


| meyer99 <br> Newbie | Imp．Volat． <br> « on：January 15，2006，03：19：24 PM » <br> When analyzing spreads shall I look at the individual legs IV or at the spread＇s IV？ <br> How is the spread＇s IV calculated：a）IV of leg A minus IV of leg B，b）（IV of A＋IV of B）／2，or c）different way． |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Imp．Volat． <br> «Reply \＃1 on：January 16，2006，05：23：16 PM » <br> HI meyer99， <br> When analysing spreads you want to concentrate on the＂Spreads＂sensitivity to IV which is＂Vega＂．Analysing the individual Vega risk can get quite complex without the need to． <br> The IV of an option is implying a certain volatility in the underlying over a certain period of time． <br> The spreads sensitivity to IV，Vega is calculated by subtracting one leg from the other，you can see for your self this calculation if your using TOS．I＇m guessing the IV can be calculated the same way，but is not such a great priority in spreads as one cancels out the other（not true for straddles，strangles，where the vega risk doubles）． <br> Hope this helps， <br> Sathya |
| Ri\＄k Doctor Administrator Hero Member象我 Posts： 3249 <br> 8 回 | Imp．Volat． <br> «Reply \＃2 on：January 23，2006，12：12：10 PM » <br>  at the general IV level as compared to the hisotrical implied volatlity for decisions about initiating，maintaining or liquidating a trade． |
| CoachPhil <br> Jr．Member <br>  Posts： 72 | Imp．Volat． <br> «Reply \＃3 on：January 24，2006，10：05：55 AM＂ <br> I take the similar position that the IV of the spread and its effects（vega）are significantly reduced in most spreads． <br> As an example，I did a test case on the credit spreads I sell against the SPX．I took a deep OTM spread and using a pricing calculator I increased the IV of the legs by $20 \%$ and $50 \%$ and the overall value of the spread increased by anywhere from $\$ 0.05$ to $\$ 0.20$ which was quite small given the extreme swings I tested on IV． <br> For my credit spreads，delta and gamma were the real dangerous culprits． <br> So when trading any spread，best thing for learning is to stress test the different Greeks to see where your real friend and enemy is．With my credit spreads theta is my friend and detla／gamme is my enemy．This is important to know so you hedge better and also are aware of the risks before going in． <br> If you put the legs of a FLY in a pricing calculator and adjust IV and stock price and time independently，you will see what helps or hurts your position and how． <br> Coach Phil |
| Ri\＄k Doctor Administrator Hero Member | Imp．Volat． <br> «Reply \＃4 on：January 24，2006，10：46：16 AM » <br> Ditto |



Based on the equation $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{D}$ (Interest rate $3.84 \%$ ),
The calculated bid/ask spread for the 380 call works out to be $10.67-11.50$. It??s well off the quoted spread. Is this the correct way to go about it? If so why are the values so far off the current quotes?

Can always find the middle of the spread and deduct a dime or two off but what??s the reality about getting filled if the spread is much wider (say on a $\$ 300$ stock), where as on a cheaper stock (say $\$ 30$ stock) its more realistic to get filled a dime away from the mid value of the spread.
I think that you mentioned somewhere that a $\$ 300$ stock is just a $\$ 30$ stock reverse split $10: 1$, so does the bid/ask spreads reflect this and are hence so wide? if the bid/ask spread on the stock is quite narrow why are the options spread quite wide? Are the MM??s increasing the spread because they can? Is there a way like "the tail wagging the dog" to estimate a fair value within the extremes and be filled immediately?

What's the incentive for the options trader to play CME or other very high priced stocks, when when they are off to a huge intial disadvantage? Take the CME Jan ATM $360 / 370 / 380$ call fly: bid/ask -.20-2.00. Although the Risk to Reward may be good I could find a similar R2R on a different stock which has less slippage.

Have a Merry Christmas and Happy New Year,
Sathya

## Ri\$k Doctor Administrator Hero Member

wide bid/ask spreads
«Reply \#1 on: December 18, 2005, 09:39:38 PM »
worth: (1.40 credit) as oppsed to your( 2.45 credit).

| 35 days to Go | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conversion } \\ & (\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{I})-(\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{K}) \end{aligned}$ | Call | StriKe | vs. | Stock | Put | Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Your Numbers |  | 12.50 | 380.00 | vs. | 366.55 | 23.50 | 1.40 |
|  | (2.45) | 392.50 |  | vs. | 390.05 |  |  |
|  |  | 12.20 | 380.00 | vs. | 369.01 | 21.90 | 1.40 |

Excellent explanation about the reverse stock split and I would add that, in effect, the 1.00 wide spread in the ATM call of a $\$ 370.00$ stock equates to .10 wide in a $\$ 37.00$ stock.
The butterfly is a different story. It has virtually no Delta, Gamma, Vega or Theta and the average between -. 20 and 2.00 is 90 . That is what the MMs value you it at approximately so the inside market should be no wider than .65-1.15 and more likely .75-1.05.

Hi Charles,
I think the values that I got are erroneous, especially the stock value. Some further questions though:
I just went over one of the RD2 classes and found mostly what I looking for, but just need some further clarification,
So when your calculating an entry price it doesn??t matter how many legs you have and the bid/ask spread on those legs but just based on the delta of the final position?
So if the delta of the fly was -10 and the spread on the fly was $2.00-3.00$ and the spread on the naked option which had a delta $\sim-10$ is $.10-.20$ you can expect to get filled on the fly about 0.10 to 0.15 above/below the mid value of the fly (when going long: at 2.60-2.65) ?
You pointed out that (in the RD2 class) if the underlying is $\$ 0.10$ wide and the spread has a delta of 6 , the MM should have a theoretical edge if he creates the options spread a little bit wider than $6 \%$ of the dime wide stock spread. Could you explain this a bit further its failing to register at this point in time.
Also in the same RD2 session, it was pointed out the width for a deep ITM option is very wide because of the huge delta and the risk associated with the purchase of shares of stock that equal the delta of the option. What??s the huge risk? Is it the fact that the MM will have to hedge with an increased number of shares and insures himself by widening the spread in the options market?

How does the MM loose? He hedges his position so where??s his exposure? Does he continually have to adjust is inventory to be delta neutral?
When increasing the number of contracts for a spread, be it a vertical or fly, the delta increases to be $\sim 100$, so now it? ? a similar delta situation to a single deep ITM option that has delta of 100. Can you still enter the fly with the same narrow spread as if you were entering only a single contract and expect to get filled or does the bid/ask spread widen according to the delta risk? With a delta greater than 100 for a position how do you then estimate a fair value?

Thanx,

## Ri\$k Doctor

Administrator
Hero Member

## wide bid/ask spreads

«Reply \#3 on: December 22, 2005, 08:52:00 PM
You said: "...when your calculating an entry price ..."
It is based on the fair value(middles or averages) of all the components involved.
If a Fly is 2.00-3.00 then the middle is 2.50 , correct, I would try to buy it at a little more like 2.60 or 2.65 and the delta has being so small indicates that the MM won't be at much risk if he misses his hedge by a little bit. He or she can afford to have a tighter market.

Please point out where I said: "...if the underlying is $\$ 0.10$ wide and the spread has a delta of 6 , the MM should have a theoretical edge if he creates the options spread a little bit wider than $6 \%$ of the dime wide stock spread." I cannot recall exactly where that is and wanted to get the proper context of the conversation, please.

With regard to "...MM will have to hedge with an increased number of shares and insures himself by widening the spread in the options market...", Yes but also because the MM desires to make it wider.

MMs continue to adjust and delta hedge. They lose when loaded up one way or the other with long or short premium and the market does the opposite. MMs also battle with skew and getting too many options at a strike that is pinned or a surprise move.

A crowd of MMs are usually good for a few hundred flies to buy or sell and the delta per spread will dictate how many each individual MM will take from the order. Each bites off what they can chew or what fits their position. Figure the delta per spread when trying to motivate the other side, not the trotal delta of the complete size.

| ohlala <br> Newbie | wide bid／ask spreads |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | «Reply \＃4 on：December 23，2005，05：41：26 PM 》 |
|  | The RD2 recording is：Session 209，Date：06／28／05 |
|  | Download：RD2＿20050628．rar，Subject：RD2 session 9 Verticals continued． |
|  | The topic is being discussed between the timeframe of 22：00－22：30 |
|  | You wrote：A crowd of MMs are usually good for a few hundred flies to buy or sell and the delta per spread will dictate how many each individual MM will take from the order．Each bites off what they can chew or what fits their position |
|  | When you have a bunch of fly？？s or verticals which have a high cumulative delta（greater than 100）it seems to be the same delta situation as a single ITM option？Is it the fact that a big order isn？？t filled by one MM and therefore they pick how much they want and spread the delta risk among them（but can？？t do the same with a single ITM option？）Saying that，If a |
|  | fly had a initial delta of 10 ，it would take only 10 contracts to reach a 100 deltas，so is it still the same principle as above？ With the MM？？s biting of what they can chew or fits their position，with a big order are the chances of getting filled less than a smaller order？Also are their situations where only a certain portion of the order gets filled as a MM wants only a certain number of contracts while the others can？？t get filled？ |
|  | So bottom Line：When looking at a fair realistic value to get filled at，compare the bid／ask spreads for similar deltas（be it a two legged or 4 legged spread）and put in an offer based on those values？ |
|  | Ok，if my understanding of the above method is right（please correct if not）this leads me to proceed one step further determining based on the spread of the stock at what options value will the MM have a theoretical edge（wont necessarily get filled at those values，but we now know better how the pricing works）．That was the question I had based on the recording in which you stated ？？if the underlying is $\$ 0.10$ wide and the spread has a delta of 6 ，the MM should have a theoretical edge if he creates the options spread a little bit wider than $6 \%$ of the dime wide stock spread．＂ |
|  | Look forward to your feedback |
| ohlala <br> Newbie觡 | wide bid／ask spreads <br> «Reply \＃5 on：December 30，2005，06：32：57 PM » <br> Hi Charles and all， |
|  | I＇ve finally started trading for real＇＞，yey．．．．One thing I noticed while waiting to get filled on my fly，（AHC 120－130－140 fly）．．．the stock meandered between $128.89-125.1$ ，a range of 3.77 ．The mid value of the fly fluctuated between $2.15-2.45$ ．The delta of the fly was $\sim .01 /$ contract．I put in a bid at 2.2 but didint get filled，I later cancelled it and increased the bid to 2.3 when the mid－value was at 2.25 and got filled almost instantenously．My Question is：with the delta so low why was the variation of the mid value of the fly $\sim \$ 0.30$ ． Based on the max range of the stock times the delta，the move should have been more like $\$ 0.03$ ．I＇m aware that the options value don＇t trade in penny increments but even if were based on a nickel increment，maybe the range should have been $\sim \$ 0.10$ ．I＇m not looking to find an exact correlation between the delta and the move in the＂real＂options price，but trying to get further insights into how the MM＇s or their software is programmed to price the options and whether to expect a certain price． <br> Thanx and best wishes for a Happy New Year， Sathya |
| Ri\＄k Doctor Administrator Hero Member <br>  Posts： 3249 | wide bid／ask spreads |
|  | «Reply \＃6 on：January 23，2006，12：28：51 PM » |
|  | The individual options are .15 to .20 wide so while one updates，the midvalue fluctuates even though the delta of ． 01 per spread shows very little sensitivity．In actuality，the theoretical value of that particular butterfly did not change very much at all．The individual options do not all update the exact moment and creates the illusion that the butterfly is in a fast market． |
| 品区 |  |

RISK DOCTOR
pjs Parity \& which Spread to use
RDCC
RDCC «on: January 11, 2006, 06:27:42 PM »
I'm still a little fuzzy on which spread to use. Here are values at market close today. -I NDX JAN 1825-1725 CALLS


Published by esignal (www.esignal.com)
If I buy the puts to create a 100 pt box (see NDX discussion) they seem overpiced based on Mid IV and/or Theoretical price. On the other hand the Call spread seems under priced using those metrics. As you noted in todays class the OI is much better on the call vertical and the bid/ask spread is smaller at 1.50 vs. 2.30 on the puts. But the mid prices seem to be pretty close to parity. So wouldn't the P/L be about the same assuming fills at the mid?
Also, is there any carry on NDX options?

## tharma raj

Parity \& which Spread to use
«Reply \#1 on: January 12, 2006, 02:57:48 AM »
Hi pjs,
I have not checked it but if you get fills in the middle and if the middle equates to the same price then yes you would think that the call vertical would be no better or worse than the put vertical right? In reality given that OI is higher on the call side more liquidity tends to translate to better fill prices.

## Tharma

## Ri\$k Doctor

Parity \& which Spread to use
«Reply \#2 on: January 23, 2006, 11:59:32 AM»
agree with tharma raj's comment. The TV is according to a flat IV (no skew). Those OTM expiring calls are distorting reality because they are really high on the skew.

The 1825 calls (tail that wags the dog) will be an easier trade than the 1825 puts (the dog being wagged by the tail).

Bottom line is that the 1725 call is the ITM option that you must trade to capture the value (the OTM put of the same strike is not enough without the 1825 put that is at a disadvantage to trade because of its ITM amount and wide bid and ask.


#### Abstract

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Murph } & \text { PAIRS TRADING OF COMMODITIES \& CURRENCIES }\end{array}$ Moderator Hi Chalres and RD3ers, Concerning pairs trading, I'm looking into commodities pairs or possibly a + skew commodity vs. a negative skew index. Maybe an Australian market index (?negative skew?) or currency since the Australian currency is correlated to certain commodities. I haven't looked into currency skews if such a thing exists. It seems to me to be a potentially lucrative technique for trading with reduced or "hedged" risk. I believe some commodities have + skews, others negative and still others such as gold combined $+2-$ skews. It seems like a potentially good setup for pairs trading. Has anyone done this the past? I recent read an andice describing how spread trad between futures markets shows a steeper trending chart than the trending markets themselves and does so with reduced risk. So I think this is an interesting avenue for further investigation

I'd appreciate any comments? Thanks. Murph | Ri\$k Doctor Administrator Hero Member | PAIRS TRADING OF COMMODITIES \& CURRENCIES <br> 《Reply \#1 on: January 10, 2006, 09:41:59 AM » |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Currencies and Commodities have what I call a demand shaped skew in that the hedging community applies uppward pressure on the IVs of high(er than the ATM) strikes and downward pressure on the low(er than the ATM) strikes. We understand that the opposite is true in index, equities and financial instruments because there is huge supply of stocks and bonds causeing the heging to be on the downside, pumping up the low strike for insurance and hammering the high strikes for income enhancement. |
|  | The Austrailian Dollar along with Austrailian Commodities increases the cost of OTM calls. Niether skew is going to correct except when getting to extremes because the general skew shapes belong the way of the hedging. |
|  | When buying an Austrailian Commodity you incurr the currency exposure just the same as Non-US investors buying US Soybeans or Dollar Denominated Gold. Best to be playing for that (the currency move) as well. |

Those who do not wish to have the currency exposure can isolate that and hedge it, allowing for a more pure play on the commodity itself.


