

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name DEAVER, MICHAEL: FILES

Withdrawer

KDB 7/28/2011

File Folder MAY 1984 OUTGOING (3)

FOIA

F97-0066/19

Box Number 20

COHEN, D

27

DOC NO	Doc Type	Document Description	No of Pages	Doc Date	Restrictions
1	LETTER	M. DEAVER TO PETER UEBERROTH	2	5/31/1984	B6

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 21, 1984


Dear Mr. Hitchcock:

Thank you for your recent note to Mr. Deaver with the attached newspaper clippings regarding the passing away of Mr. Antenucci.

I have passed your correspondence on to Mr. Deaver. I wanted you to know that the President and Mrs. Reagan were made aware of this death and sent a letter of condolence to his widow, Mrs. Antenucci on May 14th.

Thank you for your thoughtfulness which prompted your sending Mr. Deaver the local notices.

Sincerely,



Gail W. Ledwig
Secretary to
Michael K. Deaver

Mr. Christopher P. Hitchcock
29500 Solon Road
Solon, Ohio 44139

XX

May 14, 1984

Mrs. Jo Ann Antenucci
5387 East 111th Street
Garfield Heights, Ohio 44125

Nancy and I send our condolences on Mr. Antenucci's death. May Our Lord console you with His peace and warm memories of your life together. Our thoughts and prayers are with you. With our deep sympathy,

RONALD REAGAN

Anne Higgins
456-7610

RR:AVH:KCS:pps

bcc: Jane Davis, Legis. Affs.

Christopher P. Hitchcock

29500 Solon Road
Solon, Ohio 44139

Michael K. Deaver

Deputy Chief of Staff

The White House

May 14, 1984

Dear Mr. Deaver,

I thought you would want a
copy of a local notice on the passing
away of Mr. Antenucci.

Sincerely,

Chris

Local man, aided Reagan after assassination try, dies

Associated Press

Funeral services will be held Saturday for Alfred Antenucci, a union leader who helped Secret Service agents knock down John W. Hinckley Jr. after President Reagan was shot in 1981.

Antenucci, 71, was the retired president and business manager for Local 1750 of the Carpenters Union. He suffered an apparent heart attack eight days ago at his home and was taken to Marymount Hospital, where he died yesterday.

He was in Washington on March 30, 1981, to attend the National Building Trades Conference at the Washington Hilton Hotel, where Reagan gave a speech.

Antenucci was waiting outside the hotel, hoping to catch a glimpse of the

president, when a man directly in front of him began shooting at Reagan.

Antenucci jumped on Hinckley and hit him on the back of the head a split second before Secret Service agents also jumped on the gunman.

Antenucci was hospitalized after that incident with an irregular heartbeat. He later met with Reagan, and a street was named for him in his hometown of Garfield Heights, near Cleveland.

Reagan sent Antenucci a get-well message last Thursday, saying: "Nancy and I want you to know that we are pulling for you. We are very sorry about your hospitalization and we join the many who are remembering you in their thoughts and prayers. Please take care and God bless you. Ronald Reagan."

MAY 10, 1984

ANTENUCCI

ALFRED A. ANTENUCCI, age 71, beloved husband of Jo Ann (nee Whitehead), devoted father of Maria Bennett and Dominic A., brother of the late Albert and Edith Chiapetta, beloved grandfather of Jennifer, Alfredino (Dino) and Amanda. Friends are asked to join the family at the Mass of the Resurrection which will be celebrated Saturday, May 12 at St. John's Cathedral, 1807 Superior Ave., at 8:30 a.m. Interment Crown Hill Cemetery. VISITATION FOR FAMILY AND FRIENDS WILL BE AT THE GOLUBSKI-DELIBERATO FUNERAL HOME, CORNER OF GARFIELD BLVD. AND TURNEY RD., THURSDAY AND FRIDAY 2-9 P.M.

Alfred A. Antenucci, subdued Hinckley

Alfred A. Antenucci, the first person to move to subdue John W. Hinckley Jr. after the 1981 assassination attempt on President Reagan, died yesterday at Marymount Hospital.

Mr. Antenucci, 71, who had no prior history of heart disease, developed an irregular heart beat after the Washington episode, which eventually resulted in his death.

