(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.516, Disaster Assistance)

Samuel W. Speck.

Associate Director, State and Local Programs and Support.

[FR Doc. 85-27504 Filed 11-18-85; 8:45 am]

National Board Plan for Carrying Out Emergency Food and Shelter Program

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice sets out the text of the Plan by which the National Board, created by Pub. L. 99–88, will conduct a program for distributing \$20,000,000 to local private voluntary organizations and units of local government for the purpose of delivering emergency food and shelter to needy individuals in localities determined by the Board as being in high need. The distribution formula for selecting these localities, the listing of the localities, and the award amount for each follow the Plan text.

DATE: The award to the National Board was made September 18, 1985.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Shannon Brady, Individual Assistance Division, Disaster Assistance Programs, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646–3656,

Dated: November 12, 1985. Dennis Kwiatkowski,

Chairman, National Board for Emergency Food and Shelter Program.

1.0 Background and Introduction

On March 24, 1983, the President signed the "Jobs Stimulus Bill", Public Law (Pub. L.) 98-8. That bill provided \$50 million for emergency food and shelter to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) for allocation by a National Board between March 1983 and March 1984. The Board, chaired by FEMA, consisted of representatives of United Way of America, The Salvation Army, the National Council of Churches, the National Conference of Catholic Charities, the Council of Jewish Federations, Inc., and The American Red Cross. Congress designated these agencies because of their history of service in this area. This funding was provided to address emergency needs which had become evident in recent

Due to the continuing high need for emergency food and shelter services, additional funds were appropriated in November 1983 (Pub. L. 98–151 and 98– 181) for \$40 million and in August 1984 (Pub. L. 98–396) for \$70 million.

On August 15, 1985, Pub. L. 99-88 was signed by the President, providing \$20 million for the Emergnecy Food and Shelter National Board Program. FEMA awarded the grant to the National Board in September 1985. It is the intent of Congress that these funds be spent during calendar year 1985, although they remain available through July 31, 1986.

1.1 Purpose

This Plan details the roles, responsibilities, and implementation procedures which shall be followed by the National Board, Local Boards, and Local Recipient Organizations in the use of this \$20 million award. This program is nationwide in scope and will provide food and shelter assistance to needy individuals through local private voluntary organizations and units of government in areas designated by the National Board as being in highest need.

The intent of Congress is that there is an emergency need to supplement other food and shelter assistance individuals might currently be receiving, as well as to assist those who are receiving no assistance. Individuals who received assistance under previous programs may again be recipients, providing they meet local eligibility requirements. Services received under this program should not reduce or affect assistance an individual receives under any other federal, state, or local assistance program.

2.0 Concept of Operations

A. United Way of America will act as the National Board's fiscal agent and Secretariat and perform the necessary administrative duties that the Board must accomplish.

B. Funds distributed by the National Board will be to areas of greatest need. The formula for distribution is explained

in Section 2.2B.

C. National Board funds will be distributed to Local Recipient Organizations certified eligible by Local Boards. (Refer to Section 2.2D for Selection of Recipient Organizations.)

D. There is an administrative cost limitation of one and one-quarter percent (1.25%) for local jurisdictions, and three-quarters of one percent (.75%) for National Board administrative costs. Local administrative funds are intended for use by local service providers and not for reimbursement of program or administrative costs any recipient's parent organization (its state or regional offices) might incur as a result of this additional funding. (See item 2.3A, Eligibility of Costs.)

E. The National Board will award funds not later than December 31, 1985, to local private voluntary and public organizations based upon recommendation by Local Boards. Unused or recaptured funds will be reallocated by the National Board.

F. All funds shall be paid out by recipient organization, and spending shall cease by July 31, 1986, Local Boards have until September 30, 1986, (60 days) to provide complete documentation of expenses to the National Board.

2.1 Roles and Responsibilities

A. FEMA's Responsibilities

- 1. Constitute a National Board consisting of individuals affiliated with United Way of America, The Salvation Army, the National Council of Churches, the National Conference of Catholic Charities, the Council of Jewish Federations, Inc., The American Red Cross, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency.
- Chair the National Board, using Parliamentary procedures and consensus by the National Board as the mode of operations.
- Provide guidance, coordination and staff assistance to the National Board.
- Award the grant to the National Board.
- Assist the Secretariat in implementation of the National Board Program.
 - 6. Conduct an audit of funds.
- 7. Initiate federal collection procedures when the efforts of the National Board to collect funds due have not been successful.

B. National Board Responsibilities

- Identify areas of highest need for food and shelter assistance and determine amount to be distributed to each area.
- Advise national organizations interested in food and shelter but not represented on the National Board to promote the availability of funds.
- 3. Develop this operational Plan for distributing funds and establishing criteria for expenditure of funds.
- 4. In jurisdictions that received previous awards, notify the former Local Board Chair that additional funds are available. In areas newly selected for funding, notify the local United Way or American Red Cross.
- 5. Provide copies of award notification materials to National Board member agencies and to heads of government in areas selected to receive funds.

6. Secure certification from Local Boards that funds will be used in accordance with established criteria.

7. Distribute funds to selected Local

Recipient Organizations.

8. Hear appeals and grant waivers.

 Within 60 days following the grant award, submit to FEMA a plan to review documentation from Local Recipient Organizations.

Ensure that funds are properly accounted for, and that funds due are collected and returned to FEMA.

 Submit end-of-program report on jurisdictions' use of funds to FEMA.

C. Responsibility of Local United Way/ Red Cross (in Newly Funded Areas) or Former Local Board Chair (in Previously Funded Areas)

1. Constitute a Local Board of individuals nominated by, to the extent practicable, the same voluntary organizations represented on the National Board with the local head of government replacing FEMA. Local Boards may also include representatives nominated by other community organizations.

2. Convene initial meeting.

D. Local Board's Responsibilities

1. Elect a Chair.

2. Advertise and promote the program and consider all private voluntary and public organizations providing or capable of providing emergency food and shelter services, not just those represented on the Local Board.

3. Recommend which local organizations should receive grants and

the amounts of the grants.

4. Establish an appeals process and, if possible, involve individuals not a part of the decision in the dispute; hear and resolve appeals made by funded or nonfunded organizations; and investigate complaints made by individuals or organizations. Those cases that cannot be handled locally or that involve fraud or other misuse of federal funds should be referred in writing to the National Board, giving details on action that has been taken.

5. Secure and retain signed forms from each Local Recipient Organization (LRO) certifying they have read and understand program guidelines and will comply with cost eligibility and

reporting requirements.

6. Return Local Board Certification. Form, Board Roster and Local Board Plan to National Board within 25 working days after receipt of award notification.

7. Provide technical assistance to

service providers.

8. Coordinate local food distribution and other federal assistance programs

with state agencies which administer those programs (i.e., USDA—surplus food; LIHEAP—utilities, etc.).

 In accordance with National Board guidelines, Local Boards should establish criteria for documentation to be submitted by Local Recipient

Organizations.

10. Monitor expenditures of funds and compliance with eligible cost provisions of local level and ensure that all recipient organizations maintain proper documentation and submit reports accurately and on time. Ensure that recipient organizations spend all funds by July 31, 1986.

11. Reallocate funds within a jurisdiction, as necessary, from food to shelter (or vice-versa) or from one recipient organization to another and immediately notify the National Board and the Local Recipient Organizations,

in writing.

12. Submit reports to the National Board on LRO's expenditures by May 31, 1986 (for period through April 30, 1980) and September 30, 1986 (for the period through July 31, 1986). All required report forms will be sent by the National Board.

13. After close of program, retrieve and review for accuracy recipient organizations' reports and documentation and forward to the National Board. In the event of expenditures violating the eligible costs under this award, the Local Board must ensure reimbursement is made to the National Board.

Local Boards are required to remain in operation until all program and audit requirements of the National Board have been satisfied. All records related to the program must be retained for three [3] years.

2.2 General Guidelines

A. Grant Award Process

United Way of America has been designated as the fiscal agent for the National Board. The grant awarded to the National Board will provide for checks to be written to organizations recommended by Local Boards for funding. Local Boards have the right to reallocate funds within their jurisdiction throughout the program period, as Boards determine necessary. When a Local Board reallocation occurs, it is the responsibility of the Local Board to promptly notify the Secretariat in writing so that the Local Board's records can be updated accordingly.

To ensure greater accountability and reporting, grant awards over \$5,000 will be made in multiple payments. Recipient organizations with awards of \$5,000 or less will receive a single check for the

total amount. Those with awards totaling more than \$5,000 but less than \$100,000 will be paid in two equal installments. Those with awards totaling \$100,000 or more will be paid in three equal installments. The first check will be mailed directly to the Local Recipient Organization and second and third checks will be mailed to the Local Board Chair, upon his/her written request. The Local Board will distribute second/third checks once they are assured that the organizations is implementing the program as intended and according to the guidelines in this Plan.

B. Designation of Target Areas

Local areas will be selected to receive funds from the National Board based upon average unemployment statistics by the Department of Labor for the period June 1984 through May 1985 and poverty statisfics from the 1980 census. The Board adopted this combined approach in order to more effectively target funds for high-need areas. Funds designated for a particular jurisdiction must be used to provide services within that jurisdiction.

Jurisdictions may qualify for an award based upon their rate of unemployment or their rate of poverty. Once a jurisdiction's eligibility is established, the National Board will determine its fund distribution based on a ratio calculated as follows: the average number of unemployed within an eligible area divided by the average number of unemployed covered by the national program equals the areas portion of the award (less National Board administrative costs, and less that portion of program funds required to fulfill the minimum per state).

Area's average number unemployed

Average number unemployed in all eligible areas Asea's percent of the award less National Board's administrative costs and state minimums

A notice will be placed in the Federal Register in October 1985 listing the civil jurisdictions that are selected and the dollar amount each has been awarded.

In states where no jurisdictions qualify or the total amount awarded to all qualifying jurisdictions is less than the minimum, an award shall be made to a State Selection Committee. This award shall equal the difference between the minimum and the total amount awarded to all jurisidictions in that state. The State Selection Committee will recommend high-need jurisdictions and award amounts to the National Board.

Puerto Rico and the U.S. territories will receive a percentage of the total award based upon the determination of the National Board.

C. Formation of Local Boards

Each area designated by the National Board to receive funds shall constitute a Local Board with affiliates nominated by, to the extent practicable, the same voluntary organizations represented on the National Board. The Mayor (or his/her designee) or appropriate head of local government (or his/her designee) will replace the FEMA member. Local Boards may also include representatives nominated by other community organizations. The member of each Local Board will elect a Chair.

1. If a locality has previously received National Board funding, the previous Chair of the Local Board will be contacted regarding any new funding the locality is designated to receive. The Local Board may elect a new chair.