The commodities may have a high correlation but how much of it happens to be because of a cincidntal move in the currency. Is it really a currency play? For example: Is Gold's rally from $\$ 400$ to $\$ 480$ really the Euro's rally from 100 to 120 ?

Bottom line regarding highly correlated commodity pairs
Aussy crops to Aussy crops are OK.
US crops to US Crops are OK
US Crops to Aussy Crops would also be playing the Dollars against each other


| $\square$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| SpecialK <br> Newbie <br> 今 <br> Posts： 6 <br> 8 $\square$ | Calendar adjustment／close <br> ＜on：December 10，2005，11：42：55 AM » <br> I am looking for some guidelines on the best time and price to roll or close calendar spreads－particularly if there are guidlines that vary if you are ITM，OTM or ATM－and the optimal number of days before expiration of front month－I realize there is a tradeoff between maximizing your theta and the gamma risk but would like to know if there is an optimal time to make this tradeoff－any insights greatly appreciated－also，enjoying the new book and I did get a lot out of RD2 and recommend it to anyone Allen |
| Ri\＄k Doctor Administrator Hero Member <br>  Posts： 3249 | Calendar adjustment／close <br> «Reply \＃1 on：December 11，2005，05：04：03 PM » <br> Thank you for the comments on the book and RD2． |
| 回四 | I don＇t really believe in hard and fast guidelines because spreads can continue to do better or come back from losing while away from the money．In other words，if a counter party gets in while you are getting out，he or she can make money． <br> It is more important to develop your own threshhold of pain．There is no，one size fits all，answer．It boils down to：＂Would I buy the calendar at the going value？＂If the answer is ＂No＂then it is time to get out．If the answer is＂yes＂then you should stay in．A person standing next to you may have the exact opposite answers but just because one of you happen to be right this time does not have any baring on the future． |

Just one man＇s opinion．

Chuck The Stealth Bombe
«on: November 22, 2005, 10:42:10 AM *
Hello Charles,
Thanks again for your assistance in getting your new book and the Position Dissector downloaded. I made a pass through the previous 2 versions of the book the last couple of weeks?? .a lot to digest and a lot of re-reading and re-reading in an attempt to follow everything. I??m looking forward to ??dissecting? the new book.

What initially intrigued me was the Slingshot strategy. Of course there??s a lot more to your work than that I soon discovered. Before I became aware of your work, I stumbled upon the same strategy a month or so ago while experimenting with different strategies in a spreadsheet I developed which is loosely based on the tables used in Lawrence McMillan??s ??Options as a Strategic Investment?. I was looking for an approach to mitigate as much risk as possible??since 2000 that has been a lot more important to me than ever before??while taking advantage of selling premium. I have been writing options off and on for 15 years now.

I have attached a strategy that is a combination of several strategies that I think may have some potential and although I have analyzed it up and down, I was hoping you might indulge me and take a look at it and see what potential flaws I may have in my analysis. I realize you have probably thought of a similar approach and can easily evaluate it??good or bad. I certainly don??t want to take advantage of your good will, so if you pass, I understand.

The Position
I wanted to take advantage of the premium available in a short Straddle, the range and premium of a short Strangle and the time value of a diagona on my long wing Strangle (making them cheaper and therefore the potential for a higher ratio for the slingshot). I used WFMI because it has a lot of premium and took a snapshot and end-of-day yesterday.
Short 10 Jan 145 Straddle Short 5 Jan 140150 Strangle Long 20 Dec 135155 Strangle

| WFMI 145 At Expiration 145 |  |  |  |  | Number | of Sh | ares | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Price at Expiration Increment |  |  |  |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| \$ | Action |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B/S | Ctrcts | Stk | Mo | Str Pr | Puts |  | Prem |
| 8300 | sell | 10 | WFMI | Jan | 145.00 | Puts | @ | 8.30 |
| 2900 | sell | 5 | WFMI | Jan | 140.00 | Puts | @ | 5.80 |
| -1500 | Buy | 20 | WFMI | Dec | 135.00 | Puts | @ | 0.75 |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 9700 Net Cost Basis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16550 Overall Net Credit/Debit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | Action |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B/S | Ctrets | Stk | Mo | Str Pr | Calls |  | Prem |
| 6300 | sell | 10 | WFMI | Jan | 145.00 | Calls | @ | 6.30 |
| 2050 | sell | 5 | WFMI | Jan | 150.00 | Calls | @ | 4.10 |
| -1500 | Buy | 20 | WFMI | Dec | 155.00 | Calls | @ | 0.75 |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 6850 | Net C | Cost Bas |  |  |  |  |  |  |

I realize after the Dec expiration I have a naked position I realize after the Dec expiration I have a naked position into new wing coverage or possibly something else depending on the underlying

## Assumptions


 slingshot effect of the wings, I can close out the position and still make some money??..not as much as a pure long or short or in the Straddle/Strangle sweet spot, but enough considering the limited risk.

解 mentioned assumptions as to premium. Obviously there could be a wide variety of other scenarios, but I??m keeping it simple and the wing coverage provides protection and flexibility in the extreme cases.

The Worksheet
 things?? which may be a stretch in and of itself) but you never know??.I??m not asking you to debug it, just a disclaimer !!
WFMI 145 At Expiration 145 Number of Shares 0

| Price at Expiration Increment |  |  |  |  | $2$ | of |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | Action |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B/S | Ctrcts | Stk | Mo | Str Pr | Puts |  | Prem |
| 8300 | sell | 10 | WFMI | Jan | 145.00 | Puts | @ | 8.30 |
| 2900 | sell | 5 | WFMI | Jan | 140.00 | Puts | @ | 5.80 |
| -1500 | Buy | 20 | WFMI | Dec | 135.00 | Puts | @ | 0.75 |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| -1500 | Net R | Rolled P | ut Position |  |  |  |  |  |


| \$ | Rolled Put Positions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B/S | Ctrcts | Stk | Mo | Str Pr | Puts |  | Prem |
| -1500 | Buy | 20 | WFMI | Dec | 135.00 | Puts | @ | 0.75 |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| -1500 | Net | ost B |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | Rolled Call Positions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B/S | Ctrcts | Stk | Mo | Str Pr | Calls |  | Prem |
| -1500 | Buy | 20 | WFMI | Dec | 155.00 | Calls | @ | 0.75 |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | WFMI |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| -1500 | Net | Cost B |  |  |  |  |  |  |

If this is a viable strategy, I don??t know what you would call it, a Triple Reverse Diagonal Slingshot, Iron Straddle Swap Slingshot, or instead of an Ugly Butterfly how about an ADHD Butterfly !! I actually have a suggestion based on the initial graph I??ll share with you if it is indeed a viable strategy.

Again, Charles, thanks for your time and your good work.
Chuck Moore
PS I am going to go through a dissection process on this strategy one way or another when I get to speed regardless of its viability as a good learning experience. It will probably take me while to get through it though


UNH 57.5 At Expiration 57.5 Number of Shares 0 Price at Expiration Increment 1

| \$ | Action |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B/S Ctrcts | Stk | Mo | Str Pr | Puts |  | Prem |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Puts | @ |  |
| 5325 | Net Rolled P. | ut Posi |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5325 | Net Cost Ba | is |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7525 | Overall Net | Credit | Debit |  |  |  |  | | 7525 | Overall Net Credit/Debit |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$$ | Action |


| 5 | Action |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B/S Ctrcts | Stk | Mo | Str Pr | Calls |  | Prem |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  | UNH |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 2200 | Net Rolled C | all Pos |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2200 | Net Cost Bas |  |  |  |  |  |  |




|  | Rold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B/S | Ctrcts | Stk | Mo | Str Pr | Calls |  | Prem |
| 6300 | sell | 10 | UNH | Jan | 145.00 | Calls | @ | 6.30 |
| 2050 | sell | 5 | UNH | Jan | 150.00 | Calls | @ | 4.10 |
| -1500 | Buy | 20 | UNH | Dec | 155.00 | Calls | @ | 0.75 |
| -3800 | Buy | 10 | UNH | Jan | 145.00 | Calls | @ | 3.80 |
| -850 | Buy | 5 | UNH | Jan | 150.00 | Calls | @ | 1.70 |
| 0 |  |  | UNH |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | UNH |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | UNH |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | UNH |  |  | Calls | @ |  |
| 0 |  |  | UNH |  |  | Calls | @ |  |

2200 Net Cost Basis

Ri\＄k Doctor
Administrator Administrator
Hero Member Hero Member Posts： 3249 Chuck

## The Stealth Bomber

《Reply \＃1 on：November 22，2005，12：21：31 PM＂
interesting work Chuck，
It is viable but from a margin standpoint it will be treated as if it were naked because the back month does not have any protection．Just an NASD rule in your way．
When I get some time，I will chime in more but perhaps some others will get into the mix with replies to your post．

The Stealth Bomber
《Reply \＃2 on：November 22，2005，01：03：30 PM 》
WFMI will most likely trade within the 135－155 range．Anything outside of that would be 2 std dev away from the price．I think the kickers are a waste of money．Given the long term uptrend it＇s more likely to continue up．Your range is so wide that it would be simpler to do a $10 x$ reverse Double Diagonal（ $135 / 145$ 145／155）which gives a slightly better yield on a lower margin．Also，those Jan wings are probably going to cost just a little more if you buy them at Dec expiration．


Chuck
The Stealth Bomber
«Reply \＃3 on：November 22，2005，02：00．52 PM＂
Thanks for the input PJS．I＇m new to this combination strategy game and I＇m not familiar with how your yield chart works．Is the black line the December expiration yield and the purple line January？My spreadsheet of your suggested reverse double diagonal shows a constant result on any price below 134.50 or above 155.50 ．If that is correct，I don＇t understand how the curve shows a greater return percentage beyond 135 and 155 respectively．

Chuck

## harma raj

## The Stealth Bombe

«Reply \#4 on: November 22, 2005, 04:58:47 PM
Hi Chuck,
Very interesting work. Your position can be looked at in several ways

1. Long strangles $135 / 155$ versus short strangles $140 / 150$ and short straddles at 145 - which you have already outlined
2. $10 \times 135 / 145 / 155$ butterfly and $5 \times$ a 135/140/150/155 Condor and $5 \times$ long 135/155 strangle
3. The potential embedded calendars at each strike given that you have options in 2 different months.
4. 2 modified ratio backspreads - one 145/140/135 put ratio backspread in a ratio of $2: 1: 4$ and one modified call ratio backspread at 145/150/155 in a ratio of $2: 1: 4$ respectively

Interesting to look at these different structures to get a different perspective of the different positions in your trade
I think that the 3 big issues with this trade are:

1. Meeting the margin requirements - as Charles says...however you say that you have been trading/selling naked options for 15 years so maybe you know how to handle this margin issue
2. Deciding what you will do at December expiry. you may, for example want to close the trade completely if the cost for rolling the DEC. options to JAN. is too expensive and/or there has been a significant drop in value in the sold options....or maybe you may finance the roll forward by selling a couple of JAN options at the current sold option strikes.
3. It is important to understand the vega component of this trade given the embedded calendars in this trade and whether it is a significant risk or not and if you are willing to tolerate this risk given your predictions of potential changes in volatility between now and December expiry
I still think it is a very interesting trade with a lot of potential...the key is to understand how things could change in this trade and to have a contingency plan on how to manage it Let me know what your thoughts were on how you were going to manage these positions if the stock moves to certain strikes or if your directional predictions change.
Hope this is helpful
Kind regards,
Tharma
«Reply \#5 on: November 22, 2005, 07:17:32 PM 》
Thank you Tharma. Your thoughts are very helpful. Thanks for the different views of the trade. I'm going to use those to help me further analyze possible adjustments required if and when the underlying moves and how far and how quickly.
Although I have traded naked positions in the past, the majority of them were in '98 and ' 99 when the market bailed you out most of the time and never within a combination or spread. Since then, as most of us probably have, I've become much more aware of risk and as a result I like to have as much protection as reasonable to combination or spread. Since then, as most of us make it easier to stick to a risk management plan.

I have realized I need to spend more time thinking about potential adjustments at DEC expiration and I appreciate your suggestion. I'm not sure I understand it though. Were you suggest selling additional JAN strikes to pay for a new long strangle to continue the trade in JAN, and if so, all the strikes and/or how many?

Since I'm new to the Greek concepts, could you possibly expand a little on the vega issue associated with the calendars?
When I do a little more due dilligence on the adjustments, I'll let you know.
Thanks,
Chuck

## The Stealth Bomber

《Reply \#6 on: November 23, 2005, 04:07:28 AM »
Hi Chuck,
Yes, I was suggesting selling some jan options, as one possibility, at Dec. Expiry to help you to finance the purchase of the jan $135 / 155$ strangle to maintain a limited risk exposure in this trade.

The question about whether you want to do this adjustment or not and at what strikes and how many options you want to buy/sell is completely up to you and will depend a lot on how you feel about the 3 sub-questions I listed below...once you answer these questions you may be in a better position to answer the question you asked me in your last email about how many and at what strikes.

