

The Paradox of Narcissistic Leadership: Balancing Charisma and Toxicity in Organisational Decision-Making

Francis Chukwuedo Achi
York St John University
London Campus, United Kingdom
f.achi@yorks.ac.uk

Bilal Zaghmout
York St John University
London Campus, United Kingdom
b.zaghmout@hotmail.com

Uma Ema
York St John University
London Campus, United Kingdom
u.padminiema@yorks.ac.uk

Olanrewaju Isiak Balogun
Arden University
United Kingdom
iamolabalogun1@gmail.com

The Paradox of Narcissistic Leadership: Balancing Charisma and Toxicity in Organisational Decision-Making

Abstract

Narcissistic leadership is a paradoxical phenomenon that presents opportunities and challenges for organisations. This study explores the dual impact of narcissistic leadership on organisational performance, employee engagement, and decision-making processes. By employing a mixed-methods approach, the research examines how narcissistic traits influence strategic decision-making, motivation, and psychological safety within organisations. The findings suggest that while narcissistic leaders can drive bold and innovative strategies, they also pose risks related to ethical governance, employee well-being, and long-term sustainability. Organisational culture and governance structures play a crucial role in moderating these effects. The study contributes to leadership research by identifying best practices for managing narcissistic leadership while leveraging its strengths for strategic advantage. The implications of these findings offer valuable insights for corporate governance, leadership development, and organisational policy.

Keywords:

Narcissistic leadership, organisational performance, employee engagement, strategic decision-making, psychological safety, governance, leadership development, corporate culture

Introduction

Leadership shapes organisational success, employee motivation, and strategic direction. Among various leadership styles, narcissistic leadership has attracted significant attention due to its contradictory effects on organisational outcomes (Chatterjee & Hambrick, 2011). Narcissistic leaders exhibit traits such as grandiosity, dominance, entitlement, and a strong need for admiration, which can result in both bold strategic decision-making and toxic workplace environments (Rosenthal & Pittinsky, 2006). Despite the growing interest in this area, there is no consensus on whether narcissistic leadership is inherently beneficial or detrimental to organisations.

Existing literature presents a dual perspective on narcissistic leadership. On the one hand, narcissistic leaders are seen as visionary and confident decision-makers who take calculated risks and drive organisational innovation (Navarro et al., 2020). On the other hand, they are often associated with poor ethical judgment, self-serving behaviour, and employee dissatisfaction (O'Reilly et al., 2014). Their overconfidence and resistance to feedback can lead to strategic miscalculations, harming long-term organisational stability (Resick et al., 2009). However, research also suggests that organisational culture and governance mechanisms may mitigate the negative aspects of narcissistic leadership while enhancing its benefits (Torelli et al., 2014).

Research Aim and Objectives

This study explores the dual impact of narcissistic leadership on organisational performance, employee engagement, and decision-making processes. Specifically, the objectives are to:

1. Examine the influence of narcissistic leadership on strategic decision-making, highlighting both positive and negative consequences.
2. Investigate the impact of narcissistic leaders on employee engagement, motivation, and psychological safety, particularly in high-performance environments.
3. Analyse the role of organisational culture in moderating the effects of narcissistic leadership, determining whether specific structures enhance or mitigate its risks.
4. Identify best practices for managing and mitigating the toxic aspects of narcissistic leadership while leveraging its potential benefits for innovation and strategic vision.

Research Questions

1. How does narcissistic leadership influence organisational decision-making, and what are its short-term and long-term implications?
2. What are the effects of narcissistic leadership on employee motivation, job satisfaction, and overall organisational culture?
3. How can organisational structures and governance mechanisms mitigate the negative aspects of narcissistic leadership while harnessing its strengths?
4. What leadership development strategies can be implemented to balance narcissistic traits with ethical and transformational leadership practices?

Significance of the Study

Understanding narcissistic leadership is essential for organisational leaders, HR professionals, and policymakers to develop strategies that balance bold strategic vision with ethical governance and employee well-being. This study contributes to existing leadership research by:

- Providing a comprehensive analysis of the conditions under which narcissistic leadership can be beneficial or destructive.
- Identifying the role of organisational culture in shaping the effects of narcissistic leadership.
- Offering practical recommendations for leadership development, corporate governance, and ethical leadership training.

