

Exploring the Impact of Leadership Styles on Employee Motivation and Performance in the Digital Age: Evidence from Businesses in the Creative Industries

Francis Chukwuedo Achi
York St John University
London Campus, United Kingdom
f.achi@yorks.ac.uk

Bilal Zaghmout
York St John University
London Campus, United Kingdom
b.zaghmout@hotmail.com

Uma Ema
York St John University
London Campus, United Kingdom
u.padminiema@yorks.ac.uk

Adebowale Adeshipo
York St John University
London Campus, United Kingdom
a.adeshipo@yorks.ac.uk

Exploring the Impact of Leadership Styles on Employee Motivation and Performance in the Digital Age: Evidence from Businesses in the Creative Industries

Abstract

This research delves into the dynamic interplay between leadership styles and employee motivation and performance within the context of the digital age. The leadership style employed by a leader may influence the motivation and performance of employees. Likewise, foster a strong organisational culture combined with the flexibility to adopt new tactics and systems in the digital era. Through a comprehensive examination of various leadership approaches, including transformational and servant leadership, the study aims to shed light on how different leadership styles impact employee motivation and performance in digital work environments. Additionally, the research explores the moderating effects of organizational culture and technological infrastructure on the relationship between leadership styles and employee outcomes.

Keywords: Leadership styles, employee motivation, performance, digital age, transformational leadership, servant leadership, Creative Industries

Word count: 1859

Introduction

In today's rapidly evolving digital landscape, organizations are grappling with the challenge of motivating and empowering their employees to perform at their best. Effective leadership plays a pivotal role in shaping employee attitudes, behaviours, and performance outcomes in this dynamic environment. However, the proliferation of digital technologies and remote work arrangements has introduced new complexities and considerations for leaders seeking to inspire and engage their teams. Organizational leaders must recognize the dynamic nature of the digital landscape and adapt their leadership styles accordingly to meet the evolving needs and expectations of their employees.

This study seeks to explore the impact of different leadership styles on employee motivation and performance within the unique context of the digital age. Specifically, the research aims to examine the influence of transformational leadership, known for its ability to inspire and empower followers, on employee motivation in digital work environments. Furthermore, the study will investigate the role of servant leadership, characterized by a focus on serving the needs of others, in fostering employee engagement and commitment to organizational goals amidst digital disruptions.

Context

In order for organisations to thrive in the ever-changing digital world, leadership styles are essential in promoting innovation, creativity, and knowledge-sharing. Employee performance and motivation within an organisation may be impacted by the sort of leadership style a leader uses. Likewise, in most firms, the workplace culture has undergone substantial changes (Holzmann and Mazzini, 2020; Lundqvist et. al., 2022). This research will attempt to contribute to our understanding of the intricate relationship between leadership styles and employee outcomes in the digital age, highlighting the importance of strategic leadership approaches in driving organizational success in the creative industries. Accordingly, Mallia (2019) asserted that those who want to succeed in the creative sectors must learn how to lead creative individuals. The foundations of leadership and innovation that foster it will remain and provide value throughout time. Hence, as organizations operating within that sphere continue to navigate the complexities of digital transformation, effective leadership will remain a cornerstone for motivating and empowering employees to thrive in the digital era.

Research Aim Objectives:

The aim of this research is to investigate the influence of different leadership styles on employee motivation and performance in the context of the digital age. The specific objectives include:

- I. To examine the relationship between transformational leadership and employee motivation in digital work environments.
- II. To explore the role of servant leadership in fostering employee engagement and commitment to organizational goals in the digital era.
- III. To identify potential moderating factors, such as organizational culture and technological infrastructure, that may influence the relationship between leadership styles and employee outcomes in the digital age.

Research Questions

1. How does transformational leadership influence employee motivation in the context of digital work environments?
2. How does servant leadership contribute to employee engagement and commitment to organizational goals in the digital era?
3. What moderating factors, such as organizational culture and technological infrastructure, influence the relationship between leadership styles and employee outcomes in the digital age?

Literature Review:

Transformational leadership influence employee motivation in the context of digital work environments:

Transformational leadership is a robust leadership model renowned for its emphasis on fostering positive interpersonal relationships, cultivating a clear and inspiring vision, and actively promoting creativity and innovation in the workplace (Holzmann and Mazzini, 2020; Yusup and Maulani, 2023). Leaders adopting this transformative approach go beyond conventional boundaries to inspire and motivate their followers, propelling them to achieve outcomes that surpass expectations. Simultaneously, these leaders are committed to nurturing individual growth and development by tailoring their leadership style to respond to the unique needs of each team member (Ellen, 2016).

The multifaceted aspects of transformational leadership, including a compelling vision, clear direction, and empowerment of employees, and collectively contribute to positively influencing employee motivation (Holzmann and Mazzini, 2020; Hooi and Chan, 2023). Moreover, transformational leadership serves as a catalyst for encouraging employees to take initiative, think creatively, and embrace calculated risks—essential attributes for fostering innovative work behavior, particularly within the dynamic landscape of digital work environments.

