

DOCUMENT WITHDRAWAL RECORD [NIXON PROJECT]

DOCUMENT NUMBER	DOCUMENT TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE OR CORRESPONDENTS	DATE	RESTRICTION
N-1 [Doc 69]	Memo	John K. Andrews, Jr. to President, re: Recommendations on public trust and staff relationships attached to cover memo, Andrews to Woods, 12/13/73	12/13/73	c (mix) OPEN 11/13/2009 Date
N-2 [Doc 70] [Doc 71] [Doc 72]	Letter	Woods to Robert Andrews, re: letter of Jan. 31ST attachments 1) Letter Bobby [Andrews] to Woods, re: possible job, 1/31/72 2) Letter Bobby [Andrews] to Pat [Buchanan], re: past tense conversations re White House offer, 1/31/72	2/16/72	c (mix) OPEN 11/13/2009 Date OPEN 11/13/2009 Date 11/13/2009 Date
N-3 [Doc 73]	Letter	Victor C. Andrews to Woods, re: 1972 election { 2 copies } [attached to cover letter, Woods to Andrews, 4/16/69]	4/17/69	c (mix)
N-4 [Doc 74]	Memo Cov.	Tom Arfinson to Woods, re: running for office in California	12/72	c (mix)
FILE GROUP TITLE PPF			BOX NUMBER 20	
FOLDER TITLE A [2 of 3]				

RESTRICTION CODES

- A. Release would violate a Federal statute or Agency Policy.
 B. National security classified information.
 C. Pending or approved claim that release would violate an individual's rights.
 D. Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy or a libel of a living person.

- E. Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information.
 F. Release would disclose investigatory information compiled for law enforcement purposes.
 G. Withdrawn and return private and personal material.
 H. Withdrawn and returned non-historical material.

Presidential Materials Review Board

Review on Contested Documents

Collection: President's Personal Files
Box Number: 20

Folder: A [2 of 3]

<u>Document</u>	<u>Disposition</u>	<u>Date</u>
69	Retain Close Invasion of Privacy	11/13/2009
70	Retain Close Invasion of Privacy	11/13/2009
71	Retain Close Invasion of Privacy	11/13/2009
72	Retain Close Invasion of Privacy	11/13/2009
73	Return Private/Political	
74	Return Private/Political	

DOCUMENT WITHDRAWAL RECORD [NIXON PROJECT]

DOCUMENT NUMBER	DOCUMENT TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE OR CORRESPONDENTS	DATE	RESTRICTION
N-1	Memo	John K. Andrews, Jr. to President, re: Recommendations on public trust and staff relationships [attached to cover memo, Andrews to Woods, 12/13/73]	12/13/73	c (mix)
N-2	Letter	Woods to Robert Andrews, re: letter of Jan. 31 ST <u>attachments</u> 1) Letter, Bobby [Andrews] to Woods, re: possible job, 1/31/72 2) Letter Bobby [Andrews] to Pat [Buchanan], re recent conversations re White House offer, 1/31/72	2/16/72	c (mix)
N-3	Letter	Victor C. Andrews to Woods, re: 1972 election { 2 copies } [attached to cover letter, Woods to Andrews, 4/16/69]	4/17/69	c (mix)
N-4	Memo Cov.	Tom Arpinson to Woods, re: running for office in California	12/72	c (mix)

FILE GROUP TITLE

PPF

BOX NUMBER

20

FOLDER TITLE

A [2 of 3]

RESTRICTION CODES

- A. Release would violate a Federal statute or Agency Policy.
B. National security classified information.
C. Pending or approved claim that release would violate an individual's rights.
D. Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy or a libel of a living person.

- E. Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information.
F. Release would disclose investigatory information compiled for law enforcement purposes.
G. Withdrawn and return private and personal material.
H. Withdrawn and returned non-historical material.

DOCUMENT WITHDRAWAL RECORD [NIXON PROJECT]

DOCUMENT NUMBER	DOCUMENT TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE OR CORRESPONDENTS	DATE	RESTRICTION
N-1 [Doc 69]	Memo	John K. Andrews, Jr. to President, re: Recommendations on public trust and staff relationships [attached to cover memo, Andrews to Woods, 12/13/73]	12/13/73	C (mix)
N-2 [Doc 70] [Doc 71] [Doc 72]	Letter	Woods to Robert Andrews, re: letter of Jan. 31 st <u>attachments</u> 1) Letter, Bobbly [Andrews] to Woods, re: possible job, 1/31/72 2) Letter Bobbly [Andrews] to Pat [Buchanan], re: pertinent conversations re White House offer, 1/31/72	2/16/72	C (mix)
N-3 [Doc 73]	Letter	Victor C. Andrews to Woods, re: 1972 election { 2 copies } [attached to cover letter, Woods to Andrews, 4/16/69]	4/7/69	C (mix)
N-4 [Doc 74]	Memo Cov.	Tom Carson to Woods, re: running for office in California	12/72	C (mix)

FILE GROUP TITLE

PPF

BOX NUMBER

20

FOLDER TITLE

A [2 of 3]

RESTRICTION CODES

- A. Release would violate a Federal statute or Agency Policy.
 B. National security classified information.
 C. Pending or approved claim that release would violate an individual's rights.
 D. Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy or a libel of a living person.

- E. Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information.
 F. Release would disclose investigatory information compiled for law enforcement purposes.
 G. Withdrawn and return private and personal material.
 H. Withdrawn and returned non-historical material.

Presidential Materials Review Board

Review on Contested Documents

Collection: President's Personal Files

Box Number: 20

Folder: A [2 of 3]

<u>Document</u>	<u>Disposition</u>
69	Retain Close Invasion of Privacy
70	Retain Close Invasion of Privacy
71	Retain Close Invasion of Privacy
72	Retain Close Invasion of Privacy
73	Return Private/Political
74	Return Private/Political



SPECIAL ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
Office for Drug Abuse Law Enforcement

Rose Mary —
Thought you
might want to
know about this
from some place
other than the NY
Times —
Regards
Hugler

Department of Justice

Washington 20530

May 18, 1973

Dear Mr. President:


Please consider this a renewal of my letters of resignation dated November 8, 1972, as Special Assistant Attorney General and Special Consultant to the President. At the time of my appointment to these positions, it was my stated intention to serve one year or a maximum of eighteen months. I also stated that I would be unable to accept any further posts due to personal and financial considerations.

After fifteen years of service in various governmental posts, I am reluctant to leave; but I am also eager to return to the private practice of law. Therefore, I would be most appreciative if you would accept this resignation as of the close of business on July 6, 1973.

As Commissioner of Customs and in my present positions, I have been and continue to be proud of the opportunity that I have had to serve under your leadership. Your initiatives in foreign policy and domestic affairs will have in my judgment a significant salutary effect on the course of history. Your direction and strong support have turned the tide in the fight against drug abuse in our country. Though much still needs to be done, your Reorganization Plan can go a long way toward an early amelioration of the frightful social costs of drug abuse.

I intend to remain in the Washington area and will always be available for any assistance that I may be capable of rendering to you or your staff.

Respectfully submitted,



MYLES J. AMBROSE

The Honorable
Richard M. Nixon
President of the United States
The White House
Washington, D. C.

Questions & Answers

Q: Didn't Mr. Nixon say in his presidential campaign of 1968 that he was opposed to the guaranteed annual income?

A: Yes. On many occasions President Nixon stressed his opposition to such a plan. Apparently he is failing to carry out his campaign promises.

Q: Who in the Nixon Administration is mainly responsible for this welfare program?

A: Presidential aide Patrick Moynihan, an advisor to the Kennedy and Johnson Administrations, was the principle architect. When presented to the President's Cabinet this welfare plan was opposed by all but three cabinet members.

Q: What political consequences are involved should the guaranteed annual income become law?

A: It would create a permanent class of people on the Federal payroll who would undoubtedly offer their votes to the politicians promising the highest annual income.

Here's what YOU can do:

Write your Congressman & Senators
% House/Senate Office Buildings
Washington, D.C. 20515

For additional brochures contact:

American Conservative Union
Capitol Hill

328 Pennsylvania Avenue, S.E.
Washington, D.C. 20003

The Nixon Welfare Plan

□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □

A Guaranteed Annual Income



"More money now" is the cry heard from professional welfare recipients and militant leftists as they picket in Washington.

What it means to YOU as a Taxpayer

Facts on the Nixon Welfare Program

Questions and Answers

Q: What is the Nixon welfare plan?

A: The program is a socio-economic plan designed to turn over the major burden of welfare to the federal government. The most controversial provision of the welfare program is the "family assistance plan," better known as a guaranteed annual income.

Q: How will the guaranteed annual income work?

A: A potential recipient will simply sign up at the local welfare or social security office. There would be no check to determine the needs of the applicant.

Q: How much would each welfare family receive?

A: As presently designed \$1600 for a family of four from the federal government. Professional welfare organizations are already calling for a minimum of \$5500.

Q: As a taxpayer what will the Nixon welfare program cost me?

A: As much as \$275.00. Noted economist, Henry Hazlitt, says the welfare plan will cost \$10 billion the first year. This money will have to come from the average taxpayer through still more taxes (\$275.00) or through still more inflation.

Q: How many people will be added to the welfare rolls?

A: The program will add 12 million to the already 10 million on the welfare rolls. In total, some 22 million people would be receiving checks from the Federal government, or 11% of the U.S. population.

Q: Would a welfare recipient be expected to work to qualify for the guaranteed annual income?

A: Government officials say yes, but there has been a "must work" requirement in the Aid to Families of Dependent Children (AFDC) since 1967 and it has never been enforced.

Q: How much of the taxpayers money does the government now spend on welfare?

A: Approximately \$72 billion. The Senate Republican Policy Committee estimated that government

expenditures on all levels for social programs already exceeds \$72 billion a year.

Q: Would there be any check to determine if the recipient was spending the money wisely?

A: No. Each person would be completely free to spend the money at his own pleasure—for televisions, automobiles or whatever.

Q: Would the welfare recipient receive other services besides the direct cash gift?

A: Yes. Although President Nixon said other welfare programs would be eliminated the facts are that there would be no cutback in programs such as food stamps. The food stamp program already has been doubled by the Nixon Administration.

Q: Would welfare mothers be expected to work in order to qualify for the guaranteed annual income?

A: No. Government designers of this legislation say welfare mothers should be home with their children. ACU has pointed out that tax-paying families often need both parents working in order to meet the high costs of living caused in large part by excessive government spending.

Q: Should the government spend more on social programs and less on military and space programs?

A: In the last 10 years the government has spent 25 times more money on welfare than on our lunar landing program. We got to the moon but welfare rolls keep growing.

Q: Would the Nixon welfare plan eventually reduce the number on welfare?

A: No. In fact, the welfare rolls will continue to grow as long as it is profitable to stay on welfare.

Q: Is the Nixon program similar to the negative income tax advocated by conservative economist Milton Friedman?

A: Absolutely not. The Friedman plan calls for the elimination of all other forms of welfare services with incentives to get off the welfare rolls. The Nixon program is an "add on" measure.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Nov. 26, 1971

To: Rose Mary Woods

From: Peter M. Flanigan

I believe that the President should
see Hoyt Ammidon's Nov. 17th ltr
for his information.

