Supermartensitic Stainless Steel Deposits: Effects of Shielding Gas and Postweld Heat Treatment

Introduction

Over the years, 13% Cr steel has been widely accepted in the oil country tubular goods (OCTG) segment because of its good corrosion resistance. The use of 13% Cr steel is recognized as a standard countermeasure to corrosion attack initiated by carbon dioxide in oil and gas welds. However, conventional type 12–13% Cr steel has not been utilized so much in line pipe because of its relatively bad weldability, which requires preheating prior to welding and postweld heat treatment (PWHT) (Ref. 1).

Recently, supermartensitic stainless steels (SMSS) have been developed as an attractive technical alternative to high-strength, low-alloy (HSLA) steels mainly in applications related to the oil and gas industry (Refs. 2, 3). Welding these materials plays a crucial role in structural components, influencing their weldability, toughness, and resistance to sulfide stress cracking. The SMSS were developed based on classic martensitic stainless steels (11–14% Cr), reducing C content to enhance weldability, toughness, and corrosion resistance, plus adding Ni to promote a free ferrite structure and Mo (Refs. 4, 5), which also improves corrosion resistance (Refs. 6, 7).

For welding these materials, gas metal arc welding (GMAW) using SMSS metal-cored wires has been recognized as a suitable technological option, and its use has recently been improved (Ref. 5). This type of consumable presents several advantages such as low slag generation and high deposition rate (Ref. 8). Shielding gases employed for welding this type of material usually are inert gas mixes (Ar-He) or Ar-rich mixtures. The type of shielding gas can affect the chemical composition of the weld metal, principally O, N, and C contents (Ref. 9).

Usually, PWHT is necessary to adjust the properties of weldments in SMSS. In these cases, the heat treatment times typically vary between 5 and 30 min. In this respect, it has been reported that the aforementioned short PWHT provides an improvement in sulfide stress cracking (SSC) resistance (Ref. 7). In certain grades of SMSS, these treatments provide a decrease in hardness and residual stress along with increased toughness, while grades with higher Mo content are less sensitive to PWHT (Refs. 7, 10).

Different PWHTs lead to microstructural modifications producing different combinations of phases present in SMSS weld deposits (tempered and untempered martensite, austenite, carbides, etc.) with each microstructural pattern affecting toughness in a specific way.

The objective of this work was to systematically study the effects of different shielding gas mixtures and PWHT (650°C x 15 min) on the all-weld-metal properties ob-
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Experimental Procedure

The oxidation potential (OP) of a shielding gas is related to O₂ and CO₂ contents of the mentioned gas, according to the following equation (Ref. 11):

$$\text{OP} = O_2 + 0.5 \text{CO}_2$$

Table 1 shows the chemical composition of shielding gases used and their respective OP, the samples identification, and welding parameters utilized. Three all-weld-metal coupons were welded according to the standard ANSI/AWS A5.22-95, Specification for Stainless Steel Electrodes for Flux Cored Arc Welding and Tungsten Arc Welding.

Table 1 — Chemical Composition of Shielding Gases Used, Samples Identification and Welding Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>As Welded</th>
<th>Heat Treated</th>
<th>Shielding Gas</th>
<th>CO₂ (%)</th>
<th>Ar (%)</th>
<th>He (%)</th>
<th>OP</th>
<th>Tension (V)</th>
<th>Current (A)</th>
<th>Welding Rate (mm/s)</th>
<th>Heat Input (kJ/mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HA</td>
<td>HP</td>
<td>Ar + 5% He</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>Ar + 2% CO₂</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>Ar + 18% CO₂</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stainless Steel Flux Cored Rods for Gas Tungsten Arc Welding, using a tubular metal-core wire of 1.2 mm diameter with three different shielding gases. In all cases, the welding position was flat, and the preheating and interpass temperatures were 100°C. Gas flow was 18 L/min and stickout 20 mm. After welding, the three coupons were x-rayed following ANSI B31.3-96, Chemical Plant and Petroleum Refinery Piping.

A PWHT at 650°C for 15 min was applied to the different samples in an induction heating furnace. This PWHT condition was chosen according to the recommendations of the consumable manufacturer and previous studies (Refs. 12, 13). From each welded coupon, transversal sections for macro and microstructure studies, chemical composition determination, and hardness measurements were extracted. To study the operability of the consumable, width and penetration of the last bead were measured as well as the spatter level as a function of the shielding gas used.

