

Simple to Complex or Complex to Simple?

A strange and beautiful family of creatures known as ammonoids nicely illustrate the illogic and lack of scientific reasoning used by defenders of evolution. While the chambered nautilus is the only species of ammonoid that exists today, the fossil record reveals hundreds of extinct species. Some, like the chambered nautilus, had relatively simple shells, while others had intricately detailed shells with ruffled, wavy walls.

Historically, evolutionists have always explained this variation by saying that, like all other living things, ammonoids evolved from simple to complex. An ammonoid specialist developed a system that mathematically rates shell complexity, based on factors like the length and number of loops and spirals in the shell. He concluded that even though the relatively simple chambered nautilus survives, those with more complex shapes had a survival advantage in the past. He argues that the ammonoids evolved from complex to simple, thus proving evolution.

Simple to complex? Complex to simple? Both arguments cannot be used in science to support evolution. The fact that supporters of evolution illogically argue in both directions shows that evolution is not scientific. It is merely a philosophy that seeks to explain the creation without need for the Creator. But ultimately, evolution is unable to explain the creation without our Creator.

Proverbs 12:5

“The thoughts of the righteous are right, but the counsels of the wicked are deceit.”

Prayer: Lord, deliver us from the deceitfulness of unbelievers.

Amen.

Ref: Toronto Star, "Survival of the simplest"