738c

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Date 11/19/71
To Peter Flanigan
From Rose Mary Woods
FYI
Please Handle

& to the President
F.Y.I. if you so
advised

UNITED STATES TRUST COMPANY


OF NEW YORK

45 WALL STREET NEW YORK, N. Y. 10005

212-425-4500

HOYT AMMIDON
Chairman of the Board

November 17, 1971



Dear Mr. President:

I hope that Peter Flanigan has been able to convey to you my deep unhappiness and sincere regret in not being able to support you in the matter outlined to me. I told him that only one thing could stand in the way this time and due to the seriousness of this situation, and after conferring with Betty's doctor who is Dr. Crispin Cooke, of the North Shore Medical Group in Huntington, Long Island, I had to say what I did. I can only assure you of my loyalty and continued support and my desire to do everything within my ability to help you. Your and John Connally's confidence in me touches me more than I can say and I am desperately sorry about this.

John's speech at the Economic Club dinner last night was absolutely superb and should go a long way toward reassuring the several thousand people in that very important audience of the strength of the Administration's position and your ability to see it through. After dinner Gabe Hauge invited me with a few other bankers and corporation heads to meet with John in private in an effort to smoke out reasons why the confidence factor in these programs seems to be lacking. I said in this discussion, and I honestly believe, Mr. President, that this does in great part sum up this temporary reaction that we are now seeing, that the little man and the man in the street and some in higher office, too, are worried that labor is not going along with your program and that eventually it will kick over the apple cart. If they do, then of course that is another matter. Naturally, we earnestly hope they will not and I would judge that if they don't it will take perhaps two or three months to dispel this psychological reaction and then the program will go forward with vigor. Certainly the backing and filling of the stock market is evidence of this psychological reaction.

As Bunny has probably told you, we are up to almost \$900,000 here in New York from your dinner of a week ago and hope to reach that mark before we close the books.

With warm regards, my gratitude and best wishes,

Sincerely,



The President of the United States
The White House
Washington, D. C. 20500

cc The Hon. John B. Connally

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

January 8, 1973

file

MEMORANDUM FOR: ROSE MARY WOODS

FROM: MARSHA A. SUMMERLIN *MAS*

A Colonel Bernard L. Anderson called this morning on George Bell's line asking that the President be advised he was in town. He said he was a personal friend of the President; however, Kardex does not have anything on him.

Colonel Anderson mentioned he was the President's escort in the Philippines and was on the National Veterans Committee. I believe he is retired now because he said he had been in the military about 35 years.

He left his phone number as 638-5134 but said he may be moving into the BOQ at Bolling AFB.

December 23, 1968

Mr. & Mrs. Richard M. Nixon
President Elect
516 Bay Lane
Key Biscayne, Florida

Dear Mr. & Mrs Nixon:

Mrs. Anderson and I wish to convey to your family our deepest wishes for a Very Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year. We have worked and prayed for the event to take place in January, and we thank God for your election. We are very proud of all of the Nixons and their families, and shall pray for strength you shall need in the coming years.

We worked hard in the elections of 1960 and 1968 for you, and for all Republican candidates, and would very much appreciate your autographs on the family portrait enclosed. It was acquired at the Republican convention where we were honor guards, but could not get to you for the autograph. Thank you for considering this request.

Sincerely,

Ralph L. Anderson
Ralph L. Anderson
2325 Swanson Avenue
Miami, Florida 33133

*Picture
autographed
returned
12/17/69*

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

April 9, 1969
Wednesday - 9:30 a.m.

MEMORANDUM FOR
ROSE MARY WOODS

FROM: Dwight L. Chapin

The President is going to see Dwayne Andreas but he wants us to delay it until after the HHH trip, so we are stalling.

We called Andreas yesterday and told him because of General Eisenhower's death, etc. that it did not look possible before the latter part of April.



MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

April 9, 1969

For: Dwight Chapin
From: Rose Mary Woods
Subject: Dwayne Andreas

After talking with the President in the middle of March, Hobe Lewis said that the President had agreed to see Dwayne Andreas some time in April.

4/7/69
N.

Lee

Handwritten initials

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

July 27, 1971

Dear Rose:

I realize the President will
be in San Clemente at the time of
Minister Andreotti's visit but I
thought you should see the attached
correspondence.

I will talk to John Davies about
arranging a special tour of the White
House.

Helene

D.M. GRATTAN CO.
INCORPORATED

750 THIRD AVENUE, NEW YORK 17, N.Y.
TELEPHONE OXFORD 7-7470

Advertising & Public Relations

July 22, 1971

Miss Helen McCain Smith
2126 Connecticut Avenue N.W.
Washington, D. C.

Dear Helen:

I wonder if you still remember the column John O'Donnell wrote in September 1954 in which he spoke of Italian Minister Giulio Andreotti. If you don't I am sending you a xerox copy here enclosed which brings me to the purpose of this letter.

Minister Andreotti, who is now the influential President of the Parliamentary Group and leader of the Christian Democrats, Italy's majority party of the government, is coming to the U.S.A. on August 2nd, with his family in an unofficial and private capacity as plain tourists. The party consists of the Minister, his wife 4 children and two of their college friends totalling 8.

After a "Grand Tour" starting with Nassau on the 3rd of August to Los Angeles and Disney Land then San Francisco and back to New York on August 23.

The Andreotti family is most anxious to see The White House, Smithsonian Institute and the National Art Gallery. They are also planning to visit Williamsburg, Virginia, and Monticello. All of this, we hope, can be crowded in between the 28th and 29th of August.

The 30th of August Senator John Marchi of New York also a close friend of Minister Andreotti, has very kindly made arrangements with the Mayor of Buffalo and Niagra Falls so that the family can visit our famous falls, and return the same day for a Farewell Party which my sisters' and I are hosting at "Club 21."

Continuation.....

- 2 -

July 22, 1971

Miss Helen McCain Smith
2126 Connecticut Avenue N. W.
Washington, D. C.

In speaking with Senator Marchi and others, there seems to be a consensus of opinion that Mr. Andreotti, should "unofficially" pay a courtesy visit to our Secretary of State and possibly also President Nixon if the President's hectic schedule will permit. In fact, Mr. Andreotti might even come on Friday the 27th, if this would be more convenient.

Would it be possible for you to direct me to the proper person, should this not be within the purview of your activities at The White House, to whom I can address myself so that I can work out the necessary arrangements for the visit (touristic) the Andreotti's would like to make of The White House and the Capitol, and perhaps also the other meetings I have mentioned above.

Unless unforeseen circumstances prevent me, I hope to accompany The Andreotti Family to Washington.

Arrangements have been made through Governor Rockefeller's office for their arrival at JFK airport on the 2nd of August.

Would you be so very kind as to let me hear from you as soon as possible.

Hope to see you in Washington. Many thanks for any help you can give me in making these arrangements. Give my very regards to your dear mother and my best to you.

Cordially,

D. M. GRATTAN CO., INC.

My very best
Debra
Debra M. Grattan
President

DMG:em
Enclosure

*

ENGLISH TRANSLATION
OF MINISTER ANDREOTTI'S
LETTER TO DELLA GRATTAN

July 8th, 1971

Dear Della:

We are in the midst of the most difficult month of parliamentary work which, if all goes well, should be the prelude to 8 weeks of vacation.

I have entrusted to the care of a friend of mine, who is in the travel agency business, with international contacts, to organize our trip for the month of August, with the reservation - which in my work is always necessary - that should circumstances intervene necessitating cancellation of the trip, that I can do so at the last minute.

Besides Livia (his wife) and our four children, there will also be two friends of my children.

I am attaching here the itinerary and the hotels which have been reserved.

We would be very happy if the Grattan Sisters would be willing to join us at least in part of our trip, which is of course a very private and unofficial one and in fact, for this reason I think that perhaps there is no need for me to inform our Diplomatic Representatives.

With my very best regards and cordialita,

(signed) Giulio Andreotti

Article written by Hon.
Giulio Andreotti in re-
buttal to the dispatches
of Mr. C. L. Sulzberger.

Perhaps you may want
to pass this on to the
office of President
Nixon.

Della M. Grattan

THE MYTH OF NEO-FASCISM IN ITALY

The International Press has devoted extensive editorial mileage to the regional Italian elections held in Italy on June 13. The Italian voters went to the polls to elect the Sicilian Regional Council and the Municipal Council of Rome, Genoa, Bari, Foggia and Ascoli Marchigiano. This obviously was not a national election, and should not, therefore, be used as an accurate barometer of the Italian voters' mood.

On the other hand, the voting in these disparate sections of Italy stirred much excitement and speculation not only abroad, but especially among the Italians - not without justification. While on the one hand Italians from all walks of life and all the regions feel a sharp sense of frustration with their government's seeming inability to restrain the rebellious extremes, and bring under control the alarming increase in crime and disruption of service, the solution to these recurrent crises will certainly not be found in a revival of Fascism.

The International Press warns that there are harbingers of a "potentially new Duce" in the person of Hon. Giorgio Almirante, a member of Parliament, Spokesman, and Secretary of the Movimento Socialista Italiano (MSI).

The question arises: how much did this powerful publicity in the foreign press actually contribute in misleading some Italian voters to believe that by casting their vote for the MSI they would be casting a vote against the left? A frank analysis of the vote suggests that the Italians wanted to serve notice on the governing coalition, consisting of the Christian Democrats and the 3 minority groups, that they would not tolerate any more disastrous strikes and the terror which lurks in the streets.

A protest vote is sometimes useful in bringing home the message, but in the long run it can also prove very damaging. Let me try to explain what actually took place and perhaps correct some mistaken views and opinions.

The New York Times published an editorial on June 20th captioned: "Too Many Voters Were Just Plain Fed Up." I do not deny this. But I do not necessarily agree that the votes rolled up by the MSI warrant the degree of alarm which has been so widespread in the English and American press.

Another publication, Newsweek, in its June 28th issue, wrote of the June 13th elections: "The Voters Corrivo" (which means the angry vote). The reasons are real, the anger is there, but not all the facts are correct.

It has also been reported that most of the votes picked up by the MSI were mainly at the expense of Italy's largest party, the Christian Democrats. I do not accept this observation as being a correct evaluation of the Italian voters' mood. To be sure, the D.C. (Christian Democrats) did sustain a loss, but so did the other parties, including the Communists.

There has undoubtedly been a change in attitude on the part of the Italian voters if we make a comparison with the elections held in June of 1970. And it is true that much of the protest vote reflects the restive mood of the people. However, to understand the situation it is essential to examine the votes, how they were cast, and the specific problems indigenous to each region. It then becomes evident that the MSI did not only take votes from the Christian Democrats, but from all of the other minority parties that are in the government.

Here is a breakdown:

Christian Democrats lost.....282,026 votes
Liberal Party lost.....202,481 votes
Extreme Left Parties (consisting of Communists
and Socialists) sustained a combined loss of...134,859 votes

In other words, 619,366 voters "defected" to candidates of other parties. How were these votes cast?

The Liberal and Extreme leftist parties made comparatively modest gains as can be seen from these figures:

Social Democrats.....100,949
Socialists..... 40,119
Republicans..... 24,520
MSI (Almirante).....407,351

A growth in the strength of the extreme right has been evident for some time and was in fact, dramatically highlighted a few months ago with the abortive insurrection led by Prince Borghese, who subsequently fled to Switzerland. It is amazing how skillfully Mr. Almirante managed to avoid incrimination of Borghese and at the same time strongly disclaimed any complicity of his party (?).

Where the political analysts miscalculated was the degree to which the voters' anger would reflect itself ... in a shift to the extreme right, rather than left.

Do the Italians really want a revival of Fascism? I firmly doubt and in fact

unequivocally reject the premise that the results of the June 13th election reflect a failure on the majority party, which is the Christian Democrats, to enforce law and order and to pass legislation for low cost housing and other urgently needed reforms. Or the erroneous illusion espoused by some segments of the media, alleging that the Christian Democrats were being lenient toward Communism. All of these speculations fail to take note of the basic reasons which lie at the foundation of our chronic discontent.

