Introduction

Fossil fuels continue to be an important source of power production. In 2015, coal, oil, and natural gas constituted more than 81% of the total fuel used for energy production in the world (Ref. 1). Although the dependence on fossil fuels for energy production is subject to the nation’s economy, use of renewable sources for 100% energy production is still a distant goal. With the advent of advanced ultra-supercritical (A-USC) power plants, the efficiency of coal-fired power plants has increased to more than 45% from 35% efficiency of conventional power plants (Ref. 2). These A-USC power plants require alloys with good creep strength, capable of operating at elevated temperatures and pressures (steam conditions of 700°–760°C and 4000–5000 lb/in.²) (Ref. 2). Due to the scale of these plants, the components must be made in parts and joined at the site of the application. Welding proves to be the best solution available for this purpose. One of the challenges associated with welding for some alloys is the problem of stress relief cracking (SRC). Stress relief cracking is known by different names, including stress-relaxation cracking, postweld heat treatment (PWHT) cracking, or stress-induced cracking. Strain-age cracking (SAC) has often been used alternatively for SRC by some researchers (Ref. 3). However, in this research, a distinction is made between SRC and SAC, where SRC is limited to cracking during PWHT while SAC failures occur during long-term service.

SRC is generally characterized by a low ductility intergranular (IG) fracture in the coarse-grain heat-affected zone (CGHAZ) and can further be aggravated in heavily cold worked regions like pipe bends (Refs. 4, 5). The fracture surface often exhibits localized microvoid coalescence on the grain facets that resembles creep fracture and suggests highly localized plastic deformation in the grain boundary regions. The problem of SRC has often been associated with precipitation-strengthened alloys. The mechanism of SRC is alloy specific but can generally be explained as the relaxation of residual stresses by plastic deformation that is localized along or near the grain boundaries. The localization of strain along the grain boundary regions can be associated with matrix strengthening and exacerbated by the formation of softened precipitate-free zones (PFZs) along the boundary (Ref. 6). When the local ductility is exhausted along the grain boundary region, the stress is relaxed by cracking (Refs. 3, 7). The soft PFZs that can aggravate cracking have generally been reported to be a result of two possible mechanisms — discontinuous coarsening of major strengthening precipitate near the grain boundary that
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leaves denuded zones between the coarsened particles, and local depletion of key alloying elements associated with precipitation/coarsening at the grain boundary (Ref. 8). A relatively low precipitate content in the matrix allows for easy movement of dislocation (plastic deformation), which is an important mechanism of residual stress relaxation (Refs. 9–12). With increased precipitation at aging temperatures, the dislocations tend to pile up at the precipitation-matrix interface, thus restricting stress relaxation (Ref. 11). The dislocation-precipitate interaction depends on a range of factors including the coherency of the precipitate with the matrix, size, and aging temperature (Refs. 11, 12). The impediment of dislocations is increased by cold working. Cold working generates new dislocations, which act as preferred sites for precipitate nucleation (Ref. 13). These new precipitates form within the grains or on subgrain boundaries (Ref. 14).

**Table 1 — Chemical Composition of Alloys in Weight Percent**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>740H</th>
<th>In 617</th>
<th>HY282</th>
<th>HY230</th>
<th>347H</th>
<th>Gr22</th>
<th>Gr22V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.098</td>
<td>1.559</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>&lt;0.0003</td>
<td>&lt;0.0003</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>20.21</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>10.12</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>24.19</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>19.12</td>
<td>22.06</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>69.32</td>
<td>96.78</td>
<td>95.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>1.488</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>50.53</td>
<td>59.94</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58.04</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>1.357</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>14.48</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>&lt;0.0003</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>0.0257</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 1 — Schematic diagram of SRC test with 0.2% plastic strain.**

**Fig. 2 — Schematic diagram of SRC test with 10% plastic strain.**

**Fig. 3 — Sample geometry: A — austenitic; B — ferritic alloys. (All dimensions in mm.)**
boundaries and impede the available mobile dislocations, thus accounting for reduced stress relaxation (Ref. 13).

The precipitation kinetics in an alloy is a crucial factor that affects its SRC susceptibility (Ref. 14). The stress relaxation during PWHT has been known to be a result of competition between elevated temperature plastic deformation to relax internal stresses and matrix strengthening due to aging at the same time (Ref. 15). Precipitation during aging hinders stress relaxation by impeding dislocations at the matrix–precipitate interface. Thus, fast precipitation kinetics can reduce the rate of stress relaxation, generally making the alloy more susceptible to SRC during PWHT (Ref. 7). It has been shown that effective stress relaxation without cracking can be achieved by fast heating to the PWHT temperature beyond the precipitate solvus temperature, thus avoiding any precipitation (Ref. 14).

Segregation of certain elements at the grain boundaries has also been indicated as one of the causes for SRC. Elevated temperature facilitates the diffusion of tramp elements like S, P, As to the grain boundaries. These elements cause embrittlement of grain boundaries and ultimately fracture (Ref. 16). The resultant fracture surface is characterized by smooth grain facets as compared to microvoids on the grain facets in the case of grain boundary softening. Both the fracture modes are IG and are characteristic to SRC.