These questions that you would ask yourself at December expiry are:

1. What is my directional prediction now and what kind of changes would I need to make to my option structure to be in alignment with my directional prediction?
2. What is my prediction on how the implied volatility will change in the next month and how much exposure do I want to have to these changes in Implied volatility and is my vega component in my adjusted trade(see vega explanation below) in alignment with my predictions about implied volatility changes in the next 1 month (from dec. expiry to jan expiry).
3. Do the option prices make it favorable to do the the type of adjustments that Ii am potentially thinking about i.e. is the option too cheap to sell or is the option to expensive to buy.... in alignmnet with Charle's teachings. (Although you can plan ahead about what you would do in different scenarios you will not really know the exact option prices until you arecloser to dec expiry. I would still recommend planning ahead just be aware that the Jan. options prices may fluctuate a bit from your predicted prices at Dec expiry.)
4. In terms of the Vega component in calendars when you are long calendars you have a positive vega and your trade will benefit from increases in Implied Volatility. The opposite is true if you sell calendars when you will have a negative vega.
5. For a much better description of Vega than I could ever give you I think it would be best to look at Charles book and specifically at the:
6. Vega section in the Chapter on Greeks
7. Look at the Vega graphs and graph explanations in the chapter on Calendars

I hope this is helpful in answering your questions.

## Kind Regard

Tharma



[^0]
## The Stealth Bomber

《Reply \#8 on: November 25, 2005, 10:18:56 AM 》
Reason that there is a deeper dip on the pjs R/R profile above: At DEC expiration, the JAN 155 strike has about $15 \%$ more ( $155 / 135$, even with identical IV) extrinsic value than the 135 strike. if that is dificult to grasp, consider the example of a 10 for one stock split of a 200 stock down to 20 . The holder of an ATM 200 straddle valued at $\$ 20$ would now have $10 X$ as many 20 stradles valued at 2.00 each.

| 888 <br> Guest | Weeklys <br> « on: November 21, 2005, 10:55:31 AM» <br> Charles, <br> Any comments that you would like to share about the new weekly options? |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ri\$k Doctor Administrator $\underset{\lambda}{\text { Hero Member }}$ <br>  | Weeklys <br> «Reply \#1 on: November 22, 2005, 11:55:34 AM » <br> Just like any old options with a week to go. Great to have those options. More opportunity and versatility. |

Net change in premium
«on: November 07, 2005, 02:57:16 AM "
Hi Charles,
I??ve found an interesting little tidbit which seems to contradict what I??ve been learning so far. The front month deltas are always higher compared to the deferred month that goes for gamma as well (disregarding extreme OTM,ITM strikes). So how is it that the back month options for the same strikes show a greater increase in the options than the front month?

| delta gamma net change bid |  |  | ask |  |  |  |  |  | 16.02\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 96 | 010 | 15.30 C | 15.60 I | NOV 05 | 80 | 0 A | . 05 C | . 051 | 0 |
| 95 | . $01+70$ | 10.301 | 10.60 I | NOV05 | 85 | 0 C | 10 C | . $15 \times$ | 0 |
| 90 | . 04 +1.50 | 5.401 | 5.701 | NOV 05 | 90 | 101 | 201 | 15 X | .30 |
| 55 | . $12+1.00$ | 1.45 I | 1.601 | NOV 05 | 95 | 1.051 | 1.201 | 1.05 A | -1.60 |
| 07 | . $04+10$ | . 051 | . 151 | NOV 05 | 100 | 4.601 | 4.90 C | 4.90 X | -2.50 |
| 02 | 010 | 0 A | . 051 | NOV05 | 105 | 9.501 | 9.90 C | 19.10 P | 0 |
| 01 | 010 | 0 A | . 051 | NOV 05 | 110 | 14.50 I | 14.90 C | 24.10 P | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.64\% |
| 96 | 000 | 25.40 C | 25.801 | DEC 05 | 70 | 0 A | . 05 C | 0 | 0 |
| 95 | 010 | 20.501 | 20.801 | DEC 05 | 75 | 0 A | . 101 | 0 | 0 |
| 94 | 010 | 15.501 | 15.90 C | DEC 05 | 80 | . 051 | . 101 | 0 | 0 |
| 90 | . $02+1.50$ | 10.70 I | 11.10 C | DEC 05 | 85 | 15 I | .251 | 40 P | 0 |
| 79 | . $04+2.60$ | 6.101 | 6.501 | DEC 05 | 90 | 651 | . 751 | . $70 \times$ | -49 |
| 54 | . $07+1.15$ | 2.55 C | 2.70 A | DEC 05 | 95 | 2.001 | 2.20 C | 3.50 P | 0 |
| 21 | $.05+.35$ | .60 1 | . 701 | DEC 05 | 100 | 5.101 | 5.401 | 5.201 | -2.70 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.58\% |
| 97 | 000 | 25.501 | 25.801 | JAN 06 | 70 | 0 A | . 151 | 101 | 0 |
| 96 | 010 | 20.501 | 20.901 | JAN 06 | 75 | 10 A | 15 I | 10 I | - 20 |
| 94 | 010 | 15.701 | 16.001 | JAN 06 | 80 | 20 A | . 301 | $35 \times$ | 0 |
| 88 | 020 | 11.001 | 11.30 I | JAN 06 | 85 | 501 | 601 | . $60 \times$ | -. 45 |
| 75 | . 04 +1.80 | 6.80 C | 7.101 | JAN 06 | 90 | 1.201 | 1.301 | 1.15 A | -70 |
| . 55 | . 05 +1.35 | 3.301 | 3.601 | JAN 06 | 95 | 2.75 C | 2.901 | 4.50 B | 0 |
| 29 | . $05+55$ | 1.20 I | 1.401 | JAN 06 | 100 | 5.601 | 5.901 | 5.80 । | -7.40 |

Can you please explain why this is?

Ri\$k Doctor Administrator
Hero Member

Net change in premium
Net change in premium
«Reply \#1 on: November 08, 2005, 06:51:06 PM »
"Reply \#1 on: November 08, 2005, 06:51:06 PM "
The theoretical value of an option could be unchanged but if there was a bad settlement the prior day and another bad one today it can look like there was a violent move in the option when in actuality it did not move.

Better to ignore the net changes in any given day. The reality will be what prices you can trade on. You live at the whim of the market makers when you get involved with illiquid stocks. Better not to trade them. MMs can gouge you when you go into the trade and you are at their mercy when you want to get out.

| 888 <br> Guest | RFX conversion <br> « on: October 14, 2005, 11:21:37 AM » <br> Charles, <br> Suppose that I have a conversion on RFX. The stock is halted on the exchange and thus the options are halted, too. <br> If you had the position on, would you exercise the puts (and be naked short a deep out of the money call option that expires in one week) or would you keep the position on until expiration (in case some miracle happens). <br> Suppose that the stock is 8 and the strikes on the October conversion is 17.5 . |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ri\$k Doctor Administrator Hero Member <br>  | RFX conversion <br> «Reply \#1 on: October 17, 2005, 08:45:10 AM » <br> I would leave it alone. |
| 888 | RFX conversion <br> «Reply \#2 on: October 17, 2005, 10:00:55 AM » Leave it alone until Friday I presume? <br> Thanks Charles. |
| Ri\$k Doctor Administrator Hero Member | RFX conversion <br> «Reply \#3 on: October 21, 2005, 11:16:11 AM » <br> Yes. It is Friday and still halted. What does your brokerage firm indicat? |
| 888 | RFX conversion <br> «Reply \#4 on: October 25, 2005, 10:45:02 AM » <br> I exercised the put. The calls (obviously) expired worthless. <br> Onto the next trade. |
| Ri\$k Doctor Administrator 출 $\qquad$ | RFX conversion <br> «Reply \#5 on: November 02, 2005, 08:37:50 AM » OK |

## Bengt Optimizing dilemma... <br> «on: September 16, 2005, 01:23:37 PM

Here's another challenging question...at least for me as a beginner.
The classical "when to hold and when to fold"!
Is it $+50 \%=$ allways take the profit
Or 75 ... or $100 \%$
In stock it's simple, you can allways follow the classical $S / L$ just below the latest support line.
I have a gut feeling that most of the time (except the occasional $500 \ldots 700 \%$ explosion like in Sept Nokia) $100 \%$ for the optionprofit of a single trade, is a very often seen as a turning point in the most profitable stocks.

Off course this also depends on the timeframe used.
But looking at 5 bank days..this is where I find the 1-2 day winners over here.
Now the dilemma is...to be out as short as possible NOT to miss the explosions in price like above. How would I felt if I had taken a $50 \%$ profit the day befor $+700 \%$...? Not vey good I promise you I know myself when I'm loosing.

I am sure you have a suggestion Charles.
My solution and thinking at present is...check carefylly if there is any trend in the way option prices fluctuate over time.
If we take away the <=20days to expiration positions, the theta timeinfluence is pretty stable. So there should be a way of over time track the option price and the fluctuations amplitude.
Maybe around $50 \%$ for one and another $75 \%$ and yet another $125 \%$ from latest low to the peak high.
By following this tracking statistics and putting the selltarget there (- some entry+exit marginals)
over long time would't the statistics work in our favour...in spite some to early and too late sells.
I have read your answer in CSW: "If the price is still good for an entry...then kkep it" but what about the many $+50 . . .100 \%$ short term profits you would miss Charles?
Interesting challenging dilemma. :-)
/Bengt

## tharma raj

Hi Bengt,
Another very interesting question....and another question every trader does ask

There are several reasons why a one size solution may not fit all

1. Different stocks behave in different ways - Google has much more daily volatility than Microsoft.
2. Some stocks are trending and some stocks are channeling

解 the trade and how much more money you could make by staying in the trade and this is directly related to your option structure. for example a butterfly may lose less money than a straight naked long call when there is an adverse move but it will also make less money than the call when there is a favourable move.

I hope you can see why this is an impossible question to answer because it is related to so many other variables (some of which i have listed above) that have not been quantified.

 a little bit. In this scenario you can clearly see that any advice I give to you may be in direct conflict with your personality and your trading style.

So how do you answer this question....after all that is really what you want to know. Well, the only answer, I have is that you have to ask yourself more questions.
For example, what kind of trading style do you have, are you trading trending stocks or volatile stocks or is it something else?
 further? If you feel you want to test it further, then collect a sample of backtested trades and see how they performed using your exit strategy. Then ask yourself:

Q1. Did the exit strategy perform like I expected it to?
Q2. Does it look like there are ways I can improve my exit strategy?
Q3. What further testing, if any, do I need to do to prove that these methods for exiting my trades could actually work?
Even with this procedure you have to be careful about a couple of things which are:
 will find that even though it worked perfectly in the past it will not be as good in the future when you apply it to your real trades.

Finally let me touch on the last point you rasied regarding what I called the "fear of regret" when you said:
 loosing"




 rules that I came up with in the first place.
 you wont' know where the top of the mountain is until you start walking down the other side....this is the same dilemma one can face when trying to go for the absolute maximum profit.
 move....but again you may want to test this hypothesis out for yourself to see whether this strategy is a good one based on some of the questions I outlined above on how to think about testing a strategy.
 psychological makeup.

Hope this helps.
kind regards
Tharma

Thanks Tharma.
This question-replying is getting better and better :-)
GREAT answers like Charles is saying. :-)
I agree with all you are saying, and of course these answers are not new to me.
Still I am challenged by thos optimizing problem.
Surely the psycholigical issue...where and wiht what are you comfortable...I never ever excpected this +700\% ride...which I was on top of. GREAT I say again G R E A T feeling! :-))
Share my joy. At the same time I could crystal clearly see
this was like winning on a far OTM option that blows up 100xtimes in price.
I want to forget for a moment the issue what is the comfortable trading style. THAT is an importent question Tharma.

Back to the challenge
I interpret optimizing this way:
IF the stock is is TRENDING...stay in and wait for the big one.
IF the stock is channeling...take every profit that roughly fits the past option pricing fluctuations. "Scalping the amplitudes"!
Check carefully the influence of Theta, so time decay won't effect this amplitude too hard.
>20days expiration should'nt make theta hurting too much.
So I see it as a optimizing problem ...taking as many reasonable scalping profits and at the same time being in the market as often as possible to catsh THE BIG ONE.

I read again and again in the trading books, that the successful lose A LOT! Surprisingly...maybe they are not so smart after all ;-) Their smartness is they never miss the REALLY BIG ONES! Maybe less then $5 \%$ of the yearly ups.

Agree?
With backtesting it should be possible for each uderlying to find the optimum trading style...not saying this is in the comfortable zone...maybe for no one...BUT it should be statisticly/mathematically possible and challenging to find the level...and test it over time (just like machine trading) +- some margin for leaving the curve-fitting stumble you mention.

I have lots of gut feelings :-) like I said.
If I see my positions $+100 \%$ I get allmost the opposite to the often beginners feeling...(I had that also some 10 years ago)
this is great...soon Ill be a millionaire ;-)
Instead I now freeze of fear of loosing the profit...and just sell right on the buyer's price....Bingo I say to myself. So far so good!

After the good feeling settles... I have another problem that I guess is so typically for human psyche...(anyone recognize themselves in this?) I immidately start looking for the next good entry...not to let the winning money cool off :-)
meaning about $75 \%$ of the time I enter a loosing position.
So I am now using some strict trading rules on myself.
I call them " $5 \times 5$ Greenlights"!

## Now this is a fast translation from swedish, sorry for any mis-spellings or grammatical errors.

1. Wait for ONE clear correction in any good ol' index. Nasdaq100, SP500 or whatever is your favourite Maybe this "correction" entry ciriteria could be reduced to only the stock you want to enter.
2. Bollinger narrowing...
3. RSI rising or very low
. MACD rising or very low
4. Max $1 / 3$ (for me) of the trading capital in one single trade
(usually 7-10\%)
A. Choose a derivative with undervalued price
B. Low implied volatility
C. Underlying stock "hopefully" high historical volatility
D. Choose "Strike"

ITM <=1 month to go
TM or ATM 1-2 months left
OTM if $>2$ month
E. Never any pos. < 20days left (gamma+delta cause the prise to be too volatile!)

This results in $5+5$ green lights $=10 \times$ Go!Go!Go! $=$ Take the trading position!
Now remember these are my "private" rules, developed through bitter losses! Sooo feel free to adjust and comment in any way.

