

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	June Bartlett to Maureen Tucker. Subject: FW: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report. (12 pages)	02/01/2000	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
Exchange-Non-Record (Mar 97-Jan 01) ([Jesse Helms])
OA/Box Number: 630000

FOLDER TITLE:

[12/14/1999-02/28/2000]

2006-1363-F

vz5696

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 12/14/1999 12:50:46 PM
FROM Witkowsky, Anne A. (DEFENSE)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Package 8907 -- [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Witkowsky, Anne A. (DEFENSE)
Mitchell, Rebecca (Julie) J. (DEFENSE)
Kelly, Sandra L. (DEFENSE)

CARBON_COPY**TEXT_BODY**

-----Original Message-----

From: Witkowsky, Anne A. (DEFENSE)

Sent: Tuesday, December 14, 1999 12:05 PM

To: Mitchell, Rebecca

(Julie) J. (DEFENSE); Kelly, Sandra L. (DEFENSE)

Subject: Package

8907 -- [UNCLASSIFIED]

Importance: High

Julie --

These documents
needs to be put on the disk so the package can move forward.

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT

8907prs.doc

ACTION**MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT**

FROM: SAMUEL BERGER
LARRY STEIN

SUBJECT: CFE: Letters from Senators Helms, Lott, et al

Purpose

To respond to letters from Senator Helms, Lott and ten other Senators on CFE Treaty adaptation.

Background

You received a letter from Senator Helms and a second letter from Helms, Lott and ten other Senators counseling against conclusion/signature of the adapted CFE Treaty. Their main concerns with respect to CFE were the presence of Russian forces in Moldova and Georgia without consent, and Russia's violation of the flank limits. The letter from the group of Senators also expressed concern that the OSCE summit "directly address" Russia's military actions in Chechnya.

In your response you detail your efforts to encourage Russia toward a political dialogue on Chechnya, and the Chechnya-related results of the OSCE summit, including Russia's agreement to a visit to the region by the OSCE Chairman-in-office. You also outline why it was in the US and NATO interest to sign the CFE Adaptation Agreement at Istanbul, and the important outcomes we facilitated on the Georgian and Moldovan withdrawal agreements that address one of the key Senate concerns. On the question of the flank limits, you reiterate the importance of compliance, and explain that you will only submit the adapted Treaty for Senate advice and consent to ratification when Russia reduces its forces to agreed flank levels.

Since the summit we have had a staff-level briefing for the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, at which some lingering reservations about signature were voiced, but the main message was praise for our CFE accomplishments at Istanbul, in particular the Russian commitments to withdraw completely from Moldova and to partially withdraw from Georgia.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the proposed reply at Tab A.

Attachments

Tab A Proposed Reply

Tab B Incoming Correspondence

2

8907

cc: Vice President
Chief of Staff

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT 8907srb.doc

December 13, 1999

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR SAMUEL R. BERGER

THROUGH: HANS BINNENDIJK

FROM: ANNE WITKOWSKY

SUBJECT: CFE: Letters from Senators Helms, Lott, et al

Attached at Tab I is a proposed reply to a November 4 letter from Senator Helms and a November 10 letter from Helms, Lott and ten other Senators counseling against early conclusion/signature of the adapted CFE Treaty. Recommend that you forward the memorandum for the President with the proposed response at Tab I.

We note that these letters were sent prior to the Istanbul summit. In contrast to their tone, at a December 10 briefing for SFRC staff, Senator

Helms' staff warmly praised our CFE accomplishments at Istanbul, pointing in particular to the Russian commitment to withdraw from Moldova and to partially withdraw from Georgia. Other staff there continued to voice mild reservations about POTUS signature, but most seemed to generally accept our arguments in favor of signing.

We also note that in his November 4 letter, Senator Helms complains that the White House has yet to "fulfill its obligations" pursuant to Condition (4) of the CFE Flank Document Resolution of Ratification, which relates to a situation in which the President determines that a Party has violated the Treaty in a manner that "threatens U.S. national security interests." These "obligations" include, for example, sanctions against the violating Party. Agencies agree that the Russian violation is a matter of very serious concern, but does not "threaten U.S. national security interests." We did not think it productive to get into a debate on this point, however, and have chosen not to state a position; rather the letter articulates our general concern and lays out the steps we have taken in response.

The NSC received the incoming correspondence on November 4th and 10th .

