EPA has determined that its approval of the Order shall be effective March 19, 1979, because of the need to immediately place Public Service Company of Colorado on a schedule which is effective under the Clean Air Act for compliance with the applicable requirements of the Colorado State Implementation Plan.

(42 U.S.C. 7413 U.S.C. 7413(d), 7601)

Dated: March 9, 1979.

DOUGLAS M. COSTLE,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 79-7938 Filed 3-16-79; 8:45 am]

[6560-01-M]

SUBCHAPTER E—PESTICIDE PROGRAMS

(FRL 1079-1; PP 8E2084/R202)

PART 180—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

Tercabiol

AGENCY: Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule establishes a tolerance for residues of the herbicide tercabiol on caneberries at 0.1 part per million. The regulation was requested by the Interregional Research Project No. 4. This rule establishes a maximum permissible level for residues of tercabiol on caneberries.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mrs. Patricia Critchlow, Registration Division (TS-767), Office of Pesticide Programs, EPA, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC (202/755-4851).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

On January 29, 1979, the EPA published a notice of proposed rulemaking in the FEDERAL REGISTER (44 FR 5695) in response to a pesticide petition (PP 8E2084) submitted to the Agency by the Interregional Research Project No. 4 (IR-4), New Jersey State Agricultural Experiment Station, PO Box 231, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08903, on behalf of the IR-4 Technical Committee and the Agricultural Experiment Stations of Arkansas, California, Florida, Michigan, New Jersey, Oregon, and Washington. This petition proposed that 40 CFR 180.209 be amended by the establishment of a tolerance for combined residues of the herbicide tercabiol (3-tert-butyl-5-chloro-6-methyluracil) and its hydroxylated metabolites (calculated as tercabiol) in or on the raw agricultural commodity caneberries (blackberries, raspberries, boysenberries, dewberries, loganberries, and youngberries) at 0.1 part per million (ppm). No comments or requests for referral to an advisory committee were received in response to this notice of proposed rulemaking.

It has been concluded, therefore, that the proposed amendment to 40 CFR 180.209 should be adopted without change, and it has been determined that this regulation will protect the public health.

Any person adversely affected by this regulation may, on or before April 20, 1979, file written objections with the Hearing Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. M-3708 (A-110), 401 M St., SW, Washington, DC 20460. Such objections should be submitted and specify the provisions of the regulation deemed to be objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought.

Effective on March 21, 1979, Part 180, Subpart C, section 180.209 is amended by adding a tolerance for residues of tercabiol on caneberries at 0.1 ppm as set forth below.

(Section 408(e) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 346a(e).)


EDWIN L. JOHNSON,
Deputy Assistant Administrator
for Pesticide Programs.

Part 180. Subpart C, § 180.209 is amended by alphabetically inserting caneberries at 0.1 ppm in the table to read as follows:

§ 180.209 Tercabiol, tolerances for residues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity:</th>
<th>Parts per million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caneberries (blackberries, boysenberries, dewberries, loganberries, raspberries, and youngberries)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[FR Doc. 79-8589 Filed 3-20-79; 8:45 am]

[4110-83-M]

CHAPTER I—PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

PART 57—GRANTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF TEACHING FACILITIES, EDUCATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS, SCHOLARSHIPS AND STUDENT LOANS

Financial Distress Grants to Health Professions Schools

AGENCY: Public Health Service, HEW.

ACTION: Interim-Final Regulations; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Public Health Service is issuing regulations to implement the program of financial distress grants to health professions schools to assist them in meeting their costs of operation, if they are in serious financial distress, or in meeting accreditation requirements, if they have a special need for assistance in meeting these requirements, and to carry out appropriate operational, managerial, and financial reforms. The regulations implement the amendments under the Health Professions Educational Assistance Act of 1976.

DATES: These regulations are effective March 21, 1979; however, as discussed below, comments on the regulations are invited. To be considered, comments must be received on or before May 21, 1979.

ADRESSEES: Written comments should be addressed to the Director, Bureau of Health Manpower, Health
has determined in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 555 and Department policy that it would be impractical and contrary to the public interest to follow proposed rulemaking procedures or to delay the effective date of these regulations.

Notwithstanding the omission of the proposed rulemaking procedures, interested persons are invited to submit written comments or data concerning these regulations to the Director of the Bureau of Health Manpower at the address given above. All relevant materials received not later than May 21, 1979, will be considered, and following the close of the comment period, the regulations will be revised as warranted by the public comments received. It is intended that any revision of the regulations resulting from these comments will be published within 90 days of the close of the comment period.

The regulations as set forth below will be effective March 21, 1979. Revisions will be applicable to activities conducted under these grants on or after the date that the revisions become effective. Accordingly, Subpart M of Part 57 of Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations is revised to read as set forth below.


JULIUS B. RICHMOND,  
Assistant Secretary for Health.

Approved: March 8, 1979.

JOSEPH A. CALIFANO, JR.,  
Secretary.

Subpart M—Financial Distress Grants to Health Professions Schools

Sec. 57.1201 To what programs do these regulations apply?

57.1202 Definitions.

57.1203 What entities are eligible to apply for a grant?

57.1204 How must a school apply for a grant?

57.1205 What assurances are required of an applicant?

57.1206 What requirements may the Secretary impose?

57.1207 What are the criteria for deciding which applications will be funded?

57.1208 How will the Secretary make grant awards?

57.1209 Purposes for which grant funds may be spent.

57.1210 What nondiscrimination requirements apply to grantees?

57.1211 How must grantees account for grant funds received?

57.1212 What recordkeeping, audit, and inspection requirements apply to grantees?

57.1213 What additional regulations apply to grantees?

57.1214 What additional conditions apply to grantees?

AUTHORITY: Sec. 215 of the Public Health Service Act, 58 Stat. 690, as amended by 63 Stat. 35 (42 U.S.C. 219); sec. 788(b), 90 Stat. 2319 (42 U.S.C. 295e-8(b)).

Subpart M—Financial Distress Grants to Health Professions Schools

§ 57.1201 To what programs do these regulations apply?

These regulations apply to the award of grants under section 788(b) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 216) to assist schools of medicine, osteopathy, dentistry, veterinary medicine, optometry, podiatry, pharmacy, and public health in meeting their costs of operation, if they are in serious financial distress, or in meeting accreditation requirements, if they have a special need for assistance in meeting these requirements, and to carry out appropriate operational, managerial, and financial reforms.

§ 57.1202 Definitions.

As used in this subpart:  
“Act” means the Public Health Service Act, as amended.

“Comprehensive cost analysis study” means an in-depth review of all the significant factors and circumstances affecting costs incurred in the operation and management of the institution. The review includes, but is not limited to, personnel and property utilization and practices, cost efficiency in specific areas of operation, and the search for additional sources of revenues.

“Construction” or “cost of construction” means the construction of new buildings or the expansion or acquisition of existing buildings (including related costs such as architect’s fees, acquisition of land, offsite improvements, and the initial equipping of these buildings).

“School” means a public or other nonprofit school of medicine, osteopathy, dentistry, veterinary medicine, optometry, podiatry, pharmacy, or public health as defined in section 701(4) of the Act, and which is accredited as provided under section 772(b) of the Act.

“Secretary” means the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare and any other officer or employee of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare to whom the authority involved has been delegated.

“State” means, in addition to the several States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Northern Mariana Islands, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands.

§ 57.1203 What entities are eligible to apply for a grant?

Any school which is located in a State is eligible to apply for a grant if it is either in serious financial distress.
or has a special need for financial assistance in meeting accreditation requirements. For purposes of this subpart, (a) a school is in serious financial distress if it has incurred costs in excess of its ability to pay for those costs; and (b) a school has a special need for financial assistance in meeting accreditation requirements if it cannot meet its accreditation requirements within the school's revenues.

§ 57.1204 How must a school apply for a grant?

Each school desiring a financial distress grant must submit an application in the form and at the time which the Secretary requires. This application must be signed by an individual authorized to act for the applicant and to assume on behalf of the applicant the obligations imposed by the terms and conditions of any award, including the regulations of this subpart.

§ 57.1205 What assurances are required of an applicant?

(a) The applicant must provide assurances satisfactory to the Secretary that it will spend in carrying out its functions as a school during the fiscal year for which the grant is sought, an amount of funds (other than funds for construction) from non-Federal sources which is at least as great as the average amount of funds from non-Federal sources spent for this purpose by the school during the preceding 2 fiscal years. The determination of the average amount of funds from non-Federal sources spent by a new school which has been in operation for less than 2 years will be the amount of expenditures which were actually made in carrying out the functions of the school in the preceding year.

(b) The Secretary may, in individual cases, require additional assurances where he or she finds that additional assurances are necessary to carry out the purposes of section 788(b) of the Act.

§ 57.1206 What requirements may the Secretary impose?

The Secretary will make grants upon terms and conditions which he or she determines are reasonable and necessary, including requirements that the school:

(a) disclose any financial information which the Secretary decides is necessary to determine the cause of this school's financial distress;

(b) conduct a comprehensive cost analysis study, as directed by the Secretary;

(c) carry out operational, managerial, and financial reforms which the Secretary decides are appropriate on the basis of the comprehensive cost analysis study and other relevant information. These reforms may include increasing tuition or obtaining increased financial support from State or local governmental units.

§ 57.1207 What are the criteria for deciding which applications will be funded?

Within the limits of funds available for this purpose, the Secretary, after consultation with the National Advisory Council on Health Professions Education established by section 702 of the Act, will award grants to applicants, taking into consideration the following among other pertinent factors:

(a) The extent to which the school is unable to meet its incurred costs or has a special need for financial assistance to meet its accreditation requirements;

(b) The reasons for the school's failure to meet its costs or accreditation requirements and the alternatives available to the school to meet its costs or requirements; and

(c) The actions which the applicant has taken to alleviate the need for financial assistance to meet its costs or accreditation requirements and the applicant's plan to eliminate this need in the future.

§ 57.1208 How will the Secretary make grant awards?

(a) All grant awards must be in writing and must set forth the amount of funds granted and the period for which these funds will be available for obligation by the grantee.

(b) Neither the approval of any application nor the award of any grant commits or obligates the United States to fund the proposed project or portion of the proposed project.

(c) The amount of the award will be based on the Secretary's estimate of the sum necessary for the costs of the approved activity. Grant awards to meet incurred costs in excess of a school's ability to pay these costs may not exceed the actual funds necessary to meet such excess costs of the school through June 30 of the Federal fiscal year in which the grant is sought, plus an amount which the Secretary estimates is necessary to carry out appropriate operational, managerial and financial reforms. The amount of the grant under this subpart to any school in any fiscal year may not exceed 75 percent of the amount received by that school, if any, under this subpart in the immediately preceding fiscal year.