On the cross sections of each coupon, chemical composition by optical emission spectrometry (OES) was determined, except the C, O, N, and S contents, which were analyzed using a Leeco™. The inclusion levels and critical temperatures were determined. The microstructural characterization was performed by light microscopy (LM), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and X-ray diffraction (XRD). The delta ferrite fraction present in each deposit was measured through quantitative metallography according to ASTM E562-99, Standard Test Method for Determining Volume Fraction by Systematic Manual Point Count, and the retained austenite contents were determined using a peak comparison method from the XRD patterns (Ref. 14).

In addition, Vickers hardness HV1 was measured. From each coupon, 1 longitudinal tensile specimen was machined according to (Ref. 15), and 15 Charpy V-notch (CVN) impact specimens were machined according to ASTM E23-05, Standard Test Methods for Notched Bar Impact Testing of Metallic Materials. Tensile and impact CVN samples were tested in the as-welded (AW) and PWHT conditions. Tensile property was measured at room temperature, and CVN impact tests were performed at 20°, 0°, –20°, –40°, and –60°C.

Results and Discussion

According to ANSI B31.3-96, all coupons were approved as they presented very low defect levels.

Figure 1 shows the surface appearance of samples HA, CA, and AA welded under each shielding gas used. It can be seen that there was a clear increase in the amount of spatter as the CO₂ content in the shielding gas increased. This could be associated with an increased partial pressure of CO₂ in the arc atmosphere.

For the welding parameters used, the metal transfer occurred in a mixed globular/spray mode. The change from a transfer mode to another one is characterized by a transition current, which depends on the shielding gas and increases with its CO₂ content. In the gas atmosphere, the presence of this gas limits the electromagnetic forces that control spray transfer mode (Ref. 16). In this sense, when using a gas mixture with higher amounts of CO₂, the globular to spray transfer mode transition current increases, then increasing the level of spatter associated with the globular transfer mode.

Figure 2 shows the images of the last bead for HA, CA, and AA specimens. In these figures, it can be seen that as CO₂ content increased in the shielding gas, the geometry of the bead changed, decreasing the ratio width/penetration as is shown in Table 2.

![Fig. 1 — Surface appearance of welded samples for the three gases.](image1)

![Fig. 2 — Last weld bead for the different shielding gases.](image2)

![Fig. 3 — Inclusions volume fraction vs. oxidation potential of the shielding gas.](image3)
Table 3 shows the results of the chemical composition of all-weld-metal coupons. Carbon contents, fluctuating between 140 and 180 ppm, were higher than expected for this consumable. The value reported by the manufacturer was less than 100 ppm (Refs. 13, 17).

In general, the measured values of the rest of the analyzed elements were below the nominal values with the exception of Si and Mo, according to the product data sheet (Ref. 13). In the SMSS, to achieve good toughness and appropriate hardness, it is necessary to have low C, N, O, and S contents (Refs. 18, 19). The C content controls the martensite hardness and toughness; it is the more influential element on Mₐ temperature. The precipitated carbides influence corrosion resistance and hydrogen damage (Ref. 20), among others. Also, the presence of N and Mo has a great influence on the sequence of carbides and carbides/nitrides precipitation (Ref. 21).

Regarding the O content present in the weld metal, in all cases it exceeded 300 ppm, the limit beyond which, according to the literature (Ref. 17), the absorbed energy falls brusquely. The variation observed in the chemical composition of the all-weld metal corresponds, among other things, to the metallic elements oxidation process occurring in the electric arc. The greater O content in the shielding gas, the higher oxidation potential of the gaseous atmosphere, and the greater the effect of oxidation mentioned above (Ref. 22). The high interstitial elements contents in the deposits are associated with a high content of O and C in the atmosphere of the arc from the decomposition of CO₂ in the shielding gas (Ref. 23). These variations were small but could have affected certain properties. In this sense, Ni, Cu, and Mn are elements that stabilize the austenite, so that higher contents of these elements could result in an increase of retained austenite in the microstructure (Refs. 16, 24).

Also, Mo and Cr could affect the delta ferrite fraction as they both stabilize this phase. Toughness and, to a lesser extent, tensile property and corrosion resistance, could be affected by these small variations in chemical composition, mainly in the coupons welded under a high OP gas mixture.