By exploring positive and negative dimensions, this study seeks to provide a more nuanced understanding of narcissistic leadership, helping organisations enhance leadership effectiveness while minimising potential risks.

Literature Review

Narcissistic leadership has been widely studied in organisational research due to its complex and paradoxical influence on decision-making, employee engagement, and organisational culture. While some studies highlight the visionary and strategic benefits of narcissistic leaders (Rosenthal & Pittinsky, 2006), others warn against the toxic consequences of their self-serving tendencies (Grijalva et al., 2015). This literature review critically evaluates existing research on narcissistic leadership, its impact on organisational performance, and the conditions that moderate its effects. It also identifies gaps in the literature, providing a foundation for further investigation.

Theoretical Perspectives on Narcissistic Leadership

Narcissistic leadership is often studied through personality psychology and leadership theories, including transformational and destructive leadership models (Judge et al., 2009). According to the Narcissistic Leadership Theory, narcissistic leaders exhibit traits such as grandiosity, dominance, and a strong need for admiration (Chatterjee & Hambrick, 2007). While some researchers argue that narcissism is inherently harmful in leadership roles (O'Reilly et al., 2014), others suggest that a moderate level of narcissism can foster innovation and strategic boldness (Navarro et al., 2020). However, the extent to which these traits contribute to organisational success remains contested.

The Influence of Narcissistic Leadership on Strategic Decision-Making

Narcissistic leaders often make high-risk, high-reward strategic decisions driven by their desire for personal success and legacy building (Chatterjee & Hambrick, 2011). Some studies suggest leaders enhance organisational performance by making bold, innovative moves that more risk-averse leaders may avoid (Peterson et al., 2012). However, other researchers highlight the dangers of overconfidence and poor risk assessment, leading to ethical lapses, financial instability, and corporate scandals (Resick et al., 2009). A key research gap lies in understanding the long-term implications of narcissistic decision-making, particularly in varying organisational contexts.

The Impact of Narcissistic Leadership on Employee Engagement and Psychological Safety

Employee engagement and motivation are crucial for organisational effectiveness, yet narcissistic leadership can positively and negatively affect workplace dynamics. Some studies indicate that charismatic, narcissistic leaders can inspire employees and create a sense of purpose (Owens et al., 2015). Conversely, others report that narcissistic leaders undermine psychological safety, leading to increased stress, burnout, and turnover (Campbell et al., 2011). The role of workplace culture in moderating these effects is underexplored, particularly in high-performance environments where narcissistic tendencies may be more accepted or even encouraged.

Organisational Culture as a Moderator

Organisational culture plays a crucial role in shaping how narcissistic leadership manifests within a company. Research suggests that hierarchical, performance-driven cultures may enable narcissistic tendencies by rewarding aggressive decision-making and self-promotion (Padilla et al., 2007). In contrast, cultures emphasising ethical leadership and collaboration may mitigate the adverse effects of narcissism (Nevicka et al., 2011). However, there is limited empirical research on how different governance mechanisms and cultural frameworks influence the balance between the constructive and destructive aspects of narcissistic leadership.

Mitigating the Toxic Aspects of Narcissistic Leadership

Several studies suggest that governance mechanisms, such as strong board oversight and ethical leadership development programs, can help mitigate the harmful effects of narcissistic leadership (Torelli et al., 2014). Transformational leadership models that encourage self-awareness and ethical decision-making have also been proposed as potential solutions (Judge et al., 2009). However, research on practical interventions that organisations can implement to counteract toxic narcissism while leveraging its strategic advantages is still in its early stages.

Gaps Identified

Despite extensive research on narcissistic leadership, several gaps remain:

1. **Long-Term Effects:** Most studies focus on the short-term outcomes of narcissistic leadership. Further research is needed on its long-term impact on organisational stability and employee well-being.
2. **Context-Specific Outcomes:** There is limited research on how industry, organisational size, and cultural context influence the effects of narcissistic leadership.
3. **Intervention Strategies:** More empirical studies are needed on effective strategies to balance the strengths and weaknesses of narcissistic leadership.
4. **Ethical Considerations:** The role of moral leadership in moderating narcissistic tendencies is underexplored.
5. **Employee Perspectives:** While much research focuses on the leader's perspective, more studies should investigate how employees perceive and respond to narcissistic leadership.

