Simulation Training for High Stress Environments in the Fire Service

Jonathan Boyd
City of Allen Fire Department
Allen, Texas
jboyd@cityofallen.org

ABSTRACT

Since 2009, the Allen Fire Department (AFD) has utilized simulation-based training to improve decision making in high stress situations. With the retirement of the previous simulation tool in 2018, and in partnership with a gaming studio, the AFD developed a custom training solution using the Unity gaming engine. This development allowed for the use of 3D virtual structures and publicly available assets, facilitating continuous improvements in training scenarios.

The virtual training program employs Naturalistic Decision Making (NDM) principles to enhance firefighters' ability to make decisions under stress by simulating realistic scenarios. The platform enables the creation of complex, dynamic environments where decisions directly impact outcomes, mirroring real world situations.

Prior to the development of this project an interactive virtual training environment did not exist for the fire service. The new training environment is enabled by cloud-based computing, which supports multi-user access, while facilitating team-based training exercises that focus on communication, fire ground organization, and strategic planning.

A comparison study between AFD personnel and those from a department without this training unveiled enhancements in decision-making abilities among firefighters. This indicates the effectiveness of simulation in training firefighters to assess situations, recognize patterns, and apply experiences to make informed decisions rapidly.

This paper outlines virtual training methodologies, explains the design and development process of the new system, and presents outcomes from the implementation of this virtual training tool.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Jonathan Boyd is the Fire Chief of the City of Allen Fire Department. Since joining the department in 1996, Boyd has progressed through several key positions, ultimately being appointed Fire Chief in 2018. A valedictorian from Collin College's fire academy, he also holds a Bachelor's and a Master's degree in Public Affairs from the University of Texas at Dallas and is a graduate of the National Fire Academy's Executive Fire Officer Program.

Boyd's tenure is noted for pivotal leadership during the COVID-19 pandemic and a critical 2023 active shooter event, underscoring his commitment to community safety. Chief Boyd champions the use of advanced simulation-based training to enhance decision-making under stress, to elevate preparedness and operational effectiveness.

Simulation Training for High Stress Environments in the Fire Service

Jonathan Boyd
City of Allen Fire Department
Allen, Texas
jboyd@cityofallen.org

INTRODUCTION

The evolving landscape of emergency response presents unique challenges for fire departments worldwide. Traditionally tasked with combating fires, today's firefighters must now also manage a diverse array of scenarios including Emergency Medical Services (EMS) calls, specialty rescues, hazardous material incidents, and other high-stress situations. This expansion of responsibilities requires not only physical ability but also acute decision-making skills under intense pressure, highlighting a critical gap in traditional firefighter training methodologies.

Historically, firefighter training has been predominantly task-oriented, focusing extensively on specific firefighting techniques and standard operating procedures (SOPs). Such training is indispensable for foundational skills but often does not adequately prepare firefighters for the dynamic nature of modern emergencies. Traditional training scenarios, typically conducted in controlled environments, struggle to replicate the complexity and immediacy of real-life situations. As a result, firefighters may find themselves ill-prepared for the decision-making demands of actual high-stress environments, where rapid assessment and quick, accurate decision-making are crucial.

The limitations of conventional training are particularly evident when firefighters encounter rare or complex emergency scenarios. These high-risk events, which occur infrequently, place immense stress on responders, often leading to decision-making errors with potentially catastrophic consequences. For example, emergency events such as a high-rise fire or a complex hazardous material leak require not only technical skills but also the ability to make decisions and effectively communicate based on limited information. The severity and rarity of such incidents can overwhelm even seasoned firefighters if their training does not adequately simulate the stress and pressure of these situations.

Furthermore, most fire departments now respond to more EMS calls than traditional fire calls, which shifts the primary focus of their daily responsibilities. This change highlights the necessity for a training regimen that includes a broader range of skills, encompassing quick situational assessment, strategic decision-making, and effective communication under pressure. Such skills are essential not only for the safety and effectiveness of the firefighters themselves but also for the protection and rescue of civilians involved in these emergencies.

