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## Transparency I ssue 2

on: July 17, 2005, 08:22:10 PM "
The BoxTool Shows Something Worth Knowing
The following presentation will use the BoxTool to demonstrate why the supposedly attractive criteria of executing a certain kinds of spread is really a joke.
Be warned: One famous Options Seminar / Advisory / Scanning Software / School touts spreads like the following and BTW the finds are usually in underlying stocks whose individual options markets?? (the bid/ask spreads) are way too wide to trade on. Hard to get in and harder to get out.

Attractive Back spread criteria:

1) A stock that can really move
2) Overall implied volatility levels are reasonably low
3) Overall implied volatiifity levels are reason.
4) The options to sell have greater implied volatility than the ones being bought.

MMM is a stock that can really move:


Overall implied volatility levels are reasonably low (not the lowest ever).
 VVIndex Call VVIndex P.t. VVIndex Call\& Put |VIndex Mean

$=300$ Hi $=$ IVIndex Mean

The spread can be executed for a credit.


The NOV $85 / 80$ Put ( $+2 * 80$ P by $-1 * 85$ P) Back spread for a credit of about .45 or so by buying 4.20 s and selling at 8.85 (assuming middles knowing 8.85 not a tradable price):

The options to sell have greater implied volatility than the ones being bought. The two 80 Puts that are being bought have an IV of less than $21 \%$ for each 85 Put being sold with an IV of almost $27 \%$ So what's the problem?

1) The spread is synthetically a 4.55 debit and that is the most you could lose if the stock settles at 80.00 on expiration day. Meaning you have to like the idea of buying an ITM put for 4.20 and a call vertical for . 35 (when a naked call is only .40). 2) Not too wise to sell the 85 call at . 05 (synthetically that is what is being achieved on the vertical (especially because very little proceeds net of commissions).


## Trade Allocation

on: July 17, 2005, 08: 39:44 PM ,
You can probably recall that I mentioned that options are more for Week-Trading or Month-Trading and that would be a difficult transition to make for day traders unless they had a framework from to build an overall game plan

Realistically, you put an options trade on and have wait a few days, at least, because it is a play for a longer time horizon and a different trend dynamic (Sidewaysish or Explosivish in addition to Bullish or Bearish plays).

Unfortunately, people believe what they want to hear. For example, an instructor that I know used to say in his presentations that he often legs butterflies for credits. Of course this simply means that he had a hunch and was correct. He would buy a call vertical (bull spread), the market would rally and later when the adjacent vertical just above his original strikes was trading at a greater value than what he paid for the bull spread, he would do the bear spread resulting in a butterfly for a credit. What the student hears is that you can put butterflies on for credits (can only make money) and subsequently enters a bunch of orders do
just that. He is left to wonder why none of his orders get filled. In the early 80 s we could do it on the Philly, but today??s market maker would ignore the order

## Model Portfolio of Options Only Strategie

Objective to Achieve $25 \%$ per Annum
Ideal world: Win when you?? re right and win when you are wrong. Can this be done? High probability trades that take advantage of time erosion.
Also nice: Never getting scared out of a trade. How? Limited risk spreading
Certain spreads have sort of, built-in stops. But what is better is that you??re not actually ??out? of the market, meaning that if the market moves back your way, you can get back some or all of the loss and even better, win.
Out of the 20 -plus options spread strategies only about 4 or combinations of 2 or more of the 4 are worth trading. You are more than half way home when a position has a high probability of winning (more ways to win than lose), never getting scared out of a trade. That is my belief but there are those that believe keeping it simple by just buying calls when you are bullish and buying puts when your bearish a better way to go,
and return I suggest a diversified approach of carrying 10 or so simultaneous strategies limited risk strategies:
3 Bullish candidates
3 Sidewaysish candidates
1 Explosivish candidate or 1 Cheap shot lottery ticket type play occasionally.
For example, as a rough guideline, put no more than $7 \%$ of your account at risk on any one play. For a $\$ 25,000$ account that means no more than risking $\$ 175$ per trade. In the table below, the size per trade (qty) is rounded down to the
earest whole number and it is assumed a round turn commission at the rate of
what you look for but it will vary so adjust the size accordingly.
$\$ 1,750$ Trade Description ( $\$ 5.00$ strike widths) Oty Price RTComm MaxLoss

|  |  |  |  |  | per spd / Tota |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Bull Credit Spread | 5 | 1.80Credit | \$50 | \$320 | \$500/\$2500 | \$1,650 |
| 1 Bull Credit Spread | 5 | 1.80Credit | \$50 | \$320 | \$500/\$2500 | \$1,650 |
| 1 Bull Credit Spread | 5 | 1.80Credit | \$50 | \$320 | \$500/\$2500 | \$1,650 |
| 1 Bear Credit Spread | 5 | 1.80Credit | \$50 | \$320 | \$500/\$2500 | \$1,650 |
| 1 Bear Credit Spread | 5 | 1.80 Credit | \$50 | \$320 | \$500/\$2500 | \$1,650 |
| 1 Bear Credit Spread | 5 | 1.80 Credit | \$50 | \$320 | \$500/\$2500 | \$1,650 |
| 1 Iron WingSpread | 7 | 2.60 Credit | \$104 | \$240 | \$500/\$3500 | \$1,784 |
| 1 Calendar Spreads | 4 | 1.00 Debit | \$44 | \$400 | \$100/\$400 | \$ 444 |
| 1 Double Diagonal | 2 | 3.00 Debit | \$44 | \$800 | \$500/\$1000 | \$1,644 |
| 1 Naked Call or Put or Strangle | 4 | 4.00Debit | \$32-\$44 | \$400 | \$400/\$1600 | \$1,644 |
| 1 Cheap Shot Double Butterflies | 14 | 50 Debit | \$188-\$356 | \$100 | \$100/1400 | \$1,756 |

## Total at Risk - 7\% of Account on any one play (You could start with half of that and add at better prices) $\$ 18,372$ Reserve for Adjustments