Narcissistic leadership presents a paradox in organisational settings, offering strategic advantages and significant risks. While some studies suggest that narcissistic traits can drive innovation and bold decision-making, others highlight the toxic impact on employees and long-term organisational stability. Future research should focus on understanding the contextual factors that determine whether narcissistic leadership leads to organisational success or dysfunction.

Methodology

This study investigates the dual impact of narcissistic leadership on organisational performance, employee engagement, and decision-making processes. A rigorous methodological framework is employed to achieve this, ensuring reliability, validity, and academic rigour. This section outlines the research philosophy, approach, strategy, data collection methods, sampling techniques, and ethical considerations that guide the study.

Research Philosophy

The research philosophy provides the foundation for the study's methodological approach. This study adopts a pragmatist philosophy, which combines positivist and interpretivist paradigms (Saunders, Lewis & Thornhill, 2019). Given the complex nature of narcissistic leadership, this approach enables a balanced perspective, integrating objective data with subjective experiences.

- **Positivism:** Aims to quantitatively measure the impact of narcissistic leadership through structured surveys and statistical analysis.
- **Interpretivism:** Seeks to explore subjective employee experiences qualitatively through interviews, focusing on engagement and psychological safety.

A **mixed-methods** approach is chosen to capture the nuanced effects of narcissistic leadership in organisations.

Research Approach

The study follows a **deductive and inductive** research approach:

- **Deductive Approach:** Rooted in existing leadership theories (e.g., transformational and destructive leadership), this study tests hypotheses regarding narcissistic leadership's impact on organisational outcomes (Bell, Bryman & Harley, 2022).
- **Inductive Approach:** Through qualitative interviews, new themes and patterns related to employee engagement and organisational culture are explored, allowing theory development.

Combining these approaches strengthens the study's comprehensiveness, ensuring theoretical rigour while capturing real-world experiences.

Research Strategy

The research employs a **multi-method strategy** involving both **quantitative and qualitative** data collection. This enhances the reliability and depth of findings.

1. **Survey Research (Quantitative):** A structured questionnaire is distributed to employees and managers across different industries to assess the correlation between narcissistic leadership and organisational outcomes (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).
2. **Semi-Structured Interviews (Qualitative):** In-depth interviews with employees, HR professionals, and senior executives provide rich insights into the lived experiences of working under narcissistic leaders (Saunders et al., 2019).
3. **Case Study Analysis:** Selected case studies of organisations with notable narcissistic leadership instances are analysed to identify patterns and moderating factors.

By integrating multiple research strategies, this study ensures robust and comprehensive findings.

Data Collection Methods

Primary and secondary data sources are utilised to capture the diverse impact of narcissistic leadership.

Primary Data Collection

- **Surveys:** A 5-point Likert scale questionnaire is distributed to various employees across various industries.
- **Interviews:** Semi-structured interviews are conducted with 15-20 participants, including employees, managers, and HR professionals.
- **Case Studies:** Publicly available reports and internal documentation from selected organisations with documented cases of narcissistic leadership are analysed.

Secondary Data Collection

- **Academic Literature:** Peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and reports on narcissistic leadership.
- **Industry Reports:** Insights from leadership consultancies and organisational psychology studies.
- **Archival Data:** Previous case studies and documented organisational performance reports.

Sampling Strategy

The study employs a **purposive sampling** technique to ensure participants have relevant experience with narcissistic leadership.

Quantitative Sampling (Survey)

- **Target Population:** Employees across various industries with direct or indirect exposure to narcissistic leadership.
- **Sample Size:** Approximately **200-300** participants to achieve statistical significance.
- **Sampling Technique:** **Stratified random sampling** ensures diversity across industries, job roles, and experience levels.

Qualitative Sampling (Interviews)

- **Target Participants:** Employees, HR professionals, and senior executives with firsthand experience of narcissistic leadership.
- **Sample Size:** **15-20 participants** until data saturation is reached.
- **Sampling Technique:** **Snowball sampling** allows for referrals from participants who have encountered narcissistic leadership.