2. If a locality has not previously received funding and is now designated as being in high need, the National Board has designated the local United Way to constitute and convene a Local Board as described above. In the event the local United Way does not convene the Board, the local American Red Cross will be responsible for convening the initial meeting of the Local Board.

3. In the event a state is designated to receive the minimum for its high-need localities, the United Way in the capital city will be asked to convene a State Selection Committee of individuals nominated by, the extent practicable, the same voluntary organizations represented on the National Board. The Governor (or his/her designee) will replace the FEMA member. Members of the State Selection Committee shall elect their own chair.

The State Selection Committee is charged with recommending high-need jurisdictions and award amounts within the state. The State Selection Committee has two weks to notify the National Board of its selections. The National Board will then notify these jurisdictions directly, and the State Selection Committee may dissolve after Local Boards have been chosen.

4. Local Boards which recommend that they can better utilize their resources by merging their Boards may do so, provided that the head of government for each Local Board sits on the merged Board to ensure that the award amount designated for their respective civil jurisdictions is used to provide assistance to individuals within that jurisdiction.

5. Local Boards will have 25 working days after notification of award selection by the National Board in which to:

Advertise and promote the availability of funds;

Select local organizations to receive grants; and,

Complete and return required application forms.

After 25 working days, if a Local Board is unable to satisfy the National Board as to the local area's capability to utilize funds in accordance with this Plan, the National Board may reallocate the funds to areas of greatest need.

6. The Chair of the Local Board will be the central point of contact between the National Board and the Local Recipient Organizations selected to receive assistance for emergency food and shelter programs. To facilitate program coordination, the Chair of the Local Board will contact the state agencies through which surplus food and other federal assistance is provided. A listing of those agencies will be provided to the Local Board along with the grant award letter.

7. Local Boards will be responsible for monitoring programs carried out by the organizations they have selected to receive funds. To prevent fraud or misuse of funds, Local Boards might wish to create a central clearinghouse for all organizations providing similar assistance to individuals so information can be shared daily.

When misuse of funds has been found, or for other reasons they deem necessary, Local Boards have the right to reallocate funds within a jurisdiction from one organization to another or between categories (from food to shelter, shelter to energy, etc.). The National Board must be notified in writing of any such alteration in the originally approved Local Board Plan or of any fraud.

D. Selection of Recipient Organizations

In selecting Local Recipient Organizations to receive funds, the Local Board must consider the demonstrated capability of any organization to provide food and shelter assistance. Local participation in the program is not limited to organizations that are part of a state or national organization. Organizations that received awards from previous legislation may again be eligible providing that the organization still meets eligibility requirements. The Local Board should be prepared to justify an allocation of 1/2 or more of its total award to a single recipient organization.

For a local organization to be eligible for funding it must:

-Be nonprofit

-Have an accounting system; conduct an annual audit;

Practice nondiscrimination; and
 For private voluntary organizations,

have a voluntary board.

Each Local Recipient Organization will be responsible for certifying in writing to the Local Board that it has read and agrees to abide by the cost eligibility and reporting standards of this Plan, and any other requirements made by the Local Board. (See Annex 2.4).

Where there is a local nonprofit organization which does not have an adequate accounting system but meets all the other criteria, the Local Board may authorize funds to be channeled through a fiscal agent. Fiscal agents will be held accountable for compliance with the Plan.

All agencies receiving funds through a fiscal agent must be separately listed on the Board Plan. Checks will be made out to the fiscal agent on behalf of the recipient organization. The fiscal agent will be responsible for paying all bills and maintaining all financial documentation for the recipient organization. No payment should be made directly to the recipient organization.

2.3 Eligibility of Costs

This appropriation is for the purchase of food and shelter, to supplement and extend current available resources and not to substitute or reimburse ongoing programs and services. Interpretation questions should be cleared by the recipient organization with the Local Board prior to action. Local Boards unsure of the meaning of these guidelines should contact the Secretariat for clarification prior to advising the Local Recipient Organization.

A. Ineligible Costs. purposes for which funds cannot be used, include:

1. Rental security or utility deposits, payment of more than one month's rent or mortgage, or payment of more than one month's portion of an accumulated utility bill for an individual or family.

2. Payment of any kind made directly to clients.

3. Cash payments of any kind.

4. Capital expenditures or real property and equipment purchases (i.e., land, building, vehicles, office equipment, or any equipment costing more than \$300); repairs of rehabilitation to profit-making facilities; lease-purchase agreements except as provided under 2.3.B.4.

5. Administrative cost reimbursements to state or regional offices of governmental or voluntary organizations. 6. Lobby efforts which use federal

7. Expenditures made prior to the date of Local Board Plan approval (i.e., the date the National Board signs and approves the plan) or after July 31, 1986.

8. Repairs of any kind to an individual's house/apartment; purchases of supplies or equipment for individual's home/private use; private transportation.

9. Medication purchases; clothing purchases (except underwear for clients of mass shelters, if necessary).

10. Payments for expenses not incurred are ineligible (i.e., payment where no service has been provided. payments to LRO's themselves).

11. Internal transfers of funds for program expenses (unless supported by documentation).

B. Eligible Program Costs include: 1. Purchase of food for distribution (hot meals, groceries, food vouchers).

2. Transportation expenses related to the provision of food and shelter (e.g., actual fuel costs for transporting clients or food; contracted services; public transportation).

3. Purchase of supplies essential to mass feeding (e.g., utensils, pot, pans, blenders, toasters) and/or mass shelters of five or more beds (e.g., soap, linens, blankets, cleaning supplies). Small equipment must not exceed \$300 per item

4. Leasing, only for the program period, of capital equipment associated with mass feedings or mass shelters (such as stoves, freezers, vans, etc., over \$300 in cost) only if approved in advance by the Local Board. Leasepurchase agreements are allowed if the cost of the lease for the program period (i.e., plan approval date up to 7/31/86) would exceed the purchase price, and the agreement is approved in advance by the Local Board.

5. Direct expenses associated with new or expanded services or to prevent closings of mass shelters or feeding operations (e.g., cots, blankets, supplies, rent, cleaning, pest control), only during

program period.

6. Increased utility costs due to expanded services, for heating or cooling, electricity, or water are allowable for mass shelters and mass feeding operations. This is not intended for reimbursement of normal operating costs.

7. Once only, for one month, limited utility assistance for individuals or families only after all other resources have been exhausted (includes gas. electricity, oil, water, firewood).

This assistance is available if the household has needs that cannot be sufficiently met or provided under the state's Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (e.g., services not

immediately available, eligibility requirements or services too restricted, funds exhausted). In such instances, utility payments may be provided but are restricted to one month maximum. If a utility bill contains charges for more than one month, only one month's portion of that accumulated bill can be paid. Reconnect fees, not deposits, may be paid if necessary to continue service.

The National Board recommends that dispensing of individual utility assistance be limited to one private and/or public agency, to avoid duplication of benefits. Other agencies can then refer potential clients to that agency(s) for utility assistance.

8. Emergency lodging or shelter costs (e.g., hotel or motel expenses only if the Local Recipient Organization provides emergency shelter by using a voucher

9. Once only, limited emergency rent or mortgage assistance (one month maximum) to avoid immediate eviction when no other resources or assistance

10. Rehabilitation approved in advance by Local Boards for a local government or not-for-profit mass shelter (i.e., five or more beds) or mass feeding facility necessary to expand capacity or to bring the facility into compliance with local building codes. Funds for rehabilitation may also be used to make a facility safe, secure, and sanitary, but should not be used for decorative or non-essential purposes. Individual residences or profit-making facilities are not eligible for these funds.

All rehabilitation work must be completed and paid for by the end of the program (7/31/86). Expenses which occur after that date will not be accepted as eligible costs.

Note.—Refer to the Preamble of this Plan for further detail on the National Board's intent with regard to shelter rehabilitation.

C. Eligible Administration Costs (limited to up to 1.25% of total funds received, less if Local Board takes administrative costs).

Local Recipient Organizations whose Local Boards have approved their taking of up to 1.25% administrative costs may claim this expense, but do not need to submit documentation on that portion of the award to this office. However, documentation must be available for an on-site audit.

Note.—If there is any question regarding the cost eligibility of any item, contact the National Board staff prior to incurring any expense or making payment.

3.0 Report Requirements

Local Boards will monitor Local Recipient Organizations' expenditures

and eligible cost compliance throughout the program period. An interim report of expenditures is due to the National Board on May 31, 1986 and a final report accompanied by all financial documentation is due September 30, 1986. The National Board advises Local Boards to request to least one other report from their Recipient Organizations, at a time deemed appropriate by each Local Board. The National Board will provide forms for all required reports.

The National Board will compile the reports it receives from the Local Boards and submit a detailed accounting of the use of all program monies in the form of a report to FEMA by December 31, 1986.

The National Board will conduct an audit of food and shelter expenditures made under this program for each Local Board and Local Recipient Organization. FEMA's Inspector General may also conduct an audit of these funds. The program office in FEMA will prepare a report for the FEMA Director. The FEMA Director will prepare a report to Congress.

4.0 Amendments To Plan

The National Board reserves the right to amend this Plan at any time.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Board based their determination of high-need localities on four factors: (1) Most current twelvemonth unemployment rates; (2) total number of unemployed within a civil jurisdiction; (3) total number of individuals below the poverty level within a civil jurisdiction.

Unemployment data for the period June 1984 through May 1985 and poverty data from the 1980 census were used to select the following jurisdictions:

· Jurisdictions, including balance of counties with 18,000 + unemployed and a 6.3%+ rate of unemployment.

· Jurisdictions, including balance of counties, with 1,000 to 17,999 unemployed and an 11%+ rate of unemployment.

 Jurisdictions, including balance of counties, with a 12%+ rate of poverty and over 1,000 unemployed persons.

 A minimum of \$35,000 per state has been awarded for high-need areas within each state.

The following listing is of localities that meet any of the above qualifications.