Many past studies on the SRC susceptibility were more binary in their approach where the test methods were designed to indicate pass or fail for an alloy or a combination of two alloys (Refs. 4, 9, 17–19). The primary objectives of this research were to 1) develop a reliable test procedure that simulates the SRC mechanism under controlled conditions and provides a relative, quantitative measure of SRC susceptibility, and 2) apply the test method to rank a wide range of alloys to determine the relative SRC susceptibility.
as a function of PWHT temperature and plastic deformation. Post-test microstructural characterization of the samples was also conducted to gain insight into the cracking mechanisms of alloys that were susceptible.

Procedure

The chemical composition of the alloy systems under consideration is listed in Table 1. Of the seven alloys, Grade 22 and Grade 22V are ferritic steels, 347H is an austenitic stainless steel, Inconel® 740H, Inconel® 617, Haynes® 230, and Haynes® 282 are Ni-based alloys. A Gleeble® 3500 thermo-mechanical simulator was used in this study to develop SRC tests that reproduced the fracture features typical of SRC failures.

Figure 1 shows a schematic illustration of the thermal and mechanical cycle during a basic SRC test. The SRC test was divided into three stages. First, the specimen was exposed to a CGHAZ thermal cycle. The CGHAZ thermal cycle for each material was obtained using the Smartweld® package with a representative heat input of 2000 J/mm. Table 2 lists the peak temperatures of the CGHAZ thermal cycles for all the alloys. After the CGHAZ thermal cycle, the sample was cooled to room temperature, followed by heating to the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Base Metal</th>
<th>Heat-Affected Zone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haynes 230</td>
<td>47 ± 4</td>
<td>61 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haynes 282</td>
<td>92 ± 11</td>
<td>133 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>347H</td>
<td>18 ± 1</td>
<td>32 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconel 617</td>
<td>89 ± 10</td>
<td>86 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconel 740H</td>
<td>116 ± 11</td>
<td>169 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 22</td>
<td>17 ± 1</td>
<td>94 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 22V</td>
<td>21 ± 3</td>
<td>70 ± 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 — Base Metal and HAZ Grain Size Along with Standard Deviation
PWHT temperature at 100°C/min. During the first two stages of the SRC test, the sample was not constricted and thus could freely expand/contract due to volumetric changes associated with heating/cooling. Once at the PWHT temperature, the 0.2% offset yield stress (at test temperature) was applied at 1.5 mm/min crosshead speed and the crosshead was locked at this position. The crosshead was held fixed for 8 h during which the variation of stress with time was recorded at a constant temperature, shown by the third stage of the SRC test in Fig. 1. Locking the crosshead mimicked the constriction experienced by the HAZ of a weld during stress relaxation at the PWHT temperature. The test stopped before 8 h if the sample fractured during stress relaxation, otherwise the sample was pulled to failure at 2.5 mm/s at the end of 8 h of stress relaxation.

The high strain rate was employed to quickly separate the fracture surfaces to help prevent arcing between the mating faces of the crack, thus preserving the fracture surface for post-test examination. As described above, the SRC procedures required knowledge of the 0.2% offset yield strength for each PWHT temperature of interest. Thus, prior to the SRC tests, tensile tests were conducted at each PWHT temperature for each alloy. The strain during the tensile tests was measured using a dilatometer, measuring the change in diameter at the center of the sample. The tensile test samples were exposed to the same CGHAZ thermal cycle as the SRC test for that alloy.

The procedure was modified to study the effect of cold work on the SRC behavior because cold working is well known to accelerate precipitation kinetics and increase SRC susceptibility (Refs. 9, 10–13). Ten percent plastic strain was applied to the sample at room temperature after application of the CGHAZ thermal cycle, as shown in stage two of Fig. 2. Stage two terminated with bringing the stress on sample to zero. The sample was then heated to the PWHT temperature while maintaining zero stress on the sample (i.e., unconstrained). At the PWHT temperature, the 0.2% offset yield stress was applied to the sample and the crosshead was locked in place to start the stress relaxation (for 8 h). The 0.2% offset yield strength for these tests were remeasured at each PWHT temperature after application of 10% plastic strain (the earlier measured values could not be used as the application of 10% plastic strain increased the yield strength of the alloys). The ferritic alloys were only investigated with 0.2% plastic strain at temperatures of 500°C, 600°C, and 700°C. The austenitic alloys were tested with both 0.2% and 10% plastic strains at temperatures of 700°C through 1000°C in increments of 100°C.

Figure 3A and B show the sample geometry used for the austenitic and ferritic alloys, respectively. For the ferritic al-
loy, a double-reduced geometry was devised to prevent failure occurring away from the center of the sample. Failure away from the center of the sample was associated with martensite formation during the CGHAZ thermal cycle. Martensite is stronger than the unaffected base metal outside the hot zone that is primarily bainite. Thus, with a sample of the uniform cross section, the weaker section will fail before the CGHAZ. The double-reduced area within the hot zone increases the stress at the smallest cross section, thus ensuring fracture occurs within the simulated CGHAZ in the sample where the microstructure and temperature are controlled.