Data Analysis Methods

Quantitative Analysis

- **Descriptive Statistics:** Assess trends using mean, standard deviation, and frequency distributions.
- **Inferential Statistics:** Regression analysis and correlation tests to examine relationships between narcissistic leadership and organisational performance (Field, 2018).
- **SPSS Software:** Data analysis is conducted using **SPSS** for reliability and accuracy.

Qualitative Analysis

- **Thematic Analysis:** Interviews are transcribed and coded to identify recurring themes (Braun & Clarke, 2021).
- **NVivo Software:** Qualitative data is analysed using **NVivo** to identify themes systematically.

The study provides a comprehensive understanding of narcissistic leadership's effects by combining statistical and thematic analyses.

Ethical Considerations

To ensure ethical integrity, the research follows guidelines from the **British Psychological Society (BPS, 2018)** and YSJU ethical standards.

Informed Consent: All participants receive detailed information about the study and provide written consent.

1. **Confidentiality:** Data is anonymised to protect participant identity.
2. **Voluntary Participation:** Participants can withdraw at any time without consequences.
3. **Data Protection:** Compliance with **GDPR** and institutional ethical standards.
4. **Researcher Bias Mitigation:** Reflexivity journals are maintained to prevent subjective interpretations from influencing data analysis.

Limitations of the Study

Despite a robust methodology, certain limitations must be acknowledged:

1. **Self-Reporting Bias:** Participants may not accurately report experiences due to fear of repercussions.
2. **Cross-Sectional Design:** The study captures data simultaneously; longitudinal studies are needed for long-term insights.
3. **Industry-Specific Differences:** Findings may not be generalisable across all sectors.
4. **Sample Size Constraints:** While the study aims for diverse participation, larger samples could enhance statistical power.

Future research should consider longitudinal studies and cross-cultural comparisons to validate findings further.

This methodological framework thoroughly examines narcissistic leadership's impact on organisations. By integrating quantitative surveys, qualitative interviews, and case studies, the study captures both objective data and subjective experiences. The mixed-methods approach enhances the reliability and validity of findings, contributing to a deeper understanding of how narcissistic leadership shapes organisational dynamics.

Findings and Discussion

This section presents the expected findings based on the research methodology and discusses their implications for organisational decision-making, employee engagement, and governance mechanisms. The discussion integrates insights from existing literature while identifying potential contributions of this study to the field of leadership research.

Expected Findings

Given narcissistic leadership's complex nature, the study will likely reveal both positive and negative impacts across key organisational dimensions. The results are expected to align with existing research while identifying new insights into the conditions that moderate the effects of narcissistic leadership.

Narcissistic Leadership and Strategic Decision-Making

One of the study's key areas of investigation is the impact of narcissistic leadership on organisational decision-making. Prior research indicates that narcissistic leaders tend to make bold, high-risk decisions driven by self-enhancement motives (Chatterjee & Hambrick, 2011). The expected findings suggest:

- **Short-term benefits:** Narcissistic leaders may improve organisational performance by making aggressive strategic moves, pursuing high-visibility initiatives, and implementing transformative changes.
- **Long-term risks:** Overconfidence and failure to consider dissenting opinions may lead to poor risk management, financial instability, and ethical breaches (Peterson et al., 2012).
- **Moderating factors:** The role of governance structures, such as board oversight and accountability mechanisms, may determine whether narcissistic leaders contribute to innovation or corporate failure (O'Reilly et al., 2014).

The findings are expected to support the argument that narcissistic leadership is a double-edged sword, benefiting organisations in competitive industries that reward boldness while harming those requiring collaboration and ethical decision-making.

Employee Engagement and Psychological Safety

The study also explores the effects of narcissistic leadership on employee motivation, job satisfaction, and psychological safety. Based on existing literature, the findings are likely to indicate:

- **Divergent effects:** While some employees may feel energised by a narcissistic leader's charisma, others may experience high stress, fear, and disengagement due to unpredictable behaviour and lack of empathy (Owens et al., 2015).
- **Increased turnover intention:** Employees working under narcissistic leaders often report higher turnover intentions, notably when their contributions are not recognised or when psychological safety is compromised (Grijalva et al., 2015).
- **Industry-specific variations:** In high-performance environments (e.g., investment banking, technology startups), narcissistic leadership may be more tolerated, while in collaborative work cultures, it may harm engagement (Nevicka et al., 2011).

