

Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual
collections.

Collection: Baker, Howard H. Jr: Files
Folder Title: Ed Feulner (2)
Box: 2

To see more digitized collections visit:
<https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library
inventories visit:
<https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection>

Contact a reference archivist at:
reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing>

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 11, 1987

NOTE FOR HOWARD BAKER ✓
KEN DUBERSTEIN
TOM GRISCOM

Eyes Only

A large, stylized handwritten signature in blue ink, possibly reading 'HBS' or similar, located to the right of the 'Eyes Only' marking.

FROM: Ken Cribb *Ken*

I attach Ed Feulner's fleshed-out version of a strategic plan for domestic affairs. Tom and I will meet sometime today and go over this together. Tomorrow a sub-set of the Advisory Group (Feulner/Duberstein/Griscom/Wirthlin/Korologos/Cannon/Cribb) will react to the plan. Subsequently, this draft will be revised to reflect the consensus of those examining it.

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
ADMINISTRATIVE//
EX-1000-0000

BY NAME CDB

8/4/04

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DRAFT

THE PRESIDENT'S STRATEGIC PLAN

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

President Reagan must move quickly, boldly, and decisively to consolidate the achievements of the Reagan Revolution and establish the policy themes for 1988 and beyond. This can be done by (a) understanding the strategic political situation and the historic role of the Reagan Presidency, (b) adopting domestic policy themes and issues that mobilize core Reagan constituencies and enable the President to take the offensive, and (c) communicating those themes to the American people through the President, his team, and his core constituencies.

The themes, issues and specific legislative vehicles selected must be promoted in a coordinated plan and implemented through effective teamwork among all the President's staff, his allies on Capitol Hill and supportive public interest coalitions on the outside.

To allow the President to retreat into a largely defensive posture in reaction to events would be a great tragedy. But avoiding this will take more than good intentions. It will also take courage, which means the willingness to take risks and to take on critics and those who are opposed to the Reagan Revolution and have no stake in the Reagan Presidency. The President must revitalize his core constituency first, reestablish his leadership on issues that matter, and get the Cabinet officers and other senior officials fully on board on key initiatives.

This paper outlines eight policy initiatives under two strategic themes, economic growth and the quality of life (traditional values). These eight policy initiatives go to the core of the Nation's problems and the government's obligations to its citizens and future generations.

Implementation plans are presented with specific action plans and timelines. The precise timing of each, of course, must be coordinated with other events. However, the basic elements and sequence of actions are presented, and specific officials within the Executive Office of the President can be assigned responsibility for fleshing out implementation plans for review by the Chief of Staff, the Advisory Council, and the President.

THE PRESIDENT'S STRATEGIC PLAN

Contents

	TAB
Executive Summary	-
Assumptions	A
The Strategic Goal	B
The Domestic Policy Agenda	C
Defensive Priorities	D
Targets of Opportunity	E
Implementation: General Considerations	F
Implementation: Decisions	G
Appendices	H

II. ASSUMPTIONS

I have made a number of assumptions in this plan, as follows:

- o We have nine months, not twenty, to regain the initiative and to establish the policy themes for the remainder of the Reagan Administration. The last opportunity to announce new initiatives will be the January 1988 State of the Union message. The earliest opportunity for new initiatives is tomorrow.
- o The active leadership and personal involvement by the President are absolutely essential. This program must be, both in reality and perception, the President's Plan -- not that of his staff, his supporters throughout the country or his allies on Capitol Hill.
- o New initiatives must be fully consistent with President Reagan's philosophy and the existing Reagan Agenda.
- o A major goal is to frame the debate for 1988 and put the Democrats on the defensive, not just make the President look good in the short term. With the Congress now controlled by the opposition, legislative victories will be fewer and more difficult. By stressing broad themes we can regain the rhetorical high ground, recapture the support of the American people and eventually increase our success with specific policy initiatives on the Hill.
- o A second major goal of equal importance is to set and reinforce a solid foundation for the next ten years' growth of conservative majorities in key constituencies -- a strategic plan for a permanent majority party. The Reagan Revolution has fundamentally altered the framework of the political debate. This must be reinforced so that politicians across the spectrum continue to debate issues on Reagan terms, not the terms of FDR or LBJ.
- o Any plan adopted must have energetic implementation, coordinated at a high level within the White House. This leadership must generate "vertical" coordination within the Administration down to the assistant secretary level and "horizontal" coordination with outside groups and coalitions.

III. THE STRATEGIC GOAL: CONTINUING THE REAGAN REVOLUTION INTO THE TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY

A. Political Strategy versus a Legislative Strategy

- o We must adopt a ten-year strategic horizon, not a six-month horizon. With specific time-lines, actions should be undertaken in each time interval, but they should all be in support of long-term objectives.
- o We must reject as self-defeating the idea of taking on only necessary (i.e., defensive) battles or "must win" issues. Sometimes we must fight a battle even if defeat is likely in order to force record votes and to define the difference in stark terms between Ronald Reagan's vision of America and the vision of his political opponents.
- o Liberal Democrats must be put on the defensive on major issues and not allowed to repackage old nostrums in new bottles. A skunk still stinks, even if it is dowsed with perfume and called a Siamese cat!
- o We must quickly consolidate and institutionalize as many Reagan Administration achievements as possible to stop erosion of hard won past gains and to strengthen the foundation for future progress. This objective is important not only on its own terms, but also because it sends a strong signal to the President's political appointees that their daily efforts are not in vain and will not be reversed in 1989. Equally important, it sends a message to the bureaucracy that these changes are here to stay.

B. Framing the Debate for 1988 and Beyond

- o We must take the "high ground" by identifying with long-term public interests and national security, distinguishing us from the liberal Democrats' proclivity to opportunism and demagoguery.
- o Priority must be given to *positive* issues and proposals that advance the Reagan Agenda and appeal to broad public constituencies that have always supported the Reagan Revolution.
- o To the extent possible we must build on Reagan accomplishments and strengths, while simultaneously shoring up weak spots in the Administration. There are specific opportunities here in the personnel area (for example, the appointment of the next chairman of the Federal Reserve Board) that can send strong signals to all our friends.
- o We must include issues and goals that we may lose in the short term but are central to long-term national interests.
- o We must include issues and goals that are of critical importance to key Reagan constituencies and long-term development of conservative majorities--in the Congress as well as in terms of 1988 Presidential Electors.

C. Setting Priorities

- o We must limit the domestic agenda to six or eight major initiatives; others should be monitored and "targets of opportunity" can be acted upon, but diffusion of the limited resources of the Presidency should be avoided.
- o Certain "defensive priority" operations must be mounted but should not be allowed to divert attention from our positive initiatives, which are of paramount importance both programmatically and politically.

TAB C

IV. DEFINING THE DOMESTIC POLICY AGENDA: STRATEGIC THEMES FOR TAKING THE OFFENSIVE

A. Economic Growth

1. Budget Reform--enforcing G-R-H, pushing the line item veto, and advocating a balanced budget constitutional amendment, and stressing enhanced rescission authority.

The politics of the budget process drives the budget and the budget drives policy. If the American people are not bothered about the process, it is because the Administration has failed to shoot at a sitting duck.

The White House should mount a strong, frontal attack on the budget process as the key to deficit reduction. Spokesmen should pick some of the most outrageous examples of maneuvering to spend taxpayers' money and use them to incite scorn and anger against the liberals controlling the Congress. The American public must be made aware that the Democrats in Congress have unilaterally abrogated G-R-H. This provides the President with the perfect opportunity to take the initiative on this priority issue.