After the SRC tests, one half of the sample was used for fracture surface analysis on a Hitachi 4300® or Zeiss LEO 1550 VP® scanning electron microscope (SEM). The other half of the sample was sectioned longitudinally, mounted, and metallographically prepared for microstructural characterization. Microhardness traces were conducted on a Leco® microhardness tester from near the fracture surface to the unaffected base metal. The samples were then repolished down to 0.05-μm colloidal silica and etched. A 2% Nital solution was used to etch the ferritic steels while a 10% Oxalic solution was used to electrolytically etch 347H, Inconel 617, and Haynes 230 at 4 V DC. The 740H and Haynes 282 samples were electrolytically etched at 6 V DC in an 88–12% solution of H₃PO₄ and H₂SO₄ saturated with CrO₃. The microstructure in the etched samples was first viewed under a Reichert-Jung MeF3® light optical microscope (LOM) or Olympus BH 2® fitted with Pax-It® LOM. Grain size measurements were done on the Pax-It® software using the Abrams three circle method averaged over five fields of views as per ASTM E112 (Ref. 20). Table 3 summarizes the base metal and HAZ grain size measurements.

Results and Discussion

Figure 4 shows typical results acquired during the SRC tests, using alloys Grade 22 and Grade 22V as examples. Figure 4A and B show the stress relaxation plots with the failure times indicated (when failure occurred). Two measures of SRC susceptibility, time to failure and percentage stress relaxed during the test, can be determined from the stress relaxation plots. For both the measures, a lower value indicates higher susceptibility to SRC. Figure 4C shows the reduction in area associated with each test condition, where a lower ductility value generally indicates reduced plastic de-
formation available for stress relaxation. Thus, lower ductility indicates higher SRC susceptibility. Figure 4D shows the change in hardness near the fracture relative to the base metal. Hardening near the fracture can be indicative of matrix strengthening due to precipitation, which can exacerbate SRC susceptibility. For samples that did not fail during the test, it is recognized that this local hardness increase could also be associated with work hardening when the sample was pulled to failure at the end of the test. Hence, hardness values cannot solely indicate SRC susceptibility but can surely complement other susceptibility measures. Similar results are shown for the austenitic alloys in Figs. 5–9 for the SRC tests conducted with both 0.2 and 10% plastic strain.

The fracture mode for each condition was determined by examination of the fracture surfaces with SEM, and four distinct types of fracture modes were observed. Examples of each fracture mode are shown in Fig. 10 with their respective reduction in area values. The Type I and II fracture modes shown in Fig. 10A and B, respectively, are IG with very low ductility. Both Type I and II demonstrate susceptibility to SRC as the alloys failed during the test.

The grain facets in Type I are smooth, indicating a brittle grain boundary region with no evidence of significant localized plastic deformation. In contrast, the Type II fractures exhibit grain facets with microvoid coalescence (MVC), suggesting localized softening near the grain boundary. The type III fracture mode shown in Fig. 10C is a mixed type of IG and ductile MVC with moderate ductility. Thus, Type III fracture mode indicates moderate susceptibility to SRC. The Type IV fracture mode shown in Fig. 10D is completely ductile with MVC. High ductility at the end of SRC test indicates that the alloy can accommodate plastic strain and hence is resistant to SRC. The Type III and IV fracture surfaces are from the samples that did not fail during the test and hence were pulled to failure at the end of 8 h.

Similarly, four major types of secondary cracks were observed below the fracture surface as shown in Fig. 11. The Type I secondary cracks shown in Fig. 11A exhibit extensive IG cracking with equiaxed grains and low ductility. Minimal plastic deformation of the grains (as evident by the preserved equiaxed grain structure) with IG secondary cracks is indicative of cracking being the active mechanism of stress relaxation. Hence, Type I secondary cracks demonstrate high SRC susceptibility. The Type II cracks (Fig. 11B) are also rather sharp and intergranular, but with lower frequency than Type I cracks. In addition, samples with Type II cracks
exhibit slightly increased plasticity (as indicated by the elongated grains) that is indicative of lower SRC susceptibility as compared to Type I. The Type III cracks (Fig. 11C) are intergranular, but the cracks are rounded with evidence of blunting associated with appreciable plastic deformation. Finally, samples with Type IV cracks (Fig. 11D) exhibit extensive plasticity (MVC) and no failure during the SRC test, thus show resistance to SRC under the test conditions.

As discussed above, six measures of SRC susceptibility can be identified from the SRC test results: time to failure, percentage stress relaxed, ductility, increase in hardness at the fracture (compared to base metal), type of fracture mode, and nature of secondary cracks below the fracture. These measures are referred to as susceptibility parameters (SP) in this work. Higher susceptibility to SRC is correlated to reductions in the failure time, amount of stress relaxed, and ductility. An increase in hardness at the fracture relative to the base metal would also indicate increased SRC susceptibility, as would Types I and II fracture surfaces and secondary cracks. It may not be conclusive to comment on SRC susceptibility based on only a single parameter. However, when integrated together, these parameters correlate very well with SRC susceptibility among all the alloys. For example, as shown in Fig. 4A, C, and D, Grade 22V at all test temperatures (500°C, 600°C, 700°C) failed during the tests with short failure times (2.5 h, 5 min, 2 min, respectively) and with limited stress relaxation. Very low ductility (~2%) and high hardness near the fracture were also observed. Higher hardness near the fracture, in this case, can be attributed to transformation to martensite from the originally bainitic structure due to HAZ thermal cycle and also precipitation strengthening at PWHT temperatures (Refs. 21, 22). Fine, dispersed vanadium carbides are reported to form on dislocations in Grade 22V that provide enhanced strengthening (Refs. 21, 22). Also, as the sample failed with minimal ductility, the contribution of work hardening to hardness increase is negligible. As shown in Fig. 10A and B, the fracture surfaces were all IG Type I and II with IG Type I secondary cracks. Therefore, combining all the parameters, it can be conclusively stated that Grade 22V is highly susceptible to SRC under the 0.2% test conditions. Several other alloys and test conditions showed similar results (e.g., Inconel 740H at 800°C with both 0.2% and 10% plastic strain, both 347H and Haynes 282 at 700°C and 800°C with 10% plastic strain, and Grade 22 at 600°C with 0.2% plastic strain). Some alloys did not fail during the test but showed other characteristics of SRC susceptibility. These alloys and test conditions had low ductility when pulled to failure and exhibited Type I to Type III fracture modes and secondary cracks (e.g., Haynes 230 at 700°C and 800°C with 10% plastic strain, Inconel 740H at 900°C with both 0.2 and 10% plastic strain). Finally, there were alloys that showed no signs of SRC susceptibility as indicated by no fracture during the test, very high ductility when pulled to failure (after 8 h of the test), Type IV both fracture mode and secondary cracks, and a high stress relaxation. Examples in this category include Inconel 617, Haynes 282, and Inconel 740H at 1000°C with both 0.2 and 10% plastic strain.