These findings are expected to confirm prior research suggesting that narcissistic leadership may be motivational for competitive employees but harmful to team cohesion and psychological well-being.

Organisational Culture as a Moderator

A key contribution of this study is investigating how organisational culture influences the impact of narcissistic leadership. Expected findings include:

- Hierarchical, performance-driven cultures may enable narcissistic leaders to thrive, reinforcing aggressive decision-making and self-promotion (Padilla et al., 2007).
- Ethically driven and collaborative cultures: Such environments are expected to mitigate the adverse effects of narcissistic leadership by promoting ethical accountability and employee well-being (Torelli et al., 2014).
- Governance mechanisms: Organisational policies, such as transparent decision-making and leadership accountability, may moderate the influence of narcissistic leaders.

These results would contribute to leadership literature by identifying context-specific conditions where narcissistic leadership significantly impacts organisational success or dysfunction.

Mitigation Strategies and Leadership Development

Another expected finding relates to best practices for managing narcissistic leadership. The study is likely to highlight:

- The importance of leadership development programs that promote self-awareness, ethical leadership, and emotional intelligence to balance narcissistic tendencies (Judge et al., 2009).
- The role of executive coaching in helping narcissistic leaders manage their self-serving behaviours, ensuring alignment with organisational goals (Resick et al., 2009).
- Corporate governance mechanisms, such as board oversight, ethical review committees, and performance evaluations, can curb the excesses of narcissistic leadership while retaining its visionary benefits (Navarro et al., 2020).

These findings could provide practical recommendations for organisations seeking to leverage the strengths of narcissistic leaders while limiting their potential harm.

Identified Research Gaps and Future Implications

Based on the expected findings, several key **research gaps** may emerge:

1. **Longitudinal Impact:** Most studies focus on short-term performance gains or losses under narcissistic leadership, but longitudinal research is needed to assess sustained organisational success or failure.
2. **Cross-Cultural Differences:** There is limited research on how national culture influences the acceptance and impact of narcissistic leadership.
3. **Employee Coping Mechanisms:** More studies are needed on how employees adapt and respond to narcissistic leadership to maintain psychological well-being.
4. **Industry-Specific Effects:** Future research should explore how narcissistic leadership manifests differently across industries with varying risk appetites and ethical norms.

The study's findings will contribute to these gaps by providing empirical evidence on industry and cultural variations and practical strategies for balancing narcissistic leadership traits.

The expected findings suggest that narcissistic leadership is a paradox, offering strategic advantages and significant organisational risks. While visionary and high-risk decision-making may drive short-term success, long-term stability and employee well-being are often compromised. However,

organisational culture and governance mechanisms are crucial in determining whether narcissistic leadership enhances or undermines organisational performance.

By identifying moderating factors, best practices, and potential mitigation strategies, this study contributes to the broader leadership literature. It offers practical insights for organisations aiming to balance the strengths and weaknesses of narcissistic leadership.

Conclusion

This study investigates the paradoxical nature of narcissistic leadership, assessing its impact on organisational decision-making, employee engagement, and governance structures. The findings indicate that narcissistic leaders may drive bold, high-risk strategies that promote innovation. Still, they also introduce ethical concerns and workplace toxicity that can undermine long-term organisational sustainability.

The research highlights the critical role of organisational culture and governance mechanisms in shaping the outcomes of narcissistic leadership. In environments that promote ethical accountability and employee well-being, the adverse effects of narcissism can be mitigated, allowing organisations to harness their strengths for strategic advantage. Conversely, narcissistic leaders in hierarchical, performance-driven cultures may engage in self-serving behaviours that compromise ethical standards and employee morale.

Key Contributions and Practical Implications

1. **Leadership Development:** Organisations should invest in executive coaching and ethical leadership training to help narcissistic leaders develop self-awareness and emotional intelligence.
2. **Corporate Governance:** Strengthening board oversight, performance evaluations, and ethical review committees can help balance strategic risk-taking with long-term organisational health.
3. **Employee Well-being:** Implementing psychological safety initiatives and employee support systems can reduce the negative impact of narcissistic leadership on workplace morale.
4. **Context-Specific Strategies:** Industries that reward aggressive leadership styles may need different governance structures compared to collaborative industries, highlighting the importance of contextual leadership analysis.

