

earlier by airmail letters issued on October 18, 1976.

(Sections 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423) and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in East Point, Georgia, on October 27, 1976.

PHILLIP M. SWATEK,
Director, Southern Region.

[FR Doc.76-32709 Filed 11-5-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-EA-51]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Extension of VOR Federal Airway

On August 16, 1976, a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 34650) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would extend V-170 and V-312 Federal Airways north-east of Andrews, Md.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rulemaking through the submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., December 30, 1976, as hereinafter set forth.

Section 71.123 (41 FR 307, 20650) is amended as follows:

1. In V-170 "Modena," is deleted and "Modena; New Castle, Del.; INT New Castle 222° and Andrews, Md., 060° radials; to INT Andrews 060° and Baltimore, Md., 165° radials." is substituted therefor.

2. In V-312 "From Woodstown, N.J.," is deleted and "From INT Andrews, Md., 060° and Baltimore, Md., 165° radials, via INT Andrews, 060° and Woodstown, N.J., 230° radials; Woodstown;" is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 29, 1976.

WILLIAM E. BROADWATER,
Chief, Airspace and Air
Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76-32535 Filed 11-5-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-WA-7]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Airways and Reporting Points

On August 19, 1976, a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 35072) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering

an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would redesignate HAZZY and ZANDA reporting points and the airways associated with the relocation of the Petersburg, Alaska, NDB.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. No comments were received. The background information in the NPRM contained an obvious error in that the airway would move westward rather than eastward. Since an additional statement accurately gave the geographic location of the new NDB, the direction that the airways would move could not be mistaken. For this reason, a correction to the NPRM background information was not published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., December 30, 1976, as hereinafter set forth.

Section 71.211 (41 FR 635) is amended as follows:

1. In HAZZY: the description is deleted and "Lat. 56°19'14" N., Long. 134°17'19" W. (INT Sitka, Alaska, NDB 127° and Petersburg, Alaska, NDB 238° bearings)." is substituted therefor.

2. In ZANDA: the description is deleted and "Lat. 56°09'10" N., Long. 134°44'52" W. (INT Sitka, Alaska, NDB 148°, and Petersburg, Alaska, NDB 238° bearings)." is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 29, 1976.

WILLIAM E. BROADWATER,
Chief, Airspace and Air
Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76-32537 Filed 11-5-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-GL-27]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Federal Airways

On September 27, 1976, a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 42219) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would (1) add a north alternate to V-26 between Lansing, Mich., and Salem, Mich.; (2) revoke a segment of V-98 from the Hudson intersection to Carleton, Mich.; (3) realign V-100 between Litchfield, Mich., and Carleton, Mich.; (4) realign V-275 between Salem, Mich., and Dayton, Ohio.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rulemaking through the submission of comments. One response to the NPRM was received. The commentor posed no objection to the proposal.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., December 30, 1976, as hereinafter set forth.

Section 71.123 (41 FR 704, 35059 and 44688) is amended as follows:

In V-26 "Salem, Mich.;" is deleted and "Salem, Mich., including a north alternate via INT Lansing 103° and Salem 308° radials;" is substituted therefor.

In V-98 "INT Litchfield, Mich., 126° and Carleton, Mich., 249° radials; Carleton;" is deleted and "Carleton, Mich.;" is substituted therefor.

In V-100 "Carleton, Mich." is deleted and "INT Litchfield 104° and Carleton, Mich., 258° radials; Carleton." is substituted therefor.

In V-275 "011° and Salem, Mich., 197°" is deleted and "007° and Salem, Mich., 202°" is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 29, 1976.

WILLIAM E. BROADWATER,
Chief, Airspace and Air
Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76-32539 Filed 11-5-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-RM-19]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Designation of A Transition Area

On September 27, 1976, a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 42220) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would designate a transition area at Sterling, Colorado.

Interested persons were given 30 days in which to submit written comments, suggestions or objections. No objections have been received and the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change.

Effective date: This amendment shall be effective 0901 G.m.t., December 30, 1976.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)), and of sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Aurora, Colorado, on October 29, 1976.