## Discussion from RD3:

13:01:21 \{K3\} Last week you mentioned that we all someday want to increase the size of our positions to much larger. What would change in our analysis and selection if our position size in bfly for example would go from 10 contracts per side to 100 per side. I know I would change my analysis
\{Ri\$k Doctor\} As your profits grow consistently, you want to increase your size commensurately with it and retract size when in a trading slump.
13:02:39 \{K3\} Just a couple of thoughts, and I know these are inconsistencies in psychology, but I would probably trade larger bflys in very liquid markets primarily indexes and be happy with smalle profits on the larger position.
\{Ri\$k Doctor\} That represents an adjustment to your strategy/methodology. As you develope consistency then increase.
13:02:59 \{drsynthetic\} Odds don't change when size does only perceptions of reality. A good hand is a good hand for a buck or a million.
\{Ri\$k Doctor\} Agree
13:04:50 \{K3\} I don't think the size can change in a straight linear fashion
13:05:11 \{K3\} As a market maker, how did size influence your trading.
\{Ri\$k Doctor\} I new what I knew and what I did not know. As I became more confident that what I was doing was cocnsistently profiting, I increased to achieve the same objective, multiplied.
13:06:39 \{K3\} Yes, but when all of us might not take a profit on a bfly for . 35 on ten contracts I know I would look differently at that . 35 on a hundred contract bfly.
13:08:23 \{Tharma\} K3, I think you are right in saying that there are inconsistencies in your psychology possibly. Sometimes if you are trading larger sizes and taking smaller profits you might be fundamentally changing your own trading rules for what is cheap optiona and what you may consider an expensive option. This may mean your whole trading style may be changing because your trading fundamentally changing your own trading rules for what is cheap optiona and what you may
\{Ri\$k Doctor\} Tharma: I could not have said it better.
13:11:00 \{K3\} Yes but the size affects how the position feels when you are right or wrong.
\{Ri\$k Doctor\} Revamping your methodology to going for a . 35 ish profits as opposed to your current consciousness of going for a buck or two represents a big shift. You need to prove to yourself for a while with an even smaller size than you currently use to see if you can do it because your timeframe is greatly reduced as well. You are getting closer to 'day-trading'. Your going from 'month-trading' down to perhaps 'week-trading', which is fine but recognize it for what it is, perfect your methods, develop a track record with this new trading tool (you will still use the longer timeframe butterflies at your urrent size and increase as your track reord warants). Consider it a

13:12:34 \{Tharma\} you might only be focusing on what you need to make a certain earnings target is this correct?
13:10:36 \{Ravi\} Is there an issue here of \% return and dollar return?
13:11:44 \{K3\} Ravi: Great point, make $10 \%$ a good return unless it is on a thousand compared to $10 \%$ on one hundred thousand
13: 12:41 \{K3\} The reason I mention this is when we review the different positions, I find myself reacting to the trades differently.
13:13:10 \{janus\} I would have thought the main difference other than psychology is the mechanics of getting fills for small vs larger positions.
13: 14:21 \{janus\} Can you go after 0.35 profit on larger positions due to better fills/less commission impact?
$\{$ Ri\$k Doctor\} janus: At the same commission structure it would not be a huge factor but your bargaining power for cheaper rates can make a difference. Also a . 05 edge on 100 spreads is more attractive to a market maker than .10 on 10 spreads. Good point.
13:18:18 \{K3\} The size issue transforms the percentage issue into total dollars returned issue.

## hlala <br> Posts: 36

8 回

## Trade Allocation

his is a very interesting and informative discussion, I was of the opinion that if we used a \% profit/loss figure we could be more consistent in taking off/leaving on positions whether the position size解 n ers version could be set up to see different peoples' reaction / strategies to loosing / winning money. For the winner, "Obviously fear or greed ain't a factor for you" would be quite a fitting saying

Trade Allocation
Personally，I have never agreed with the percentage profit／loss rules because I think it makes you lose track of the bigger picture．I look to put on plays based on forecasting an expiration range． Let？？s say that a particular strategy could sometimes cost ． 50 or 1.00 or 2.00 ．Let？？s also say that I make the trade for .50 debit．With the ？？ $100 \%$ Profit Rule？？，if it goes to 1.00 ，making $100 \%$ ，then I would have to get out．However，that 1.00 debit（to buy anew）may be desirable entry point．That is to say，it is desirable to keep the money where it is，to continue the play．

This is why I prefer to look at each situation and ask myself at each juncture，＂Would I do the trade at the current price？＂If I would put the trade on at 1.00 ，what would be the point of liquidating it at perhaps 1.90 and reentering it at perhaps 2.10 just so I can follow the arbitrary $100 \%$ rule？Unnecessary commissions and edges to give up．
Trade Allocation
mber 03，2005，09：12：43 PM »
This is a combination of questions which could be split under different discussion topics，but since I started it in this forum，I＇ll continue with it here．
agree that its no point in giving up the edge just to exit and re－enter（if the trade still appealed to you）based on \％profit exits．But，when increasing your position size how do you keep the consistency＂in mind＂．．．．．by that I mean when you＇re trading a smaller account size and putting positions of $\$ 1000$ on you make a profit of $\$ 1000$ ，a $100 \%$ return，now when increasing the position size to $\$ 5000$ ，if just looking at the dollar amount it could be quite tempting to look at a $\$ 1000$ profit and be inclined to take it off in fear of it loosing that gain，but when you look at a $\%$ gain its only a $20 \%$ return．I would be more confident to leave my trade on based on this ROI value and also the fact that I used to achieve results greater than $20 \%$ prior to increasing my position size．

Now you probably will be asking how my methodology was when putting on smaller positions and how I was comfortable leaving on a position that had only a $20 \%$ profit when it could go higher or lower o put us on the same base，I have been paper trading over the past couple months and back testing calendars and fly＇s．I take a profit as the market dictates，rather than a set \％profit stop，ie mostly th trade is on until the very last day before expiration if the trade is in its profitable range，looking at the dollar value but not tempted to take it off due to the relatively small profit in dollar terms，eg $\$ 600$ profit on a $\$ 1000$ trade，a $60 \%$ ROI when the max potential for the trade is a $160 \%$ ROI or $\$ 1600$ ．
haven？？t looked at my positions from the point：examining the price everyday and thinking whether you＇d put on the trade at those prices，if that＇s the case leaving it on，if not taking it off or adjusting．．．．Actually this just hit me if you wouldn？？t do it for those prices you adjust，I was only thinking off only taking off the position and this lead me to think that I would take off the positions very frequently as the stock moved up and down but within the profit range and hence this idea didn？？t catch on quicker

This is leading me to two important points
1．Increasing the Reward to Risk of the trade in the first instance，would lead to the possibility of fewer adjustments when the stock moves up and down within the breakevens of the fly（if the market utlook is still similar to what it was at the initiation of the trade），as the prices at which the fly is currently at（more expensive than what it was put on for）is also a desirable entry point．You would have noticed that most of the language is future tense，that？？s because I haven？？t reached a stage where I have done it，but I＇m being proactive and trying to think what I would come across when I do．

2．The point about adjustments：At what point do you start？At what point do you stop？

You look at a position＇s prices every day and ask yourself if you would put the trade at its current state，on in the first instance，if not you look at adjusting or＂taking it off＂？
Looking at a fly on INFY I have as a paper trade at the moment，the current price of the Fly is for a debit of $\$ 260$ ，a max ROI of $\$ 240$ or $92 \%$ ．I wouldn？？t put on this trade in the first instance so it？？s ime for adjustment．Is this the way you look at your positions？I have noticed that you look at predicting future expirations price ranges and hence the possibility of buying cheap fly？？s is much greater解

