

Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Roberts, John G.: Files
Folder Title: JGR/Pro Bono (14 of 18)
Box: 45

To see more digitized collections visit:

<https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit:

<https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection>

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing>

National Archives Catalogue: <https://catalog.archives.gov/>

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

December 10, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR DIANNA G. HOLLAND

FROM:

JOHN G. ROBERTS 

SUBJECT:

Letter Concerning NASA Involvement
in the Labor Relations of Contractor
Company in Orlando, Florida

This matter may be closed out, with no need for action by our office. As you will see from the attached, the incoming letter to the President was appropriately answered on September 4 by NASA. The Teamsters were decisively defeated in the union election, the employees deciding in favor of no union, and the Teamsters have decided not to challenge the results.

Attachment

THE WHITE HOUSE
CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

INCOMING

JBR

DATE RECEIVED: AUGUST 14, 1984

NAME OF CORRESPONDENT: MR. JACKIE PRESSER

SUBJECT: WRITES CONCERNING NASA INVOLVEMENT IN THE
LABOR RELATIONS OF CONTRACTOR COMPANY IN
ORLANDO, FLORIDA

ROUTE TO: OFFICE/AGENCY (STAFF NAME)	ACTION		DISPOSITION	
	ACT CODE	DATE YY/MM/DD	TYPE RESP	C COMPLETED D YY/MM/DD
DOUG RIGGS	ORG	84/08/14		<i>C 84/08/16 PT1</i>
<i>✓ CUFRED FIELDING</i> REFERRAL NOTE: _____	<i>A</i>	<i>84/08/16</i>	<i>PT1</i>	<i>1 1</i>
<i>CMAT 18</i> REFERRAL NOTE: _____	<i>D</i>	<i>84/08/20</i>		<i>3 84/08/27</i>
REFERRAL NOTE: _____		<i>1</i>		<i>1 1</i>
REFERRAL NOTE: _____		<i>1 1</i>		<i>1 1</i>
REFERRAL NOTE: _____		<i>1 1</i>		<i>1 1</i>

COMMENTS: _____

ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENTS: _____ MEDIA:L INDIVIDUAL CODES: _____

MI MAIL USER CODES: (A) _____ (B) _____ (C) _____

*ACTION CODES:	*DISPOSITION CODES:	*OUTGOING	*
*	*	* CORRESPONDENCE:	*
*A-APPROPRIATE ACTION	*A-ANSWERED	*TYPE RESP=INITIALS	*
*C-COMMENT/RECOM	*B-NON-SPEC-REFERRAL	* OF SIGNER	*
*D-DRAFT RESPONSE	*C-COMPLETED	* CODE = A	*
*F-FURNISH FACT SHEET	*S-SUSPENDED	*COMPLETED = DATE OF	*
I-INFO COPY/NO ACT NEC		* OUTGOING	*
*R-DIRECT REPLY W/COPY			*
*S-FOR-SIGNATURE			*
*X-INTERIM REPLY			*

REFER QUESTIONS AND ROUTING UPDATES TO CENTRAL REFERENCE
(ROOM 75,OEOb) EXT. 2590
KEEP THIS WORKSHEET ATTACHED TO THE ORIGINAL INCOMING
LETTER AT ALL TIMES AND SEND COMPLETED RECORD TO RECORDS
MANAGEMENT.

INTERNATIONAL
CHAUFFEURS •

25 LOUISIANA AVENUE, N.W.

*Doug - Yes. Legal
COUNSEL
Should handle. 8/14
DAK 8/14
Showed Fred
have a look at
this - -
Linda Frick*

OFFICE OF
• JACKIE PRESSER •
GENERAL PRESIDENT

*Doug
Rigg*

The President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Re: Lockheed Space Operations Company
Kennedy Center (Shuttle Processing)

Dear Mr. President:

On behalf of the over 1.8 million members of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters. I am writing to make you aware of a situation with grave consequence not only for the Teamsters Union, but also for the American labor movement in general. I have learned from our involved affiliate that the National Aeronautics and Space Administration has not remained neutral in the labor relations of one of its contractor companies, and has, in fact, openly and selectively interfered with the attempts made by the Teamsters to organize employees of a Government contractor. NASA's conduct contravenes 41 C.F.R., Sec. 12.101(b), which states in pertinent part:

"NASA officials will remain impartial in, and will refrain from taking a position on the merits of, a dispute between labor and private management..."

Teamsters Local Union No. 385 in Orlando, Florida, is currently organizing the employees of the Lockheed Space Operations Company which has a Shuttle Processing contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. After many months of work, Teamster organizers have found their efforts in jeopardy by concerted, inequitable and biased actions on the part of Lockheed and NASA.

First, Lockheed maintains that it made provisions in its contract with NASA for union organizing saying, "the company would require a National Labor Relations Board election so that (employees) would have the opportunity to vote for or against a union in a government conducted secret election." This commitment however, is contradictory to the relationship Lockheed allegedly entered into with the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers in February 1984. The Machinists have claimed, after the Teamsters filed a petition seeking to represent certain Shuttle Logistics employees, that the IAM already had a collective bargaining agreement covering certain of these employees. Unfortunately, these Lockheed employees had been given no opportunity to ratify a Machinist agreement, and had no knowledge that they were being represented by the IAM.

Second, I am advised that NASA Labor Representative, Harold Gooch, has openly encouraged the Machinists and the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, both of which expressed an organizing interest in Lockheed after Teamsters filed an RC petition, to take all actions necessary to prevent the Teamster local from organizing Kennedy Center employees. NASA's statements support Lockheed. In furtherance of an apparent anti-Teamster campaign, Lockheed's attorneys have sought postponement of a NLRB representation hearing on the purported ground that "some of the job classifications being sought to be included in the bargaining unit by the Petitioner (Teamsters) may more appropriately be an accretion to either the IAM or IBEW bargaining units."