Future Research Directions

Despite offering valuable insights, this study acknowledges several **research gaps**:

- Longitudinal studies are needed to assess the long-term impact of narcissistic leadership.
- Cross-cultural comparisons can help determine how national culture influences perceptions of narcissistic leadership.
- Further research should explore employee coping mechanisms under narcissistic leadership to develop interventions that support workplace resilience.

Ultimately, this study contributes to a more balanced understanding of narcissistic leadership by identifying its advantages and drawbacks while proposing practical solutions for managing its impact in organisational settings.

References

- Bell, E., Bryman, A. and Harley, B. (2022) *Business research methods*. 6th edn. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2021) *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. London: Sage Publications.
- British Psychological Society (BPS) (2018) *Code of ethics and conduct*. Available at: <https://www.bps.org.uk/news-and-policy/bps-code-ethics-and-conduct>
- Campbell, W. K., Hoffman, B. J., Campbell, S. M., & Marchisio, G. (2011) 'Narcissism in organisational contexts', *Human Resource Management Review*, 21(4), pp. 268-284.
- Chatterjee, A. and Hambrick, D. C. (2007) 'It's all about me: Narcissistic chief executive officers and their effects on company strategy and performance', *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 52(3), pp. 351-386.
- Chatterjee, A. and Hambrick, D. C. (2011) 'Executive personality, capability cues, and risk-taking: How narcissistic CEOs react to their successes and stumbles', *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 56(2), pp. 202-237.
- Creswell, J. W. and Creswell, J. D. (2018) *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. 5th edn. Los Angeles: Sage Publications.
- Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) (2021) *Framework for research ethics*. Available at: <https://esrc.ukri.org/>
- Field, A. (2018) *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics*. 5th edn. London: Sage Publications.
- Grijalva, E., Harms, P. D., Newman, D. A., Gaddis, B. H., and Fraley, R. C. (2015) 'Narcissism and leadership: A meta-analytic review of linear and nonlinear relationships', *Personnel Psychology*, 68(1), pp. 1-47.
- Judge, T. A., Piccolo, R. F., and Kosalka, T. (2009) 'The bright and dark sides of leader traits: A review and theoretical extension', *The Leadership Quarterly*, 20(6), pp. 855-875.
- Navarro, J., Llobet, J., & Tàpies, J. (2020) 'Strategic decision-making and CEO narcissism: A double-edged sword', *Journal of Business Research*, 115, pp. 58-67.
- Nevicka, B., Ten Velden, F. S., De Hoogh, A. H. B., & Van Vianen, A. E. M. (2011) 'Reality at odds with perceptions: Narcissistic leaders and group performance', *Psychological Science*, 22(10), pp. 1259-1264.
- O'Reilly, C. A., Doerr, B., Caldwell, D. F., & Chatman, J. A. (2014) 'Narcissistic CEOs and executive compensation', *The Leadership Quarterly*, 25(2), pp. 218-231.
- Owens, B. P., Wallace, A. S., & Waldman, D. A. (2015) 'Leader narcissism and follower outcomes: The counterbalancing effect of leader humility', *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 100(4), pp. 1203-1213.
- Padilla, A., Hogan, R., & Kaiser, R. B. (2007) 'The toxic triangle: Destructive leaders, susceptible followers, and conducive environments', *The Leadership Quarterly*, 18(3), pp. 176-194.
- Peterson, R. S., Galvin, B. M., & Lange, D. (2012) 'CEO personality and firm performance: A review and research agenda', *Journal of Management*, 38(1), pp. 279-305.

- Resick, C. J., Whitman, D. S., Weingarden, S. M., & Hiller, N. J. (2009) 'The bright-side and dark-side of CEO personality: Examining core self-evaluations, narcissism, transformational leadership, and strategic influence', *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94(6), pp. 1365-1381.
- Rosenthal, S. A., & Pittinsky, T. L. (2006) 'Narcissistic leadership', *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17(6), pp. 617-633.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P. and Thornhill, A. (2019) *Research methods for business students*. 8th edn. Harlow: Pearson.
- Torelli, C. J., Leslie, L. M., Stoner, J. L., & Puente, R. (2014) 'Cultural determinants of leadership structure', *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 123(2), pp. 105-117.