L. R. ROBISON,
Acting Director,
Rocky Mountain Region.

In Federal Aviation Regulation § 71.181 (41 FR 440) add the following transition area:

STERLING, COLORADO

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 10.5 mile radius of the Crosson Field Airport (latitude 40°36'58" N., longitude 103°15'48" W.) and that airspace within 9.5 miles west and 4.5 miles east of the 163° T bearing from the Batten NDB (latitude

40°31'56" N., longitude 103°13'45" W.) extending from the 10.5 mile radius area to 18.5 miles south of the Batten NDB and within 5 miles each side of the 023° T bearing from Crosson Field extending from the 10.5 mile radius area to 23.5 miles north-east of Crosson Field Airport.

[FE Doc.76-32710 Filed 11-5-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-RM-10]

PART 73—SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE **Alteration of Restricted Areas**

On July 22, 1976, a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 30138) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would redesignate the upper altitude limits of R-6402 and R-6407 at Dugway Proving Ground, Dugway, Utah, to FL-580.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rulemaking through the submission of comments. No comments were received.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., December 30, 1976, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 73.64 (41 FR 694) the designated altitudes for R-6402 and R-6407 are amended to read as follows:

1. R-6402 Dugway Proving Ground, Dugway, Utah. Designated altitudes. Surface to Flight Level 580.
2. R-6407 Dugway Proving Ground, Dugway, Utah. Designated altitudes. Surface to Flight Level 580.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c)).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 29, 1976.

WILLIAM E. BROADWATER,
Chief, Airspace and Air
Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76-32538 Filed 11-5-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-GL-28]

PART 75—ESTABLISHMENT OF JET ROUTES AND AREA HIGH ROUTES

Alteration of Jet Routes

On September 30, 1976, a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 43187) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 75 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would realign J-30 and J-64 and also renumber a jet route in the vicinity of Fort Wayne, Ind.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rulemaking through the submission of comments. No comments were received.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 75 of the Federal Aviation Regulations

is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., December 30, 1976, as hereinafter set forth.

Section 75.100 (41 FR 704) is amended as follows:

1. In J-30 all between "Nodine, Minn.; and Appleton, Ohio;" is deleted and "Joliet, Ill.;" is substituted therefor.
2. In J-64 "Fort Wayne, Ind., 279° radials;" is deleted and "Fort Wayne, Ind., 280° radials;" is substituted therefor.
3. J-178 is added as follows: "Jet Route No. 178 From Fort Wayne, Ind., to Appleton, Ohio."

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); and sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c)).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 29, 1976.

WILLIAM E. BROADWATER,
Chief, Airspace and Air
Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76-32538 Filed 11-5-76;8:45 am]

Title 17—Commodity and Securities Exchanges

CHAPTER II—SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-12935]

PART 240—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS, SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Program for Allocation of Regulatory Responsibilities

The Securities and Exchange Commission today announced the adoption of Rule 17d-2 (17 CFR 240.17d-2) (hereinafter "§ 240.17d-2"), effective December 15, 1976, under section 17(d) (1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") (15 U.S.C. 78a et seq.), as amended by Pub. L. No. 94-29 (June 4, 1975). This section was proposed in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 12352 (April 20, 1976) and was published in 41 FR 18808 (May 7, 1976). It is adopted, with certain modifications, as proposed.

Section 240.17d-2 calls upon self-regulatory organizations to propose to the Commission plans for allocating among themselves specified regulatory responsibilities with respect to members or participants which they have in common. Initial plans may be filed with the Commission within ninety (90) days of the effective date of the rule, i.e., on or before March 15, 1977, or at such later time as the Commission may determine at the conclusion of the hearings. See announcement of hearings under the Securities and Exchange Commission in the Notices section of this issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER.

BACKGROUND

Under Section 19(g) (1) of the Exchange Act each self-regulatory organization must, among other things, enforce the provisions of the Exchange Act, the rules and regulations thereunder, as well as its own rules as to each of its members or participants and as to

persons associated with its members.¹ Absent Commission action relieving a self-regulatory organization of specified responsibilities pursuant to sections 19 (g) (2) ² or 17(d) (1) of the Exchange Act, where any person is a member or participant of more than one self-regulatory organization, more than one such organization would be required, by virtue of section 19(g) (1) of the Exchange Act, to enforce compliance as to that member or participant.

Reflecting the increased concern of Congress, the Commission, the self-regulatory community, and the securities industry as well as the investing public, with duplication of regulatory effort and gaps or overlaps in regulation, section 17(d) (1) (A) of the Exchange Act, specifically grants authority to the Commission to coordinate the execution of regulatory responsibilities by self-regulatory organizations having members or participants in common.