Considering these challenges, there is a growing recognition within the fire service community that training programs must evolve. The integration of Naturalistic Decision Making (NDM) principles, which emphasize the use of experience and intuition in decision-making, particularly under uncertainty, offers a framework. Training that incorporates NDM can better prepare firefighters to handle the complexities of modern emergency responses, where they must often operate with incomplete information and significant time constraints.

BACKGROUND

In the past two decades, the City of Allen, in the northern Dallas area, has experienced significant demographic growth, escalating from 20,000 residents in 1996 to 120,000 currently. This expansion has directly impacted the frequency and diversity of emergency service calls, increasing the occurrence of high-stress situations for fire service personnel.

The 2023 data illustrates the scope of emergency responses, with a total of 10,232 calls reported. Among these, 6,546 were EMS-related and 3,686 involved fire incidents or specialty rescues. Specifically, there were 510 priority 1 (life-threatening conditions) EMS calls and 68 working property fires, highlighting the severity and range of incidents managed by fire services.

Projections indicate that Allen's population could reach 150,000 in the next 5 years, with a corresponding rise in high-density residential and commercial constructions. This demographic and infrastructural growth is expected to further increase the volume and complexity of emergency calls, enhancing the probability of firefighters encountering high-stress decisions.

In response to these trends, the fire department recognizes the necessity to refine its training programs to better equip personnel for high-stress environments. This involves developing and implementing training strategies focused on identifying and managing these conditions effectively.

DECISION-MAKING DYNAMICS IN HIGH-STRESS ENVIRONMENTS

Firefighting demands quick and effective decision-making in scenarios that are typically high-stress and fraught with hazards. This detailed examination focuses on the cognitive challenges firefighters encounter, the psychological methodologies they employ to navigate these challenges, and the advanced training mechanisms designed to enhance their decision-making efficacy under duress.

Cognitive Strains and Psychological Techniques in Decision-Making

Naturalistic Decision Making (NDM) is a framework that helps understand decision-making in real-world settings, focusing on cognitive functions like sensemaking, situational awareness, and planning. It is used in fields such as emergency response, military, healthcare, aviation, law enforcement, and business, where quick and effective decisions are essential. NDM includes gathering and interpreting information (situation awareness), recognizing patterns from past experiences, making sense of data (sensemaking), selecting actions, coordinating tasks (action implementation), and using feedback to refine future decisions. Expertise and intuition are crucial, enabling experienced individuals to make rapid decisions. In firefighting, NDM is vital for assessing situations and making informed decisions under high stress. Realistic, experiential, training reinforces these principles by including scenarios that require firefighters to apply NDM, enhancing their effectiveness.

NDM emphasizes using past experiences and contextual clues for decision-making, allowing for rapid responses. It relies on recognizing and reacting to familiar patterns and situations (Klein, 1999). This continuous pattern recognition and situation assessment are crucial for adapting strategies in real-time (Chapman, Nettelbeck, Welsh, & Mills, 2006). Maintaining situational awareness (SA) enables firefighters to understand current conditions, anticipate changes, and make swift, informed decisions, thereby managing incidents effectively and mitigating risks (Endsley, 2000).

Traditional decision-making models, which are more systematic and deliberative, often fall short in urgent and dynamic firefighting contexts. They require time-consuming evaluation of multiple alternatives, which is impractical when immediate action is needed (Simon, 1990). In contrast, NDM focuses on quick, experience-based decisions under pressure.

Comparing NDM to traditional educational frameworks like Bloom's Taxonomy illustrates this difference. Bloom's Taxonomy classifies educational objectives into cognitive levels, from lower-order thinking skills to higher-order skills such as analyzing, evaluating, and creating. While traditional models align with higher-order thinking skills by encouraging thorough analysis, they lack the immediacy required in high-stress environments.