The Administration should send to Congress its own new **Budget Accountability Act of 1987** incorporating the line item veto, and a remodelled Gramm-Rudman-Hollings deficit reduction mechanism. This should be coupled with a renewed push for a balanced budget amendment and support for Senator Quayle's enhanced rescission authorities. The White House should challenge Congress to put its own house in order. Republican leaders in the Congress must vigorously support this strategy.

The political payoff would be immense. First, by raising the political heat for Congress it could actually provoke reforms that could make a long term difference, even if they passed after 1988. Second, it would make talk of "Reagan's Deficit" sound very hollow in 1988. Third, it would make it harder for Congress to override spending bill vetoes.

Linked to this must be the strategy of keeping Congress' feet to the fire on domestic spending reductions. Under no circumstances must President Reagan make any concession on tax hikes. Submission of a new Budget Accountability Act will help the Administration to stand firm, since White House officials can argue that lawmakers should not be even talking about new taxes before they restore some sanity to the budget process. Even a hint of a concession on that would open the floodgates. The President should stick hard and fast to the Gramm-Rudman targets. Let the Democrats take the heat for breaking their own budget law.

2. Privatization is the complementary strategy to budget reform--budget reform limits the *supply* of cash available to Washington, while privatization builds constituencies to reduce the *demand* for cash. While advocating the general merits of the strategy, the Administration should also recognize that the local experience (and the experience last year with loan asset sales and public housing) shows that privatization is essentially a pragmatic strategy to reach conservative goals. Thus, if framed properly, it is possible for the Administration to build up a momentum for the policy that will carry through into the next Administration.

The Administration should pursue this by building on the concept of privatization as a means of *empowering the people*. This approach will win over important constituency groups. Margaret Thatcher has scored dramatic political successes in Britain by making privatization a vehicle for homeownership among the poor, wider stock ownership among blue-collar workers, and employee-ownership of firms. It can work in the U.S. just as successfully.

The most obvious privatization initiative to advance the theme of "empowerment" would be the sale of public housing to tenants. This popular concept would also help to demonstrate that privatization improves the lives of poor Americans. This has the political advantages of making conservative inroads in the low-income black community. The President can actively lead on this issue with a major public appearance in Dallas in late May.

Similarly, the Administration should pursue both education and housing vouchers on the grounds that they give dignity and power to low-income Americans.

In addition, the idea of worker-ownership through privatization should be pursued and popularized, using Amtrak and the Postal Service as the main vehicles and the new OPM-sponsored concept of federal employee "buy outs" to bring privatization into the federal workforce.

These and other initiatives should be coordinated in three ways. First, the new Associate Director for Privatization at OMB should be selected as soon as possible and given the authority to require departments to develop and implement privatization plans. In addition, an Office of Private Sector Initiatives, modelled on the Office within UMTA, should be established within each department and agency and coordinated through OMB.

Second, a Privatization Commission should be appointed, modelled on a mix of the Regulatory Relief Task Force and the Rogers Commission on the Challenger accident. The Commission should be chaired by the Vice President and include the AD/OMB as Executive Director. Members should be drawn from key supportive constituencies, including the Congress, governors and mayors, consumers of government services, and business and labor.

This Presidential Commission will be directed to develop recommendations for the President on new laws and programs to improve the quality and efficiency of services via privatization. It should hold high-profile public hearings around the country on the postal service, education, veterans services, roads and transportation, public housing, national parks, and so on concurrent with the budget debate. This will raise the public consciousness about privatization, allay fears and make converts, and build a bipartisan consensus.

Third, a White House Conference on Privatization for March 1988 should be organized to build further support for the concept and for specific privatization proposals based on the Commission's findings.

3. Competitiveness--recapturing the true meaning of competitiveness as *the essential ingredient for economic growth through productivity gains*. This theme has many applications in policy areas such as trade, education, labor relations, subsidies, etc.

The fact that the liberals are leaping on to the competitiveness issue shows just how potent it is. They, of course, argue that we become more competitive by reducing some manufacturing costs with government subsidies (pushing up the overhead costs of other U.S. firms) and by handicapping the competition with protectionism. In their hearts, most Americans know that version of competitiveness is a fraud, but it is a seductive package and must be countered with a vigorous initiative that captures the issue for the Administration.

Seize the initiative by using competitiveness as a theme for pushing our agenda on economic issues. Use two sub-themes: *productivity* and "*the new consumerism*." First, point out that we can only become competitive if we concentrate on the hard work of promoting innovation, cutting costs and improving quality. We help innovation through further deregulation and tax reduction* to spur risk-taking. And we tackle labor costs--and stimulate employment--by *not* enacting legislation that raises the price of labor, such as the projected Social Security tax increases, mandated health and leave benefits, and minimum wage hikes

Second, the Administration should go after the "consumer advocates." Where is Ralph Nader when the consumer is being hurt by protectionism? The White House should grab the "consumerist" hat and point out that it is policies such as tax cuts, deregulation and free trade that help American consumers, not high liability insurance rates, reduced consumer choices, and the other elements of Nader's coalition.

The consumerist issue could be enhanced by requiring departments to develop "Job Impact Statements" and "Consumer Impact Statements" in response to protectionist and other unwelcome legislation on the Hill.

The Administration also should make better use of the business community in the competitiveness issue, and seek to turn the attention of business leaders away from protectionism and toward government impediments. One way to do this would be to appoint a hand-picked Advisory Business Council on Competitiveness. Drawn from the more dynamic and entrepreneurial business leaders (and not simply representatives of business organizations) the charter of the group would be to identify regulations and statutes that stand in the way of improvements in productivity and exports. The group likely would point to such issues as labor regulations, anti-trust and taxes. The recommendations of the group should be turned into "Competitiveness Charter" legislation.

Another way would be to give formal recognition to exemplary businesses, who have broken into new markets, boosted their exports, etc. The British have a "Queen's Award to Industry" program, in which honored firms can include a special insignia on their letterhead and advertisements (and they find it good PR to do so). Why not a "Presidential Competitiveness Award" program with a special logo?

*Yes! We should mention further tax reductions as a long-range goal both to differentiate our low tax policy from the high tax policy of our opponents, and to lock in the tax reductions already enacted.

B. Quality of Life

1. Welfare Reform--The conditions have never been better for welfare reform along conservative principles, although some in the Administration seem determined to let liberals steal the initiative and use ideas like "workfare" as a smokescreen to suck more money out of Washington. The Administration's challenge here is organizational and must be sorted out immediately. Then, rather than accept compromises to win a few modest congressional victories, the President should paint a clear picture of where we should be going on welfare, to recapture momentum and refocus the issue on Reagan's terms.

In addition, the Administration needs to sharpen and strengthen its legislative approach to welfare reform. The notion of experimentation through decentralization is extremely important, and enables the Administration to explain the general virtues of federalism in the context of welfare. But instead of focussing almost exclusively on decentralization, the White House needs a three-pronged message.

First it should give strong backing to *effective* forms of workfare. The liberals seem to have gotten religion over the workfare issue. But the fact is that they are simply using the term to disguise efforts to obtain federal funding for any program even remotely connected to employment. The Administration must not let the liberals steal and misuse a popular concept to create "porkfare." The evidence shows that "gold plated" workfare programs with expensive and sophisticated job training features in fact have only a marginal impact -- more often than not they simply cream off those who would find work anyway and merely delay their entry into the workforce. The programs that work are the simple, inexpensive ones that focus on encouragement and help for individuals to be systematic about looking for work.