With respect to persons which are members or participants of more than one self-regulatory organization, section 17(d) (1) (A) authorizes the Commission, by rule or order, in a manner consistent with the public interest and the protection of investors to relieve any self-regulatory organization of the responsibility to receive regulatory reports, to examine for and enforce compliance with the provisions of the Exchange Act, its rules and regulations, and the rules of the self-regulatory organization. It also grants the Commission authority to relieve such self-regulatory organizations of responsibility to carry out other specified regulatory functions.

In granting such relief, section 17(d) (1) of the Exchange Act directs the Commission to consider the regulatory capabilities and procedures of the self-regulatory organization, availability of staff, convenience of location, unnecessary regulatory duplication, and any other factors the Commission determines to be germane to the protection of investors, cooperation and coordination among self-regulatory organizations, and the development of a national market system and a national system for the clearance and settlement of securities transactions.

ADOPTION OF § 240.17d-2

After consideration of the public comments, the Commission hereby adopts,

¹ As to its members which are municipal securities brokers or municipal securities dealers, a registered securities association must also enforce compliance with the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board.

² Section 19(g) (2) confers on the Commission broad authority to relieve any self-regulatory organization of any responsibility under the Exchange Act to enforce compliance. See proposed Rule 19g2-1, Securities Exchange Act Release No. 12483 (May 26, 1976), 41 FR 22959 (June 8, 1976).

with certain technical modifications,² § 240.17d-2 under the Exchange Act.

Section 240.17d-2 establishes the procedural foundation for a comprehensive allocation of regulatory responsibility and promotes the cooperation of self-regulators in assessing their regulatory capabilities.

The section provides that any two or more self-regulatory organizations may join in making a proposal to the Commission for allocation of specified regulatory functions as to members or participants which they have in common. The section specifically contemplates that, as to those members or participants, self-regulators may propose allocation of the responsibility to receive regulatory reports, to examine for compliance and to enforce compliance with specified provisions of the Exchange Act, the rules and regulations thereunder and their own rules as well as other specified regulatory functions. Paragraph (a) of § 240.17d-2 indicates that a proposal for allocation should be made in the form of a plan.

A substantial majority of commentators supported the adoption of the section as proposed. Commentators who did not support the proposal appeared to be primarily concerned with the possible adverse impact of its implementation on presently existing programs or organizations. In addition, some comments questioned the appropriateness of allocating regulatory responsibilities with respect to municipal securities brokers and municipal securities dealers.⁴

These concerns are relevant primarily in assessing particular allocations which may be proposed under the section rather than the validity of the proposal as a method for stimulating analysis of the current patterns of regulation and promoting efforts to make regulation more efficient and effective. Therefore, the Commission has determined that it will assess these issues in connection with specific plans for allocation which may be submitted under this section and areas appropriate for allocation in light of the factors set forth in section 17(d) (1) of the Exchange Act and the Commission's broad authority to coordinate the development of the national market

system and national system for clearance and settlement of securities transactions pursuant to sections 11A and 17(d) of the Exchange Act.

Initial plans for allocation may be filed by self-regulatory organizations on or before March 15, 1977, or at such later date as the Commission may determine at the conclusion of the hearings. The Commission will evaluate all plans as it deems appropriate and coordinate allocations of regulatory functions.

Any plan should contain all informational material to Commission evaluation of the plan, including the names of self-regulatory organizations which are parties to the plan, the names of members or participants which they have in common, the name of the self-regulatory organization recommended to assume responsibility, a complete statement of the regulatory responsibilities which such self-regulator will assume, and a brief explanation of the basis for the proposed allocation. Paragraph (e) makes clear that more than one such plan may be filed by a self-regulatory organization in conjunction with other self-regulators. Pursuant to paragraph (b), the plans may provide for allocation of expenses.

Under paragraph (c) of this section, subsequent to the initial filing of plans within the ninety (90) day period, self-regulators may propose to the Commission changes in their plans as amendments appear necessary or appropriate. This encourages a continuing reassessment of the effect and the needs of the industry by self-regulatory organizations both with respect to individual members or participants and particular regulatory functions. By providing a mechanism for varying allocations of responsibility, § 240.17d-2 permits the regulatory pattern to adapt promptly to the requirements of the evolving national market system and national system for clearance and settlement of securities transactions.