Third, Lockheed refers to the relationship it has with NASA as constituting a "team." Lockheed has said that it is not necessary for a "third party" union to intervene into its working relationship with NASA to "establish and maintain a fair, effective and positive working relationship" between employees and management. NASA's support of Lockheed raises serious questions as to the relationship between the company and NASA, in view of NASA's obligation of neutrality in private labor disputes.


Lastly, it has been learned that NASA has also been involved in or given its secret approval to action against employees favoring the Teamsters local. The actions have included questioning and "security" searches of personal property by security personnel at Kennedy Space Center.

Page 3
August 9, 1984

Mr. President, it is clear NASA is involved in practices which raise questions of its neutrality in the labor relations of its contractor companies. The instances of questionable behavior that I have provided give some indication of the gravity of this situation. They cannot be ignored. It is for this reason that I respectfully request your assistance in finding an equitable solution to this problem.

I look forward to hearing from you in the very near future regarding this matter.


Respectfully,



Jackie Presser
General President

JP/kmk

cc: The Honorable Edwin Meese, III
Counsellor to the President
The Honorable Paul Laxalt
United States Senate
The Honorable James A. Baker, III
Chief of Staff and Assistant
to the President
The Honorable Michael K. Deaver
Deputy Chief of Staff and
Assistant to the President
The Honorable Douglas Riggs
Special Assistant to the President
for Public Liaison
James Beggs, Administrator
NASA
Paul R. Locigno, Director
Governmental Affairs - IBT
Roland, Wilder, Legal Counsel - IBT
Larry D. Parker, President
Teamsters Local Union 385, Orlando, Florida





National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

Washington, D.C.
20546

Reply to Attn of NR

October 11, 1984

Mr. John Roberts
Associate Counsel to the President
Room 106
Old Executive Office Building
17th & G Streets, NW
Washington, DC 20006


Dear Mr. Roberts:

In accordance with your request to Mr. Jack O'Brien, NASA Deputy General Counsel, I am enclosing incoming copies of the letters from Mr. Jackie Presser to Mr. James Beggs and our responses regarding the issues raised by the International Brotherhood of Teamsters (IBT) relative to their organizing efforts of employees of the Lockheed Space Operations Company at NASA's Kennedy Space Center in Florida.

The election was conducted by the National Labor Relations Board at Kennedy Space Center on September 21, 1984, and the results are as follows: No Union - 553, Teamsters - 176, International Association of Machinists - 5, and the International Alliance of Theatrical and Stage Employees - 4.

If you have any other questions on this matter, please give me a call (202-453-2882).

Sincerely,


Robert E. King
Director, Industrial Relations

Enclosures



NR

September 4, 1984

Mr. Jackie Presser
General President
International Brotherhood of Teamsters,
Chauffeurs, Warehousemen & Helpers of America
25 Louisiana Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20001

Dear Mr. Presser:

Your letter dated August 9, 1984, to Mr. James Beggs regarding the Teamsters organization campaign among the employees of the Lockheed Space Operations Company has been referred to me for review and reply.

As you know, Mr. Larry D. Parker, President and Business Manager of Teamsters Local No. 385, directed an earlier letter to Mr. Beggs which contains essentially the same issues that you have referred to in your letter. A reply to Mr. Parker's letter was mailed on August 30, 1984, which responded to each of the representation issues raised by him. In a subsequent telephone conversation with Mr. John Ring of your staff, he confirmed to me that my response to Mr. Parker adequately addressed these issues. Since the issues raised in your letter are essentially the same as Mr. Parker's, I am enclosing a copy of my reply letter to him.

We understand that the National Labor Relations Board Regional Office has completed their hearings in Titusville, Florida on this matter and have resolved the issues relative to an appropriate unit. We are also advised that they are scheduling a representation election some time during the latter part of September 1984.

We again wish to state that it is NASA's firm policy to remain neutral regarding contractor labor representation issues. We

trust that the contents of this letter, along with a copy of my letter to Mr. Parker, have adequately addressed the issues referred to in your correspondence of August 9, 1984. If you have any further questions, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Robert E. King
Director, Industrial Relations

Enclosure



National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

Washington, D C
20546

NR

August 20, 1984

Mr. Larry D. Parker
President and Business Manager
Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehousemen &
Helpers Local No. 385
122 North Kirkman Road
Orlando, FL 32811

Dear Mr. Parker:

Your letter of August 6, 1984, to Mr. James Beggs regarding the Teamsters organization campaign among the employees of Lockheed Space Operations performing various functions on their Shuttle Processing Contract with NASA at the Kennedy Space Center, has been referred to me for review and reply.

For your information, there has been a consistent and firm NASA policy of neutrality with regard to NASA contractor labor-management issues particularly those pertaining to union representation. As you know, these types of issues are normally handled by the National Labor Relations Board and in most cases the final determinations are made by the employees through secret ballot elections.

With regard to your stated concerns over Lockheed's alleged "assurances" to NASA requiring an NLRB election in the event of union organizing efforts and of your statement of job classifications "accretions," we feel it would be inappropriate for NASA to comment on this issue. We are advised that Teamsters Local 385 has filed with the NLRB unfair labor practice charges against Lockheed and IAM Lodge 166 on this matter.