In the realm of workplace digitalization, transformational leaders assume a pivotal role in guiding and facilitating employees' acceptance of technological changes, thereby augmenting overall employee engagement (Sunaryo et al., 2023). By instilling a sense of purpose and shared vision, transformational leaders not only influence employee motivation but also foster an environment conducive to heightened engagement in the evolving context of digital work environments (Sunaryo et al., 2023). In essence, transformational leadership emerges as a linchpin in shaping and enhancing both employee motivation and engagement, underscoring its pivotal role in navigating the complexities of contemporary work environments characterized by digitalization (Pongton and Suntrayuth, 2019).

Servant leadership contribute to employee engagement and commitment to organizational goals in the digital era:

Servant leadership stands out as a profound and ethical manifestation of leadership behavior, particularly attuned to navigating through times of crisis and chaos (Farmanesh and Zargar, 2021). At its core, this leadership paradigm is characterized by a unique commitment, where leaders prioritize the needs and desires of their followers over their own considerations (Zargar, Sousan and Farmanesh, 2019). Embedded within the theoretical underpinnings of servant leadership is the framework of chaos theory, encompassing principles such as decentralization, task differentiation, collaboration, structural and procedural flexibility, participation, and autonomy.

Servant leaders, drawing inspiration from chaos theory, play a pivotal role in fostering work engagement among employees, thereby cultivating a conducive work climate that positively influences the overall well-being of academic staff and augments the work environment (Rahal and Farmanesh, 2022). Their impact extends to enhancing organizational citizenship behavior, a vital element contributing to employees' dedication to organizational goals (Canavesi and Minelli, 2021). The intricate interplay between servant leadership, trust, and employee engagement is further elucidated, with trust emerging as a mediating mechanism that underscores its pivotal role in cultivating commitment to organizational objectives (Qudratzai and Gul, 2022). These intricate findings underscore the profound relevance of servant leadership in the contemporary digital era, where the dynamics of work have evolved significantly. In this context, servant leadership emerges not only as an ethical leadership model but also as a strategic approach that fosters employee engagement and unwavering commitment to organizational goals.

Influence of moderating factors on the relationship between leadership styles and employee outcomes in the digital age:

The intricate interplay between leadership styles and employee outcomes in the digital age is significantly influenced by two pivotal moderating factors—organizational culture and technological infrastructure. As evidenced by the study conducted by Nhat Tan Pham et al. in 2023, leadership behavior and a supportive management style play a crucial role in inspiring employee development and training, ultimately enhancing their preparedness for the digitalization journey. The readiness of employees for digitalization, as cultivated by leadership, subsequently influences their responses to the utilization of digital technologies and fosters trust in the digitalization process.

Additionally, Máté Hargitai and Bencsik's study in 2023 explores the effects of different leadership philosophies, such as transactional, creative, and transformational methods, on information sharing via the Internet. Interestingly, this study identifies online knowledge sharing as an essential mediator in the connection between leadership philosophies and encouraging employee innovation. The complex relationships at work highlight the subtle ways that leadership philosophies can mold and impact workers' innovative contributions in the digital realm.

The research conducted by Nguyen et al. (2023) contributes to the intricate understanding of leadership in the digital era by illuminating the moderating role that empowering leadership has in the connection between different employee outcomes and digitally oriented training programs.

These results cover aspects involving overall performance, job happiness, and work-family conflict. The study's conclusions highlight the critical moderating role that empowering leadership has in influencing how digitally oriented training programs affect several facets of employee performance and well-being.

In essence, these collective findings underscore the intricate interplay between leadership styles and employee outcomes in the digital age, emphasizing the indispensable roles played by organizational culture and technological infrastructure as moderating factors.

Methodology

Research Philosophy:

The research philosophy underpinning this study is interpretivism, which aligns with the qualitative nature of the investigation. Interpretivism emphasizes the importance of understanding social phenomena from the perspective of the participants, recognizing the subjective nature of human experiences (Creswell & Poth, 2017). In the context of leadership research, interpretivism allows for a deeper exploration of the subjective interpretations and meanings attributed to leadership styles by employees in digital work environments.

Research Methods:

The primary method employed in this study is qualitative research, specifically semi-structured interviews. Qualitative research methods are well-suited for exploring complex social phenomena, such as leadership styles and their impact on employee motivation and performance, in depth (Creswell, 2013). Semi-structured interviews offer flexibility in probing participants' experiences and perspectives while allowing for the emergence of new insights and themes during data collection (Merriam, 2009).