Risk Graph of INFY fly I put on AUG 22
INFOSYS TECHNOLOGIES ADS（INFY）Option Trade Stack News

| Leg Date | Position | Num | DptSym | Expire | Strike | Type | Entry | Bid／Ask | Model | IV $\%$ | Vol | OI | Days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $08-22-05$ | Bought | 3 | IUNIM | SEPO5 | 65 | Call | 5.5 | $6.6 / 7$ | 6.837 | 38.1 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 193 | 14 |
| $08-22-05$ | Sold | 6 | IUNIN | SEP05 | 70 | Call | 2.15 | $2.4 / 2.6$ | 2.438 | 23.8 | 155 | 933 | 14 |
| $08-22-05$ | Bought | 3 | IUNIO | SEP05 | 75 | Call | 0.5 | $0.35 / 0.4$ | 0.407 | 25.9 | 68 | 770 | 14 |

$\begin{array}{cccccccc}\begin{array}{c}\text { Entry Debit } \\ \text {（Cost）}\end{array} & \text { Profit Max Profit Max Risk } & \text { Delta（Shares）} & \text { Gamma } & \text { Vega } & \text { Theta } \\ \$ 510.00 & \$ 15.00 & \$ 989.90 & \$-510.00 & -93.02 & -29.100 & \$-10.22 & \$ 5.13\end{array}$
Downside Breakeven Upside Breakeven Max Profit／Max Risk Max Profit／Cost
194\％
$194 \%$

Below are the current month (SEP) options for INFY:

| Calls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Puts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trade Num | OpSym | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bid } \\ (p t s) \end{gathered}$ | Ask <br> (pts) | Extrinsic Bid/Ask (pts) | $\begin{gathered} I V \\ \text { Bid } / \text { Ask } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delta } \\ & \text { Bid/A.sk } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Stock Pct to Double Bid/Ask (\%) | Volume | Open Interest | Strike | Trade Num | OpSym | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bid } \\ \text { (pts) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ask } \\ & \text { (pts) } \end{aligned}$ | Extrinsic Bid/Ask (pts) | $\begin{gathered} \text { IW } \\ \text { Bid/Ask } \\ (\%) 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delta } \\ \text { Bid / Ask } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Stack Pct to Double Bid/Ask (\%) | Volume | $\begin{gathered} \text { Open } \\ \text { Interest } \end{gathered}$ |
| 0 | IUNIL | 11.600 | 12.000 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ 60.64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.00 \\ 94.19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.04 \\ & 17.15 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 51 | 60.000 | 0 | IUNUL | 0.000 | 0.150 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ 55.01 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \\ -4.49 \end{array}$ | -16.74 | 0 | 71 |
| 0 | IUNIM | 6.600 | 7.000 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ 38.07 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.00 \\ 91.48 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.07 \\ 10.18 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 193 | 65.000 | 0 | IUNUM | 0.050 | 0.150 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.05 \\ & 0.15 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.84 \\ & 34.83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.21 \\ & -6.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -9.48 \\ -9.76 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 16 | 384 |
| 0 | IUNIN | 2.400 | 2.600 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.70 \\ & 0.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.78 \\ & 27.88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71.45 \\ & 68.82 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.32 \\ & 4.88 \end{aligned}$ | 155 | 933 | 70.000 | 0 | IUNUN | 0.650 | 0.850 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.65 \\ & 0.85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.63 \\ & 28.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -29.16 \\ & -31.62 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.18 \\ & -4.74 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 840 |
|  | Stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71.70 |  | Stack |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | IUNIO | 0.350 | 0.400 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.35 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.61 \\ & 25.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.86 \\ & 20.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.56 \\ & 5.72 \end{aligned}$ | 68 | 770 | 75.000 | 0 | IUNUO | 3.500 | 3.800 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.20 \\ & 0.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.70 \\ & 30.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -83.17 \\ & -75.95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -5.16 \\ & -6.00 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 75 |
| 0 | IUNIP | 0.000 | 0.150 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ 36.33 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 6.86 \end{aligned}$ | 11.99 | 2 | 212 | 80.000 | 0 | IUNUP | 8.100 | 8.500 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ 42.44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -100.00 \\ & -89.64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -11.02 \\ & -12.13 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 5 |

Before we get to what options to adjust with, I??m wondering how many deltas to hedge. The current delta is -93 , so do we just hedge 93 deltas?
In the case we are hedging 93 deltas, we could buy 14 Sep 80 calls for a debit of $\$ 210$ and slippage of $\$ 210$, a delta of 96.04 , making us fairly delta neutral for the current level.
We could buy 5 Sep 75 calls for a debit of $\$ 200$, slippage of $\$ 25$, delta of 100 , again keeping us fairly delta neutral.
I choose the 5 Sep 75 calls for a debit of $\$ 200$, the adjusted trade has a risk graph as below


The new debit for the trade is $\$ 710$, Question: Would I put on this adjusted trade in the first instance: I really don't know, I haven't put on a trade like this to initiate a trade. Also the Theta value is negative, which is exactly the opposite of what we want in a fly. In CWS, you mentioned the trick is to have the negative gamma scalp loose less than the time value gain of the trade. I wonder how this negative, which is exactly the opposite of wh
could be achieved in this position, if it can.

Also, another question: In the case INFY was at 68, the delta would be positive, and in that instance to get delta neutral would you buy a put or short a call? I??m thinking that I wouldn't be comfortable being short, and also it may not be possible anyway because of the margin requirements.
Also, is it possible for us to load pictures/graphs on the forum?

## Trade Allocation

«Reply \#4 on: September 04, 2005, 04:43:03 AM
Hi ohlala,
You asked a lot of very relevant questions. Let me see if I can help with some of these questions
Firstly you asked the question of comparing a dollar return to a percentage return. Even though the $\$ 1000$ return was the same dollar return in your example it was clearly a different percentage return. I think he important point here is that a system where you are exiting with a $20 \%$ profit is very different from a trading system where you are exiting with a $100 \%$ profit . But I think you have realised this yourself in the subsequent discussion you described about looking at the option prices today and making a decision whether the trade looks favourable or not at today's prices.

Dollar returns by themselves can be misleading. We all at some point try to gravitate to using a doliar return because it is easier to measure our financial performance and whether possibly we are meeting our financial targets we set ourselves. But somethimes this can be misleading. Let me give you an example..