The results also revealed the important effects of PWHT temperature on the SRC susceptibility. Broadly, it can be stated that the ferritic alloys were most susceptible at 600°C followed by 700°C, while austenitic alloys were observed to be the most susceptible at both 700°C and 800°C followed by 900°C. Irrespective of the alloy, SRC susceptibility was found to be minimal at 1000°C.

Other than temperature, SRC susceptibility also showed a strong dependence on plastic strain. Some alloys were not susceptible with 0.2% plastic strain but showed evidence of susceptibility when 10% plastic strain was applied. For example, 347 H at 700°C and 800°C with 0.2% plastic strain did not fail during the test, had high ductility when pulled to failure with Type IV fracture mode, and secondary cracks. However, upon application of 10% plastic strain, failure occurred during the test with low-stress relaxation, increased hardness at the fracture, Type I fracture mode and secondary cracks. This change in SRC susceptibility in 347 H sample can probably be attributed to the NbC precipitation on the dislocations induced by cold working (Ref. 23). Cold working enhances the dislocation density in the matrix, thus generating more precipitation sites for NbC. This matrix strengthening can therefore localize strain at the grain boundaries leading to IG fracture with low ductility. Comparable results were observed for Haynes 282 and 230 at 700°C and 800°C with 10% plastic strain. However, it should be noted that the mechanism of matrix strengthening is alloy specific. For example, Haynes 282 is γ precipitation strengthened while solid solution strengthening is the active hardening mechanism in Haynes 230. (The microstructural mechanisms of SRC are discussed in more detail below.)

From the above discussion, the SRC susceptibility can be ascertained as a combination of the SP values identified in
The aim here was to develop an SRC susceptibility index including all the alloys with their respective level of susceptibility, moving beyond a simple pass/fail type classification of SRC susceptibility. To rank the SRC susceptibility of a wide range of alloys under varied test conditions, a unified number associated with SRC susceptibility was developed. The SP values were combined with concepts of the Risk Priority Number (RPN) (Refs. 24, 25). The RPN is a tool in failure modes and effect analysis (FMEA) used for risk assessment of various critical modes of failure for any design or process. RPN is a dynamic tool that can be applied to simple processes such as baking to complicated manufacturing of a critical component on an aircraft. RPN can be calculated to identify and prioritize the potential failure modes. With this established approach, a numerical value is assigned to all the failure modes, based on which corrective measures are prioritized. RPN is expressed as the product of the occurrence, severity, and detectability for that failure mode, shown in Equation 1:

\[
RPN = \text{Occurrence} \times \text{Severity} \times \text{Detectability} 
\]  

In Equation 1, occurrence is the frequency of a failure mode to occur during the process. It is assigned a value from one to ten, where higher numbers indicate more frequent failures (e.g., a value of one for occurrence indicates that the failure mode does not occur at all). Severity is the impact of that failure mode on the process performance. Severity is also assigned a value between one to ten, where ten implies a complete impairment of the process due to that failure mode. Thus, the lower the severity value, the smaller the effect of the failure mode on the process outcome. The detectability value (also assigned values from one to ten) is the ease of detecting the failure mode (Refs. 24, 25) where higher values indicate that the failure mode is difficult to detect. For detectability, a value of ten relates to a failure mode that is not detectable during the process. Hence, for each failure mode, the maximum value of RPN can be 1000, which represents a potential failure that occurs every time the process is conducted, completely stops a process, and cannot be detected. Therefore, a failure mode with higher RPN is placed higher on the priority chart.

The RPN concepts described above were used in similar fashion to develop a susceptibility number (SN) for all the SRC tests, such that a higher SN relates to a higher SRC susceptibility. The value of SN is given by Equation 2, where SP, repre-
sents the six susceptibility parameters from SP₁ to SP₆ for each SRC test, namely, ductility, percentage stress relaxed, increase in hardness at fracture (compared to base metal), failure time, fracture mode and secondary cracks below the fracture. Severity, and Detectability, are, respectively, the severity and detectability values for each SP n as discussed below.

\[
SN = \sum_{n} (SP_n \times Severity_n \times Detectability_n)
\]

(2)

The assigned values of severity and detectability for all the SP are listed in Table 4. It is recognized that these values are somewhat subjective; however, with logical rationale for assigning the values, the extent of subjective nature can be reduced. Furthermore, results of a sensitivity analysis (discussed below) show that reasonable variations to the parameters have minimal effect on the susceptibility ranking results, thus demonstrating the robustness of the approach. The rationale for assigning values of severity and detectability are discussed next.