NDM emphasizes the practical application of knowledge and experience to make rapid decisions, paralleling the higher levels of Bloom's Taxonomy. Both frameworks value the use of learned information in real-world contexts and involve critical thinking and problem-solving. They also recognize the development of expertise, where true proficiency involves higher-order cognitive skills. Using this scaffold learning approach, Bloom's breaks complex assignments into smaller stages or phases. This allows students to receive feedback that will guide them in successfully progressing into the next step of the assignment. In firefighting training, the speed of processing content for decisions making is critical. Integrating NDM principles focusing on the ability to quickly assess situations and make informed decisions.

Training Modalities to Enhance Decision-Making

Simulation training modality utilizes technology to create immersive, controlled environments that replicate the unpredictability of actual firefighting scenarios. High-fidelity simulations are particularly valuable, offering realistic experiences that compel trainees to apply their skills under conditions that closely mimic actual events (Gaba, Howard, Fish, Smith, & Sowb, 2001).

The evolution of simulation technologies has enabled a range of fidelity in training scenarios, from basic to complex, thereby accommodating different learning stages and objectives. These simulations are not only practical for rehearsing physical maneuvers but are also crucial for practicing decision-making and leadership skills in pressure-filled situations. Advanced simulations integrate various elements such as digital visuals, auditory cues, and physical props to enhance the realism of the training experience (Thomas & Hooper, 1991; Alexander, Brunye, Stidman, & Weil, 2005).

Customization features of modern simulations allow trainers to tailor scenarios to address specific learning needs or weaknesses, making this tool incredibly effective for targeted skill development. Moreover, the ability to adjust the difficulty and complexity of scenarios ensures that training remains relevant and challenging as a firefighter's skills evolve (Kozlowski, 1998).

Newer technologies like Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) are becoming increasingly prevalent in firefighter training. These technologies offer even more immersive experiences, allowing firefighters to interact with lifelike virtual environments and scenarios without the logistical constraints or risks associated with traditional live training exercises. (Narciso, Melo, Raposo, Cunha, & Bessa, 2019).

Beyond physical and tactical training, stress inoculation training is a psychological technique adapted to bolster a firefighter's resilience to the intense stress encountered during emergencies. This method involves gradually exposing trainees to stress, increasing their tolerance and ability to function effectively under such conditions. Initially developed for military use, this training has proven highly effective in the firefighting context, helping personnel maintain critical cognitive functions and decision-making clarity even under severe stress (Saunders, Driskell, Johnston, & Salas, 1996).

IMPLEMENTATION OF SIMULATION TRAINING

In response to the identified gaps in traditional training methods and the increasing difficulty of firefighting responsibilities, the Allen Fire Department (AFD) initiated a significant shift in its training paradigm in 2009. This change was precipitated by the growing recognition of the importance of making informed decisions under stress—a capability that conventional training approaches were inadequately addressing.

In 2009, the department invested in a simulation platform that featured a 3D virtual environment depicting a single-family home on fire. This platform was selected for its ability to recreate a highly realistic fire response scenario that could engage multiple firefighters simultaneously, each using separate consoles to interact within the virtual space. The technology provided an immersive experience that traditional field exercises could not, allowing firefighters to encounter the sensory and decision-making challenges characteristic of real-life firefighting.

The simulation platform utilized was designed to support a multi-user environment, enabling team-based training that was crucial for developing communication and collaborative decision-making skills. Firefighters could use virtual firefighting tools within the simulation to attempt to extinguish the fire, replicating the strategic challenges of firefighting in a controlled setting. This approach allowed for the safe exploration of various tactics and strategies without the risks associated with live fire training.

Public safety radios were utilized for communication which allowed firefighters to practice communication skills vital for effective team coordination and incident management under pressure. The use of actual communication tools within the simulation helped bridge the gap between training and real operations, ensuring that firefighters were familiar and comfortable with the communication protocols they would use in the field.