Research Approach:

The research approach adopted in this study is thematic analysis, which is a commonly used method for analyzing qualitative data in organizational research (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Thematic analysis involves identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns or themes within the data, allowing researchers to uncover meaningful insights and understandings (Braun & Clarke, 2019). By employing thematic analysis, this study aims to identify recurring themes related to leadership styles, employee motivation, and performance in the digital age, thus contributing to a deeper understanding of the phenomenon under investigation.

Ethical Consideration:

This study ensures informed consent, confidentiality, and voluntary participation of participants. Vulnerable individuals are protected, and efforts are made to minimize harm. The study has received approval from an ethics committee, ensuring compliance with ethical standards.

Conclusion

This study intends to shed light on the significant impact of different leadership styles on employee motivation and performance in the digital age. Through the exploration of transformational and servant leadership, we will identify key mechanisms through which leaders can inspire and engage their employees in digital work environments. We will explore if transformational leadership influences employee motivation, foster innovation, creativity, and a sense of purpose among team members. Furthermore, empirically ascertain if servant leadership can be used to cultivate a culture of trust, collaboration, and organizational commitment, leading to higher levels of employee engagement and satisfaction.

Plan for developing the paper

The subsequent phases entail collecting and analysing data, writing up the findings and discussions. Afterwards, the contributions, consequences, and suggestions will be given.

References:

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2019). Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 11(4), 589-597.

Canavesi, A. and Minelli, E. (2021). Servant Leadership and Employee Engagement: A Qualitative Study. *Employee Responsibilities and Rights Journal*, [online] 34(4), pp.413–435. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10672-021-09389-9>.

Creswell, J. W. (2013). Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches. Sage publications.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2017). Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches. Sage publications.

Ellen, B.P. (2016). Transformational Leadership. *Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance*, [online] pp.1–4. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-31816-5_1343-1.

Farmanesh, P. and Zargar, P. (2021). *Trust in Leader as a Psychological Factor on Employee and Organizational Outcome*. [online] www.intechopen.com. IntechOpen. Available at: <https://www.intechopen.com/chapters/78782>.

Holzmann, V. and Mazzini, L., (2020). Applying project management to creative industries: The relationship between leadership style and project success. *Journal of Organizational Culture, Communications and Conflict*, 24(1), pp.1-17.

Hooi, L.W. and Chan, A.J. (2023). Does workplace digitalization matter in linking transformational leadership and innovative culture to employee engagement? *Journal of Organizational Change Management*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1108/jocm-06-2022-0184>.

Lundqvist, D., Reineholm, C., Ståhl, C. and Wallo, A., (2022). The impact of leadership on employee well-being: on-site compared to working from home. *BMC Public Health*, 22(1), p.2154.

Mallia, K.L., (2019). *Leadership in the creative industries: Principles and practice*. John Wiley & Sons.

Merriam, S. B. (2009). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation*. John Wiley & Sons.

Máté Hargitai, D and Bencsik, A. (2023). The Role of Leadership in Digital Learning Organizations. *Emerging science journal*, 7, pp.111–124. doi:<https://doi.org/10.28991/esj-2023-sied2-09>.

Nhat Tan Pham, Tran Hoang Tuan, Ngoc, T., Hung Trong Hoang and Hoang, G. (2023). Improving employee outcomes in the remote working context: a time-lagged study on digital-oriented training, work-to-family conflict and empowering leadership. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7941.12374>.

Nguyen, M., Sharma, P. and Malik, A. (2023). Leadership styles and employee creativity: the interactive impact of online knowledge sharing and organizational innovation. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1108/jkm-01-2023-0014>.

Pongton, P, and Suntrayuth, S. (2019). Communication Satisfaction, Employee Engagement, Job Satisfaction, and Job Performance in Higher Education Institutions. *ABAC Journal*, 39(3), pp.90–110.

Qudratzai, H. and Gul, H. (2022). Impact of Servant Leadership on Employee Engagement: Mediating Role of Trust . *Kardan Journal of Economics and Manangement Sciences*. doi: <https://doi.org/10.31841/kjems.2022.117>.

Rahal, F.E.Z.M. and Farmanesh, P. (2022). Does Servant Leadership Stimulate Work Engagement in the Workplace? The Mediating Role of Trust in Leader. *Sustainability*, 14(24), p.16528. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142416528>.

Sunaryo, W, Yusnita, N., Herfina Herfina, Wulandari, D. and Suhendra Suhendra (2023). The effects of digital transformational leadership, work environment and motivation on reinforcing job satisfaction: Evidence from vocational schools. 7(2), pp.883–890. doi: <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2022.12.023>.

Yusup, A. and Maulani, I.E. (2023). The Influence of Transformational Leadership on Employee Motivation. *Devotion Journal of Community Service*, 4(3), pp.798–802. doi: <https://doi.org/10.36418/devotion.v4i3.441>.

Zargar, P., Sousan, A. and Farmanesh, P. (2019). Does trust in leader mediate the servant leadership style – job satisfaction relationship? *Management Science Letters*, pp.2253–2268. doi: <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2019.7.028>.