I am eagerly listening / reading over here in Finland!
Best whishes to you all and especially Tharma who took the time for an excellent answer.
/Bengt

| Ri\$k Doctor Administrator Hero Member <br>  | Optimizing dilemma... <br> «Reply \#3 on: September 27, 2005, 06:54:58 AM » <br> Experience is your best teacher and as long as you learn from your mistakes and triumphs while optimizing your approach, you will be on the right track. One word of advice: as you get more and more consistenly profitable, maintain your rules and only garadually increase your size. Let new profits dictate your rate of growth, not your ego. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bengt | Optimizing dilemma... <br> «Reply \#4 on: September 27, 2005, 08:21:32 AM » <br> Words of wisdom Charles. <br> Thats the thrill of trading... <br> It's not only your money on the line.... <br> it's also your ego...much worse to have that in control. :-) <br> So far so good. |

## Fishing!

« on: September 07, 2005, 06:21:42 AM *
Hello friends.
I had an experience today I would like to share, and hopefully get some good confirming or correcting (disagreeent) comments on.
I strongly wanted to get into the AZ N5 I370 with a bought call, and later complement it with a shorted call.
Making up a normal bull spread.
Now the interesting thing was that I350 was trading about $15.00-16.00$. and the 1370 about $2.00-2.50$
The 350 was too expensive for me to get into
So I was left with the I370
I checked the greeks and the theoretical value was somewhere around 1.80 . So both bidders/askers were way over the reasonable value. (at least I felt so)
Anyway I tried my luck at a little higher the highest bid=2.10, later 2.20... 2.10..2.20 following the bids fluctuations, during about 1 hour.
Suddenly my asked price was covered. To my surprise!
Since I fealt the spread was quite wide and not moving very much.
Interestingly (and for me confirmingly) I was the only one getting this at 2.20 . Even after 2 hours my 2.20 was still the latest covered.
My conclusion was (now correct me if you think I am wrong, and just believing (=wishfull thinking) what I want to believe ;-)) that my moving in top of the bid prices (just closest possible but still above) ...triggered someone (maybe eager to sell?) on the other side to finally cover what I felt was still a bargain (at this moment).

If that is true, my future trading style "entering a trade" will be just this strategy....always closest above highest bid at the moment.
Changing 2-3 times/hour (if needed)
Bating the fish=other side of the trade!
This might work on the other asking side as well, with price just closest below lowest asked to exit a trade!
Before, if I really wanted to get in, I have put myself in the middle spot between ask/bid, and mostly gotten it covered in 2 hours. (Don't want to wait for days for a possible bargain = normal bid price, and the risk that the market moves as I expected with me not onboard! prices flying away from me :-(

Now what do you think of this entering strategy... a waist of time?... maybe (in futures where $+-100 \% /$ a couple of days is not unusual).
Or a money saver(= profit increaser) over long time... IF? you are successful, and it really works. :-)
I hearby name it "Bating the fish!" = entering a trade at good price :-)
How do you "normally" enter your trades?
Checking theor value? ...or just getting in whatever the offered asked price?
Please share with us, the good entries (like I felt this was)
and especially the bad ones so we all can learn also from that where not to put the prices.
Greetings from Finland
/Bengt

## Fishing！

on：September 16，2005，07：12：18 AM
When the option was $2.00-2.50$ the fair value was presumably 2.25 （check this by getting the middle of the put and plugging it into the $R / C$ fair value equation
Personally，I would never trade options where the markets are so wide．I would find something else to trade．
2.35 and the 2．40，etc．（if you really need them）．If you are trying to sell then offer it at 2.20 ，then 2.15 ，then 2.10 ，etc．

To middle the market at 2.25 is to hope for another customer going the other way．MMs don＇t come to work to trade in the middle．The only reason they hit（sell to）a 2.20 bid is because the market has dropped（or implied volatility has）and now what was worth 2.25 when the market was higher is now worth perhaps only 2.00

Regarding your theoretical values，it seems that it is not accounting for skew in which case you need to interpolate．Options do not have any empirical value．They trade acordin to supply and demand and the true value is somewhere between what buyers will pay and sellers will acdcept．BTW MMs are the buyers and the sellers at the same time（like money changers）．Alot of these concepts are covered in the free RD1 download and free weekly webinars that I give．
BTW：What is your definition of covering？We use it to mean：buying back a short position

## Bengt

Fishing
on：September 16，2005，12：38：56 PM »
Thank you Charles for the insite answers．
First of all let me apologize to all of you，for lacking the english correct wordings and finance vocabulary．My native language is swedish．Off course what I meant was my order was filled．
I see you know the MM side inside out Charles．：－
Excellent knowledge to share with us
us on the other side of the trade
My conclusion is that the method I used will NOT work allways．
But it has worked now so many times for me．．．（is it only the swedish market that works this way？）
Maybe it works best in a non－moving market situation．．．just guessing．
I ALLWAYS start the way you suggest Charles．．．
the one correct price just above the highest buyer
then I wait normally some hour．．．if the pricetrain goes slightly up or down I will adjust accordingly to still stay on the top．
And then adding on ．．．creeping up slowly to trigger a fill．
It can take a couple of hours，bur SURPRISINGLY often（more then chanse in my view）someone on the other side（not MM then，like you correctly mention）will give my price a fill．
My question though is，is it worth the money and time Charles？
when the price in the next couple of days most probably will swing some $+-\ldots 50-100 \%$ ？

Nokia went a whooping $+700 \%$ the other day．：－
Bought at 0.35 sold at 2,70
Nice when it happens，pure luck for me．：－）
The company released some good profit figures．

In that trade for example，whats the point chasing a spread of maybe 0，30－0，40
when it goes to whooping $+700 \%$ in hours．．．！
I am sure you have comments on this Charles．
Everything is a balance of time，profit and effort
IF（？）the analysis of underlying is well done，
I personally don＇t see any reason for not bying direct at sellers price，（maybe one first 10 min ．try in the middle of the spread）just to get onboard（never chasing the sellers price though）．
Eventually the profil will come，othewise the analysis was in error．Back to the drawing board ；－）
I personally prefer spending more hours on the analyzis of underlying，
then chasing some $10 \%$ on the＂entry ticket＂
Your turn Charles
Warm greetings from a allready cold Finland－1
／Bengt

Fishing!
«Reply \#3 on: September 16, 2005, 02:15:21 PM 》
I would have paid . 40 and would have been off to something more worthwhile. It was worth . 35 so rather than miss the trade an opportunity to manifest you opinion just pay the 5 ore. Why fool around and perhaps miss out on making a whopping $700 \%$ ?

Fishing!
«Reply \#4 on: September 16, 2005, 06:07:44 PM
Ok Charles.
I agree and does this mean that you sort of disapprove of the fishing low entries, that started this
string?
If the analysis says go... any (?) reasonable offered price would be ok.
And then have the more time to look for other trades
/B

## Ri\$k Doctor Administrator <br> Administrato

Hero Member
Fishing
«Reply \#5 on: September 19, 2005, 07:56:09 AM
I would not say disapprove. If you are bullish from the current level, don't mess around to save a tiny bit and perhaps miss the ride
"Fishing" would be preferable if you were not currently bullish but preestablished a support level in which to enter, in hopes that the market traded down to that level in order to trigger your order. Nothing wrong with that.

| ohlala Newbie | To exercise or not <br> < on: September 13, 2005, 08:06:59 PM » <br> Hi Tharma or Risk Doctor, <br>  <br>  to the real call and seeing which is the better do? <br>  compared to a real put? <br> I would appreciate some feedback. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ri\$k Doctor Administrator | To exercise or not |

## Administrator Hero Member

«Reply \#1 on: September 14, 2005, 08:13:03 AM »
You would compare the Real Call price to the put price plus the stock price minus the strike plus the carry cost of the stock minus the dividend.
(C vs. $\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{D}$ )
So, if the Real Call is cheaper than the value of the synthetic then it is not as valuable and a candidate for exercising for the Dividend.
In the case of a Real Put price, compare it to the the cost of the Call plus the strike minus the stock minus the short stock rebate plus the Dividend they would have to pay.
( P vs. $\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{ssr}+\mathrm{D}$
So, if the Real Put is trading around Parity (having no extrinsic value left) it is a candidate for exercise by those who can be short the stock and collect a short stock rebate and also pay the dividend because it is cheaper than what they will receive in interest.
Perhaps you have already read the following excerpt (below)from Chapter 3 of Coulda Woulda Shoulda (Page 79 in the latest revised rough draft version). It is important to realize that your position will change and have a different risk profile unless the rest of the position is liquidated so you must anticipate the resulting position and whether you intend to keep that before you decise to exercise or not

Keep in mind the Rent-an-Option nuance of having a candidate for exercise (the very next topic in CWS after what is pasted below).

## TO EXERCISE OR NOT TO EXERCISE?

It should become clear after reading about early exercise nuances why it is beneficial to be in control of the exercise by being long the deep in-the-money options. There is a good reason to be willing to pay a little extra when buying them and charging a little more when selling / shorting

Calls are almost never exercised early unless there is a dividend (payable to holders of long stock) with a greater amount than the interest to carry that stock plus the cost of the corresponding (same strike) OTM put. This OTM put needs to be purchased in order to keep the trader??s market exposure the same as it was before the exercise.

Puts are commonly exercised so that traders can save on the carrying costs of their long stock, or so that they can earn interest on being short stock (called short stock rebate), Exercise happens when the corresponding OTM call can be purchased for an amount less than or equal to the carry costs involved with the deep ITM put. The OTM call needs to be purchased in order to keep the trader??s market exposure the same as it was before the exercise.

Exhibit 3-20 displays positions in the first column. Each position??s exposure before an exercise is detailed. The next column shows the position and exposure after exercise of the option. The last column, ??Lost Attribute??, identifies the missing element, which is needed in order to maintain the position exposure that one had prior to exercise. One therefore needs to purchase the corresponding out-of-the-money option in the same quantity.

## EXHIBIT 3-20

Consequence of Exercis

| Position Before Exercise : Exposure | Position After Exercise : Exposure | Lost Attribute |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Long Call : Limited Risk Long | Naked: Long Stock : Unlimited Risk Long | OTM Put |
| Long Call / Short Stock : Limited Risk Short | Flat : None | OTM Put |
| Long Call / Short Stock / Short Put : Flat | Short Put : Unlimited Risk Long | OTM Put |
| Naked Long Put : Limited Risk Short | Naked: Short Stock : Unlimited Risk Short | OTM Call |
| Long Put / Long Stock : Limited Risk Long | Flat : None | OTM Call |
| Long Put /Long Stock / Short Call : Flat | Short Call : Unlimited Risk Short | OTM Call |

Obviously, it would be wise to buy options closer to the money, but prices available at the time of exercise may not be agreeable. In spite of this, the purchase should be made before one exercises, otherwise one will have the exposure of the lost attribute.
Understanding how the exercise can be used as a very high-reward for a low-risk strategy can be useful when an opportunity arises. Professional traders exercise in order to save on carrying costs that are greater than the amount that can be synthetically achieved with a different position configuration, or to collect a dividend when it is greater than the corresponding put plus the carry costs of the stock. This is because carrying costs and dividends can be translated into synthetic premium as a component of an option? s value.

A lot of traders exercise deep in-the-money puts (in order to receive interest on short stock) when the corresponding out-of-the-money calls of the same strike become cheap enough to buy. However, it is often beneficial to cover those cheap out-of the-money calls but hold off exercising. It may be advantageous to wait a while before exercising was in the CBT US Treasury Bond aptions and works a little different than a similar trad in a tock upions intract. In the case of stocks, interest is calculated on the stock and the options. In the case of futures contracts, interest is only calculated on the options. Having said that, interest income and expense are also based upon movement in

To exercise or not
《Reply \#2 on: September 16, 2005, 07:53:31 PM »
Hi Charles,
First off all thanks for your detailed reply and going over the topic during the webinar. Well guess what, you got me reading over the topic a few times over??. and the more I read the more I want to find out.

I'd like to know if there are any work books/sites etc out there that have examples for which you calculate whether you??d exercise, or not, in the case of renting a call/put and answers for the problems.

With regards to renting options, realistically would a retail trader play such a position, with the high commissions involved not mentioning the initial capital required to initiate the long stock and options or cover for short stock?
I look forward to hearing your input.

## Ri\$k Doctor

 AdministratorHero Member

To exercise or not
«Reply \#3 on: September 18, 2005, 06:19:23 PM
It is very doubtfull that you could buy the package at parity but you could wind up with that after locking in a trade.
Having said that, you could try the order and perhaps a market maker would be motivated to chance acquiring some of the open interest and collect interst.
The synthetic calls that you rent need to have a chance of seeing daylight


#### Abstract

Bengt Otpimizing «on: September 08, 2005, 10:43:12 PM » Tharma! If you have the time on this. Chrales suggested you to comment. Feel free! :-) Any input on this will be much appreciated. There was some software in Sweden that had a SuperIndex based on the following criteria: (delta x stock price x bis/ask spread x gamma)/(price of option x Under/Overvalued x tick\%) tick\% (meaning how fast the option price moves, I guess that would be similar to gamma) To this was later added Theta (time decay) and also the tick was changed into more realistic square root of a tick. Now we are a group of people over here trying to think what more should be included, and should the parameters be multiplied or divided with some numbers to get a better=realistic index balance.

Purpose of the index would be to quickly get a list of candidates that could then be carefully manually evaluated. I am personally not so fond of some specail new indexes since you easily lose track of what it covers And thinking prices in the futures change so rapidly, it is better to have a search strategy of preferred parameters of Greeks IV, under-over valued and so on. So the question is still valis.. IF you had the chanse to configure your own search combination, how would it look like...with or without a formulae. I am sure therre is an optimized formulae that must have been solved long ago...in all the excellent and expensive software that is available. :-)

Charles told me of Hull's book on Options formulae. Are you familiar with it?

Warm greetings from a sunny Finland.


## Bengt

Hi Bengt,
I have never seen any formula like this before. Therefore, it would be very helpful if you could provide me with some further information about this formula:
You said that:
"Purpose of the index would be to quickly get a list of candidates that could then be carefully manually evaluated".

 full description about how you have been advised to use this formula as part of a trading strategy.