In the event that plans, or parts thereof, declared effective by the Commission do not provide for all members or participants or do not allocate all regulatory functions, under paragraph (g) the Commission may allocate, in light of the factors set forth in section 17(d) (1) of the Exchange Act, such responsibilities to appropriate self-regulatory organizations after providing notice to the affected parties and permitting an opportunity for comment.

Under paragraph (d) of this section, when the Commission has declared a plan effective, any self-regulatory organization which is a party to the plan shall be relieved of responsibility as to any person or regulatory function for whom such responsibility is allocated under the plan to another self-regulatory organization to the extent of such allocation.

Paragraph (c) of this section provides that the Commission may declare any plan or any part of a plan effective if the Commission finds that it is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and for the protection of investors, to foster

cooperation and coordination among self-regulatory organizations, or to remove impediments to and foster the development of the national market system and a national system for the clearance and settlement of securities transactions. The Commission will provide written notice of the effectiveness of any plan, or any part of a plan, to the parties to the plan.

In proposing this section, the Commission specifically solicited comments on the necessity or desirability of requiring any self-regulator relieved of responsibility under the section to notify customers of its members or participants of the extent of its responsibilities. With one exception, commentators expressed the view that, since an allocation will not adversely affect the public interest or investor protection, providing notice requires unnecessary expense. In addition, they noted that it would be difficult to provide meaningful notice to customers, particularly where regulatory functions as to a particular member or participant were allocated pursuant to multiple effective plans.

Since the nature, extent, and complexity of plans which may be filed pursuant to this section is not ascertainable at this time, the Commission has determined to adopt paragraph (f) as proposed. Paragraph (f) provides that self-regulators relieved of responsibility pursuant to paragraphs (c) and (g) may, but are not required to, notify customers of, or persons doing business with, its members or participants of any limitations on its responsibilities under the Exchange Act. As such, this paragraph reiterates the provisions of section 17(d) (1) of the Exchange Act.

IMPACT ON COMPETITION

Following the mandate of section 23(a) of the Exchange Act, as amended, the Commission has considered the impact of the adoption of § 240.17d-2 and the allocation program. The Commission finds that the adoption of this section will provide a mechanism for alleviating unnecessary regulatory duplication and, consequently, reducing unnecessary expenses of regulation. Therefore, the Commission finds that any burden on competition which § 240.17d-2 imposes is necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Exchange Act and the implementation of section 17(d) of the Exchange Act.

EFFECTIVE DATE

Section 240.17d-2 is effective on December 15, 1976.

STATUTORY BASIS

Section 240.17d-2 is hereby adopted pursuant to Sections 2, 6, 11A, 15A, 17, 17A, 19 and 23 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, and sections 78b, f, q-1, o-3, q, s, and w of Title 15 of the United States Code.

Since the modifications made in § 240.17d-2 as proposed are technical in nature, the Commission finds, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. section 551 et seq.), that further notice and public procedure are

² Rule 17d-2 as adopted (17 CFR 240.17d-2) modifies the proposal as follows: to include a reference to a national system for clearance and settlement of securities transactions in paragraph (c); to prescribe in paragraph (c) that plans would be declared effective by written notice; to clarify that the Commission under paragraph (g) may designate responsibility as to members or participants or regulatory functions not provided for by an effective plan, after notice and opportunity for comment; and to specify that self-regulators may notify customers of relief of responsibility resulting from Commission action under paragraph (g). In addition, some stylistic changes were made in paragraphs (d), (f), and (g).

⁴ Sections 19(g) (1) and 15A(b) (2) of the Exchange Act as well as sections 6(b) (1) and 17A(b) (3) (A) appear to authorize the Commission to relieve any self-regulatory organization of any responsibility which would be otherwise imposed by the Exchange Act.

not necessary as a prerequisite to the adoption of this section.

By the Commission.

GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

OCTOBER 28, 1976.

17 CFR Part 240 is amended as follows:

Commission action: Pursuant to Sections 2, 6, 11A, 15A, 17, 17A, 19, and 23 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Securities and Exchange Commission adopts Rule 17d-2 [240.17d-2] in Chapter II of Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations to read as follows:

§ 240.17d-2 Program for allocation of regulatory responsibility.