Concerning your references to a "Lockheed-NASA-Team," quoted from the July 18, 1984, letter of Mr. Schroter to Lockheed Space Shuttle Operations employees, this is a common expression at the Space Center. Every individual or group including the various labor unions who contribute to the success of the Shuttle Program are considered members of the "team." I am sure this is the proper interpretation of that statement and has no reference to the



25th Anniversary
1958-1983

representation issues between your union and the Lockheed Company. Let me assure you that the Lockheed Space Operations Company is totally responsible for managing their Labor Relations on this contract.


We have investigated your allegations concerning security type issues and have been advised that the interrogation to which you referred was a direct result of a reported break-in and theft of company personnel documents sensitive to Lockheed. This matter was handled properly in accordance with normal security procedures and regulations.

Your allegations re statements you attributed to Mr. Harold Gooch at a recent labor-management meeting appears to be inaccurate. Mr. Gooch has emphatically stated that he did not voice nor infer any preference for one union versus another. In reviewing this matter with others present at that meeting, their recollections confirm Mr. Gooch's position.

As the National Labor Relations Board is currently conducting hearings in Titusville with respect to representation issues to which you referred, hopefully many or all of the issues you have raised will be resolved.

We again wish to state that it is NASA's firm policy to remain neutral regarding contractor labor representation issues. We trust that the contents of this letter have adequately addressed the issues stated in your correspondence of August 6, 1984. If you have any further questions, please let me know.

Sincerely,


Robert E. King
Director, Industrial Relations

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS
CHAUFFEURS • WAREHOUSEMEN & HELPERS
OF AMERICA

25 LOUISIANA AVENUE, N.W. • WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001



OFFICE OF
• JACKIE PRESSER •
GENERAL PRESIDENT

8738

August 9, 1984

CERTIFIED-RETURN RECEIPT

Mr. James Beggs .
Administrator
National Aeronautics and
Space Administration
400 Maryland Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20546

Re: Lockheed Space Operations Company
Kennedy Center (Shuttle Processing)

Dear Mr. Beggs:

I am writing to strongly request your attention and immediate action on a matter blatantly unfair, discriminatory, and of great concern to the International Brotherhood of Teamsters. This situation has not only grave ramifications for the Teamsters, but for the entire American labor movement as well. I have learned from our involved affiliate that the National Aeronautics and Space Administration has not remained neutral in the labor relations of one of its contractor companies, and has, in fact, openly and selectively interfered with the attempts made by the Teamsters to organize employees of a Government contractor. NASA's conduct contravenes 41 C.F.R., Sec. 12.101(b), which states in pertinent part:

"NASA officials will remain impartial in, and will refrain from taking a position on the merits of, a dispute between labor and private management..."

As you by now are aware, Teamsters Local Union No. 385 in Orlando, Florida, is currently organizing the employees of the Lockheed Space Operations Company which has a Shuttle Processing contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. After many months of work, Teamster organizers have found their efforts in jeopardy by concerted, inequitable and biased actions on the part of Lockheed and NASA.

A49645

Page 2
August 9, 1984

First, Lockheed maintains that it made provisions in its contract with NASA for union organizing saying, "the company would require a National Labor Relations Board election so that (employees) would have the opportunity to vote for or against a union in a government conducted secret election." This commitment however, is contradictory to the relationship Lockheed allegedly entered into with the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers in February 1984. The Machinists have claimed, after the Teamsters filed petition seeking to represent certain Shuttle Logistics employees, that the IAM already had a collective bargaining agreement covering certain of these employees. Unfortunately, these Lockheed employees had been given no opportunity to ratify a Machinist agreement, and had no knowledge that they were being represented by the IAM.

Second, I am advised that NASA Labor Representative, Harold Gooch, has openly encouraged the Machinists and the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, both of which expressed an organizing interest in Lockheed after Teamsters filed an RC petition, to take all actions necessary to prevent the Teamster local from organizing Kennedy Center employees. NASA's statements support Lockheed. In furtherance of an apparent anti-Teamster campaign, Lockheed's attorneys have sought postponement of a NLRB representation hearing on the purported ground that "some of the job classifications being sought to be included in the bargaining unit by the Petitioner (Teamsters) may more appropriately be an accretion to either the IAM or IBEW bargaining units."

Third, Lockheed refers to the relationship it has with NASA as constituting a "team." Lockheed has said that it is not necessary for a "third party" union to intervene into its working relationship with NASA to "establish and maintain a fair, effective and positive working relationship" between employees and management. NASA's support of Lockheed raises serious questions as to the relationship between the company and NASA, in view of NASA's obligation of neutrality in private labor disputes.


Lastly, it has been learned that NASA has also been involved in or given its secret approval to action against employees favoring the Teamsters local. The actions have included questioning and "security" searches of personal property by security personnel at Kennedy Space Center.

Page 3
August 9, 1984

Mr. Administrator, it is clear your agency is involved in practices which raise questions of its neutrality in the labor relations of its contractor companies. The instances of questionable behavior that I have provided gives some indication of the gravity of this situation. They cannot be ignored. It is my belief that your intervention in this matter, which is absolutely essential, must begin immediately with a meeting between you, your staff, and Teamster representatives.

I look forward to hearing from you in the very near future regarding this matter.

Sincerely,



Jackie Presser
General President

JP/kmk

cc: The Honorable Edwin Meese, III
Counsellor to the President
The Honorable Paul Laxalt
United States Senate
The Honorable James A. Baker, III
Chief of Staff and Assistant
to the President
The Honorable Michael K. Deaver
Deputy Chief of Staff and
Assistant to the President
The Honorable Douglas Riggs
Special Assistant to the President
for Public Liaison
Paul R. Locigno, Director
Governmental Affairs - IBT
Roland, Wilder, Legal Counsel - IBT
Larry D. Parker, President
Teamsters Local Union 385, Orlando, Florida

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

December 21, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR RICHARD G. DARMAN
ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS 
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: USTR Exclusion Order Regarding
Certain Vinyl-Covered Foam Blocks

Counsel's Office has reviewed the above-referenced memorandum for the President from Ambassador Brock, and finds no objection to it from a legal perspective.

WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

- O - OUTGOING
- H - INTERNAL
- I - INCOMING
Date Correspondence Received (YY/MM/DD) 1/1

Name of Correspondent: Richard Darman

MI Mail Report User Codes: (A) _____ (B) _____ (C) _____

Subject: USTR Exclusion Order re: Certain Vinyl-Covered Foam Blocks

ROUTE TO:	ACTION	DISPOSITION
Office/Agency (Staff Name)	Action Code	Tracking Date YY/MM/DD
<u>CUATON</u>	ORIGINATOR	<u>84,12,21</u>
<u>CUAT 1B</u>	Referral Note: B	<u>84,12,21</u>
	Referral Note:	<u>S 84,12,21</u> <u>ASAP</u>
	Referral Note:	<u>1 1</u>
	Referral Note:	<u>1 1</u>
	Referral Note:	<u>1 1</u>
	Referral Note:	<u>1 1</u>

ACTION CODES:

- A - Appropriate Action
- C - Comment/Recommendation
- D - Draft Response
- F - Furnish Fact Sheet
to be used as Enclosure

- I - Info Copy Only/No Action Necessary
- R - Direct Reply w/Copy
- S - For Signature
- X - Interim Reply

DISPOSITION CODES:

- A - Answered
- B - Non-Special Referral
- C - Completed
- S - Suspended

FOR OUTGOING CORRESPONDENCE:

- Type of Response = Initials of Signer
- Code = "A"
- Completion Date = Date of Outgoing

Comments: _____

Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter.
Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEOB).
Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files.
Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

IMMEDIATE--NOON TODAY

DATE: 12/21/84

ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY:

SUBJECT: USTR EXCLUSION ORDER RE CERTAIN VINYL-COVERED FOAM BLOCKS

	ACTION FYI			ACTION FYI	
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MURPHY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
MEESE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	OGLESBY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BAKER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ROGERS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DEAVER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SPEAKES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
STOCKMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SVAHN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	VERSTANDIG	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
FIELDING	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	WHITTLESEY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
FULLER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HERRINGTON	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HICKEY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
McFARLANE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
McMANUS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS:

Please provide any comments/recommendations by noon today.

Thank you.

RESPONSE:

Richard G. Darman
Assistant to the President
Ext. 2702

THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE
WASHINGTON
20506

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: William E. Brock

SUBJECT: Section 337 Exclusion Order Issued by the U.S. International Trade Commission in Certain Vinyl-Covered Foam Blocks

By December 24, 1984, you must decide whether to take action with respect to an exclusion order issued by the U.S. International Trade Commission ("ITC") in Certain Vinyl-Covered Foam Blocks, Inv. No. 337-TA-178. I recommend that you take no action with respect to the exclusion order, thereby allowing the order to go into effect.

On October 25, 1984, pursuant to section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, the ITC transmitted an exclusion order prohibiting the importation of certain vinyl-covered foam blocks. The ITC issued the order after determining that imported foam blocks manufactured by a company from Hong Kong infringe a U.S. patent owned by The Dolly Toy Co. of Tipp City, Ohio.

Under section 337(g), an ITC order must be transmitted to you for a 60-day period of Presidential review. You may disapprove an order for policy reasons, including the impact of the order on the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, the production of like or directly competitive items in the U.S., and U.S. consumers. If you disapprove an exclusion order, the ITC's order is without force and effect. You may also expressly approve an order, rendering the order final and subject to judicial review on appeal. Absent Presidential approval or disapproval, the order goes into effect on the day following the 60-day review period.

I recommend that you take no action with respect to the Foam Blocks exclusion order, thereby permitting the order to go into effect. The members of the Trade Policy Committee (the Office of the United States Trade Representative, the Council of Economic Advisers, and the Departments of Agriculture, Commerce, Defense, Energy, Interior, Justice, Labor, State, Transportation, and Treasury) are in unanimous agreement with this recommendation.

In reviewing the exclusion order, the Trade Policy Staff Committee found no policy concerns sufficient to justify Presidential disapproval. The foam blocks in question are a

squeezable children's toy. There is no claim that foam blocks are vital to the public health and welfare. Competition between the patented foam blocks, other types of block toys, and other toys ensures a wide array of consumer choice and vigorous competition in the U.S. market. No representations have been received from any foreign government and there is no evidence that the order will have an adverse effect on our relations with other nations. Accordingly, I recommend that the order be permitted to go into effect.

OPTIONS

PRESIDENTIAL ACTION REQUIRED

Option 1 (Recommended)

Take no action. The order will go into effect automatically on December 25, 1984.

Option 2

Disapprove the order by delivering notice to the ITC by December 24, 1984. This will render the order without force and effect.

Option 3

Approve the order. Inform the ITC of your approval by December 24, 1984. The order will then be subject to judicial review on appeal.

RECOMMENDATION

Option 1: Take no action

Approve _____

Disapprove _____

Discuss with me _____

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

December 28, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR ROGER CLEGG
ASSOCIATE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS 
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Crime in New York

The attached correspondence from a South African victimized by crime in New York is referred to the Department for direct response and whatever other action you consider appropriate. We have not responded to Mr. Joslin in any way.

Many thanks.