 I might then be able to give you more useful feedback "I am personally not so fond of some special new indexes since you easily lose track of what it covers.
 I completely agree with this
trading.
I have not read Hull's book.
 movement in a 1-2 month timeframes and then I go about looking for an option structure that will match my directional predictions and answer the 5 questions I talked to you about in the previous forum message.
kind regard
Tharma
Bengt
Otpimizing
«Reply \#2 on: September 12, 2005, 12:57:24 PM »
«Reply \#2 on: Septemb
I agree $100 \%$, and have sort of reevaluated this "super-index"
It's not a good solution at all, when you loose the control over what it is displaying.
Better with a general search profile, you can edit as you wish.

This index has existed though and was devolped some years ago for a very competative SW that was unfortunately dropped due to bad cost/profit profile of the business. Too few option/warrants traders over here i guess. (or too poor) ;-))

Any way let's keep this thread running Tharma.
If we consider no new index, just a simple editable search profile, where you enter one of the following very basic criterias:
Like an exampel
Bullish, $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ or 1-2 m or $>2$ month
or
Neutral $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ or $1-2 \mathrm{~m}$ or $>2$ month
or
And then following criterias that you add yourself to search for..
like
max, min values for Greeks...volatility, spread ... and so on, you name it.
What would be your favourite profile to keep things as simple as possible and at the same time not get too many hits.
I think you get the general idea.
Today with the SW we have over here you have to do a cumbersome clicking through all options and print them out with greeks and all...and then sit with the printout and a pen to find combinations for a good trade
THATS what we want this search tool to do
Greetings from Finland
/B

| tharma raj | Otpimizing <br> «Reply \#3 on: September 12, 2005, 01:30:56 PM » <br> Hi Bengt, <br> Personally I use a system where I look at stocks that may be good trending candidates and good channeling candidates. <br> I then decide on the appropriate option structure in line with my predicted direction and by asking myself the 5 questions I posted in that last form that we talked about. <br>  choose the option structures that gives me the best reward to risk ratios. <br> kind regards <br> Tharma |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ri\$k Doctor Administrator Hero Member <br>  | Otpimizing <br> «Reply \#4 on: September 14, 2005, 07:42:16 AM » <br> I agree with Tharma. <br> Those software guys really know how to market themselves but does the software serve you? Garbage in -- garbage out. <br> You must look at one trade, one underlying at a time and not have this SW find you a list of Holy Grail trades. There is no Holy Grail Trade. <br> Analyze one situation at a time and place your bet. <br>  by their positions that win. |
| Bengt | Otpimizing <br> «Reply \#5 on: September 14, 2005, 12:00:31 PM » <br> Ok Charles. <br> You have a point the personal analysis is the key. <br> No Holy Grails! I agree with that. <br> But ... do you really mean that the prasing you are making for Spreadhunter, is nothing for us private investors? <br> Quote: from Spreadhunter.com <br>  <br>  are the cr? "me of the crop professionals whose shrunken edge has boiled down to speed and efficiency. No other system can match SpreadHunter??s functionality." <br> Charles M. Cottle <br> Author, Options Perception and Deception <br> Former Market Maker, CBOE, CBOT |
| Ri\$k Doctor Administrator Hero Member | Otpimizing <br> «Reply \#6 on: September 16, 2005, 06:47:05 AM » <br> Not for beginners. |


| Bengt | Selling premium <br> «on: September 04, 2005, 11:54:31 PM » <br> In reference to the previous questions... (see "Checklist" which actually involved many...different questions, I want to focus this mailing purely on selling premium. <br> Charles have you anything on this in your new CWS? <br> If not I strongly suggest you add some rules, with examples. <br> Importent question "How to optimize profit and minimize risk" <br> Charles you clearly warn against selling NAKED PUTS! <br> Welldeserved warning...many sad stories about totally broken traders with that very dangerous approach! <br> On the other hand you also clearly states that the big players make most of the money selling premiums. (puts and calls) <br> So how to protect those vulnerable positions. <br> In my previous position taking (see "Checklist" <br> I am selling premium by shorting puts <br> + adding a bought position. <br> HOW EXACTLY SHOULD THAT BOUGHT PROTECTION BE CONSIDERED Charles? <br> In different market conditions bull, bear, sideways going...(sounds most dangerous) <br> Not to loose to much profit from the selling and not to endanger a sharp fall in the market that might totally wipe me out! <br> (considering minor money saved behind position) <br> Do you have any samples...in your book...or previous online answers? <br> Regards <br> /Bengt |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ri\$k Doctor Administrator <br>  | Selling premium <br> 《Reply \#1 on: September 12, 2005, 05:51:54 AM » <br> I am a bit confused as to what you are asking but if I am correct, the bought protection (1:1), against a naked short put, implies a bull spread now. <br> Question would you put on that particular credit spread at that price? If you cannot answer that, would you buy the same strike call debit vertical for the reciprocal amount (strike difference minus put credit spread*)? <br> The risk tolerance is different for everyone so there is no right or wrong. <br> Also, I don't insist but only encourage. A well diversified and well capitalized account can have a relatively small amount of naked short premium. <br> *This example ignores interest, dividends and early exercise premium. |

## Bengt Checklist

« on: September 02, 2005, 09:14:59 AM »
Hello friends, and especially to Charles.
First I like to apologize to all those that might find some of my question simple.
Yes, I confess I am a beginner in options, although not completely green onion, thanks to Charles excellent book CWS. :-)
I hope there is room for beginners question also outside the RD1 course.
So here we go...
Before takeoff TAKING A POSITION, we (I) need a clear checklist.
Lets consider the following scenario:
I slight bearish market.
I have found a good candidate for a call position.
Like Lundin Oil for example.


Targets:
Sep 105 SEK
Oct 115 SEK
before I am putting my vertical spread on,
(or something else suggested)
I want to check the greeks and volatility.
So of all these 10 variables, what to focus on?
Undervalued, Delta, Gamma... volatility of stock... of option... and in which priority order. See image of todays values. Or is it so that only what strikes to take for the vertical, has value when entering a position, and the Greeks and Or is it so that only what strikes to take for the vertical,
others come in later when position should be changed?

Todays strike is 97.50 (SEK about \$9) historical vola. for the stock is 57 ( 30 Days) average implied volatility for all options (same underlying) 62.9. I hope the swedish/english in the pict will be clear and understandable.

I have learned a basic rule like;
Less than 1 month to expiration only positions ITM 1-2 months: ATM (or closest to ATM) greater than 2 months: OTM Now these rules I have found in option books, so they might be challenged. Charles, please confirm or correct.

I have decided on the following spreads:
+10 OCT 100c/+10 OCT 105c/-20 OCT 110c with a cost of 3300SEK Debit (\$330)
and
-10 OCT 105p/ -10 OCT 100p / -20 OCT 95p
and
buy +40 OCT 75 p as protection with a Credit of 21500 SEK ( $\$ 2150$ )
and
+10 NOV 110c / +10 NOV 115c / -20 NOV 120 with a cost of 1090SEK debit (\$109)
A couple of questions arise now in my mind.
First and most important, is the +40 OCT 75 p enough protection if the market breaks heavily downward? Not so likely but you teach us to ALLWAYS have some protection for the naked sold puts.

Or should the bought protection be closer to the sold premiums and/or with more contracts? What is a rule of thumb and experience to protect when selling premiums?

My bear calls are made up of two bought calls and one sold, in order to have more spread then the usual one bought and one sold call at higher strike.

Does that wider spread make sense...?
Try to let us (especially me) clearly follow your thinking process and the priorities of parameters when buying.
If anything is unclear, please tell me and I will add the info missing
Aktie $=$ Stock
Vinnare=Winner
F'rlorare=Losers


Second image sorted on winners:

