

Florida Department of Education  
SIG 1003(g) FFY13 Competition for Cohort 3  
SCORING & AWARD METHODOLOGY

---

### Scoring Methodology

1. Each SIG-targeted school included on the district's submission of Phases 1 and 2 receives its own score, comprised of district-level and school-level points.
2. Each reviewer assigns a score (0-3 points) for each rubric component in Phases 1 and 2. District-level scores are applied to each SIG-targeted school included on the proposal, while school-level scores (found in Phase 1 only) are applied individually to a given school, per the rubric.
3. Schools which receive 0 points for any component from all 3 reviewers are disqualified.
4. Points are tallied for qualifying schools, as specified in the **Scoring Rubric** and **Scoring Summary**.
5. The sum of all points earned will be divided by 186, the total Possible Points for Transformation and Turnaround, to obtain a Percent Earned score.
  - a. *Note: If a school does not check Assurance 17 (External Provider Policy), it is not applicable. In these cases, the possible points-value would be 183.*
6. All schools with percent earned scores falling below 70% are disqualified.
7. Pre-calculated Bonus Points are added to the percent earned score for remaining schools.
8. Percent earned + bonus points = the Proposal Score that will be used to rank order all qualifying schools.
9. In the event of a tied proposal score, the following methodology is applied to determine the rank order:
  - a. Tie-breaker 1: Preference is given to the school(s) whose district or region is not otherwise represented in the award pool.
  - b. Tie-breaker 2: If a tie still exists after Tie-breaker 1 is applied, or if Tie-breaker 1 is not applicable, preference will be given to school(s) earning a *lower* proportion of school grades points, as calculated in Bonus 4.
  - c. Tie-breaker 3: If a tie still exists after Tie-breakers 1 and 2 are applied, preference will be given to school(s) with higher poverty rates, as calculated in Bonus 3.
10. The final report, sorted in order of Proposal Score (high to low), then by Tie-breaker 1, 2, and 3, if needed, is used to determine potential award recipients.

Florida Department of Education  
SIG 1003(g) FFY13 Competition for Cohort 3  
SCORING & AWARD METHODOLOGY

---

**Awards Methodology**

1. After applying the scoring methodology, if the highest ranked proposals do not adequately represent the diversity of the applicant pool, FDOE will exercise its discretion as reserved in the original language of the SIG 1003(g) FFY13 RFP "...to determine the best-suited outcome, such as ensuring a district or region is not overrepresented in Cohort 3."
2. The number of awards granted depends on the approved 3-year funding amounts for the top ranked proposals after budget negotiations are completed between the top-ranked district and department staff to ensure amounts requested are reasonable, allowable and necessary to fully and effectively implement the approved proposal.
3. FDOE will fund as many proposals as possible using the 3-year award amount of \$25,969,574.00.