Let's take the simplistic scenario where I have a trading system where half the trades are winning trades and half the trades are losing trades. Also assume that my average losing trade amount = $50 \%$ of the trade capital. Now in the system where the average winning trade is $100 \%$ you will make money over time but in the system where the average winning trade is $20 \%$ over time you will lose money, i.e. trade capital. Now in the system where the average winning trade is $100 \%$ you will make money over

Secondly, your question about adjustments, "when do you start and when do you stop" is really a question you have answered yourself. If the prices are attractive for you to stay in the trade stay in, if the prices are making you nervous maybe exit your total position, or exit part of your position through some sort of adjustment. But when are prices attrative and when are prices not attractive? This is a very ndividual question.....because what may be attractive for me may not be attractive for you. Probably, at the extremes of price most people may agree. For example, when an option is priced at 5 c we may all agree that it is too cheap to be short and when an option is priced at $\$ 15$ we may all agree it in this area, our decision may also be based on our perception on the future directional moves in the stock from now till option expiry.

Thirdly, you are right in saying that ATM flys are more expensive than the OTM flys and so the OTM flys have much better reward to risk profiles. However, the market has priced these OTM flys cheaper because it thinks there is lower probability that the stock will finish here. However, if you have some way of predicting that markets will finish in a certain range (like Charles does when he makes his predictions bout the potential range of prices at option expiry using his Diamonetric grid) and if this price range is away from the current stock price than this is a way you can possibly use OTM flys as part of a trading method.

A lot of people (including myself) have thought about legging into the butterfly to reduce our costs and it is a strategy that can theoretically work. Just make sure you are also aware of all the risks inherent in legging in as opposed to putting on the butterfly straight away. For example, what if you never get a chance to finish the legging in process becasue of an adverse price move...how would you deal with thi scenario? Would you be mentally beating yourself up because you did not just put on the whole butterfly in the first place, because now, in retrospect, this would have been the cheaper trade. Charles has written a really good article about the rationale one must go through in deciding when to leg into trades. I could not find it. but I'm sure Charles will let you know.

With regard to your INFY butterfly, if you are not happy with the prices of the butterfly any longer you have 2 options, to either exit the trade or to adjust the trade. If you choose to adjust the trade then you must make sure that today's prices of the adjusted trade are attractive to you and that the adjustment is in alignment with your current view of stock direction.

In the example you have given you said you wanted to hedge the -93 deltas. this means that your prediction is for a sideways movement in the stock, becasue if you were bearish you might be quite happy with a -93 deltas. So if your intention is for a sideways move than your thinking is correct. Also if you are happy with the new trade at current prices (I don't know if the new debit of $\$ 710$ is taking account of all the current prices becauseI can't see any of the diagrams you have posted as yet) then, on the surface, this may seem the right adjustment for you

列 theta risk you could

1. Reduce the number of naked long 75 calls in september
2. Purchase some bullish verticals that may not have as much theta risk but could still hedge your deltas

3 Exit the trade because you could not find an appropriate adjustment.
Finally, in the case where INFY was at 68 and you had a positive delta which you wanted to hedge it would definitely be a far less risky transaction to buy a put rather than to sell a call. The first strategy gives you limited risk and large potential reward and the second strategy (selling the call) gives you unlimited risk and only a limited reward

Hope this helps.
Kind regards
Tharma

| ohlala |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Newbie |  |
| Nrade Allocation |  |
| «Reply \#6 on: September 07, 2005, 06:59:01 PM " |  |
| Posts: $36 \quad$Please, Please call me Sathya!!'> <br> Thank you Tharma for the informative reply. |  |
|  |  |

Q Thank you Tharma for the informative reply
 adjustment, Oh, by the way I didn't adjust the position and the stock is sort of hovering in the profitable range of the fly.

Firstly, what could it have achieved?
 not ideal. Theoretically could have adjusted with the $60-65$ bull spread but the prices were ridiculous, so actually not possible to hedge using these spreads. So no adjustment hence I just left it.
 range I tried to apply it here.
 spread to equal the debit on the 60-65-70 fly for even money or increase the number of bull spreads depending on risk tolerance.

 differently when it comes to turning a loosing trade into either a less profitable trade or just scratch home.

What are your thought??s when it comes to turning a around a loosing position? In the case where the adjusted position doesn't meet the price criteria had you initiated the trade at those prices.
 position would have a max ROI of $\sim 100 \%$ and hence the saying would you put on the adjusted position if you were initiating today

Oh by the way, nice presentation today on RD1. It??s bloody cool having these online webinars!
I look forward to your insights.

## Trade Allocation

Reply \#7 on: August 23, 2006, 06:27:50 PM *
 would be left with, then make the adjustment

If not, then forget about the percentages and ROI -- Just "Ring the Cash Register".


Ri\$k Doctor $\$$ Posted: Aug. 09 2005,19:00
If in the middle, exactly.

GoldenBear
GoldenBear
RD3
Jr. Member

Posts: 83
$8 \square$

Locking in gains without exiting the trade
«on: July 20, 2005, 01:55:40 AM »
Charles,
We did not cover this subject as much as I had hoped we would in the seminar, but maybe we can use this forum to develop this theme, namely, "How do we lock in profits without exiting the trade?" In your book, you had mentioned that stock traders sell and exit their trades to take profits, whereas options traders 'adjust' their position to take profits (and when their sentiment for the underlying stock movement changes). Most of us are familiar with buying puts to lock in gains on stocks, but it only really provides us with 'catastrophic reversal insurance. Since the underlying stock has a 100 delta and the ATM put delta is only 50 , we are only able to lock in half of our profits. Is there something better we should do?

I have a case where I had 500 Laserscope (LSCP) stock. It had run up about $\$ 2000$ profit when I bought 5 Dec protective puts. (I had bought the Dec puts because I planned to keep the stock for a while, and the one-time cost of the Dec put on a per-month basis was cheaper than rolling out each month for several months. The folly of my ways, as I was to soon discover and as you later pointed out, is that the far month options are not as liquid as the near term month options and, consequently, frequently the far month option prices do not crashing. I managed to sell the stock with a $\$ 279$ profit. The puts were $+\$ 750$ now. I decided to hold the puts because I expected the stock to drop much further. A week later, the puts are $+\$ 2100$. I could lock in the profits from the puts by buying the LSCP stock back, but I am still strongly bearish on the play and it would not protect me from volatility in the puts drying up as the stock price stabilizes. Any suggestions?

I am also curious to know how to lock in profits from vertical spreads, butterflies, condors, time spreads, etc., without exiting the trade.
Rob

## Ri\$k Doctor Administrator Administrator Hero Member t +3249

## Locking in gains without exiting the trade <br> «Reply \#1 on: August 15, 2005, 09:09:02 AM

Sorry GoldenBear, I originally responded to this on July 22nd but unfortunately that was at the time when I switched Internet hosts and some things got lost. Luckily I still have some images from that post on my hard drive so here is a chart and an options chain. I know I had questions about which puts (month and strike) you bought but now that is too late. The puts you bought though went ITM quite a bit and if you had bought the stock against them it would have turned the puts into calls but you were bearish at the time. I would have recommended to roll the ( 35 s if that's what they were) puts further down (to perhaps the 30s or 25 s depending on the month) so as to not have so much money on the table. For your question about "locking profits from vertical spreads, butterflies, condors, time spreads, etc., without exiting the trade". It would take a book to answer this but I will place some current examples of adjusting from the RD3 Forum Look at Locking In Profits on a Butter




For the overall portfolio I am assuming a $7 \%$ weighting, ie exposure of USD7,000. This will be taken up with the margin requirement, resulting in a target position of 10 contracts. The total position will cost USD1,850 plus the margin requirement of UDSD5,000, ie USD6,850 in total. If we feel comfortable with the position we could add to this after next month's credit. What do you think?