59

T1003

WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

- O - OUTGOING
 - H - INTERNAL
 - I - INCOMING
- Date Correspondence Received (YY/MM/DD) 1/1

Name of Correspondent: John C. Joslin

MI Mail Report User Codes: (A) _____ (B) _____ (C) _____

Subject: Theft of his bag in New York

ROUTE TO:	ACTION	DISPOSITION			
Office/Agency (Staff Name)	Action Code	Tracking Date YY/MM/DD	Type of Response	Code	Completion Date YY/MM/DD
<u>W Holland</u>	<u>ORIGINATOR</u>	<u>DD 8/12/27</u>			<u>1/1</u>
<u>WAT18</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>DD 8/12/27</u>		<u>S 851 0107</u>	
					<u>1/1</u>
					<u>1/1</u>
					<u>1/1</u>
					<u>1/1</u>

ACTION CODES:

- A - Appropriate Action
- C - Comment/Recommendation
- D - Draft Response
- F - Furnish Fact Sheet to be used as Enclosure
- I - Info Copy Only/No Action Necessary
- R - Direct Reply w/Copy
- S - For Signature
- X - Interim Reply

DISPOSITION CODES:

- A - Answered
- B - Non-Special Referral
- C - Completed
- S - Suspended

FOR OUTGOING CORRESPONDENCE:
 Type of Response = Initials of Signer
 Code = "A"
 Completion Date = Date of Outgoing

Comments: _____

Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter.
 Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEOB).
 Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files.
 Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.

SOUTH AFRICAN
ASSOCIATED NEWSPAPERS LIMITED

John C. Joslin
Group Strategic Planning Manager

171 Main Street
P.O. Box 1138
Johannesburg 2000
Telex 4-88921



Phone
710-2799
710-9111



282541 CU

WESTIN HOTELS

The Plaza 2. Feb. 1984

DEAR MS,

Thank you for providing the CASE
OF GRAND LARCENY # 40476 MOTOWN SOUTH
NEW YORK, N.Y. U.S.A.

I arrived New York last Sunday. I have
passed through this city ± 40 times over the
past 16 years. The first time I arrived here (1968)
they tried to mug me (within five minutes)
So I know you have to watch your baggage
all the time. I put up my hand for a TAXI.
NEW YORK STORE A PAGE. IT HAD PICTURES,
A THOUSAND. IN COLOR. OF THE U.S.A
AT CHRISTMAS TIME OF MICHAEL
JACKSON FOR ZALFA (12) MY DAUGHTER?
FROM AFRICA.

I KNOW THE PRESS, IN THE USA
THE EDITORS, THE MANAGERS - THE
VICE PRESIDENTS AND THE PRESIDENTS.

I INTEND TO WRITE LETTERS
TO THEM ALL. AND A BOOK "DIARY



WESTIN HOTELS

The Plaza

OF A MAN FROM AFRICA TRAVELING
TO SOUTH AMERICA USA 1984 OR
SOMETHING LIKE THAT (NOBEL PEACE PRIZE)

I KNOW TUTU (VIA BEUCH ZUZMAN)
I KNOW VAN ZU SLOBBERT WELL
HE IS "LEADER OF THE OPPOSITION",

LAST MONTH HE SPENT TWO
HOURS WITH "MAGGIE THATCHER" (UK)

I WORK IN A SMALL DIVISION OF
AMER AMERICA CORPORATION WHICH
ACCORDING TO BUSINESS WEEK IS

THE LARGEST FOREIGN CORPORATION
BY SALES (1981). ACCORDING ^{INDUST}

TO TIME MAG MY ULTIMATE
BOSS ^{WAS} IS HARRY OPPENHEIMER
IS THE "RICHEST MAN IN THE
WORLD".

A CRIME WAS COMMITTED



WESTIN HOTELS

The Plaza

IN NEW YORK (WHERE I HAVE
 BEEN PAYING TAXES FOR YEARS
 = SALES TAX = SEE BOSTON TEA
 PARTY ± (1770) . IT WAS CALLED
 GRAND LARCENY.

I OFFERED TO LOOK FOR IT MYSELF
 THE COP SAY I MIGHT BE MURDERED
 HE WROTE OUT A PEECE OF PAPER

I CALLED THE NEXT DAY

THE PAPER WAS GONE

I CALLED + CALLED + CALLED

THEY SAID CALL HQ.

I CALLED

THEY SAID CALL MIDTOWN DISTRICT.

THEY SAID CALL COMMISSIONER OF

POLLICE

I CALLED

I CALLED + CALLED

THEY ALL SAID I MUST CALL

OTHERS

SO ALL WEEK I HAVE

BEING DUAL USEFUL BUSINESS



WESTIN HOTELS

The Plaza

AD (NFL)

(CALLED ROSETHAL, SUZORNEBY,
FERMOR, SA. COBOL, SA. EMBASSY,
S.A. UN. MISSION, THE PRESS, EVEN
RICH. WILCO RICHARD V. COOPER
IN WASHINGTON (P.C.).

IT SEEMS NOBODY CARES
ABOUT "LAWYR GICDER" OR
"HUMAN RIGHTS". IT IS
THE SAME THING.

SO AS A LAST RESORT
PEOPLE TOLD ME CALL
a) WHITE HOUSE OR
b) POLICE IN N.Y.