Second, the Administration should emphasize the pro-family idea of tough enforcement of child support. This is sound policy, and is very popular in low income communities as well as among women. This thrust would enable the Administration to turn the welfare debate away from the dollars and cents of benefits (where the liberals make conservatives look mean) towards the vital importance of family stability as a bulwark against poverty.

Third, the Administration must stress standards and character when discussing welfare, as it does in education. Officials must point out that government can do only so much, and until there is a "welfare only as last resort" attitude in poor communities there will never be victory over poverty. The Administration should call on community, church and other leaders to work together to argue that welfare is a crutch and not merely an economic option. In return, the White House should honor those community leaders who have taken a stand against the welfare syndrome and preach standards and education, not welfare, as the way out of the ghetto.

2. A Drug-free America--The White House Conference for a Drug-Free America and other initiatives should be given immediate attention and accelerated in their timetables.

The scourge of drug use in America should be approached, from a policy perspective, in a similar vein to the welfare question--the emphasis should be on dependency, challenging the drug culture, and taking tough but compassionate action to deal with users. And like the welfare issue, the drug question should be a platform for the Administration to stress the critical importance of family and moral standards in American life.

Several approaches should be adopted. First, the Administration should use the new Conference both to develop tactics in the war on drugs and to strengthen and publicize creative local efforts. The Conference should hold hearings in a factory, to hear about effective company approaches and the human and economic costs of drug use; and in communities which have mounted successful drives against drug use.

In the case of schools, there must be a strong Administration effort to avoid the "professionalization" of anti-drug programs. Evidence indicates that some drug awareness actually encourages wider drug use, and that many "experts" can cost a great deal of money with only marginal results. But clearly, in a political culture that assumes spending money on a problem is the same thing as solving it, the Administration must tread warily--the White House has already run into a buzz saw over federal funding of drug programs. The best course is to foster quick research into which anti-drug programs actually work. The Administration should then sponsor legislation and promulgate regulations to ensure that federal funds are used only to undertake sound programs.

Mandatory drug testing will continue to be a difficult issue, pitting the civil rights view against the public health view (as in the AIDS question). The Administration should take the strong position that drug use is a public health scourge that is a danger to society--not in the sense of transmittable disease but because of the damage the drug user causes others. Thus the case of drug testing should focus on those who are in sensitive positions where the lives of others are at risk--pilots, doctors, bus drivers, air traffic controllers, etc.--and the case for more general testing should center on the economic and social costs of the drug habit (a more modern version of the anti-alcohol campaigns of generations past). And rather than argue for new laws to require testing, the initial approach should be for the Administration to meet with industry and union leaders to gain support for regular drug testing to become a condition of employment. This will be fought fiercely, but it is an issue on which the Administration should win strong public support.

Finally, although the main emphasis should be on reducing the demand for drugs, there must be continued efforts to reduce the importation of drugs. Admittedly, the impact of such efforts is marginal, but they are needed as a symbolic gesture that the U.S. is not simply prepared to allow drugs to flow into the country without some attempt to stop them. In addition, these efforts bring home the depth of the crisis and the link between drug use and crime. Middle class Americans must begin to associate cocaine and other drugs more with mobsters and contract killings, and less with fashionable cocktail parties.

3. Pro-life Legislation--The Administration must not lose the enthusiastic backing of the pro-life constituency by footdragging on this issue. While chances of a pro-life constitutional amendment look bleak, the issue should be pushed both to force the President's opponents to take a stand and to continue the philosophical debate. Continued active leadership on this issue also convinces vital parts of the Reagan Coalition to cooperate on other major initiatives.

The President has already endorsed H.R. 1729 (sponsored by Rep. Henry Hyde and over 70 co-sponsors) which will put into statute what has been an annual appropriations prohibition against use of federal funds for abortion except in cases where the life of the mother is endangered. Another feature of the bill is the prohibition under Title X of the Public Health Service Act of federal family planning funds to organizations that perform or refer for abortions. The bill also contains "findings" that the Supreme Court erred in *Roe v. Wade* in not recognizing the humanity of the unborn child.

Several Senators are ready to introduce a companion bill in the Senate; the delay has been due to the (so far unsuccessful) effort to secure a Democrat co-sponsor.

This is considered the President's bill and President Reagan is the first President to send pro-life legislation to the Congress. It needs more visible support from the President to galvanize pro-life groups into action.

The President should include a reference to this bill in his May 9 Mothers' Day radio message and in other speeches to diverse groups in coming months. In addition, an appropriate platform should be identified for a major speech on the issue in June or July.

4. Excellence in Education--Values, standards and choice in education must continue to be strong themes for the remainder of the term. The Administration has so far been reasonably successful in keeping the teacher unions on the defensive on the quality issue, and in preventing concern for more emphasis on education becoming an excuse for more federal spending. The Administration should keep up the pressure with calls for tougher teacher standards and measurable standards for student performance. In addition, the Administration should foster experiments at the state and local level to test choice initiatives and to encourage state innovation. Governors should be given credit for their innovative efforts and commitment to standards and Education officials should work with them more closely.

The strategy for education vouchers needs overhaul. One major reason for the failure in Congress is that the Administration has not cultivated support on Capitol Hill and in the business community. Another is that it has not built on the constituency for vouchers among lower-income minorities.

The Administration should work with congressional supporters of choice and standards to form an Excellence in Education Caucus to coordinate tactics. In addition, the education issue should be tied firmly to competitiveness by forming an Advisory Business Council for Education Excellence (ABCEE) to identify shortcomings in the education system.

The Administration should recast the voucher issue as a campaign to empower and give choice to low-income Americans. And to mobilize support for the approach it should work more closely with the significant black organizations that have built powerful coalitions for such policies as the privatization of public housing. In particular, the minority inner-city private school, catering to low-income families, should be formally recognized by the Administration as a vital part of American education. In addition, the principals of these schools--who are strong supporters of vouchers--should be brought to Washington to put the media spotlight on their achievements and bring firsthand information to the Congress through testimony and personal visits.

5. AIDS--The Administration can and should demonstrate its most enduring characteristics in the AIDS crisis--leadership and the ability to give clear themes to a national effort. The Administration needs to show that it is taking control of the crisis, and three clear themes should run through its actions:

- o getting the facts out and destroying myths;
- o showing compassion to those who suffer from the disease; and
- o taking firm action to deal with the scourge as "Public Health Enemy No.1," recognizing that the public health interest must take precedence over privacy--as has always been the case in dealing with epidemics throughout American history.

To coordinate efforts, find answers, and act as the official conscience of the Nation, the Administration should work closely with the Presidential Commission on Aids. In line with the themes, the strategy should be to get out accurate information to the public as quickly as possible, and not flinch from telling Americans what we do not know about the disease--Americans have the right to know when experts are not sure. False optimism and false security--such as talk about "safe" sex--should be avoided at all costs. The argument should be "better safe than sorry...or dead."

In addition, the Administration should move swiftly to coordinate and act as an information clearinghouse for efforts to help those afflicted. States, churches, hospices and community organizations should be mobilized in a highly-publicized campaign. No matter how Americans contracted the disease, the efforts must clearly be motivated by compassion and understanding, and the Administration must recognize and prepare for the fact that treating AIDS victims will pose a considerable financial burden on society. Indeed, one of the Commission's tasks should be to recommend ways of dealing with that burden.