LLTC - Sidewaysish Trade

## Hi Rudi,

 and within 'easy reach' of the typical price movements in LLTC. I know the intention is to roll the position but I think it's likely to be rolling a losing position forward.think the calendar style position is a good approach though
In the short term LLTC has been moving up (will it reverse here or reach 38-39?). My alternate suggestion based on your approach would be
Aug-Nov 37.5 Put calendar spread (currently going for 0.8 - fill at 0.85 ). IV at low end and cal is vega +ve*. BE for this spread are around $35.5-40$

| LLTC - |  | 5 - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POSITION W/IIMMULATIONS |  |  |
| STOCK PRICE | 34.19 | 41.7 |
| PR OPEN | -551.22 | -453.1 |
| PR DAY | -551.22 | -453.15 |
| DELTA | 228.82 | -160.35 |
| GAMMA | -34.55 | -12.81 |
| THETA. | 1.32 | 84 |
| VEGA | 74.85 | 81.03 |

Columns Price step Columns 4 LESS 7.598 MORE


## POSITION ANALYSIS ADD SIMMLATED TRADES PLOT RISK PROFLLE PROBABILTY ANALYSIS VOLATLITY ANALYSI



Suggested plan is buy $1 / 2$ position (ie $1 / 2$ of normal size c. $1800=$ about 20 contracts) - if LLTC keeps moving up buy some cheap Nov 32.5 or 35 puts. If LLTC moves down buy some cheap Nov 40 or 42.5 calls with other $1 / 2$. As LLTC at 37.5 at the moment can't get either of these at good price

Want to get to a calendar we can roll/profit from if continues stideways with cheap outside protection.
*IV for LLTC on ivolatility is at the low of the past years range. I know calendars are not typically thought of as low IV plays (there is no appreciable skew across months) but the calendar is long vega so with IV at low end of the range the risk of the calendar being crushed is less.
couldn't find anything front month worth selling or with break-even points that made any sense vs. the chart so went longer term. I also thought Rudi's idea of picking up some hedging against breakout worth a try, but with the stock almost bang on 37.5 the wings seemed too expensive so I thought waiting for it to move further to once side of the range made sense, of course it could just keep on going meaning one's adding to a loser..


LTC - Sidewaysish Trad
Reply \#5 on: June 07, 2005, 05:55:36 PM
This may seem a little weird, but why not buy an ATM verticle and then sell enough OTM spreads to pay for it. Something like Aug $37 / 40 / 42$ calls at $+5 /-20 /+15$ and the puts at
$37 / 35 / 32$. Depending on fills this could move the PP (probability of profit) up to something more reasonable
Do the call side as price approaches 39-40 and the put side as price moves back to 37-36

| STOCK PRICE | $34.20 \div$ | 41.80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PR OPEN | -188.51 | -631.99 |
| PR DAY | -188.51 | -631.99 |
| DELTA | 375.15 | -335.09 |
| GAMMA | -81.05 | 9.93 |
| THETA | 3.53 | 21 |
| VEGA | -33.94 | 5.8 |

Columns Price step Columns |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



POSITION ANALYSIS ADD SIMMLATED TRADES PLOT RISK PROFILE PROBAEILITY ANALYSIS VOLATILITY ANALYSIS

| SIMULATION ANALYSIS ? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - SIMLLATION PARAMETERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | RESET PARAMETERS |  |  |  |  |
| VIEN: |  |  |  | STOCK PRICE |  |  | - DATE | IMP VOL |  | VOL | MODEL | INTEREST |  | LD |
| POSITIONWIIIMULATIONS - |  |  |  | $38.00 \div$ |  |  | 619005 | 18.90\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | IMP SKEN - |  | 3.00\% |  | 0.00\% |
|  | DELTA |  | GAMMA |  | HETA | VEga |  | PR OPEN |  |  | $\underset{\$ 355.75}{\text { PR DAY }}$ |  | BP EFFECT |  |
|  |  | -107.13 |  | 9.18 |  |  | -65.88 | \$355.75 |  |  |  |  | ( $\$ 2,050.00)$ |  |
| - SIMULATED TRADES |  |  |  |  | (CLEAR SIMULATED TRADES) (SEND TRADES TO ORDER QUEUE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPREAD | SIDE |  | SYMEOL | SPC | EXP |  |  | STRIKE |  |  |  |  | DER QUEUE) |  |
| - BUUTERFLY | BUY * | - +5 | LLTC | 100 | AUG 05 | - | 37.5 | $\checkmark$ | CA.LL |  | -85 |  | -149.14 |  |
|  | SELL |  |  |  | AUG 05 |  | 40 | $\checkmark$ | call |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BUY | +15 | LLTC |  | AUG 05 |  | 42.5 | $\checkmark$ | CALL |  | -15 ${ }^{\prime}$ |  | 42.00 |  |
| - EUTTERFLY | BUY - | - +5 | LLTC | 100 | AUG 05 | $\checkmark$ | 37.5 | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SELL | -20 |  | 100 | AUG 05 |  | 35 |  | PUT |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BUY | +15 | LLTC | 100 | AUG 05 |  | 32.5 | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Ri\$k Doctor Administrator
Hero Member Hero Member Posts: 3249

LLTC - Sidewaysish Trade
yne 08, 2005, 08.35:43 AM
The BigM. Very Cool. I understand that both sides are not intended to be put on together but I wanted to piece them side by side, based on your last post, to present the bigger picture. The only thing that will change is price, max reward and max risk.


LLTC has retraced back down about $50 \%$ of the up move from just 35 ish to 39ish to 37ish.