Concurrence by: Carlos Pascual, Caroline Krass, Dick Norland, and Mark Tavlarides

RECOMMENDATION

That you forward the memorandum for the President at Tab I.

Attachments
Tab I Memorandum for the President
Tab A Proposed Reply
Tab B Incoming Correspondence

2

8907

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT CFE Helms 2.doc

Dear Senator Helms:

Thank you for your letters concerning Chechnya, the recent summit of the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE) in Istanbul and the adapted Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe (CFE).

I share your concerns about the grave situation in the North Caucasus and Russia's military actions there, including Russia's noncompliance with CFE Treaty flank limits. We are continuing our comprehensive effort to seek an end to the tragic conflict in Chechnya. In my meeting with President Yeltsin in Istanbul and in my public remarks I stressed that there can be no purely military solution to the conflict in Chechnya. I have tried to impress upon the Russians that they must take meaningful steps toward a political solution, either through direct talks with responsible Chechen leaders or with the assistance of a third-party such as the OSCE.

At the Istanbul summit, OSCE members, including the Russian Federation, signed a new Charter for European Security that recognizes European security in the 21st century increasingly depends on building security within societies as well as

security between states. Moreover, in the summit declaration, all OSCE participating states recognized that a political solution to the Chechnya conflict is essential and that the OSCE can contribute to achieving that goal. We expect Russia to abide by those statements. As a result of the summit, we are taking steps to promote such a dialogue, including the upcoming visit to the North Caucasus by OSCE Chairman-in-Office Vollebaek.

It is in this context in that I joined the other 29 CFE Parties in signing the CFE Adaptation Agreement. The adapted Treaty is in the interest of the United States and NATO and it was essential to lock in the commitment of other nations to its terms. The adapted Treaty will replace obsolete bloc-to-bloc limits with nationally-based ceilings. This adjustment, for example, will eliminate the outdated requirement for our new NATO allies to coordinate their equipment limits with Russia and other former Warsaw Pact countries. The Agreement will provide for enhanced transparency of military forces, through increased information and more inspections. It will open the Treaty to accession by other European nations, including the Baltic nations and Slovenia, an objective identified by the Senate. And, it will strengthen the requirements for host nation consent to the presence of foreign forces, which speaks directly to the interests of a number of non-NATO nations, including Ukraine, Azerbaijan, Moldova and Georgia.

Among the most important outcomes of the OSCE summit were, in fact, CFE-related commitments that U.S. negotiators facilitated on the withdrawals of Russian forces from Georgia and Moldova -- addressing one of your key concerns. These commitments are reflected in the CFE Final Act, a document associated with the Adaptation Agreement, and in the OSCE summit Declaration. Concerning Georgia, it was agreed that Treaty Limited Equipment will be substantially

reduced by
December 31, 2000, and two Russian bases there will be closed by
July 1, 2001.
Georgia and Russia committed to complete negotiations regarding the
status of
remaining Russian bases and facilities during the year 2000. With
respect to
Moldova, it was agreed that all Russian Treaty Limited Equipment
will be
withdrawn and/or destroyed by the end of 2001, and all Russian forces
will be
withdrawn by the end of 2002. Both Georgia and Moldova made
effective use of the
Istanbul summit to secure these commitments from Russia. We fully
supported that
strategy by directly engaging senior Russian leadership as part of our
effort to
achieve a successful CFE outcome.

Russia's noncompliance with CFE flank limits is a matter of very
serious concern.
We have pressed the Russians repeatedly on this point and the
Russian leadership
has affirmed that they will comply with agreed flank levels as soon as
possible.
It is essential that we have confidence there will be real compliance.
That is
why, upon signing the CFE Adaptation Agreement, I made clear that I
will only
submit the Agreement to the Senate for advice and consent to
ratification when
Russian forces have in fact been reduced to the levels set forth in the
adapted
Treaty. Our Allies agree that entry into force of an adapted Treaty can
only be
envisaged in the context of compliance by States Parties with its
limits. In
this way, as part of our broader diplomatic approach, we continue to
send a clear
message to Russia to reduce its forces on its southern flank.