| - Max Epresa $^{\text {d }}$ | 0.0 | 目 | Doll kusimi | exal kop. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| łamn |  | 1 ImRK | 1mps\% | $1 \mathrm{mp} \times \mathrm{E}$ | Della | Gamma | Thela | Vega | Elasticitet | Spread | Ereakeven | Salikurs. | Teor (thist) | Oxerarataring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPESS100 | [ | 30.8 | 35.4 | 33.1 | 0.468 | 0.0312 | -0.0561 | 14.350 | 10.7 | 0.17 | 104.25 .-. | 4.25 | 7.36 | 0.58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPESS105 | - | 31.2 | 38.9 | 35.1 | 0.345 | 0.0262 | -0.0557 | 13.292 | 11.2 | 0.40 | 108.00 | 3.00 | 5.49 | 0.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPESS110 |  | 30.0 |  | 24.3 |  |  |  |  |  | -2.00 | 110.00 ... | 0.00 | 4.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPE5137.50 | L |  | 208.9 |  | 0.948 | 0.0014 | -0.0831 | 3.811 | 1.5 | 0.08 | 100.00 | 52.50 | 60.23 | 1.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPEES40 | L |  | 196.2 |  | 0.945 | 0.0076 | -0.0825 | 4.012 | 1.5 | 0.09 | 100.00 | ${ }^{60.00}$ | 57.75 | 1.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .UPE5U42.50 | [ |  | 184.4 |  | 0.941 | 0.0018 | -0.0818 | 4.217 | 1.8 | 0.09 | 100.00 ... | 57.50 | 55.26 | $1.04-$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WPEES45 | L |  | 173.3 |  | 0.938 | 0.0020 | -0.0810 | 4.426 | 1.7 | 0.10 | 100.00 ... | 55.00 | 52.78 | 1.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .UPE5547.50 | [ |  | 192.8 |  | 0.934 | 0.0022 | $-0.0802$ | 4.540 | 1.7 | 0.10 | 100.00 .... | 52.50 | 50.29 | 1.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .upesjof | I |  | 152.9 |  | 0.930 | 0.0024 | -0.0792 | 4.860 | 1.8 | 0.11 | 100.00 ... | 50.00 | 47.81 | 1.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPE5S52.50 | I |  | 143.5 |  | 0.925 | 0.0027 | -0.0792 | 5.087 | 1.9 | 0.11 | 100.00 -.. | 47.50 | 45.33 | 1.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPE5,55 | L |  | 134.6 |  | 0.921 | 0.0030 | -0.0771 | 5.323 | 2.0 | 0.12 | 100.00 | 45.00 | 42.85 | 1.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPE5L57.50 | E |  | 92.6 |  | 0.958 | 0.0027 | -0.0361 | 3.220 | 2.3 | 0.05 | 98.50 ... | 41.00 | 40.38 | 1.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WPEES50 | [ |  | 85.9 |  | 0.956 | 0.0030 | -0.0354 | 3.359 | 2.4 | 2.00 | 88.50 ... | 38.50 | 37.92 | 1.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WPEESV6 | Г |  | 73.2 |  | 0.951 | 0.0038 | -0.0340 | 3.666 | 2.8 | 0.06 | 88.50 .... | 33.50 | 33.06 | 1.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .upesj70 | I |  | 01.5 |  | 0.945 | 0.0050 | $-0.0325$ | 4.029 | 3.2 | 0.05 | 88.50 -.. | 28.50 | 28.33 | 1.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WPEES75 | I |  | 55.8 |  | 0.920 | 0.0074 | -0.0378 | 5.349 | 3.8 | 0.09 | 88.75 ... | 23.75 | 23.82 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPE5SI80 | I |  | 48.5 | 30.3 | 0.990 | 0.0108 | -0.0413 | 6.795 | 4.6 | 0.10 | 99.00 ... | 19.00 | 19.63 | 0.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WPE5185 | L |  | 48.7 | 34.1 | 0.812 | 0.0153 | -0.0554 | 9.727 | 5.3 | 0.18 | 100.00 .-. | 15.00 | 15.85 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WPEs,iso | L | 29.0 | 42.6 | 361 | 0.734 | 0.0214 | -0.0581 | 11.835 | 6.7 | 0.15 | 100.75 | 10.75 | 12.52 | 0.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WPEsw35 | L | 30.2 | 41.2 | 35.7 | 0.613 | 0.0258 | -0.0636 | 13.815 | 8.0 | 0.22 | 102.50 .... | 7.50 | 9.70 | 0.77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPE5K100 | [ | 31.7 | 40.0 | 35.8 | 0.503 | 0.0221 | -0.0515 | 17.886 | T. 5 | 0.26 | 106.50 ... | ${ }^{\text {®. }} 50$ | 9.55 | 0.68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPE5K105 | [ | 31.1 | 39.3 | 35.2 | 0.396 | 0.0217 | -0.0481 | 17.370 | 8.8 | ${ }_{0}^{0.37}$ | 109.50 .... | 4.50 | 7.93 | 0.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .UPE5K110 | - | 32.0 | 38.7 | 35.4 | 0.298 | 0.0199 | -0.0420 | 15.820 | 9.7 | 0.40 | 113.00 .-. | 3.00 | 6.04 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPESK115 | I | 31.3 | 39.4 | 35.6 | 0.223 | 0.0168 | -0.0365 | 13.464 | 10.4 | 0.62 | 117.10 ... | 2.10 | 4.74 | 0.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPEEK120 | $\Gamma$ | 29.1 | 401 | 35.2 | 0.164 | $0.0137$ | $.0 .0304$ | 11.166 | 11.1 | $\frac{1.05}{107}$ | $121.45$ | $\frac{145}{85010}$ | $\frac{3.69}{6712}$ |  |  | H1 | Oblil kurin | 10 exalkb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -OPE5K55 | E |  | $\begin{array}{r}105.4 \\ \hline 98.5\end{array}$ |  | 0.925 0.920 | 0.0030 | $0.0487$ | ${ }_{6}^{6.392}$ | 2.0 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.12 \\ & 0.12 \end{aligned}$ | 100.00 .... 100.00 | $45.00$ | $43.12$ |  |  | Impk\% | $\mathrm{imps} \mathrm{\%}$ | 1 mpmm | Delta | Gamma | Theta | Vega | Elasticitet | Spread | Break:even | Teori(Miss) | Creveridsting Markn |
|  | $\square$ |  | 98.5 92.0 |  | 0.920 0.916 | 0.0033 0.0037 | -0.0479 -0.0471 | 6.675 6. | 2.1 | 0.12 | 100.00 100.00 | 42.50 40.00 | 40.70 | 1.04 -UPE5.100 | $\square$ | 30.8 | 35.4 | 33.1 | 0.468 | 0.0312 | -0.0561 | 14.354 | 10.7 | 0.17 | 104.25 .- | ${ }_{7.36}$ | 0.58 om |
| LUPESK65 | $\square$ |  | 82.9 |  | 0.898 | 0.0047 | -0.0488 | 7.980 | 2.5 | 0.15 | 100.25 --. | 35.25 | 33.63 | $1.05-$ UPE5 5195 | L | 30.2 | 41.2 | 35.7 | 0.613 | 0.0258 | -0.0636 | 13.819 | 8.0 | 0.22 | 102.50 .-. | 9.70 | 0.77 om |
| Lupesk70 | $\square$ |  | ${ }^{88.2}$ |  | 0.892 | 0.0080 | -0.0435 | 8.346 | 2.9 | 0.14 | 100.00 -..- | 30.00 | 29.16 | 1.03 -UPE5.190 | L | 29.0 | 42.5 | 35.0 | 0.734 | 0.0214 | -0.0580 | 11.838 | 6.7 | 0.15 | 100.75 - - | 12.53 | 0.86 om |
| Lupesk75 | $\square$ |  | 80.0 | 25.1 | 0.868 | 0.0078 | -0.0443 | 9.920 | 3.4 | 0.17 | 100.25 ... | 25.25 | 24.97 | 1.01 -UPE5.5105 | L | 31.2 | 39.9 | 35.1 | 0.345 | 0.0262 | -0.0557 | 13.296 | 11.2 | 0.40 | 108.00 -- | 5.49 | 0.55 om |
| LUPE5K80 | $\square$ |  | 48.5 | 32.2 | 0.847 | 0.0106 | -0.0415 | 10.974 | 4.1 | 0.16 | 100.25 -... | 20.25 | 21.10 | 0.96 -UPE5K85 | $\square$ |  | 37.1 | 28.7 | 0.827 | 0.0153 | -0.0355 | 11.544 | 5.4 | 0.12 | 100.00 -- | 17.91 | 0.85 om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.90_UPE5K1 10 | $\square$ | 32.0 | 38.7 | 35.4 | 0.298 | 0.0189 | -0.0420 | 15.622 | 8.7 | 0.40 | 113.00 -- | 6.04 | 0.50 om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -UPESK100 |  | 31.7 | 40.0 | 35.8 | 0.503 | 0.0221 | -0.0515 | 17.998 | 7.5 | 0.28 | 108.50 --- | 9.55 | 0.88 om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -UPESK95 |  | 30.4 | 37.7 | 34.1 | 0.815 | 0.0225 | -0.0481 | 17.242 | 7.0 | 0.18 | 103.50 - - | 11.84 | 0.72 cm |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -UPE5.a80 | I |  | 4.5 | 30.2 | 0.890 | 0.0108 | -0.0413 | 6.796 | 4.6 | 0.10 | 99.00 .- | 19.63 | 0.97 am |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -upe6e95 | - | 30.3 | 42.2 | 36.2 | 0.620 | 0.0136 | -0.0374 | 25.25? | 4.6 | 0.26 | 108.25 -- | 16.99 | 0.78 om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -UPE5.65 | L |  | 73.2 |  | 0.951 | 0.0038 | $-0.0340$ | ${ }^{3.667}$ | 2.8 | 0.06 | 98.50 - - | 33.06 | 1.01 om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -UPE5.J55 | L |  | 134.5 |  | 0.921 | 0.0030 | -0.0770 | 5.325 | 2.0 | 0.12 | 100.00 .-- | 42.85 | 1.05 om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -upe5j70 | [ |  | 61.5 |  | 0.945 | 0.0050 | -0.0325 | 4.028 | 3.2 | 0.05 | 98.50 -- | 28.33 | 1.01 om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -UPE5K90 | [ | 29.0 | 44.2 | 36.9 | 0.706 | 0.0173 | -0.0511 | 15.544 | 5.5 | 0.20 | 102.50 - -- | 14.52 | 0.86 om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -UPE8E100 | [ | 32.9 | 38.6 | 35.8 | 0.546 | 0.0155 | $-0.0354$ | 26.300 | 5.3 | 0.18 | 110.00 - -- | 14.81 | 0.88 om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -upesw |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 | - |  | OM |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -UPESV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 | - |  | om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -UPE5.60 | L |  | 85.8 |  | 0.956 | 0.0030 | -0.0354 | 3.359 | 2.4 | 2.00 | 98.50 | 37.92 | 1.02 om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -UPESK105 | L | 31.1 | 39.3 | 35.2 | 0.396 | 0.0217 | -0.0480 | 17.373 | ${ }^{8 .} 5$ | 0.37 | 109.50 .- | 7.63 | 0.59 om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -UPEEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 | $\cdots$ |  | om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -upege60 | [ |  | 64.5 |  | 0.915 | 0.0036 | -0.0256 | 10.306 | 2.2 | 0.13 | 100.75 -.- | 40.04 | 1.02 Om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -upesVM15 | - | 31.3 | 53.0 | 43.1 | ${ }^{-0.696}$ | 0.0146 | -0.0428 | 15.781 | -3.3 | 0.18 | 94.50 --- | ${ }^{21.14}$ | 0.97 OM |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -UPE5W120 | $\Gamma$ |  | 58.4 | 43.9 | -0.725 | 0.0127 | $-0.0444$ | 15.038 | -2.8 | 0.17 | 94.75 --- | 25.05 | 1.01 om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -UPE6E65 | - |  | 57.3 |  | 0.900 | 0.0046 | -0.0263 | 11.644 | 2.4 | 0.15 | 101.00 - | 35.92 | 1.00 om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -иреввво | - | 21.1 | 43.0 | 33.9 | 0.814 | 0.0094 | -0.0296 | 17.759 | 3.5 | 0.14 | 102.50 - - | 25.15 | 0.99 om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -UPE6885 | I | 25.8 | 42.4 | 35.0 | 0.755 | 0.0112 | -0.0329 | 20.838 | 3.9 | 0.17 | 104.00 - - | 22.15 | 0.96 om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -UPEбвто. | - |  | 51.2 | 30.4 | 0.882 | 0.0058 | -0.0266 | 13.124 | 2.8 | 0.14 | 101.25 .-- | 32.05 | 0.98 om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -UPE6ET5 |  |  | 48.2 | 33.2 | ${ }^{0.848}$ | 0.0074 | -0.0291 | 15.512 | 3.1 | 0.16 | 102.00 ... | 28.46 | 0.95 om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | _upesw 10 | - | 30.5 | 49.7 | 40.5 | -0.643 | 0.0165 | -0.0440 | 16.815 | -39 | 0.20 | 93.75 .... | 17.49 | 0.93 om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -UPE5v965 | [ | 32.4 | 59.0 | 51.4 | -0.048 | 0.0038 | $-0.0165$ | 4.555 | -7.9 | 1.93 | 64.40 ...- | 0.51 | 1.17 Om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -upe5vio | [ | 27.0 | 53.0 | 45.5 | -0.065 | 0.0053 | -0.0185 | 5.723 | -8.5 | 1.95 | 69.25 ...- | 0.98 | 0.75 om |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -upeswiv7. 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57.50 ...- | 0.15 | om |

Hi bdp003
You asked a lot of great questions that are relevant and important to consider when one is thinking about putting on an option trade.
Before I go on any further I should say that it seems like there is a general theme to a lot of your questions which is that you are wondering whether there are specific rules for making certain decisions about an option trade. This is something I used to wonder as well when I first started trading options.

I have been learning from Charles for quite a while now and from what I can see, Charles gives traders great tools to help assess and manage risk.... but not specific rules about trading. I think, one of the reasons for this is that we are all different traders with different personalities and psychology... so what may be good trading rules for me may not be good trading rules for you!
Let me explain. If I liked to have a lot of winning trades because I did not like being wrong about my trades then I might choose trades that had a higher winning probability but that had a lower return (example ITM verticals). However, if you had a psychological makeup where you could take a lot of losing trades as long as you had one trade that was a huge winner you may be able to trade just OTM verticals with large reward to risk ratios. So, clearly, you may favor a different sort of vertical to me... as such it is very hard to give standardized rules that are applicable to everybody. Although certain books give rules like
I have learned a basic rule like;
Less than 1 month to expiration only positions ITM
2 mont 2 (or 1 ATM)
it is important to ask yourself whether these rules are appropriate for you and for the type of trading system you have.
Now, in the specific example you have given you seem to have a bullish price prediction (current price is 97.5 ) and you expect the stock to move to 105 by September expiry and 115 by OCT expiry. Implied volatility is approx $62 \%$ and we need to know what you expect to happen to the IV over the next 1-2 month period. Do you expect the IV to rise, fall or stay at its current levels.

For example, if we expected IV to rise then a straight Sept. 100 call could be considered. If we expected IV to fall and the stock to finish at 105 by Sept. expiry a $100 / 105 / 110$ butterfly could be appropriate. If we wanted to concentrate on playing the bullish directional move and we want to neutralize the role that IV plays in the option trade's value we may want to consider a $100 / 105$ bull vertical.

All the three above mentioned trades are bullish. So which one should you choose? One way to decide is based on which risk profile you are most in agreement with. To dissect the risk profile of each of these trades, look at the Greek values in each trade, see how you expect these Greek values to change over time and then decide based on these expectations which risk profile you are most in agreement with. Also, it is important to look at which reward to risk profile is right for each of us.... some people are happy with a ratio that makes $\$ 1$ and risks $\$ 4$ but has a high probability of winning whilst other people prefer systems where they risk $\$ 1$ to make $\$ 4$. Again we find it is a very individual question.
In the same way, when you ask whether the "40p75" is enough protection for your modified bullish put verticals.... you are the only one that can really answer this question. The questions you need to ask is, given this level of protection what kind of risk am I taking, what type of reward to I get for taking this risk and am I happy to accept this level of risk for this level of reward? Once you start to find the answers to these questions you can start to figure out whether this is an adequate level protection for you in this trade.
To summarize when entering any option trade the important questions that one must ask oneself are:

1. What is the predicted directional move and the predicted magnitude of this move?
2. What is the current IV and how do I expect the IV to change between now and option expiry?
3. Based on my directional and IV predictions as outlined in $1 \& 2$ above what types of option structures could I consider?- I gave you 3 possible examples above of option structures that could be considered in the bullish case example you provided
4. Wh I compare the Greek risk profile of these option structures which one do I like the best?
5. Am I happy with the overall reward: risk profile in my chosen option structure?

When you get good at answering questions 1-5 above you will find that you are a lot closer to deciding which option structure is more suitable to meet your individual objectives.
Hope this is helpful
kind regards
Tharma

## Checklist

Reply \#2 on: September 05, 2005, 01:46:57 AM »
Thanks a lot Tharma
That reply made a lot of sencse to me.
I know Charles is very busy... so I am pleased to get responses from his pupils instead. :-)
The only question still remaining ... is there not statistically a risk/reward relationship that could be used to choose the protection for the sold puts.
I mean looking back in history (removing those pitch dark events like 87 crash...), I would like to see some statistics...
What are the percentage over a yearly trading... premiums of put or calls... if you choose the profile I suggested as example... and compare that to buying smaller amount of more expensive(=more safety at larger cost=less profit) options just one strike below the lowest sold put. Or two strikes down... or three ...and so on.

There would be some curves showing the statistics so the proper risk/reward level can be chosen
Then what is proper... like you say Tharma, is up to each trader.
At least I would like to have the $\%$ as a solid (?) ground to stand on :-)

## Bengt

## inland

## tharma raj Checklist

Reply \#3 on: September 05, 2005, 02:58:59 AM 》

## Hi Bengt,

You are very welcome and I am happy to help! This is another really good question!
I know that with option pricing models like the Black-Scholes model it assumes that stock price movement is random and that it approximates a normal distribution curve. However, Sheldon Natenburg in his book "Option Volatility and Pricing" has shown that when historical data has been used the prices changes in the underlying instrument tend to approximate a normal distribution curve but with the following differences:

1. They have higher peaks (more days with smaller moves)
2. They have more elongated tails (more days with big moves)
3. They have more elongated tails ( more days with big moves)
4. They have narrower mid-sections (fewer days with intermediate moves).

Another way you could look at the probability of certain moves occuring is to look at the implied volatility in the marketplace and calculate the future implied probability of the volatily in the underlying instrument. At this point I do not know how much you do know about implied volatility but Charles has done some great discussions about it and the book I referred to above has some really great discussions about volatility.

Anyway, using the implied volatility one can start to make estimates about what the market is saying about the probability of certain price movements in the underlying instrument.
To calculate the potential movement of the underlying contract (Volatility is proportional to the square root of time) use the following formulas

1. [(Annualized Volatility/ Square root of Time period) x Current Underlying Stock price] / 100
2. E.g. if stock price is 100, annual volatility is $20 \%$ what is the 1 std for the daily, weekly and monthly volatilities:
a. Daily Volatility $=[(20 \% / \sqrt{ } 256$ trading days) $\times 100] / 100=1.25$ (Daily 1 std. $=+/-1.25)$. In 1 day there is a $68 \%$ probability that the price range will be within 98.75 and 101.25 )
b. Weekly Volatility $=[(20 \% / \sqrt{ } 52) \times 100] / 100=2.77$ (Weekly 1 std $=+/-2.77$ ). In 1 week there is a $68 \%$ probability that the price will be between 97.33 and 102.77
c. Monthly Volatility $=[(20 \% / \sqrt{ } 12) \times 100] / 100=5.77$ (Monthly 1 std $=\quad+/-5.77)$. In 1 month there is approximately a $68 \%$ probability that the price range will be within 94.33 and 105.77 )

However, if you want more specific information about...."some curves showing the statistics so the proper risk/reward level can be chosen"..... I don't know what software package or service could give you this information but I am sure Charles may be able to give you some information about this.

Finally, I would like to make the point that all our discussion here has focused on assessing the risk at entry..... but I think you recognize that it is important to remember that this is only one facet of a good trading approach. Other important areas to manage risk to also cosider:

1. Managing the risk profile during the life of the trade - we do a lot of work on this in the RD2 and Rd3 webinars.
2. Porfolio diversification

Hope this answers your question!
Kind regards
Tharma

Checklist
«Reply \#4 on: September 05, 2005, 04:35:01 AM »
Very good Tharma.