I CALLED FRED FELDING YOU
OFFERED TO HELP

THANK YOU

59

J1003

WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

- O - OUTGOING
- H - INTERNAL
- I - INCOMING
Date Correspondence Received (YY/MM/DD) 1/1

Name of Correspondent: John C. Josten

MI Mail Report User Codes: (A) _____ (B) _____ (C) _____

Subject: Theft of his bag in New York

ROUTE TO:		ACTION		DISPOSITION	
Office/Agency	(Staff Name)	Action Code	Tracking Date YY/MM/DD	Type of Response Code	Completion Date YY/MM/DD
<u>W Holland</u>		ORIGINATOR	<u>DD 84/12/27</u>		<u>1/1</u>
<u>WAT18</u>		Referral Note:	<u>R DD 84/12/27</u>	<u>S 851 01107</u>	
		Referral Note:			<u>1/1</u>
		Referral Note:			<u>1/1</u>
		Referral Note:			<u>1/1</u>
		Referral Note:			<u>1/1</u>

- ACTION CODES:**
- A - Appropriate Action
 - C - Comment/Recommendation
 - D - Draft Response
 - F - Furnish Fact Sheet to be used as Enclosure
 - I - Info Copy Only/No Action Necessary
 - R - Direct Reply w/Copy
 - S - For Signature
 - X - Interim Reply

- DISPOSITION CODES:**
- A - Answered
 - B - Non-Special Referral
 - C - Completed
 - S - Suspended

FOR OUTGOING CORRESPONDENCE:
 Type of Response = Initials of Signer
 Code = "A"
 Completion Date = Date of Outgoing

Comments: _____


Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter.
 Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEOB).
 Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files.
 Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 7, 1985

MEMORANDUM FOR ROGER CLEGG
ASSOCIATE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS 
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Scandal in the Department of Agriculture

On December 10, 1984, Mr. Fielding referred to General Dinkins correspondence from Mr. Tom Minary containing various allegations of criminal conduct. The attached additional correspondence is referred to you for direct response and whatever other action you consider appropriate. The White House still has not responded to Mr. Minary in any way.

Attachment

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

December 10, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR FRED F. FIELDING

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS *JGR*

SUBJECT: Scandal in the Department of Agriculture

Tom Minary has written Mr. Baker to urge him to have the Federal Bureau of Investigation launch an investigation into the "worst criminal fraud bribery and conspiracy scandal in the history of our country." That is, of course, the grain drying shrinkage schedule scandal, allegedly involving Cargill, Inc. and high-ranking Department of Agriculture officials. Supposedly Cargill, conspiring with USDA, brought about a shift from the use of tables developed by Mr. Minary to measure grain shrinkage in elevators to a different system more favorable to Cargill and less favorable to farmers. Minary has already contacted GAO and the FBI directly. His allegations should be referred to Justice for handling.

Attachment

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

December 10, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR CAROL E. DINKINS
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FROM: FRED F. FIELDING
COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Scandal in the Department of Agriculture

The attached correspondence, containing various allegations of criminal conduct, is referred to you for direct response and whatever other action you consider appropriate. You will notice that the correspondent has already been in contact with the Department of Justice. The White House has not responded to Mr. Minary in any way.

Attachment

FFF:JGR:aea 12/10/84
cc: FFFielding/JGRoberts/Subj/Chron

Wg

FG 019

WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

- O - OUTGOING
- H - INTERNAL
- I - INCOMING
Date Correspondence Received (YY/MM/DD) _____

Name of Correspondent: Don Peiracy

MI Mail Report User Codes: (A) _____ (B) _____ (C) _____

Subject: More exhibits with regard to scandal at Department of Agriculture

ROUTE TO:

ACTION

DISPOSITION

Office/Agency (Staff Name)	Action Code	Tracking Date YY/MM/DD	Type of Response Code	Completion Date YY/MM/DD
<u>W Holland</u>	<u>ORIGINATOR</u>	<u>85101103</u>		<u>1 1</u>
<u>WAT 18</u>	<u>Referral Note:</u>	<u>Continuing Corres.</u>		
	<u>A. 100</u>	<u>85101103</u>		<u>1 1</u>
	<u>Referral Note:</u>			
				<u>1 1</u>
	<u>Referral Note:</u>			
				<u>1 1</u>
	<u>Referral Note:</u>			
				<u>1 1</u>
	<u>Referral Note:</u>			

ACTION CODES:

- A - Appropriate Action
- C - Comment/Recommendation
- D - Draft Response
- F - Furnish Fact Sheet to be used as Enclosure

- I - Info Copy Only/No Action Necessary
- R - Direct Reply w/Copy
- S - For Signature
- X - Interim Reply

DISPOSITION CODES:

- A - Answered
- B - Non-Special Referral
- C - Completed
- S - Suspended

FOR OUTGOING CORRESPONDENCE:

- Type of Response = Initials of Signer
- Code = "A"
- Completion Date = Date of Outgoing

Comments: _____

Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter.
 Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEOB).
 Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files.
 Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 9, 1985

Dear Mr. Jones:

Your letter of December 14, 1984 to Charles L. Jones of White House Mails has been referred to this office for consideration and response. In that letter you noted that you had, some time ago, sent the President a letter requesting him to autograph ten enclosed two-dollar bills.

A review of White House files indicates that a reply was sent to you on January 26, 1984, over the signature of Anne Higgins, Director of Correspondence. Your money was enclosed with the reply, which explained that it was White House policy not to autograph currency. I am enclosing a copy of the reply.

You will note that the reply contains your correct name, street address, and zip code, but the town name is rendered "Harhill" instead of "Haverhill." The town name in your hand-written incoming letter (copy enclosed) does appear to be "Harhill" rather than "Haverhill," which may explain the discrepancy.