(d) The Secretary will, from time to time, make payments to a grantee of all or a portion of any grant award, either in advance or by way of reimbursement.

§ 57.1209 Purposes for which grant funds may be spent.

(a) Any funds granted under this subpart must be spent solely for carrying out the approved activity in accordance with section 788(b) of the Act, the regulations of this subpart, and the terms and conditions of the award. Grant funds may be spent to:

(1) Assist schools unable to pay for incurred costs.

(b) Costs may be used to defray costs incurred for all school fiscal years through June 30 of the Federal fiscal year in which the grant is sought. Costs shall exclude construction (other than alterations and renovations). Costs may include the amortization of the principal portion of loans except loans for construction, student aid, and other costs not allowable under § 57.1213.

(2) Assist schools in meeting accreditation requirements.

(3) Assist schools in carrying out under an approved plan, appropriate operational, managerial, and financial reforms.

(b) Grant funds may not be used for sectarian instruction, or any other religious purpose.

§ 57.1210 What nondiscrimination requirements apply to grantees?

Recipients of grants under this subpart are advised that in addition to complying with the terms and conditions of these regulations, the following laws and regulations are applicable:

(a) Section 704 of the Act (42 U.S.C. 292d) and its implementing regulation, 45 CFR Part 63 (prohibiting discrimination in federally assisted programs).


(c) Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. 1681 et seq.) and its implementing regulation, 45 CFR Part 80 (prohibiting discrimination in federally assisted programs on the basis of sex in the admission of individuals to training programs).


RULES AND REGULATIONS

§ 57.1214 What additional conditions apply to grantees?

The Secretary may with respect to any grant or award impose additional conditions prior to or at the time of any award when in his or her judgment these conditions are necessary to assure or protect the advancement of the approved activity, the interest of the public health, or the conservation of grant funds.

[FR Doc. 79-8054 Filed 3-20-79; 8:45 am]

[110-12-M]

Title 45—Public Welfare

SUBTITLE A—DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

VOCATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS

Guidelines for Eliminating Discrimination and Denial of Services on the Basis of Race, Color, National Origin, Sex, and Handicap

AGENCY: Office for Civil Rights, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

ACTION: Final Guidelines for Vocational Education Programs.

SUMMARY: These guidelines explain the civil rights responsibilities of recipients of Federal funds offering or administering vocational education programs. They derive from and provide guidance supplementary to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the implementing departmental regulation (45 CFR Part 74), Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 and the implementing departmental regulation (45 CFR Part 86), and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and the implementing departmental regulation (45 CFR Part 84).


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following Guidelines explain how civil rights laws and Department regulations apply to vocational education programs. They are issued as a result of injunctive orders entered by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in Adams v. California. They are also issued because the Department has found evidence of continuing unlawful discrimination in vocational education programs.

A. LEGAL BASIS FOR THE GUIDELINES

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, and national origin in any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance. The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare issued regulations implementing Title VI in 1965. Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in education programs receiving or benefiting from Federal financial assistance. The Department issued regulations implementing Title IX in 1975. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicap in any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance. The Department issued regulations implementing Section 504 in 1977. These civil rights statutes and their implementing regulations apply to vocational education programs.

In 1973, the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare was sued for its failure to enforce Title VI in a number of education areas, including vocational education (Adams v. California). As a result of this litigation, the Department was directed to enforce civil rights requirements in vocational education programs through compliance reviews, a survey of enrollments and related data, and the issuance of guidelines explaining the application of Title VI regulations to vocational education. The Guidelines that follow are issued to meet a requirement of the Adams court orders.

B. FACTUAL BASIS FOR THE GUIDELINES

The Guidelines are also adopted because it is apparent that many vocational education administrators engage in unlawfully discriminatory practices. They need additional guidance and support from the Department to meet their obligations under civil rights authorities.

Information provided by the Office of Education's Bureau of Occupational and Adult Education for 1976 and 1977 reveals that male and female students are concentrated in programs traditionally identified as intended for them:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent of total enrollment</th>
<th>1976</th>
<th>1977</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male Female Male Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health occupations..........</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>78.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational home economics..</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>84.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer and homemaking.....</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>83.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office occupations..........</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>75.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical..................</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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has alleged that State agencies engage in unlawful discrimination against students regardless of their race, color, national origin and handicap; and

C. SCOPE OF GUIDELINES

The Guidelines primarily address the civil rights violations listed immediately above as found in compliance reviews. They identify every civil rights violation that may arise in a vocational education setting. The Guidelines derive from and supplement and must be read in conjunction with civil rights laws and Department regulations.

Section III of the Guidelines, which prohibits discrimination in the allocation of vocational education funds, derives in part from and must be read in conjunction with, the Vocational Education Act and Office of Education implementing regulations. These Guidelines, particularly Section III, have been reviewed by the Department's Office of Education and found consistent with its policies.

D. STATE AGENCY RESPONSIBILITIES

Most comments on the Guidelines sought deletion or clarification of, or a change to, a stated paragraph or subparagraph. However, Section II, which records the responsibilities of State agency personnel, was questioned in its entirety as imposing a new burden more reasonably assigned to the Office for Civil Rights.

Section II contains two requirements. First, State agencies in performing any activity required under State or Federal law, must be certain that they do not "require, approve of, or engage in" any unlawful discrimination. For example, State agencies are often required to review or approve the site selected by or the building specifications approved by local school district officials to assure that the project is fiscally sound. The Guidelines provide that in such cases the State agency must also examine whether the site location will result in the denial of access to minority group persons and whether the building and programs will be inaccessible to handicapped persons. If it finds such violations the State agency cannot approve the project. The second requirement of Section II is generally addressed to the agency referred to in the Vocational Education Amendments of 1976 as the "State Board or agency . . . solely responsible for the administration or . . . supervision of the programs [conducted in the State] under the Act." These agencies are required by the Guidelines to monitor subrecipients for civil rights compliance through technical assistance, analyses of already compiled information and data, and periodic compliance reviews.

These are not new requirements. The first merely restates what has become administratively unenforceable—namely that the States not engage or participate in unlawful discrimination. The second requirement—monitoring subrecipients for compliance—derives from the Department's Title VII regulations which provides in subparagraph 80.4(b):

"Every application by a State or State agency to carry out a program involving continued Federal financial assistance . . . shall be provide or be accompanied by provisions for such an office . . . as are found by the responsible Department official to give reasonable assurance that the applicant and all recipients of Federal financial assistance under such program will comply with all requirements imposed by or pursuant to this regulatory . . ."

Thus the Department's Title IX regulation requires State agency recipients to adopt and obtain Department approval for methods and procedures through which subrecipients can be monitored for compliance with civil rights authorities. It was suggested that it is "unrealistic" to expect closely aligned officials—State agency and local personnel—"to work at odds with each other. This is neither intended nor an expected result of the final Guidelines. Many forms of impermissible discrimination are caused by misunderstandings or lack of information and guidance on the requirements of the law. State agency personnel should therefore be of assistance to and not in conflict with local personnel. Moreover, there is need for additional conciliatory rather than adversarial compliance activity.

State agencies also argued that the Office for Civil Rights cannot and should not delegate its responsibilities for civil rights enforcement to recipients. Such a result is neither intended nor expected. The Guidelines contemplate adding, not substituting, requirements for civil rights compliance activity. The Bureau of Vocational and Adult Education presently monitors State agencies for compliance with the Vocational Education Act. Under the Guidelines, BOAE and State agencies will engage in activities supplementary to those of the Office for Civil Rights. These Guidelines do

1. Although the regulations for Title IX and Section 504 do not assign a similar responsibility to State agencies, the Department intends to issue a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to eliminate this inconsistency with Title VI. If revisions to the Title IX and Section 504 regulations are not adopted by the Department, these Guidelines must be revised.

The Department's Title IX regulation requires State agency recipients to adopt and obtain Department approval for methods and procedures through which subrecipients can be monitored for compliance with civil rights authorities. It was suggested that it is "unrealistic" to expect closely aligned officials—State agency and local personnel—to work at odds with each other. This is neither intended nor an expected result of the final Guidelines. Many forms of impermissible discrimination are caused by misunderstandings or lack of information and guidance on the requirements of the law. State agency personnel should therefore be of assistance to and not in conflict with local personnel. Moreover, there is need for additional conciliatory rather than adversarial compliance activity.

State agencies also argued that the Office for Civil Rights cannot and should not delegate its responsibilities for civil rights enforcement to recipients. Such a result is neither intended nor expected. The Guidelines contemplate adding, not substituting, requirements for civil rights compliance activity. The Bureau of Vocational and Adult Education presently monitors State agencies for compliance with the Vocational Education Act. Under the Guidelines, BOAE and State agencies will engage in activities supplementary to those of the Office for Civil Rights. These Guidelines do
not contemplate any reduction of OCR compliance and enforcement activity. And OCR will assist and monitor BOAE and State agencies in their civil rights activities. This approach derives from the Department's commitment to bring all of its agencies and recipients to the critical task of obtaining compliance with civil rights laws and regulations. It is also supported by the United States Civil Rights Commission.  

CONCLUSION

Vocational education is a critical and growing sector of the Nation's education system. It is offered in over 14,000 school districts and in community and junior colleges. It is also provided through more than 2,000 secondary and postsecondary vocational education centers (often known as Area Vocational Education Schools, or AVES), that have as their primary or sole objective the teaching of skills that lead to employment. The various programs number in the thousands. They include, for example, "work study" for students needing part-time employment to support their vocational studies; "cooperative education" for students who receive credit for work at jobs related to their vocational field and "apprentice training" for students affiliated with a labor union or another sponsor. Whatever the organization of vocational education, it is closely tied to the skill development needs of communities, States, and regions. Obtaining compliance with civil rights authorities in these diverse programs will require the participation and cooperation of all vocational education administrators and all agencies of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare. These Guidelines are designed to encourage and ensure compliance and compliance activity. They are provided with the expectation that they will contribute to bringing an end to unlawful discrimination against persons seeking the skills necessary for gainful and meaningful employment.

PART 80—Nondiscrimination Under Programs Receiving Federal Assistance Through the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Ef

APPENDIX B—Guidelines for Eliminating Discrimination and Deliberate Services on the Basis of Race, Color, National Origin, Sex, and Handicap in Vocational Education Programs

I. Scope and Coverage

A. Application of Guidelines

These Guidelines apply to recipients of any Federal financial assistance from the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare that offer or administer programs of vocational education or training. This includes State agency recipients.