The primary educational objective of implementing the simulation training was to enhance the firefighters' ability to assess situations quickly, recognize patterns, and make informed decisions rapidly—the core components of NDM. The training curriculum was carefully developed to include scenarios that required firefighters to apply NDM principles in various emergency situations, thereby reinforcing their ability to function effectively under stress.

To use the new simulation system effectively, personnel received initial training on the technology and interface. Firefighters learned to navigate the virtual environment, use the simulated tools, and understand the system's feedback. Instructors were trained to develop scenarios, make real-time adjustments, and provide feedback. Ongoing workshops and refresher courses ensured that all personnel remained proficient with the system.

Each training session was structured to provide both individual and team-based learning opportunities. Scenarios were designed to escalate in complexity, providing challenges that matched the skill levels of participants and allowed for progressive skill development. Instructors could modify scenarios in real-time, introducing unexpected elements or complications that firefighters might encounter on the job, further enhancing the realism and educational value of the training.

LIMITATIONS OF THE INITIAL SIMULATION TRAINING PLATFORM

The initial simulation system was confined to scenarios involving a single-family home fire, significantly limiting the range of emergency situations that could be simulated. This singular focus restricted the system's ability to prepare firefighters for varied incidents, such as high-rise fires or complex rescue operations.

Additionally, the system supported only up to 10 users simultaneously. This limitation restricted the department's ability to conduct large-scale, team-based training exercises that are crucial for comprehensive incident management training. Additionally, the network capability was limited to a local setup, which constrained the training to specific locations and prevented remote or distributed training sessions.

The simulation tool also lacked the capability to add additional 3D structures or adapt scenarios beyond the pre-configured single-family home, reducing the realism and applicability of training to real-world situations. Furthermore, the system did not allow adjustments to fire behavior dynamics or the variety of firefighting tools available within the simulation. This inflexibility hindered the ability to tailor training sessions to specific learning objectives or to simulate more challenging and diverse scenarios.

In 2018, it was announced that support for the simulation platform would be discontinued. This decision by the developer, driven by the high costs associated with reprogramming and updating the system, meant that no future updates or improvements would be made. The lack of support risked rendering the system obsolete, posing significant challenges to the sustainability and effectiveness of the training program.

DEVELOPMENT OF A NEW SIMULATION TRAINING PLATFORM

Recognizing the significant limitations of the initial simulation training system, the fire department embarked on a strategic initiative to develop a new, more advanced simulation platform. The goal was to create a versatile and comprehensive training environment that could adapt to the diverse and evolving needs of modern firefighting.

Requirements for the New Simulation Platform

Several critical requirements were outlined for the new simulation platform to ensure it would overcome the deficiencies of the previous system and provide long-term value:

1. **Publicly Available Gaming Engine:** The platform needed to be built on a publicly available gaming engine. This choice was driven by the desire for a robust and widely supported foundation that could facilitate ongoing updates and compatibility with various hardware and software environments.
2. **Use of Publicly Available 3D Assets:** To enhance the realism and diversity of training scenarios, the platform was required to support the integration of publicly available 3D assets. This feature would allow the

AFD to continuously expand and update the scenario database without the high costs associated with custom asset development.

3. **Affordability and Accessibility:** The new system had to be operable on affordable PCs to ensure cost-effectiveness and broad accessibility. This consideration was crucial to enable widespread deployment and use across various stations without requiring significant hardware investments.

4. **Ownership of Programming Code:** It was essential for the AFD to own the programming code of the simulation platform. Ownership would provide the flexibility to modify, enhance, or expand the platform internally, without dependency on one sole-source vendor. This capability was aimed at ensuring the platform could evolve in line with the department's operational needs and technological advancements.

5. **Cloud-Based Multi-User Environment:** The new platform needed to feature a cloud-based multi-user environment to facilitate remote and scalable training sessions. This functionality would allow multiple teams to train simultaneously from different locations, enhancing collaboration and coordination training across the department.

