-friendly taking into account that risk assessment is a complex scientific and multidisciplinary activity? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q20. Is there any other issue or concept that you would like to see included in this section of the Guidance? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Risk assessment of living modified crops with tolerance to abiotic stress

Please answer each of the questions in the left column with “yes” or “no” and add comments if needed.

Q21. Does this section provide useful guidance when conducting risk assessments of LM crops with tolerance to abiotic stress(es) in accordance with the Protocol? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q22. Is this section of the Guidance useful to risk assessors who have limited experience with risk assessments of LM crops with tolerance to abiotic stress(es)? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q23. Is this section of the Guidance organized in a logic and structured manner? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q24. Is this section of the Guidance user-friendly taking into account that risk assessment is a complex scientific and multidisciplinary activity? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q25. Is there any other issue or concept that you would like to see included in this section of the Guidance? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Risk assessment of living modified mosquitoes

Please answer each of the questions in the left column with “yes” or “no” and add comments if needed.

Q26. Does this section provide useful guidance when conducting risk assessments of LM mosquitoes in accordance with the Protocol? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q27. Is this section of the Guidance useful to risk assessors who have limited experience with risk assessments of LM mosquitoes? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q28. Is this section of the Guidance organized in a logic and structured manner? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q29. Is this section of the Guidance user-friendly taking into account that risk assessment is a complex scientific and multidisciplinary activity? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

Q30. Is there any other issue or concept that you would like to see included in this section of the Guidance? Yes No Comments: <Type here>

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Please add any additional comment you may have regarding the “Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms” below.

Q31. En general nos parece que la nueva revisión de la guía aporta numerosas mejoras, a destacar la mayor claridad y mejor estructura de los ejemplos o el apartado nuevo con el glosario de términos.

Nos parecería positivo añadir en este último apartado alguna definición suplementaria, como la de heterocigosidad , isogénico, re-transformación y co-transformación

Consideramos que la monitorización y los planes de seguimiento son un elemento clave para la mejora continua de las evaluaciones de riesgo ya que supone el elemento clave de contraste entre los datos teóricos o de laboratorio con la experiencia real en campo, lo que permite una fuente muy valiosa de información de cara a rectificar la evaluación de riesgo o emprender evaluaciones nuevas. Por ello, convendría que fuera claramente indicada en el texto de la guía.

Por último, creemos que es importante seguir con el trabajo emprendido y desarrollar nuevas guías específicas, considerando como prioritarias por su importancia y desarrollo actual las referentes a peces, microorganismos y virus.