A stronger indicator to SRC susceptibility was assigned a higher severity value (maximum of 10). For example, the type of fracture mode is a stronger indicator as compared to hardness increase at fracture because IG fracture highlights SRC susceptibility irrespective of the alloy system. However, the increase in hardness near fracture is an inherent property of an alloy and can be due to both precipitation strengthening and/or work hardening. Detectability was defined as the confidence in the measured value of an SP. For example, the time to failure can be accurately measured in the Gleeble® and thus, was assigned a higher value as compared to the subjective parameters like fracture mode.

The fracture mode and secondary cracks are both qualitative parameters, hence, cannot be used directly in the SN calculation. Therefore, the major fracture modes and secondary crack types classified earlier (shown in Figs. 10 and 11, respectively) were assigned values out of ten shown in Table 5, where a higher number indicates higher SRC susceptibility. The other SP values were also expressed out of ten for consistency. The SP values for percent hardness increase were calculated by simply dividing the experimentally calculated value by ten. The SP values for ductility, failure time, and stress relaxed (all values expressed as percentages) were calculated using the simple relation given by Equation 3. The complementary values of the three SP values (as calculated by Equation 3) were used in the SN calculation and

![Fig. 10 — Fracture modes: A — Type I: Intergranular with smooth grain facets [Grade 22V, 600°C, 0.2%]; B — Type II: Intergranular with MVC on grain facets [Grade 22V, 700°C, 0.2%]; C — Type III: Mixed intergranular and ductile micro-voids [Haynes 230, 800°C, 10%]; D — Type IV: Ductile MVC [347H, 800°C, 0.2%].](image-url)
not the values themselves because these SP hold an inverse relation with SRC susceptibility. For example, a higher value of ductility, percentage stress relaxed, or failure time indicate lower SRC susceptibility but result in a higher SN. Therefore, using the complementary values for these SP will maintain the direct relation to both SRC susceptibility and SN.

\[ SP_n = \frac{(100 - SP_n)}{10} \quad (3) \]

For simplicity of plotting, the SN values (calculated using Equation 2) for the entire spectrum of samples and test conditions was normalized to the highest value in the series and multiplied by 100. Figure 12 summarizes the SN values for all the alloys and test conditions. As summarized by the table in Fig. 12, the susceptibility chart is divided into three major regions, namely, susceptible, moderately susceptible, and resistant to SRC. The alloys and test conditions in one region of the susceptibility chart share a common trend in terms of the SP values. For example, in the susceptible region, all the samples failed during the test, had Type I or II fracture mode, Type I secondary cracks, generally low ductility (<10%), significant hardening at the fracture, and low percent of stress relaxed (<20%). The similar trends of the other regions are listed in the table in Fig. 12. There is a rather sharp demarcation (i.e., reduction in SN value) of susceptible test conditions with the conditions that are only moderately susceptible. This reduction in SN is associated not only with the failure of alloys during the test, but is a result of a combination of all the six measures of SRC susceptibility. For example, Grade 22 at 600°C with 0.2% plastic strain, failed in 30 min, had low ductility of 5%, high hardening at fracture, and low-stress relaxation with Type I fracture mode and secondary cracks.

As highlighted earlier, the severity and detectability values for each SP were subjective to a certain extent. Therefore, to check for the robustness of the ranking methodology, a sensitivity test was performed. Severity and detectability values were iteratively varied in the range as shown in Table 4, while other values were not altered from the stan-
standard value. A total of 62 combinations were analyzed and the change in rank was noted with each variation of values. The error bars in Fig. 12 show the maximum change in ranking both above and below the standard position of all the conditions reported earlier. Note that no meaningful change in ranking was observed for the susceptible region, beginning of the moderately susceptible region, and the end of the resistant region of the chart. The change in ranking was localized mainly around the border between moderately susceptible and resistant regions. This localization can be attributed to very close SN values for these test conditions. The insignificant change in ranking confirms the robustness of the ranking methodology.

Microstructural Characterization

The ferritic alloys in this study were primarily included to validate the SRC test procedures. Grades 22 and 22V have been widely reported in literature to be susceptible to SRC, and the cracking mechanisms are generally understood (Refs. 26–32). Thus, microstructural characterization studies were aimed at the remaining austenitic alloys.

Inconel 740H is a γ strengthened alloy that also forms M23C6, MC, G-phase, and η (Refs. 9, 33–35). This alloy showed high and moderate susceptibility to SRC at 800° and 900°C, respectively with the application of both 0.2 and 10% plastic strain — Fig. 12. Other test conditions for 740H were resistant to SRC. Therefore, the two test conditions with 0.2% plastic strain (800° and 900°C) were selected for microstructural characterization. Figure 13A and B shows the fracture surface of the sample tested at 800°C. Note that the fracture mode is intergranular with MVC confined to the boundary regions — Fig. 13A and B. This indicates that plastic deformation was localized along the grain boundaries. Closer examination of the grain boundaries (Fig. 14A and B) show the presence of precipitate-free zones (PFZs) associated with a lamellar precipitate morphology. EDS results from the matrix and coarsened secondary phase are shown in Fig. 14B.