Development and Implementation

The development of the new simulation platform began with extensive research conducted by the developer, including observing real-life firefighting training scenarios and interviews to gain a deeper understanding of the operational dynamics and strategic requirements of fire service personnel. Observations of live training exercises provided the developers with valuable insights into the decision-making processes, communication flows, and physical maneuvers of firefighting teams in action. This foundational research ensured that the simulated environments and scenarios were grounded in practical realities, enhancing the training's relevance and effectiveness.

Observation and interviews led to the selection of the Unity gaming engine to build the simulation platform. Unity is known for its powerful rendering abilities, extensive support for 3D graphics, and a versatile development environment that is ideal for creating complex interactive simulations. The engine's widespread use and active development community also meant that it could reliably support the evolving needs of the AFD with regular updates and access to new features.

To populate the simulation with realistic scenarios, publicly available 3D assets were acquired and customized according to the specific requirements of firefighting training. These assets included varied building structures, vehicles, and environmental elements, which were adapted to reflect the diverse situations that firefighters might encounter. This approach not only expedited the development process but also helped manage costs effectively while still achieving a high level of detail and realism in the training scenarios.

As development continued, one of the key enhancements in the new simulation platform was the development of advanced fire smoke behavior models. The smoke behavior models were designed with the primary goal of enhancing visual immersion in the simulation. While not scientifically exact, the models were developed to be visually convincing, capturing the essential look and movement of smoke in a way that helps firefighters engage more realistically with the training scenarios. The focus was on achieving a level of realism that supports effective decision-making in a simulated environment, rather than on precise smoke behavior modeling.

To further enhance realism, the platform included detailed animations of firefighter movements and tool usage. These animations were developed based on the observations from real-life training sessions and feedback from firefighting professionals. By accurately replicating how firefighters move and interact with their equipment in various scenarios, the simulation could provide a more immersive and instructive experience. The realistic portrayal of physical tasks and operations within the virtual environment was crucial for training firefighters on proper techniques and procedures.

Once the basic framework was established, the platform underwent rigorous testing to ensure stability, performance, and realism. Firefighters from the AFD participated in multiple pilot training sessions, providing feedback that was used to fine-tune the system. This iterative process was crucial to align the simulation platform with the practical realities and challenges of firefighting.

EVALUATION OF EFFECTIVENESS

To measure effectiveness a study was developed to focus on the impact of simulation-based training on the decision-making capabilities of fire ground incident commanders.

Research Design

The research utilized a Nonequivalent Groups Design, specifically the Pre-test/Post-test Control Group Design, which is ideal for educational and behavioral research where random assignment may not be feasible. This design was chosen to compare the outcomes of two groups:

- **Experimental Group:** This group received the simulation-based training designed to enhance decision-making skills.
- **Control Group:** Control Group: This group continued with their regular training schedule, which included traditional classroom sessions and practical drills but did not include any new simulation-based training or previous VR tools. This distinction ensured that the impact of the new simulation platform could be accurately assessed.

The main objective was to measure the effectiveness of simulation training by comparing the performance of the experimental group against the control group on standardized decision-making tests administered before and after the training period.

Participants

Participants were selected from a pool of fire ground incident commanders with varying years of experience and educational backgrounds. The selection criteria included a minimum of five years of experience in fire ground operations to ensure that all participants had a foundational understanding of fire ground dynamics. The participants were divided into two groups ensuring that both groups were matched on key demographic and professional variables such as age, experience, and prior training. There were 60 total participants, with 30 participants in each group.