The increase of CO₂ content in the shielding gas produced a higher O content in the deposits. One way to demonstrate the increase of this last element is through the determination of inclusionary levels. Some authors (Ref. 25) reported that the sizes of the inclusions are the order of microns. Table 4 shows that quantity, average diameter, and volume fraction of inclusions in the AW sample increased with the CO₂ content in the shielding gas.

Figure 3 presents the effect of the shielding gas on the inclusion volume fraction (IVF) of the deposits, showing the tendency mentioned. These differences in the inclusionary level may affect, among other properties, the toughness and corrosion resistance of the deposits (Refs. 17, 18, 25, 26).

In all cases, in the AW samples, the microstructure consisted of a martensitic matrix with small amounts of delta ferrite and retained austenite. The literature (Refs. 6, 7, 27) reports for this type of steel that retained austenite contents in the AW condition may vary between 2 and 30%, and that this phase cannot be identified through the microscopy techniques used in this work (Refs. 12, 17, 26). Samples submitted to PWHT presented a darkening of the martensitic structure associated with the carbide and/or carbonitride precipitates mainly in the grain boundary.

Table 3 — Chemical Composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>C (ppm)</th>
<th>Mn (%)</th>
<th>Si (%)</th>
<th>S (%)</th>
<th>Cr (%)</th>
<th>Ni (%)</th>
<th>Mo (%)</th>
<th>Cu (%)</th>
<th>V (%)</th>
<th>O (ppm)</th>
<th>N (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HA</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specif.</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NI: not informed
The critical temperatures $A_{C1}$, $A_{C3}$, and $M_S$ were determined by dilatometry with a heating rate of 1°C/min in the HA and AA coupons. Table 5 shows the results obtained. The coupon welded with high CO$_2$ content in the shielding gas (AA) had a higher austenite transformation starting temperature regarding the coupon welded under Ar + 5% He (HA). Marshall and Farrar (Ref. 28) indicated that the actual $A_{C1}$ temperature for a low-grade stainless steel is around 650°C while high-grade alloys are around 630°C.

Table 4 — Inclusionary Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>IT</th>
<th>Area ($\mu$m$^2$)</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>IAD ($\mu$m)</th>
<th>IVF ($\mu$m$^3$)</th>
<th>OP</th>
<th>O Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1695</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1695</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1695</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>710</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the literature (Ref. 17), there are reported several ferrite morphologies found in SMSS deposits. Two types of ferrite could be identified based on their location and morphology. Most common was ferrite with morphology very similar to that of ferrite found in duplex stainless steel weld metals. The presence of ferrite is a consequence of incomplete ferrite-to-austenite transformation in weld metals solidifying as ferrite and was most common for more highly alloyed weld metals (Ref. 17). Another ferrite morphology, similar to that seen in austenitic stainless steel weld metals, was found in weld metals higher in Ni, solidifying as a mixture of ferrite and austenite (Ref. 17). This ferrite was located in the last solidifying interdendritic regions.

Figure 4 shows the microstructure of the coupon AP obtained by LM and SEM, respectively. In this figure the following identification was used: M, martensite; F, ferrite; and Pr, precipitates. Similar microstructures were found in the rest of the coupons.

In the literature (Ref. 17), there are reported several ferrite morphologies found in SMSS deposits. Two types of ferrite could be identified based on their location and morphology. Most common was ferrite with morphology very similar to that of ferrite found in duplex stainless steel weld metals. The presence of ferrite is a consequence of incomplete ferrite-to-austenite transformation in weld metals solidifying as ferrite and was most common for more highly alloyed weld metals (Ref. 17). Another ferrite morphology, similar to that seen in austenitic stainless steel weld metals, was found in weld metals higher in Ni, solidifying as a mixture of ferrite and austenite (Ref. 17). This ferrite was located in the last solidifying interdendritic regions.

Figure 5 shows the different ferrite morphology for the HA coupon. These different morphologies of ferrite in the microstructure show different solidification modes generated simultaneously in the deposits.

The critical temperatures $A_{C1}$, $A_{C3}$, and $M_S$ were determined by dilatometry with a heating rate of 1°C/min in the HA and AA coupons. Table 5 shows the results obtained. The coupon welded with high CO$_2$ content in the shielding gas (AA) had a higher austenite transformation starting temperature regarding the coupon welded under Ar + 5% He (HA). Marshall and Farrar (Ref. 28) indicated that the actual $A_{C1}$ temperature for a low-grade stainless steel is around 650°C while high-grade alloys are around 630°C.