B. Definition of Recipient

The definition of "recipient" of Federal financial assistance is established by Department regulations implementing Title VI, Title IX, and Section 504 (45 CFR 80.13(i), 86.2(h), 84.3(f).

For the purposes of Title VI:
The term "recipient" means any State, political subdivision of any State, or Instrumentality of any State or political subdivision, any public or private agency, institution, or organization, or other entity, or any individual, in any State, to whom Federal financial assistance is extended, directly or through another recipient, for any program, including any successor, assignee, or transferee thereof, but such term does not include any ultimate beneficiary (e.g., students) under any such program. (45 CFR 80.13(i)).

For the purpose of Title IX:
"Recipient" means any State or political subdivision thereof, or any instrumentality of a State or political subdivision thereof, any public or private agency, institution, or organization, or any person to whom Federal financial assistance is extended directly or through another recipient and which operates an education program or activity which receives or benefits from such assistance, including any subunit, successor, assignee, or transferee thereof. (45 CFR 86.2(h)).

For the purposes of Section 504:
"Recipient" means any State or its political subdivision, any instrumentality of a State or its political subdivision, any public or private agency, institution, organization, or other entity, or any person to which Federal financial assistance is extended directly or through another recipient, including any successor, assignee, or transferee of a recipient, but excluding the ultimate beneficiary of the assistance. (45 CFR 84.3(f)).

C. Examples of Recipients Covered by These Guidelines

The following education agencies, when they provide vocational education, are examples of recipients covered by these Guidelines:

1. The board of education of a public school district and its administrative agency.
2. The administrative board of a specialized vocational high school serving students from more than one school district.
3. The administrative board of a technical or vocational school that is used exclusively or principally for the provision of vocational education to persons who have completed or left high school.
4. The administrative board of a postsecondary institution, such as a technical institute, junior college, or four year college that has a department or division that provides vocational education to students seeking immediate employment, a certificate or an associate degree.
5. The administrative board of a proprietary (private) vocational education school.
6. A State agency responsible for operating a vocational education facility.

D. Examples of Schools to Which These Guidelines Apply

The following are examples of the types of schools to which these Guidelines apply:

1. A junior high school, middle school, or those grades of a comprehensive high school that offers instruction to inform, orient, or prepare students for vocational education at the secondary level.
2. A vocational education facility operated by a State agency.
3. A comprehensive high school that has a department exclusively or principally for providing vocational education or training that offers at least one vocational program to secondary level students who are available for study in preparation for entering the labor market, or that offers adult vocational education to persons who have completed or left high school and who are available for study in preparation for entering the labor market.
4. A comprehensive high school, offering the activities described above, that receives students on a contract basis from other school districts for the purpose of providing vocational education.
5. A specialized high school used exclusively or principally for the provision of vocational education, that enrolls students from one or more school districts for the purpose of providing vocational education.
6. A technical or vocational school that primarily provides vocational education to persons who have completed or left high school and who are available for study in preparation for entering the labor market, including students seeking an associate degree or certificate through a course of vocational instruction offered by the school.
7. A junior college, junior college center, technical institute, skill center, junior college, community college, or four year college that has a department or division that provides vocational education to students seeking immediate employment, an associate degree or a certificate through a course of vocational instruction offered by the school.
8. A proprietary school, licensed by the State, that offers vocational education.

Note: Subsequent sections of these Guidelines may use the term secondary vocational education center in referring to the institutions described in paragraphs 3, 4 and 5 above or the term postsecondary vocational education center in referring to institutions described in paragraphs 6 and 7 above or the term vocational education center in referring to any or all institutions described above.
nation or denial of services on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap in performing any of the following activities:

1. Establishment of criteria or formulas for distribution of Federal or State funds to vocational education centers.
2. Establishment of requirements for admission to or requirements for the administration of vocational education programs.
3. Collection or use by local entities providing vocational education. (For example, a State agency must ensure compliance with Section IV of these Guidelines if and when it reviews a vocational education agency decision to create or change a geographic service area.)
4. Conducting its own programs. (For example, in employing its staff it may not discriminate on the basis of sex or handicap.)

B. STATE AGENCIES PERFORMING OVERSIGHT RESPONSIBILITIES

The State agency responsible for the administration of vocational education programs must adopt a compliance program to prevent and remedy discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap by its subrecipients. (A "subrecipient," in this context, is a local agency, vocational education center that receives financial assistance through a State agency.) This compliance program must include:

1. Collecting and analyzing civil rights related data and information that subrecipients compile for their own purposes or that are submitted to State and Federal officials under existing authorities;
2. Conducting periodic compliance reviews of selected subrecipients (i.e., an investigation of a subrecipient to determine whether it engages in unlawful discrimination in any aspect of its program); upon finding unlawful discrimination, notifying the subrecipient of steps it must take to attain compliance and attempting to obtain voluntary compliance;
3. Providing technical assistance upon request to subrecipients. This will include assistance to identify unlawful discrimination and instructing them in remedies for and prevention of such discrimination;
4. Periodically reporting its activities and findings under the foregoing paragraphs, including findings of unlawful discrimination under paragraph 2, immediately above, to the Office for Civil Rights. State agencies are not required to terminate or defer assistance to any subrecipient. Nor are they required to conduct hearings. The responsibilities of the Office for Civil Rights to collect and analyze data, to conduct compliance reviews, to investigate complaints and to provide technical assistance are not diminished or attenuated by the requirements of Section II of the Guidelines.

C. STATEMENT OF PROCEDURES AND PRACTICES

Within one year from the publication of these Guidelines in final form, each State agency recipient performing oversight responsibilities must submit to the Office for Civil Rights a statement of administration and related procedures it will follow to comply with the requirements described in paragraphs A and B immediately above. The Department will review each submission and will promptly either approve it, or return it to State officials for revision.

RULES AND REGULATIONS

III. DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AND OTHER FUNDS FOR VOCATIONAL EDUCATION

A. AGENCY RESPONSIBILITIES

Recipients that administer grants for vocational education must distribute Federal, State, or local vocational education funds so that no student or group of students is unlawfully denied an equal opportunity to benefit from vocational education on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap.

B. DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS

Recipients may not adopt a formula or other method for the allocation of Federal, State, or local vocational education funds that has the effect of discriminating on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap. However, a recipient may adopt a formula or other method of allocation that uses as a factor race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap for an index or proxy for race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap, if the factor is included to compensate for past discrimination or to comply with those provisions of the Vocational Education Amendments of 1976 designed to assist specified protected groups.

C. EXAMPLE OF A PATTERN SUGGESTING UNLAWFUL DISCRIMINATION

In each State it is likely that some local recipients will enroll greater proportions of minority students in vocational education than the State-wide proportion of minority students in vocational education. A funding formula or other method of allocation that results in such local recipients receiving per-pupil allocations of Federal or State vocational education funds lower than the State-wide average per-pupil allocation will be presumed unlawfully discriminatory.

D. DISTRIBUTION THROUGH COMPETITIVE GRANTS OR CONTRACTS

Each State agency that establishes criteria for awarding competitive vocational education grants or contracts must establish and apply the criteria without regard to the race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap of any or all of a recipient's students, except to compensate for past discrimination.

E. APPLICATION PROCESSES FOR COMPETITIVE OR DISCRETIONARY GRANTS

State agencies must disseminate information needed to satisfy the requirements of any application process for competitive or discretionary grants so that all recipients, including those having a high percentage of minority or handicapped students, are informed of and able to seek funds. State agencies that provide technical assistance for the completion of the application process must provide such assistance without discrimination against any one recipient or class of recipients.

F. ALTERATION OF FUND DISTRIBUTION TO PROVIDE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

If the Office for Civil Rights finds that a recipient's system for distributing vocational education funds unlawfully discriminates on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap, it will require the recipient to adopt an alternative nondiscriminatory method of distribution. The Office for Civil Rights may also require the recipient to compensate for the effects of its past unlawful discrimination in the distribution of funds.

IV. ACCESS AND ADMISSION OF STUDENTS TO VOCATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS

A. RECIPIENT RESPONSIBILITIES

Criteria controlling student eligibility for admission to vocational education programs, facilities and programs may not unlawfully discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap. A recipient may not develop, impose, maintain, approve, or implement such discriminatory admissions criteria.

B. SITE SELECTION FOR VOCATIONAL SCHOOLS

State and local recipients may not select or approve a site for a vocational education facility for the purpose or with the effect of excluding, segregating, or otherwise discriminating against students on the basis of race, color, or national origin. Recipients must locate vocational education programs in the State; and sites that are readily accessible to both nonminority and minority communities, and that do not tend to identify the facility or program intended for nonminority or minority students.

C. ELIGIBILITY FOR ADMISSION TO VOCATIONAL EDUCATION CENTERS BASED ON RESIDENCE

Recipients may not establish, approve or maintain geographic boundaries for a vocational education center service area or attendance zone, that unlawfully exclude students on the basis of race, color, or national origin. The Office for Civil Rights will presume, subject to rebuttal, that any one or combination of the following circumstances indicates that the boundaries of a given service area are unlawfully constituted:

1. A school system or service area contiguous to the given service area, contains minority or nonminority students in substantially greater proportion than the given service area;
2. A substantial number of minority students who reside outside the given vocational education center service area are attending institutions (hereinafter "service area"), that unlawfully exclude students on the basis of race, color, or national origin. The Office for Civil Rights will presume, subject to rebuttal, that any one or combination of the following circumstances indicates that the boundaries of a given service area are unlawfully constituted:

   a. does not provide the educational opportunities generally acknowledged to offer greater chance for and opportunities for students on the basis of race, color, or national origin.
   b. provides its graduates greater access or quality of educational opportunities.
   c. provides its students with a broader range of curricular offerings, facilities and equipment;
   d. provides its students with a more comprehensive counseling program.
   e. maintains, or increases student segregation on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap.

   1. A school system or service area contiguous to the given service area, contains minority or nonminority students in substantially greater proportion than the given service area;
   2. A substantial number of minority students who reside outside the given vocational education center service area are attending institutions (hereinafter "service area"), that unlawfully exclude students on the basis of race, color, or national origin. The Office for Civil Rights will presume, subject to rebuttal, that any one or combination of the following circumstances indicates that the boundaries of a given service area are unlawfully constituted:

   a. does not provide the educational opportunities generally acknowledged to offer greater chance for and opportunities for students on the basis of race, color, or national origin.
   b. provides its graduates greater access or quality of educational opportunities.
   c. provides its students with a broader range of curricular offerings, facilities and equipment;
   d. provides its students with a more comprehensive counseling program.
   e. maintains, or increases student segregation on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap.