If it were my money, I would hold off a day or two on rolling the JUN Straddle to JUL for 1.15ish (current quote: 1.05-1.40) for a bounce back to the strike and perhaps taking more like 1.50 ish off of our 1.85 ish investment


The Big $\mathbf{M}$ is enjoying a good profit mostly from the initial short delta of 1.09 but has become a tad long down at 37.00ish on LLTC.

| SPREAD |  | QTY SYMBOL | SPC |  | EXP | STRIKE TYPE |  |  | PRICE | DELTA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - EUUTTERFLY | BUY - |  |  | AUG 05 | $\checkmark$ | 37.5 | $\checkmark$ | CALL | -05 | -78.07 |
|  | SELL | -20 LLTC | 100 | AUJ 05 |  | 40 | $\checkmark$ | CALL |  |  |
|  | BUY | +15 |  | AUJ 05 |  | 42.5 | $\checkmark$ | CALL |  |  |
| SPREAD | SIDE | QTY SYMEOL | SPC |  | EXP |  | STRIKE | TYPE | PRICE | DELTA |
| - EUUTERFLY | BUY $\square^{-}$ | +5 | 100 | NOV 05 | $\checkmark$ | 37.5 | $\checkmark$ | PUT - | -80 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 135.15 |
|  | SELL | -20 LLTC | 100 | NOV 05 |  | 35 | $\checkmark$ | PUT |  |  |
|  | BUY | +15 | 100 | NOV 05 |  | 32.5 | $\checkmark$ | PUT |  |  |

The straight longer dated calendar is breaking even.
SPREAD
 $+20-$ STY SYME

SPC|
 EXP $\mid$
$\sim$
$>$ STRIKE TYPE TYPE PUT

Rudi_P
RD3
RD3
Newbie
Posts: 32

LLTC - Sidewaysish Trade
«Reply \#8 on: June 16, 2005, 11:48:44 AM »
Following on from yesterday's discussion I would today roll the Jun05 37.50 straddle into a Jul05 37.50 straddle for a credit of 1.25 , ie buy back the shorts for 0.45 and sell the Jul05s for a credit of 1.70.

The net debit of the position reduces to .60 (\$600)
Rudi

Ri\$k Doctor
Administrator

禺四

LLTC - Sidewaysish Trade
«Reply \#9 on: June 17, 2005, 07:44:23 AM »


Ri\$k Doctor
Administrator Administrator Posts: 3249

LITC - Sidewaysish Trade
«Reply \#10 on: June 17, 2005, 12:10:02 PM .
am buying 1000 shares on the close at 37.66 instead of buying the JUN Calls at 20 and will most lilely be assigned. I will sell the JUL Straddle on Monday because they already took the premium out for the weekend and I don't think that I will do worse than getting 1.55 . Had I sold to the bid of 1.55 that would be effectively doing the roll for 1.34 (straddle leg $=.21$ )


Ri\$k Doctor Administrator Hero Member Posts: 3249

㫛回

LLTC - Sidewaysish Trade
«Reply \#11 on: June 23, 2005, 01:21:19 PM *
Caught a rally and sold the JUL 37.5 Calls that I did not sell on the roll on Friday. Thought about only selling only 5 but sold 10 $\rightarrow$ LLTC $\rightarrow$ Easy to Borrow NASDAQ Open Interest TECHNOLOGY CORP Volume

## - UNDERLYING



A little later: Coulda Woulda Shoulda waited and thought about buying more of the 37.5 Puts that I still need to sell but didn't.


Came back down, late in the day and will hold out to sell the puts, hopefully will LLTC down to 37.00 in the next few sessions.



Straddle rolled for 1.54 credit．Running cost on remaining JUL／AUG Straddle Strangle Swap is 31 debit．
What is the lesson here？It is not about luck．It is about risk／reward consciousness along the way．

## Summary：

Two Days before Expiration：Charles held off rolling for 1．15ish in hopes of getting 1．50ish
1 Day before expiration：［／b］Rudi，in Hong Kong－－his 16th was our 17th－－opted for rolling for a 1.25 credit which is what you should do most of the time
Expiration Morning：Charles buys back the 37．5P at ．05，intending to scoop the calls cheap and sell the JUL straddle．Could have gotten ． 60 for the JUL puts but chanced getting more ater in the day and did not take 65 on the close
Expiration Close：Charles allows buys the stock at 37．66，． 16 ITM providing the shares that he would be assigned．JUL Staraddle is only 1.55 bid that would give him a roll price of 1．34．Consciuusness of risk：LLTC gets these little pops now and then so give it a week and then either blow the remaining NOV out or complete the roll to JUL
6 Days Pass：The straddle erodes to 1.25 a rally ensued allowing 1.05 for the call side as it eventually topped out that day at 1.75 （LLTC over 39.00 ）while the puts got slammed to 20 too cheap to sell．Consciuusness of risk：Long cheap ． 20 puts－－worst case：never sell the JUL puts and end up with a partial roll for ． 84 instead of 1.25 like Rudi achieved
Remember though that a sideways market is characterized by rallies followed by breaks．
Two Days Later：The break LLTC came off 1．80ish from the recent high．Take the .70 for the puts（wouldn＇t by them there－－have to let them go）．

| Guest | LLTC－Sidewaysish Trade <br> «Reply \＃13 on：June 27，2005，05：04：49 PM » <br> If the risk consciousness was＂long cheap puts at ．20＂ 2 days ago why be in such a hurry to sell the puts for .70 now？After all LLTC is testing the June 15 low and if that gives way then it could easily head towards 36．By legging in you are expressing a directional bias．Is your view that it is done falling？ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ri\＄k Doctor Administrator | LLTC－Sidewaysish Trade <br> «Reply \＃14 on：June 27，2005，06：14：01 PM＂ |
| Hero Member <br> 戠部新新 | Had a plan．Executed it．Not really bearish or bullish at the moment．If you were berish and had the position，you could lift the puts．Trader＇s choice． |

Ri\$k Doctor Administrator
Hero Member Hero Member Posts: 3249

8 回

UTC - Sidewaysish Trade
«Reply \#15 on: July $13,2005,09: 03.03$ AM
An opportunity has arrived on a silver platter. We can roll a week early as a spread without legging for the same price achieved at JUN expiration (1.50ish).
$\rightarrow$ LLTC Easy to Borrow NASDAQ Vega TINEAR TECHNOLOGY CORP

- UNDERLYING


- AUG 05 (44) 100


|  | delta | GAMMA | THETA | VEGA | PRL OPEN | PR DAY | BP EFFEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - LLTC | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.01 |

- ORDER ENTRY AND ORDER QUEUE


## ORDER ENTRY ORDER QUEUE

| SPREAD | SIDE | QTY SYMBOL | SPC | EXP | STRIKE | TYPE | PRICE |  | ORDER | RULES | EXCHA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEL DIAG | SELL - | -10-LLTC | 100 | AUG 05 - | 37.5 - | CALL | $150 \pm$ LMT | $\stackrel{\square}{+}$ | LIMIT |  |  |
|  | SELL | -10 LLTC | 100 | AUG 05 | 37.5 - | PUT |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BUY | +10 LLTC | 100 | JL 05 V | 37.5 - | CALL |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BuY | +10 LLTC |  | JL 05 | 37.5 - | PUT |  |  |  |  |  |

LLTC - Sidewaysish Trade
Reply \#17 on: July 20, 2005, 09:02:21 AM
Looks like a break-out. I suspect a fake-out and will wait a few days to see if I am right and hold on. Otherwise I will change the beast.


