1. THE CLEAN ENERGY TRANSITION

The clean energy transition is urgent. The latest IPCC report shows that only if we act now, can we keep a 1.5°C future within reach. The illegal Russian invasion of Ukraine and soaring inflation in many economies have put the topics of fossil fuel dependency and energy prices at the top of the political agenda.

The clean energy transition is achievable. Solutions to halve global emissions by 2030 can deliver. We can reach this with the right policies that decarbonize power systems, scale up energy efficiency and renewable energy technologies, and align public finance and fiscal policies.

Governments hold the key to making this happen. What often holds them back is uncertainty about what this means for the people and the economy of their country. For this reason, the We Mean Business Coalition commissioned Cambridge Econometrics to conduct socio-economic analysis and modelling on the impacts of government policies that accelerate the cleaner energy transition to net zero. The analysis focused on G7 countries, plus the EU, India, Indonesia and South Africa.

The clean energy transition is affordable and in our economic best interest. The results of our analysis show that it will reduce future energy bills and create jobs while maintaining GDP growth in both advanced and developing economies. Businesses have a huge role to play in the clean energy transition, delivering the services and technologies needed while also meeting their science-based targets to reduce emissions. Those companies that act now and provide leadership in delivering the transition to clean energy will create the jobs modelled in this research and will most benefit their customers. This is why such companies with science-based targets will be the most successful businesses of the future.

Political leaders now have the responsibility to deliver as they come together to set collective, aligned policy ambition. Progress needs to be made this month at the G7 Summit in Germany, the Clean Energy Ministerial and UN General Assembly in September, COP27 in Egypt in November, and similar summits in the coming years.

Now is the moment for policymakers to listen to their citizens and deliver the safe, clean, prosperous future they are calling for. The economic and growth figures in this research offer governments a clear solution to help address rising prices that are impacting households all around the world. By taking decisive policy action, political leaders can protect their citizens from polluting and volatile energy sources, provide decent jobs, and safeguard a healthy future for the planet and all who live on it.
2. POLICIES BACKED BY FORWARD-LOOKING BUSINESSES

Over 1,000 companies headquartered in nearly 60 countries, have joined calls for governments to set policies that accelerate the transition to clean energy and keep 1.5°C within reach. They have put their name on public statements to the G20, European Union, United States, Japan, and United Kingdom.

Businesses are calling for governments to deliver specific policies that will help accelerate the transition of the global economy to net zero emissions mid-century. Therefore, the We Mean Business Coalition commissioned Cambridge Econometrics to model a business-as-usual scenario vs an accelerated transition scenario where governments have delivered policies to accelerate the transition away from fossil fuels to net zero (see section 5 below for full definitions of the two scenarios used). The policies included in the accelerated transition scenario include:

- Decarbonize power systems by 2035 in advanced economies and by 2040 for other countries
- Phase out domestic coal-fired power generation by 2030 in advanced economies and 2040 in other countries, at the latest, combined with an immediate stop on building new coal capacity
- Scale up renewable energy deployment towards achieving 60-80% of power generation by 2030 in advanced economies
- Increase public spending for energy efficiency, including by setting expenditure targets to a level equivalent to other crisis response measures, in recognition of the vital role of energy efficiency in achieving energy security
- Commit to 100% sales of zero emission vehicles (ZEVs) for new light-duty vehicles by 2035 and phase out internal combustion engine vehicles
- Put a meaningful price on carbon starting in 2022 that increases and converges towards a uniform global price over time and reflects the full costs of climate change
- Set out national action plans in 2022 to repurpose financial flows from fossil fuels towards energy efficiency, renewable energy, and other measures to support a people-centred and equitable clean energy transition

Through ambitious policies, governments can send clear signals to business on the speed and direction of travel, remove barriers and ensure the most effective and efficient private sector investments to deliver on their climate goals.
3. KEY FINDINGS

Accelerating the clean energy transition to net zero will provide huge economic opportunities while protecting future generations from the worst impacts of climate change. Below are the key findings from this research and a set of modelled results that quantify the impact of accelerating the clean energy transition in key geographies.¹

One of the clearest findings is how reasonable and manageable an accelerated transition is for the economies modelled. These economies and societies will not only benefit from lower energy bills, more jobs and increased economic growth, they will also have avoided some of the worst impacts of climate change. This means countries will further benefit from reduced spending on healthcare and lower insurance premiums against climate-related disasters.