It is dangerous to pass judgement superficially. In fact, it is this sort of "panic reaction" which best served the cause of such reactionary extremists as Mr. Almirante.

The demagogic rhetoric of Almirante was skillfully designed to appeal exclusively to regional emotions...This could only produce division rather than unity.

Unlike some of my esteemed colleagues, I am not seeking excuses with which to exculpate our government - nor my own party for that matter. There are serious flaws in the present structure of government with a poignant need for reform.

Had the Northern industrial cities voted, contrary to some opinions, I personally do not believe that the results would have been any different. Perhaps the Communist might have increased their plurality but so would have the M.S.I. The demand for positive leadership is widespread: the need for effective law enforcement is critical, and urgent.

There is a tragic lesson to be learned from this unnerving experience, but all is far from lost. The people are tired with promises and no performance

due to the perennial bickering by immature men and women who have the responsibility to push through legislative reforms, that are long overdue.

However, having spent more than 25 years in my government, and having held more than seven Ministerial Posts, I dare say that I have some qualified basis for rejecting the premise that the rather freakish victory for the M.S.I. has enough muscle to produce a resurgence of Fascism in Italy.

Neither will Italy fall into the arms of Communism, as so many political correspondents all too frequently predict.

There does not exist a "Pseudo Duce" nor a real one. Neither do we have the Leninist type of Communist revolutionary. The so-called Maoist activists, for all their bluster and destructive bombings, violence and defiance of the law, are the universal product of our complex times. We are certainly not alone in this painful situation. It would be easy to liken these rebels to the consequence of our times after World War II, but it goes much deeper.

As the father of two sons who are going to the University, a daughter that is a university graduate, and another in her Freshman year, I am familiar with the thinking of our youth. I never miss an opportunity to have open and uninhibited debates with my four children and their friends. Indeed there is a generation gap...but hasn't there always been one between parents and their children?

Why don't we call it by its right name. There is widespread emotional frustration, and these ebullient sentiments must find an outlet...The middle aged class is preoccupied with the problems of the nation's economy and politics. The young face an uncertain future. The staggering abundance of

information in our age of electronic communications is pouring in too fast and too furious to be carefully and rationally evaluated, and of consequence tends to produce over-reaction.

The world has its eyes glued to the television screen and their ears close to their transistor radios.

A riot in an American University campus signals the youth abroad to stir up trouble. How then do we Italians differ, and why is it especially peculiar to us to be preordained as some prophets would claim that our country will inevitably succumb to one extreme or the other?

In retrospect the record since the end of World War II does us honor notwithstanding some reverses.

NEITHER SPAGHETTI WITH "CHILE SAUCE"
NOR THE BLACK SHIRTS FOR ITALY

There does not exist a "Pseudo Duce" nor a real one. Neither do we have the Leninist type of Communist revolutionary. But this does not minimize the grave danger posed by the militant rightists and leftists. The discontent which is so dangerously pervasive in Italy at every level of our society in part is due to the failure of some of our governing men to set aside politics and act as statesmen. It is time for our leaders to act with decisive energy in rooting out the evils of a stagnating bureaucracy and to legislate reforms in our laws which will truly bring Italy into the 20th Century of Democratic Government.

Almirante's campaign oratory has had one popular theme: the rise in crime, the violence of the demonstrators, the arrogance of Labor Unions, and the obvious need for more stringent law enforcement. No one denies the existence of these problems. But nowhere did I hear or read a program put forth by Mr. Almirante as to how he proposes to cure these virulent malaise.

The sensationalism produced by excessive editorial exposure to political demagogues is invariably underestimated by political correspondents. Such captions in the English press as "Nephews of Mussolini"... "The Shadow of the Duce over Italy" and "The Fascist Mosquito that will become a Monster" all help to create an image of Almirante that exaggerates his dimensions to ridiculous proportions.

How far they will exploit this first taste of success depends in large measure ^{on} ~~of~~ how effectively the parties who suffered the losses will organize

to counteract this groundswell of neo-fascism.

A careful study of Almirante's speeches will show ^{How} he easily courts the votes of the mature citizen - the moderates who long to see a return to a more disciplined government and laws which will give the people protection from crime and paralyzing strikes. The "old timers" of the Mussolini era are easily moved to nostalgia upon being reminded that the trains arrived on time, when all the means of transportation throughout the nation are arrogantly brought to a halt by the unreasonable demands of Labor Unions, as has unfortunately happened on a number of occasions.

Of course Mr. Almirante is very careful not to openly make overt references to fascism, for to do so would obviously put him in jail for flagrant violation of legal statutes which forbid any public manifestos or speeches which would revive fascism. However, Mr. Almirante has never been heard to denounce the Mussolini era. Neither has he disavowed Borghese.

The intra-party squabbling of the Parliamentarians provided the weakness that has ironically proved the basis for Mr. Almirante's battle cry that the incumbent governing parties have been "soft" on Communism. His view of "Communists" includes all those who are of the left wing of all the political parties, including the left of center adherents of my own party, i.e.: Christian Democrats.

Anyone who promises the Italian people a return to public order will get their ear and possibly their vote. It is this pivotal tragedy of our internal strife which gave Mr. Almirante a receptive audience. The regrettable dichotomy within the Christian Democratic party certainly has

helped the cause of the M.S.I.

As a typical example of the incongruity of the Italian body politic, I would like to cite the aggressive campaign of the Leftists last year in which demands were made for disarming of the police. Naturally, without the means to enforce law and order, the police would be rendered impotent and the radicals could run rampant. Mr. Almirante conveniently ignored this crucial point, but used this situation to his own spurious advantage by pointing to the helpless police as another sign of weakness on the part of *THE* government to enforce law and order. Where was Mr. Almirante when the Leftists disarmed the police? Although the moderate and right of center Christian Democrats took a strong stand in support of the police, the Leftist opposition created such an obstreperous clamor that they succeeded in obfuscating the issues with the regrettable result that the police lost their fight to retain their defensive weapons...The spokesman for the M.S.I. and protagonists of discipline failed to lend a hand when the Leftists pressured to disarm the police. Naturally, a helpless police with no effective means to restrain demonstrators helps give the impression of a weak government... or as some moderates and extreme rightists allege, "the government is appeasing the left." As it happens, those who were responsible for disarming the police were soon confronted by an angry public who demanded to know why the police were deprived their means of defense. In the wake of such a decisive public reaction, the sponsors of the idea to strip our police of necessary defensive arms back-tracked and gradually dropped the issue, but in the interim this situation added to the increase in the crime wave, rapes, kidnapping, and many other violations of the law.

We have had a radical increase in the number of demonstrations in the South of Italy due to a number of factors, not the least of which is the serious violence in Calabria over the bitter dispute of the selection of the regional capital. For more than three months the cities of Reggio and Catanzaro were locked in an almost savage battle. So critical was the situation that observers noted that not even during the severest bombing raids of World War II had the railroads from the mainland to the Island of Sicily been interrupted for such a long period of time. Nor did the announcement of the long awaited bridge to be built over the Strait of Messina help to ease the volcanic tempers of the region. Add to this the fears of an economic recession and you will see how an opportunistic politician can, by appealing to the angry emotions, win their support.

It is not difficult to inflame the minds of an irate public when mountains of garbage accumulate endangering the health of the people, when walk-outs by hospital staffs leave the sick and infirm mercilessly without assistance, and when irresponsible wildcat strikes leave travellers stranded.

Yet despite all of these adversities, the picture is not all black... certainly not as hopelessly out of control as Mr. Almirante would have the Italians believe, or as dangerous as some writers of the foreign press would have you think. Ironically Mr. C.L. Sulzberger wrote in a dispatch to the New York Times ^{THAT} the situation in Italy was comparable to that of Chile and that it was inevitable for Italy to swing to the extreme left. The caption read "Spaghetti with Chile Sauce"!!! Mr. Sulzberger evidently did not give much weight to the extreme right, when he wrote this column in

January of this year, but we in Rome were keenly aware of a menacing swing to the extreme right. Perhaps it is time to remind everyone that despite all our problems, in actuality the Italians are far better off today than they have ever been in our history. Not even Mr. Almirante could minimize the remarkable economic growth of Italy since World War II. The much publicized "Miracle of Milan" was not and is not to this day a myth. The burgeoning growth of Italian industry stands as irrefutable testimony to the economic leap forward of post war Italy.

The traffic congestion of Rome and our highways gives dramatic evidence to another particularly significant area of economic progress...the fact that one out of every three citizens in Rome owns a car, is not to be brushed off lightly. Individual home owners have increased from 30 to 52% in the past 20 years. Italians are travelling abroad more than ever before. They eat better, are healthier, and dress well.

Many middle class families also have summer cottages and homes at the seashore. Exports have held up very well. The lire is universally acclaimed as one of Europe's hardest currency. Our credit balance is sound and our industries are holding their own despite signs of growing weakness in the economy of other countries.

Discontent in the South has ancient roots and we must redouble our efforts in that region. Enormous sums of money have been earmarked to develop industry in the South, but all of this will take time and patience...The grievous neglect of the South for centuries cannot be reversed overnight, but we are making appreciable headway. In the poorer outskirts of urban areas, such as the suburbs of Rome, low-cost housing is making gratifying progress notwithstanding the long drawn out battle in Parliament this Spring

to implement the laws for low-cost housing and to expand the availability of housing for the lower income families. Here again ironically, the opposition came from the Leftist Socialists, but the stigma was cast upon the Christian Democrats, who have long sought to provide decent and sanitary shelter for the poor.

As living standards are improved in these depressed areas, the voters abandon the Communists. Many have joined the ranks of the Christian Democrats, and some have responded to the appeals made by the Almirante party.

Unfortunately, there continues to be a steady flow of criticism of the government...some of it deliberately slanderous. I say slanderous because to accuse the Christian Democrats of appeasement in the fight against Communism is dishonest and can only play ^{to} in the hands of the neo-fascist or Movimento Socialista Italiano.

The next few months will be decisive: the moderates within the ranks of the Christian Democratic Party and the left of center must bury their quarrels and get down to the serious business of discrediting the M.S.I. and their spokesman, Mr. Almirante. Failure to achieve a cohesion may very well rock the boat. Should this come to pass it would be the beginning of the end of a democratic Italy.

The battle would then be reduced to the two extremists - left and right. Communism or Fascism. In either case, this would be the most devastating tragedy to befall Europe. For Italy stands as the last bulwark of

democracy in Western Europe. We are not impervious to criticism, but I would like to appeal to those who know and love Italy to take cognizance of the inherent dangers of inadvertently giving aid and comfort to our detractors by exaggerating their stature or their claims for popular support. The Christian Democratic Party is still the majority party, but we shall have to do some very serious self analysis and reappraisal if we are to hold our leadership.

GIULIO ANDREOTTI

President Of The
Christian Democratic
Parliamentary Group

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 17, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR

ROSE MARY WOODS

FROM

JOHN ANDREWS

SUBJECT

Color and Human Interest
Material on the President

I think it would be useful, before I visit with you about your personal experiences with the President, to suggest some examples of the type of reminiscences and insights that I am hoping you will be able to provide.

First of all, don't be put off by the use of the word "anecdotes" to describe the material sought. The aim of this project is to put together a record of those actions and remarks of the President which would not likely be known outside his staff and close associates, and which give the measure of the man. Obviously, material relevant to this aim does not have to have a humorous or dramatic twist or even to be in the form of a little story -- so that rids us of the limitations that "anecdote" may impose in some minds.