Finally, and most importantly, the Administration must make the strongest possible case for treating this as a *public health catastrophe, not a civil rights issue*. It must argue that the crisis is so acute that it requires actions that will offend people, just as individuals must compromise on their rights during any war. It must go on to urge mandatory testing for certain occupations and in localities where the incidence of the disease is high, and to urge local authorities to take stern action to halt the spread of AIDS, such as by arresting prostitutes and their clients, closing bath houses and certain bars, and cracking down hard on herione use. Time is short for moral leadership to prevent a national tragedy from becoming a national catastrophe.

- o The "competitiveness" card should be played to take the offensive on the tax issue. The Administration should work with tax groups, business and public policy organizations highlighting cases where our tax system hampers America's ability to compete. Instances where the Japanese tax code helps their business community should be cited as examples of what the US should be doing. Business groups should be encouraged to develop figures for job losses because of the "tax gap" between the US and Japan, etc. and to press for tax reductions.

2. Medicare and Catastrophic Illness--Health care policy needs massive damage control. The Medicare expansion accepted by the President could end up being his most important domestic policy legacy, since it is potentially the peg upon which to hang a taxpayer-financed national health system. There must be strong efforts to hold down the potential cost of the Bowen program and to fend off a long-term care component. To regain control of this issue, the White House must switch the lead on the issue to OMB and the White House yet keep Bowen on the team--but as a "lineman" rather than a quarterback.

To hold the line on this issue, conservatives must recognize that Americans want a health care system that provides access to all and prevents people being wiped out by health costs. If conservatives try to oppose every bill and offer no viable alternative to a national health system, America soon will have a national health system.

The Administration needs to think through its position on state risk pools (for), mandated employer-provided health benefits (tricky, and potentially sensitive), tax deductibility only for plans with a catastrophic component (for), medical IRAs (for) and mandatory coverage for individuals (yes, for), so that it can present a market-based health system that will cover all Americans. Playing defense exclusively results in only draws and losses--and on this issue the loss could truly be monumental.

In addition, planning must begin now for the next battle in the liberal campaign for a national health system--long term care. Now is the time to press hard for tax-free accounts to enable workers to save for retirement, long term care expenses or to buy insurance. If such legislation is not achieved before the end of the Reagan Administration, it is likely that federally-funded long term care will soon be enacted.

The Administration's medicare proposals have provided liberals with an unexpected opening which they are now exploiting, and the pressure for mandated employer-financed health benefits has opened a "second front" whereby liberals are attempting to force business to pay for national health insurance if they cannot obtain it through federal spending.

Urgent action is needed if the Administration is not to lose control completely of the issue. Among the steps that should be taken:

- o To reduce pressure for a massive new federal long-term care program, give strong support for a change in the IRA law to permit individuals to devote part of their current deduction for long-term care insurance. Mobilize insurance companies behind this change. In addition, propose changing tax law to permit companies to deduct contributions to retirement health and care plans, and give strong backing to Secretary Bowen's proposal for Individual Medical Accounts.
- o Work with governors to develop general plan for state risk pools for uninsurable Americans.
- o Give careful and serious consideration to the idea of making basic plus catastrophic health coverage, or enrollment in a pre-paid plan, a requirement for all working Americans. This should be made a requirement on the individual, not his or her firm. This may be justified on the argument that if, in practice, society is expected to take ultimate responsibility for the health care of Americans, then society can expect those with adequate means to make reasonable provision for their own needs. While this would be

opposed by some civil libertarians and many of the self-employed, it is difficult to see any other way of defusing what appears to be irresistible pressure for steps to deal with the problem of underinsurance. Failure to put the onus on individuals will only feed the momentum towards mandatory "free" health care paid largely by employers.

3. Energy Security--Some hard choices need to be made soon to adopt a policy that will minimize the long-term damage to the domestic energy industry in a manner consistent with free market principles.

With a growing percentage of America's oil usage coming from the Persian Gulf, the foreign policy dangers of oil import dependence are obvious. But there is also the domestic danger that pressure to cure the problem will turn the oil industry into another agriculture industry (price supports, huge subsidies, controls on output, etc.). The Administration will have to do some unpleasant things to take care of this problem, and it had better get its ducks lined up very quickly and push the case strongly--for changes in exploration restrictions, depletion allowances, the windfall profits tax, etc. Otherwise the demand for import fees will be irresistible (and will provide the financing for new liberal programs).

America is slipping rapidly into heavy dependence on foreign oil. Domestic production has declined 10 percent in the last year while imports from Arab countries have skyrocketed. The implications of this for America's energy security in time of war, and foreign policy flexibility in peacetime, are potentially disastrous. Several actions need to be taken:

- o The Administration needs to make the existence of and reasons for this "hidden crisis" clear to the public. Systematic Saudi manipulation of production and price are one cause. But another is that Congress over two decades has made it increasingly unattractive for US companies to explore and develop reserves. Included in these barriers are limitations on access to federal lands and offshore reserves, harsh environmental constraints on drilling activity, the elimination of depletion allowances, the imposition of the windfall profits tax, and Superfund tax on crude oil and refined products. Continued price control also restrict the use of natural gas as an alternative to oil.
- o The Administration should engage in intense diplomatic action to dissuade Saudi Arabia from manipulating the supply and pricing of oil. The Saudis should be informed that any action by them to depress the price further, thereby threatening America's security, would be considered a hostile act, leading to an embargo on goods and military equipment to all OPEC members, and the imposition of a compensatory tariff, the revenues from which would be used to purchase cheap foreign oil for the Strategic Petroleum Reserve and to finance incentives for domestic US exploration.
- o The Administration should also announce an "Emergency Energy Security Strategy," which would unshackle the US oil industry without hitting US consumers and slowing economic growth (thus no gasoline tax and no import fee unless Saudis depress the world price). The strategy would involve two components:
 - a. Remove existing barriers: Legislation should be sent to Congress to repeal the Windfall Profits Tax, repeal the Fuel Use Act, ease access to federal lands (especially in the abundant Arctic National Wildlife Range), repeal the "transfer rule," permit expensing of "G and G" expenses, and decontrol natural gas pricing.

b. Giving incentives for exploration: Legislation should be submitted to provide for a drilling tax credit graduated according to the financial risk involved, deferral of payments on federal leases until economic wells are discovered, and reduction of royalties on "stripper" wells.

4. * Reregulation--Democrats can be expected to mount reregulation campaigns on several fronts--in banking, telecommunications, transportation, the environment--and coalitions must be developed to defeat these efforts.

For instance, story after story in the media is reinforcing the idea that airline deregulation has not worked and that the deregulation of the telephone system was a disaster. These myths need to be laid to rest by an Administration effort to quantify and popularize the gains from deregulation. In addition, whenever there are indications that pressure is mounting to reregulate an industry, the Administration must work closely with business groups and public policy organizations to defeat legislation. Airline reregulation is clearly the number one threat at the moment and coordinated action is necessary.

The danger of Reregulation is very real. Airplane near misses and delays, interstate trucking accidents, the breakup of AT&T and other developments have shaken public confidence in deregulation and fed pressure for Reregulation. The facts show clearly that deregulation has worked, but the Administration must take action to deal with the public perception and mobilize coalitions against reregulation. Among the necessary actions:

- o Educate the public and Congress on the virtues of deregulation. Examples: foster broad coalitions supporting deregulation modelled on groups organized by DOT; use the Vice-President's Task Force on Regulatory Reform as a platform; require each appropriate department to assemble and disseminate information kits on deregulation; and estimate and publicize job-creation and cost reductions due to deregulation.
- o Reappoint commissioners on regulatory agencies who support deregulation. Replace those who do not.
- o Make clear that any legislation imposing reregulation will be vetoed. Prepare Job Impact Statements and Consumer Impact Statements whenever reregulation is proposed.
- o Make positive proposals to ease the problems of deregulated industries, such as proposing tighter truck safety rules, imposing market-based landing fees at airports, and privatizing part of the air traffic control system.
- o Take the offensive by proposing further deregulation, on the grounds that it will stimulate competitiveness. Examples: wider trucking deregulation, elimination of constraints on "Baby Bells," and reform of antitrust.