He had been hospitalized since May 1 after losing consciousness at his Garfield Heights home.

Reagan sent Antenucci a get-well message last Thursday, saying: "Nancy and I want you to know that we are pulling for you. We are very sorry about your hospitalization and we join the many who are remembering you in their thoughts and prayers. Please take care and God Bless You. Ronald Reagan."

Mr. Antenucci was born in Cleveland and attended John Adams High School. Upon graduation, he apprenticed as a carpenter, specializing in home construction, rising to foreman on many home construction sites before being elected president and business agent of Carpenters Union, Local 1750, a post he held until his retirement last year.

Mr. Antenucci was in Washington on March 30, 1981, attending the National Building Trades Conference at which Reagan gave a speech.

He said he was waiting outside the

convention hotel, hoping for a glimpse of the president, when a man directly in front of him began firing shots at Reagan.

He leaped on Hinckley just before Secret Service agents and police jumped on the deranged gunman.

"It was after that happened that he developed the irregular heart beat and had to be hospitalized," said Eileen Antenucci, his daughter-in-law.

When he and Reagan recovered, Mr. Antenucci met with the president in Chicago. He was honored by Ohio and was named Italian Man of the Year by Cleveland and by Chicago in 1981. A street in Garfield Heights was renamed Antenucci Dr. in his honor.

Mr. Antenucci, long a leading labor figure, served on the election committee for the national AFL-CIO, was a delegate to the Carpenters District Council, served on the Ohio Employment and Unemployment Compensation Commission and was a member of the Building Trades Council of Greater Cleveland.

He was a past vice president of the Boys Town of Italy Commission for the State of Ohio.

An avid golfer, Mr. Antenucci passed his love for the game to his only son, Dominic, who is the head golf professional at the Walden Golf & Tennis Club in Aurora.

"One of the proudest moments of

his life was when he won the Scottsdale Academy's pro-am tournament last year in Arizona," his son said.

He said his father was to have been inducted into Cleveland's Italian Sports Hall of Fame during the Memorial Day weekend.

Mr. Antenucci is survived by his wife, the former JoAnn Whitehead; his son, Dominic A.; his daughter, Maria Bennett, and three grandchildren.

Services will be at 8:30 a.m., Saturday, at St. John Cathedral, E. 9th St. and Superior Ave.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 21, 1984

Dear Mr. Voss:

Thank you for the First Day of Issue Commemorative pamphlets and stamps you so kindly sent to Mr. Deaver. He certainly does appreciate your thoughtfulness which prompted your sending them and your making the wonderful collection available for him.

Your suggestion for a replacement for Mr. Feldstein has also been passed along for his consideration and attention.

Thank you again.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Donna L. Blume".

Donna L. Blume
Executive Assistant to
Michael K. Deaver

The Honorable Peter E. Voss
Governor
Board of Governors
United States Postal Service
Washington, D.C. 20260-1000



BOARD OF GOVERNORS
Washington, DC 20260-1000

Thought you might like to have this First Day of
Issue Commemorative for your personal collection
or that of a friend.

With kindest regards,

Peter E. Voss
Governor
United States Postal Service

PEV/ms

Enclosure

The President has seen _____

Received SS
1984 MAY 22 AM 8:39

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

May 22, 1984

OK RR

MEMORANDUM TO THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

MICHAEL K. DEAVER

Mike

You asked me to look into the newclip story that LULAC (Hispanics) had invited you to speak to their group and we turned them down.

In fact, they wanted to come in and talk to you about the immigration issue and we properly so, referred them to Justice.

If you have any further questions, I've attached Jim Cicconi's memo.