Essentially I will be seeking your own answer to the question "What kind of a man is Richard Nixon?" -- backed up with all the specifics and concrete examples you can offer. That big question breaks down into hundreds of smaller ones, which you might want to pose to yourself before we talk. To mention just a few:

1. Is he isolated, or broadly informed?
2. Is it true that he is ill at ease in informal social situations, meeting strangers, making small talk?
3. Has he kept up the ties of old friendships since coming to the White House?

4. Does he have an easy touch with the service people -- guards, waiters, drivers -- those who could easily be invisible to such a busy and powerful man?
5. Is he close to his family?
6. About his intellectual equipment: is he a fast reader, retentive, quick to analyze a problem, a good memory for detail?
7. How does he preside in a meeting? Good at drawing out the views of participants? Good at moving along through an agenda? Good at synthesizing and summarizing conclusions reached? *Nullen comment*
8. Is he persuasive in a discussion with someone of decidedly opposing views?
9. How does he react to criticism?
10. How well does he control his temper?
11. Is he at all times cool and dispassionate, or do some things move him to display deep emotion? What kind of things?
12. Does he use his staff effectively? Delegating well... breaking through the yes-man syndrome... letting people know they are appreciated... seeking out all points of view?
13. Is he a religious man?

Of course these barely open the subject, but they may help to get your memory going. Concrete examples, as concise and pointed as possible, are the coin of the realm throughout this inquiry.

I will look forward to talking with you about this at *your convenience* —
hopefully Monday March 20.

Thanks,

John

RMW

March 13, 1972

M Set up Friday

John Andrews called again today

He is getting a lot of pressure from "on high" about finishing up his project -- and talking with you is vital to the completion.

He asks if it is at all possible that you see him for a few minutes sometime this week.

Marje

RMW

March 1, 1972

John Andrews -- renewing his request for an appointment
with you to talk about RN anecdotes through the years!

Marje

October 28, 1971

John Andrews

renewing his request for an appointment with RMW to talk about RN anecdotes, etc.

Getting pressure from Haldeman to finish up his report

It cannot be completed without RMW's thoughts

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE


WASHINGTON

August 5, 1971

MEMORANDUM TO: ROSE MARY WOODS
FROM: JOHN ANDREWS
SUBJECT: Request for Appointment

I am pursuing a long-term project of collecting firsthand reminiscences about the President from those staff members closest to him. Repeated telephone bids for a chance to talk with you have proved inconclusive. Of course when the subject is RN, not to have talked with RMW is hardly to have talked at all. The attached Haldeman memo indicates that this undertaking does have "command attention;" as the date on it shows, the whole matter is also beginning to grow somewhat hoary with age. With fond hopes that fortune will smile upon this renewed effort to obtain an interview with you, I remain

Your obedient servant,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "John Andrews". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large, looping initial "J" and a long, horizontal stroke at the end.

Attachment

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 22, 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR : RAY PRICE
FROM : H. R. HALDEMAN 
SUBJECT : Background Stories on the
President

We should get one of your young writers working on a collection of little background stories on the President that could be made available to people who are looking for anecdotal material for books, speeches, etc. I have a number of these covered in various memoes that I could turn over to a good writer. Also, he should sit down with Rose Woods and all of the other key staff people and try to draw out as many pure human type incidences as he possibly can - things that show the little acts of kindness that the President does so often.

After we get past the State of the Union would you put someone on this with a fixed time for completion and let's try to get a compilation together.

RMW

August 2, 1971

John Andrews -- asking for an appointment with you -- to get some of your first-hand observations of the President. You will recall he asked about this sometime ago and we have never been able to work anything out.

Marje

Rose:

Pok

I'm speaking out of turn
again. would like to talk
w/ you about some of these
ideas.

John Andrews file

(Andrews)

October 30, 1973

SURVIVAL: OPEN UP OR PERISH

- Rosen's Selection*
1. Special Prosecutor: Congressional participation in his selection essential. Either make Bork nominee subject to Senate confirmation, or nominate new AG and let him name the prosecutor as a condition of his own approval by the Senate as AG. Possibly make his removal also subject to advice and consent of the Senate. He must be guaranteed fire-proof, and by more than RN's word of honor, which is devalued currency now.
 2. Evidence: Give the new Special Prosecutor carte blanche access to White House files and tapes, not excluding Presidential conversations, with some impartial mechanism to screen out national security portions -- possibly in camera review by Sirica as already being done on the 9 tapes. Give him express power to sue for evidence if WH cooperation is insufficient.
 3. Duration of investigation: Give the prosecution force a deadline by which to conclude investigatory portion of its work -- indict, pass along any inculpatory evidence on the President to the House, and close out other lines of inquiry with finality.
 4. Congress: Outline the above plan in an address to a joint session of Congress. Strike a posture emphasizing the imperative necessity of cooperation, compromise and restraint on both sides to keep from tearing the government apart -- not a combative adversary posture. Follow up by Presidential sessions at the White House with the full Ervin Committee and the full Senate Judiciary Committee. Precedent for this exists not only in history but within the past 18 months -- this is the way RN/HAK "testimony" on SALT I before Senate Foreign Relations and House Foreign Affairs was handled in June 1972.
 5. Impeachment: Possibly challenge the House to put up or shut up on Presidential guilt or innocence of high crimes and misdemeanors. If this course is chosen, demand that House Judiciary make impeachment top priority after confirmation of Ford, and request up or down vote by Committee and/or full House within some reasonable time

limit. President could make himself available at White House, voluntarily, to the Committee as proposed for the Senate Committees in (4). The major element of risk in this frontal strategy is that political inflammation of the House might carry a bill of impeachment clear to the Senate regardless of the weakness of evidence against RN.

6. Personal finances: Announce a plan to deed over KB and SC residences to the United States -- KB on completion of term, SC at death of RN/PN. Publish RN's income tax returns from 1969 to date.

7. Press/public (there is no way to reach the latter except through the former, and we better stop trying): Release to the public the 9 tapes -- transcripts or actual audio -- as expurgated by Sirica. Commit firmly to biweekly press conferences (every second or third one televised) for the rest of the term. The old dodge about international tensions or negotiations being too delicate for a press conference at some given time was exploded October 26 when RN met press with ME crisis only hours past the white-hot stage. Embark upon a mixed bag of frequent other press contacts such as print interviews and broadcast chats with commentators, as was done for a period in early 1971. Hold a peace conference at the White House with top executives and editors of the national media -- nets, magazines, major papers. This could be a combination jaw-boning session and candid appeal to unofficial "fourth branch of government" to stay its hand from toppling the other three. If Egypt and Israel can have a face-to-face, so can RN with Salant, Graham, and the rest of the media barons.

8. Finally -- barnstorm: Travel the country as we inexplicably failed to do when momentum was gained after August 22. Talk not just about what's right with America, but face the main problem, admit Presidency and the President are weakened and appeal for national unity to nurse them back; don't pretend the mandate is intact, rather come to grips with the hard work of rebuilding it. Show the flag, press the flesh, go to the people -- neither in pride nor in penitence but as a human being whom they've asked to lead them till 1977. Enlist their help in carrying out that assignment. To hide in Washington/Camp David at this moment of greatest political peril on the pretext of setting an example in conserving jet fuel would be the ultimate copout.

###

DDE
JFK
LBJ

X
He
said
that.


THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

12-13-73

Rose:

Would appreciate your help
in delivering this. Haig
and Ziegler might be less
reliable in this particular
instance. Copy for you
is enclosed. Donna and
I are supporting you
in our prayers these days.

Love,


John Andrew

bcc: Miss Woods


THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

December 13, 1973

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: JOHN K. ANDREWS, JR.



SUBJECT: Recommendations on public trust and staff relationships

Thank you very much for taking a few minutes on Tuesday to say farewell to Donna and me and our two girls; we'll prize the pictures of that occasion. I am disappointed, however, to have received never so much as an acknowledgment, let alone an approval, of my request to General Haig more than a month ago for a 30-minute, substantive visit with you about the concerns that prompted my resignation.

Perhaps I was mistaken in thinking that both my November 11 meeting with General Haig and my November 21 formal letter to you had made it clear I was requesting such a session not frivolously but with very serious urgency -- in order to communicate privately (as I much preferred) rather than publicly (as I felt might otherwise be necessary) my sense of some of the most pressing moral and practical imperatives facing the White House. But those were in fact the stakes, and you have only Bryce Harlow, George Bush, and Ray Price -- not your own gatekeepers -- to thank for my decision to depart quietly even though denied one brief hearing at the end of four years' labor in the Nixon vineyard.

I trust, though, that this denial reflects only poor staff work, not your own feelings. And in any event I am grateful to have been persuaded to leave the staff in a manner which more befits my substantial indebtedness to you and which will not compound your difficulties. For however much my zest for this work may have been sapped by the Watergate poison, I continue to hope and pray for you not only to survive in office but to do so in strong and proud fashion that will permit further progress on your noble goals for America. In that spirit I respectfully submit the following suggestions, which I had hoped to discuss with you in person:

1. Operation Candor: Notwithstanding some of the tape problems, a good beginning has been made. Orlando, the financial disclosure, and the cooperation with Jaworski are all encouraging -- as are the latest polls. Of utmost importance now is to continue your momentum, rather than coasting as happened in May and September.

- a) Press conferences biweekly as a minimum are Ford's standard and must become yours.

- b) Meetings of some sort before the Christmas recess with the Ervin Committee, the Rodino impeachment panel, and the Mills tax group would send you into the holiday pulse-taking period looking like a man on the initiative.
- c) A frontal challenge from you to Rodino/Albert to get a full committee vote on impeachment by some early date certain should also be considered; millions would admire this as "guts ball."

2. Staff isolation: Looking clear back to the morning after your re-election, one must say quite frankly that working for the President these past 13 months has been in considerable part a grim and thankless business indeed. First the abrupt call for everyone's resignations and the long weeks of limbo as to our future; then the Christmas bombing with nothing from the top to rally us; then Watergate and more Watergate, again with no rallying from the Boss. This has already lost you a lot of good troops, and the hemorrhaging will only worsen unless some bracing leadership is exerted.

- a) You should assemble the whole staff and give them one of those two-hour stemwinders (on all issues, but mainly the integrity matter) like you did for Congress last month, Q and A included.
- b) This should be followed by a new policy of periodic Presidential visits with sub-groups on the staff; the writers, for example, would benefit immensely with some personal guidance from you every other month or so, instead of the once-in-three-years we got during my tenure.

Mr. President, I shall appreciate whatever consideration you see fit to give these thoughts. And once again, please accept my warmest thanks for my time here, and every good wish for success in your great mission of service.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

December 7, 1973

MEMORANDUM FOR: AN HONORABLE COMPANY
 OF FRIENDS

FROM: A DEPARTING SCRIVENER

As I think most of you know, it's become necessary for me to leave the staff; this is my final week. There has not been an opportunity for the personal farewells I'd like to have said to so many valued colleagues -- but I do want all of you to know how enriched I feel through our association, and what a genuine pleasure it's been for me to share these past four years with you as part of the White House family.

Donna and I plan to be in Washington for several more weeks, decompressing. Then early next year we will be moving to Denver, where I'm to become director of communications for Adventure Unlimited, an organization which conducts a Christian youth ministry in this country and abroad. This is perhaps not as sharp a career turn as it looks, since it seems to me, as Senator Hughes has put it, that a prime means of improving government and society is to strengthen individual spiritual reliance. So we'll still be on parallel paths, and I hope to keep in touch.