EMERGENCY FOOD AND SHELTER PROGRAM ALLOCATIONS

[in deliars]

ALABAMA

Autauga County

4,805

| P.11 1 C. 1 | | | | | |
|--|------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Baldwin County | 12,278 | Conway County | 5,374 | La Plata County | 3,878 |
| Barbour County | 4,636 | Craighead County | 7,678 | Larimer County | 14,782 |
| Blount County Butler County | 5,247 4,307 | Crawford County | 4,899 | Montezuma County | 3.318 |
| Calhoun County | 17,437 | Crittenden County | 7,193 | Montrose County | 4,402 |
| Chambers County | 5,225 | Desha CountyFaulkner County | 3,852 6,624 | Pueblo County | 16,286 |
| Cherokee County | 5,428 | Gerland County | 8.611 | Weld County | 13,234 |
| Chilton County | 6,204 | Greene County | 5,104 | Total | 131,276 |
| Choctaw County | 3,370 | Hot Spring County | 4,512 | CONNECTICUT | |
| Clarke County | 4,763 | Independence County | 4,483 | | 00.004 |
| Collee County | 5,153 | Jackson County | 4,886 | Fairfield County | 62,374 |
| Colbert County | 12,417 | Jefferson County | 11,015 | Hartford County | 67,297 |
| Covington County | 5,745 | Mississippi County | 11,542 | New Haven County | 63,561 |
| Cullman County | 11,201 | Ouachita County | 3,783 | Total | 193,232 |
| Dale County | 5,635 | Phillips County | 5,573 | DELAWARE | |
| Dallas County | 11,637 | Poinsett County | 3,673 | | 20.000 |
| De Kalb County | 11,084 | Pope County | 5,312 | Kent County | 10,508 |
| Elmore County | 5,277 | Pulaski County | 32,884 | New Castle County | 41.563 |
| Escambia County | 6,959 | St. Francis County | 6,282 | Sussex County | 8,325 |
| Fayette County | 18,127 | Sebastian County | 10,879 | Total | 60,396 |
| Franklin County | 4,044 8,166 | Union County | 5,830 | DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | |
| Geneva County | 3,718 | Washington County | 7,573 | | - Barrer |
| Houston County | 10,615 | White County | 8,410 | District of Columbia, total | 90,195 |
| Jackson County | 9,896 | Total | 179,938 | FLORIDA | |
| Jefferson County | 87,349 | CALIFORNIA | | Alachua County | 9,900 |
| Lauderdale County | 15,677 | Marie Control of the | NO. | Bay County | 15,124 |
| Lawrence County | 5,957 | Alameda County | 77,342 | Broward County | 88,217 |
| Lee County | 8,374 | Oakland City | 49,673 | Citrus County | 5,999 |
| Limestone County | 6,819 | Butte County | 23,527 | Collier County | 12,805 |
| Madison County | 25,895 | Colusa County | 3,435 3,455 | Columbia County | 3,585 |
| Marengo County | 4,646 | Contra Costa County | 68,626 | Dade County | 159,843 |
| Marion County | 7,115 | Del Norte County | 3,528 | Miami City | 58,938 |
| Marshall County | 12,954 | Fresno County | 74,168 | Duval County | 51,267 |
| Mobile County | 61,609 | Fresno City | 45,148 | Escambia County | 21,123 |
| Monroe County | 6,370 | Glenn County | 4,714 | Gadsden County | 3,328 |
| Montgomery County | 25,928 | Humboldt County | 17,372 | Hendry County | 4,798 |
| Morgan County | 13,436 | Imperial County | 52,481 | Hernando County | 5,895 |
| Pike County | 3,689 4,093 | Kern County | 80,904 | Highlands County | 5,322 65,428 |
| Randolph County | 3,966 | Kings County | 12,538 | Indian River County | 9,678 |
| Russell County | 8,139 | Lake County | 6,519 | Jackson County | 5,147 |
| St. Clair County | 7,297 | Lassen County | 3,536 | Lake County | 17,984 |
| Shelby County | 10,039 | Los Angeles County | 530,426 | Leon County | 11,718 |
| Talladega County | 16,809 | Los Angeles City | 441,469 | Marion County | 13,963 |
| tallapoosa County | 5,540 | Madera County | 12,525 | | |
| iuscaloosa County | 17,089 | Mendocino County | 11,757 | Monroe County | 3,735 |
| waiker County | 13,907 | Merced County | 30,294 | Nassau County | 3,491 |
| Washington County | 3,653 | Monterey County | 49,894 169,756 | Okeechobée County | 3,295 |
| Winston County | 5,869 | Plumas County | 4.005 | Orange County | 50,997 |
| Total | 585,591 | Riverside County | 83,926 | Palm Beach County | 68,157 |
| | 000,004 | Sacramento County | 97,560 | Pinellas County | 50,568 |
| ALASKA | | San Benito County | 7,128 | Polk County | 58,870 |
| State Selection Committee | 8,441 | San Bernardino County | 90,520 | Putnam County | 5,947 |
| Fairbanks North Star Boro | 12,600 | San Diego County | 163,102 | St. Johns County | 7,079 |
| Kenai Peninsula Borough | 7,108 | San Francisco City/County | 79,167 | St. Lucie County | 20,329 |
| Matanuska-Susitna Census | 6,851 | San Joaquin County | 74,099 | Santa Rosa County | 6,994 |
| Total | 35,000 | San Luis Obispo County | 14,405 | Surfer County | 13,588 |
| | | Shasta County | 23,569 | Volusia County | 4,346 |
| Anach | | Siskiyou County | 8,950 | Volunta County | 20/401 |
| Apache County | 6,601 | Stanislaus County | 69,238 | Total | 887,859 |
| Cochise County | 6,760 | Sutter County | 14,548 | GEORGIA | |
| Coconino County | 9,236 | Tehama County | 6,760 | Atlanta/Dekalb, Fulton Counties | 101,698 |
| Sila County | 4,766 | Tulare County | 54,927 | Macon/Bibb. Jones Counites | 16,637 |
| Pina County | 8,901 | Tuolumne County | 5,927 | Bartow County | 5,823 |
| Pinal County | 39,325 10,667 | Yolo County | 67,852 18,706 | Carroll County | 6,083 |
| Santa Cruz County | | | | Chatham County | 20,719 |
| ravapar County | 3,878 6,685 | Yuba County | 10,111 | Chattooga County | 3,579 |
| Yama County | 16,273 | Total | 2,667,583 | Clarke County | 6,275 |
| | | COLORADO | | Coffee County | 3,374 |
| Total | 113,092 | | 1 | Colquitt County | 3,845 |
| The state of the s | | Boulder County | THE PARTY | Consenta Courtes | |
| ARKANSAS | | Boulder County | 21,864 | Coweta County | 3,634 |
| Clay County | 3,966 | Denver City/County | 21,864 49,878 3,634 | Dougherty CountyFloyd County | 3,634 12,716 9,649 |

| County | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|---------|
| Davies County | n County | | INDIANA | | | |
| Laurens County | lon County | | Daviess County | 4.197 | Pulaski County | 6,988 |
| Delaware County | ens County | | Dearborn County | 6,493 | Warren County | 8,104 |
| Naskoge County | des County | 7,326 | Delaware County | 16,754 | | |
| Newton County | kogee County | | Favette County | 5,869 | Total Transfer of the Control of the | |
| Polic County | ton County | | Greene County | 5,420 | Total | 303.065 |
| Sichemond County | County | 3,816 | Jay County | 4.106 | The Party Commences of | |
| Spadling County | mond County | 16,188 | Jefferson County | 6.409 | LOUISIANA | |
| Sunter County | ding County | | Knex County | 5.963 | Shrovenort/Bossier, Caddo Par- | |
| Thromas County | ter County | | Lake County | 64.417 | | 40,467 |
| Tricounty | nas County | | Cary City | 43.804 | | |
| Troig County | County | | Lawrence County | 7.085 | Allen Perish | 4,119 |
| Upsoin County | p County | | | | | |
| Ware County | on County | | | | | |
| Total | er County | | Morroe County | 0.824 | | |
| Total | County | | Orange County | 3 300 | | |
| Poter County | | - C. S. S. S. S. | | | Beauregaru Parish | |
| State Selection Committee | /otal | 293,971 | Perry County | 10.024 | | 34,695 |
| State Selection Committee | | | | | | |
| Starke County | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | |
| Total IDANO Salulvan County 3,266 | | 11,646 | | | | |
| Cook County | | THE REAL PROPERTY. | | | | |
| State Selection Committee | olal | 35,000 | Vanderburgh County | 23,637 | Iberia Parish | 13,039 |
| State Selection Committee | IDAHO | | Vermillion County | 3,266 | Iberville Parish | 6,448 |
| Total | | 44.702 | Wayne County | 12,824 | Jefferson Parish | 63,506 |
| Bonner County | Selection Committee | | The state of the s | TASS PERSON | Jefferson Davis Parish | 5,748 |
| Canyon County | am County | | Total | 401,618 | Lafayette Parish | 21,586 |
| Total | | | IOWA | | LaFourche Parish | 12,678 |
| Cook County | on County | 11,331 | Control of the Contro | 25 200 | | |
| Cook County | Catal Talant | 35,000 | | | | |
| Cook County | | 33,000 | | | Marchanen Parish | 5,121 |
| Color Chicago City | ILLINOIS | | | | Morehouse Parish | 5,166 |
| Variety Vari | F-000000 | 268 649 | | | | |
| Clark County | County | | | | | |
| Clark County | cago City | | Total | 47.697 | | 19,142 |
| Cales County | paign County | | The same of the sa | at which | | |
| State Selection Committee | | | | | Pointe Coupee Parish | 4,763 |
| Crawford County | | | State Selection Committee | 7.553 | Rapides Parish | 17,164 |
| Payette County | | | | | Richland Parish | 3,621 |
| Franklin County | ford County | | | | St Bernard Parish | 13,488 |
| Franklin County | tte County | | | | St Charles Parish | 7,616 |
| Fulton County | klin County | | | THE RESERVOIR AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN | St James Parish | 5,469 |
| Henry County | n County | 9,154 | Total | 35,000 | St John Baptist Parish | 8,634 |
| Jackson County | y County | 10,280 | | - Comment | | 19,213 |
| Sefferson County | son County | | CHARLEST AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR | The sandy land | | 8,009 |
| Ferse County | rson County | | Barren County | 5,420 | | 13,319 |
| Rankakee County | y County | | | TO STATE OF | | |
| Carter County | akee County | | | | | |
| La Salle County | County | | boyle County | 3,282 | Tangipanoa Parish | |
| Casey County | lle County | | Carter County | 6.061 | Vermilian Parish | 9,454 |
| Mc Donough County | ne County | | Corny County | 3 949 | A CONTROL OF THE CONT | 4,574 |
| Macon County | Ince County | | Christian County | 5,644 | | 7,177 |
| Marion County | onough County | | | | DATE OF THE PARTY | 6,519 |
| Mercer County | | | | | Webster Parish | 0,040 |
| Montgomery County | | | | | Water Commencer | 618,956 |
| Peoria County | er County | | | | Total | Dicker |
| Peoria County | gomery County | | | | MAINE | |
| Perry County | a County | | | | The second secon | 11,845 |
| Pike County | County | | Grayson County | 4,154 | | 12,034 |
| Richland County | County | 3,962 | Greenup County | 4.558 | | 40.000 |
| Rock Island County 36,823 Harlan County 5,407 Franklin Hancock County St. Clair County 42,628 Hopkins County 5,615 Hopkins County 5,615 Hopkins County 88,751 Saline County 3,731 Johnson County 4,070 Franklin Hancock County | | | The second secon | TAXABLE ! | | 3,611 |
| St. Clair County | Island County | | The state of the s | CALL STREET, S | | 4 000 |
| Saline County | lair County | | | | | 5,433 |
| Shelby County | e County | | Jefferson County | . 88,751 | THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE | |
| Tazewell County | by County | | Johnson County | 4,070 | | 12,495 |
| Union County | well County | | | | | 6,090 |
| Vermillion County 18,114 Warren County 3,842 Wayne County 4,785 White County McCracken County 8,514 Washington County Total Maryland | County | | Laruel County | 5,674 | | 4,216 |
| Warren County 3,842 Lincoln County 3,862 Total Wayne County 4,785 Logan County 8,514 McCracken County 8,514 | | | | | | 4,258 |
| Wayne County 4,785 Logan County 3,670 MARYLAND McCracken County 8,514 | | | | | | 77,666 |
| White County 8.514 | | | | The second second | | 2.230- |
| White County | | | | | MARYLAND | -15000 |
| Made Dorchester County | | 4,011 | | | Dorchester County | 4,844 |
| Whiteside County 11,861 Marchall County Garrett County | | | | | | 4,792 |
| Will County Somerset County | | | | | | 4,093 |
| Williamson County 12,460 Muhlenberg County 5,866 Wilcomics County | amson County | | | | | 6,910 |
| Winnesbago County 37.577 Nelson County 5.218 Western County | eabago County | | | | | 5,081 |
| Onio County | | ENERGE SE | | | Control of the Contro | 04 506 |
| Total 1,196,957 Perry County 4,987 Baltimore City | 0181 | 1,190,937 | Perry County | 4,907 | Battimore Organic | |