Let me know if you want to pursue further.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 15, 1984

*Hold
for 9:00
with TR*

MEMORANDUM FOR MICHAEL K. DEEVER

FROM: JAMES W. CICCONI *AWC*

SUBJECT: Meeting with LULAC Officials

In my opinion, it would not be productive for the President to meet with LULAC leaders on the immigration issue. Instead, I would suggest they be referred to Attorney General Smith, who has been the President's point-man on immigration. There are several reasons for this recommendation:

1. LULAC is trying to assert its primacy among the various Hispanic groups. Since they are one of the most hostile to this President, it is not in our interest to enhance their credibility.
2. While we have had some private discussions with Mario Obledo, he is clearly under the influence of more liberal board members. One example of his dealings with us: Obledo was invited to sit at the President's table during our luncheon for the Hispanic Leadership Conference. He told us he was "uncertain" whether he could make it, and never regretted. We found out later that he had held a press conference, denounced the President, and said he would rather have a hamburger with poor people than lunch at the White House.
3. The immigration issue is one that cuts both ways politically. It is thus better to keep the President distanced from it (as we have done so far).
4. LULAC is entering the immigration debate very late, and they have not been influential with the Hill. For two years, they refused to participate in drawing up legislation, and opposed any balanced proposals that were put forward. Now that Simpson-Mazzoli has passed the Senate, and with O'Neill promising floor action in the House, LULAC has scrambled to get in the game. The result is a proposal which is so costly and ineffectual that it does not even have support of the full Hispanic Caucus on the Hill.

Frankly, any meeting with Hispanics on immigration, whether with LULAC or our own supporters, would develop into an attempt to back the President away from his support of Simpson-Mazzoli. Since he cannot do that, I feel it would be best not to have a meeting on the subject.

cc: James A. Baker, III

GOV. KERRY ON REAGAN RECORD

DOUGLAS, Wyo. (UPI) -- President Reagan is relying on the short memories of the nation's voters to claim success for his administration's programs, Nebraska Gov. Bob Kerrey told Wyoming's state Democratic convention Saturday. Addressing the more than 600 Democrats gathered in Douglas, Kerrey said, if Reagan were a Democrat, impeachment papers would be drawn up against him as a result of the huge spending deficits his budgets have included.

REAGAN HAS FRIENDS, FOES AMONG HISPANICS

(UPI - Analysis) -- Hispanics throughout the United States are divided on Reagan. Some say they are enthralled by the president's communication abilities and admire Reagan for his leadership. Others think he has been a do-nothing president who has led Hispanics through the peaks and valleys of the economy without making any institutional changes to improve the lot of the nation's 18 million Spanish-speaking people.

"The last three years have been years of supreme sacrifice for Hispanics," said Arnold Torres of Washington, D.C., national executive director of the League of United Latin American Citizens (LULAC), who has adopted the latter view. Torres, visiting El Paso, Texas to meet with local committees on the upcoming LULAC national convention next month, said Reagan has been invited to address delegates, along with Democratic presidential hopefuls, Mondale, Hart and Jackson. "There is a mentality in the White House that it is good politics to turn down an invitation from groups who are not supporters," he said. "That's wrong. There are many who disagree with Reagan, but he is president of all of us and we want to hear him."

-end-

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 23, 1984

Dear Rollie and Bob:

Many thanks for your letter of May 17th; it's the first time your request has come to my attention. We will certainly take your request for an interview with the President under consideration. However, as you know so well, we have many similar requests pending.

Nevertheless, we will let you know if we are able to arrange something.

Sincerely,



MICHAEL K. DEEVER
Assistant to the President
Deputy Chief of Staff

Mr. Rowland Evans, Jr.
Mr. Robert D. Novak
INSIDE REPORT
Chicago Sun-Times
1750 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

INSIDE REPORT

Chicago Sun-Times
1750 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20006

*This copy
with JAB.*

ROWLAND EVANS JR.

ROBERT D. NOVAK

May 17, 1984

Mr. Michael K. Deaver
Deputy Chief of Staff
and Assistant to the President
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mike,

Our first and only interview with President Reagan since his inauguration took place in March, 1981, and was limited strictly for use in our book (The Reagan Revolution).

Since then, we asked Dave Gergen on four separate occasions for an interview with the President. Sadly, we failed each time. On the last such occasion (some eight months ago), Dave told us that you had blocked such requests!

But last week we were informed by a White House aide that our requests never were brought up to a scheduling meeting. He added that there was a good possibility that the matter had never even come to your attention.

Considering that possibility, we would like to take this opportunity to renew our request for a routine interview with the President some time before the election. Any consideration you could give to this would be appreciated.