The use of equations for the selection of PWHT temperature should be carefully considered and the results be verified experimentally to prevent undesired hardening of the structure due to the fact that partial austenitizing can generate fresh martensite (Ref. 5). The PWHT is usually recommended in SMSS deposits for improving both toughness and ductility; tensile strength is reduced by only 10 to 20% (Ref. 29). Ni has a strong effect on decreasing $A_{C1}$ and Mo the contrary effect. In this sense, the small variations of certain elements observed in the chemical composition of these samples could have led to changes in critical temperatures.

The different types of ferrite were quantified for the three coupons in AW conditions through 20 measurements on a grid of 660 points. Table 6 shows these results. There were no significant variations in the measured ferrite contents, indicating no shielding gas important influence on these values. Table 7 shows the retained austenite content.

From a microstructural point of view, the objective of PWHT is to temper the martensite and increase the stable retained austenite at room temperature resulting in softening and improving both toughness and corrosion resistance of the deposit (Refs. 2, 3, 19, 30, 31). The literature reports (Refs. 5, 32) that a PWHT at 650°C for 5 min is the heat treatment commonly used in manufacturing SMSS pipe when using duplex and superduplex stainless steel consumables; longer heat treatment can cause sigma phase to form in these weld metals. During the PWHT, in these steels, the tempering of martensite is generally followed by a softening associated with incoherent carbide precipitation, achieving the maximum softening with the M$_{23}$C$_6$ carbide precipitation at about 500°C (Ref. 32).

In alloys without Ni, the PWHT is performed at about 700°C to obtain a high re- action rate and maximum softening (Ref. 32). The presence of Ni reduces the critical transformation temperature $A_{C1}$. This temperature, for a given steel, depends on the chemical composition, heating rate, and in alloys with high Ni contents can be as low as 500°C–550°C (Refs. 32, 33). In this work, heat treatment was carried out at 650°C for 15 min, which seemed to be adequate for matching filler metals but not appropriate for duplex or superduplex ones. This temperature/time parameter did not generate changes that could have been observed by LM and SEM. Only differences in the austenite content in the microstructure could be established by X-ray diffraction.

As mentioned above, in alloys with high contents of Ni, the $A_{C1}$ temperature may be around 550°C. At this temperature, the kinetics of carbide formation is very slow and, it is normal that austenite precipitates (Ref. 32). In this way, through a diffusion mechanism, the austenite formed during PWHT will have a different chemical composition from the austenite retained during the welding process (Refs. 32, 34). In this instance, the martensitic matrix and retained austenite produced during welding will have identical chemical composition while the new austenite formed during PWHT will be...
richer in N, C, and Ni. This degree of enrichment determines the stability of austenite formed during the heat treatment.

If PWHT is performed at temperatures slightly above $A_{C1}$, the enriched austenite is stable at room temperature (Refs. 32, 34). If PWHT is carried out at temperatures well above $A_{C1}$, the austenite formed during PWHT will be transformed to “fresh” martensite during cooling (Ref. 32). If PWHT is carried out below $A_{C1}$ temperature, the austenite content will decrease with respect to that of the AW sample because part of it will transform to “fresh” martensite after cooling to room temperature.

Figure 6 shows the relationship between austenite content and OP of the shielding gas for both AW and PWHT conditions. The contents of retained austenite increased with increasing CO$_2$ in the shielding gas in AW samples. This increase could be related to higher C and N content generated using higher amounts of CO$_2$ in the shielding gas as both elements are strong promoters of austenite.

Also, it has been reported (Ref. 35) that the austenite contents are strongly controlled by the $M_S$ temperature. Retained austenite levels, at room temperature, are higher when $M_S$ decreases (Ref. 35). As seen previously, increasing the OP in the shielding gas slightly decreased the transformation temperature of austenite to martensite during cooling. This slight decrease in the $M_S$ temperature generated a higher retained austenite content at room temperature, in accord with what was reported elsewhere (Ref. 35).