The President recently likened himself to a ship captain in stormy seas -- and an admirably brave one he is. Carrying out the metaphor, I'd only say that he's damn fortunate to sail with a crew of as much dedication, principle, and grit as you on this staff have shown even in heaviest weather. Up ahead, the steadiest star I can see to steer by is RN's own 1968 statement that the Presidency is preeminently a place of moral leadership; held to that course, this Administration which has already brought the nation and the world so far with, often, so little thanks, cannot fail to make safe port.

Great endeavors risk great errors, as we have learned to our pain; but the forces they loose, though certain to unmake the unworthy, only deepen character in the best. In that confidence I am of good cheer, and full of hope for America.

At an uncharacteristic loss for an exit line, I find myself indebted to George McGovern of all people for this from Yeats: "Count where man's most glory begins and ends, and say, my glory was I had such friends."

To that Andrews says amen; to all of you -- illegitimi non carborundum, goodbye, and God bless.

Warmest Thanks.



John K. Andrews, Jr.
Deputy Special Assistant
to the President

Dear Rose:

Would appreciate your mail service on this. The message is not only for him but for you and all the other good souls down there who probably think I have lost my mind. If you could send a copy of this over to Julie with a note saying I wanted her to have it I'd particularly appreciate that.

Bud said you'd phoned him that you'd been praying for him and asked him to do the same for you. So will I. God bless you.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to be "John".

JOHN K. ANDREWS, JR.
8409 IRVINGTON AVENUE
BETHESDA, MARYLAND 20034

*file
see!*

February 3, 1974

Dear Mr. President:

I want to say just a brief word to you about the motives and intent of the various items of news and comment I have generated in the media recently with regard to the problems of integrity and trust. As busy as you are, it may well be that my statements have not even come to your attention, which from the standpoint of your own morale might be just as well. Nonetheless we can feel the thoughts of others even when we do not hear them, so I think it is important to tell you directly what the purpose of my thoughts about you has been and will be.

"Survival with honor" is the phrase I've used more often than any other, and that sums up all my hopes and prayers. I am afraid, however, that sometimes I have come across harsh when I meant to be kind, sometimes personal and petty when I should have been charitable and impersonal in my criticisms. As I said in print just the other day, for a long time I looked up to you as a son might, and hence my expectations of you may have been too idealistic for anyone to live up to. Please remember, though, that no son really wants his father to do anything but succeed, and it's only because I've seen such greatness in you that the shortcomings of this awful time seem to hurt me so much.

I was touched by what you said at the prayer breakfast last week about being silent so we can listen to God. That's what I am going to do for a while now instead of preaching at you as I have been. My prayers will be with you -- not against you.

Respectfully,

John Andrews

The President
The White House
Washington



Republican State Central and Executive Committee

50 W. BROAD ST., SUITE 618 • COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215

Phone: Area Code 614-228-2481

June 12, 1969

JOHN S. ANDREWS
Chairman
MISS MARTHA C. MOORE
Vice-Chairman
MRS. LILA BLACK
Secretary
KENT B. MCGOUGH
Treasurer
RAY C. BLISS
National Committeeman
MISS MARTHA C. MOORE
National Committeewoman

6/26
referred
to Harry
Sent to
please advise

Age

Miss Rose Mary Woods
The White House
Washington, D. C.

Dear Rose Mary:

Sorry to bother you about another "minor" detail, but it has been suggested that we do a little news story in the Ohio Republican News about the several Ohio young people who are on the White House staff. We know of three such persons and they are: Miss Susan Lallathin, Miss Kay Killgallon, and Jonathan Rose.

Somebody reported that there was a young person from Mansfield, Ohio also on the staff, but I have not been able to confirm this.

In any event, if you could please confirm to me the names of Ohio people on the staff, I would appreciate it very much.

Many thanks.

Sincerely,

John S. Andrews

Has resigned.

JSA:tc

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

1st District Gordon H. Scherer Mrs. Jacalu H. Ginocchio	6th District Jennings B. Cole Mrs. Donna L. Wagner	10th District Elmer F. Jones Mrs. Maxine Slavens	15th District William H. Schneider Mrs. Margery Goller	20th District J. William Petro Mrs. Mary R. Bubna
2nd District Mrs. Mary H. Wood	7th District Gerald L. Kingsmore Mrs. Miriam Yeazell	11th District Oliver P. Bolton Mrs. Pauline S. Swedenborg	16th District George H. France Mrs. Ruth M. Lindesmith	21st District Ralph J. Perk Mrs. Barbara Haggard
3rd District Howard G. Young Mrs. Katharine Kennedy Brown	8th District Albert S. Close Mrs. Martha C. Wheatcraft	12th District H. Richard P. Niehoff Mrs. Jenniev J. Henson	17th District Glenn F. Abel Miss Martha C. Moore	22nd District Saul G. Stillman Mrs. Louise Farr
4th District Kent B. McGough Mrs. Jacquelyn Miller	9th District John S. Andrews Mrs. Dorothy F. Herbert	13th District Richard MacDermott Mrs. Lila Black	18th District Robert M. Bell Mrs. Isabelle K. Chaney	23rd District William Bronstrup Mrs. Donna Pope
5th District Karl H. Weaner Miss Marie L. Arnold		14th District Ray C. Bliss Mrs. Wilma Blair Thomas	19th District David Dennison Mrs. Beverly M. Atkinson	24th District Fred E. Jones Mrs. Edna E. Fath

February 16, 1972

Dear Bobby:

As you can imagine, I have been head over heels trying to catch up on my work and get ready for the trip to China.

I talked with Pat Buchanan this afternoon about your letter of January thirty-first and he said the people had decided on someone else for the job for which you had been considered. Whoever he talked with said they would get in touch with you and inform you of this.

I am sorry -- I - as you know - have had nothing to do with this. Maybe something else will work out but I would not just wait around for it to happen.

All the best always -- I look forward to seeing you when we get back from the China trip the end of the month.

Fondly,

**Rose Mary Woods
Secretary to the President**

**Mr. Robert Andrews
4834 MacArthur Boulevard
Washington, D. C. 20007**

RMW:mcp



Bobby Andrews

Jan. 31, 1972

Dear Rosemary,

Since a crisis point seems to be approaching concerning my possible job in the White House I wanted to inform you of what has happened.

I talked with Pat Buchanan the other day and explained to him what was going on. He asked me to put it on paper so he could have something to use for reference. Enclosed then is my note to him concerning the history of my job offer.

Pat is going to try to find out what he can. I'd really like to know just where the matter stands, as I am now, frankly, worried.

Needless to say, if you know anything possibly you could share it with me? It just seems like such a fabulous opportunity that I would be disappointed with myself from if I did not try my hardest now.

On a father vein, I've enclosed one of our new menus. Business has never been better, nor my belly bigger. It's really delicious, and that doesn't help either.

Hope to talk to you soon,

Thank You

Luv,

Bobby



The Georgetown Polo Club

Dear Pat,

Jan. 31, 1972

What follows is a brief rundown of all the pertinent conversations I've had concerning my White House offer. Possibly they may throw some light on the mystery. I had been interviewing since school finished when:

- a) Herbie de Simone talked with Chuck Colson about me.
- b) I had an interview with Mr. Colson during which he called a Mr. Bill Waramoto (part of Mr. Melnick's staff) and in my presence said many fine things about me and asked if they could do their best to find me a good position.
- c) Next day, I had an interview with Mr. Waramoto. We just talked generally for a while and he said he'd call me soon.
- d) Next day, he called and told me to go see a Mr. Perm James (same stuff, in fact the office next to his).
- e) Next working day (by the way this took place during the end of September) I went to see Perm James. We talked generally for a while, then he asked me if I was in a rush to start. I told him I could easily wait if something good was to begin in the future. He said good because there was something coming up in the middle of November and he wanted to get a look at me to confirm Mr. Waramoto's opinion that I would be good for this job. He explained that he was not permitted to say what the job was, only that "It would be working in the White House with the President." Only! I almost went through the floor. Also at this meeting we spoke of advance work and at one point he casually mentioned I should meet Atty. Gen. Mitchell.
- f) In the middle of October I was asked to work on the advance trip for Billy Graham's Day in Charlotte, North Carolina. It was a unique experience.
- g) The middle of November came + past so I called Mr. James. They explained that my file was now in the hands of Mr. Frank Jucker. Since then I've talked to him several times. He seems very nice and always returns my calls. His first impression was that this job would begin in January.

He doesn't seem to have a permanent office. For a while he was in the EOB. Then he moved to HUD, and now he's at some agency that deals in Comprehensive Health Care. According to his secretary he just "uses the office" (Phone # 443-2392).

The most important phone call was the last. Since January was almost over I asked him what was next. He explained that in November factors were present that would require a January start. Since these factors were now no longer present the position was not necessary now. I then cross-examined the witness and received the following information:

- the latest the job could start would be April (or if not by then - never)
- it's definitely worth waiting for
- there are three other candidates (first I had heard of this)
- All are equally qualified - personal chemistry would be the deciding factor

That's the whole story up to last week. It sounds like a tremendous opportunity, but now I'm apprehensive. After all this time I find out that it is a competition. Conceivably, I could wait till April and then be told "sorry." I realize I'm young, but who can afford to sit out a whole year?

If maybe you could find out anything I'd be really grateful. It would be nice to know exactly what the job is; who's chemistry I have to mix with; and how stiff is the competition.

My impression (and I have very little evidence) is that it has something to do with the campaign. All of the mentioned starting times have seemed to coincide with the rumors I've heard concerning when the Atty. General is to resign and take over the campaign.

I would then guess that possibly they would need someone in the White House to co-ordinate campaign activities or be a personal representative for the headquarters. Whatever it is, it sounds fabulous, I'm ready to go. So what you can, please. My mother thinks I'm becoming a bum.

Sincerely,
Bobby

P.S. One other person who thought she knew what the job was, was Chuck Colson's secretary.



Sporting Concoctions

THE PLAYERS:

ZOMBIE... hardly "cricket" for beginning players	2.00
FOG CUTTER... tastes innocent but beware of the five liquors	2.25
EAST INDIA SLING... sloe gin, dry gin and lime flavorings - specialty of the Trading Company	2.00
STARBOARD LIGHT... a marvelous Scotch creation for the serious	2.00
SUFFERING BASTARD... several rums, plus limes and liquors equal an appropriate name	2.25
PLANTER'S PUNCH... the timeless favorite of rums and fruit	1.75
BROWN HELMET... tequila, vodka and kaluha combine to make a formidable mix - Beware!	2.25
BOSSA NOVA... Deceivingly Delicious - the galliano, rum and liquors are far stronger than the taste	2.00
BLUE WHALE... "Shiver me timbers" if you like a Collins, you'll certainly like this	1.75
DR. FUNK'S GROG... the infamous doctor's recipe to fool his victims with rum and coconut	1.75
WHITE WITCH... rum, brandy and liquors conjure up voodoo drums (in your head)	2.00
MR. HYDE... "if you dare" a special concoction of everything	2.50



EAST INDIA SPORTING CLUB

2913 (rear)
"M" Street
Georgetown



Open
6:00 p.m. 2:00 a.m.
Dinners until 1:00 a.m.