Sincerely,

Rowland Evans & Robert D. Novak

Rowland Evans, Jr.
Robert D. Novak

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 23, 1984

MEMORANDUM TO GEORGE SHULTZ

FROM: MICHAEL K. DEAVER *M*
SUBJECT: Pritzlaff Ambassadorship

Barry Goldwater simply won't give up on John Pritzlaff. As you know we offered him some posts which he refused. His next step will be to ask for time with the President.

Can you help me on this?

BARRY GOLDWATER
ARIZONA

Half File
United States Senate
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

COMMITTEES:
INTELLIGENCE, CHAIRMAN
ARMED SERVICES
TACTICAL WARFARE, CHAIRMAN
PREPAREDNESS
STRATEGIC AND THEATRE NUCLEAR FORCES
COMMERCE, SCIENCE, AND TRANSPORTATION
COMMUNICATIONS, CHAIRMAN
AVIATION
SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, AND SPACE
INDIAN AFFAIRS

May 17, 1984

Mr. Michael Deaver
Deputy Chief of Staff
The White House
Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Mike:

Bul
pls
lets
follow
up.

Relative to our conversation and my letter to the President concerning an ambassadorship for John Pritzlaff, I'm still waiting and am holding you to your commitment. Has anything been done? As you know, it has been three years now and I'm still waiting. I understand Singapore is going to be coming up and that would be a good spot. Please let me hear from you because my patience is running out.

With best wishes,

Barry
Barry Goldwater

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

May 22, 1984

Mr. Deaver:

TAD has indicated John Her-
rington would like to talk
with you re: this matter
tomorrow. Singapore is no
longer available.

Schultz - Action

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 23, 1984

Dear Jay:

Thank you for your letter of April 10, 1984 relating to water resources development legislation, the so-called omnibus bills, now pending in the Congress.

We certainly agree that the financing of Federal water projects is of critical importance. The President's policy on this subject was stated in a letter to Senator Paul Laxalt on January 24, 1984. He wrote that we want to emphasize new partnerships in financing, not only with State and local governments but with private sector entities as well. Because of the wide variance among the States and regions in economic and social conditions, flexible measures, not rigid requirements, are essential. That is, the partnership arrangements negotiated between the Federal agencies and the non-Federal entities will be tailored to the specific situation involved while recognizing the desirability of consistency and equity among agencies, projects and purposes. This policy is what we are seeking relative to the House and Senate bills.

Thanks, again, for your comments on this matter.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,



MICHAEL K. DEAVER
Assistant to the President
Deputy Chief of Staff

Dr. Jay D. Hair
National Wildlife Federation
1412 16th Street
Washington, D.C. 20036



NATIONAL WILDLIFE FEDERATION, 1412 Sixteenth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 797-6842
Office of the Executive Vice President

April 10, 1984

221767

Mr. Michael Deaver
Deputy Chief of Staff
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mike:

We are still looking for ways to accomplish some mutual objectives in the water policy area. The Omnibus Water Resource Development bill now wending its way through the House and Senate presents an excellent opportunity for cooperative action.

In the House, Rep. Tom Petri is planning to offer a major amendment to the Roe bill, H.R. 3678, to bring cost sharing levels more in line with Army proposals.

In the Senate, Al Simpson has come up with a plan for increasing user fees for inland waterway projects that looks winnable. When offered as an amendment to the Abdnor bill, S. 1739, in the Environmental & Public Works Committee, it lost on an 8 to 8 tie. Senator Simpson is expected to try again on the Senate floor.

The Federation is supporting both these efforts.

For as long as the Federal government has been subsidizing water development in this country, conservationists (and a good many others) have been trying to inject some degree of fiscal responsibility into the system. Since the landmark report of the National Commission in 1973, a major thrust of this reform effort has been to seek greater financial participation from the beneficiaries of the program--the "user pays" principle.