Great!
Yes, I have read Charles writings about volatility, which is quite a complex subject.
I understand you have to guess very much in regard to the volatility 1 month ahead.
Here are the facts of today:
Lundin latest at strike 94.50
Historical Volatility ( 30 day) 58.7
(I understand this to be an average for the underlying stock)
Implied Volatility Average 63
(all options for the underlying)
Last month the 95 call had a HIGH: 44, Low:3, Last: 37
I understand this to be VERY volatile (almost extreme to what I have seen before)
So how do you put all this together, Tharma?
My impression, would be this
The Oil has been dramatically volatile due to the shocking increase in the price of Oil.
If Oil continues to rise, the price might explode, and my targets will be well ITM.
So I would be prepared to roll the whole spread up as the price shoots higher, being prepared for later corrections at some point.
Correct analysis or did I miss anything, Tharma?
All the best!
/Bengt

Checklist
Reply \#5 on: September 05, 2005, 05:02:21 AM *
Hi Bengt
You are very welcome
It would be easier for me to see if you are missing anything if you use the 5 questions I outlined in my previous message and write some specific answers to each question as best as you can. This will allow me to give you a better answer.

The 5 questions were:

1. What is the predicted directional move and the predicted magnitude of this move and what time period do you expect this move to occur? (Remember this is only what your prediction is today whether you are right or worng only time will tell)
2. What is the current IV (you answered this first part) and how do I expect the IV to change between now and option expiry?
3. Based on my directional and IV predictions as outlined in $1 \& 2$ above what types of option structures could I consider?- I gave you 3 possible examples above of option structures that could be considered in the bullish case example you provided in the previous message.
4. When I compare the Greek risk profile of these option structures which one do I like the best and why?
5. Am I happy with the overall reward: risk profile in my chosen option structure?

Don't worry if you do not feel your answers are right the important thing is to have a systematic approach in your thinking when you start to analyse an option trading opportunity.
By the way did you enjoy the World Athletics held in your part of the world recently!
kind regards
Tharma

## Checklist

$>$ It would be easier for me to see if you are missing anything if you use the 5 questions I outlined in my previous message and write some specific answers to each question as best as you can. This will allow me to give you a better answer.
>The 5 questions were:
$>1$. What is the predicted directional move and the predicted magnitude of this move and what time period do you expect this move to occur? (Remember this is only what your prediction is today whether you are right or worng only time will tell).

Ok, I thought I was clear about targets
Around 105 in OCT
Around 115 in NOV
>2. What is the current IV ( you answered this first part) and how do I expect the IV to change between now and option expiry?
I also showed this in my previous reply:
I expect at least the price has a possability to explode..."
volatility I do not know.
I understand volatility as the more the market is unsure about the future...the more volatility jumps up and down..
Correct?
I have no clue as to the value of implied volatility, 1 or 2 months from now.
What do you think it could be Tharma?
>3. Based on my directional and IV predictions as outlined in $1 \& 2$ above what types of option structures could I consider
I gave you 3 possible examples above, of option structures that could be considered in the bullish case example you provided in the previous message
Ok
>4. When I compare the Greek risk profile of these option structures which one should I like the best and why?
Could you explain how you relate and value the influence and importants of each Greek.
$>5$. Am I happy with the overall reward: risk profile in my chosen option structure?
Ok, I understand this.
Like I said I think to hit the target for OCT ATM 105
and maybe buy spread with target a little higher, like 120 in NOV.
>Don't worry if you do not feel your answers are right the important thing is to have a systematic approach in your thinking when you start to analyse an option trading opportunity.
This I totally agree...like I tell my children,,,whats the point of going to school if you allready know everything = is allways right
Learning is part of the life journey...thanks for helping in that journey, Tharma! :-)
>By the way did you enjoy the World Athletics held in your part of the world recently
es we did...but from a distance 400 km to the North, watching TV at the grandparents. :-
Lucky us as it was flooding with rain in Helsinki.

Checklist
«Reply \#7 on: September 06, 2005, 03:03:27 AM
Hi Bengt,
Becasue there are a lot of variables to consider let us take a simple example after we review the answers to each of the questions I asked you.
Q1. You have a price target of 105 by October expiry so you are quite bullish. So we know we need a bullish trade and we know that if we use October options they would profit if the stock got close to the prediction of 105 .

Q2. IV at the moment is high as one would expect in this stock because the market is very uncertain about the fair price and because of the large recent moves in this instrument. Now you say that you have no idea about volatility in 1-2 months so another way of saying this is that you don't want to have an option structure that will expose you to a low level of volatility risk. So this is another bit of information we know you need to be reflected in the option structure that you choose.

Q3. A simple structure that will reflect your bullish directional stance could be a bullish call vertical like a:
1.90/95 call vertical
2.95/100 call vertical
$3.100 / 105$ call vertical
How could you decide between each of these different bullish verticals, well, it really is a function of the risk vs. the reward. The 90/95 vertical will make you the least profits and carry the most risk, but statistically it is also the trade (out of all the verticals mentioned above) that has the highest probability. the $100 / 105$ vertical will make you the most profit, has the least risk but has a low probability of making you this profit. This is what I meant when I was talking about the risk: reward profile of each trade (Q5). Risk is what your maximum loss is and the reward is what your maximum profit is.

Q4. If you want to dissect the risk futher you can see that each of these verticals may have different Greek risks as well and you will may want to know how these greek risks have changed over time.

I would strongly recommment that if you want to really learn about Greeks then you should re-read chapter 3 of CWS. Charles has done a very good discussion on this subject...I have read a few option books but, for me, the CWS book has the best discussion on the option greeks that I have seen.

If you want to really under
verticals in the CWS book.
Personally, I needed to read the book 5 times before I felt confident that Iwas understanding the concepts Charles talks about.
Finally, please let me make this point. I am not giving you specific advice on what trades to do....I have not looked at the stock, I have not looked at the IV charts or the option data tables....rather I am just trying to show you how to structure your thinking in a systematised manner by using these 5 questions to help you to analyse which trades you may like so that you can learn to make your own independent decisions.

Each of us must always remeber that, at the end of the day we are the only one reponsible for our own trading!
Hope this helps. The reading I recommended is important because in options trading I believe one must always remain open to learning and it seems to me that you are open to doing this learning
Good luck!
kind regards
Tharma

## Checklist

«Reply \#8 on: September 07, 2005, 05:42:06 AM
Thanks for your time Tharma
pleases me every day I find a new reply from you! :-)
>Q3. A simple structure that will reflect your bullish directional stance could be a bullish call vertical like a:
$>1.90 / 95$ call vertical
$>2.95 / 100$ call vertical
>3.100/105 call vertical
$>$ How could you decide between each of these different bullish >verticals, well, it really is a function of the risk vs. the reward.
The $90 / 95$ vertical will make you the least profits and carry the >most risk, but statistically it is also the trade (out of all the >verticals mentioned above) that has the highest probability. the
$>100 / 105$ vertical will make you the most profit, has the least >risk but has a low probability of making you this profit. This is >what I meant when I was talking about the risk: reward profile >of each trade (Q5). Risk is what your maximum loss is and the >reward is what your maximum profit is
Does this mean that I should look for something like 95/100
as the medium choise between high/low probability and still reasonably good profit?
$>$ I would strongly recommend that if you want to really learn >about Greeks then you should re-read chapter 3 of CWS. >Charles has done a very good discussion on this subject.. I >have read a few option books but, for me, the CWS book has >the best discussion on the option Greeks that I have seen
>If you want to really understand how the Greeks could >potentially change in the bullish vertical positions described >above I would strongly recommend that you re-read Chapter 5 >on verticals in the CWS book.
sure will read those 2 Tharma
What I am really looking for from Charles is where he monitors a trading change, based on the changed values of Greeks
So as to learn how to relate them to each other.
Which is more/less important depending on the changes in market direction?
>Personally, I needed to read the book 5 times before I felt >confident that I was understanding the concepts Charles talks >about.
plan to read AT LEAST as many times.
$>$ Finally, please let me make this point. I am not giving you >specific advice on what trades to do....I have not looked at the >stock, I have not looked at the IV charts or the option data >tables....rather I am just trying to show you how to structure >your thinking in a systematised manner by using these $5>q u e s t i o n s$ to help you to analyse which trades you may ike so >that you can learn to make your own independent decisions.
Don't worry Tharma.
I am in full control and ALONE 100\% responsible for any active trades on my behalf.
This was just a good example to start with.
I trade $90 \%$ with paper money, safest and still fun! :-)
Also as market changes it is extremely interesting to see how others (like you) more experienced are thinking.
Absolute the best way to learn
have allready asked Charles to consider RD2,3 sessions with us here over in the swedish market
Hopefully we will be enough people to interest him. :-)
or the time being 1 am more then greatful for your time to answer ,Tharma.
Hopefully other readers benefit also.
oing $>$ this learnin
>Good luck!
Thanks Tharma

## Checklist

«Reply \#9 on: September 07, 2005, 08:36:58 AM
Hi Bengt,
You are welcome.
In response to your last email and questions:
"Does this mean that I should look for something like 95/100
as the medium choise between high/low probability
and still reasonably good profit?"
If you are happy with this trade then yes it may be the right one for you. There is no right and wrong answer. Some people like trades with low probability but that win big whilst others like trades with high probability and don't mind winning a smaller amount. Ask yourself what do you like?

Good to hear you are going to re-read the CWS book, you will find it will make a lot of difference.
Glad to help.
kind regards
Tharma

## dministrator <br> Hero Member

## Checklist

Great quesions and great answers, I am responding to this by Blackberry so I am not going to say a lot but there is not much to add, lucky for me e
The 3 above choices are also about time on your side or not for the moment. The ATM is niether when the underlying is between the strikes.
Another thing is that keep in mind that you may not wish to with the initial strategy for the whole ride or for the whole period because you may want to adjust.
Here is a dissection of the proposed position posted on September 2nd:


You propose trading 120 contracts in OCT that can be achieved with 80
You have chosen a complicated method to achieve a si,ple bull spread. You have only chosen the 75 puts because they were cheap but you realize the cheaper and lower you select a put is in effect buying a wider bull spread.

I think it is too convoluted to grap spreads on both months. Most people want more time but you can achieve a similar, equally desirable gamma and vega and theta with a vertical involving simply 2 strikes in a single month. If NOV is where you think the big move will take place then do it all in NOV.
Think about a 50 or 60 lot (or even less) vertical in NOV that matches the Greeks of your proposed trade. Perhaps we can save you a few commissions and a few negative "edges" (giving the market makers their fee in the way of bid/ask spreads).

Great discussion!

## Bengt Options Literature

«on: August 22, 2005, 06:48:51 AM »
Hello friends.
What would you recomend as a allcovering reference litterature in option trading. I allready have Risk\$doctors excellent CWS.
Which of these would provide some or any more insights:
All taken from the ref.list in
The Four Biggest Mistakes IN OPTION TRADING by JAY KAEPPEL
Prices might be old, and I am sure there are newer books (maybe better) on the topic. Please update us over here in Europe, who don't have access to (or time to read) all the
american book reviews. american book reviews.
I have a guts feeling that those around or above $50 \$$ are well worth the money! Right? But are they all covering each other?
Warm greetings from Finland

## /Bengt de Paulis

## SUGGESTED READING LIST

MCMILLAN ON OPTIONS, Lawrence G. McMillan, Almost 600 pages from the world's leading expert on options gives a complete game plan for trading options. Here are McMillan's greatest strategies complete with precise instructions on how and when to use them. It's the definitive source for profitable option players.
570 pp \$69.95 Item \#T155X-2678
OPTIONS AS A STRATEGIC INVESTMENT, 3RD EDITION Lawrence G. McMillan, It's the top selling options book of all time. Over 800 pages of exhaustive coverage on every aspect of trading options. Called the single most important options reference available, this mammoth work teaches you to: track volatility and the key role it plays for traders; learn rules for entering/exiting trades at optimal levels, build a successful trading plan. Plus, must-read sections on LEAPS, CAPS, PERCS and cutting edge risk abatement techniques.
884 pp \$49.95 Item \#T155X-2836
THE OPTION ADVISOR, Bernie Schaeffer, This renowned options expert reveals the proven wealth-building techniques for selecting the right stocks, assessing risk, managing your options portfolio and??most importantly??for reading market timing indicators. In terms everyone can understand he provides solid ideas on how to use options effectively for conservative and aggressive traders.
$316 \mathrm{pp} \$ 59.95$ Item \#T155X-5390
NEW OPTION SECRET VOLATILITY, David Caplan, Uncovers practical strategies for using the most important variable in option pricing volatility to exploit and profit from the options market. Special section explains how gurus like Natenberg, Najarian, Trester and others use volatility to their benefit.

THE NEW OPTIONS ADVANTAGE, David Caplan presents proven strategies that can give you an edge in any market. Read about a no-loss, cost-free hedging method to protect profits, how to recognize and use under/over valued options, how to prevent the most common causes of loss.
$245 \mathrm{pp} \$ 45.00$ Item \#T155X-2861

OPTIONS FOR THE STOCK INVESTOR, James B. Bittman explains how to use stock options safely and effectively, and how to integrate options into a long-term investment program. Learn time-proven strate-gies that add value to any investor's portfolio and tactics for investors with varying risk tolerances and goals. Topics include: Basic option strategies, Understanding price behavior, selling options on the and goals. Topics include: Basic option strategies, Understanding 225pp \$29.95 Item \#T155X-2419

OPTION VOLATILITY AND PRICING STRATEGIES, Sheldon Natenberg Updated new edition tells you how to identify mispriced options and con-struct volatility and delta neutral spreads used by the pros. Using a non-technical trading approach, he leads the reader into the real world of option trading and applies his well developed pricing and volatility theories into practical, tradable strategies.
392 pp \$50.00 Item \#T155X-3009
OPTIONS ESSENTIAL CONCEPTS \& STRATEGIES, 2ND EDITION, Options Institute Expert advice from the mecca of options educa-tion, the CBOE??s Option Institute. Each chapter focuses on a different essential for trading options. Part 1 covers option basics - what they are, how they're priced, how to trade them and pick a strategy. Part 2 contains prac-tical advice for building a trading system - plus when to buy, sell and time trades, and applying the right strategy to current market conditions. The final section, Real Time Applications? shows how to apply specific indica-tors to real world case studies.
THE COMPLETE OPTION PLAYER, 3RD EDITION SAVE 50\%
Ken Trester, Perfect for those get into this market with limited capital, minimal risk and the possibility of spectacular profits. Profitable strategies that exploit little known discrepancies in option pricing, and other 432 pp Item \#T155X-2882 \$29.95 now \$15

DEMARK ON DAY TRADING OPTIONS: Using Options to Cash in on the Day Trading Phenomenon, Tom De Mark.
The first book to combine the excitement of day trading with the continuing and growing popularity of options trading - using DeMark's specially developed indicators and techniques. Discover DeMark's option trading variable - the missing link to trading options successfully. Plus, selection best options to day trade, commonly used day trading methods - and more.
$358 \mathrm{pp} \$ 34.95$ Item \#T155X-10450
CONSERVATIVE INVESTOR'S GUIDE TO TRADING OPTIONS
Leroy Gross - Foreword by Larry McMillan, Lots of safe and
profitable options strategies for conservative investors. Plus, a full section of aggressive strategies for those wiling to take slightly bigger risks. Win a new introduction by options guru Larry McMilian, you' $200 \mathrm{pp} \$ 34.95$ Item \#T155X-10267

TRADING INDEX OPTIONS, James B. Bittman, Proven techniques -minus all the math! New Book/Disk combo features the basics of index options - including spreads, how to match strategies with forecasts, alternatives for losing positions, and the importance of price behavior and volatility. Software included provides multiple pricing and graphing options.
312 pp \$34.95 Item \#T155X-2300
GETTING STARTED IN OPTIONS, 3RD EDITION, Michael Thomsett, This newly updated primer demystifies options for the individual investor. Great reference source for pros, and a hands-on starting point for new traders.