When applying PWHT, retained austenite content increased for low OP, while for high OP retained austenite values decreased. The higher OP, the higher critical temperature measured. The HA coupon ($A_{C1} = 590°C$) showed low austenite values in the AW condition and application of PWHT, at a temperature slightly above $A_{C1}$, then generated higher amounts of retained austenite. On the other hand, the AA coupon ($A_{C1} = 660°C$) had a higher content of austenite in the AW condition and applying PWHT, which was slightly below the critical temperature, generated lower retained austenite content regarding the AW sample. In conclusion, applying a PWHT at 650°C for 15 min to this type of material, austenite content can decrease or increase depending on the PWHT temperature location regarding the $A_{C1}$ critical temperature of the material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Heating Rate (°C/min)</th>
<th>$A_{C1}$ (°C)</th>
<th>$A_{C3}$ (°C)</th>
<th>MS (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 — Critical Temperatures for Samples H and A

Fig. 7 — Influence of OP on hardness, ultimate tensile, and yield strengths.

Fig. 8 — Effect of O content on the CVN absorbed energy in AW and PWHT conditions.

Fig. 9 — The CVN at 20°C vs. austenite content.

Fig. 10 — Hardness vs. CVN in AW and PWHT conditions at 20°C.
mined on the all-weld-metal coupon for the different conditions studied. Figure 7 shows the influence of OP on hardness, ultimate tensile strength, and yield strength for the AW and PWHT samples. It can be seen that as the gas OP increased, hardness increased; this fact can be related to the augmentation of C and N in the deposits as the OP increased (Ref. 18).

It is known (Refs. 36–38) that C content controls the martensite hardness. The higher C content the higher measured hardness. The literature also reports (Refs. 39, 40) that N is a promoter of carbonitrides that generates a hardening of the structure in these materials. Then, higher both C and N contents will produce higher hardness. The tensile and yield strengths showed the same behavior that hardness did. The application of PWHT resulted in lower values of hardness, tensile strength, and yield strength in all cases. As mentioned above, the PWHT employed generated martensite tempering and in some cases higher austenite content at room temperature; these two facts produced lower values of mechanical properties.

It was not possible to establish a relationship between austenite contents and the tensile properties and hardness. The absorbed energy values obtained were in the order of these reported in the literature (Refs. 17, 37, 41, 42). In the AW samples, the biggest value was achieved in sample HA (no CO₂ in the shielding gas) with 41 J at 20°C. The effect of OP on the toughness of these materials was clearly seen: The higher CO₂ in the shielding gas, the lower toughness for both AW and heat-treated samples. According to the literature (Ref. 17), O content strongly influences the toughness values of the SMSS.

Figure 8 shows the relationship between toughness results at 20°C and the O content in all welded metal coupon in both AW and PWHT samples. This figure shows clearly that the toughness decreased when the O content increased for all coupons. The same trends could be determined for all test temperature. In all cases, the absorbed energy values achieved after PWHT improved for all temperatures, resulting over 50% higher to those obtained in AW samples. The PWHT applied, as discussed above, produced martensite tempering and, according to the location of PWHT temperature with respect to AC₁ temperature, generated lower or higher austenite content in the microstructure (Ref. 43) regarding the microstructure in AW condition. The microstructure of PWHT samples consisted of tempered martensite, delta ferrite, and austenite fractions.

It is known (Ref. 43) that higher stable austenite content at room temperature increases the absorbed energy in the CVN impact test. It was not possible to establish a direct relationship between austenite content and toughness. However, according to Fig. 9, it can be seen that as the austenite content increased, toughness tended to increase. A low R² could be indicating that austenite content is not the only factor influencing toughness.

Figure 10 shows the relationship between the hardness and toughness in AW and PWHT conditions at 20°C.

### Conclusions

As CO₂ content in the shielding gas increased, the following occurred:

1) Higher spatter and a lower width-penetration relationship in the last bead were obtained.
2) In the all-weld metal, C, O, and N contents increased. Mn, Si, Cr, Ni, and Mo contents decreased.
3) Inclusionary levels increased.
4) The microstructure, in all cases, was constituted by a martensitic matrix with delta ferrite and retained austenite. The austenite content increased.
5) Hardness and tensile properties slightly augmented.
6) Toughness decreased.

Regarding the PWHT used in this work, the following took place:

1) The microstructure did not show differences with the PWHT.
2) Hardness and tensile properties decreased slightly in comparison to the AW values.
3) Toughness was improved in all samples. In most cases, the absorbed energy increased in more than 50% compared to the AW samples.
4) The best toughness was achieved for those samples welded under inert gas protection and submitted to heat treatment.

In those cases where toughness is not a requirement, it is possible to use CO₂ gas shielding, especially lower cost Ar/20 CO₂.
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