*Because the author is a member of the Board of Directors of a national bank, no reference is made to any banking-related activities.

5. Homelessness--The Administration considers homelessness legislation to be no more than a minor political irritant, and so has no strategy for dealing with the issue. This is a serious mistake. Homelessness is a cover for the President's opponents to press for whatever spending they can argue is obscurely related to an alleged federal failure. The homelessness issue could thus be used as a powerful device to reverse the past successes of the Administration in reducing the role of the federal government in this area. It is a step backwards from the goal of restoring the principle of federalism. [The same, incidentally, is true of workfare (it's amazing how many traditional and expensive liberal policies are now examples of "workfare") and "competitiveness" (here comes protectionism, industrial policy, more federal spending on incompetent NEA teachers and so on).]

It is time for a counterattack, targetting cynical mayors and local officials who have caused the problem and now sleep on grates once a year to show their "compassion." This battle can be won. If it is lost, it will prove a Trojan Horse for enormous levels of new spending.

6. "Federalization" of the Family--The Administration has assumed that the family issue is safely its own. In so doing, it is ignoring a strong and effective campaign by liberals to hijack the issue and use it to cut deeply into a key part of the Reagan constituency--yuppie families. Essentially the liberals are focusing on issues that appeal to the two-earner young, affluent and professional family. Examples: mandatory parental leave, mandated employer health benefits for dependents, tax incentives for day care.

Dealing with this threat will be no easy task. It is going to be necessary to introduce some guilt ("Why should single people with a Chevy be taxed more so that you can buy your second Saab?") and perhaps focus on the economics of business profitability ("and thus your own prospects") and increased business costs.

7. Campaign Finance Reform--Democrats are actively pushing new legislation to establish taxpayer funding of congressional campaigns and set new limits on PAC contributions to candidates. Taxpayer funding of campaigns must be strenuously opposed. PAC limitations are unwise because, in reality, PACs have been the vehicles for massive voluntary citizen financing of elections and the system is working well. The current requirement for public disclosure by campaign committees and candidates is an effective safeguard against corruption. New limitations would further insulate incumbents from challengers and violate the spirit of First Amendment rights of citizens.

V. DEFENSIVE PRIORITIES THAT MUST BE CONFRONTED

1. No New Taxes--Efforts to raise taxes as part of a budget package must be resisted vigorously. The liberals are constantly looking for an excuse to raise taxes, in order to take the pressure off spending reductions. The Administration must continue to resist this. The lack of tax hikes as an option is the only reason that the Administration has achieved any success at all in the fight to control the expansion of government. Every time the liberals put forward a new tax hike proposal, they must be aggressively attacked for wanting to take money out of the pockets of Americans before they are prepared to end the waste inherent in the porkbarrel budget process.

An essential part of any strategy to defeat new tax proposals must be an *announced veto strategy*: a forthright promise that (1) the President will veto any appropriations bill which exceeds his budget request significantly and (2) the President will not sign any "omnibus" continuing resolution at the eleventh hour. He must be willing to call the bluff of the Democratic Leadership on this issue and must persuade Republican Congressional leaders and sympathetic conservative Democrats to stand with him. This "veto strategy" must be announced now and must be made credible by both tough talk and specific actions.

Administration resistance to any tax increase, no matter how well disguised, is vital if the White House is to achieve such objectives as budget reform, privatization and spending control. On this issue there must be no compromise. The Administration was persuaded to raise taxes in 1982 on the congressional promise that spending would be curbed and the deficit cut. Taxes were increased -- and the deficit rose.

The No New Taxes strategy should be pursued in several ways:

- o Any bill containing a tax increase should be vetoed. In the veto message the President should cite examples of outrageous porkbarrel spending already passed by Congress, and name congressmen who voted for the spending "and now want to take your money to pay for it."
- o Peter Grace and Jack Anderson should be used more effectively in the strategy, as should the Grace Caucus on Capitol Hill. Each time there is a proposal to raise taxes, there should be denunciations of waste at congressional hearings.
- o The Tax Pledge should be revived. Taxpayer organizations should be encouraged to approach congressmen and ask for their signatures. All Administration officials making speeches on the road should ask "Has you Congressman signed the Tax Pledge?"
- o The anti-tax groups should be utilized more effectively by the White House, with regular strategy meetings. Groups such as the National Taxpayers Union, the League of Concerned Americans, and Americans for Tax Reform have strong grass roots organizations.

VI. TARGETS OF OPPORTUNITY [*Other items will be provided.*]

A. Legislative and Intergovernmental

1. Judicial Appointments--A Supreme Court vacancy would move this item to the top of the list, but otherwise it is a very important but low-profile initiative that can be handled through careful planning and coordination among DOJ and White House staff.

2. Pornography Legislation--The Attorney General has on his desk a draft bill on pornography which incorporates proposals derived from The Pornography Commission Report of 1986. The proposals are of limited scope but represent an important first step. There is a broad coalition of "mainline" religious organizations and denominations ready to support the legislation (The Religious Alliance Against Pornography). The White House should expedite the clearance of this draft legislation and send it to the Hill as soon as possible. Sponsors will not be difficult to find, and opposition will be mainly from the ACLU and groups that oppose all attempts to deal with pornography. This is a battle we can win.

3. Superconducting Supercollider--Establish as a *quid pro quo* for states that get SSC contracts that they must participate in the nuclear waste disposal program.

The Administration has committed to funding the construction of a 53-mile circumference, \$4.4 billion particle accelerator. When completed, it will be the most advanced particle accelerator in the world, and will help to open vast new areas of understanding of the basic building blocks of nature.

A controversy has arisen in the scientific community over the construction of the SSC due to its enormous cost. Some scientists argue that the money required to build it would be better spent by funding many small-scale projects. They argue that the Reagan Administration has cut back on funding for general science, and that as a result, concentrating so much of the remaining funds on one project will result in many other worthwhile programs being abandoned.

While increased spending for basic science research is probably warranted, even in times of budget austerity, it is often necessary to make hard choices. The SSC represents such a choice. There are a number of reasons why it was selected. First, it is a virtual text-book example of the type of basic science government should fund. It is extremely long-term in nature (construction is not anticipated to be completed until 1996), and although it is certain to yield benefits far surpassing its cost over time, there is no way to determine in advance just what those benefits will be. Therefore, it is the classic high-risk, long-term, high-potential payoff research that industry is unwilling or unable to undertake, but that government can. In addition, it can yield immediate benefits due to the fact that some 26 states are seeking to have the facility located within their jurisdiction. Given the widespread interest at the state level, the possibility exists to have states accept some unpopular program in exchange for having the SSC built within their boundaries.

The best candidate for a *quid pro quo* in exchange for getting the SSC would be the acceptance of the proposed DOE nuclear waste repository site. The disposal of nuclear waste, while not a technical problem, has proved an intractable political problem. Everyone acknowledges the need to dispose of spent fuel and the byproducts of nuclear weapons production, but no one wants the repository in their "back yard". Therefore, the siting of the SSC should be linked to the siting of the nation's nuclear waste repository.