"A SPORTING DISCOTEQUE"

BETWEEN THE CHUKKARS
A POTPOURRI OF LIGHT FARE

SPARE RIBS... *sweet and succulent to the bone* \$1.95
EAST INDIA EGG ROLLS... *just a little different* 1.50
(above served with Hot Mustard and Duck Sauce)

SHRIMP SCAMPI... *an appetizer portion of this favorite* 2.25

FRIED ZUCCHINI... *a delicious recipe to batter this vegetable... you'll be delighted.* 1.50

STEAK SANDWICH on french bread 2.75
with french fries

2.00 SPORTING HAMBURGER with french fries 1.50
(cheese 10¢ extra)

PIZZA

INDOOR POLO... (small) 1.50

OUTDOOR POLO... (large) 2.25

mushroom, sausage, meatball, peppers, onion, anchovies

twenty-five cents each additional

THE VISI
Soup

STEAK

SHRIMP

STEAK TIP

VEAL PAR

VEAL SCA

SHRIMP FR

FILET OF

ITALIAN

above s

(meat o

a

LASAGN

RAVIOLI

SPAGHET

SPAGHET

ICE CR

COFFEE, TE

INDIA G CLUB



Open

6:00pm. 2:00am.

Dinners until 1:00am.

DISCOTEQUE

CHUKKARS
LIGHT FARE

and succulent
... just a little
\$1.95
1.50

Hot Mustard
Sauce)

an appetizer
... 2.25

a delicious recipe 1.50

... you'll be delighted.

on french bread 2.75

GER with french fries 1.50
extra)

LA

small) 1.50

large) 2.25

ge. meatball,
chovies

each additional

THE VISITING TEAM (FROM ITALY)

Soup .75

SALAD .75

STEAK PIZZIAOLA (New York Strip) \$4.95

SHRIMP SCAMPI 4.75

STEAK TIPS MARINARA over rice 3.95

VEAL PARMIGIANO 3.75

VEAL SCALLOPINI 3.50

SHRIMP FRA DIABLO or marinara 3.50

FILET OF SOLE, ITALIANO 3.25

ITALIAN SAUSAGE AND PEPPERS 2.75

above served with spaghetti

(meat or marinara sauce)

or salad

LASAGNA 2.50

RAVIOLI (cheese filled) 2.50

SPAGHETTI with meatballs 2.25

SPAGHETTI with sausage 2.25

ICE CREAM

COFFEE, TEA, MILK

✓
June 12, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: MR. MICHAEL HARRIGAN
FROM: ROBERT C. ODLE, JR.

Thank you for bringing to my attention the availability of Mr. Robert Louis Andrews.

Unfortunately, there are no staff positions available at this time. I will be glad to hold his resume in an active file. In the event something should develop during the course of the campaign, we will contact Mr. Andrews directly.

I have also asked Mrs. Jeannie Mitchell, our volunteer coordinator, to contact Mr. Andrews with respect to coordinating a volunteer schedule.

Thanks again, Mike.

✓ bcc: Miss Rose Mary Woods

April 16, 1969

Dear Mr. Andrews:

It was most thoughtful of you to write as you did on April 7th, giving your views on the political situation in California, and in Orange County in particular. You may be sure your comments will be brought to the attention of the President.

You expressed an interest in having the President as speaker at the commencement exercises at Chapman College on June 13th. Since Dwight Chapin has responsibility for the President's schedule, I have forwarded your request to his office, and I know you will be hearing from him shortly.

With appreciation for your thoughtfulness in writing as you did, and with all good wishes,

Sincerely,

**Rose Mary Woods
Personal Secretary
to the President**

**Mr. Victor C. Andrews
President
Andrews Brothers of California, Inc.
Room 301
666 East 17th Street
Santa Ana, California 92701**

RMW:jj

NIXON PRESIDENTIAL MATERIALS PROJECT
DOCUMENT CONTROL RECORD

ITEM REMOVED FROM THIS FILE FOLDER
AT THE REQUEST OF FORMER PRESIDENT NIXON

A RESTRICTED DOCUMENT OR CASE FILE HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM
THIS FILE FOLDER. FOR A DESCRIPTION OF THE ITEM REMOVED
AND THE REASON FOR ITS REMOVAL, CONSULT DOCUMENT ENTRY
NUMBER N- 3 ON EITHER THE DOCUMENT WITHDRAWAL RECORD
(GSA FORM 7279 OR NA FORM 1421) OR NARA WITHDRAWAL SHEET
(GSA FORM 7122) LOCATED IN THE FRONT OF THIS FILE FOLDER.

July 23, 1973

Dear Mr. Andrews:

Many thanks for your letter of June twenty-second. As you suggested, since I know absolutely nothing about the subject matter, I am sending to you herewith the letter written to the President on June twenty-first, marked for my attention from Mr. George Delahanty.

As I am sure you appreciate, I know nothing about any financial arrangements or, for that matter, any of the other arrangements during the campaign and I am most grateful for your suggestion that you will handle this or talk with Leonard Firestone and the proper people and work it out.

With kindest personal regards,

Sincerely,

Rose Mary Woods
Executive Assistant
to the President

Mr. Victor C. Andrews
169 Emerald Bay
Laguna Beach, California 92651

Enclosure

RMW:MA:mcp

V I C T O R C . A N D R E W S

June 22, 1973


Ms. Rosemary Woods
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Ms. Woods:

I am told you will be receiving a letter from Mr. George Delahanty, Chairman of the Orange County Republican Central Committee. My understanding is that Mr. Delahanty is claiming \$6200 on a fund-raising dinner held in late 1972.

I have checked with Mr. George Argyros, the treasurer, and Marcia Bents, the women's chairman for the event, and both deny any responsibility for any such amount. My recommendation would be for you to refer this matter to Mr. Leonard Firestone and/or me directly and we will handle it from this end.

Very sincerely yours,


Victor C. Andrews



Republican Central Committee of Orange County

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

21 June 1973

George Delahanty,
Chairman
Willis J. Clemons,
Vice Chairman
Lois Lundberg,
Vice Chairman
Janice Boer,
Secretary
Richard Brown,
Treasurer
George Brokate,
Member
Thomas Fuentes,
Member
Carl Karcher
Finance - Chairman

Richard M. Nixon
President of the United States
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.
White House
Washington D.C.

Atten: Mrs. Rosemary Woods

Dear Mr. President:

MEMBERS

FIRST DISTRICT
Janice Boer
Willis J. Clemons
Thomas Fuentes

SECOND DISTRICT
Thomas Blackman
Dorothy M. Clark
Steven Holden
Bruce Nestande

THIRD DISTRICT
Robert F. Beaver
George E. Delahanty
Walter Knott
Lois Lundberg
Frank White

FOURTH DISTRICT
Richard Brown
William Dougherty
Richard Evans
Carl Karcher
John A. Prescott

FIFTH DISTRICT
George Brokate
John A. Hopwood
Thomas C. Rogers
Timothy Strader
Edward F. Ward, Jr.

EX-OFFICIO
Rep. Andrew Hinshaw
Sen. Dennis Carpenter
Sen. James Whetmore
Hon. Robert E. Badham
Hon. John V. Briggs
Hon. Robert Burke
John Ratterree
William Dannemeyer

NATIONAL COMMITTEEMAN
Edward Mills

On a motion passed unanimously by those present at the Executive Committee meeting of the Republican Central Committee of Orange County on 14 June 1973, I, as Chairman, was directed to write a letter to you requesting assistance in obtaining the \$6300.00 still outstanding from the October 1972 Gala Dinner held at the Anaheim Convention Center, Anaheim, Calif..

We are enclosing a copy of the most recent letter sent to the Committee to Re-elect the President concerning this. As of this date we have received no reply, and since this amount is on our books as a budgeted receivable we wish to clear this up as soon as possible.

Any assistance you can render us at this time would be greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

George E. Delahanty
George E. Delahanty, Chairman

GED/rs

encl.

cc: Maurice Stans, Finance Chairman CRP



Republican Central Committee of Orange County

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

George Delahanty,
Chairman
Willis J. Clemons,
Vice Chairman
Lois Lundberg,
Vice Chairman
Janice Boer,
Secretary
Richard Brown,
Treasurer
George Brokate,
Member
Thomas Fuentes,
Member
Earl Karcher,
Finance - Chairman

9 May 1973

Committee to Re-elect the President
1701 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington D.C.

Gentlemen:

MEMBERS

FIRST DISTRICT
Janice Boer
Willis J. Clemons
Thomas Fuentes

SECOND DISTRICT
Thomas Blackman
Dorothy M. Clark
Steven Holden
Bruce Nestande

THIRD DISTRICT
Robert F. Beaver
George E. Delahanty
Halter Knott
Lois Lundberg
Frank White

FOURTH DISTRICT
Richard Brown
William Dougherty
Richard Evans
Earl Karcher
John A. Prescott

FIFTH DISTRICT
George Brokate
John A. Hopwood
Thomas C. Rogers
Timothy Strader
Edward F. Ward, Jr.

EX-OFFICIO
Rep. Andrew Hinshaw
Sen. Dennis Carpenter
Sen. James Whatmore
Sen. Robert E. Badham
Sen. John V. Briggs
Sen. Robert Burke
John Ratterree
William Dannemeyer

NATIONAL COMMITTEEMAN
Howard Mills

During the month of September of last year, in behalf of our candidates running for State Legislature offices and the U.S. Congress, I made an agreement with the Committee to Re-elect the President through Mr. Vic Andrews here in Orange County which provided that \$45,000.00 from the October 9th 1972 Dinner would be pledged to our local candidates.

It would be in order to point out at this time that this agreement was made because the Orange County Republican Central Committee had planned an affair which would have been in conflict with the October 9th gala, planned by the Committee to Re-elect the President. The proceeds of the Central Committee affair were to be made available to our local candidates.

Of the \$45,000.00 pledged by the Committee to Re-elect the President, we have received \$11,300.00 through direct funds and \$27,400 which was directly collected by the Central Committee through the sale of tickets to the October 9th dinner.

As of January 31, 1973
OCTOBER 9 DINNER RECEIPTS
AND
FUNDS PLEDGED AND PAYMENTS TO
REPUBLICAN CANDIDATES
General Election
November 7, 1972

<u>CANDIDATE</u>	<u>PLEDGED TO CANDIDATES</u>	<u>DISBURSEMENTS TO CANDIDATES</u>				<u>Total To Date</u>	<u>STILL DUE CANDIDATES</u>
		<u>Direct Funds</u>	<u>From 10-9-72 Dinner Funds</u>	<u>Other Cash</u>	<u>Services</u>		
Clawson	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 5,500.00	\$	\$	\$ 6,000.00	\$ -0-
Wiggins	1,500.00		825.00			825.00	675.00
Hosmer	1,500.00		825.00			825.00	675.00
Ratterree	3,000.00	200.00	2,800.00			3,000.00	-0-
Hinshaw	1,500.00	100.00	725.00			825.00	675.00
Burgener	1,500.00		825.00			825.00	675.00
Whetmore	4,500.00		3,895.00			3,895.00	605.00
Briggs	1,500.00		1,005.00			1,005.00	495.00
Dannemeyer	16,250.00	8,500.00	5,137.99	1,850.00	762.01	16,250.00	-0-
Badham	1,500.00	1,000.00	500.00			1,500.00	-0-
Burke	<u>6,250.00</u>	<u>1,000.00</u>	<u>5,250.00</u>			<u>6,250.00</u>	<u>-0-</u>
	<u>\$45,000.00</u>	<u>\$11,300.00</u>	<u>\$27,287.99</u>	<u>\$ 1,850.00</u>	<u>\$ 762.01</u>	<u>\$41,200.00</u>	<u>\$ 3,800.00</u>

DINNER FUNDS:

Direct Funds to Candidates	\$11,300.00
Received & deposited by Central Committee	27,400.00
Yet to be collected by Central Committee	-0-
DUE FROM COMMITTEE TO RE-ELECT THE PRESIDENT	<u>6,300.00</u>
	<u>\$45,000.00</u>



Vincent Andrews Management Corporation
501 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10022 / (212) 759-1780

October 9, 1970

Miss Rose Mary Woods
Secretary to the President
The White House
Washington, D. C.