LITC - Sidewaysish Trad
Reply \#16 on: July 13,2005 , 09:04:30 AM
Covering the AUG 37.5 P at .45 , reducing the 1.21 credit to .76 credit


Ri\＄k Doctor Administrato
Hero Membe ctst品区

LLTC－Sidewaysish Trade
«Reply \＃18 on：July 27，2005，06：52：42 AM »
Fake Out
LOS ANGELES，July 26 （Reuters）－Circuit maker Linear Technology Corp．（LLTC．O：Quote，Profile，Research）on Tuesday beat analysts＇estimates and reported higher fourth－quarter earnings due to stronger sales．
Linear posted quarterly net earnings of $\$ 106$ million，or 34 cents per shares，compared with $\$ 98.8$ million，or 31 cents per share，a year earlier
Analysts，on average，had expected the company to post 33 cents per share and revenue of $\$ 256.8$ million，according to Reuters Estimates．
The company said it will pay a cash dividend of 10 cents per share on Aug． 24 to shareholders of record as of Aug． 5.
Shares of Linear Technology were down 4.6 percent at $\$ 38.81$ in after－hours trade on Inet，after closing at $\$ 40.68$ on Tuesday on Nasdaq．


Ri\＄k Doctor Administrator Hero Member Posts： 3249

回回

## LLTC－Sidewaysish Trade

«Reply \＃19 on：August 08，2005，02：46：07 PM＊
Reason for the trade is over－we are out of the projected sideways area and would not initiate the trade today．The liquidating value has recently fluctuated between .50 debit and .50 credit．Covering the remainder of the position（Short ves us with ． 51 profit．

| LLTC | －LINE | EAR TECHNO | Easy to Borrow |  | NASDAQ |  | Open Interest | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNDERLYING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LAST X NET CHNG |  | BID X | ASKX | $x \quad$ SIZE |  | VOLUME | OPEN | HIGH |  | LOW |
|  | 39.95 C | －13 | 39.94 C | 39.95 |  | $\times 163$ | 3，357，545 | 39.90 |  | 40.13 | 39.65 |
| TRADE GRID |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$（1） |
| OPT | IONS |  |  |  | ngle |  |  |  |  | change | Composite－ |
| CALLS |  |  |  |  | EXP | STRI．．． | PUTS |  |  |  |  |
| OPEN．INT |  | VOLUME | EID X | ASKX |  |  | ．．BID X | ASK | K $\times$ | EN．INT | VOLUME |
| AUG 05 （16） 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18．44\％ |
|  | 282 | 101 | 4.90 C | 5.10 C | AUG 05 | 35 | 50 B | 05 |  | 4，082 | 20 |
|  | 6，542 | 145 | 2.551 | 2.65 C | AUG 05 | 37.5 | 5 ． 051 | 15 |  | 4，822 | 17 |
|  | 8，648 | 1，202 | ． 601 | ． 651 | AUG 05 | 40 | 0.601 | 70 |  | 7，215 | 151 |
|  | 4，476 | 107 | ． 05 B | 10 I | AUG 05 | 42.5 | $5 \quad 2.551$ | 2.65 |  | 935 | 40 |
|  | 1，317 | 670 | 01 | ． 051 | AUG 05 | 45 | $5 \quad 5.00 \mathrm{C}$ | 5.20 |  | 153 | 110 |
| SEP 05 （44） 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20．45\％ |
|  | 92 | 72 | 5.10 । | 5.301 | SEP 05 | 35 | 5.051 | 15 |  | 223 | 118 |
|  | 687 | 3 | 2.901 | 3.00 C | SEP 05 | 37.5 | 5 ． 301 | ． 40 |  | 978 | 4 |
|  | 4，955 | 35 | 1.15 I | 1.25 I | SEP 05 | 40 | $0 \quad 1.051$ | 1.15 |  | 715 | 100 |
|  | 1，407 | 7 | 251 | ． 351 | SEP 05 | 42.5 | $5 \quad 2.701$ | 2.80 |  | 428 | 219 |
|  | 380 | 75 | 0 A | 101 | SEP 05 | 45 | $5 \quad 5.001$ | 5.20 |  | 10 | 10 |
| NOV | 05 （107） | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21．56\％ |
|  | 272 | 110 | 5.501 | 5.701 | NOV 05 | 35 | 5.40 B | 45 |  | 710 | 20 |
|  | 1，108 | 5 | 3.501 | 3.701 | NOV 05 | 37.5 | 5 ． 851 | 90 |  | 1，254 | 150 |
|  | 2，835 | 56 | 1.95 I | 2.051 | NOV 05 | 40 | $0 \quad 1.701$ | 1.80 |  | 1，028 | 50 |
|  | 1，239 | 5 | 851 | ． 95 B | NOV 05 | 42.5 | 53.10 । | 3.30 |  | 282 | 25 |
|  | 438 | 115 | 301 | 401 | NOV 05 | 45 | $5 \quad 5.10 \mathrm{C}$ | 5.30 |  | 16 | 4 |


| wmillows <br> Guest | How does option market work? <br> « on: August 07, 2005, 06:33:06 PM » <br> Hi Charles, <br> I am trying to understand how option market works? From what I understand from your seminar, a retail customer can only buy ask, sell bid. When a market maker sells a contract, he/she will either long or short same delta underlying to hedge his/her exposure. <br> In reading the option transaction tape, I noticed that some contracts are traded together. For example, in one of the GM options, the current market is 1.25 bid, 1.30 ask, if there are 7930 contracts traded at 1.30 , the next trade is 7930 contracts traded at 1.25 . There are a number of trades like this. Would you explain what market maker might've don there? <br> Thanks, |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ri\$k Doctor Administrator Hero Member <br>  Posts: 3249 $8 \boxed{a}$ | How does option market work? <br> «Reply \#1 on: August 08, 2005, 07:30:17 AM " <br> I could be wrong about this because I do not have all the details but this clearly looks like 15,860 were traded at 1.275 . <br> For clearling purposes this trade, entered on what we call "splits" can only clear on legal tradable increments (.05). The two parties verbally agree on 1.275 but the order has to split up for the clearing process with half at 1.25 and the other half at 1.30. <br> You stated above that a customer can only trade with MMs but that is only mostly true. Keep in mind that often customers meet somewhere in the middle and avoid the bid and ask prices. |

Ri\$k Doctor Administrator
Hero Member Posts: 3249

Transparency Example 1
" on: July 17, 2005, 08:13:14 PM " solve the following exercise in less than 60 seconds. (In live audience presentations over $90 \%$ get the answer wrong.)
Exercise: What amount of money is the most that one can lose with the following position?
QQQQ is trading at 37.30 ,
The 36 call is going for 1.70 and
8 回
The 39 put is going for 1.90 .
A trader buys ten of each. Obviously, this is a good position if there is a large
times making a total investment of $\$ 3600(10 \times(1.70+1.90) \times 100$.
Most people figure the answer to be $\$ 3600$, the limited risk amount invested??the loss is limited to the amount paid. This is incorrect. The answer is only $\$ 600$ ( $10 \times 60$ ) $\times 100$ shares. The proof and full explanation is in Chapter 1 just following Exhibit 1-9.