Sincerely,



John G. Roberts
Associate Counsel to the President

Mr. Melvin C. Jones
44 Adams Street
Haverhill, MA 01830

Jg

283917

ID #

CU

19005

WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

O - OUTGOING

H - INTERNAL

I - INCOMING

Date Correspondence Received (YY/MM/DD) _____

Name of Correspondent: Melvin C. Jones

MI Mail Report

User Codes: (A) _____ (B) _____ (C) _____

Subject: Request for President to autograph
a letter and ten \$2 bills

ROUTE TO:

ACTION

DISPOSITION

Office/Agency	(Staff Name)	Action Code	Tracking Date YY/MM/DD	Type of Response	Code	Completion Date YY/MM/DD
<u>W Holland</u>		ORIGINATED	<u>8510108</u>			<u>1 1 1</u>
<u>WATIS</u>		R	<u>8510108</u>		<u>S</u>	<u>8510118</u>
			<u>1 1</u>			<u>1 1</u>
		Referral Note:				
			<u>1 1</u>			<u>1 1</u>
		Referral Note:				
			<u>1 1</u>			<u>1 1</u>
		Referral Note:				

ACTION CODES:

- A - Appropriate Action
- C - Comment/Recommendation
- D - Draft Response
- F - Furnish Fact Sheet to be used as Enclosure

- I - Info Copy Only/No Action Necessary
- R - Direct Reply w/Copy
- S - For Signature
- X - Interim Reply

DISPOSITION CODES:

- A - Answered
- B - Non-Special Referral
- C - Completed
- S - Suspended

FOR OUTGOING CORRESPONDENCE:

- Type of Response = Initials of Signer
- Code = "A"
- Completion Date = Date of Outgoing

Comments: _____

Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter.
 Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEOB).
 Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files.
 Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.

JR-dw

283917 *ck*

Melvin C. Jones
44 Adams St.
Haverhill, MA 01830
December 14, 1984

HANDLE AS PRIORITY

Charles L. Jones
Supt. White House Mails
Washington, D.C. 20500

Sir:

Over one year ago I sent ten two-dollar bills and a letter to the President asking him to autograph them for me. I am sending a copy of your report stating that they were received. If they were not sent to the President, I would like to know why not. I will allow you until ~~Ø~~ December 28, 1984 to furnish me with the information before I take legal action.

Sincerely,

Melvin C. Jones
Tel. 1(617)372-3991

Melvin C. Jones

Postal Customer:

The sender of the article described below has made an inquiry regarding delivery of the item. The article was not located at the mailing office; therefore, we are contacting you to determine if the article has been delivered. Please indicate below if the article has been received, and return the form in the enclosed PREAMDRESSED ENVELOPE WHICH REQUIRES NO POSTAGE. Your response will assist the Postal Service in providing improved service. PLEASE RETURN BOTH PARTS I AND II-A.

THANK YOU

THE ARTICLE WAS		DATE RECEIVED	SIGNATURE OF ADDRESSEE OR AGENT
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RECEIVED (Date if known) <u>?</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT RECEIVED	<u>1-26-84</u>	<i>Charles L. Jones</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> REFUSED		<i>white dress shirt</i>	
REMARKS			

PS Form 1510 July 1982

Do Not Detach

PART II-A

U.S. POSTAL SERVICE

MAIL LOSS/RIFLING REPORT

1. COMPLAINT DATE <u>2-9-84</u>		2. OFFICE ACCEPTING COMPLAINT (City and State) <u>HAVERHILL MA. 01830</u>			3. COMPLAINT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LOSS <input type="checkbox"/> RIFLING		
4. ARTICLE WAS MAILED BY				5. ARTICLE WAS ADDRESSED TO			
A. NAME <u>JONES, MELVIN</u>				A. NAME <u>President Ronald Reagan</u>			
B. RETURN ADDRESS AS ON ARTICLE MAILED <u>44 ADAMS ST</u>				B. ADDRESS ON ARTICLE <u>1600 Pennsylvania Ave</u>			
C. CITY <u>HAVERHILL</u>		D. STATE <u>MA</u>	E. ZIP CODE <u>01830</u>		C. CITY <u>Washington D.C.</u>	D. STATE <u>DC</u>	
F. DAY TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) <u>1-617-372-3991</u>		E. ZIP CODE <u>20500</u>					
6. ARTICLE MAILED		7. ARTICLE WAS SENT			8. TYPE OF MAIL		
A. DATE MONTH <u>NOV</u> DAY YEAR <u>1983</u>		B. TIME <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AM <input type="checkbox"/> PM		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1ST-CLASS <input type="checkbox"/> PARCEL POST		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LETTER <input type="checkbox"/> PARCEL	
C. OTHER (Specify)		D. OTHER (Specify)		E. OTHER (Specify)		F. OTHER (Specify)	
9A. SPECIAL SERVICES <input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL HANDLING <input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL DELIVERY <input type="checkbox"/> CERTIFIED NO.				9B. CANADIAN MAIL ONLY <input type="checkbox"/> INSURED NO. <input type="checkbox"/> REGISTERED NO.			
10. PLACE OF MAILING <input type="checkbox"/> MAIN POST OFFICE <input type="checkbox"/> STATION OR BRANCH <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COLLECTION BOX <input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS			NAME AND/OR ADDRESS OF LOCATION CHECKED <u>87 LINCOLN AVE</u>				
			CITY AND STATE <u>HAVERHILL MA</u>		ZIP CODE FOR LOCATION CHECKED <u>01830</u>		
11. CONTENTS OF ARTICLE (Describe in detail, size, color, brand name, serial no., check no., and amount, etc.) <u>10 new 2 dollar bills in Regular long Envelope</u> <u>serial #'s OF BILLS: A04202186A, A04202184, A04202182, A04202180, A04202178</u> <u>WERE AS FOLLOWS: A04202185, A04202183, A04202181, A04202179, A04202177</u>						12. VALUE <u>20.00</u>	

PS Form 1510 July 1982

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 9, 1985

MEMORANDUM FOR ROGER CLEGG
ASSOCIATE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS 
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Crime in New York

On December 28, 1984, we forwarded to you correspondence from a South African victimized by crime in New York. The attached additional correspondence is referred to the Department for direct response and whatever other action you consider appropriate. We have not responded to Mr. Joslin in any way.