| Total | 120,306 | Total | 161.754.00 | NEVADA | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------|--|------------------|
| MASSACHUSETTS | 40.000 | MISSISSIPPI | | Clark County, total | 78,103 |
| Bristol County | 48,830 48,066 | Adams County | \$7,030 | NEW HAMPSHIRE | |
| Hampden County | 34,474 | Alcorn County | 9,734 | State Selection Committee, total | 35.000 |
| Middlesex County | 77,271 | Attala County | 3,826 | NEW JERSEY | |
| Plymouth County | 31,770 | Belivar County | 6,936 5,361 | | 20.000 |
| Suffolk County | 52,409 | Copiah County | 3,513 | Atlantic County | 30,665 |
| Worcester County | 44,328 | De Soto County | 6,035 | Cumberland County | 21,982 |
| Total | 335,148 | Forrest County | 8,663 | Essex County | 48.951 |
| MICHIGAN | | George County | 4,210 | Newark City | 52,302 |
| Lansing/Eaton, Ingham Counties | 61,049 | Granada County | 4,187 18,416 | Hudson County | 82,725 |
| Alpena County | 5,511 | Hinds County | 28,605 | Mercer County Passaic County | 25,882 |
| Bay County | 24,425 | Holmes County | 4,008 | Union County | 57,718 52,019 |
| Berrien County | 27,353 | Jackson County | 21,126 | | |
| Calhoun County | 21,432 | Jones CountyLafayette County | 8,305 3,471 | Total | 410,839 |
| Charlevoix County | 3,787 | Lauderdale County | 9,024 | NEW MEXICO | |
| Chippewa County | 6,493 7,232 | Lee County | 9,184 | Bernalillo County | 46,378 |
| Clare County | 4,698 | Leflore County | 6,734 | Chaves County Cibola County | 5.280 5.797 |
| Delta County | 7,691 | Lincoln County | 5,345 | Curry County | 3,416 |
| Emmet County | 5,664 | Lowndes County | 7,196 5,482 | Dona Ana County | 11,035 |
| Genesee County | 74,716 3,614 | Marion County | 4,561 | Eddy County | 6,666 |
| Gogebic County | 4,297 | Marshall County | 4,925 | Grant County | 3,972 |
| Gratiot County | 7,082 | Monroe County | 5,143 | Lea County McKinley County | 4,525 6,074 |
| Houghton County | 5,108 | Neshoba County | 3,731 | Otero County | 3,764 |
| Ionia County | 12,518 | Oktibbeha County | 3,471 4,636 | Rio Arriba County | 6,685 |
| Jackson County | 8,139 | Pearl River County | 5.127 | Sandoval County | 3,988 |
| Kalamazoo County | 25,079 26,761 | Pike County | 5,605 | San Juan County | 14,148 |
| Kent County | 76,867 | Prentiss County | 5,361 | San Miguel County | 3.892 |
| Lenawee County | 14,864 | Scott County | 3,546 | Taos County | 7,184 5,836 |
| Mackinac County | 5,277 | Sunflower County | 4,811 3,559 | Valencia County | 3:406 |
| Macomb County | 115,618 | Tippah County | 4.854 | The state of the s | 1-201-000 |
| Mason County | 4,203 5,397 | Tishomingo County | 6,327 | Total | 141,846 |
| Mecosta County | 5,400 | Warren County | 7,868 | NEW YORK | |
| Menominee County | 4,574 | Washington County | 12,300 | Albany County | 20,798 |
| Midland County | 20,072 | Yazoo County | 3,595 | Allegany County | 5,202 17,040 |
| Monroe County Montcalm County | 26,976 15,908 | Total | 275,809 | Cattaraugus County | 10,222 |
| Muskegon County | 24,617 | MISSOURI | | Chenango County | 5,108 |
| Newaygo County | 7,063 | Kansas City/Clay, Jackson, | | Clinton County | 8,016 |
| Oakland County | 136,718 | Platte Counties | 77,553 | Cortland County | 6,321 |
| Ogemaw County | 4,519 | Boone County | 7,941 | Delaware County | 3,949 61,752 |
| Saginaw County | 3,559 | Butler County Dunklin County | 5,514 | Buffalo City | 50,685 |
| or Clair County | 25,925 | Greene County | 17,645 | Essex County | 5,413 |
| Saniac County | 7,938 | Howell County | 4,310 | Franklin County | 5,921 |
| Shinwassee County | 14,633 | Jasper County | 9,070 | Fulton County | 10,287 |
| Ven Bruen County | 10,104 | Miller County | 3,884 | Herkimer County | 8,315 |
| Washtenaw County | 11,907 33,758 | New Madrid County | 3.718 3.390 | Lewis County | 16,406 3,393 |
| wayne County | 146,596 | Newton County | 3,741 | Monroe County | 50,669 |
| Detroit City | 227,441 | Phelps County | 3,429 | Niagara County | 28,729 |
| Wexford County | 5,147 | St. Francois County | 6,656 | Oneida County | 22,632 |
| Total | 1,339,843 | Scott County | 5,218 | Onendaga County | 37,376 |
| MINNESOTA | | Teney County | 4,027 | Otsego County | 5,121 |
| Becker County | 4,743 | Texas County | 3,894 | St. Lawrence County | 11,552 |
| ocurami County | 4,655 | St. Louis City | 64,056 | Schenectady County | 11,227 |
| mue earth County | 4,493 | Total | 233,786 | Schoharie County | 3,390 |
| Gess County | 3,497 | MONTANA | MINISTER . | Steuben County | 9,034 |
| Crow Wing County Hennepin County | 5,622 | | 27.040 | Suffolk County | 113,835 |
| state County | 77,582 6,978 | State Selection Committee | 27,948 3,487 | Tompkins County | 5,521 |
| Motrison County. | 4,499 | Ravalli County | 3,565 | Warren County | 6,057 |
| Outr ran County | 7,518 | Total | The Park | Washington County | 4,925 |
| . one County | 3,357 | The state of the s | 35,000 | Westchester County | 64,289 |
| Polk County | 4,681 34,129 | NEBRASKA | | New York City | 917,671 |
| | or washing. | State Selection Committee, total | 35,000 | Total | 1,548,410 |