Again, thank you for writing. We will continue to consult closely with
the
Senate on these important CFE matters.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Jesse Helms
Chairman, Committee on Foreign Relations
United States Senate
Washington, DC

2

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 12/15/1999 2:02:03 PM
FROM Joshi, M. Kay (EXSEC)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: Letter on CFE -- [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)
 Gray, Wendy E. (NSA)
 Hilliard, Brenda I. (EXSEC)
 Joshi, M. Kay (EXSEC)
 Millison, Cathy L. (EXSEC)
 Wasserman, Elaine P. (EXSEC)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY TAKEN CARE OF

-----Original Message-----
 From: Witkowsky, Anne
 A. (DEFENSE)
 Sent: Wednesday, December 15, 1999 1:16 PM
 To: @EXECSEC
 - Executive Secretary
 Cc: Binnendijk, Johannes A. (Hans) (DEFENSE)
 Subject: Letter
 on CFE -- [UNCLASSIFIED]

Steve:

I found you four more (well,
 fewer) lines. Hope this will do it, with proper reformatting.

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT

CFE Helms 2.doc

Dear Senator Helms:

Thank you for your letters concerning Chechnya, the recent summit of the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE) in Istanbul and the adapted Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe (CFE).

I share your concerns about the grave situation in the North Caucasus and Russia's military actions there, including Russia's noncompliance with CFE Treaty flank limits. We are continuing our comprehensive effort to seek an end to the tragic conflict in Chechnya. In my meeting with President Yeltsin in Istanbul and in my public remarks I stressed that there can be no purely military solution to the conflict in Chechnya. I have tried to impress upon the Russians that they must take meaningful steps toward a political solution, either through direct talks with responsible Chechen leaders or with the assistance of a third-party such as the OSCE.

At the Istanbul summit, OSCE members, including Russia, signed a new Charter for European Security that recognizes European security in the 21st century increasingly depends on building security within societies as well as security between states. Moreover, in the summit declaration, all OSCE participating states recognized that a political solution to the Chechnya conflict is essential and that the OSCE can contribute to achieving that goal. We expect Russia to abide by those statements. As a result of the summit, we are taking steps to promote such a dialogue, including through the visit to the North Caucasus by OSCE Chairman-in-Office Vollebaek.

It is in this context in that I joined the other 29 CFE Parties in signing the CFE Adaptation Agreement. The adapted Treaty is in the interest of the United States and NATO and it was essential to lock in the commitment of other nations to its terms. The adapted Treaty will replace obsolete bloc-to-bloc limits with

nationally-based ceilings. This adjustment, for example, will eliminate the outdated requirement for our new NATO allies to coordinate their equipment limits with Russia and other former Warsaw Pact countries. The Agreement will provide for enhanced transparency of military forces, through increased information and more inspections. It will open the Treaty to accession by other European states, including the Baltic nations and Slovenia, an objective identified by the Senate. And, it will strengthen the requirements for host nation consent to the presence of foreign forces, which speaks directly to the interests of a number of non-NATO nations, including Ukraine, Azerbaijan, Moldova and Georgia.

Among the summit's most important outcomes were, in fact, CFE-related commitments that U.S. negotiators facilitated on Russian force withdrawals from Georgia and Moldova -- addressing one of your key concerns. These commitments are reflected in the CFE Final Act, a document associated with the Adaptation Agreement, and in the OSCE summit Declaration. Concerning Georgia, it was agreed that Russian Treaty Limited Equipment will be substantially reduced by December 31, 2000, and two Russian bases there will be closed by July 1, 2001. With respect to Moldova, it was agreed that all Russian Treaty Limited Equipment will be withdrawn and/or destroyed by the end of 2001, and all Russian forces will be withdrawn by the end of 2002. Both Georgia and Moldova made effective use of the Istanbul summit to secure these commitments from Russia. We fully supported that strategy by directly engaging senior Russian leadership as part of our effort to successfully conclude CFE adaptation.

Russia's noncompliance with CFE flank limits is a matter of very serious concern. We have pressed the Russians repeatedly on this point and the Russian leadership has affirmed that they will comply with agreed flank levels as soon as possible.

It is essential that we have confidence there will be real compliance.
That is
why, upon signing the CFE Adaptation Agreement, I made clear that I
will only
submit the Agreement to the Senate for advice and consent to
ratification when
Russian forces have in fact been reduced to the levels set forth in the
adapted
Treaty. Our Allies agree that entry into force of an adapted Treaty can
only be
envisaged in the context of compliance by States Parties with its
limits. In
this way, as part of our broader diplomatic approach, we continue to
send a clear
message to Russia to reduce its forces on its southern flank.