STATEMENT OF PROCEDURES AND PRACTICES

Within one year from the publication of these Guidelines in final form, each State agency recipient performing oversight responsibilities must submit to the Office for Civil Rights a statement of administration and related procedures it will follow to comply with the requirements described in paragraphs A and B immediately above. The Department will review each submission and will promptly either approve it, or return it to State officials for revision.
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K. REMEDIES FOR VIOLATIONS OF SITE SELECTION AND GEOGRAPHIC SERVICE AREA REQUIREMENTS

If the conditions specified in paragraphs IV, A, B, C, or D, immediately above, are found and not rebutted by proof of nondiscrimination, the Office for Civil Rights will require the recipient(s) to submit a plan to remedy the discrimination. The following are examples of steps that may be included in the plan, where necessary to overcome the discrimination: (1) elimination of program duplication in the recipient’s service area; (2) relocation or “clustering” of programs or courses; (3) adding programs and courses that traditionally have been identified as intended for members of a particular race, national origin, sex or schools that have traditionally served members of the other sex or traditionally served persons of a different race or national origin; (4) merger of programs into one faculty through school closings or new construction; (5) intensive outreach recruitment and counseling; (6) providing free transportation to students whose enrollment would promote desegregation.

(Paragraph J omitted)

L. ELIGIBILITY FOR SECONDARY VOCATIONAL EDUCATION BASED ON NUMERICAL LIMITS IMPOSED ON SENDING SCHOOLS

A recipient may not adopt or maintain a system of numerical limits for a vocational education center or program that limits admission to a fixed number of students from each sending school included in the center’s service area. If such a system disproportionately excludes students from the center on the basis of race, sex, national origin or handicap. (Example: Assume 25 percent of a school district’s high school students are black and that most of those black students are enrolled in one high school; the white students, 75 percent of the district’s total enrollment, are generally enrolled in the five remaining high schools. This paragraph prohibits a system of admission to the center’s service area that limits eligibility to a fixed and equal number of students from each of the district’s six high schools.)

G. REMEDIES FOR VIOLATION OF ELIGIBILITY BASED ON NUMERICAL LIMITS REQUIREMENTS

If the Office for Civil Rights finds a violation of paragraph F, above, the recipient must develop an alternative system of admissions that does not disproportionately exclude students on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap.

H. ELIGIBILITY FOR ADMISSION TO VOCATIONAL EDUCATION CENTERS, BRANCHES OR ANNEXES BASED UPON STUDENT OPTION

A vocational education center, branch or annex, open to all students in a service area and predominantly enrolling minority students, is not required to accept all such students simply because it is open to them. A non-discriminatory admissions policy may require the center: (1) to open to all students on equal terms; (2) to continue to enroll students on a basis that is administered efficiently; and (3) to provide a minimal educational program to those who are unable to benefit from vocational instruction.

I. REMEDIES FOR FACILITY SEGREGATION UNDER THE NONDISCRIMINATION RULES AND REGULATIONS

If the conditions specified in paragraph IV-H are found and not rebutted by proof of nondiscrimination, the Office for Civil Rights will require the recipient(s) to submit a plan to remedy the segregation. The following are examples of steps that may be included in the plan, where necessary to overcome the discrimination:

(1) elimination of program duplication in the community
(2) relocation or “clustering” of programs or courses
(3) adding programs and courses that traditionally have been identified as intended for members of a particular race, national origin or sex to schools that have traditionally served members of the other sex or traditionally served persons of a different race or national origin
(4) merger of programs into one faculty through school closings or new construction
(5) intensive outreach recruitment and counseling
(6) providing free transportation to students whose enrollment would promote desegregation.

(Paragraph J omitted)

M. REMEDIAL ACTION IN BEHALF OF PERSONS WITH LIMITED ENGLISH LANGUAGE SKILLS

If the Office for Civil Rights finds that a recipient has denied national origin minority persons admission to a vocational school or program because of their limited English language skills or has assigned students to vocational programs solely on the basis of their limited English language skills, the recipient will be required to submit a remedial plan that insures national origin minority students equal access to vocational education programs.

N. EQUAL ACCESS FOR HANDICAPPED STUDENTS

Recipients may not deny handicapped students access to vocational education programs or courses because of equipment barriers, or because of the need for related aids and services or auxiliary aids. If necessary, recipients must: (1) modify instructional facilities or adapt the manner in which the courses are offered; (2) house the program in facilities that are readily accessible to mobility impaired students or alter facilities to make them readily accessible to mobility impaired students; and (4) provide auxiliary aids that effectively make lectures and necessary materials available to postsecondary or adult programs.

Academic requirements that the recipient can demonstrate are essential to participation in a program of instruction or to any directly related licensing requirement will not be regarded as discriminatory. However, where possible, a recipient must adjust those requirements to the needs of individual handicapped students.

Access to vocational programs or courses may not be denied handicapped students on the basis that employment opportunities in any occupation or profession may be more limited for handicapped persons than for non-handicapped persons.

O. PUBLIC NOTIFICATION

Prior to the beginning of each school year, recipients must advise students, parents, employees and the general public that all vocational opportunities will be offered without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap. Announcement of this policy of non-discrimination may be made, for example, in local newspapers, recipient publications and/or other media that reach the general public, program beneficiaries, minorities (including national origin minorities with limited English language skills), women, and handicapped persons.

A brief summary of program offerings and admission criteria should be included in the announcement; also the name, address and telephone number of the person designated to coordinate Title IX and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.

If a recipient’s service area contains a community of national origin minority persons with limited English language skills, public notification materials must be disseminated to that community in their lan-
RULES AND REGULATIONS

V. COUNSELING AND PREVOCATIONAL PROGRAMS

A. RECIPIENT RESPONSIBILITIES

Recipients must insure that their counseling materials and activities (including student program selection and career/employment selection), promotional, and recruitment efforts do not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap.

B. COUNSELING AND PROSPECTS FOR SUCCESS

Recipients that operate vocational education programs must insure that counselors do not direct or urge any student to enroll in a particular career or program, or measure or predict a student's prospects for success in any career or program based upon the student's race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap. Recipients may not counsel or place students toward more restrictive career objectives than nonhandicapped students with similar abilities and interests. The vocational program dis proporcionately enrolls male or female students, minority or nonminority students, or handicapped students, recipients must take steps to insure that the disproportion does not result from unlawful discrimination in counseling activities.

C. STUDENT RECRUITMENT ACTIVITIES

Recipients must conduct their student recruitment activities so as not to exclude or limit opportunities on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap. Where recruitment activities involve the presentation or portrayal of vocational and career opportunities, the curricula and programs described should cover a broad range of occupational opportunities and not be limited on the basis of the race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap of the students or potential students to whom the presentation is directed. Also, to the extent possible, recruiting teams should include persons of different races, national origins, sexes, and handicaps.

D. COUNSELING OF STUDENTS WITH LIMITED ENGLISH-SPEAKING ABILITY OR HEARING IMPAIRMENTS

Recipients must insure that counselors can effectively communicate with national origin minority students with limited English language skills and with students who have hearing impairments. This requirement may be satisfied by having interpreters available.

E. PROMOTIONAL ACTIVITIES

Recipients may not undertake promotional efforts (including activities of school officials, counselors, and vocational staff) in a manner that creates or perpetuates stereotypes or limitations based on race, color, national origin, sex or handicap. Examples of promotional efforts are career days, parent's night, open house demonstrations, visits to classes of prospective students and by representatives from business and industry. Materials that are part of promotional efforts may not be discriminatory or contain stereotypes through text or illustration. To the extent possible they should portray males or females, minorities or handicapped persons in programs and occupations in which these groups have been underrepresented. If a recipient's service area contains a community of national origin minority persons with limited English language skills, programs or the services must be distributed to that community in its language.

VI. EQUAL OPPORTUNITY IN THE VOCATIONAL EDUCATION INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING

A. ACCOMMODATIONS FOR HANDICAPPED STUDENTS

Recipients must place secondary level handicapped students in the regular educational environment of any vocational education program to the maximum extent possible. If a recipient's service area contains a community of national origin minority persons with limited English language skills, programs or the services must be distributed to that community in its language.

B. STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

Recipients may not award financial assistance in the form of loans, grants, scholarships, special funds, subsidies, compensation for work, or prizes to vocational education students on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap except to overcome the effects of past discrimination. Recipients may administer sex restricted financial assistance where the assistance and restrictions are established by will, trust, bequest, or any similar legal instrument, if the overall effect of the financial assistance awarded does not discriminate on the basis of sex. Materials and information used to notify students of opportunities for financial assistance may not contain language or examples that would lead applicants to believe the assistance is provided on a discriminatorily basis. If a recipient's service area contains a community of national origin minority persons with limited English language skills, such information must be disseminated to that community in its language.

C. HOUSING IN RESIDENTIAL POSTSECONDARY VOCATIONAL EDUCATION CENTERS

Recipients must extend housing opportunities without discrimination based on race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap. This obligation extends to recipients that provide on-campus housing and/or that have agreements with providers of off-campus housing. In particular, a recipients postsecondary vocational education program that provides on-campus or off-campus housing to nonhandicapped students must provide, at the same cost and under the same conditions, comparable convenient and accessible housing to handicapped students.

D. COMPARABLE FACILITIES

Recipients must provide changing rooms, showers, and other facilities for students of one sex that are comparable to those provided to students of the other sex. This may be accomplished by alternating use of the same facilities or by providing separate, comparable facilities. Such facilities must be adapted or modified to the extent necessary to make the vocational education programs readily accessible to handicapped persons.

VII. WORK STUDY, COOPERATIVE VOCATIONAL EDUCATION, JOB PLACEMENT, AND APPRENTICE TRAINING

A. RESPONSIBILITIES IN COOPERATIVE VOCATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS, WORK-STUDY PROGRAMS, AND JOB PLACEMENT PROGRAMS

A recipient must insure that: (a) it does not discriminate against its students on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap in making available opportunities in cooperative education, work study and job placement programs; and (b) students participating in cooperative education, work study and job placement programs are not discriminated against by employers or prospective employers on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap in recruiting, hiring, placement, assignment to work tasks, hours of employment, levels of responsibility, and in pay.

If a recipient enters into a written agreement to refer or assign students to an employer, the agreement must contain an assurance from the employer that students will be accepted and assigned to jobs and otherwise treated, without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap.