Instruments and Materials

- **Pre-test and Post-test:** Both groups were assessed using a standardized test designed to evaluate decision-making capabilities specifically in fire ground scenarios. The test comprised multiple-choice and scenario-based questions developed by experts in fire safety and educational psychology. The multiple-choice questions were aimed at assessing theoretical knowledge and understanding of fire ground operations, while the scenario-based simulations tested participants' practical decision-making skills in dynamic situations. To ensure the test accurately reflected real-world demands, the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 1561: Standard on Emergency Services Incident Management System and the National Incident Management System (NIMS) were used to develop a score sheet. This score sheet assigned point values to each benchmark related to effective incident command, such as situational awareness, resource management, and communication. Participants were evaluated based on their performance in these key areas, with a total of 100 points possible.
- **Simulation Training Program:** The experimental group underwent a tailored simulation training program using the AFD's simulation platform. The program included scenarios that mimicked a variety of emergency situations, requiring rapid assessment, strategic decision-making, and effective communication.

Procedure

The study was conducted over a six-month period. Initially, both groups were administered the pre-test to establish a baseline measure of their decision-making skills. Subsequently, the experimental group participated in a series of 10 simulation training sessions, each designed to address different aspects of fire ground decision-making. The control group continued with their regular training schedule without any simulation-based interventions. At the end of the training period, both groups were administered the same post-test under similar conditions to those of the pre-test (see Table 1).

Table 1
Test Group Comparison

Control Group Pre-Test		Experimental Group Pre-Test	
N	30	N	30
Mean	58.653	Mean	55.996
Median	59	Median	58.165
Minimum	37.33	Minimum	32.66
Maximum	71.66	Maximum	76
Sum	1759	Sum	1679

Control Group Post-Test		Experimental Group Post-Test	
N	30	N	30
Mean	52.4303	Mean	68.53
Median	52.66	Median	68.83
Minimum	39	Minimum	53.33
Maximum	69	Maximum	80.33
Sum	1572.91	Sum	2055.9

Comparative Analysis

The experimental group's mean score improved by 12.54 points, a statistically significant increase of approximately 22% from their baseline scores. This improvement suggests a direct correlation between the simulation training and enhanced decision-making capabilities.

The decline in the control group's performance could be attributed to the lack of specialized training during the period, underscoring the effectiveness of the simulation training received by the experimental group.

The difference in score changes between the experimental and control groups was analyzed using appropriate statistical tests, which confirmed the significance of the improvements observed in the experimental group. These tests highlighted the effectiveness of simulation training in enhancing the decision-making skills necessary for managing complex fire ground situations.

MITIGATION OF NEGATIVE TRAINING OUTCOMES

During the development and implementation of the new simulation training program, negative training outcomes were observed. For example, an issue identified in our simulation training involved the exclusive use of vertical ventilation techniques. Initially, the simulator allowed only for vertical ventilation, where participants simulate cutting a hole in the roof to vent smoke and heat. This restriction led to a training bias, with firefighters applying this method in field situations where horizontal ventilation—using windows or fans for smoke egress—would have been more appropriate.

Recognizing this limitation, the simulator was updated to include both vertical and horizontal ventilation options. This addition now allows trainees to assess and choose the most suitable ventilation strategy for different scenarios. To reinforce correct decision-making, instructors started providing immediate feedback during simulations, correcting inappropriate choices and explaining the rationale for preferring one method over another based on specific conditions.

These modifications ensure that training reflects a broader range of real-world tactics and that firefighters do not develop an undue preference for techniques due to their prominence in simulation scenarios.

In addressing the challenge of potentially reinforcing incorrect behaviors through simulation training, the fire department adopted an approach centered around a structured learning plan, instructor intervention, and comprehensive debriefing sessions.

Adherence to a Structured Learning Plan

To prevent the reinforcement of undesirable tactics and ensure the effectiveness of simulation training, a structured learning plan was implemented with clearly defined learning objectives. This plan outlines the behaviors and decision-making processes that should be emphasized within the simulation, ensuring each scenario conforms to the latest best practices and standard operating procedures in line with current firefighting protocols.