| NORTH CAROLINA | | | | Wasco County | 5.872 |
|------------------------------|--|--|---|--|-----------------|
| Guilford, Davidson Counties | 40,880 | Guernsey County | 6,695 | Total | 326,000 |
| Ashe County | 3,419 | Hamilton County | . 103,533 | | 060,000 |
| Beauford County | 4,421 | Hardin County | 4,502 | PENNSYLVANIA | |
| Bladen County | 5,325 | Harrison County | 3,751 | Allegheny County | 194,558 |
| Brunswick County | 6,845 | Hocking County | 5,371 | Armstrong County | . 12,596 |
| Buncombe County | 16,842 | Huron County | 4,089 | Beaver County | . 33,313 |
| Charoleo County | 3,962 | Jackson County | 10,371 | Bedford County | . 10,527 |
| Cleveland County | 3,452 | Jefferson County | 11,942 | Berks County | . 38,137 |
| Cleveland County | 11,533 | Lawrence County | 12,928 | Blair County | 18,956 |
| Columbus County | 8,439 | Lorain County | 39,692 | Bradford County | 7,925 |
| Craven County | 5,989 | Lucas County | 64,338 | Cambria County | - 28,221 |
| Duplin County | 17,551 | Mahoning County | 44,241 | Carbon County | 9,877 |
| Durham County | 5,023 | Marion County | 14,717 | Centre County | . 13,794 |
| Edgecombe County | 9,668 | Meigs County | | Clarion County | 7,209 |
| Forsyth County | 8,598 | Mercer County | 6.884 | Clearfield County | 18,425 |
| Franklin County | 20,921 | Monroe County | 3,640 | Clinton County | 6,529 |
| Granville County | 4,860 | Morrow County | 4,011 | Crawford County | . 12,948 |
| Halifax County | 4,236 | Muskingum County | 14,997 | Dauphin County | 23,221 |
| Harnett County | 8,156 | Perry County | 6,236 | Erie County | 37,412 |
| Haywood County | 5,713 | Pike County | 4,883 | Fayette County | . 31,709 |
| Henderson County | 6,340 | Richland County | | Greene County | 7,037 |
| Hertford County | 6,025 | Scioto County | | Indiana County | 7,242 |
| Jackson County | 3,292 | Stark County | 56,934 | Lackawanna County | . 14,428 |
| Johnston County | | Summit County | 70,289 | Lancaster County | 30,180 |
| Lee County | 6,028 5,420 | Trumbull County | 37,686 | Lawrence County | . 16,224 |
| Lenoir County | 8,488 | Tuscarawas County | 14,392 | Luzerne County | 62,224 |
| Martin County | 3,579 | Washington County | 11,445 | Mercer County | 18,400 |
| Mecklenburg County | 31,194 | | and the same of | Mifflin County | 6,581 |
| Moore County | 5,638 | Total | 1,135,812 | Northumberland County | 16,904 |
| Nash County | 8,754 | OKLAHOMA | | Philadelphia City-County | 201.653 |
| New Hanover County | 13,312 | Bryan County | 4.138 | Schuylkill County | 26,058 |
| Onslow County | 4.633 | Caddo County | 4,310 | Somerset County | 16,227 |
| Orange County | 4,808 | Carter County | 5,264 | Susquehanna County | 5,791 |
| Pender County | 3,279 | Cherokee County | 5,049 | Tioga County | 5,221 |
| Person County | 4,262 | Comanche County | 7,766 | Venango County | 9,333 |
| Pitt County | 8,706 | Creek County | 8,211 | Washington County | 40,343 |
| Richmond County | 5.856 | Grady County | 5,713 | Wayne County | 4.177 |
| Robeson County | 18,032 | Le Flore County | 5,664 | Westmoreland County | 69.156 |
| Rockingham County | 11,663 | Lincoln County | 3,949 | The state of the s | 10000000 |
| Rutherford County | 8,946 | McCurtain County | 5,303 | Total | 1,090,586 |
| Sampson County | 6.884 | Mayes County | 5,661 | RHODE ISLAND | |
| Scotland County | 4,600 | Muskogee County | 10,625 | Providence County, Total | 51,501 |
| Stokes County | 4,109 | Okmulgee County | 4,938 | Transcore County, Total | - Sections |
| Surry County | 7,534 | Ottawa County | 4,571 | SOUTH CAROLINA | |
| Vance County | 5,931 | Payne County | 4,877 | Abbeville County | 3,660 |
| Wayne County | 9,535 | Pittsburg County | 6,558 | Aiken County | 11,054 |
| Wilkes County | 5.332 | Pontotoc County | 3,481 | Anderson County | 17,122 |
| Wilson County | 11.136 | Pottawatomie County | 6,565 | Beaufort County | 4,629 |
| | | Seminole County | 4,379 | Berkeley County | 7,834 |
| Total | 422,945 | Sequoyah County | 4.691 | Charleston County | 18,582 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | | Tulsa County | 59,915 | Cherokee County | 4.867 |
| State Selection Committee | 35,000 | | O SULENZANI | Chester County | 6,747 |
| | 35,000 | Total | 171,628 | Chesterfield County | 4,961 |
| OHIO | 27 - 371 | OREGON | | Claredon County | 4,203 |
| Columbus/Fairfield, Franklin | | Portland/Clackamas, Multno- | III HIT ISE | Colleton County | 3,494 |
| Counties | 111,438 | mah, Washington County | 148,114 | Darlington County | 8,338 |
| Dayton/Greene, Montgomery | 11200000 | Baker County | 3,491 | Dillon County | |
| Countles | 76,063 | Benton County | 6,406 | Dorchester County | |
| Adams County | 4.883 | Coos County | 13,491 | Florence County | 13,875 |
| Ashtabula County | 18,429 | Curry County | 3,282 | Georgetown County | 8,315 |
| Athens County | 6,288 | Deschutes County | 13,120 | Greenville, County | 26,806 |
| Belmont County | 16.523 | Douglas County | 18,156 | Greenwood County | 8,185 |
| Brown County | 5,556 | Hood River County | 3,653 | Horry County | 16,699 |
| Butler County | 36,563 | Josephine County | 9,207 | Kershaw County | 4,886 |
| Carroll County | 4.818 | Klamath County | 10,635 | Marion County | 6,536 |
| Clark County | 17,834 | Lane County | 42,076 | Marlboro County | 5,722 |
| Columbiana County | 17,492 | Lincoln County | 6,796 | Oconee County | 6,025 |
| Coshocton County | 6,471 | Linn County | 16,832 | Orangeburg County | 9,763 |
| Somocron County- | | The second secon | 100000000000000000000000000000000000000 | Richland County | 18,218 |
| Crawford County | 7,948 | Malheur County | 4.610 | Michigha County- | 177 770 77 |
| Crawford County | 7,948 195,107 | Malheur County | 4,610 3,761 | Spartanburg County | 20,023 |
| Crawford County | A PORT OF THE PARTY OF THE PART | Malheur County | 4,610 3,761 11,565 | | 20,023 9,138 |

| Total | 264,065 | Bell County | 11,971 | Danville City | 5,800 |
|--|----------------|--|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| SOUTH DAKOTA | | Bexar County | 84,524 | Lynchburg City | 5,940 |
| State Selection Committee, total | 35,000 | Bowie County | 9,027 | Newport News City | 10,882 |
| | 00,000 | Brazos County | 7,323 | Norfolk City | 17,070 |
| TENNESSEE | THE CO. | Brown County | 3,539 41,188 | Petersburg City | 4,616 |
| Anderson County | 7,463 | Cass County | 4.076 | Portsmouth City | 10.638 20,163 |
| Bedford County | 4,281 | Cherokee County | 3,882 | Roanoke City | 8,221 |
| Blount County | 10,648 | Ellis County | 5,700 | Suffolk City | 5,732 |
| Bradley County | 9,662 | El Paso County | 69.248 | Sanota City | 16706 |
| Campbell County | 7,886 | Galveston County | 31,091 | Total | 172,188 |
| Carroll County | 5,918 | Hale County | 3,497 | WASHINGTON | No. |
| Carter County | 7,739 | Hays County | 3,696 | (A) (A) (A) (A) | 21,048 |
| Cocke County | 3,481 9,841 | Henderson County | 3,618 | Benton County | 11,058 |
| Coffee County | 5,661 | Hidalgo County | 81,516 | Clallam County | 8,670 |
| Cumberland County | 4,851 | Hunt County | 6,624 | Cowlitz County | 13,452 |
| Davidson County | 37,187 | Jasper County | 5,365 | Franklin County | 7,085 |
| Dickson County | 5,055 | Jefferson County | 41,705 | Grant County | 9,935 |
| Dyer County | 4,649 | Jim Wells County | 3,985 | Grays Harbor County | 13,709 |
| Fentress County | 3,520 | Lamar County | 5,186 | King County | 171,213 |
| Franklin County | 4,441 | Liberty CountyLubbock County | 5,892 18,985 | Kittitas County | 4,431 |
| Gibson County | 8,419 | McLennan County | 15,238 | Klickitat County | 3,666 |
| Grainger County | 3,348 | Matagorda County | 5,547 | Lewis County | 11,276 |
| Greene County | 9,935 | Maverick County | 10,000 | Okanogan County | 8,790 |
| Hamblen County | 7,577 | Nacogdoches County | 5,758 | Pacific County | 4,258 |
| Hamilton County | 32,268 | Navarro County | 3,946 | Pierce County | 60,968 |
| Hardeman County | 3,582 | Nueces County | 37,503 | Skagit County | 15,163 |
| Hardin County | 5,081 | Orange County | 19,483 | Spokane County | 43,876 |
| Hawkins County | 5,745 | Rusk County | 5,117 | Stevens County | 5,745 |
| Haywood County | 4,063 | San Patricio County | 7,791 | Whatcom County | 17,649 |
| Henderson County | 3,894 | Smith County | 13,407 | Yakima County | 38,339 |
| Henry County | 4,590 | Starr County | 14,145 | Total | 470,331 |
| Johnson County | 6,012 3,478 | Tarrant County | 73,048 | WEST VIRGINIA | |
| Knox County | 32,555 | Tom Green County | 7,076 | | |
| Lauderdale County | 4.187 | Val Verde County | 3,705 | Huntington/Wayne Cabell. | 00.410 |
| Lawrence County | 8.406 | Victoria County | 5,400 7,089 | Counties | 20,416 |
| Lincoln County | 3,549 | Webb County | 20,437 | Barbour County | 3.842 6,874 |
| Loudon County | 4,678 | Wharton County | 4,340 | Boone County | 6,028 |
| McMinn County | 7,196 | Wichita County | 10,120 | Brooke County | 4,385 |
| McNairy County | 8,006 | Zavala County | 4,330 | Fayette County | 11,842 |
| Madison County | 10,937 | | * **** | Greenbrier County | 9,428 |
| Marion County | 4,024 | Total | 1,300,121 | Hancock County | 5,895 |
| Maury County | 5,947 | UTAH | THE STATE OF | Harrison County | 12,613 |
| Monroe County | 5,823 | Cache County | 5,387 | Jackson County | 5,280 |
| Obion County | 4,551 | Carbon County | 3,565 | Kanawha County | 39,247 |
| Overton County | 4,629 | Salt Lake County | 63,967 | Lewis County | 3,377 |
| Putnam County | 8,208 | Utah County | 21,192 | Lincoln County | 5,260 |
| Roane County | 3,943 | Weber County | 15,914 | Logan County | 12,470 |
| Robertson County | 6,275 4,909 | Total | 110,025 | McDowell County | 10,628 |
| Scott County | 3,556 | VERMONT | 110,020 | Marion County | 13,032 |
| Sevier County | 10,716 | //2021176/1/4/ | 07.000 | Marshall County | 8,689 |
| Shelby County | 81,028 | State Selection Committee Franklin County | 27,667 | Mason County | 5,495 |
| Sullivan County | 17,440 | Windham County | 3,579 | Mercer County | 11,959 |
| Tipton County | 4,210 | | 3,754 | Mineral County | 4,184 7,082 |
| warren County | 6,269 | Total | 35,000 | Mingo County | 8,943 |
| washington County. | 12,099 | VIRGINIA | 200 | Nicholas County | 6,643 |
| wayne County | 3,718 | Accomack County | 2.004 | Ohio County | 10,004 |
| Weakley County | 4,197 | Buchanan County | 3,904 8,449 | Preston County | 5,703 |
| Total | 501,331 | Carroll County | 4,112 | Putnam County | 7,131 |
| | 301,331 | Dickenson County | 3,471 | Raleigh County | 15,993 |
| TEXAS | | Halifax County | 4,496 | Randolph County | 7,573 |
| Abilene/Jones, Taylor Counties | 9,837 | Lee County | 3,569 | Roane County | 3,787 |
| Austin/Travis, Williamson Coun- | | Mecklenburg County | 3,455 | Taylor County | 3,510 |
| ties | 39,618 | Montgomery County | 4,467 | Upshur County | 4,463 |
| Dallas Denton | | Page County | 3,409 | Wetzel County | 4,854 |
| Counties | 152,150 | Pittsylvania County | 9,099 | Wyoming County | 8,224 |
| Houston/Fort Bend, Harris Coun- | San Maria | Russell County | 6,233 | Total | 294,854 |
| Longview/C X | 340,388 | Smyth County | 5,173 | | The second second |
| Longview/Gregg, Harrison Coun- ties | 04 104 | Tazewell County | 7,970 | WISCONSIN | |
| Anderson County | 21,491 | Washington County | 4,785 | Eau Claire/Chippewa, Eau Claire | E. Comment |
| Angelina County | 4,691 6,848 | Wythe County | 6,601 | Clark County | 14,799 |
| | EX 25-225 | THE VIOLE COUNTY | 3,933 | Clark County | 4,473 |

| Dane County | 29,102 |
|----------------------------------|---------|
| Dunn County | 3,722 |
| Milwaukee County | 99,994 |
| Racine County | 23,475 |
| Sauk County | 7,053 |
| Total | 182,618 |
| WYOMING | |
| State Selection Committee, total | 35,000 |
| Puerto Rico | 376,057 |
| Guam | 11,300 |
| American Samoa | 11,900 |
| Virgin Islands | 15,600 |
| Trust Territories | 54,100 |
| N. Mariana Islands | 7,100 |

[FR Doc. 85-27505 Filed 11-18-85; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6718-01-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

[Docket No. R-0556]

Fee Schedules for Federal Reserve Bank Services

AGENCY: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

ACTION: Approval of a Private Sector Adjustment Factor for 1986 and 1986 fee schedules for Federal Reserve Bank priced services.