Again, thank you for writing. We will continue to consult closely with
the
Senate on these important CFE matters.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Jesse Helms
Chairman, Committee on Foreign Relations
United States Senate
Washington, DC

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 12/20/1999 12:16:58 PM
FROM Quinn, Mary E. (EUR)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT REMINDER FOR PACKAGE 8964 [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Burrell, Christina L. (LEGIS)
Lackey, Miles M. (LEGIS)
Shapiro, Daniel B. (LEGIS)
Tavlarides, Mark J. (LEGIS)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY Looking for comments/concurrence please. Thanks.

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT

8964srb.doc

December 14, 1999

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR SAMUEL R. BERGER

THROUGH: ANTONY BLINKEN

FROM: NICHOLAS KASS/BERNARD CRAWFORD

SUBJECT: President's Report to Congress on Cyprus

Attached at Tab I is your memorandum to the President transmitting his bimonthly Report to Congress on Cyprus, submitted in accordance with the International Security Assistance Act of 1978. This report covers the October - November 1999 timeframe and should be submitted as soon as possible.

Concurrence by: James Baker, Miles Lackey, Steven Naplan

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum to the President at Tab I.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum to the President

Tab A Transmittal Letters to Congress

Tab B Report to Congress

Tab II Incoming from State

1

8964

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT

8964report to congress.doc

President's Bimonthly Report on Cyprus

October - November 1999

Special Coordinator for Cyprus Thomas G. Weston met with Turkish Cypriot representative Tahsin Ertugruloglu on October 4 to discuss the Cyprus situation and prospects for talks. Mr. Weston urged the Turkish Cypriots to come to negotiations this fall without preconditions.

On October 5 Special Presidential Emissary for Cyprus Alfred H. Moses met with Greek Deputy Foreign Minister Gregory Niotis in Washington. They exchanged views on the Cyprus dispute and possible steps to move the settlement process forward.

Ambassador Moses received the Frizis Award of the Hellenic Leadership Conference in Washington on October 6. In addressing the annual dinner, Ambassador Moses underscored the United States' commitment to a just and lasting solution to the Cyprus dispute.

On October 14, U.S. Ambassador to Cyprus Donald K. Bandler met with Turkish

Cypriot leader Rauf Denktash. Ambassador Bandler underscored the importance of resolving the Cyprus dispute and emphasized that the goal of negotiations is a just and lasting settlement that addresses the needs of both sides.

Mr. Weston traveled to London, Bonn and Brussels from October 11-13 to meet with key European officials regarding the Cyprus issue. He then traveled to Ankara on October 14 to join Ambassador Moses in two meetings with President Demirel, Prime Minister Ecevit, Foreign Minister Cem and MFA Deputy Under Secretary for Cyprus Logoglu. Ambassador Moses and Mr. Weston went on to Athens on October 18 to meet with Foreign Minister Papandreou. They concluded their trip in Nicosia from October 19-21 where they had a series of separate meetings with President Clerides and Mr. Denktash and discussed the prospects for resolving the Cyprus dispute through UN-sponsored talks.

Mr. Weston traveled to Berlin, Stockholm and Rome from November 1-5. In Berlin, he and the UN Secretary-General's Special Advisor for Cyprus, Alvaro de Soto, UK Special Representative for Cyprus, David Hannay, and Russian Cyprus Coordinator, Vladimir Chizov, attended a conference on Cyprus hosted by German Foreign Minister Fischer. In Stockholm, Mr. Weston met with Swedish Cyprus Coordinator Kai Falkman and MFA EU Affairs Director Frank Belfrage. In Rome, he met with MFA Deputy Political Director Candilio, Balkans Director Mirachian and Prime Minister D'Alema's Diplomatic Advisor Francesco Olivieri.

On November 2 Ambassador Bandler met with Cypriot Foreign Minister Kasoulides to follow up on Ambassador Moses' and Mr. Weston's visit to the island and continue discussions on convening UN-sponsored talks.

Ambassador Bandler met with Mr. Clerides on November 8 to discuss the prospects

for convening talks and emphasized the need for all parties to work toward a just and lasting settlement. The Ambassador followed up with Mr. Clerides again on November 11.