Recipients may not honor any employer's request for students who are free of handicaps or for students of a particular race, color, national origin, or sex. In the event an employer or prospective employer is or has been subject to court action involving discrimination in employment, school officials should rely on the court's findings if the decision resolves the issue of whether the employer has engaged in unlawful discrimination.

B. APPRENTICE TRAINING PROGRAMS

A recipient may not enter into any agreement for the provision or support of apprentice training for students or union members with any labor union or other sponsor that discriminates against its members or applicants for membership on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap. If a recipient enters into a written agreement with a labor union or other sponsor providing for apprentice training, the agreement must contain an assurance from the union or other sponsor: (1) that it does not engage in such discrimination against its membership or applicants for membership; and (2) that apprentice training will be offered and conducted for its membership free of such discrimination.

VIII. EMPLOYMENT OF FACULTY AND STAFF

A. EMPLOYMENT GENERALLY

Recipients may not engage in any employment practice that discriminates against any employee or applicant for employment in the basis of sex or handicap. Recipients may not engage in any employment practice that discriminates on the basis of race, color, or national origin if such discrimination results in the recruitment, hiring, assignment to work tasks, hours of employment, levels of responsibility, or in pay.

In particular, recipients that conduct vocational education programs in an area that has been subject to court action involving discrimination in employment, school officials should rely on the court's findings if the decision resolves the issue of whether the employer has engaged in unlawful discrimination.
B. RECRUITMENT

Recipients may not limit their recruitment for employees to schools, communities, or companies disproportionately composed of persons of a particular race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap except for the purpose of overcoming the effects of past discrimination. Every source of faculty must be notified that the recipient does not discriminate in employment on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap.

C. PATTERNS OF DISCRIMINATION

Whenever the Office for Civil Rights finds that in light of the representation of protected groups in the relevant labor market there is a significant underrepresentation or overrepresentation of protected group persons on the staff of a vocational education school or program, it will presume that the disproportion results from unlawful discrimination. This presumption can be overcome by proof that qualified persons of the particular race, color, national origin, or sex, or that qualified handicapped persons are not in fact available in the relevant labor market.

D. SALARY POLICIES

Recipients must establish and maintain faculty salary scales and policies based upon the conditions and responsibilities of employment, without regard to race, color, national origin, or sex or, where qualified handicapped persons are not in fact available in the relevant labor market.

E. EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR HANDICAPPED APPLICANTS

Recipients must provide equal employment opportunities for teaching and administrative positions to handicapped applicants who can perform the essential functions of the position in question. Recipients must make reasonable accommodation for the physical or mental limitations of handicapped applicants who are otherwise qualified unless recipients can demonstrate that such accommodation would impose an undue hardship.

F. THE EFFECTS OF PAST DISCRIMINATION

Recipients must take steps to overcome the effects of past discrimination in the recruitment, hiring, and assignment of faculty. Such steps may include the recruitment or reassignment of qualified persons of the particular race, national origin, or sex, or who are handicapped.

G. STAFF OF STATE ADVISORY COUNCILS OF VOCATIONAL EDUCATION

State Advisory Councils of Vocational Education are recipients of Federal financial assistance and therefore must comply with Section VIII of the Guidelines.

H. EMPLOYMENT AT STATE OPERATED VOCATIONAL EDUCATION CENTERS THROUGH STATE CIVIL-SERVICE AUTHORITIES

Where recruitment and hiring of staff for State operated vocational education centers is conducted by a State civil service employment authority, the State education agency operating the program must insure that recruitment and hiring of staff for the vocational education center is conducted in accordance with the requirements of these Guidelines.

IX. PROPRIETARY VOCATIONAL EDUCATION SCHOOLS

A. RECIPIENT RESPONSIBILITIES

Proprietary vocational education schools that are recipients of Federal financial assistance through Federal student assistance programs or otherwise are subject to all of the requirements of the Department's regulations and these Guidelines.

B. ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITY

Enforcement of the provisions of Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 is the responsibility of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. However, authority to enforce Title VI of the Civil rights Act of 1964 for proprietary vocational education schools has been delegated to the Veterans Administration.

When the Office for Civil Rights receives a Title VI complaint alleging discrimination by a proprietary vocational education school it will forward the complaint to the Veterans Administration and cite the applicable requirements of the Department's regulations and these Guidelines. The complainant will be notified of such action.

PART 84—NONDISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF HANDICAP IN PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES RECEIVING OR BENEFITING FROM FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

2. In 45 CFR Part 84 Appendix B is added to read as follows:

APENDIX B—GUIDELINES FOR ELIMINATING DISCRIMINATION AND DENIAL OF SERVICES ON THE BASIS OF RACE, COLOR, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, OR HANDICAP IN VOCATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS

Note—For the text of these guidelines, see 45 CFR Part 80, Appendix B.

PART 86—NONDISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF SEX IN EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES RECEIVING OR BENEFITING FROM FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

3. In 45 CFR Part 86 Appendix A is added to read as follows:

APENDIX A—GUIDELINES FOR ELIMINATING DISCRIMINATION AND DENIAL OF SERVICES ON THE BASIS OF RACE, COLOR, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AND HANDICAP IN VOCATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS

Note—For the text of these guidelines, see 45 CFR Part 80, Appendix B.

DAVID S. TATEL
Director, Office for Civil Rights
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
March 15, 1979

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Over 130 comments and recommendations were received by the Office for Civil Rights in response to the December 19, 1978 publication of proposed Guidelines. Many identified deficiencies that resulted in significant changes to the Guidelines. Each comment was carefully considered before a response was prepared. The following comments and responses are adopted by the Department as a part of the Guidelines.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

1. Comment: Commenters stated that the Supplementary Information section was unfairly critical of vocational education administrators, relied too heavily on outdated and suspect data, and ignored the advances achieved under the Vocational Education Amendments of 1976.

Response: The objections have merit and changes have been made. The Supplementary Information section has been revised to include current data, to delete outdated and suspect data, to place greater emphasis on OCR investigations and compliance reviews and to acknowledge that vocational education administrators have responded to antidiscrimination measures of the Vocational Education Amendments of 1976.

SECTION I—SCOPE AND COVERAGE

2. Comment: Commenters recommended that paragraph I-A state with clarity that the Guidelines apply to all recipients of financial assistance from the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare and not merely to recipients of Federal vocational education funds.

Response: The recommendation is accepted and paragraph I-A has been modified.

3. Comment: One commenter suggested that OCR establish a single definition of "recipient" in its Title VI, Title IX and Section 504 regulations to the extent permitted by the underlying legislation.

Response: A single definition of "recipient" would be helpful. However, the change proposed is beyond the scope of the Guidelines project.

4. Comment: Commenters requested that the Guidelines state the responsibilities of recipients under the Age Discrimination Act of 1975.

Response: Regulations under the Age Discrimination Act have not yet been issued. The Guidelines will ultimately include coverage of age discrimination.

5. Comment: A commenter recommended that paragraph I-C include as a definition agency providing vocational education, "the State Board of Vocational Education and/or a State board or body providing vocational education."

Response: Paragraph I-A states that State agency recipients are covered by the Guidelines. Paragraph I-C provides examples of recipients covered by the Guidelines and lists, at I-C(6),
"a State agency...operating a vocational education facility."

6. Comment: Commenters requested that paragraphs I-D(b) and (c) be amended to include "certificate programs."

Response: The suggested change has been adopted.

7. Comment: Commenters requested that paragraph I-D list as recipients vocational rehabilitation centers and residential centers.

Response: Paragraph I-D provides examples of covered schools. The Guidelines also apply to vocational rehabilitation centers and residential centers.

8. Comment: One commenter requested a definition of the term "subrecipient."

Response: The term "subrecipient" is defined in paragraph II-B.

SECTION II—RESPONSIBILITIES OF STATE AGENCIES

9. Comment: Commenters found paragraph II-B incomplete and vague. Response: This objection has merit. The paragraph has been substantially revised to clarify State agency responsibilities. Definitions of "technical assistance" and of "compliance review" have been added (paragraph (B)(2) and (5)). The Guidelines now state that the Department, not the State agency, has the responsibility and authority to make formal fact findings and terminate and defer Federal funds.

While these additions to the final Guidelines answer several of the specific questions raised by the commenters much more needs to be done. Within 90 days, the Office for Civil Rights and the Bureau of Occupational and Adult Education will issue memoranda that provide the additional detail necessary for successful State agency compliance activity.

10. Comment: Commenters argued that paragraph II-B imposes new requirements on State agency recipients. Response: State agencies, as well as other recipients of Federal financial assistance, are prohibited from conducting their programs through subrecipients or contractors that discriminate. See, for example, 45 CFR Sections 80.3(b), 84.4(b)(4), 86.32(b)(d). The Title VI regulations clearly include a State agency obligation to adopt the "administration" for monitoring subrecipients for civil rights compliance. However, this requirement has not been enforced against State education agencies. The Department believes that this must be corrected. To be effective, civil rights compliance activity cannot be the exclusive province of Federal civil rights agencies; it must include Department program responsibility. (In the case of the Bureau of Occupational and Adult Education and State agencies.

11. Comment: Commenters argued that the requirements imposed on State agencies by paragraph II-B are unduly burdensome and costly. Response: Subparagraph B(1) has been revised to insure that no significant additional data collection or record keeping requirement is imposed on recipients. In addition, the requirement that State agencies investigate complaints has been deleted. Civil rights enforcement, however, must be recognized as important enough to merit the allocation of necessary funds. Federal, State, and local funds and resources available for vocational education must be used for civil rights compliance activities in vocational education programs. The obligations imposed are therefore not unreasonably burdensome.

12. Comment: Commenters stated that OCR, through paragraph II-B, is assigning or delegating its enforcement responsibilities to the States.

Response: The Guidelines contemplate a cooperative effort among OCR, the Bureau of Occupational and Adult Education, and State agencies. Their purpose is to add, not substitute, resources for civil rights compliance activity. The Guidelines now clearly state what was always intended. The Office for Civil Rights will not decrease its compliance activity in vocational education programs.

13. Comment: Commenters stated that proposed paragraph II-D, which attempted to establish a clear division between State and recipient responsibilities, was confusing and inconsistent with other sections of the Guidelines. They asked that the paragraph be deleted. It was also suggested that the heading of Section II and the first sentence of paragraph II-A should state that the enumerated requirements are only one aspect of a State agency's responsibilities under the Guidelines.

Response: The suggested changes are adopted as consistent with the intent of the Guidelines.