SUMMARY: The Board of Governors has approved a Private Sector Adjustment Factor ("PSAF") for 1986 of \$68.1 million. This represents an increase of \$7 million, or approximately 11.3 percent, from the 1985 target PSAF of \$61.1 million. The PSAF is a recovery of imputed costs that takes into account the taxes that would have been paid and the return on capital that would have been provided had the Federal Reserve's priced services been furnished by a private business firm. The Board also approved 1986 fee schedules for the check collection, automated clearing house, wire transfer of funds and net settlement, definitive securities safekeeping and noncash collection, and book-entry securities services.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 1, 1986.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Elliott C. McEntee, Associate Director
(202/452-2231), David L. Robinson,
Associate Director (202/452-3806).
Charles W. Bennett, Assistant Director
(202/452-3442), Earl G. Hamilton,
Assistant Director (202/452-3879),
Florence M. Young, Adviser (202/4523955), or Paul W. Bettge, Analyst (202/
452-3174), Division of Federal Reserve
Bank Operations; Oliver I. Ireland,
Associate General Counsel (202/4523625), or Daniel L. Rhoads, Senior
Attorney (202/452-3711), Legal Division;
or Joy W. O'Connell,

Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (202/452-3244), Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Washington, D.C. 20551.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1986 Private Sector Adjustment Factor

The Monetary Control Act of 1980 (Title I of Pub. L. 96–221) requires that in establishing fee schedules for priced services, the Federal Reserve recover the imputed taxes that would have been paid and the return on capital that would have been provided had the Federal Reserve's priced services been furnished by a private business firm. The PSAF is intended to reflect these imputed costs.

The basic methodology previously adopted by the Board for calculating the PSAF for 1984 and 1985 was used to determine the PSAF for 1986.1 The PSAF is determined by applying the Federal Reserve's pre-tax cost of capital to the assets used by the Federal Reserve in the production of priced services. These assets are determined on a direct basis and include the net effect of those assets expected to be acquired and disposed of during the year. Short-term assets are assumed to be financed by short-term debt and long-term assets are assumed to be financed by a combination of equity and long-term debt. The ratio of long-term debt to equity and the rates for short-term debt, long-term debt, equity, and income taxes are based on the experience of the 25 largest U.S. bank holding companies. Also included in the PSAF are imputations for estimated sales taxes, FDIC insurance assessment, and the expenses and fixed assets of the Board of Governors related to the development of priced services.

Asset Base

The estimated value of Federal Reserve assets to be used in providing priced services in 1986 is reflected in Attachment 1. As shown in Attachment 2, the value of assets to be financed through debt and equity are projected to total \$350.5 million in 1986, which represents an increase of \$37.4 million or 12 percent from 1985. This increase results largely from planned capital expenditures for bank premises, furniture, and equipment.

Cost of Capital and Taxes.

In imputing the cost of equity capital for the 1985 PSAF, the Board used a three-year average of rates of return on equity derived from a model consisting of the 25 largest U.S. bank holding companies. The Board believed that the

'49 FR 11251 (March 26, 1984); 49 FR 44556 (November 7, 1984).

three-year average return on equity resulted in a more representative cost of equity because of abnormal performance by the bank holding companies in 1983/1984. Although earnings of the largest bank holding companies have improved, the Board believes that the rate of return for the twelve-month period ending June 30. 1985, continues to reflect abnormal performance of the bank holding companies.2 For example, the after-tax return on equity for the largest bank holding companies averaged 10.5 percent for the 12 months ended June 30. 1985, compared with an average aftertax rate of return of 12.3 percent for the three-year period 1982-1984. The Board has therefore determined to continue use of the three-year averaging technique, resulting in an average aftertax rate of return on equity of 12.3

Rates for those portions of the asset base financed by short- and long-term debt were also derived from the bank holding company model. (The bank holding companies with the highest and lowest rates of return on equity before taxes were again excluded from the model to avoid distortions.) The Board has approved the use of a 10.27 percent rate for short-term debt and, based on the three-year averages for holding companies in the model, a 10.28 percent rate for long-term debt. As shown in Attachment 3, these rates compare with rates of 9.93 percent and 10.38 percent. respectively, for 1985.

An income tax rate based on the taxes paid by the bank holding companies in the model sample is used to approximate an imputed income tax rate for the Federal Reserve. The PSAF effectice tax rate is based upon the taxes actually paid by these bank holding companies and takes no advantage for tax-exempt securities, To be consistent with the methodology used to determine the after-tax rate of return on equity, the Board approved use of the three-year averaging technique. This results in an imputed income tax rate of 37.6 percent for the Federal Reserve.

Other Imputed Costs.

As shown in Attachment 3, other PSAF recoveries for 1986 for sales taxes, FDIC insurance, and Board expenses total \$11 million, up \$1 million from 1985. Most of the increase is in imputed sales taxes, which is attributable primarily to the increase in capital expenditures

li

³The model used for calculating the 1986 PSAF differs from the 1985 model in that one bank holding company was removed due to unique circumstances and another bank holding company was added.

planned for 1986. The remainder of the increase is in imputed costs for FDIC insurance, resulting from an expected increase in clearing balances reflected in Attachment 1.

1986 Fee Schedules

Fees approved by the Board for priced services in 1985 were established to recover 102.0 percent of the costs of providing the services in 1985, including the PSAF. Through the first four months of 1985, the System experienced an overall recovery rate of 107.8 percent. Because 85 percent of the overrecovery was from the check service. modifications to the check fee schedules were made at mid-year 1985 to reduce the recovery rate. It is estimated that total costs, including the PSAF, for 1985 will be \$569.4 million and revenue will be \$602.7 million, resulting in a recovery rate of 105.8 percent.

In 1986, total costs for priced services, including the PSAF, are projected to be \$602.3 million. Total revenue is estimated at \$618.2 million, resulting in a 102.7 percent recovery rate. The majority of the 1986 fees approved by the Board are the same as those currently in effect. All priced services are expected to recover all costs, plus

the PSAF, in 1986.

Commercial Check Collection

It is anticipated that the Federal Reserve's commercial check collection service will recover approximately 106 percent of total costs, including PSAF, in 1985 based on costs of \$427.4 million and revenue of \$453.2 million. In 1986. costs are expected to amount to \$464.5 million, an increase of 8.7 percent over the 1985 level. Revenues are projected to amount to \$476.0 million, for an expected recovery rate of 102.5 percent. Over \$10 million of the expected increase in costs is due to a change in the way float costs are reported. Other major cost increases, accounting for about \$9 million of the change, include the full-year impact of the wire notification service for large-dollar return items, changes in the development schedule for the integrated accounting system, and equipment acquisitions. In addition, continuing efforts to automate such labor intensive operations as return items processing and adjustments, along with an expected volume increase of 2.5 percent, contribute to the cost increase.

Eighty-six percent of the 1986 prices approved by the Board for the check service are the same as those currently in effect. This figure does not include the

surcharges for interdistrict

transportation, which have not changed since 1983. The Board has approved changes for 65 percent of the surcharges. The new fees reflect a change in the range of these surcharges from 0.4 cent-1.0 cent to 0.3 cent-1.5 cents and more accurately reflect the cost of providing the service. Revenues from interdistrict transportation surcharges are projected to recover the cost of providing the service. The 1986 check collection fee schedules and interdistrict transportation surcharges approved by the Board will be distributed by the Reserve Banks.

Automated Clearing House (ACH).

The 1985 fees approved by the Board for the ACH service were established to recover 80 percent of the total costs of providing commercial ACH services. including PSAF and the cost of float. Based on estimated costs of \$22.2 million, at the 80 percent recovery rate, and revenue of \$22.6 million, the Federal Reserve expects to achieve a cost revenue match in 1985. Under the Board's ACH incentive pricing policy, the Federal Reserve is required to set fees in 1986 to recover 100 percent of the costs of providing commercial ACH services.

Cost control efforts, achieved primarily through reductions in software development expenses, should result in actual operating costs remaining at the projected 1985 level, even though volume is projected to increase by 18.2 percent. The combination of cost control efforts and volume increases minimize the need to adjust prices. Therefore, the Board has determined that the current fees for processing automated transactions be retained in 1986.

The cost of some of the nonautomated ACH services, such as processing paper return items, courier deliveries, and messenger pickups, are rising due to their labor intensive nature. In addition, the cost of providing these services varies across Reserve Districts. Consequently, the Board has approved increases of these fees and provided Reserve Banks the option of setting fees, within a narrow range, to reflect more accurately their costs. See Attachment 4 for the fee schedule approved by the Board for the commercial ACH service.

It is estimated that these fees will provide a recovery rate of 100.3 percent for the ACH service in 1986, based on tatal costs, including PSAF and the cost of float, of \$27.1 million and revenue of \$27.2 million.

Funds Transfer and Net Settlement

The 1985 fees for the funds transfer

and net settlement service are expected to provide a recovery rate of 105.8 percent, based on costs, including PSAF, of \$61.6 million and revenue of \$65.1 million. In 1986, the cost of providing this service is expected to amount to \$66.5 million, an increase of 8.0 percent over 1985 levels. The increase largely is due to expenditures associated with several Reserve banks implementing the System's automated, resource shared funds transfer operating system as well as a projected volume increase of 6.6 percent in 1986. Because current funds transfer and net settlement fees as well as the electronic connection fees are expected to generate revenue of \$68.6 million in 1986, for a recovery rate of 103.1 percent, the Board has determined to retain the current fee schedule. The 1986 fee schedule for the wire transfer of funds and net settlement service is at Attachment 5.

Definitive Securities Safekeeping and Noncash Collection

The recovery rate for 1985 for the definitive securities safekeeping and noncash collection service is expected to be 98.5 percent, based on costs. including PSAF, of \$21.9 million and revenue of \$21.5 million. Costs for this service in 1986 are expected to increase by \$800 thousand or 3.5 percent compared with 1985 costs. The major reason for the cost increase is the projected volume growth of 11.9 percent in definitive safekeeping-primarily due to increased use by regional custodians-and 1.7 percent in noncash collection. The Board has approved fee increases for this service to provide for full cost recovery, including PSAF, in 1986.

