College Advisory Council Meeting Minutes

Present:
GSE Reps -Robin Hummel, Amy Kline, Michele Ryan (SAT), Alexander Iwachiw
CP Reps - Pam Wheeler-Civita **,** David Mortimer, Evi Rivera-Williams (SAT)
S&O Reps - Kelly Lorenz **,** Angela Persaud
DERO Rep – Charles Babian
BSEC Rep - Katherine Baldwin, Lily Fincher
Ex-Officio Reps: Akilah Rosado

Absent:
S & O Rep – Sylvie Fan

Call to order at 3:50 PM
Intros for Lily – Ed Center representative Approve minutes from last meeting.
Add last names for cabinet.
Take out #2 under agenda.
Minutes approved.
Katherine volunteers to do October minutes.

Agenda Item I:

Matrix
Charter requires meetings, reference the matrix
5 All College meetings (5th one to share budget)
4 meetings w/Cabinet; should we consider changing that to twice a year? Engagement and actual work has increased but meeting with leadership is more consistent.
Governance Meeting is once a year.
All CAC meeting dates set; All All-College meetings are set; Board not yet set; confirming Governance meeting; Holiday party coordinators are working on a date.
Most CAC meetings will be held at 112th Street building.
Feelings about changing number of Cabinet meetings (from 4 to 2):

> Increased meetings with leadership should alleviate need for more meetings;
> Can move to 2, but can always invite Cabinet to a CAC meeting if we have more to

discuss with them; Charles suggests circulating our schedule, to alert them, and to encourage them to contact us if they need to;
On the other hand, lessening touchpoints: How will this affect our outward appearances? Lessen our impact? Continued easy access and communication to Cabinet?

How is communication with leadership working with Children's Programs?

In the SFC, union, faculty council, strategic task force—there are lots of overlaps in meetings. Suggested that SFC could discuss usage of forums with Jed. Jed open to meeting with CAC reps and Director of the Faculty Council.

How does camp get included? And After school?

Laura G is part of the expanded Cabinet and CAC reps will meet with Jed, Laura, and Jeanette.
Robin and Kelly will draft language to change the charter and will bring to October meeting.
Amy suggested reviewing the rest of charter and Kelly said it would be a thoughtful exercise to think about rest of charter. Might that happen in the October meeting?

Agenda Item II:

We are in our third year; terms of service discussion next month, but know that members coming up to end-of-term can stay for another 3 years if reelected or cycle off. Michele will be finishing her SAT service (exception to reelection). Spring elections will be held; Robin will be cycling off so a co-chair position will be open

Agenda Item III:

Sub-committees Reporting (Should we put that as a consistent agenda item?)
Lobby exhibit chairs are cycling off and looking for new co-chairs (Amy is the point person.)
Social Policy Committee
Community Connections Committee: Regina might need some support, Katherine will be the point person for that committee.

Agenda Item IV:

Concerns about levels of commitment
Revisiting the charter and talk about shared commitments. If time commitment is too much, or the work turns out to be something not passionate about, we need to discuss so people can have an out if they want one. Is work what you envisioned? Robin and Kelly had a meeting with Shael and he offered these talking points. In addition, he asked if the SAT should be required to sit on the CAC

Akilah added her take on discussing the level of commitment. It needs to be explicitly discussed. She offered the history of the Staff Council and how it disbanded and the CAC charter was written to increase democratic representation. Akilah offered these questions and ideas: How does this work look for each person? Who are we as leaders in our constituency? The necessity of figuring out if this is working for you individually. Recognizing the increased responsibility while still working full time? Does this structure work?

Evi added that as a new person, the expectations were not clear to her. Kelly explained that this is the reason why we're having this conversation—to be sure expectations are clear. Pam said that the last meeting last year gave her clarity around the work and Katherine offered the opposite perspective—that after the last meeting last year she felt less clear. Where is the intersection of all the different agendas?

Kelly pointed out that talking about all of this is helpful and there needs to be an awareness that the various constituencies have different needs the reps cannot possibly have the same goals.

Robin offered some specifics: All College retreats being planned; New strategic planning to happen again, upcoming meetings.

Akilah offered support around leadership; she has an open-door policy and we should feel free to use her as a resource and sounding board for our own evolving leadership lens.

Michele felt strongly that the Staff Associate Trustee (SAT) role is essential for the CAC. This committee is the closest we get to governance. She feels that the SAT role is essential for the CAC.

Dave agrees with Michele and added that advisory is in our name but is not sure how we serve in advisory capacity. Akilah added that we need to meet with our individual leadership—she said that we can't function in an advisory role if meetings with leadership are not taking place.

Adjourn 5:15 PM