There are several additional reasons to link the two projects. First, the research conducted with the aid of the SSC falls in the discipline of nuclear physics. It may indeed generate some radioactive materials during the course of its operation. Secondly, the construction and operation of the SSC will bring together a team of scientists who are expert in nuclear-related technologies. This same group would also be ideally qualified to monitor the operation of the nuclear waste site. Finally, since the construction and siting of the SSC in a particular jurisdiction will bring enormous financial benefits to that region both in terms of skilled construction jobs, and later in employment for scientists and technical personnel, as well as the infrastructure to support their activities, the locality which receives it will have won enormous gains from a project funded by all taxpayers. It would follow then that the jurisdiction should be willing to accept some of the costs of scientific progress, i.e., nuclear waste disposal, in exchange.

4. Enterprise Zones--If federal enterprise zone designation is not achieved in the new housing bill, simply declare 100 state zones to be federal enterprise zones, cutting ribbons in each and putting them on fast track for discretionary regulatory relief, foreign trade zone status and other non-cash benefits.

5. Enterprise Corps--To build on the trend toward capitalism in the Less Developed Countries, the Administration should sponsor the creation of an Enterprise Corps of young business executives and entrepreneurs. Corpsmen would advise governments and the private sector in LDCs on the creation of enterprises, and inform the Administration how best it could help this process.

The funding of the process should be entirely private. The White House Office of Private Sector Initiatives would solicit funding and organizational assistance from the business community.

B. Executive Orders & Administrative Arena

1. Pornography-- An Executive Order prohibiting promotion and sale of pornographic publications on federal installations (as advocated by Senator Armstrong) would gain wide public support. A legal challenge is to be expected, but the action itself would yield political gains regardless of the outcome of court tests.

2. Job Impact Assessment for Federal Regulations--The President should require that all agencies routinely prepare Job Impact Statements on all economic legislation, to demonstrate the indirect cost and benefits.

3. Personnel Selections--Political appointments in the last months of the Administration must be consistent with policy goals and priorities, and standards must be upheld across-the-board. Strong leadership will be necessary by the Office of Presidential Personnel in the White House and strong support for OPP from the President and Chief of Staff will be essential.

4. Slave Labor Imports--Instruct OMB to prepare an Executive Order to implement recent legislation prohibiting the importation of products from the Soviet Union and Soviet satellites made by slave labor. Congress has spoken on this issue, but the law has not been enforced.

VII. IMPLEMENTATION

A. General Recommendations

1. **Presidential leadership** is the key. The President must not only "be seen to be actively involved," he must in fact be involved and the staff must communicate this reality to the public. The President must show through specific actions and decisions that he is fully in command of his Administration and intends to pursue an activist policy agenda for the next eighteen months.
2. White House **staff coordination** must be vastly improved over the levels of the past two years. The March 26 recommendations by Ken Cribb, under the title "Improved Coordination of the Executive Branch," should be implemented fully. Particular attention must be paid in the next sixty days to the coordination of policy priorities with the budget process in the development of OMB's FY 1989 "targets" to be transmitted to executive departments and agencies this summer. The "targets" must reflect the President's policy agenda and policy themes and not be merely a rehash of earlier OMB "wish lists."
3. The Chief of Staff should order the implementation of a new **Domestic Policy Decision Directive (DPDD)** system similar to the National Security Decision Directive system that has been in place for many years. Too many decisions are never really implemented and no one is held accountable for the breakdown. Domestic Policy (which for purposes of the DPDD system includes economic policy) is equally deserving and needful of systematic monitoring and follow-up as national security policy--and the existence of a formal system of accountability will enhance the discipline and thoroughness of the policy process.
4. Agency **teamwork** must be demanded and enforced; no "freelancing." Senator Baker's initiatives toward closer Cabinet coordination are vital steps in this effort. Maintaining morale and effective teamwork requires that aberrant officials be called to account and brought back on the reservation--or removed from the team. But the *positive* reasons are more important: we all owe our allegiance to Ronald Reagan and we can achieve lasting results only if we act and behave as a real team.
5. **Congressional participation** is essential; Republican leaders must be brought in on the ground level of all initiatives and floor leaders identified for all proposals. Key congressional supporters should be "stroked" more often and our strongest allies given more visible praise and encouragement. Communication has already improved dramatically under Senator Baker's direction and this must be continued and focused on our priority issues.
6. Coordination with **broad coalitions** of outside groups will be the cornerstone of all successful legislative and long-range political efforts. Many of the coalitions who worked so energetically with the Administration in the first term have become disillusioned and, therefore, inactive in the last eighteen months. However, they will respond to new leadership and clarity of purpose. The President must be available to meet with key groups and coalitions to show mutual respect and support and stimulate increased activity.
7. **Timeframes** should be established for all major initiatives and a specific White House official assigned to report regularly on progress toward the objective.

B. Elements of Policy Implementation

The "Implementation Plans" in this section identify specific Presidential decisions and White House initiatives to accomplish the following implementation tasks. The setting forth of concrete actions and timetables is not meant to "second guess" White House officials charged with various areas of policy management. They are, instead, intended to recommend a "menu" of actions that move us forward in a coordinated manner.

1. Setting the Policy Agenda
2. Directing Specific Policy Actions
3. Establishing Personal Accountability and Timetables
4. Meetings with Key Congressional Leaders
5. Signals to Promote Morale and Enthusiasm among Political Appointees
6. Signing Specific Executive Orders to Show Forward Movement.
7. Identifying and motivating outside leaders for broad coalitions to mobilize the vast resources available to the President as leader of a powerful campaign on a scale similar to a re-election effort.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

STRATEGIC THEME: ECONOMIC GROWTH

POLICY OBJECTIVE: BUDGET REFORM AND DEFICIT REDUCTION

Actions Required	Timeframe (Week of)	Task Coordinator
1. Establish new WH task force on budget reform w/ June 15 report date for "Budget Accountability Act of 1987"	Immediate	Miller / OMB
2. Continue to issue strong WH statements on holding to G-R-H targets and opposition to tax increase	Immediate	Crippin / WH
3. Make strong endorsement of Quayle "enhanced rescission" amendment	Immediate	Ball / WH
4. Stimulate creation of Budget Reform Coalition of business and taxpayer groups	Immediate	Maseng/Cribb
5. Veto all spending bills that exceed the President's request levels	Ongoing	Miller
6. WH task force recommendations on Budget Accountability Act to EPC/Cabinet	June 1	Risque
7. WH t.f. proposals to President; strong Presidential statement transmitting bill to the Congress	June 15	Crippin
8. More frequent speeches/interviews/etc. by Cabinet officers on budget reform & deficit reduction to diverse constituencies	Ongoing	Risque
9. Presidential address to state legislature that has endorsed balanced budget constitutional amendment	ASAP	Donatelli
10. Agressive public information program w/ Budget Reform Coalition and media	Ongoing	Maseng/ et al
11. Strong emphasis in Jan. '88 State of the Union message on need for B.A.A. (if not passed in '87)	January 1988	----
12.		
13.		