The weighted average fee increase for definitive safekeeping in 1986 is 4.9 percent, with approximately 68 percent of definitive safekeeping fees remaining unchanged. Five of the eleven Districts offering definitive safekeeping will increase fees in a range from \$0.25 to \$10.00. The \$10.00 increase involves one District's re-registration fee and brings it more in line with the average fee charged in the System for this activity. The weighted average fee increase in the noncash collection activity is 4.2 percent, with approximately 71 percent of the fees remaining unchanged. Seven Districts will increase fees in a range from \$0.25 to \$5.00. The \$5.00 increase involves on District's bond redemption and sales fee and brings it more in line with the System average fee charged for this activity. The Board believes that the revised fees for 1986 will provide a recovery rate of 103.5 percent based on total costs, including PSAF, of \$22.7 million and revenue of \$23.5 million. The revised fee schedules for the definitive securities safekeeping and noncash collection service are at Attachments 6 and 7.

Book-Entry Securities

The 1985 recovery rate for the Federal Reserve's book-entry securities service is projected to the 117.4 percent, based on costs, including PSAF, of \$20.9 million and revenue of \$24.5 million. Book-entry costs in 1986 are expected to decline by about \$14.5 million, or 70 percent, because of a determination by the Department of the Treasury. effective October 1, 1985, that the bookentry transfer of Treasury securities was no longer a priced service activity of the Reserve Banks. Approximately 75 percent of the book-entry volume is related to the transfer of Treasury securities and will no longer be treated as a priced service. Book-entry transfer of government agency securities will, however, remain a priced service. It is anticipated that under the current fee schedule, the book-entry securities service will have a recovery rate of 127.1 percent in 1986, based on total costs, including PSAF, of \$6.4 million and revenue of \$8.2 million. The Board has therefore decided that the current fee schedule will remain in effect until the Reserve Banks have gained additional experience with the new arrangement. The 1986 fee schedules for the bookentry securities service are at Attachment 8.

Cash Services

The System is projecting a 1985 recovery rate of 100.3 percent for cash services, based on total costs, including PSAF, of \$15.8 million and revenues of \$15.9 million. Total costs for all cash services will decline in 1986 by \$700 thousand, or 4.6 percent, because the Reserve Banks are continuing to withdraw from providing cash transportation services. Fees for those cash services provided will remain in effect until new contracts with armored carriers and coin wrapping vendors are negotiated. Contract negotiations are handled by the Reserve Banks and revised prices will be reviewed by the Board during 1986.

By order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, October 31,

William W. Wiles,

Secretary of the Board.

ATTACHMENT 1.-COMPARISON OF PRO FORMA BALANCE SHEETS FOR FEDERAL RESERVE PRICED SERVICES

[Millions of dollars-average for year]

| DATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY. | 1985 | 1986 |
|--|-------------|-----------------|
| Short-term assets: | | |
| Imputed reserve requirements on clear- | | |
| ing balance | 5156.0 | \$204.0 |
| Investment in marketable securibes | 1,144.0 | 1.496.0 |
| - Receivables ! | 24.4 | 25.9 |
| Materials and supplies 1 | 4.4 | 4.2 |
| Prepaid expenses 1 | 6.9 | 4.2 |
| Net items in process of collection | | and the |
| (float) | 247.5 | 334.0 |
| | 200000000 | PUNCTURE. |
| Total short-term assets | 1,583.2 | 2,068.3 |
| | | |
| Long-term assets: | - Committee | - |
| Premises 1.1 | 166.0 | 191.0 |
| Furniture and equipment ! | 110.2 | 123.4 |
| Leases | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Leasehold improvement * | 1.2 | 1.8 |
| Total long-form assets. | 278.1 | 316.4 |
| | | |
| Total assets | 1,861.3 | 2,384.7 |
| Short-term liabilities: | 250 | The same |
| Clearing balances. | 1,300.0 | 1,700.0 |
| Balances arising from early credit of | - | - |
| uncollected items | 247.5 | 334.0 |
| Short-term debt 1 | 35.7 | 34.3 |
| Total short-term liabilities | 1,583.2 | 2,068.3 |
| Long-term habilities: | | |
| Obligations under capital leases | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Long-term debt 3 | 92.9 | 94.9 |
| Total long-term liabilities | | 95.1 |
| Total Liabilities | 1,676.8 | 2,163.4 |
| Egulty 1 | 184.5 | 221.3 |
| | - | Tarable Control |
| Total liabilities and equity | 1,861.3 | 2,384.7 |

¹Financed through PSAF, other assets are self-financing.

³Includes allocations in Board of Governors' assets to priced services of \$400 thousand for 1985 and 1985.

⁴ Imputed figures: represent the source of financing for certain priced service assets.

NOTE - Details may not add to totals due to rounding

ATTACHMENT 2.—DERIVATION OF THE 1986 PSAF

[Dollars in millions]

| | _ |
|--|---|
| A. Assets to be financed: 1 | |
| Short-term. | \$34.3 |
| Long-term | \$316.3 |
| Total | \$350.6 |
| B. Weighted average cost. | |
| Capital Structure (percont): 3 | |
| Short-lerm debt | 9.5 |
| Long-term debt | 27.1 |
| Eguity | 63.1 |
| 2. Financing rates/costs 3 Average rates paid by | 1000 |
| the bank holding companies, included in the | |
| sample (percent); | |
| Short-term debt | 10.27 |
| Long-term dobt | 10.28 |
| Pre-tax equity * | 19.78 |
| 3. Elements of capital costs: | |
| Short-term debt (\$34.3 × 10.27%) | \$3.5 |
| Long-term debt (\$94.9 × 10.28%) | \$9.8 |
| Equity (\$221.3 x 19.76) | |
| | \$57.1 |
| C. Other required PSAF recoveries: | |
| Sales taxes | \$7.9 |
| Federal deposit insurance assessment | The second second |
| Board of governors expenses | |
| Don't at governous superiors | \$11.0 |
| D. total PSAF recoveries | 700000000000000000000000000000000000000 |
| | |
| As a percent of capital (percent): | 19.4 |

ATTACHMENT 2.- DERIVATION OF THE 1986 PSAF—Continued

[Dollars in millions]

| As a percent | of expenses | (percent) * | | 15.7 |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|--|------|
|--------------|-------------|-------------|--|------|

1 Priced service asset base is based on direct determina-tion of assets method.

tion of assets method.

2 Consists of total long-term assets less capital leases which are self-financing.

3 All short-term assets are assumed to be financed by short-term debt. Of the total long-term assets, 30.0 percent are assumed to be financed by long-term debt and 70.0

are assumed to be financed by long-term doot and 76.0 percent by equity.

* The pre-tax rate of return on equity is based on average attentax rates of return on equity for the bank holding company sample, adjusted by the effective tax rate to yield the pre-tax rate of return on equity. The \$1986 figure for pre-tax equity and the tax rate is based upon a three-year average of these rates.

*Systemmed 1986 budgeted priced service expenses less shipping were \$434.4 million.

ATTACHMENT 3.-COMPARISON OF 1985 AND 1986 PSAF COMPONENTS

(Dollars in millions)

| | 1985 | 1986 |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| 1. Assets to be financed. | | Mr. |
| Current | \$35.7 | 8343 |
| Long-term | \$277.4 | \$316.2 |
| | \$313.1 | \$350.5 |
| N. Cost of capital (percent): | | |
| Short-term Debt Rate | 9.93 | 10.27 |
| Long-term Debt Rate | 10.38 | 10.28 |
| Pre-tax Equity Rate 1 | 20.55 | 19.78 |
| Weighted Average Cost of Capital | 16.32 | 16.28 |
| III. Tax Rate (percent)1 | 36.90 | 37.64 |
| IV. Capital structure (percent): | 1000 | |
| Short-term Debt | 11.4 | 9.8 |
| Long-term Debt | 29.7 | 27.1 |
| Equity | 56.9 | 63.1 |
| V. Other required PSAF recoveries: | | |
| Sales Taxes | \$7.2 | \$7.9 |
| Federal Deposit Insurance Assess- | 2000 | 1250 |
| ment | \$1.1 | -\$1.A |
| Board of Governors Expenses | \$1,7 | \$1,7 |
| | \$10.0 | \$11.0 |
| PSAF | | 100 |
| Recovery | 561.1 | \$68.1 |
| As Percent of Capital (percent) | 19.5 | 19.4 |
| As Percent of Expenses (percent) | 15.2 | 15.7 |

1 The 1986 figure for pre-tax equity and the tax rate in based on a three-year average of these rates:

| THE REAL PROPERTY. | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | Aver- age |
|---------------------|--------------|------|--------------|--------------|
| Pre-tax equity rate | 22.0 36.8 | 19.3 | 18.1 40.8 | 19.8 37.6 |

Details may not add to totals due to rounding.

ATTACHMENT 4.-FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM AUTOMATED CLEARINGHOUSE SERVICE AND FEE SCHEDULE NATIONALLY ESTABLISHED AUTOMATED ACH FEES 1

| Transaction fees | | | | | |
|------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| Origination: | 1 | | | | |
| Intra-ACH | - | | | | |
| Inter-ACH | - | | | | |
| Unsorted | 1 | | | | |
| Presorted | 1 | | | | |
| Night time surcharges: | 100 | | | | |
| Debits | . 6 | | | | |
| Next-day credits | 3 | | | | |
| Receipt | 1 | | | | |
| Intra-ACH | 1 | | | | |
| Inter-ACH | 1 | | | | |
| New York | - 1 | | | | |

These fees have been in effect since December

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM AUTOMATED CLEARINGHOUSE SERVICE AND FEE SCHEDULE LOCALLY ESTABLISHED NON-AUTOMATED ACH FEES EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1986.

| | Tape | Nonelec- tronic delivery fee | Mes- senger pickup fee | Tete- phone ad- vice fee | Paper return- fee | Paper NOC fee |
|---------------|--------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Boston | \$3.00 | \$3.50 | \$1.25 | \$2.50 | \$3.50 | \$3.50 |
| New York | | 3.50 | | Service of the least of the lea | | il and |
| Finiadelphia | 3.00 | 3.50 | 1.25 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.75 |
| Develand | 3.50 | 4.50 | 1.75 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
| Richmond | 3.50 | 4.00 | 1.75 | 2.50 | 3:00 | 3.00 |
| Affanta | 3.50 | 3.50 | 1.75 | 2.50 | 2.75 | 2.75 |
| Ohicago | 3.50 | 4.50 | 1.75 | 3.00 | 3:00 | 3:00 |
| 5) Louis | 3.50 | 3.50 | 1.75 | 3.50 | 2.75 | 2.75 |
| Moneapolis. | 3.50 | 3.50 | 1.75 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
| Kansas City | 3.50 | 3.50 | 1.75 | 3.00 | 2.75 | 2.75 |
| nias . | 3.50 | 4.50 | 1.75 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
| San Francisco | 3.00 | 3.50 | 1.25 | 2.50 | 2.75 | 2.75 |

