



# The case for Regular Path Queries

---

Tobias Lindaaker @ neo4j  
[CIR-2017-179](#)



# Why should we add Path Queries to Cypher?

---

- Find complex connections
  - Repetitions of patterns and not just single edge label
  - Alternatives between patterns and not just single edge label
  
- Express patterns as patterns instead of combinations of queries  
(Using `UNION` to express disjunctions hides what is actually going on)



# Path Pattern Queries

## *RPQs in Cypher*

---

Tobias Lindaaker @ neo4j

CIP 2017-02-06



# Path Patterns in Cypher

- Predicates on Edge Label: `() -/:FOO/- ()`
- Predicates on Nodes: `() -/ (:Alpha{beta:'gamma'}) /- ()`
- Alternatives: `() -/:FOO | :BAR | :BAZ/- ()`
- Sequence: `() -/:FOO :BAR :BAZ/- ()`
- Grouping: `() -/:FOO | [:BAR :BAZ] /- ()`
- Direction: `() -/<:FOO :BAR <:BAZ>/-> ()`
- Any Edge: `() -/-/- ()`
- Repetition: `() -/:FOO? :BAR+ :BAZ* :FOO*3.. :BAR*1..5/- ()`
- Predicates on Edge Properties: `() -/ [- {some:'value'}] /- ()`  
(applies to all edges matched by the group)  
(for more complex predicates use *Named Path Patterns*)
- Negation: `() -/ [^:FOO :BAR] /- ()`  
(negation groups only allows edge labels - no other combinators or properties)
- Named Path Patterns: `() -/~foobar/- ()`  
*after declaring:* `PATH PATTERN foobar = () -/:FOO :BAR/- ()`

# Example

---

```
()-/[^:FOO :BAR]/-()
```

```
()-/[^:FOO |:BAR]/-()
```

```
()-/~not_foo_or_bar/-()
```

```
PATH PATTERN not_foo_or_bar = ()-[x]-()
```

```
WHERE label(x) <> 'FOO' AND label(x) <> 'BAR'
```

# Atomic Patterns vs Path Patterns

---

Edge Patterns are delimited by square brackets [ . . . ] and denotes a single edge

Edge Patterns can be used both for matching an edge and for creating an edge

Edge Patterns can bind a matched edge to a variable

Path Patterns are delimited by slashes / . . . / and denotes a path of zero or more edges

Path Patterns can only be used for matching paths, and cannot be used for creating entities in a graph

Path Patterns can not bind individual edges (or nodes) to variables

# Compared to Regular Expressions (over text)

## Regular expression over text

- Atoms: text literals
- Disjunction: |
- Concatenation: *juxtaposition*
- Grouping: ( . . . )
- Quantification:
  - ? - zero or one
  - \* - zero or more
  - + - one or more
  - {*n*, *m*} - at least *n*, at most *m*
- Wildcard: . (any character)
- String start/end
- Disjunction of atoms short form: [ . . . ]
- Negation of disjunction of atoms: [ ^ . . . ]
- Greedy, Lazy, Possessive

## Cypher Path Patterns

- Atoms: Edge / Node (label) predicates
- Disjunction: |
- Concatenation: *juxtaposition*
- Grouping: [ . . . ]
- Quantification:
  - ? - zero or one
  - \* - zero or more
  - + - one or more
  - \**n* . . *m* - at least *n*, at most *m*
- Wildcard: - (any edge)
- *not applicable*
- *not available*
- *not supported*
- *not explored*

# Compared to GXPath (*path expressions*)

- $\square_{\varepsilon}\square^G - \{(v, v) \mid v \in V\}$  - **yes:**  $(v) - / ( ) / -> (v)$
- $\square_{\_}\square^G - \{(v, w) \mid (v, a, w) \in E \text{ for some } a\}$   
- **yes:**  $(v) - / - / -> (w)$
- $\square_a\square^G - \{(v, w) \mid (v, a, w) \in E\}$  - **yes:**  $(v) - / : a / -> (w)$
- $\square_{a^{-}}\square^G = \{(v, w) \mid (w, a, v) \in E\}$  - **yes:**  $(v) - / < : a / -> (w)$
- $\square_{a^*}\square^G =$  reflexive transitive closure of  $a$   
- **yes:**  $( ) - [ a^* ] -> ( )$
- $\square_a \cdot \square_{\beta}\square^G = \square_a\square^G \square_{\beta}\square^G$  - **yes:**  $( ) - [ a \beta ] -> ( )$
- $\square_a \cup \square_{\beta}\square^G = \square_a\square^G \cup \square_{\beta}\square^G$  - **yes:**  $( ) - [ a | \beta ] -> ( )$
- $\square_{\neg a}\square^G = \bigvee \square \square \square - \square_a\square^G$  - **NO! the PATH PATTERN syntax is limited to connected patterns**
- $\square_{[\varphi]}\square^G = \{(v, v) \mid v \in \square_{\varphi}\square^G\}$   
- **yes:** PATH PATTERN  $\text{phi} = (v) \text{ WHERE } \varphi(v)$
- $\square_{a^{n, m}}\square^G = \bigcup_{k=n}^m (\square_a\square^G)^k$  - **yes:**  $( ) - [ a^{*n..m} ] -> ( )$
- $\square_{\underline{a}}\square^G = \{(v, w) \in \square_a\square^G \mid \rho(v) = \rho(w)\}$   
- **yes:** PATH PATTERN  $\text{alpha\_eq} = (v) - [ a ] -> (w) \text{ WHERE } v.\rho = w.\rho$
- $\square_{\neq a}\square^G = \{(v, w) \in \square_a\square^G \mid \rho(v) \neq \rho(w)\}$   
- **yes:** PATH PATTERN  $\text{alpha\_not\_eq} = (v) - [ a ] -> (w) \text{ WHERE } v.\rho \langle \rangle w.\rho$
- **Conjunctions (for CRPQs):**  $\square_a \cap \square_{\beta}\square^G = \square_a\square^G \cup \square_{\beta}\square^G$   
- **yes:** PATH PATTERN  $\text{alpha\_and\_beta} = (v) - [ a ] -> (w) \text{ WHERE EXISTS } \{ (v) - [ \beta ] -> (w) \}$