The secondary phase is slightly enriched in Nb, Al, and Ti and exhibits slight depletion in Cr. It is recognized that these elemental concentrations (table in Fig. 14B) are not strictly from the precipitates, but also sample the surround-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter 5: Fracture Mode</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>IG with smooth grains</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>IG with MVC on grain facets</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type III</td>
<td>Mixture of IG and MVC</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type IV</td>
<td>Ductile MVC</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter 6: Secondary Cracks</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>IG with no deformed grains</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>IG with deformed grains</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type III</td>
<td>Mixture of IG and trans-granular</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type IV</td>
<td>Ductile voids</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ing matrix as the electron beam interaction depth (calculated using the Monte-Carlo® simulation) was approximately 280 nm with lateral spatial resolution of approximately 260 nm. However, the composition results and observed lamellar morphology can be combined with information previously reported on secondary phases as an aid to identify the lamellar grain boundary phase. Of the previously reported stable phases in 740H, G-phase only forms after long-term aging (beyond 2500 h) (Ref. 8), and η forms in a needle-like morphology after about 100 h at 800°C (Ref. 36). Thus, these phases would not be expected to form under the short test times in this study. The observed depletion in Cr and the presence of Ni and Al also rule out the possibility of M₇C₃ or MC, as the solid solubility of Ni and Al is known to be negligible in both the phases (Refs. 8, 33), which is also validated from phase fraction calculations using Thermo-Calc® (Table 6). In addition, the formation of γ in IN740H with the same lamellar morphology has recently been reported by Bechetti et al. in this alloy, and is consistent with the higher Nb, Ti, and Al concentrations. Therefore, γ

\[\text{[Ni}_3\text{(Ti,Al,Nb)}] \text{ is the only phase that is consistent with the XEDS and morphology observations.}\]

The lamellar morphology shown in Fig. 14 can occur due to discontinuous precipitation or coarsening (Refs. 37–43). In the current study, continuous precipitation was observed on the grain boundaries with no lamellar precipitates, suggesting that nucleation was not associated with the lamellar morphology. Thus, the detrimental lamellar precipitate morphology associated with stress relief cracking at 800°C in this alloy forms by discontinuous coarsening, and this is consistent with previous studies on this alloy (Refs. 33, 35). The presence of coarse grain boundary precipitates that form by discontinuous reactions are known to degrade mechanical properties by promoting premature IG fracture (Refs. 33, 44, 45). For example, Bechetti et al. have recently shown that this morphology is associated with premature creep failure in welds on IN740H (Ref. 33). Considering the known similarities of deformation mechanisms between creep damage and stress relief cracking (Refs. 16, 46), the detrimental effect of the coarsened grain boundary γ phase on stress relief cracking is not surprising.

IN740H tested at 900°C did not fail during the test but
showed IG fracture with low ductility when pulled to failure and is thus considered as moderately susceptible. The slight increase in ductility and reduction in hardness at 900°C (Fig. 5D) compared to 800°C may have attributed to the reduced γ' content and coarsening of γ' precipitates. Although void formation at the grain boundary is associated with the presence of PFZs, the mechanism of PFZ formation is different at this temperature. The XEDS elemental map (Fig. 15B) shows the GB precipitates to be rich in Nb, Ti, and C while depleted in Ni, Cr, and Al. This indicates that the grain boundary phase is a (Nb,Ti)C carbide, which is consistent with other studies on 740H (Refs. 9, 33). The γ' solvus for IN740H is between 900° and 1000°C (Ref. 9), while that for NbC is around 1100° to 1200°C (Ref. 9). Therefore, at

| Table 6 — Calculated Composition of γ' and MC at Different Temperatures for 740H |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                                   | 700°C          | 800°C          | 900°C          |
| Ni                                | 73             | 70             | 68             |
| Co                                | 6.3            | 7.6            | 9.0            |
| Cr                                | 3.7            | 5.2            | 7.1            |
| Al                                | 3.7            | 3.88E-02       | 3.86E-02       |
| Nb                                | 77             | 8.2            | 8.2            |
| Ti                                | 5.3            | 5.1            | 4.4            |
| C                                 | 6.67E-06       | 5.1            | 48             |
| *Values are reported in wt-%.     |                |                |                |

| Table 7 — Calculated Composition of Stable Phases for Haynes 282 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
|                                   | Gamma          | Gamma Prime    |
| Ni                                | 55             | 78             |
| Co                                | 12             | 3.9            |
| Cr                                | 23             | 1.3            |
| Al                                | 0.6            | 6.0            |
| Mo                                | 8.9            | 0.3            |
| Ti                                | 0.2            | 10             |
| C                                 | 0.0            | 0.0            |
| *Values are in wt-% calculated over 700° to 900°C. *M_23C_6 calculated over 800° to 900°C.
Fig. 16 — Haynes® 282 (10%, 700°C): A — SEM fractograph showing intergranular fracture mode; B — MVC on grain facets.

