



2016 Study: Field Validation of XRF Method for Measuring Soil Hg

Robert Brent, Ph.D

Preliminary Work in 2015


	Task	Finding
✓	Site-specific Hg calibration developed	Great calibration results ($R^2 = 0.996$) over range from 1 to 500 ppm Hg. Improved performance over factory calibration
✓	Method Detection Limit Study	Detection limit of 7.4 ppm Hg achieved with 60 sec analysis time
✓	Method Comparison Study	XRF results agreed with Method 7471A ($R^2 = 0.995$). Precision was equivalent (Mean RSD = 23%)
✓	Moisture Interference Study	Moisture not a significant interference





Preliminary Results

- Comparison of XRF and Method 7471A




2016 Work

- Task 0 - Literature review
- Task 1 - Field setup and protocol development
- Task 2 - Field validation
 - Coordinated field campaign with AECOM
 - In situ XRF field measurement before sampling
 - XRF field measurement on collected sample
 - Method 7471A lab measurement on collected sample
 - Real-time assistance with sampling extent for BMA delineation





2016 Work

- Task 3 – Additional laboratory validation
 - Shipment of Method 7471A samples to JMU for laboratory analysis using XRF
 - Result comparison between
 - In-situ XRF measurement
 - Field XRF measurement on collected sample
 - Method 7471A laboratory measurement on collected sample
 - Laboratory XRF measurement on collected sample
 - Task 4 – Quantify method accuracy
 - Use of NIST standards
 - Spiking of South River soil samples
- 

2016 Work

- Task 5 – Develop transferable calibration standards
 - Investigate thin-film technologies for making durable field calibration check samples
- Task 6 – (Optional) additional screening level use
 - Will depend on results of earlier tasks and demonstrated needs of field personnel
 - Could include
 - Assistance with BMA screening
 - On-site characterization of excavated material during remediation
 - Side-by-side comparison of other XRF units
- Task 7 – Data analysis and report preparation