The Clean Energy Transition will cut household energy bills

In every geography modelled, accelerating the clean energy transition will reduce household expenditure on total energy costs (which includes electricity, natural gas and gasoline) compared to business-as-usual policies in 2025, 2030 and 2035.

This reflects the electrification of the economy, with homes being heated and cooled by heat pumps and drivers switching to electric vehicles (EVs). This represents a significant reduction in energy spending across the economy. The shift to renewable technologies allows for cheap energy, whose price is decoupled from geopolitical events that can trigger fossil fuel supply issues and consequent price hikes. With warmer homes and cheaper transport, lower income households experience a significant and positive disposable income shock, with less money being spent on heating and travel to work.

To highlight a few key findings in Figure 1, if G7 countries accelerate the energy transition to a net-zero economy, as modelled, the total energy bill per capita (electricity, natural gas and gasoline combined) will be on average $135 lower in 2025 than in the business-as-usual scenario at the same point in time. Beyond just the short-term, the analysis underscores the lifetime benefits that an accelerated transition scenario will have for consumers’ finances. The savings to families on their energy bill costs continue to increase year on year in the accelerated transition scenario. By 2030 the total energy bill per capita will be, on average, 25% ($488) lower relative to the business-as-usual scenario and by 2035 the average resident of a G7 country will be spending almost 45% ($825) less each year on their energy.

¹ Further information on the final report and modelling methodology can be found in Section 5.
Table 1: Reduced per capita energy expenditure & percentage reduction (compared to business-as-usual scenario)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2035</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G7</td>
<td>-$135 (17%)</td>
<td>-$487 (125%)</td>
<td>-$824 (144%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>-$116 (15%)</td>
<td>-$529 (125%)</td>
<td>-$940 (146%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>-$114 (17%)</td>
<td>-$434 (128%)</td>
<td>-$734 (147%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>-$103 (17%)</td>
<td>-$426 (128%)</td>
<td>-$790 (150%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan (JA)</td>
<td>-$265 (12%)</td>
<td>-$498 (124%)</td>
<td>-$657 (132%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India (IN)</td>
<td>-$8 (10%)</td>
<td>-$34 (131%)</td>
<td>-$74 (152%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa (SA)</td>
<td>-$22 (16%)</td>
<td>-$105 (128%)</td>
<td>-$202 (151%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia (ID)</td>
<td>-$6 (13%)</td>
<td>-$23 (111%)</td>
<td>-$59 (123%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To highlight a few key findings in Table 1 - if the US were to accelerate the clean energy transition, the modelling shows that by 2025 this would cut $115 from yearly per capita energy bills, a 5% reduction compared to the business-as-usual scenario. In 2030 per capita energy bills will be cut by $529, a 25% reduction. By 2035 per capita bills will have fallen $940, down 46% compared to the business-as-usual scenario. The biggest cause of the saving is from a substantial reduction in gasoline consumption as people move to EVs.

These strong findings are also reflected in the EU where the total energy bill per capita will be €108 ($114) lower in 2025 than in the business-as-usual scenario. By 2030 these savings increase as the total energy bill per capita is 28% or €412 ($434) lower relative to the business-as-usual scenario and by 2035 consumers will spend €705 ($743) or roughly half as much on their energy costs. These findings are mirrored in other advanced economies that are net energy exporters like the UK and Japan as they electrify their economies and reduce their emissions to net zero.

The Clean Energy Transition is good for jobs

Figure 2: Additional employment in the accelerated transition scenario vs. the business-as-usual scenario in a

Policies that accelerate the clean energy transition will create more jobs than business-as-usual policies in 2025, 2030 and to 2035, as outlined in Figure 2 above. Given the diversity and disparity of the economies modelled and their carbon intensities, it is striking how consistent the job generation is for each time period.
For example, if G7 economies accelerate the clean energy transition to net zero, the modelling shows that more jobs will be created every year out to 2035, compared to the business-as-usual scenario. At its peak, the accelerated transition scenario will deliver over 1.92 million additional jobs in 2025. It must be noted that the transition has dynamic impacts on economies and job markets in the G7. Education and retraining policies will need to be put in place to manage workers through the transition as fossil fuel sectors decline and renewable energy, energy efficiency and EV sectors grow.