The President's January letter endorses greater levels of cost sharing for new Federal water projects, and directs each agency to seek out the cost sharing arrangements most appropriate for its program. From the earliest days of this Administration, the Department of the Army has strongly supported substantial increases in cost sharing for new Corps of Engineers projects generally, as well as higher user fees for navigation projects. The President has a fleeting opportunity to see this wise economic policy made the law of the land, but it will take his considerable powers of persuasion to convince a reluctant Congress to go along.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 24, 1984

Dear Ms. Patton:

I have checked into this matter of the parking tickets which two of your parent drivers received this morning while touring the White House. Unfortunately, I find that Mr. Deaver will not be able to help you in this matter, as these tickets were issued by the District of Columbia Government and this is an area over which we have no jurisdiction. The tickets are enclosed so that you may return them to the parents involved.

We are so sorry that this happened, but hope that the tour of the East Wing will make up for any inconvenience this ticketing may cause.

Sincerely,

Gail Ledwig
Secretary to
Michael K. Deaver

Ms. Patricia J. Patton
Principal
Horace Mann Elementary School
45th & Newark Streets, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20007

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

April 30th

Mr. Deaver:

Are the children coming to visit
you or to tour the White House?
The best date would probably be
May 23rd. Please let me know
what I can do.

plc do

DB

Garley
2202



DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PUBLIC SCHOOLS

HORACE MANN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
45th & Newark Streets, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C.

20007
April 19, 1984

today
282-0143*
282-0126
30 children
may 24th
8:00 a.m.
East Gate

Mr. Michael Deaver
Deputy Chief of Staff and
Assistant to the President
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20006

Dear Mr. Deaver,

The boys and girls of the Mann third grade, Mrs. Jackson and I deeply appreciate your taking time out of your busy schedule to visit and share with us your important role at the White House.

Your presentation was so interesting and informative that it made the White House come alive.

At your suggestion, I am writing to see if Wednesday, May 9th, Wednesday, May 16th or Wednesday, May 23rd would be a good time for Blair's class, teacher and 5 parent drivers to visit.

We anxiously await your reply.

Thank you for your consideration.

Yours truly,

Alicemarie Pitts
Alicemarie Pitts
Counselor

Patricia J. Patton
Patricia J. Patton
Principal

may 24 - 8:00

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 29, 1984

Dear Leslie:

I want you to know I was concerned by your recent letter regarding your desire to be a delegate from California to the Convention in Dallas.

I referred your request to Lyn Nofziger as it is not proper for me to become involved in this matter. I hope you have received his May 17th letter and will be in touch with him if you feel he can be of assistance to you.

Again, thanks for bringing the matter to my attention. Please keep me posted.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,



MICHAEL K. DEAVER
Assistant to the President
Deputy Chief of Staff

Ms. Leslie W. Ohland
220 San Vincente Boulevard, PH 9
Santa Monica, California 90402

REAGAN-BUSH '84

The President's Authorized Campaign Committee

APPROVE

Suggested Reply to Leslie Ohland from Mike Deaver

Disapprove

May 21, 1984

Ms. Leslie W. Ohland
220 San Vincente Blvd. PH9
Santa Monica, CA 90402

rewrite

Dear Leslie:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the California delegation to the Republican National Convention.

I have spoken with the appropriate people and have been assured of your standing as an alternate delegate. At this point, it is not clear whether you will serve as an alternate "at-large" or as a representative from your congressional district. Whatever the result, you may be confident that your selection is guaranteed.

Regarding a position with the California campaign, it appears that each state, California included, will have very limited staff. In fact, the California staff numbers only five paid persons including clerical assistance. Further, three of those positions are located in Sacramento.

Again, thanks for bringing the matter to my attention. I'm glad to have been of help.

See you at the convention.

Sincerely,

Mike Deaver

Mr. Deaver -
see attached
letter from
Lyn to
Leslie

LYN NOFZIGER

May 17, 1984

Ms. Leslie W. Ohland
220 San Vicente, PH 9
Santa Monica, CA 90402

Dear Leslie:


By the time your May 3 letter reached me, the delegates and alternates from California had already been selected. There was a May 6 deadline.

Because of money problems, there will be no campaign positions opening up until after the convention. If you can wait till then, I will certainly try to find you a position. If you are in need of a job, please let me know, and I will see what I can do about a Schedule C position in the L.A. area.

I will wait to hear from you.

Best regards.