SECTION III—DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AND OTHER FUNDS FOR VOCATIONAL EDUCATION

14. Comment: Commenters argued that protected group persons must be provided a "reasonable opportunity" not merely "opportunity" (paragraph III-A).

Response: This suggestion is accepted. The opportunity for vocational training must be available without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap. The provision of unequal facilities, for example, cannot be excused because it is a less than total denial of opportunity.

15. Comment: Commenters questioned whether the proposed paragraph III-B was to prohibit discrimination in the development of a formula (input standard) or in the allocation of funds (output standard).

Response: The Office for Civil Rights may review a formula's components. However, its primary inquiry will be whether the formula has a discriminatory effect on the allocation of funds. Accordingly, the first sentence in proposed paragraph III-B has been rewritten to delete the reference to "factors."

16. Comment: Commenters suggested rephrasing paragraph III-B to permit the use of factors that remedy the effects of past discrimination. Others suggested that the Department uphold the use of indicia that enable the State to identify communities entitled to priority under the Vocational Education Act. For example, a State vocational education distribution formula may refer to the number of persons residing in a school district receiving aid to families with dependent children or with limited English speaking ability. The purpose of such a reference is to identify areas either economically depressed or with high concentrations of low-income people.

Response: The suggestions are accepted. Judicial precedent requires recipients to undertake affirmative or remedial action when directed by Congress in response to a finding of past discrimination. In addition, the adoption of the recommended language confirms that a recipient's use of data on AFDC or LEA populations to comply with the Vocational Education Act is consistent with civil rights authorities.

17. Comment: Commenters asked for an explanation of the second sentence in proposed paragraph III-B: "State agencies must apply formula provisions under the Vocational Education Amendments of 1978 in a manner consistent with civil rights authorities." They believe that the statement suggests an inconsistency between civil rights authorities and the targeting provisions of the Vocational Education Act.

Response: The sentence does suggest a tension between the provisions of the Vocational Education Act and civil rights authorities. In fact, they are complementary. Paragraph III-B, as revised, states that the adoption of a language prohibiting discrimination in the application of a formula.
RULES AND REGULATIONS

Comment: Commenters questioned whether the example used in proposed paragraph III-B (now in III-C) is intended to require equal per-pupil allocations of funds.

Response: Section 106(a)(5)(B)(ii) of the Vocational Education Act prohibits the adoption of a formula seeking equal per-pupil allocations of funds. Rather it requires priority funding for subrecipients serving the greatest concentrations of low income families, for subrecipients least able to pay, and for subrecipients serving the greatest concentrations of students whose education imposes higher than average costs (e.g., handicapped students, students from low-income families, and students from families in which English is not the dominant language). These statutory priorities should result in greater expenditures for communities with concentrations of minority group persons. For this reason the ‘gauge of unlawful discrimination contained in the Guidelines—’as finding of lower allocations for communities containing concentrations of minority persons—will generally indicate a high probability of noncompliance.

In addition to an analysis of allocations State-wide, OCR may examine individual districts with substantial numbers of minority students to determine if such districts receive lower per-pupil allocations than the State-wide average.

Comment: A funding formula will be presumed unlawfully discriminatory if the circumstances recorded in paragraph III-B (now paragraph III-C) are present. Commenters asked for examples of evidence that will rebut this presumption.

Response: Two examples of persuasive rebuttal evidence derive from the Vocational Education Act. First, under Section 106(a)(5)(A)(ii) a State must give priority funding applications that propose programs new to a service area and that are designed to meet emerging or projected manpower needs and job opportunities. These priorities are not directly related to economic need. Therefore the application of these priorities may in some circumstances be used by a State agency to rebut the presumption of discrimination arising from an inadequate allocation of funds to recipients enrolling a disproportionately high percentage of minorities. Secondly, Section 106(a)(4) requires the distribution of Federal vocational education funds on the basis of annual applications. An eligible recipient that fails to submit an annual application may lose the allocation of Federal funds. A similar requirement may control the allocation of State funds under the provisions of a State law. For this reason, the failure of urban or other recipients to apply for funds must be considered in determining whether unlawful discrimination or noncompliance can be made.

These are only examples of rebuttal evidence that will be considered. Each case must be decided on the basis of a careful analysis of all evidence believed relevant by the recipient and by the Office for Civil Rights.

20. Comment: Commenters asked whether the presumption of paragraph III-C will be applied to each type of vocational education program or to each state's vocations education programs. Whether Federal and State funds will be examined separately or in combination; whether both operating costs and capital expenditures will be examined; whether the distribution formula will be judged on an annual basis or over a period of years.

Response: Section 106(a)(5) of the Vocational Education Act requires the States to base the distribution of Federal funds on economic, social, and demographic factors relating to the need for vocational education. The Commissioner of Education has ruled in 42 F.R. 53865 (Question #1) that the State's funding formula under section 106(a)(5) must be applied to each of the following Vocational Education Act programs: basic grant (section 120), guidance and counseling (section 134), special programs for the disadvantaged (section 140), and consumer and homemaking programs (section 150). To insure consistency with Office of Education directives under the Vocational Education Act, the Guidelines' requirements may be applied to each of the programs set out above.

The statutory factors listed in section 106(a)(5) of the Vocational Education Act apply to the distribution of Federal vocational education funds. A State may elect to distribute State funds under the same or a different formula. In any event, OCR may separately consider State and Federal allocations to determine whether each is consistent with civil rights authorities.

The distribution formula governs the allocation of all grants to subrecipients under Sections 120, 134, 140, and 150, including those for operating costs and capital expenditures. OCR may then examine both operating costs and capital expenditures.

States are required to describe the formula for the distribution of Federal funds in their five year plans (45 CFR 104.182(d)). In applying the gauge of unlawful discrimination to State formulas, OCR may consider expenditures for a single year, or for such other period it finds relevant to whether unlawful discrimination has occurred.

21. Comment: A commenter asked whether paragraph III-B (now III-B and III-C) applies to local as well as State agencies. Others asked whether the gauge of compliance, now recorded in paragraph III-C, applies to local agencies.

Response: Paragraph III-B has been revised to clarify that it applies to all recipients that allocate Federal, State, or local funds among other recipients. Thus, the paragraph applies to local agencies that employ a formula or "other method of allocation" to distribute funds among administrative subdistricts.

The gauge of compliance, recorded in paragraph III-C, refers to a potential misallocation of State and Federal funds. Although this gauge must prove in practice to be a convenient and informative measure, it will tentatively also be used to evaluate allocations of local funds.

22. Comment: State agencies argued they could not control the allocation of local funds.

Response: A State agency is not expected to provide protection against an improper allocation of local funds unless it has authority to review or approve local allocations.

23. Comment: Commenters argued that OCR lacks authority to monitor State vocational education funds. They argued that paragraph III-B should only control the allocation of Federal funds.

Response: The Department has an obligation to provide protection against unlawful discrimination in any and all facets of a program funded in whole or in part with Federal funds. A recipient of Federal funds may not unlawfully discriminate in the allocation or use of such funds or in the allocation or use of any other funds under its control. Of course, as one commenter notes, if the Department finds it necessary to proceed against any recipient, it may only attempt to defer or terminate HEW Federal funds.

24. Comment: Commenters suggested that the phrase "available through Federal funds" (paragraph III-C now III-D), improperly suggests that civil rights authorities apply only to competitive grants or contracts paid for with Federal funds under the Vocational Education Act. They urged that the phrase be deleted.

Response: The suggestion is accepted. A State agency receiving Federal funds may not determine the allocation or distribution of any funds under its control.

25. Comment: Commenters thought the example, now recorded in paragraph III-C, should not be referred to in the paragraph relating to competitive grants and contracts.

Response: The example cannot be meaningfully applied to competitive grants and contracts. The reference has therefore been deleted.
26. Comment: Commenters suggested that paragraph III-E (now III-F) state that in appropriate circumstances a State may be required to remedy the effects of a prior unlawfully discriminatory distribution of funds.

Response: The Comment is accepted. It is well established that a recipient must remedy past unlawful discrimination and provide protection against like discrimination in the future.

27. Comment: Commenters questioned whether paragraph III-E (now III-F) affects the Commissioner of Education's authority to approve or direct a change in the State's method of fund distribution.

Response: If a State system for distributing Federal vocational education funds violates civil rights authorities, the Office of Education and the Office for Civil Rights will jointly seek corrective action.

Section IV—Access and Admission of Students

28. Comment: Commenters stated that the proposed Guidelines prohibited only future unlawful discrimination. They suggested a prohibition against recipients "maintaining" unlawfully discriminatory practices.

Response: This suggestion is accepted. Recipients must eliminate the effects of past discrimination and ensure nondiscrimination in the future.

29. Comment: Commenters suggested that paragraph IV-B be amended to require that sites be accessible to handicapped persons.

Response: The requirement of program accessibility for mobility impaired persons is contained in paragraph IV-N.

30. Comment: Commenters argued that facilities should be "equally" accessible rather than "readily" accessible to minority students.

Response: It is generally impossible to find or judge sites "equally" accessible to minority and nonminority communities. Recipients should attempt to locate facilities in perfectly neutral sites; but no change in the Guidelines is required or appropriate.

31. Comment: Recipient commenters state that they often do not have authority to select sites for new facilities.

Response: Recipients that do not have authority to select, review, or approve sites have no obligations under this provision.

32. Comment: Commenters objected to paragraph IV-C on the ground that it conflicts with State statutes that limit certain programs offered by a district to students residing within that district.

Response: State laws that limit the admission of students to programs on the basis of residence within a district may be cited by recipients as proof of nondiscrimination. The adequacy or accuracy of that claim will depend upon all of the facts and will vary from State to State and from case to case.

33. Comment: Commenters suggested that student reassignment is an additional remedy for site selection and geographic service area violations (proposed paragraph IV-D, now paragraph IV-E).

Response: This suggestion is accepted. For example, if high school vocational education programs are unlawfully segregated because of a geographic zone boundary, the segregation may be remedied through student reassigments.

34. Comment: Commenters thought the geographic zoning requirements for secondary vocational schools (paragraph IV-C) should be the same for postsecondary institutions (proposed paragraph IV-E).

Response: Geographic service area or attendance zone boundaries for vocational education centers are generally used at the secondary level. However, paragraph IV-C and IV-E apply to postsecondary institutions that limit admission on the basis of student residence. The separate paragraph for postsecondary institutions has therefore been deleted.

35. Comment: Generally, students may not attend an Area Vocational Education School (AVES) unless they reside within one of the school districts participating in the consortium. Commenters objected that paragraph IV-C will result in an unfair requirement that students from nonparticipating districts be admitted to the area school.