After learning the market maker methods and grasping the concepts (which may be confusing at first, but it gets easier with practice), it will be possible to answer similar questions in less than 5 seconds. Such clarity can make a huge difference in one??s trading.
To demonstrate the answer, alter the view of the Raw Position (see Exhibit 1-9): 10*36C/39P Guts Strangles going for 3.60 by applying -10*3.00 BoxTools (+10 36/39 Boxes are embedded in the position). One can much more easily answer a new question, and this time get it right: What amount of money is the most one can lose with $10 * 36$ Puts bought at . 40 and $10 * 39$ Calls bought at .20 , making a total investment of $\$ 600(10 \times(.40+.20) \times 100$ shares $)$ ?

EXHIBIT 1-9
$10 * 36 \mathrm{C} / 39 \mathrm{P}$ Guts Strangles is Synthetically Equivalent to $10 * 36 \mathrm{P} / 39 \mathrm{C}$ Strangles
Because it Contains 10 Embedded 36/39 Box Spreads


The minimum value for this position is not "zero" as human nature forces us to believe. Rather it is $\$ 3000$ ( $10 \times 3.00 \times 100$ shares). The 3.00 Box will hold that value all the way to expiration Exhibit 1-10 shows the conventional approach to demonstrating the expiration value of a box.


It is difficult to understand merging hockey-stick graphs as in Exhibit 1-10 in order to assess risk. Imagine the confusion when positions with more strikes and different ratios are introduced. Learning the dissection methods presented in this book will be a little unusual at first, but can soon become second nature, with a little practice.

## Ri\$k Doctor Diagonals and Double Diagonals <br> Administrator <br> Hero Member <br> Posts: 3249 <br> « on: July 17, 2005, 07:50:00 PM » <br> Diagonals

8 回
A diagonal is a long call (put) in one month and a short call (put) in another month with different strikes. We usually like to sell the near month options and buy further dated ones in order to have time erosion on our side as well as sell the closer to the money option and buy the one that is further away for better odds of winning. Since diagonals can be confusing, to make it more intuitive, it may be helpful to think of one in terms of a vertical credit spread that intersects with a long calendar spread. Perceiving diagonals in this way will help you to understand how to tweak gamma, theta, and vega more to your favor.

Entrance Criteria for each spread will best understood if you already have entry criteria for individual vertical and calendar spreads. Obviously, if the criteria are met for both or rather close there is a strong case for entering the diagonal. The price will simply be the debit price for the calendar minus the credit for the vertical. Prices will vary depending on the underlying price, the strikes involved, time until expiration and implied volatility levels.

Using a diagonal spread is a way to control how time will affect a vertical spread. To put it more simply, it is another way for a trader to optimize his or her market objectives based on an alysis of implied volatility levels and may provide the trader with alternative, less costly, and even creative ways to lock in value or eliminate risk.


## Double Diagonal aka Straddle Strangle Swap or Calendarized I ron

A Double Diagonal is two diagonal spreads, one in the calls and the other in the puts and wins in a stable market. It consists of short a straddle or a strangle in the current month and long a further dated strangle at strikes further away. It is a trading vehicle that combines the best characteristics of time/calendar spreads and short iron wing spreads (butterfly for 3 strikes and condor for 4 or more) i.e., long the wings / short the body or middle strike(s) ?? betting that the underlying to land w
put and / or call vertical spreads reduce the cost of the butterflies in a convoluted position.

The advantage of using calendar spreads in conjunction with butterflies or condors is that calendar spreads create additional positive theta creating cash flow (time decay in your favor) every day Butterflies will only have a significant positive theta when they become within the strikes during expiration week.


 the use of calendar spreads to achieve your desired gamma.



 be used to determine the ideal strikes to employ to open positions.
 advisable to either closing or roll the shorts to the next month where premiums are meatier and therefore a better short.

## What;s a good price? What do you look for?

 condor is two butterflies in a row, you may use similar criteria. Remember that a long condor for a debit acts the same as a short iron condor for a credit



 with twice as many 2.5 -pointers

Again, depending on implied volatilities and stock price, attractive calendar spreads that have roughly been within $10 \%$ of the strike have been bought for between .25 and 1.00 .

 combined with 10 Long 22.5P Calendars and 10 Long 30 Call Calendars


Synthetic Butterfly
« on: July 12, 2005, 10:12:26 AM
Hello Charles
Yesterday during your presentations every thing look very easy and incredible nice, but when I went home last night and start applying what you just taught us I go all confuse. I really like your CPA Approach of Credit and debit so I use the same technique

I was looking at the follow trade: CAT is look bullish to me. The current price is 99.83 and my target price is around $103-105$. At first, I looked at the vertical call spread, but 4 months ago I had the same configuration and bought a vertical. Because the stock did not move too much and the spread was expensive I lost a little bit of money.

So this time I think in a butterfly will be better and I am looking at the following call butterfly +1 AUG 100C / - 2 AUG 105C / +1 AUG 110C for a . 90 Debit. This butterfly matches my target price.

I then applied your dissection technique and this
butterfly becomes like a put butterfly +1 AUG 100P / - 2 AUG 105P / +1 AUG 110P for a .95 Debit, but in this case the Butterfly is in the Money.
For my both numbers look very similar and my potential profit are the same. Am I doing something wrong or is this correct thinking? Either way, it has the same risk/reward proile.

## Ri\$k Doctor

Administrator
Hero Member
Hero Member
Posts: 3249
验回

Synthetic Butterfly
«Reply \#1 on: July 15, 2005, 06:56:25 AM *
MacGeek: It would seem that the call butterfly is a little cheaper and that from a theoretical point of view has to do with the fact that the 110 Put is a candidate for early exercise allowing certain traders to receive interest payments (short stock rebate) on the short stock position until AUG expiration, if they exercise the put.
You are right however in that they have the same risk/reward profile and so would the iron butterfly (+1 AUG 100P / -1 AUG 105 Straddle / +1 AUG 110C) for about a 4.05 credit. Example Proof (different strikes)



[^0]
[^0]:    Bottom Line: Risking almost a buck to make almost 4 bucks but realistically taking profits if you can make closer to 3 bucks.

