

Security Workshop

Dr. Carl Pulley

c.j.pulley@hud.ac.uk

Wednesday, 29 September 2010

Reference: Chapter 1 of Security Power Tools (see reading list).

UK Statute Law is available online at <http://www.statutelaw.gov.uk>.

Judgments from the Civil and Criminal Divisions of the Court of Appeal, and from the Administrative Court are available online at <http://www.bailii.org> (not all high court judgements are available online).

Computer Access

- ~ Law has an expansive view of computer access
 - ~ based on the physical exchange of electrons and the uses of CPU cycles
 - ~ all networked computer use is access
- ~ Dividing line is between legal/illegal access
 - ~ does the user have permission or authorisation?

Adequate Authorisation

- ~ Access without permission is improper and so should be illegal
- ~ Law attempts to distinguish between
 - ~ situations where user can assume permissions
 - ~ situations where otherwise accessible files should remain off limits

Example: Active Defence

- ~ Network being hit by an army of zombie machines infected with Code-red
- ~ You have software to stop the attack
 - ~ infiltrate infected machines and patch them to stop the attack
- ~ Is this type of *active defence* legal?

Example: Active Defence

- ~ You would intentionally be using the active defence software against zombie machines
- ~ Code placed without owners permission
- ~ When system is altered, its integrity is implicated (and so damage may occur)
- ~ Basis of legal case against you!
- ~ Is your behaviour ethical?

Example: Poor Ethics

- ~ ISP website is tested for a path navigation vulnerability
- ~ Website allows you to access the encrypted password file
- ~ Technically, the crime has now occurred!
 - ~ good chance though that they'll be no forthcoming prosecution

Example: Poor Ethics

- ~ What if you now crack the passwords?
 - ~ this act itself is not illegal
- ~ What if you now distribute your findings publicly?
 - ~ again, not illegal
- ~ Highly probable that ISP and legal authorities would not like these acts
 - ~ you would be judged as out to harm ISP

Example: Good Ethics

- ~ A law court has a wireless network
- ~ You demonstrate to the court clerk that it is readily accessible to hackers
- ~ No demonstrable intention to cause damage
 - ~ intention to improve court's wireless security

The Lesson

- ~ Perceived *ethics* of the individual affect whether they will be charged and convicted
- ~ Do **not** act to *intentionally* harm the *interests* of the system owner, no matter how *insecure* the machine may be

Bottom Line

- ~ Get permission first!
- ~ Do research on your own machine
- ~ Don't cause harm to a victim
- ~ Report findings directly to system administrator or vendor
- ~ Don't ask for money for your findings
- ~ Don't report to people likely to misuse your findings!

Reverse Engineering

- ~ Reading about a program
- ~ Observing the program in operation by using it on a computer
- ~ Performing a static examination of the individual instructions within the program
- ~ Performing a dynamic examination of the individual instructions within the program

Software Copyright

- ~ Copyright owner has *exclusive* rights to work
 - ~ even when its sold or given away
 - ~ right to reproduce and prepare derivatives
 - ~ right to distribute copies
 - ~ right to perform and display work publicly
- ~ Reverse engineering *will* create infringing copies of a software program

Copyright Defences

- ~ Owner of a copy is allowed to reproduce or adapt program if this is necessary for the program to be used in conjunction with a machine
- ~ only applies to owner seeking to adapt their copy

Copyright Defences

- ~ Legitimate owner of program is allowed to make *fair use* of the program
- ~ Reverse Engineering *can* be fair use
 - ~ copies should be used for working out how program works and accessing ideas, facts and functional concepts within software
 - ~ copies should be *intermediate* - can **not** use copyrighted code in your final product
 - ~ need to own a *legitimate* copy of work

Trade Secrets

- ~ Protection against theft or misuse of certain kinds of information
- ~ once public legitimately learns information, it can not be a trade secret!
- ~ Generally, reverse engineering doesn't violate trade secret law
- ~ it is a fair and honest means of learning information

EULA and NDA

- ~ Legal instruments that could be used to explicitly prohibit reverse engineering
- ~ could a fair use defence still be used by a reverse engineer?
- ~ are the terms: enforceable; breeched; copyright infringed; trade secrets misappropriated?

Example

- ~ M.Lynn due to speak at Black Hat 2005 about vulnerabilities in Cisco routers
- ~ attacker could fully compromise routers
- ~ Cisco didn't want presentation to go ahead
- ~ Lynn's employee agreed to alter talk
- ~ Night before speech, Lynn's material seized

Example

- ~ Lynn quit his job and gave the talk anyway
 - ~ the flaws so serious that he believed it was important to inform system administrators
 - ~ simple software upgrade fixed issue
- ~ Alleged copyright infringement, trade secret disclosure and breach of employer contract
- ~ Lynn disclosed enough data to show flaw existence but not how to exploit it
 - ~ ie. ethical behaviour