Developing economies with large populations see significant absolute job creation from the accelerated scenario over the baseline. In India, 15.4 million additional jobs will be created in the economy in the accelerated transition scenario, compared to the business-as-usual scenario. Similarly in Indonesia where nearly 5 million additional jobs are created in the economy from the clean energy transition by 2030.

**The Clean Energy Transition is good for economic growth**

Accelerating the clean energy transition will deliver economic growth for most of the geographies modelled in 2025, 2030 and 2035. Given the scale of the change needed in the economy to deliver net zero, it is striking how consistent and positive the economic growth is for each period modelled.

For the economies in the G7, the accelerated transition scenario will deliver higher levels of GDP than the business-as-usual scenario: GDP will be 1.8%, 1.3% and 0.8% higher in 2025, 2030 and 2035 respectively, underscoring that an accelerated transition is better for economic growth, especially in the near-term. It must be noted that the transition is not consistent for all economies in the G7, with those that are net energy importers benefitting more than energy exporters over time.

For example, an accelerated transition in the European Union will consistently create more economic growth than the business-as-usual scenario. As a major importer of fossil fuels and a leader in green technologies, the EU will see its GDP increase by a cumulative €7.3 trillion over the period 2022-2036 (discounted). For context, this is equivalent to 50% of the EU’s GDP in 2021. This shows cheap renewable energy combined with investment in energy efficiency will greatly improve the lives of Europeans.
This positive story of economic growth is also seen in Japan: GDP will be 1.4% higher in 2025 in the accelerated transition scenario than the business-as-usual scenario, 4% higher in 2030 and 5% higher in 2035. As a country with few natural energy resources and as a centre of advanced manufacturing, Japan stands to gain economically from the clean energy transition.

Looking to developing economies, they will also perform better in the accelerated transition scenario compared to the business-as-usual scenario. For example, the analysis shows that decarbonising India’s economy will not only reduce household energy costs and improve health outcomes, but it will also increase economic output and employment. India’s GDP in the accelerated transition scenario will be significantly higher than the business-as-usual scenario: 9.9%, 7.3%, and 5.1% higher in 2025, 2030, and 2035 respectively.

4. COUNTRY SNAPSHOTs

The clean energy transition will be experienced differently by different countries, depending on their unique characteristics. Developing countries will have very different needs to developed countries, along with radically different demographic profiles. Each country’s energy profile, and thus emissions intensity, will also be at a different starting point. Their energy needs will also vary. For example, countries with harsh, cold winters will require significant heating capacity while those in warmer climates may have greater need for cooling technologies. The transition will also require different policy mechanisms depending on whether a country is a fossil fuel importer or exporter, due to the effect that the phasing out of fossil fuels will have on the labour market and the economy.

More detailed country snapshots of the modelled results are available on the We Mean Business Coalition website (wemeanbusinesscoalition.org/cleanenergy). The country snapshots cover the geographies of the G7, the US, the EU, Japan, UK, Canada, India, Indonesia and South Africa.

5. PROJECT BACKGROUND AND NOTE ON METHODOLOGY

The modelling in this paper is conducted using Cambridge Econometrics’ proprietary E3ME model, which compares an accelerated clean energy transition to net zero scenario to a business-as-usual baseline scenario. The economic implications of the policies contained within a given scenario are determined as the differences in outcomes between the scenario and the baseline, which each represent alternative versions of the future. This allows for an internally consistent comparison between the two.

This Summary for Policymakers builds off the Cambridge Econometrics report. The final report with the modelled figures is at wemeanbusinesscoalition.org/cleanenergy and includes:

- A contextual discussion of the clean energy transition, how it affects the economy, the trade-offs it presents, where additional supporting policy may be required, and exploring the wider benefits of delivering net zero emissions by mid-century.
- A detailed examination of global evidence covering energy bills, jobs, and GDP growth, with a particular focus on a selection of the world’s major economies including the US, Germany, the UK, and the EU as a whole.
- The approach taken in a fresh analysis of the economic effects of accelerating the clean energy transition.
- The results of this modelling exercise on a country-by-country basis.

For further information on this document, the report and the comparative analysis please contact:
Dominic Gogol – Deputy Policy Director – Dominic@wemeanbusinesscoalition.org