Dear Rose Mary:

Just want to thank you for arranging Attorney General DeSimone's and my meeting with Murray Chotiner. Meant to tell you this on the phone the other day, but I was involved in a meeting and could not elaborate in the presence of others.

Mr. DeSimone has informed me that he will be receiving considerable support from Mr. Chotiner which made my trip most gratifying, and for which I am most grateful to you.

Thank you, Rose, for everything.

Love,



Vincent S. Andrews, Jr.

P.S.

*what a combination, Claudie's Typing
and Bud Mead's Typewriter.*

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

June 22, 1970

TO: Maggie Runkle
Personal Assistant to the Secretary
Department of State

FROM: Rose Mary Woods
Secretary to the President

The President's niece, Lawrene Nixon, is being married on June 27 and she and her husband-to-be, Tom Anfinson, plan a honeymoon trip to Europe.

I am attaching a copy of their itinerary and would appreciate your alerting our embassies of their visit. Any courtesies which may be extended to them will be greatly appreciated by the President.

Many thanks.

DATE	CITY	AIRLINE	FLIGHT #	DEPARTURE TIME	ARRIVAL TIME
July 15	Los Angeles to Washington	TWA	18	9:00 A. M.	4:50 P. M.
July 20	Washington to London	TWA	704	8:00 P. M.	8:10 A. M.
July 24	London to Dublin	British-Europ.	914	9:30 A. M.	10:35 A. M.
Aug. 1	Dublin to Glasgow	Irish	224	11:55 A. M.	12:35 P. M.
Aug. 4	Glasgow to Amsterdam	British United	845	10:05 A. M.	12:10 P. M.
- - - DRIVING FROM AMSTERDAM TO BRUSSELS - - -					
Aug. 9,	Brussels to Rome	TWA	699	9:15 A. M.	12:05 P. M.
Aug. 15	Rome to New York	TWA	845	12 noon	3.25 P. M.
Aug. 16	New York to Los Angeles	TWA	9	1:45 P. M.	4:15 P. M.

Marriott
CORPORATION

6055 EAST WASHINGTON BOULEVARD
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90022

F. DONALD NIXON
VICE PRESIDENT

June 19, 1970


Dear Rose:

Enclosed please find the "Honeymoon Schedule" for Lawrene and Tom which Don has requested I forward to you.

I received the lovely autographed picture of the President and the First Lady which you so kindly arranged for me to have, and I thank you.

With best wishes, I am

Sincerely,


Evelyn Dorn

Enc.

July 13, 1970

MEMORANDUM

TO: John Davies
FROM: Rose Mary Woods

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Rose Mary Woods", is written over the "FROM:" line of the memorandum.

Lawrene and Tom Anfinson will be arriving in Washington on Wednesday, July 15 (late afternoon) and will be here until Monday evening, July 20. They will be staying at the Crystal City Marriott.

Lawrene, as you know, is Don Nixon's daughter. I wonder if you would be good enough to get in touch with them after they arrive and see if you can help them out with special tours around Washington.

Thanks


From: F. DONALD NIXON

Dear Rose:

Don has asked that I mail this
itinerary to you.

Wasn't it a beautiful wedding?

As ever,

Evlyn

ITINERARY FOR MR. AND MRS. T. ANFINSON

Wednesday, July 15 Leave Los Angeles 9:00 a.m. - TWA flight 18Y
Arrive Dulles, Washington, D. C. 4:50 p.m.

Thursday, July 16-
Monday, July 20 Tour Washington D. C.
Crystal City - Marriott

Monday, July 20 Leave Dulles 8:00 p.m. - TWA flight 704Y
Tuesday, July 21 Arrive London 8:10 a.m.

Tuesday, July 21-
Thursday, July 23 Charing Cross Hotel ~~requested~~
Tour London - Waldorf Hotel confirmed July 21-23

Friday, July 24 Leave London 9:30 a.m. - BEA flight 914Y
Arrive Dublin, Ireland 10:35 a.m.

Friday, July 24-
Friday, July 31 Tour Ireland - See Schedule I for itinerary

Saturday, August 1 Leave Dublin 11:55 a.m. - Irish Airlines flight
224Y
Arrive Glasgow, Scotland 12:35 p.m.

Saturday, August 1-
Monday, August 3 Bruce Hotel, Glasgow confirmed
Tour Scotland August 1-3

Tuesday, August 4 Leave Glasgow 10:05 a.m. - British United flight
845Y
Arrive Amsterdam 12:10 p.m.

Wednesday, August 5 Tour Amsterdam - Ruemer Visser Hotel
10 Ruemer Visser Straat
Amsterdam - confirmed August 4-5

Thursday, August 6 Drive from Amsterdam to Brussels - Hertz car

Friday, August 7-
Saturday, August 8 Hotel Metropole
Tour Brussels 31 Place De Brouckere
Confirmed August 6-8

Sunday, August 9 Leave Brussels 9:15 a.m. - Sabena flight 699Y
Arrive Rome 12:05 p.m.

Monday, August 10-
Friday, August 14 Tour Rome - c/o Mario Dementis

Saturday, August 15 Leave Rome 12:00 noon - TWA flight 845
Arrive New York 3:25 p.m. - Essex Hotel, August 15

Sunday, August 16 Leave New York 1:45 p.m. - TWA flight 9
Arrive Los Angeles 4:15 p.m.

Staying at Mr. Mulcahy's
places - arranged
by Mr. Ergs.

ITINERARY - T. ANFINSON, MR. AND MRS.

SCHEDULE I

- July 24 Arrive in Dublin - overnight - ~~Shelbourne, Russell or~~
Gresham Hotel
(Mr. Mulcahy's Suite)
- July 25 ~~Dublin to Wexford~~ *Gresham*
Overnight: ~~Talbot Hotel, Wexford~~
- July 26 Wexford to Waterford *Limerick*
Lunch at the Tower Hotel
Visit to Waterford Crystal Factory *Kilfresh House*
Afternoon - drive to Cork
Dinner at Blackrock Castle
Overnight: Metropole Hotel, Cork
- July 27 Explore Cork *Kerry*
Lunch at Thompson's Tivoli Restaurant
Drive to Blarney Castle. Drive to Killarney via Macroom
Overnight: Great Southern Hotel, Killarney
- July 28 Drive around the Ring of Kerry *Waterville House*
Lunch Butler Arms Hotel, Waterville
Afternoon tea, Parknasilla. Return to Killarney via Kenmare
Overnight: Great Southern Hotel, Killarney
- July 29 Drive to Shannon via Adare and Limerick *Dromoland*
Lunch at Dunraven Arms Hotel, Adare *Castle*
Mediaeval Banquet at Bunratty Castle
Overnight: ~~Dromoland Castle~~ *(Stay There)*
- July 30 Drive to Dublin ~~via Cashel and see Rock of Cashel~~
~~Lunch: Cashel Palace Hotel~~
Overnight - Dublin: ~~Shelbourne, Russell or~~ Gresham Hotel
- July 31 Explore Dublin

*Gresham
Hotel*

Lawrene & Tom Anfinson

DATE	CITY	AIRLINE	FLIGHT #	DEPARTURE TIME	ARRIVAL TIME
July 15	Los Angeles to Washington	TWA	18	9:00 A. M.	4:50 P. M.
July 20	Washington to London	TWA	704	8:00 P. M.	8:10 A. M.
July 24	London to Dublin	British-Europ.	914	9:30 A. M.	10:35 A. M.
Aug. 1	Dublin to Glasgow	Irish	224	11:55 A. M.	12:35 P. M.
Aug. 4	Glasgow to Amsterdam	British United	845	10:05 A. M.	12:10 P. M.
- - - DRIVING FROM AMSTERDAM TO BRUSSELS - - -					
Aug. 9,	Brussels to Rome	TWA	699	9:15 A. M.	12:05 P. M.
Aug. 15	Rome to New York	TWA	845	12 noon	3.25 P. M.
Aug. 16	New York to Los Angeles	TWA	9	1:45 P. M.	4:15 P. M.



Office of the Attorney General
Washington, D. C. 20530

August 4, 1970




Dear Rose,

The President's niece and new nephew-in-law, Laurene and Tom Anfinson, have just been in Ireland, and John Moore reports that they made an excellent impression. I am enclosing a copy of his letter with the thought that the President might find it interesting.

As it happens, I remember Tom when he was an Eagle Scout in Los Angeles, and he struck me then as a fine and promising young man.

Best wishes.

Sincerely,


Richard A. Moore

The Honorable Rose Mary Woods
Secretary to the President
The White House
Washington, D. C.

Encl.



EMBASSY OF THE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Dublin, Ireland

July 28, 1970

Mr. Richard A. Moore
Office of the Attorney General
Department of Justice
Washington, D. C.

Dear Rich:

It was good to talk to you on the telephone and I am delighted you are in Washington. I don't know when I will be visiting there but I expect to be called back on consultation some time in the late summer or early fall. There are a number of things I want to get accomplished with the Department.

On Sunday we had a delightful visit from the newlyweds, Tom and Laurene Anfinson. They spent a couple of days in Dublin after a visit to England, and they left here to visit two or three days with Jack Mulcahy in Kilfrush and Waterville.

We met them at the Airport and they came to the residence for family luncheon on Sunday with Virgil Randolph, our First Secretary and Political Officer, and his wife. The Anfinsons are a very pleasant and superior young couple. Tom is an accountant with Price, Waterhouse & Co. and Laurene teaches history and works with retarded children. Tom was an Eagle Scout and remembers that when you were a Director of the Los Angeles Chamber of Commerce he was your guest on an evening when each Director invites an Eagle Scout to a dinner. So he already knew you and we had a good time talking about it. We expect them back in Dublin at the end of the week and hope we may see them again.

One little thing that pleased me very much was that they were both wearing small American flag decorations in their lapels. There was another group of visiting American interfaith clergymen who had been at the house for a meeting on Northern Ireland on Sunday morning, and they were still there when the young Anfinsons arrived. I took great pleasure in noting that


good (they were impressed to see the flags on their fellow American visitors. We now have a supply of these flags and the Embassy staff are wearing them, which makes a good impression on Dublin.

Laurene Anfinson, who is the daughter of Donald Nixon, was quite interested in the Nixon family's Irish Quaker ancestors, and Virgil Randolph and I were able to tell her a bit more about that group's significant contribution to Irish life. One of the great Irish Quaker families still very active here are the Bewleys, and it was a Mr. Bewley with whom President Nixon had his first professional connection as a young lawyer in Whittier. I do hope more of the Nixon family will come here and we would love to bring them together with the Bewleys and Goodbodys and the other Quaker leaders of Ireland.

It was a most agreeable occasion and we were very proud of our young countrymen who are kinsmen of our President.

Much love,


Sincerely,


John D. J. Moore
Ambassador

319-1/2 Sapphire Avenue
Balboa Island, California 92662

Copy of hand-written letter

October 29, 1970



Dear Mr. President

I wanted to write to tell you how much Lawrene and I appreciate all you did for us to make our wedding and honeymoon so enjoyable.

I realize how difficult it was for you and Mrs. Nixon and Tricia to attend our wedding but it meant so much to all of us to have Lawrene's family there.

Our visit to Washington, D. C. was a very enjoyable experience. Everyone was so kind to us. John Davies did so much to help us - we had a delightful time.

It was a very great honor that Tricia, Julie and David invited us to the Supper Dance in honor of Prince Charles and Princess Anne - they seemed to give one so much confidence for the future.