Ugusti 2005 (2005-08-19)
Lösen 60,00 NOK15H60 70,00 NOK15H70 80,00 NOK15H80 90,00 NOK15H30 95,00 NOK15H95 100,00 NOK15H100 11000 NOKI5H105 115,00 NOK15H115 120,00 NOK 15 H 120. 125,00 NOK 15 H 125 130,00 NOK15H130 5,88
135,00 NOK15H135
140,00 NOK 15 H 140
145,00 NOK15H145 150,00 NOK15H150

| Köp | Sälj | Senast | Högst | Lägst | Volym | Tid |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17:19 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17:19 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17:19 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17:19 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - |  | 17:19 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - |  | 17:19 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17:19 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17:19 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17:19 |
|  | 10,00 | 11,50 | 10,25 | 10,25 | 10,00 | 70 | 18:51 |
|  | 6,75 | 8,25 | 7,25 | 7,25 | 6,75 | 40 | 18:51 |
| 5,88\% | 4,00 | 5,50 | 4,50 | 4,50 | 4,50 | 30 | 18:51 |
|  | - | - | 2,75 | 2,75 | 2,75 | 20 | 18:51 |
|  | - | - | , |  | - | - | 17:19 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17:19 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17:19 |

Lösen Namn Förändring Köp Sälj Senast Högst Lägst Volym Tid 85,00 NOK15K85 95,00 NOK15K95 100,00 NOK15K100 -
105,00 NOK15K105 70,14\%
110,00 NOK15K110 -
115,00 NOK15K115 1,4 120,00 NOK 15 K 120 125,00 NOK15K125 11,11\%
18:51
130,00 NOK15K130 -
135,00 NOK15K135
145,00 NOK15K145
150,00 NOK15K150
femruari 2006 (2006-02-17)
Lösen Namn Förändring Köp
70,00 NOK16B70
80,00 NOKI6B80
90,00 NOK16B90
100,00 NOK16B100 -
110,00 NOK16 110 -
120,00 NOK16B120 -
130,00 NOK16B130 -
140,00 NOKIGE140 -
150,00 NOK16B150 -
170,00 NOKL6E170-
190,00 NOK6E190
maj 2006 (2006-05-19)
Lösen Namn Förändring Köp
105,00 NOK16E105
115,00 NOK16E115
115,00 NOKI6E115
125,00 NOKI6E125
125,00 NOKI6E135 -
145,00 NOKI6E145 -
femruari 2007 (2007-02-16)
Lösen Namn Förändring Köp
90,00 NOK17B90
100,00 NOK17B100
110,00 NOK17B110
120,00 NOKI7B120 -
130,00 NOKI7B130
140,00 NOK17B140

## NOKIA

«Reply \#1 on: May 23, 2005, 06:06:38 AM »
Hey Bengt,
You guessed it pretty good. Mostly OTM Vertical call spreads (maybe some diagonals occasionally) because because you can achieve your $100 \%$ target objective without suffering the severe time decay of a naked call. An ATM Vertical can double in value as well. However, you are, perhaps missing some opportunities to profit by ignoring ITM Verticals (think of it as an OTM put credit vertical spread) when time could be on your side. The only negative aspect of that is that you cannot make $100 \%$ or more because you would be risking "more" to make "less". It is just that the "less" is a higher probability less.

Naked calls don't make too much sense only because you, personally (and most investors), won't (can't) stay for the ride to unlimited gain potential. So it becomes a wasted luxury that few will use (they get out too soon). Perhaps you can learn to let $10 \%$ of your position ride, naked, and work your way up to a fuller size as profits dictate.

## Charles

## NOKIA

«Reply \#2 on: August 22, 2005, 07:09:51 AM 》
Thank you for the nice responce.
When (or more to the point what signs to look for) would a diagonal be better then a vertical?
quote:
Using a diagonal spread, is simply another way to modify a bull vertical spread or bear vertical spread and for a trader to optimize his or her market objectives based on an analysis of implied volatility levels.

## unquote

So what analysis of IV would suggest a diagonal in front of a "normal" bull vertical?
And how do you think when you are considering the vertical?
What strike prices for the short put and long call. I understand they should be different in a diagona (otherwice it would be a normal time spread)
/Bengt

## NOKIA

Reply \#3 an:August 30, 2005, 10:28:10 AM 》 put credit spread if IV was near resistance

The diagonal could be used when IV is particularly low (buy back month sell front month) and you could boost your upside for extra profits from vega

Bengt Volatility and Pricing $\begin{aligned} & \text { «on: August 19, 2005, 11:39:28 PM » }\end{aligned}$
I have been studying volatility for the Swedish market for some time.

Now I would like to have feedback on how the relationship between these four volatilites should be evaluated:
A. The underlying stocks volatility
B. The underlying stocks historical volatility
C. The options implicit volatility
D. The options historical implicit volatility
E. Any other volatility that should be evaluated...(TIX)?

If you have these 4 values (or as charts)
how do you value their relationsship?
I.e. in what combinations of high and low values would you take a position, and when would you stay out? Regards
/Bengt
Finland

## Ri\$k Doctor Volatility and Pricing

«Reply \#1 on: August 21, 2005, 08:12:08 PM »
Volatilities often have nothing to do with the predictability of the markets behavior. It is a force of supply and demand for markets behavior. It is a force of supply and demand fo options premium. The word imply is an "implication"...a
collective guess by the market and an accommodation by the market makers in letting the order flow of the options move to where it hurts them the least in accumulating inventory.

Notice the Chart below from ivolatility.com showing the gold line of IV for Nokia (NOK). The blue line was the actual volatility of the stock movement. Every quarter, regardless of how the stock is behaving, it seems as though the market makers let the buying pressure pump its way up for NOK's earnings announcements (they offer a thin amount of premium all the way up and increase their short accumulation at resistance levels. They average in at higher vols (ostensibly losers but getting the positive theta). After the news comes out, they crush the Implied Volatility. Last month GOOG was down $\$ 17$ on earnings and all the out of the money puts were down on the day.

NOK's IV is rather low right now but look at its chart pattern using a Diamonetric Grid to show you the likely expiration level.


That is why the OCT $15.00 / 17.50$ strangle is only .60 . $=30 \mathrm{HV}=\mathrm{WV}$ Index Mean



It seems pretty cheap but it is probably going out worthless.
I had another set of questions relating to implied volatility and they are pasted below.
A calendar question: I have begun trading $>100 \%$ reward/risk?
RD: Looking to more than double your money, I assume.
? Calendars with 15-30 days to expiration on the short option and the long option being the next month (Ex. June/July) with a low IV differential, less than $15 \%$...
RD: It is more beneficial to look for IV differences that are favorable (meaning the vol being purchased is less than the Vol being sold but not always because as you said, over all IV has to be near its all time lows to be attractive. The "less than $15 \%$ " you are looking for, I would assume you mean to say that the month you are buying should be no more than 15\%higher than the one you are selling. That seems pretty high han the front month because the vega (sensitivity to implied volatility changes) is greater. And this vega difference becomes greater the more time between expirations. It can also be said of a wide calendar that even if the Vol in the short dated month increases more than the further dated month that the spread can still be profitable only because the vega may have 3 or 4 or 5 times the sensitivity of an expiring option.
??The trades are closed on the expiration of the short option or where the price wanders out of the breakevens prior to expiration??

RD: Theoretically, the calendar's break-even point is the cost of the spread plus the strike, in the case of a call, and subtracted from the strike, in the case of a put, but realistically most roll it before expiration or get out. So the points you speak of are arbitrary as far as the decision point of when to exit.
?(There is usually another calendar on track to replace it.) The idea is to capture the highest monthly return with $>100 \%$ possibility on each calendar trade at the lowest risk.
RD: I think you mean $>100 \%$ profit because possibility, rather, probability is a totally different subject and is quite a subjective conversation that can fill a chapter in a book. Read Natenburg and McMillan.

RD: What I think you are asking is in reference to rolling and if you have a calendar with one or more expirations between the two initiated months then you have the opportunity if prices are right (in your case you are looking to double your money by the time of the first roll and have on the remaining configuration on for free for opportunity at the next roll to profit and allowing for perhaps another roll to take event more out of the trade. Hey, if the stock stays in the right range you should have no problem but the risk is the stock goes to visit a completely different trading range leaving your spread in the dust and no rolling opportunities.
??I open new $100 \%$ R/R calendars on or about expiration date each month. My objective is a $20 \%+$ account return monthly. That is $743 \%$ for the year:

RD: That is a very ambitious return and I think that kind of a performance would put you on the cover of every magazine (even cooking magazines) in the world.

Most hedge fund managers look to earn $2 \%$ to $3 \%$ per month and occasionally score $8 \%$ to $10 \%$ on occasion but you have to remember that there will be down months to average out the annual return. I think you have to reassess what you think you??re getting into because you have to be able to forecast the market accurately a lot and then put on the strategy the milks the profits efficiently to make that kind of money.
??My question is: do you perceive this as a viable strategy and what is the downside, or, can you recommend some other high return, low risk calendar strategy to achieve like objectives?

RD: The calendar is a good, safe solid, money producer when managed effectively. Other range trades include diagonals which add a directional (bull or bear spread ) component to the picture (risk profile). Bull and bear spreads (verticals) are also good for consistent profits and when combined create wing spreads (butterflies and condors) which also capture time premium (also ratioing verticals). Calendarizing wing spreads goes for similar profits that calendars try to achieve with spreads like straddle/strangle swaps and double diagonals. Bigger profits can be made by having extra wings (costs more so risks more) in certain scenarios for the big moves.

* I have been in a Put Calendar where I over adjusted my position, the lesson for me was I should have waited. Are there any rules or conditions you have learned over the years for adjusting trades?
RD: I don't exactly understand what you mean by 'over adjusted' but you cannot have an automatic pilot approach. You will develop your own automatic dos and don'ts? but that comes out of your experiences and your learning to ask yourself an extremely important question when in a position. The question comes up at every moment you are in a position and especially when you are thinking of exiting or ad on . that you would get in now, then stay in the position, If no then you need to get out or adjust. But when you adjust you have to look at the resulting position freshly and objectively at the going value (again you adjust you have to look at the resulting position freshly and objectively at the going value (again that way. Look for a different adjustment. Try to clear the slate and determine that if you had nothing on, what would you put on? If there is nothing you would put on it is time to exit whether it is a profit or a loss or a break even. Don??t try to be cute and cover your commissions or anything because you will be becoming penny wise and pound foolish.
* Can you provide 3 key things to look for in terms of considering Volatility (Imp and Historic) before putting on a trade?

RD: When looking to buy calendars, whether it is part of a diagonal or a calendarized wing spread:

1. Make sure the IV is near historic lows or has trending support because the vega in the deferred month can hurt you if IV drops significantly (even if your short closer dated month drops more) 2. Check to see what pending events the company, sector or the economy has to announce that may be attracting you to the trade because the differences between expirations can be a situation of spreading apples to cows when you want apples to apples.
to $7 \%$ of your portfolio on anyone trade, and be willing and comfortable to lose it so that the market cannot scare you out of the trade. And do what the trade was intended to do: let time be on your side.

## Volatility and Pricing

Reply \#2 on: August 21, 2005, 10:46:59 pM
thounds to me there is a possible sure win (?) in the Nokia volatility graph
If I assume the price of underlying Nokia stock is going up (2005), the weeks before quarterly report, I could:

1. Use bull spread to ride the up-wave before Q report, sell all the day (days) before the report.
2. Switch that money into a volatility spread, straddle or strangle with each leg at the strike. And profit from the $100 \%$ sure coming up or down-move of price as volatility is crushed.
3. What do you suggest as the most profitable position
if you know for sure this IV will be crushed?
Right thinking? or anything I have missed/misunderstood?
Warm greeting from a Finland in beautiful autumn colors!
/Bengt

Ri\$k Doctor Volatility and Pricing
Volatility and Pricing
«Reply \#3 on: August 30, 2005, 10:14:07 AM »
Never say, "Sure thing"
Below is a Diamonetric Grid overlaying the IV chart.


I don't see the correlation, you speak of, between NOK rallying into quarterly earnings reports. I see IV rallying into the report and then getting crushed. The stock has done ups and downs leading to reports.

You have a lot of time until the earnings report so in the meantime
I would anticipate the expiration range and only play it bullish near support or bearish near resistance, based upon the D -Grids, in anticipation of NOK heading for that forecasted range. Basically bullish or bearish means verticals.

Then I would choose an OTM debit spread if IV was near support or an OTM credit spread if IV was near resistance to manifest my bullishness or bearishness.



[^0]:    Ri\$k Doctor
    Administrator