The structured approach of the learning plan ensures consistency in training delivery across various simulation sessions. It is crafted to introduce trainees to foundational concepts initially, gradually escalating in complexity. This method allows trainees to build a solid base of understanding and skills before advancing to tackle more complex firefighting strategies and scenarios. For example, in simulations designed to address multi-story residential fires, the plan emphasizes initial assessments like evaluating structural integrity and effectively using thermal imaging cameras before committing to interior attacks. Such focused training helps cultivate a cautious and methodically sound approach among trainees, prioritizing safety and strategic tactical efficiency. Furthermore, this learning plan serves as the backbone for debriefing sessions following each training exercise. Instructors use it as a guide to ensure that all intended learning objectives were addressed during the simulation.

Instructor Intervention

In recognizing the limitations of virtual environments in replicating all nuances of real-world firefighting scenarios, it is crucial for instructors to actively monitor the simulation training sessions. These instructors are responsible for critically observing the decisions and strategies of trainees, with the authority to intervene immediately to correct any incorrect behaviors or tactical errors that may occur during simulations. Instructors have the ability to pause the simulation if necessary.

This proactive oversight is especially critical in scenarios that involve rapidly changing fire dynamics, where decisions need to be both swift and accurate to prevent the escalation of the situation. For example, in simulations where a fire is spreading quickly through a structure, instructors intervene to stop trainees from making tactical choices that could potentially lead to hazardous situations. They provide real-time, actionable feedback, guiding trainees towards safer and more strategically sound decisions.

Moreover, instructors ensure that the training adheres strictly to the established learning objectives of the session. For instance, if a scenario is not designed to cover "lost or trapped firefighter" situations, an instructor will prevent a trainee from making decisions that would simulate becoming trapped or lost. This is to avoid confusion and ensure focus remains on the current learning objectives without introducing complexities that the trainees are not yet prepared to handle.

This level of detailed oversight and intervention helps prevent the formation of bad habits and ensures that trainees develop a clear understanding of appropriate tactics and decision-making processes in diverse and dynamic firefighting environments. Such rigorous instructional methods are vital in preparing firefighters to effectively respond to real-world incidents, reinforcing correct practices and mitigating potential risks associated with incorrect actions during emergencies.

Comprehensive and Thorough Debriefing

After each simulation session, the instructor conducts a detailed debriefing to review trainee performance. These sessions, led by trainers who observe the exercises, focus on evaluating the decisions made during training. They specifically address both correct and incorrect actions, providing direct feedback on each.

For example, if a trainee opts for a fire attack in a scenario that instead necessitated a search for trapped occupants, the session will dissect this choice. The discussion includes the rationale behind the preferred strategy and the implications of various tactics under those conditions.

These debriefings are tightly aligned with the structured learning plan, ensuring all training activities meet the specified objectives. They also review any instructor interventions, clarifying the reasons for these actions and discussing alternative strategies. This process reinforces correct decision-making and addresses any discrepancies from the training objectives, ensuring that trainees understand the optimal responses to diverse fire ground scenarios.

CONCLUSION

The implementation of simulation-based training has transformed how fire ground incident commanders at the fire department hone their decision-making skills. Traditional training often lacks the dynamic and unpredictable nature of real-life emergencies, primarily focusing on predictable scenarios that do not adequately challenge a firefighter's decision-making and adaptability. Simulation-based training, however, provides realistic and complex environments that demand quick thinking, strategic decision-making, and adaptability—skills essential for effective response in high-stress situations. This method also allows for intense and repetitive practice, enabling firefighters to repeatedly engage with various scenarios, which is crucial for reinforcing Naturalistic Decision Making skills and ensuring preparedness for the multifaceted challenges of modern emergency response.

The use of realistic 3D simulation scenarios within a multi-user virtual environment has led to a significant improvement in decision-making accuracy, with a measured increase of approximately 22 percent among participants. This enhancement is crucial for effectively managing the stressful conditions inherent to fire ground operations.