Response: In the event the "circumstances" listed in paragraph IV-C arise in a consortium of contiguous districts and a school district adjacent to a consortium, a recipient(s) may rebut the resulting presumption of unlawful discrimination through proof that compelling reasons justified the inclusion and exclusion of districts. For example, recipients may demonstrate that an excluded district failed to approve a bond issue needed for the construction of a facility and that all districts included in the consortium approved such a bond issue. It will not be sufficient for the consortium to prove that all participating districts have approximately the same tax base and that they joined together for that reason. Rather a consortium must prove that an excluded district received a genuine invitation to participate on terms comparable to those offered any other district, and that the offer was declined by the governing authority of the district. If a recipient failed to prove that the planning and formation stages were nondiscriminatory, it will be required to give the excluded district an opportunity to participate in the consortium. Of course, the newly included districts might reviews the State's capacity to fund districts that equally share a vocational education facility with a capacity of 500 students. Inequality results are agreed as a condition of the system's student enrollment is substantially greater than its suburban partners. Thus if each participant in this five district consortium is allocated 100 student spaces in the vocational education center, each suburban district may have only 1,000 students competing for 100 spaces while the city system may have 2,000 students competing for 100 spaces. Students in the city system do not have equal opportunity for admission to the vocational education center.

Response: This provision (IV-F) applies to both separate school districts and consortia. However, a consortium may allocate available spaces in the manner described in this comment if it proves that compelling reasons similar to those discussed in comment 35 above, justify the allocation.

37. Comment: Commenters asked whether paragraph IV-C may result in a consortium that admits a student to its vocational education facilities students who reside in an entirely separate school district.

Response: Paragraph IV-C and IV-F apply primarily to discrimination within a school district and to consortia as discussed in comments 35 and 36. A legally constituted separate school district providing vocational education only to students residing within its borders is not required by paragraph IV-C to admit nonresident students. However, in the event a State establishes a "vocational education district" composed of several school districts, the boundaries of the vocational education district are subject to review under paragraph IV-C.

38. Comment: Commenters objected that paragraph IV-H was unreasonable and unrealistic in presuming that segregated facilities, courses and programs resulted from recipient practices rather than school choice. Others urged that the paragraph contain an additional specific presump-
tion of unlawful discrimination if a school were established for members of one race, sex or national origin and entrants asked for a rule holding that relocation of unlawful discrimination if a protected group is represented in a facility in proportion to its representation in the service area.

Response: This comment is accepted. Evidence that members of a protected group attend a facility in proportion to their representation in the service area will be accepted as evidence of that group's nondiscriminatory enrollment in the facility. However, the boundaries of the service area must satisfy the requirements of paragraph IV-C.

40. Comment: Commenters suggested that in paragraph IV-H underrepresentation, a not nondiscrimination based on national origin was improperly omitted from paragraphs IV-F and IV-H.

Response: These suggestions merely urge consistency among several provisions and identify inadvertent errors. The suggested changes have been made.

41. Comment: Commenters urged that handicapped persons be protected by paragraph IV-H.

Response: A vocational education center, branch or annex enrolling only handicapped students is often permissible under the Department's Section 504 regulation (e.g. a school for autistic children). Each secondary level student must be individually evaluated and then assigned to a program responsive to his or her individual needs. For this reason the presumption recognized in paragraph IV-H cannot routinely protect handicapped persons. Nevertheless, under the requirements of paragraphs IV-N and VI-A, secondary level handicapped students may be placed in segregated annexes, branches or centers only if their individualized education plans state that they cannot be trained in a regular program with "supplementary aids and services."

42. Comment: Commenters suggested that the proposed validation standard of paragraph IV-K would permit recipients to use criteria that disproportionately exclude minorities or handicapped persons merely by demonstrating that the students admitted were more likely to succeed in the program. This would allow recipients, for example, to exclude protected persons from the attractive trade and technical programs through evidence that a "C" average student is less likely to excel in a program than an "A" average student. The commenters suggested that screening criteria to be permissible, must be "essential to participation" in a program.

Response: This suggestion is accepted. One of the principal objectives of the Vocational Education Act is to provide protected group persons the training they need to obtain employment. Screening criteria or standards that have the effect of disproportionately excluding such persons from vocational education programs must therefore be validated as essential to satisfactory completion of course requirements. The use of criteria like grade point average, to justify priority admission of students with exceptional attainments or scores may disproportionately exclude protected group persons. If such disproportionate exclusion occurs the criteria or standards must be validated as essential to participation in a program before they may be used by a recipient.

43. Comment: Commenters sought to expand paragraphs IV-I and IV-M. They argued that recipients should be required to provide native language programs, English language instruction and other diverse methods of instruction where there are high concentrations of persons with limited English language skills.

Response: The changes proposed are beyond the scope of the Guidelines project. The requirements of the Guidelines are consistent with established Office for Civil Rights secondary school policy.

44. Comment: Commenters objected to the failure of paragraph IV-D, I and (M) (now E, I, and M) to include deadlines for the submission of acceptable remedial plans.

Response: The Office for Civil Rights will establish time periods for the submission of remedial plans on a case by case basis.

45. Comment: Commenters thought the public notification paragraph IV-O fails to ensure adequate notice of vocational education opportunities. Others thought the proposed provision was too burdensome; they found the requirement of notice to limited English proficiency persons particularly objectionable.

Response: The requirement that recipients announce a policy of nondiscrimination has several components: 1) the notice must be continuing; 2) it must be designed to reach a recipient's beneficiaries and employees, and potential beneficiaries and employees, particularly members of protected groups; 3) it must state the policy of nondiscrimination; 4) it must include the name, telephone number, and address of a person to provide additional information on the policy of nondiscrimination. The proposed provision for notification was deficient with respect to requirement number 4.
the final Guidelines have been revised accordingly.

The Department agrees with the commenters who found too onerous the requirement of notice of “all program offerings and admissions criteria.” It has been substantially revised. Also, notice to national origin minorities with limited English speaking ability is now required only if a service area contains a “community” of such persons.

46. Comment: Commenters asked whether affirmative action programs were permissible or required.

Response: Appropriate remedial action (sometimes referred to as “affirmative action”) must be undertaken to overcome the effects of past discrimination. Also, certain voluntary affirmative action measures are permissible under the Department’s Title VI, Title IX, and Section 504 regulations, when a recipient finds such measures useful or necessary to correct societal discrimination or patterns of segregation or discrimination. The Secretary and the President have issued statements urging recipients to adopt and continue voluntary affirmative action programs in admissions, recruitment, counseling, and employment.

47. Comment: A commenter asked whether children attending private racially discriminatory academies may also attend Federally assisted vocational schools.

Response: On April 26, 1976, the Office for Civil Rights announced that “children enrolled in a non-public school cannot participate in the public school program if the non-public school engaged in discriminatory practices prohibited by Title VI. Even though the non-public school is not a recipient, the employment activities of an employer under Section 504, and on available remedies in the event of discrimination. Information or materials that may assist recipients in meeting this responsibility are available from the Office for Civil Rights, Office of Program and Review and Assistance.

SECTION VI—EQUAL OPPORTUNITY IN THE VOCATIONAL EDUCATION INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING.

52. Comment: Commenters recommended several changes to this section: A) “Mainstreaming” handicapped students should not be a priority; B) Sex restricted financial assistance, even subject to the conditions specified in paragraph VI-B, should be impermissible; C) Additional detail should be provided in paragraph VI-C to provide protection against unlawful discrimination; D) A new section should be added to announce recipient obligations to national origin minority persons with limited English speaking ability.

Response: The primary purpose of Section VI of the Guidelines is to record several provisions of the Department’s Title IX and Section 504 regulations that deserve emphasis in light of findings in OCR compliance reviews and complaint investigations. Proposed changes “A” and “B” are inconsistent with the Department’s regulations and therefore beyond the scope of the Guidelines project; suggestion “C” is meddlesome in that it seeks to regulate recipients unreasonably; proposed change “D” seeks a provision already included in another section of the Guidelines.
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Response: The suggestion is accepted and paragraph V-B has been revised.

50. Comment: Commenters urged that paragraph V-E endorse affirmative promotional and outreach activities.

Response: The recommendation is accepted. Voluntary affirmative action in promotional and counseling activities is endorsed through comment number 47.

51. Comment: Commenters found “unrealistic” the prohibition against counselling handicapped students toward limited career objectives (paragraph V-B).

Response: This provision allows a recipient to advise handicapped students of the difficulties they may encounter in fields not traditionally opened to them. However, the provision requires that recipients do more than merely state that such obstacles exist. The recipient must provide students with information on available vocational opportunities, on the responsibilities of an employer under Section 504, and on available remedies in the event of discrimination. Information or materials that may assist recipients in meeting this responsibility are available from the Office for Civil Rights, Office of Program and Review and Assistance.

SECTION VII—WORK STUDY, COOPERATIVE VOCATIONAL EDUCATION, JOB PLACEMENT, AND APPRENTICE TRAINING

53. Comment: Commenters argued that the requirements of Section VII are too burdensome. They believe that OCR has never intended recipients to monitor employers and unions for discrimination.

Response: Vocational education administrators misperceive the nature of Section VII requirements. Under the Department’s civil rights regulations recipients are prohibited from engaging in any service, activity, or program in a discriminatory manner. Work study, cooperative education, and job placement are recipient programs or activities and for this reason may not be marred by unlawful discrimination. There is evidence, for example, that school officials are honoring requests from employers for persons of a particular race or sex or for persons free of handicaps. This is unlawful discrimination by both the recipient and the employer. Moreover, the Congress is not mindless; it does not enact idle legislation. It would not appropriate more than half billion dollars annually under the Vocational Education Act for both nondiscriminatory job training programs and discriminatory job placement programs.

54. Comment: Commenters suggested that the Guidelines' civil rights regulations require recipients to obtain an assurance of nondiscrimination from employers that participate in cooperative education, work study, and job placement programs. Others suggested that paragraph VII-A should require school officials to collect, review, and maintain data reflecting the race, sex, national origin, and handicap of students participating in these programs.

Response: The addition of a written assurance to existing written agreements (e.g., cooperative vocational education agreements) is a reasonable and useful measure. This requirement has been added to the Guidelines. To date, OCR investigators have not been frustrated by inadequate recipient records, and the data collection suggestion is therefore not accepted.

55. Comment: Commenters urged that paragraph VII-B be rewritten to allow potential employers to discriminate on the basis of handicap if the handicap prevents a person from performing the job. One commenter stated, for example, that a roofing company need not hire an individual without two legs as a prospective employee if the job requires an ability to climb a ladder carrying 90 pounds of materials.