In Ireland we were the guests of Jack Mulcahy. What a delightful visit we had in Kilfrush House, but we were really able to relax, for the first time in many months, while we were at Waterville House.

Mr. Mulcahy surely is a generous man and we enjoyed having dinner with him before leaving Ireland. He is a fine American.

I know that you are very busy, but I just wanted to write you this long overdue letter to tell you how much we appreciate all you did for us.

We look forward to seeing you again sometime soon.

Sincerely, s/Tom Anfinson

October 29, 1970

Dear Mr. President

I wanted to write to tell you how much Lawrence and I appreciate all you did for us to make our wedding and Honeymoon so enjoyable

I realize how difficult it was for you and Mrs. Nixon and Picia to attend our wedding but it meant so much to all of us to have Lawrence's family there.

Our visit to Washington, D.C. was a very enjoyable experience. Everyone was so kind to us.

John Klovie did so much to help us - we had a delightful time.

It was a very great honor

that Lucia, Julie and David invited us to the Supper Dance in honor of Prince Charles and Princess Anne - They seemed to give one so much confidence for the future.

In Ireland we were the guests of Jack Mulcahy. what a delightful visit we had in Kilfrush House, but we were really able to relax, for the first time in many months, while we were at Waterville House.

Mr. Mulcahy surely is a generous man and we enjoyed having dinner with him before leaving Ireland. He is a fine American.

I know that you are very
busy, but I just wanted
to write you this long
overdue letter to tell
you how much we
appreciate all you did
for us.

. We look forward to
seeing you again sometime
soon.

Sincerely,

Don Anderson

NIXON PRESIDENTIAL MATERIALS PROJECT
DOCUMENT CONTROL RECORD

ITEM REMOVED FROM THIS FILE FOLDER

Restricted document has been removed. See document entry number / on Document Withdrawal Record (GSA Form 7279) or NARS Withdrawal Sheet (GSA Form 7122), located in the front of this folder, for a description of the item and an explanation for its removal.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

October 16, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: ROSE WOODS

FROM: *file* JOHN CAMPBELL *[Signature]*

Mr. Ehrlichman asked me to bring you up to date on our efforts to help Tom Anfinson secure a job with the Federal Government.

As you know, Tom has been with an accounting firm in California. He recently talked to the head of the Renegotiation Board, Dick Burrell, about joining their Los Angeles office.

The Renegotiation Board reviews contracts of contractors and subcontractors working on national defense programs. The Renegotiation Board should be a good match-up with Tom's business experience. Tom's papers are at the Civil Service Commission for approval and should be acted on shortly. His salary will be in line with what he was seeking.

Tom is legally qualified both for the position and salary he was offered. In other words, no favoritism was shown Tom.

If you desire any more information on this, please let me know.

Telephone Call

Tuesday, November 7, 1972

Lawrene Nixon Anfinson

To say how proud she is of the President and that she knows
this is going to be a wonderful day.

RMWoods

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

TO : ROSE MARY WOODS

FROM : RICK BROWN *RB*

FYI -- Per Len Garment's advice,
I will hold on this for several
weeks unless you see a need to
move ahead.

cc: Richard Moore

*Ron Lee Lin
Garment*

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

May 16, 1973

*File
Tom Anfinson*

MEMORANDUM FOR : LEN GARMENT

FROM : RICK BROWN *RB*

A Mr. Tom Anfinson, Don Nixon's son-in-law, called from Los Angeles this afternoon to inquire as to the possibility of working for the Federal Government in Washington. He is presently working on the Re-negotiations Board in Los Angeles. His questions were whether

- 1) This is a suitable time for him to come
- 2) Whether there would be any policy precluding an individual related to the First Family from working on the White House Staff

FYI - He had apparently discussed this subject before with Mr. Haldeman.

If it is not appropriate for him to work on the staff, he would be delighted to work in one of the executive departments.

He mentioned that he had discussed this with Rose Woods and Richard Moore.

Phone No.: 213/688 3142

Rich

*I would have
this for another
look in about a
month. LWS/21*

NIXON PRESIDENTIAL MATERIALS PROJECT
DOCUMENT CONTROL RECORD

ITEM REMOVED FROM THIS FILE FOLDER
AT THE REQUEST OF FORMER PRESIDENT NIXON

A RESTRICTED DOCUMENT OR CASE FILE HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM
THIS FILE FOLDER. FOR A DESCRIPTION OF THE ITEM REMOVED
AND THE REASON FOR ITS REMOVAL, CONSULT DOCUMENT ENTRY
NUMBER N- 4 ON EITHER THE DOCUMENT WITHDRAWAL RECORD
(GSA FORM 7279 OR NA FORM 1421) OR NARA WITHDRAWAL SHEET
(GSA FORM 7122) LOCATED IN THE FRONT OF THIS FILE FOLDER.

Mrs. Rose Mary Woods

Secretary to Health, Education and Welfare

Dear Mrs. Woods,

We have a bad complaint and it is about students who go to the Boise State College. We have made complaints to the Board of Education here but nothing is done about it.

Many boys our sons bring in the house are not exactly friends. Some come into a man's house as a friend then after they get acquainted they start to bring in beer while you are away on business they not only bring in beer but they start hugging and kissing your wife. Is this what you give these people Education Checks for - to make love to another man's wife? I wish you would keep them on the campus and let's have some real Law and Order.

Angry Husbands

Sometimes they not only win your wife's heart but between Mother, Son and Lover they get rid of you, take your money from the bank and live in luxury from dirty politics. Let's stop this and get back to God's way of Living - keep strangers out of your house.

Acts of this kind are based on Treason, Blackmail,

Embezzlement and Injustice to poor innocent husbands.

We must have a strict Law and Order to keep after these

hardcore drugs.

Mrs Rose Mary Woods
Secretary to Health
Education and Welfare

Dear Mrs Woods


We have a bad complaint
and it is about students
who go to the Boise State
College. We have made com-
plaints to the Board of Edu-
cation here but nothing is done
about it. Many boys our
sons bring in the house are
not exactly friends some
come into a mans house
as a friend then after they
get acquainted they start
to bring in beer while you
are away on business they
not only bring in beer but
they start hugging and
kissing your wife. is this
what you give these people
Education Checks for to
make love to another mans
wife I wish you would

Keep them on the ramparts
and lets have some real
Law & Order.

Angry husbands.

Sometimes they not only
win your wifes heart
but between Mother, Son
and Lover they get rid of
you take your money from
the bank and live in
luxury from dirty politics.
Lets stop this and get back
to Gods way of living keep
strangers out of your
house.

Acts of this kind are
based on Treason Blackmail
Enteglement and injustice
to poor innocent husbands
We must have a strict
Law & Order and keep after
these hardcore drugs



Mrs Rose Mary Woods
Secretary of the Health
Education & Welfare
White House
Washington
D.C.

December 9, 1969

Dear Harry Anhalt:

I have your letter of November 28 enclosing the photograph we discussed when you called me from Herb Shank's office. It is a great picture but I must admit my memory has failed me as to whether this was to be autographed, whether it was for the President, or whether I got to keep it! Would you be good enough to let me know if I am to do something with it? Thanks.

Normally I make notes after each conversation but if I made any after our talk, my secretary cannot find them.

My best wishes for a Merry Christmas and a great New Year.

Sincerely,

**Rose Mary Woods
Secretary to the President**

**Mr. Harry M. Anhalt
8808 Lake House Circle
North Palm Beach, Florida 33408**

RMW:ma

12-16-69

(A)

Dear Rose Mary -

I treasure the possession
of this picture signed
by Dwight D. Eisenhower
and President Richard Nixon

It is a great picture
and you should have
it for your collection.

The speech last
night was great!

Merry Christmas to
you and all

Sincerely
J. M. Lambert

**Mr. & Mrs. Harry M. Anhoit
11586 Lake House Circle
North Palm Beach, Fla. 33403**

11-28-69

Dear Rose Mary —

Here is a copy of the photo I referred to on the telephone from Herb Blum's Office.

We and all our friends think President Nixon is doing a great job. —

With every good wish for Christmas and the new year.

Cordially

Wm. McCall.

PHOTO FROM
PALMER HOYT
DENVER POST
7-20-52

NIXON PRESIDENTIAL MATERIALS PROJECT
AUDIOVISUAL COLLECTION

Withdrawal Sheet

MEDIUM/CATEGORY	PA NUMBER	ITEM NUMBER
☐ Motion Picture Film ☐ Sound Recording ☐ Video Recording ☒ Still Photograph	ORIGINAL LOCATION: File Group <u>WHSF.</u> Subgroup <u>President's Personal Files</u> Series <u>R.NWJ Alpha-Subject Files 1969-74</u> Folder Title <u>A</u> Box Number <u>94</u>	
ITEM DESCRIPTION <u>BiW, 8 x 10</u>		
SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (Provide whatever information is known about the item.) Event/Title _____ Participants (l. to r.) <u>DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER, RICHARD M. NIXON</u> Geographical Location _____ Date(s) <u>1952</u> Other Identifying Marks/Title <u>"Photo from Palmer Hoyt Denver Post 7-20-52" in letter Harry M Anholt to Rose Mary Woods, 12/16/69</u> Classification: ☐ Yes ☒ No Level: _____		
PRESERVATION: Preservation Copy/Copies Made ☐ Yes ☐ No		



THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Date

5/5/69

To

Secretary Finch

From Rose Mary Woods

FYI

✓

Please Handle

The President has
seen this letter
and made no
comment on it,

✓ F.Y.I.
?

April 17, 1969

President Richard M. Nixon
The White House
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. President:

Despite news reports to the contrary, we have, until now, refrained from any personal approach in an effort to spare you even one added burden to the many you have inherited. Circumstances today, demand that we share with you our very real concern for the ever mounting health problems facing our nation.

A veritable army of physicians awaits your assignment of qualified leaders for an intensified effort towards a solution of these problems. We will, ofcourse, honor our pledge to cooperate with whomever you choose. It is our hope, however, that those chosen will have already earned the confidence and respect of a large proportion of medical leaders.

Of necessity, the average practicing physician must still be the backbone of any program of medical care. We need leaders capable of motivating these physicians towards extraordinary accomplishments in order to make the progress required of us today.

We urge you, therefore, Mr. President, to give us a physician leader with whom we can work so that the Medical Profession may add its strong capabilities towards the success of your program for our nation.

Respectfully yours,

Edward R. Annis
Edward R. Annis, M. D.

Victor C. DeLuccia
Victor C. DeLuccia, M. D.

file

March 21, 1970

MEMORANDUM

TO: Peter Flanigan
FROM: Rose Mary Woods

In January Frank Rackley sent me the
• attached letter and resume on Norbert L. Anschuetz.

As you will judge from Frank's letter,
he thinks this man should be given consideration for a top
spot (diplomatic type) in the Administration. I have
talked with Frank and told him I would pass his suggestion
along but did not know of anything at this time.

Would you please see that this information
at least goes to the right spot?

THANKS.

✓
October 30, 1972

Dear Mrs. Anthony:

We have been informed by Miss Woods of your desire to be of assistance in the campaign to re-elect the President.

The ideas you suggested to aid us in our efforts to ensure the President's re-election are most appreciated. You may be certain that they will receive careful and serious consideration as the campaign progresses. We are always pleased to receive suggestions such as yours on behalf of the President.

Thank you for your interest. We certainly appreciate your taking the time to write and we are looking forward to having your valuable support in the campaign to re-elect President Nixon.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

Robert C. Odle, Jr.
Director of Administration

Mrs. M. J. Anthony
2135 Galbreth Road
Pasadena, California

bcc: Miss Woods