Furthermore, the development of a cloud-based training platform has democratized access to high-quality training resources, enabling scalable and flexible training sessions that mimic actual fire incidents. This accessibility ensures that all personnel, regardless of their location, can benefit from consistent and continuous training experiences that emphasize critical thinking and strategic decision-making.

Ownership and control of the simulation software has been pivotal. It allows for the continuous refinement and customization of training scenarios to meet the department's specific operational needs and feedback. This adaptability ensures that the training remains relevant and effectively addresses the dynamic challenges faced by firefighters today and tomorrow.

Looking forward, the fire department is committed to expanding the scope of the simulation training to include a wider array of emergency scenarios to prepare firefighters for an even broader spectrum of challenges, enhancing their readiness and response capabilities. Given the rate of changes in technology, there is an opportunity to explore newer immersive environments such as augmented reality and virtual reality. Additionally, fostering interdepartmental training sessions will further enhance knowledge sharing and collaborative skills among firefighters, crucial for complex multi-agency operations.

Regular evaluations and incorporation of participant feedback are central to the ongoing success of the training program. These measures ensure the training's alignment with current best practices and emerging trends in firefighting. Ongoing research into new technologies and educational methods will continue to enhance the realism and instructional value of the simulations, pushing the boundaries of what can be achieved through virtual training environments.

The forward-thinking approach to simulation-based training has not only elevated the tactical skills and operational readiness of its personnel but has also profoundly strengthened their ability to execute sound decisions under pressure. The significant improvements in NDM capabilities among trainees underscore the effectiveness of this training modality in preparing firefighters for the complexities of modern fire service operations. The insights derived from the AFD's experience provide a valuable blueprint for other fire departments and other emergency service agencies seeking to modernize their training programs, highlighting the critical importance of embracing continuous improvement and technological innovation to meet the evolving challenges of emergency response.

REFERENCES

Alexander, A. L., Brunye, T., Stidman, J., & Weil, S. A. (2005). From gaming to training: A review of studies on fidelity, immersion, presence, and buy-in and their effects on transfer in pc-based simulations and games. Retrieved from semanticsscholar.org: https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/3475/e2b1a4093661fe4c82ef9b41fab549f3ea35.pdf

Anderson, L. W., Krathwohl, D. R., & Bloom, B. S. (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. New York: Longman.

Chapman, T., Nettelbeck, T., Welsh, M., & Mills, V. (2006). Investigating the construct validity associated with microworld research: A comparison of performance under different management structures across expert and non-expert naturalistic decision making groups. Australian Journal of Psychology, 58(1), 40-47.

Endsley, M. R. (2000). Theoretical underpinnings of situation awareness: A critical review. In M. R. Endsley & D. J. Garland (Eds.), Situation awareness analysis and measurement (pp. 3-27). New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbuam.

Gaba, D. M., Howard, S. K., Fish, K. J., Smith, B. E., & Sowb, Y. A. (2001). Simulation-based training in crisis resource management. Simulation and Gaming, 32(2), 175-193.

Klein, G. A. (1999). Sources of Power: How People Make Decisions. Cambridge, MA: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Kozlowski, S. (1998). Training and developing adaptive teams: Theory, principles, and research. In J. A. Bowers & E. Salas (Eds.), Making decisions under stress: Implications for individual and team training (pp. 115-154). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Narciso, D., Melo, M., Raposo, J.J., Cunha, J.P., & Bessa, M. (2019). Virtual reality in training: an experimental study with firefighters. Multimedia Tools and Applications, 79, 6227 - 6245.

Saunders, T., Driskell, J. E., Johnston, J. H., & Salas, E. (1996). The effect of stress inoculation training on anxiety and performance. Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 1(2), 170-186.

Simon, H. A. (1990). Invariants of human behavior. Annual Review of Psychology, 41(1), 1-19.

Thomas, R., & Hooper, E. (1991). Simulations: An opportunity we are missing. Journal of Research on Computing in Education, 23, 497-514.