Many thanks.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Date 1.7.85

Suspense Date _____

MEMORANDUM FOR: John

FROM: **DIANNA G. HOLLAND**

ACTION

- Approved
- Please handle/review
- For your information
- For your recommendation
- For the files
- Please see me
- Please prepare response for
_____ signature
- As we discussed
- Return to me for filing

COMMENT

No add to the
files.
Dianna

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

December 28, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR ROGER CLEGG
ASSOCIATE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS 
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Crime in New York

The attached correspondence from a South African victimized by crime in New York is referred to the Department for direct response and whatever other action you consider appropriate. We have not responded to Mr. Joslin in any way.

Many thanks.

26 Dec. 84

Holiday Inn

HOLIDAY INN SANDTON ***TYVV

Cor. Rivonia & North Roads - Sandown - P.O. Box 781743 Sandton 2146 - South Africa
Cables HOLIDAYINN - Telephones 783-5262 - 783-5283 - 783-5205
H/v Rivonia & Noordweg - Sandown - Posbus 781743 Sandton 2146 - Suid-Afrika
Kabels HOLIDAYINN - Telefone 783-5262 - 783-5283 - 783-5205

MB HOW TO
FIGHT COMMUNISM
1
IM
SA
SOUTH AFRICA

DAY AFTER CHRISTMAS

SEE PAGES 2, 3, 4, 5

① SANDTON SUM
a) Receipt could not
HANDLE

① FORGET PAGE

MJ "BODY"
b) Wap ~~that~~ HONEST
BLACK WAITER
BROUGHT THE
PRESENT OF
MURRAY
WITH

SPACE TO JOHN LINCZOWSKI
TWO WEEKS
AGO

MJ ... B. Bets
T. ... BAEZ

ALL TAPE
BOUGHT FOR
\$2 IM SAN
PABADAMA

also

PTO
PLEASE TURN OVER

Holiday Inn

HOLIDAY INN SANDTON ***TYTY [VIB]

Cor. Rivonia & North Roads - Sandown - P.O. Box 781743 Sandton 2146 - South Africa
Cables HOLIDAYINN - Telephones 783-5262 - 783-5283 - 783-5205

H/v Rivonia & Noordweg - Sandown - Posbus 781743 Sandton 2146 - Suid-Afrika
Kabels HOLIDAYINN - Telefone 783-5262 - 783-5283 - 783-5205

BOBBY 1977

APRIL JACKSON
SA GAV
OF DESSIE

ALL WAITERS
(M)

BOB MARLOW
LISTENED
TO
WORKS

THEY SAID
THEY LIKED
VIA
NOT SURE
OF PLANNING
BUT LIKED
MARTIN CURVED

WE DISCUSSED
SA

WE DECIDED
IF MICHAEL JACKSON
ROMMIE REAGAN
HARRY UPTELL
& BISHOP TONY
SAT AT ANT TMS

COULD
SOLVE
PROBLEM

Holiday Inn

HOLIDAY INN SANDTON ***TYTY (IB)

Cor. Rivonia & North Roads - Sandown - P.O. Box 781743 Sandton 2146 - South Africa
Cables HOLIDAYINN - Telephones 783-5262 - 783-5283 - 783-5205

H/v Rivonia & Noordweg - Sandown - Posbus 781743 Sandton 2146 - Suid-Afrika
Kabels HOLIDAYINN - Telefone 783-5262 - 783-5283 - 783-5205

3

② HAD COFFEE
AT

HOLIDAY INN

SANDTON

PLAYED

"EVITA"

"HITS OUTLO"

"BETHANIE"

Room B2

AT SANDT.

YOUR BEAUTIFUL

GIRL WAS

STAMPING

FOR PRESIDENT OF WA

Holiday Inn

HOLIDAY INN SANDTON ***TYTY* 

Cor. Rivonia & North Roads - Sandown - P.O. Box 781743 Sandton 2146 - South Africa
Cables HOLIDAYINN - Telephones 783-5262 - 783-5283 - 783-5205

H/v Rivonia & Noordweg - Sandown - Posbus 781743 Sandton 2146 - Suid-Afrika
Kabels HOLIDAYINN - Telefone 783-5262 - 783-5283 - 783-5205

(A)

(A) PROUTE
TO BARLOW
H.Q.

THEM AXMAN
ST

THEM ARONS (H.Q.)

THEM TO SARM (H.Q.)

THEM DAST
SARC (H.Q.)

g) IF HFO + TUTU
+ REASON + ZACK
+ COLIN + WAM

DE VAN ZYL SLABBERT.

LEADER OF THE

OPPOSITOON IN SOUTH AFRICAN PARLIAMENT

Holiday Inn

5

HOLIDAY INN SANDTON ***TYTY (IH)

Cor. Rivonia & North Roads · Sandown · P.O. Box 781743 Sandton 2146 · South Africa
Cables HOLIDAYINN · Telephones 783-5262 · 783-5283 · 783-5205

H/v Rivonia & Noordweg · Sandown · Posbus 781743 Sandton 2146 · Suid-Afrika
Kabels HOLIDAYINN · Telephone 783-5262 · 783-5283 · 783-5205

MY SECOND COUSIN

I HEUREN I
BARTEMO DUPLESSIS
I BRITTE VILJOEN

AT 07:00 PM

WITH GATSHA BUIHECIZI

WITH HELP

OF JOHN LEUKOMES

(S)

EXEC OFF

READ SUN TU

DU READ

SUN TZU

BEFORE THE INTER

FROM JOHANNESBURG

42 MORRIS AVE
BLAIRGOWRIE
RANDSBURG 2194
SOUTH AFRICA