# Cartesian product with negation

---

```
MATCH (a), (b)
WHERE NOT EXISTS {
    (a) - / . . . / - (b)
}
```

# Compared to GXPath (*node tests*)

- $\Box\alpha\Box^G = \{v \mid \exists w (v,w) \in \Box\alpha\Box^G\}$   
- **yes:** PATH PATTERN has\_alpha = (v) WHERE EXIST { (v)-[a]->() }
- $\Box\neg\phi\Box^G = V - \Box\phi\Box^G$   
- **yes:** PATH PATTERN not\_phi = (v) WHERE NOT phi(v)
- $\Box\phi \wedge \psi\Box^G = \Box\phi\Box^G \cap \Box\psi\Box^G$   
- **yes:** PATH PATTERN phi\_and\_psi = (v) WHERE phi(v) AND psi(v)
- $\Box\phi \vee \psi\Box^G = \Box\phi\Box^G \cup \Box\psi\Box^G$   
- **yes:** PATH PATTERN phi\_or\_psi = (v) WHERE phi(v) OR psi(v)
- $\Box c\Box^G = \{v \in V \mid \rho(v) = c\}$   
- **yes:** PATH PATTERN rho\_is\_c = (v) WHERE v.rho = c
- $\Box c^#\Box^G = \{v \in V \mid \rho(v) \neq c\}$   
- **yes:** PATH PATTERN rho\_is\_not\_c = (v) WHERE v.rho <> c
- $\Box\alpha = \beta\Box^G = \{v \in V \mid \exists w,y (v,w) \in \Box\alpha\Box^G, (v,y) \in \Box\beta\Box^G, \rho(w) = \rho(y)\}$   
- **yes:** PATH PATTERN alpha\_eq\_beta = (v) WHERE EXIST {  
MATCH (v)-[a]-(w), (v)-[b]-(y) WHERE w.rho = y.rho }
- $\Box\alpha \neq \beta\Box^G = \{v \in V \mid \exists w,y (v,w) \in \Box\alpha\Box^G, (v,y) \in \Box\beta\Box^G, \rho(w) \neq \rho(y)\}$   
- **yes:** PATH PATTERN alpha\_not\_eq\_beta = (v) WHERE EXIST {  
MATCH (v)-[a]-(w), (v)-[b]-(y) WHERE w.rho <> y.rho }

# Paths where property value differs from first

---

```
PATH PATTERN not_first_foo = (first)-/*/-()  
  WHERE all( n IN nodes(not_first_foo)  
            WHERE n = first OR n.foo <> first.foo )
```

```
MATCH x = (a)-/~not_first_foo/-(b)  
RETURN a, b, length(x)
```

# Remaining work

- Incorporate negation into CIP
- Comparison with [Conjunctive Context-Free Path Queries](#)
- Are there things we can express in Named Path Patterns (WHERE) that cannot be implemented using REMs?
- Make sure that the referenced papers are linked from the CIP
- Idea: :- instead of - for (edge label) wildcard
- Binding groups (paths), a better syntax than:  
`PATH PATTERN foo = ()-[x]- (b) , p=(b)-/*/*-`  
(at least a discussion section on it - either including or explaining why not)
  - Can we use bare words for that?
- Quite possibly juxtaposition will be used elsewhere for conjunction so perhaps we should allow that here as well, and use a separate operator for sequences

# Further reading

---

- The Cypher Improvement Proposal: [CIP 2017-02-06 \(rendered text\)](#)
- [Presentation from oCIM 1](#)
- [Short presentation on Path Patterns](#)
- [Presentation from oCIM 2](#)