Sincerely,



Lyn Nofziger

bcc: Mike Deaver

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 29, 1984

Dear Pete,

In response to your recent comments appearing in the Los Angeles Times, and other papers, concerning your apprehension over what was perceived as a lack of support from the U.S. Government for your attempts to reverse the Soviet boycott of the 1984 Olympic Games in Los Angeles, I would like to bring you up to date on the Federal Government's actions in recent weeks.

As you know, in the latter part of April the Soviet media and Olympic officials spread allegations that the U.S. had "violated" the Olympic Charter and sought to gain political capital out of the Games in Los Angeles. In light of these spurious charges, the State Department instructed our Embassies in Eastern Europe to explain clearly that the U.S. Government welcomes athletes from all countries, that the Olympic Charter would be strictly enforced, and that the U.S. was prepared to take whatever measures would be required to ensure security at the 1984 Games. On May 9, these instructions were reiterated.

On April 27, Ed Derwinski, Counselor of the State Department, met with Soviet Minister-Counselor Isakov to give the Soviet Government official and direct assurances of what we were prepared to do for Soviet visitors, along the lines of my letter to you of March 16, 1984. Several of our proposed actions constituted major exceptions to the procedures normally followed for Soviet nationals -- procedures which are instituted by the U.S. Government in reciprocity for Soviet restrictions on Americans in the USSR.

On May 8, President Reagan met with the International Olympic Committee President Juan Samaranch, and you, reaffirming his commitment to the Olympic Charter. This was further underscored by a letter from the President indicating we would uphold the Olympic ideal and welcome all countries to participate in the Games.

On May 9, the State Department furnished guidance to all its posts overseas to explain our position and enable each of them to respond authoritatively to the Soviet allegations about the Olympics. Similar briefing materials were sent out by USIA at the same time.

On May 12, the State Department cabled its posts again with additional material refuting the various Soviet charges.

On May 14, the State Department took the unusual step of releasing the "non-paper" Ed Derwinski had given to the Soviet Embassy on April 27, in light of the absurd and wholly inaccurate inter-

pretation given that meeting by Marat Gramov and the Soviet media. The same day, the Department formally protested Gramov's remarks to the Soviet Embassy.

You met with George Shultz and me on May 15 and outlined the stand you would be taking in Lausanne at the forthcoming meeting of the IOC. We offered the assistance of two State Department officials, which you later declined.

On May 23, we relayed to our Embassies LAOOC's request for information from eighteen National Olympic Committees with whom your communications had been faulty. At LAOOC's request, we also sent a second message along these lines to an additional thirteen countries. We also authorized our Ambassadors in four key African countries to encourage attendance of their Olympic teams.

Our objective throughout this period has been to give quiet but firm and effective support to your own efforts to encourage or confirm attendance at the Games prior to the June 2 deadline, without intensifying the politicization of the Games caused by the Soviet boycott.

We are encouraged that no country outside the hard core of Soviet allies has so far chosen to stay away from the Games. Moreover, non-bloc press and official comment reported to us has exhibited no signs of reluctance to have their national athletes attend the Games. The State Department is, of course, sharing these assessments with your staff on a continuing basis.

While there is room to be optimistic, I believe there is more that could be done to facilitate a positive response, and I would like to offer some suggestions.

The Supreme Council for Sport in Africa (SCSA) will meet in Upper Volta, June 14-16, to discuss the Olympics and its agenda for the coming year. Sending an American representative to the meeting to explain the arrangements in Los Angeles and generally spread goodwill could be extremely helpful. While this occurs after the June 2 deadline, it is important to remember that the Africans withdrew from the 1976 Olympics while in Montreal. One excellent candidate for this job would be Mayor Tom Bradley, who is both very knowledgeable and very well-respected in Africa.

The number of press accreditations for African journalists has long been a sensitive issue. We understand Nigeria, for example, has received only three accreditations, Ivory Coast one, and VOA's Africa service none. Given the enormous African interest in the Games and the important role of sports in the national life of these countries, we feel that LAOOC would do itself a large favor by indicating a willingness to grant additional accreditations.

We also anticipate that some African or other heads of State or very senior officials will decide to attend the Games only at the last minute. We hope that the Organizing Committee is prepared for such an eventuality and has the flexibility to accom-

moderate requests for additional tickets, whether by allocating additional seats to the national committees or by granting more liberal access to Stand G. In this connection, we understand you have granted special tickets to SCSA Secretary-General Lamine Ba. We believe this action will help significantly to promote full African participation.