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

STRATEGIC THEME: ECONOMIC GROWTH

POLICY OBJECTIVE: PRIVATIZATION

Actions Required	Timeframe (Week of)	Task Coordinator
1. President visits Dallas for meeting w/ grass roots activists	May 25	Griscom
2. President to announce decision to establish a Privatization Commission	June 3	Miller
3. White House ceremony to introduce Commission members	June 29	Cribb
4. Radio address on importance of privatization to low income Americans	June 29	Griscom
5. Planning group formed to organize WH conference on Privatization	June 29	Cribb
6. Offices of Private Sector Initiatives established in each department agency reporting to agency head	June 29	Miller
7. Presidential visits, w/ Commission to exemplary cases of privatization, including Phoenix commercial contracting & St. Louis tenant-managed public housing project	August-September	Griscom
8. Interim report of Commission identifying privatization candidates	October 5	Miller
9. WH briefings w/ groups affected by Commission plans	October-December	Miller
10. Legislative planning mtgs. w/ Hill supporters of privatization	October-December	Ball
11. Incorporation of Commission findings into President's FY89 budget	November 1987	Miller
12. Speeches & testimony by Cabinet officers on privatization plans	Ongoing-to peak in February of 1988	Risque
13. Hold privatization conference	March 1988	Miller

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

STRATEGIC THEME: ECONOMIC GROWTH

POLICY OBJECTIVE: COMPETITIVENESS

Actions Required	Timeframe (Week of)	Task Coordinator
1. Appointment of high level WH official to handle trade legislation with Congress	Immediate	Chief of Staff
2. Support Kemp-Gramm trade bill, establishing free trade areas	Immediate	Chief of Staff
3. Offer at June Economic Summit & in UN that US will negotiate bilateral free trade areas w/ willing countries	June 1	Yuetter
4. Testimony by Administration emphasizing competitiveness impact of legislation increasing labor & business money	May-June	Ball
5. Appointment of Business Advisory Council on competitiveness to identify govt. impediments to productivity improvement	June 15	Cribb
6. Presidential lunch for all CEA chairmen since Kennedy Administration w/ joint declaration denouncing protectionism	June 15	Cribb
7. Major address to union defining competitiveness as productivity improvement helping labor	July 6	Griscom
8. Address attacking protectionism as anti-consumer & lay out pro-consumer Administration positions	July 27	Griscom
9. Preparation of "Consumer Impact Statements" by USTR and Commerce	Ongoing	OMB
10. Preparation of "Job Impact Statements" by USTR, Commerce and Labor	Ongoing	OMB
11. "Presidential Competitiveness Awards" to firms that show innovation, productivity gains, etc.	August	Cribb
12. Submission of "Competitiveness Charter" legislation with Advisory Council recommendations	October	Cribb
13.		

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

STRATEGIC THEME: Quality of Life (Traditional Values)

POLICY OBJECTIVE: Welfare Reform

Actions Required	Timeframe (Week of)	Task Coordinator
1. Regular briefings & information packages for key nat'l and local journalists	Ongoing	Griscom
2. Briefing and information packages for friendly public policy groups on Administration plan	Ongoing	Maseng
3. Regular presentations by Administration officials to conferences of welfare organizations	Ongoing	Griscom/Risque
4. Coordination of studies/statements of policy groups questioning effectiveness of "gold plated" workfare programs	Ongoing	Bauer
5. WH briefings for congressmen on welfare proposals	June	Bale
6. Intergovt'l Relations task force to survey state officials regarding bureaucratic & policy barriers in welfare system	June-July	Donatelli
7. Major presidential address to Nat'l Urban Coalition emphasizing strengths & opportunities in low income communities	August 3	Griscom
8. Presidential visit to successful community-based welfare project	August 3	Griscom
9. Presidential visit w/ Governor, to innovative state welfare project	August 24	Donatelli
10. Report IR task force	August 24	Donatelli
11. Major presidential address to a state legislature highlighting innovation at state level & barriers of existing system	Summer	Donatelli
12. Coordination of field hearings in states & communities w/ Hill supporters	September	Donatelli
13. WH mtg. for governors supportive of proposals, followed by Hill testimony	September 28	Donatelli
14. Presidential awards at WH to innovative community-based welfare projects followed by Hill testimony	October 5	Donatelli

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

STRATEGIC THEME: QUALITY OF LIFE (TRADITIONAL VALUES)

POLICY OBJECTIVE: RESTORING EXCELLENCE TO AMERICAN EDUCATION

Actions Required	Timeframe (Week of)	Task Coordinator
1. Secretary Bennett to visit several inner city private schools to promote voucher concept	Ongoing	Risque
2. Help organize and Excellence in Education Caucus in Congress & conduct field hearings on what works in Education	ASAP	Bauer
3. Launch a voucher experiment using Secretary of Education's Discretionary Fund	ASAP	Bauer
4. Presidential visit, w/ Governor, to state education program, followed by speech highlighting importance of state initiatives to improve teacher quality	September	Donatelli
5. Creation of, American Business Council for Education Excellence drawn from business leaders, to identify shortcomings of American education in quest for business competitiveness	June 8	Bauer
6. Report of the ABC EE	early Fall '87	Bauer
7. Speeches by Bennett urging state & local action on ABC EE report	Ongoing	Risque
8. Develop new voucher proposal, aimed at low-income parents, w/ assistance from Governors and by inner city private schools	ASAP	Bauer
9. Submission of voucher legislation	ASAP	OMB/Bennett
10. Sponsor a conference in Washington of inner city private school principals to endorse vouchers, followed by press conference and Hill testimony	October	Risque
11.		
12.		
13.		

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

STRATEGIC THEME: QUALITY OF LIFE (TRADITIONAL VALUES)

POLICY OBJECTIVE: PRO-LIFE LEGISLATION

Actions Required	Timeframe (Week of)	Task Coordinator
1. Mention of H.R. 1729 in one of the President's May radio speeches	May 9-30	Griscom
2. President should accept invitation to speak at NRLC annual convention in New Orleans, June 18-20	June 18-20	Griscom
3. President should invite to Oval Office half-dozen key pro-life leaders, if New Orleans speech is not feasible	ASAP	Bauer/Griscom
4. President should include brief mention of the bill in speeches to diverse groups, not just religious ones	Ongoing	Griscom
5. President should instruct his legislative staff to give H.R. 1729 needed attention & support it <u>now</u>	Immediate	Ball
6. President should personally telephone key Congressional co-sponsors to praise and encourage their efforts	Immediate	Ball
7.		
8.		
9.		
10.		
11.		
12.		
13.		

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

STRATEGIC THEME: QUALITY OF LIFE (TRADITIONAL VALUES)

POLICY OBJECTIVE: DRUG-FREE AMERICA

Actions Required	Timeframe (Week of)	Task Coordinator
1. Complete the staffing of the WH Conference	Immediate	Bauer
2. Announce plans for field hearings in factories, schools, urban areas to focus on positive programs that work	May 18	Bauer
3. Ask OPM & federal agency heads to intensify drug awareness and rehabilitation programs	May 25	Risque
4. Continue the First Lady's strong identification with the issue through selected travel/media opportunities	Ongoing	Griscom
5. President should deliver major addresses on <u>costs</u> of drug abuse in both economic and human terms	July-August	Griscom
6. Develop ambitious, comprehensive plan for maximum media impact of Conference on college & teenage youth	August-September	Griscom
7. Follow up on Conference by forming a permanent Presidential Advisory Commission for a Drug-Free America	September	Bauer
8. Continue to stress interdiction of illegal drugs at our borders & publicize penalties for those caught & convicted	Ongoing	Risque
9. Continue to pressure foreign governments to curtail production and shipment of illegal drugs	Ongoing	Risque
10.		
11.		
12.		
13.		