Fig. 17 — Sample A, B: Haynes® 282 (10%, 700°C): A — Discontinuous precipitation at the grain boundary with summarized XEDS spot scan results; B — XEDS elemental map of the highlighted region from A. Sample C, D: Haynes® 282 (10%, 800°C): C — discontinuous precipitation and associated voids forming along grain boundaries with summarized XEDS results; D — precipitate free zone at the grain boundary with coarsened precipitates and associated voids.
(Nb,Ti)C will grow at the expense of γ'. Growth of the grain boundary (Nb,Ti)C will cause localized depletion of Nb and Ti in the grain boundary regions, thus leading to localized dissolution of γ' and associated formation of the PFZ. These microstructural features in the samples tested at 800° and 900°C account for the SRC susceptibility observed at these temperatures.

Haynes 282 is also a γ' strengthened alloy and forms other phases such as M23C6, MC, and M6C (Refs. 9, 47, 48). The composition of the phases was calculated using Thermo-Calc®, listed in Table 7. MC was calculated to be stable above 1000°C. Although sigma phase is thermodynamically stable, it only forms after long-term aging at 800°C (Refs. 9, 49) and hence is unlikely to form in the 8-h SRC test. From Fig. 12, Haynes 282 is susceptible to SRC only after the application of 10% strain at 700° and 800°C. These samples were selected for the microstructural investigation.

Figure 16A shows the intergranular fracture mode in the sample tested at 700°C with localized ductility (MVC) on the grain facets — Fig. 16B. Figure 17 shows the grain boundary features that were typical at both 700° and 800°C. The matrix contains finely dispersed γ' (Fig. 17C) while the grain boundaries show precipitates formed by discontinuous coarsening — Fig. 17A, C. Precipitate-free zones are also observed at 800°C (Fig. 17D). The secondary phase (Fig. 17B) is rich in Mo and slightly depleted in Ni relative to the matrix. From Table 7, note that the M6C phase is enriched in Mo and depleted in Ni (relative to the matrix). The M23C6 phase is also stable and exhibits Mo enrichment, but also has a very high Cr concentration that is not consistent with the XEDS results. Grain boundary precipitation of Cr-rich M23C6 leading to creep failure has been reported in Haynes 282 (Ref. 50). However, no prominent Cr-enriched phase was detected in the current study, which is likely due to the short aging times involved (8 h). This is consistent with the slow precipitation kinetics of M23C6 reported in Haynes 282 (Refs. 47, 48). As with IN740H, the cracking observed in Haynes 282 also appears to be associated with discontinuous coarsening and formation of precipitate-free zone.

Haynes 230 is a solid solution strengthened alloy, and 347H is a stainless steel that is strengthened primarily by NbC. When tested at 700°C with 10% strain, 347H was susceptible while Haynes 230 exhibited moderate cracking susceptibility — Fig. 12. As shown in Fig. 18, each of these
alloys exhibited sharp intergranular cracks below the fracture surface with no significant signs of plastic deformation, and the fracture mode was intergranular with localized MVC along the grain boundaries. M23C6 and M6C are the major precipitates reported in Haynes 230 (Refs. 51, 52). In this study, a Cr-rich phase was observed to precipitate in both discontinuous and interconnected chain-like morphology along with blocky W-rich phase (Fig. 19A, B). Discontinuous coarsening of M23C6 has been extensively reported to cause GB weakening and creep failure in Haynes 230 and similar alloy systems (Refs. 52–54). Interconnected M23C6 morphology are also reported to lower the ductility and tensile strength in similar Ni-Cr-W alloys causing fracture (Ref. 54). Even though no apparent PFZ was observed, the GB coarsening of Cr-rich phase can locally deplete the matrix in both Cr and W, thereby forming a locally softened region. Therefore, GB weakening by M23C6 precipitation and coarsening is the likely reason for higher cracking susceptibility in Haynes 230.

The NbC precipitates in Alloy 347H form within the matrix preferentially on dislocations and significantly strengthen the matrix (Refs. 55–58). The precipitates are known to be very fine, in the range of 20 to 40 nm (Ref. 59) and therefore cannot typically be resolved in the SEM. Although voids are observed on the grain boundaries/grain facets (fracture surface Fig. 18C), no apparent PFZs were observed during examination by SEM — Fig. 19C. Transmission electron microscopy techniques are required to investigate the possibility of PFZs and clarify their potential role in stress relief cracking in this alloy. In previous work, very fine NbC precipitates are reported to form at 700°C and 800°C with the lowest coarsening rate as compared to higher temperatures (Ref. 59). Fine, dispersed precipitates impart higher strengthening to the material than coarse precipitates (Ref. 12); therefore, the matrix strengthening from NbC is highest at 700°C. Note that this sample (that was tested at 700°C) exhibited the highest increase in hardness, which is also consistent with dense NbC precipitation. This high matrix strength (and the possible formation of PFZs) may account for the observed cracking susceptibility in this alloy.