Lamine Ba also hopes to bring between 100 and 150 African Olympic contenders to Atlanta in July to participate in a pre-Olympic training camp organized by Mayor Andrew Young, with support shared by Mayor Young, the U.S. Information Agency, and Lamine Ba's organization. The training camp has proven extremely popular with African athletes and sports officials. If these numbers (or more) actually show up, there may well be a financial shortfall which the Committee or its corporate sponsors might wish to help cover.

You may also wish to consider a personal appearance on AFNET, a closed circuit satellite television hookup run by the U.S. Information Agency to many African countries. It would be a good means of spreading the word about the Los Angeles Olympics to opinion leaders throughout the continent.

Please continue to let me know if there is any way we can help on these or any other projects to ensure fullest possible participation at the Games. We all continue to have the highest respect for the tremendous job you and the Committee are doing on behalf of a successful 1984 Summer Olympics.

Sincerely,



MICHAEL K. DEAVER
Assistant to the President
Deputy Chief of Staff

Mr. Peter Ueberroth
President,
Los Angeles Olympic Organizing Committee
Los Angeles, California 90084

May 30, 1984

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Moore:

Congratulations on your 60th wedding anniversary!

Nancy and I are delighted to send our best wishes to you on this very special occasion and our hopes for continued joys and blessings in your life together.

Sincerely,

RONALD REAGAN

Mr. and Mrs. Warner R. Moore
Hampton House B-11
Penn Valley, Pennsylvania 19072

RR/AVH/sewfl
P-6

cc: Ann H. DeLuca (c/o Mr. Deaver's
office)

STAFF REQUEST FOR PRESIDENTIAL GREETINGS

REQUEST TO: Maureen Keegan
Room 93,
ext 2276

FROM: Ann H. DeLuca (x6475)
Office of MKDeaver
WW

Mark ☒ in appropriate box and give additional information as requested
ALL REQUESTS SUBJECT TO FINAL APPROVAL BY ANNE HIGGINS

TYPE OF EVENT:		Date of Event: <u>June 1st</u>	
☐ Retirement	☐ Church Anniversary – include # of years _____	☐ Religious Anniversary	
☐ Wedding	☐ Birthday	☒ Wedding Anniversary (60th)	☐ Birth of Baby
☐ Individual's anniversary with same company		☐ Individual's anniversary in business for himself	
☐ Family Reunion ☐ Class Reunion – include school name and year of graduation _____			
Graduation from:		Date: _____	
☐ High School Class	☐ College Class	☐ University Class	☐ Individual
Condolences:		Adoption:	
☐ Illness	☐ Death	☐ Infant	☐ Older Child
MAILING ADDRESS:			
☐ Mr.	<u>Mr. & Mrs. Warner R. Moore</u>		
☐ Miss.	<u>Hampton House B-11</u>		
☒ MR. Mrs.	<u>Penn Valley, PA 19072</u>		
☐ Dr.			
INSIDE ADDRESS:			
☐ Mr. & Mrs.	<u>Same as above</u>		
☐ Mr.			
☐ Miss.			
☐ Dr.			

MEMORANDUM FROM
WALTER H. ANNENBERG

May 17, 1984

Dear Dona:

Enclosed you will find the letter from Mrs. John Lovatt regarding Dorothy and Warner Moore.

I am sure you can appreciate how thrilled they would be if they received a message from the President on their 60th wedding anniversary on June 1st.

Cordially,

Walter Annenberg

Enclosure

Mrs. John J. Lovatt
Hampton House 5C
Penn Valley
Pennsylvania 19072

MSB

Hampton House 5C
Penn Valley 19072

Dear Mr and Mrs. Annenberg,

As an old friend of the Warner Moores
I am writing you with the hope that
you might like to participate in my
little plan to give "blintz" and blot
a special honor and pleasant surprise.

On June first they will be celebrat-
ing their sixtieth wedding anniversary,
very special, yes? And as I think that
something very special is in order -
like a greeting from the White House.

I think that nothing would please
blot or blintz more. (no pun intended!)