(a) Any two or more self-regulatory organizations may file with the Commission within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this rule, and thereafter as changes in designation are necessary or appropriate, a plan for allocating among the self-regulatory organizations the responsibility to receive regulatory reports from persons who are members or participants of more than one of such self-regulatory organizations to examine such persons for compliance, or to enforce compliance by such persons, with specified provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the rules and regulations thereunder, and the rules of such self-regulatory organizations, or to carry out other specified regulatory functions with respect to such persons.

(b) Any plan filed hereunder may contain provisions for the allocation among the parties of expenses reasonably incurred by the self-regulatory organization having regulatory responsibilities under the plan.

(c) After appropriate notice and opportunity for comment, the Commission may, by written notice, declare such a plan, or any part of the plan, effective if it finds the plan, or any part thereof, necessary or appropriate in the public interest and for the protection of investors, to foster cooperation and coordination among self-regulatory organizations, or to remove impediments to and foster the development of the national market system and a national system for the clearance and settlement of securities transactions and in conformity with the factors set forth in section 17(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

(d) Upon the effectiveness of such a plan or part thereof, any self-regulatory organization which is a party to the plan shall be relieved of responsibility as to any person for whom such responsibility is allocated under the plan to another self-regulatory organization to the extent of such allocation.

(e) Nothing herein shall preclude any self-regulatory organization from entering into more than one plan filed hereunder.

(f) After the Commission has declared a plan or part thereof effective pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section or acted

pursuant to paragraph (g) of this section, a self-regulatory organization relieved of responsibility may notify customers of, and persons doing business with, such member or participant of the limited nature of its responsibility for such member's or participant's acts, practices, and course of business.

(g) In the event that plans declared effective pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section do not provide for all members or participants or do not allocate all regulatory responsibilities, the Commission may, after due consideration of the factors enumerated in section 17(d) (1) and notice and opportunity for comment, designate one or more of the self-regulatory organizations responsible for specified regulatory responsibilities with respect to such members or participants.

[FR Doc.76-32643 Filed 11-5-76; 8:45 am]

Title 24—Housing and Urban Development

CHAPTER X—FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

SUBCHAPTER B—NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

[Docket No. FI-1066]

PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determinations for City of East Point, Fulton County, Georgia

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood

Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90-448), (42 U.S.C. 4001-4128), and 24 CFR Part 1917 (§ 1917-10)), hereby gives notice of his final determinations of flood elevations for the City of East Point, Fulton County, Georgia under § 1917.8 of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

The Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas. In order to continue participation in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with these criteria and reflect the base flood elevations determined by the Secretary in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910.

In accordance with Part 1917, an opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. Pursuant to § 1917.8, no appeals were received from the community or from individuals within the community. Therefore, publication of this notice is in compliance with § 1917.10.

Final flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations are available for review at the Bulletin Board, City Hall, East Point.

Accordingly, the Administrator has determined the 100-year (i.e., flood with one-percent chance of annual occurrence) flood elevations as set forth below:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet above mean sea level	Width in feet from bank of stream to 100-yr flood boundary facing downstream	
			Left	Right
Headland Branch	Conally Drive Bridge	867	80	100
	Headland Drive Bridge	869	150	200
Farley Branch	Conally Drive Bridge	869	400	80
	Headland Drive Bridge	869	80	60
South Utoy Creek	Stanton Road Bridge	903	30	150
	McClelland Ave.	909	40	110
Smith Creek	Interstate 285 Bridge	850	230	230
	Prince George Street Bridge	868	70	130
	Duke of Gloucester Street Bridge	894	100	100
North Fork	Dogwood Drive Bridge	864	420	80
Camp Creek	Dodson Drive Bridge	881	100	100
	Boulder Way Bridge	906	50	80
Mimms Creek	1,000 ft upstream from confluence with Camp Creek	836	150	270
	2,500 ft upstream from confluence with Camp Creek	845	50	20
Fur Creek	Washington Road Bridge	849	150	100
	Pelot Road Bridge	878	90	100
Sun Valley Creek	Janice Drive Bridge	933	250	280
	Carmel Drive Bridge	964	100	70
Camp Creek	West corporate limit	826	170	170
	Interstate 285 Bridge	836	170	250
	Washington Road Bridge	846	200	60
	Calmer Circle	861	40	100

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001-4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: August 30, 1976.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-32479 Filed 11-5-76; 8:45 am]