ATTACHMENT 5 .- FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM WIRE TRANSFER AND NET SETTLEMENT SERVICE AND FEE SCHEDULE1

| | Fees |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| Wire transfer of funds: | |
| Basic transfer originated | \$0.55 |
| Basic transfer received | .55 |
| Off-line origination | 5.50 |
| Telephone advice | 3.00 |
| Not settlement # | - Comment |
| Settlement entry | 1:50 |
| Off-line settlement | 6.00 |
| Telephone advice | 3:00 |
| Electronic connections a | 20000 |
| Dedicated leased line | 300 |
| Multi-drop leased line | 225 |
| Dial-up | 60 |

ATTACHMENT 6. FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM DEFINITIVE SAFEKEEPING SERVICE AND FEE SCHEDULE

(Effective Jan. 1, 1986)

| | Oop | Deposits Withdrawats | | | Re | celpts/Issu | es per mon | th | Purchas | | Reregistrations | | Per month per \$1,000 par value | |
|---------------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|---------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------|-------|-----------------|-------|------------------------------------|--------|
| | 1985 | 1986 | 1985 | 1986 | 1-400 1985 | 1-400 1986 | 400 ± 1985 | 400+ 1986 | 1985 | 1986 | 1985 | 1986 | 1985 | 1986 |
| Boston | 12:50 | 12.50 | 12.50 | 12.50 | 2.90 | 2.90 | 2.20 | 2.20 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 12.50 | 12.50 | | |
| Now York | 35,50 | 40.00 | 35.50 | 40.00 | 5.35 | 5.35 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 23.00 | 23.00 | 35.50 | 40.00 | 0.0050 | 0.0050 |
| Philadelphia ² | 16.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 2.25 | 2.25 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | | - |
| Cleveland | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15,00 | 15.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.50 | 1,50 | 25:00 | 25:00 | 15:00 | 15.00 | 0:0050 | 0:0050 |
| Richmond | 15:00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 1.95 | 1.95 | 1.45 | 1,45 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 15,00 | 15.00 | | 100000 |
| Allanta ³ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5,00 | 7,00 | (*) | (2) | (9) | (*) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5:00 | 5.00 | | |
| Chicago | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 2.75 | 3.00 | 21,00 | 22.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | | |
| Detroit* | 11.00 | 15.00 | 11.00 | 15.00 | 2.25 | 3.00 | 5:00 | 2.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.00 | 15.00 | | |
| St. Louis | 10.00 | 18.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 | 1.50 | 2.50 | 0.90 | 1.50 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | - | - |
| Minneapolis | 8.00 | 11.50 | 8.00 | 11.50 | 1,40 | 1.85 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 10.00 | 15.00 | 8.00 | 11.50 | - | |
| Kansas City, Datas | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.25 | 2.25 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |
| COURS . | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | + 10.00 | 2.25 | 2.25 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 26.50 | 26.50 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 0.0060 | 0.008 |

ATTACHMENT 7.- 1986 PRICE SCHEDULE NONCASH COLLECTION FOR BANKS OFFERING A MIXED DEPOSIT PRODUCT (Effective Jan. 1, 1986)

| | Local o | Local coupons from in-district Drs | | | Local coupons from out-of-district | | | | nter-distric | t coupons | | Return | items | Bond | | |
|-----------------------------|---------|------------------------------------|------|-------|------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|------|
| | 0 | City | | intry | City Country | | Fine sort | | Mixed | | el and | · viere | redemptions and sales | | | |
| | 1995 | 1985 1986 | 1985 | 1986 | | | | - | 1985 | 1986 | 1985 | 1986 | 1985 | 1986 | 1985 | 1995 |
| | 1000 | | 1900 | 1000 | 1985 | 1985 1988 | 1985 | 1985 1988 | 1303 | 1000 | 1900 | 1000 | | | 1000 | 1000 |
| Cleveland | 2.75 | 2.75 1.75 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.25 2.40 | 3.25 | 3.50 | 3.50 3.15 | 4.00 | 4.25 2.75 | 5.00 | 5.25 | 10.00 | 10:00 | 15.00 | 15.0 |
| St Louis ¹ Dates | 3.25 | 3.60 | 3.25 | 3.60 | | 3.60 | 9.25 9.50 | 3.60 | 3.25 | 3.60 3.25 | 3.25 4.50 | 3.60 4.50 | 0.00 | 10:00 | 10.00 | 15.0 |

St. Louis intra-district fine sort coupons \$1.75 per envelope.

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM NONCASH COLLECTION SERVICE AND FEE SCHEDULE—FOR BANKS NOT OFFERING A MIXED DEPOSIT PRODUCT. TEffective Jan. 1, 19863

| | 500 | Local o | coupons | | Add-on fee for | | Postage and | | Return items | | Bond | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|------|--------------|------|-----------------|-------|
| | 0 | City | | Country | | interdistrict | | ance | 10000 | 1927 | redemptions and | |
| A STATE OF THE REAL PROPERTY. | 1985 | 1986 | 1985 | 1986 | 1985 | 1986 | 1985 | 1986 | 1985 | 1936 | 1985 | 1985 |
| Boston New York Prisodelphia | 2.00 | 200 | 2.00 | 2.00 4.50 | 2.75 2.75 | 2.75 5.50 | 1.00 0.75 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 12.50 35.50 | 12.50 |
| Richmond | 290 200 250 | 2.90 2.00 5.00 | 2.00 | 2.90 2.00 5.00 | 2.85 3.50 2.75 | 3.45 3.50 3.25 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 |

^{*}These fees have been in effect since December 27, 1994.

*In cases where not settlement arrangements resulted in higher operating costs than those incurred for standard arrangements, the Reserve Blanks may establish higher fees.

*Per month.

Applied to coupon bearing securities only.

Philadelphia imposes \$2.25 receipt lee for all registered securities. This is to recognize the lower handling costs of registered securities versus bearer securities.

All and has a three-lier structure 1–500 receipts at \$2.50 in 1965/1966, 500–1,000 at \$2.00 in 1965/1966, and 1,000 ± at \$1.50 in 1965/1966.

For depository institutions maintaining more than 100 receipts, Detroit lisss are as follows and include the collection of coupons: 1–100 receipts at \$3.00 in 1985 and \$3.50 in 1985 and \$3.50 in 1986; over 100 receipts at \$2.50 in 1985 and \$3.00 in 1986.

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM NONCASH COLLECTION SERVICE AND FEE SCHEDULE—FOR BANKS NOT OFFERING A MIXED DEPOSIT PRODUCT— Continued

(Effective Jan. 1, 1986)

| | House Co. | Local o | coupons | | Add-on fee for | | Postage and Insurance | | Return items | | Bond | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| COLUMN TO THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF THE | City | | Country | | coupons | | HIBURE | | 1005 | 1000 | redemptions and sales | |
| | 1985 | 1996 | 1985 | 1986 | 1985 | 1986 | 1985 | 1986 | 1985 | 1986 | 1985 | 1986 |
| Detroit Minnoapois s Kansas City San Francisco | 2.50 2.50 3.50 5.00 | 2.75 2.50 3.50 N/A | 2.50 2.50 3.50 5.00 | 3.50 2.50 3.50 N/A | 3.00 3.00 3.50 N/A | 3.00 3.50 3.50 N/A | 1.00 0.60 1.00 1.00 | 0.00 0.60 1.00 N/A | 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 | 10.00 10.00 10.00 N/A | 11.00 8,00 20.00 35.00 | 11.50 |

Chicago—Postage and Insurance \$1.00 local, \$2.00 interdiatric
 Minneapole—12th District coupons \$4.00, bonds \$11.50.

ATTACHMENT 8.—FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM BOOK-ENTRY SERVICE AND FEE SCHEDULE 1

| Component | Transaction | Fees |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|------|
| On-Line transfers originated | Per transfer | 3.0 |
| 12:01 p.m. to 2: p.m | Per transfer Per transfer | 3.0 |
| Off-line transfers received | Per transfer Per transfer | 10.0 |
| count maintenacre | Per account/per month | 19 |

¹ These fees are in place currently.

[FR Doc. 85-26399 Filed 11-18-85 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

First Perry Independent Bancorp, Inc., et al.; Formations of; Acquisitions by; and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied for the Board's approval under section 3 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842) and § 225.14 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.14) to become a bank holding company or to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the applications are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Each application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Any comment on an application that requests a hearing must include a statement of why a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute and summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received not later than December 6, 1985.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia (Thomas K. Desch, Vice President) 100 North 6th Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19105;

1. First Perry Independent Bancorp, Inc., Loysville, Pennsylvania; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of The First National Bank of Loysville, Loysville, Pennsylvania.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta (Robert E. Heck, Vice President) 104 Marietta Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

1. First Burke Banking Company,
Waynesboro, Georgia; to become a bank
holding company by acquiring 100
percent of the voting shares of The First
National Bank of Waynesboro,
Waynesboro, Georgia.

G. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis (Bruce J. Hedblom, Vice President) 250 Marquette Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480;

1. First Interstate BancSystem of Montana, Inc., Billings, Montana; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of First National Montana Bank of Missoula, Missoula, Montana.

D. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas (Anthony J. Montelaro, Vice President) 400 South Akard Street, Dallas, Texas 75222:

1. Gibsland Bancshares, Inc.,
Gibsland, Louisiana; to become a bank
holding company by acquiring 100
percent of the voting shares of Gibsland
Bank & Trust Company, Gibsland,
Louisiana. Comments on this application
must be received not later than
December 8, 1985.

2. Sun Belt Bancshares Corporation, Conroe, Texas; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 51 percent of the voting shares of National Bank of Conroe, Conroe, Texas.

3. Texas American Bancshares, Inc., Fort Worth, Texas; to acuqire 100 percent of the voting shares of BancTexas Tyler, N.A., Tyler, Texas.

4. Western Bancshares of Clovis, Inc., Clovis, New Mexico; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 80 percent of the voting shares of Western Bank of Clovis, Clovis, New Mexico.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, November 13, 1985. James McAfee.

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 85-27457 Filed 11-18-85; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Irving Bank Corp. et al.; Correction

This notice corrects a previous Federal Register document (FR Doc. No. 85–26401), published at page 46186 of the issue for Wednesday, November 6, 1985.

The comment period for these applications ends November 26, 1985.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, November 13, 1985. James McAfee,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 85-27458 Filed 11-18-85; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M