Response: Employers may not discriminate on the basis of handicap against otherwise qualified handicapped persons. Prospective employers are permitted to make preemployment
inquiries into an applicant's ability to perform job-related functions. Note, however, employers are required to "reasonably accommodate" the special needs of a handicapped employee or applicant for employment if it does not result in an "undue hardship" for the employer. In the example provided by the commenter, a small roof-ty will not experience "undue hardship" if it provides a reader for a blind applicant for employment. See paragraph VIII-E of the Guidelines. Additional information on the principles of "undue hardship" and "reasonable accommodation" can be obtained from the Office for Civil Rights, Office of Program Review and Assistance.

56. Comment: Commenters objected to the phrasing in paragraph VII-A suggesting that a recipient must control an employer's policies and practices.

Response: A recipient cannot control the policies or practices of an employer. However, a recipient must determine whether an employer discriminates and if necessary divorce itself from the discriminating employer.

57. Comment: Commenters asked whether recipients are prohibited from entering work study and cooperative education agreements with employers that have remedied their discriminatory policies and practices.

Response: Recipients are free to enter into agreements with such employers.

58. Comment: A commenter argued that prospective employers in cooperative placement activities should not be covered by these Guidelines because they are "ultimate beneficiaries" under 45 CFR § 84.3(d).

Response: The requirements of the Guidelines apply to recipients of Federal funds, not to prospective employers. Recipients must take measures to free their programs and activities of employers who unfailingly discriminate. It is unnecessary, therefore, to determine whether prospective employers are "ultimate beneficiaries."

59. Comment: Commenters asked whether the requirement of nondiscrimination in apprentice training applies only to programs sponsored by unions.

Response: Paragraph VII-B applies to registered and non-registered apprentice training programs whether sponsored by a union, an individual employer, a group of employees, an employer-employee or state agency, or a governmental agency. The text of paragraph VII-B has therefore been revised to cover a "labor union or other sponsor." All, also, sponsors of apprentice programs are subject to the Department of Labor Guidelines for Nondiscrimination in All Apprentice Programs (29 CFR Part 30).

Section VIII—Employment of Faculty and Staff

60. Comment: Commenters argued that the Department's Title VI employment jurisdiction extends only to employees who work directly with students. They state that the Department has no authority to act on complaints of employment discrimination against "administrators or applicants for employment."

Response: The Guidelines have been revised to reflect the Department's current interpretation of its authority. If and when it is revised or modified, the new policy will be announced and will supersede the Guidelines.

61. Comment: Commenters stated that the Department has no authority to accept or resolve employment discrimination complaints under Title IX.

Response: The Guidelines reflect the Department's current interpretation of its authority. Several cases raising this issue are now pending in the courts of appeal. If and when this litigation results in controlling holdings that the Department has no employment jurisdiction under Title IX, the Department's regulations and these Guidelines will be revised.

62. Comment: Commenters suggested that under a recent decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, Trueser v. Libbie Rehabilitation Center, — F.2d — (4th Cir. 1978), the Department has no authority to accept or resolve employment discrimination complaints under Section 504.

Response: The Guidelines reflect the Department's current interpretation of its authority. If and when it must be revised to conform to controlling judicial decisions, the new policy will be announced and will supersede the Guidelines.

63. Comment: Commenters stated that the definition of a "qualified handicapped" person under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and the Guidelines is at odds with the Department of Labor's definition under Section 503 of the Rehabilitation Act.

Response: The Department of HEW is presently reviewing with the Department of Labor the inconsistencies between their definitions. The Guidelines reflect the Department's current view. If and when it is revised or modified, the Department's regulation and these Guidelines will be revised.

64. Comment: Commenters objected to paragraph VIII-F on the ground that it establishes requirements inconsistent with Bakke.

Response: The Guidelines require remedial action to overcome the effects of past discrimination. Bakke permits, among other activities, such "affirmative action."

65. Comment: Commenters objected to paragraph VIII-C as "presuming guilt" before an investigation is conducted.

Response: Although alternative language was considered, no change has been made in the Guidelines. It is not the intention now the effect of the Guidelines to make baseless presumptions or findings. Rather, statistical patterns result in inferences that additional evidence may rebut. The Office for Civil Rights will not find unlawful discrimination solely on the basis of statistical data or without affording a recipient an opportunity for rebuttal.

66. Comment: Commenters urged that the Guidelines require recipients to maintain and submit data on its employment practices.

Response: This suggestion was rejected. Records maintained and submitted by recipients under other authorities have satisfied the needs of OCR investigators.

67. Comment: Commenters asked whether this section applies to State agencies.

Response: All recipients of Federal financial assistance from the Department, as specified in Section I, are covered by Section VIII. This also explains the requirement of paragraph II-A(4).

68. Comment: Commenters stated that paragraph VIII-C should require that a recipient may rebut a presumption of unlawful employment discrimination through evidence that qualified persons of a protected group were not available to the individual school district or to the vocational education center.

Response: Statistical evidence may include proof that: (1) Members of a protected group were recruited without success; or (2) Identified persons of a protected group were offered employment opportunities that were declined.

Section IX—Proprietary Vocational Education Schools

69. Comment: A commenter argued that a tuition grant or loan to a student in attendance at a proprietary school is not Federal financial assistance to the proprietary school. Rather it is compensation paid for a direct service—a "procurement contract." It is argued that proprietary schools are therefore not subject to the Department's regulations. These Guidelines, therefore, also, cover proprietary (i.e., other than non-profit) schools.
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public or nonprofit) educational institutions that receive tuition from students participating in Federal tuition grant programs. It is beyond the scope of the Guidelines project to reconsider established Department policy.

DAVID S. TATEL,
Director,
Office for Civil Rights.


(FR Doc. 79-8561 Filed 3-20-79; 8:45 am)

[6712-01-M]

Title 47—Telecommunication

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

(Docket No. 20790; FCC 79-134)

SETTING UP A SINGLE SYSTEM OF IDENTIFICATION FOR ALL DEVICES COVERED UNDER THE EQUIPMENT AUTHORIZATION PROGRAM

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Report and Order.

SUMMARY: This is a Revision of Parts 2, 15, 18, and 83 of FCC Rules to replace former non-uniform and inconsistent identification requirements for radiofrequency devices with a single simpler system of identification for all such devices subject to the FCC Equipment Authorization program.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Milton C. Mobley or John T. Robinson, Federal Communications Commission, Laboratory Division, P.O. Box 40, Laurel, MD 20810, Tel: 301-725-1585.

REPORT AND ORDER—PROCEEDING TERMINATED


By the Commission: Chairman Ferris issuing an additional statement; Commissioner Fogarty concurring in the result.

In the matter of Revisions of Parts 2, 15, 18, and 83 of the rules and regulations to set up a single system of identification for all devices covered under the equipment authorization program, Docket No. 20790

1. On May 6, 1976, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in Docket No. 20790,1 proposing to amend various sections in Parts 2, 15, 18, and 83 of the rules, relating to equipment identification, for equipment required to be covered by grants of equipment authorization issued by the Commission prior to lawful marketing. The sections to be amended all contain requirements for equipment identification, with wide variation in the present requirements as to the type of information to be listed on the equipment identification plates. It is not uncommon for a given device to be subject to two or more equipment authorization procedures, with different requirements for each. This causes problems for the grantee in trying to comply with the various identification requirements and for the Commission with regard to indexing and listing authorized equipment.

It also leads to inexact identification of equipment on documents submitted to the U.S. Customs Service and has caused a number of importation problems.

2. A major part of the identification problem has been caused by the fact that equipment marketers have for many years used a variety of terms, such as Model, Type, Catalog Number, and the like as prefixes for the actual product identification number. The terms Model and Type have also been used more or less interchangeably in the Commission’s Rules relating to grants of equipment authorization. In the consumer equipment area, there are many cases of equipment electrically identical being marketed under two or more model or type numbers because of the need of the marketer to distinguish differences in cabinet styling, color and the like. Under the present rules, this requires a grant of equipment authorization for each version of the equipment, and entails additional costs for both the grantee and the Commission. Included among the objectives of this rulemaking is abandonment of the use of the term Model or Type as an equipment identification prefix for equipment authorization, and instead to use an identifier assigned by the Commission for each authorized equipment. An equipment so authorized may include a family of several models or types that are electrically identical but differ from one another in cabinet style, color, or other ways not affecting the ability of the equipment to comply with the applicable technical standards, providing the prospective grantee in his application elects this option and lists these models or types and the way(s) in which they differ from the basic equipment. Additional models or types may be proposed for inclusion in an existing authorization by filing a supplementary application at a later date.

3. The rules adopted herein establish a single system of identification for all equipment subject to the Commission’s equipment authorization requirements, except that equipment required to be registered under Part 68. These rules will go into effect 18 months after the effective date of this Order, except that a grantee of an equipment authorization may elect to comply with the new identification procedure at an earlier date. These rules require that an FCC-assigned identification (FCC Identifier) be displayed on the nameplate of each authorized device. This identifier shall consist of three elements.

A coded identifier for the grantee (or grantee/trade name), consisting of three alpha-numeric characters.

A coded identifier for the manufacturer, consisting of three alpha-numeric characters.

The identifier for the particular equipment, or family of equipments, assigned by the grantee.

Example: ACF2B9324B6

ACF........... Grantee (or grantee/trade name) code.
2B9........... Manufacturer code.
324B6......... Number assigned by the grantee to the product or family of technically identical products.

Encoding of the identifiers for the grantee (or grantee/trade name) and manufacturer is needed because it is common practice for certain equipment to be marketed only under trade names, without the name of either the grantee or manufacturer on the equipment. Moreover, it is known that there are many instances in which more than one grantee is marketing equipment under the same trade name. This is a major problem in after-market identification of equipment, and in identification during importation. While it is not desired nor intended to affect such arrangements in the marketplace, there is a need for assurance of identification. Consumer items sold under trade names make up the larger part of the number of regulated devices sold in an average year. Inability to assure proper identification has caused many problems, particularly for imported items.

4. A nearly identical system is already employed for identification of telephone equipment registered under Part 68 of the rules. Because that part requires the complete identifier to be assigned by the Commission, and the nameplate also must display certain information peculiar to telephone equipment (and not relevant to other devices covered under the equipment authorization program), we have not proposed full unification of Part 68 devices under this proposal. However, the system adopted herein for assignment of coded identifiers to grantees (or grantee/trade name) and manufacturers is already in use for devices registered under Part 68.
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