Inconel 617 is a solid solution strengthened alloy and was resistant to SRC at all test conditions — Fig. 12. The alloy exhibited a ductile fracture mode (Fig. 20A) with elongated grains below the fracture (Fig. 20B) that suggests high plasticity. Discrete voids with no IG cracks were observed below the fracture (Fig. 20B). Secondary phases form locally along regions that appear to be associated with remnant segregation from solidification, subsequently rolled to form parallel bands. Voids formed due to the rupturing of second phases under stress Fig. 20C. The stable phases reported for Inconel 617 were μ, M6C, MX, γ', and M23C6 (Ref. 60). Both inter- and intra-granular Mo and Cr-rich second phases were observed from the XEDS scans (table in Fig. 20C). The above analysis was done on samples with a base metal grain size of 85 μm (Table 3). A second heat of Inconel 617 with inherently larger grains (base metal grain size of 135 μm) was also considered to investigate the influence of the grain size in the HAZ, since larger HAZ grain sizes are known to promote increased susceptibility to SRC (Refs. 3, 5). Samples from this base metal were held at a peak temperature (1225°C) for various times to maximize grain coarsening (Fig. 21). No significant coarsening was observed after a 30 s hold up to 10 min, possibly due to blocky second phases (as in Fig. 20C) acting as inhibitors for GB migration necessary
for coarsening. Therefore, coarsened grain samples with a 60-s hold were tested for SRC susceptibility (Fig. 22A–D) at 700°C and 800°C (PWHT temperature) with both 0.2 and 10% plastic strain. For comparison, Heat 1 and 2 test results were overlaid in Fig. 22 and showed no failure during the test for all the test conditions. The stress relaxation plots were similar for both the heats, especially for the cold-worked samples (Fig. 22C and D), possibly due to recrystallization facilitated by prior deformation. Increased stress relaxation was observed at 700°C with 0.2% plastic strain (Fig. 22A) for sample with coarsened grains, suggesting considerable inherent ductility in the material to accommodate stress through plastic deformation. When pulled to failure at the end of the test, all the samples failed in a ductile MVC mode. Thus, Inconel 617 was found to be resistant to SRC (during PWHT) with a range of grain sizes. However, it is known that long term aging of Inconel 617 can considerably lower the ductility and promote IG fracture by γ precipitation and concomitant coalescing of GB carbides to form a film morphology (Ref. 61). This suggests that this alloy can be susceptible to strain age cracking (SAC) during long-term service but appears to be resistant to cracking during the short times associated with PWHT.

These results indicate that SRC typically forms under the combined effect of matrix strengthening and concomitant grain boundary weakening. The respective contribution of matrix strengthening, and grain boundary weakening is expected to be alloy specific, where a higher degree of both would increase SRC susceptibility. For example, Inconel 740H with 0.2% strain PWHT’ed at 800°C was susceptible to IG cracking due to strain localization at the grain boundaries resulting from γ’ strengthening of the matrix and formation of soft precipitate-free zones due to γ coarsening at grain boundaries (Fig. 14). With this in mind, it is important to note that the six parameters used to rank SRC susceptibility (ductility, percentage of stress relaxed, hardness, failure time, fracture mode, and secondary cracks) correlate directly or indirectly with both precipitate formation and grain boundary weakening. For example, the increase in hardness (with respect to the base metal) in the simulated HAZ is a direct measure of precipitation, while smaller percentage of stress relaxed during PWHT and lower time to fracture are indicative of the faster precipitation kinetics. Similarly, low-
ductility, IG fracture mode, and IG secondary cracks represent the combined effect of both precipitation and grain boundary weakening. Therefore, the susceptibility number (that combined all the six parameters) is a way of capturing these factors that control SRC susceptibility.

**Conclusion**

The SRC susceptibility of a range of ferritic and austenitic alloys was tested at two strain levels under a range of PWHT temperatures using Gleeble-based techniques. Six measures of SRC susceptibility were acquired from the test results, and a robust ranking methodology for SRC susceptibility was developed. A sensitivity test was also performed for the ranking methodology followed by microstructural characterization to comprehend the mechanism of SRC failure in alloys. From the above work, the following conclusions can be drawn:

1) The Gleeble-based SRC test methodology developed in this work reproduced the low ductility IG fracture in a variety of alloys tested under different test conditions. Six parameters were identified as a measure of SRC susceptibility, namely ductility, percentage stress relaxed, hardness increase at fracture, failure time, fracture mode, and secondary cracks below the fracture.

2) An SRC susceptibility ranking method was developed based on the risk priority number used in failure modes and effect analysis. A sensitivity analysis demonstrated that the ranking methodology is robust and does not change significantly with reasonable variations to the severity and detectability values.

3) The ferritic alloys were most susceptible to SRC at PWHT of 600°C. Cracking susceptibility of the austenitic alloys was highest at 800°C and 700°C followed by 900°C. The least SRC susceptibility was observed at 1000°C irrespective of the alloy.

4) The following alloys and test conditions were shown to be susceptible to SRC: Grade 22 V (0.2% plastic strain at PWHT of 500°C, 600°C, and 700°C), Haynes 282 (10% plastic strain at PWHT of 700°C, 800°C), 347 H (10% plastic strain at PWHT of 700°C, 700°C), and 740 H (0.2 and 10% plastic strain at PWHT of 800°C).

5) The following alloys and test conditions were shown to be moderately susceptible to SRC: Haynes 230 (10% plastic strain at PWHT of 700°C and 800°C), Haynes 282 (0.2 and 10% plastic strain at PWHT of 900°C), 740H (0.2 and 10% plastic strain at PWHT of 900°C), and Grade 22 (0.2% plastic strain at PWHT of 700°C).

6) For Alloys 347H, Haynes 282, and Haynes 230, application of 10% plastic strain enhanced the SRC susceptibility. Inconel 617 was resistant to SRC even with the application of 10% plastic strain for a range of CGHAZ grain sizes.

7) Stress relief cracking in Alloys 740H, Haynes 282, and Haynes 230 was associated with discontinuous coarsening or grain boundary precipitates.
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