Therefore, knowing of your long stand-
ing friendship with blintz and being
aware of your connections in Washington,

Mr. and Mrs. Warner R. Moore
Hampton House B-11
Penn Valley, Pa 19072

P.S. We met many years ago - 1950
I think, when you and my
Father were each awarded
an honorary doctorate in
the same ceremony by Temple
University, Mr. Johnson offic-
iating - small world.

M. J. L.

Mrs. John J. Lovatt
Hampton House 5C
Penn Valley
Pennsylvania 19072

~~I~~ am making this request of you.

I hope that I am not being presumptuous but rather think that you, too, might enjoy thinking of their pleasure, if you would not find it too much trouble to arrange "Congratulations" from the President to Hecolthy and Warner Moore on their sixtieth.

I feel sure that that you join the rest of his friends in holding Hecolthy in very high regard.

I realize that you are very busy people and it would indeed be understandable if things "fall thru the cracks".

I Thank you for reading this letter. Anything you could arrange would be most appreciated.

With my thanks - Yours sincerely,
Mary Innes Lovatt

PRESERVATION COPY

WALTER H. ANNENBERG
100 MATSONFORD ROAD
P.O. BOX 750
RADNOR, PA 19088

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 31, 1984

Dear Red:


Thank you for your letter of May 1, 1984 suggesting the possibility of a signing ceremony when the expected wilderness legislation clears Congress.

It now appears the earliest this will happen is mid-summer. I agree that the passage of this legislation will underscore the President's commitment to preserving our natural heritage and, as such, a signing ceremony would be appropriate. We will continue to monitor the progress of this legislation while looking for a possible location for the ceremony.

Thanks, again, for your suggestion on this subject.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,



MICHAEL K. DEAVER
Assistant to the President
Deputy Chief of Staff

The Honorable Red Cavaney
Vice President
American Paper Institute, Inc.
1619 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036



American Paper Institute, Inc.
Government Affairs
1619 Massachusetts Ave., N.W. Wash., DC 20036(202) 332-1050

225305

Red Cavaney
Vice President

May 1, 1984

The Honorable Michael K. Deaver
Assistant to the President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mike:

Congratulations on a highly successful China trip. The coverage back here was superb and the President further strengthened the country's view of him as a statesman with extremely persuasive powers. On the more personal side, I'll bet you are glad you have sea slugs behind you.

The purpose of my writing is to flag for you an opportunity that may prove of benefit to the President. After several years haggling and sniping between the concerns involved, it appears imminent that all competing interests will resolve their differences and join together in both promoting and passing wilderness legislation before Congress recesses this summer. It may even happen quicker than that.

If the issue comes together as expected, no less than two dozen states will actually add millions and millions of acres to their wilderness banks. It's possible that Ronald Reagan will sign into law more acres of wilderness than any President since Teddy Roosevelt.

While there is probably little that can be done in the purely environmental area between now and the election, I think some Presidential involvement in this wilderness area would be a natural for Ronald Reagan. His empathy for conservation and his long-time association with the values held closely by Westerners would seem to position him as one who should champion expeditious passage of this legislation. I would recommend your looking into the Administration position with regard to this legislation and, if it poses no problem,

Letter to The Honorable Michael K. Deaver
Page 2
May 1, 1984

suggest sending early positive signals and then considering an event in a wilderness area for a signing ceremony or some other symbolic gesture in this regard. If done before the end of the school year, it would be a great way to capitalize on everybody's vacation-oriented focus as we look towards the summer.

I will be glad to provide any assistance or background materials if needed and am hopeful this information may be of help. Congratulations again on your successful China trip and best wishes for the upcoming Economic Summit and related trips to Ireland and Normandy.

Warmest regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'R. L. Deaver', written in a cursive style.

RC/jdp

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name

DEAVER, MICHAEL: FILES

Withdrawer

KDB 7/28/2011

File Folder

MAY 1984 OUTGOING (3)

FOIA

F97-0066/19

COHEN, D

Box Number

20

27

DOC Document Type

No of Doc Date Restric-
pages tions

NO Document Description

1 LETTER

2 5/31/1984 B6

M. DEAVER TO PETER UEBERROTH

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.