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

STRATEGIC THEME: QUALITY OF LIFE (TRADITIONAL VALUES)

POLICY OBJECTIVE: AIDS COMMISSION

Actions Required	Timeframe (Week of)	Task Coordinator
1. Appoint persons to the AIDS Commission who will look at the issue from a public health perspective, rather than a "privacy" perspective	Immediate	Tuttle
2. Support the efforts of Secretary Bennett to generate public consensus on need for coherent approach to containment	Ongoing	Risque
3. President should speak out personally on theme of research efforts and compassion for victims	Ongoing	Griscom
4. President should instruct Surgeon General Koop to treat AIDS as the number one health menace and develop containment plan accordingly	Immediate	Risque
5. Once established the Commission should focus on ascertaining facts & developing effective public awareness program	ASAP	Bauer
6. Administration must endorse mandatory testing for certain occupations and population segments	Immediate	Bauer
7.		
8.		
9.		
10.		
11.		
12.		
13.		

IMPLEMENTATION RESOURCES PROFILE

Issue: Budget Process

WH Liaison: Maseng

<u>Organization/Coalition</u>	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Comments</u>
1. U.S. Chamber of Commerce	Ron Utt	-Coordinating the "Taxpayer Protection Pledge;" Also, has surveys of members on impact of deficit
2. National Taxpayer's Union	David Keating	-Grass-root work on balanced budget amendment; works against tax hikes
3. Arme'y's Budget Commandoes	David Hobbes	-Targets pork barrel legislation, attempts to force votes on parochial spending items
4. Senate Grace Caucus	Senator Gordon Humphrey	-Crafts legislation to enact Grace Commission recommendations
5. Citizens for a Sound Economy	Dan Witt	-Publishes position papers on the federal budget; fiscally conservative
6. Citizens Against Government Waste	John Grobowski	-Supports implementation of Grace Commission recommendations
7. American Legislative Exchange Council	Connie Heckman	-Organization of conservative state legislators
8. League of Concerned Americans	Scott Rasmussen	-Grass-root group dedicated to getting the public knowledgeable about, and involved in the federal budget
9. American Enterprise Institute	John Makin	-Conducts scholarly research on the budget process and generates ideas for reform
10. The Heritage Foundation	Stuart Butler	-Timely public policy research papers and effective communications program

IMPLEMENTATION RESOURCES PROFILE

Issue: Privatization

WH Liaison: OMB Associate Director for Privatization

<u>Organization/Coalition</u>	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Comments</u>
1. The Heritage Foundation	Stuart Butler	Leading strategist on issue, knowledgeable in international and local privatization.
2. The Reason Foundation	Robert Poole	Maintains information bank.
3. The Adam Smith Institute	Peter Young	International expert.
4. The Privatization Council	David Seader	"Trade association" for accountants interested in privatization.
5. Business Alliance for Government Competitiveness	Frank Sellers	Trade Association for commercial contractors.
6. Citizens for a Sound Economy	Dan Witt	Grass roots privatization lobby for privatization.
7. Competitive Enterprise Institute	Fred Smith	Coalition builders and lobbyists -- especially good on environmental and transport privatization.
8. Chamber of Commerce	Ron Utt	Good for testing business interest.
9. Arney's Budget Commandoes	David Hobbs	Arney heads House privatization activities.
10. Citizens Against Government Waste	John Grabowski	Promotes: Grace Commission privatization proposals.

IMPLEMENTATION RESOURCES PROFILE

Issue: COMPETITIVENESS

WH Liaison: MASENG

<u>Organization/Coalition</u>	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Comments</u>
1. Competitive Enterprise Institute	Fred Smith Deanna Tanner	Best coalition builders in town
2. Citizens for a Sound Economy	Mary Alexander Richard Fink	
3. Cato Institute	Ed Crane David Boaz	Cato Forums provide good opportunities to get our positions across
4. Republican Research Committee U.S. House of Representatives	Robert Okun	Good for proposals that will sell on the Hill
5. People Restoring an Internationally Competitive Economy (PRICE) Cong. DeLay	Cong. Tom DeLay Jeff Judson	DeLay is taking the lead on this issue
6. Council on Competitiveness	Alan Magazine	
7. Congressional Competitiveness Caucus	Senator Baucus Senator Chafee	
8. Pro Trade Group	Kay Deines	Pro free trade business coalition. Focuses on competitive issues where necessary. Active Hill lobbying.
9. The Heritage Foundation	Ed Hudgins	Best for papers, good PR work, conferences, luncheons, etc.
10. Washington University Center for the Study of American Business (St. Louis)	Murray Weidenbaum	Good for original research, studies
11. George Mason University	Various faculty	Again, good original work
12. Richard McKenzie Clemson University		A one man source of papers, books, new ideas on the issue

IMPLEMENTATION RESOURCES PROFILE

Issue: Education

WH Liaison:

<u>Organization/Coalition</u>	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Comments</u>
* 1. National Center for Neighborhood Enterprise	Robert Woodson	Have identified and work with independent inner city schools.
* 2. Institute for Independent Education	Joan Ratteray	Same as above.
3. Free Congress Foundation	Michael Schwartz	Working on state vouchers.
4. The Institute for Learning	Joe Nathan	Consultant for the National Governor's Associations task force on parental choice (expert on state initiatives)
5.		
*5 6. East Harlem School District	Seymour Fliegel	Public school choice system that's working well.
:6 7. The Jefferson League, Vermont	Jim & Linda Miller	Libertarians pushing for full choice in Vermont.
7 8. Institute for Liberty & Community	John McClaughry	Strong proponent of vouchers for many years. Special focus - Vermont.
8 9. U.S. Dept. of Education	Peter Greer Patricia Lines	Working with localities and states who are instituting choice programs.
9 10. The Heritage Foundation	Eileen Gardner	

* Low-income, minority population

IMPLEMENTATION RESOURCES PROFILE

Issue: Welfare Reform

WH Liaison:

<u>Organization/Coalition</u>	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Comments</u>
1. National Center for Neighborhood Enterprise	Robert Woodson	Best "salesman" of Administration position in country, especially among black community.
2. National Association of Neighborhoods	Steve Glaude	Supportive of Administration, provides access to neighborhood groups.
3. National Association of the Southern Poor	Don Anderson	Former poverty warrior now preaching decentralization among rural poor.
4. National Forum Foundation	--	Research, lobbying group for welfare reform
5. The Rockford Institute	Allan Carlson	Good in research, especially income security.
6. American Legislative Exchange Council	Connie Heckman	Membership group of conservative state legislatures.
7. The Heritage Foundation	Robert Rector Stuart Butler	Analysis on welfare issues. Developing conservative positions. Well-connected with grass roots groups.
8. Institute for Educational Affairs	Leslie Lenkowsky	Good for analysis.
9. American Enterprise Institute	John Weicher Michael Novak	Good for analysis, especially housing issues and values.
10. --	Charles Murray	Good for analysis.

IMPLEMENTATION RESOURCES PROFILE

Issue: PRO-LIFE LEGISLATION

WH Liaison: MASENG & BAUER

Organization/Coalition

Contact

Comments

1. National Right to Life Committee

David Osteen
626-8800

The largest network of pro-life
state affiliates

2. Christian Action Council

Curt Young
237-2100

Evangelical Christian coalition

3. Ad Hoc Committee in Defense of Life

Jack Fowler
347-8686

Effective newsletter operation

4. Concerned Women for America

Elizabeth Kepley
628-3014

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.