Also on November 11, Ambassador Bandler met with Mr. Denktash to underscore the United States' commitment to a solution and the hope that both sides would agree to participate in UN-sponsored talks.

On November 13, UN Secretary-General Kofi Annan announced the start of proximity talks in New York on December 3, in order to prepare the ground for meaningful negotiations leading to a comprehensive settlement.

President Clinton issued a statement on November 14 welcoming the start of UN-sponsored proximity talks on December 3 noting that they "can bring us one step closer to a lasting peace." The President also remarked that "a negotiated settlement is the best way to meet the fundamental interests of the parties -- including real security for all Cypriots and an end to the island's division."

President Clinton visited Turkey and Greece from November 15-20. In Ankara, the President met President Demirel and Prime Minister Ecevit. He then traveled to Istanbul on November 18 to attend the OSCE Summit. On November 19, President Clinton traveled to Athens where he met with President Stephanopoulos and Prime Minister Simitis. The President thanked both Greek and Turkish leaders for their efforts on Cyprus and noted that hard work must be done to "reach a just settlement in Cyprus."

On November 23 Ambassador Bandler met with Mr. Kasoulides to follow up on the UN Secretary-General's announcement on the start of proximity talks and discuss President Clinton's trip to Turkey and Greece. On November 24, the Ambassador met with Mr. Clerides to exchange views on the December 3 talks in

New York.

2

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT 8964potus.doc

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

THROUGH: THE EXECUTIVE CLERK

FROM: SAMUEL BERGER
CHUCK BRAIN

SUBJECT: Report to Congress on Cyprus

Purpose

Forward the bimonthly Presidential Report to the Congress on Cyprus.

Background

The International Security Assistance Act of 1978 requires that you submit a bimonthly report on progress made during the period toward "the conclusion of a negotiated solution of the Cyprus problem," including any relevant reports prepared by the UN Secretary General for the Security Council. The Department of State has prepared for your approval a draft Presidential Report on Cyprus, which is at Tab B. At Tab A are transmittal letters to the Speaker of the House of Representatives and the Chairman of the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations.

The previous report covered progress during August-September 1999. The current report covers the period October-November 1999.

The United States remains actively engaged in efforts to promote negotiations, under UN auspices and without preconditions, to settle the Cyprus dispute.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the letters to the Congress at Tab A.

Attachments

Tab A Transmittal Letters to Congress

Tab B Report to Congress

2

2

8964

cc: Vice President
Chief of Staff

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT 8964ltr. to speaker.doc

Dear Mr. Speaker:

In accordance with Public Law 95-384 (22 U.S.C. 2373(c)), I submit to you this report on progress toward a negotiated settlement of the Cyprus question covering the period October 1, 1999 to November 30, 1999. The previous submission covered events during August and September 1999.

United Nations Secretary-General Kofi Annan announced on November 13 the start of proximity talks in New York on December 3 to work towards a

resolution to the
long-standing Cyprus dispute. The goal of these talks is to prepare the
ground
for meaningful negotiations leading to a comprehensive settlement of
the Cyprus
problem.

This welcome news was highlighted during my trip to Turkey and
Greece from
November 15-20 as a positive step toward bringing about a just and
lasting
solution for all Cypriots and improving Greek-Turkish relations for a
more secure
southern Europe.

Sincerely,

The Honorable J. Dennis Hastert
Speaker of the
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515
1

2

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT 8964ltr helms.doc

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In accordance with Public Law 95-384 (22 U.S.C. 2373 (c)), I submit
to you this

report on progress toward a negotiated settlement of the Cyprus question covering the period October 1, 1999 to November 30, 1999. The previous submission covered events during August and September 1999.

United Nations Secretary-General Kofi Annan announced on November 13 the start of proximity talks in New York on December 3 to work towards a resolution to the long-standing Cyprus dispute. The goal of these talks is to prepare the ground for meaningful negotiations leading to a comprehensive settlement of the Cyprus problem.

This welcome news was highlighted during my trip to Turkey and Greece from November 15-20 as a positive step toward bringing about a just and lasting solution for all Cypriots and improving Greek-Turkish relations for a more secure southern Europe.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Jesse Helms
Chairman
Committee on Foreign Relations
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 12/21/1999 9:11:34 AM
FROM Burrell, Christina L. (LEGIS)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: REMINDER FOR PACKAGE 8964 [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Tavlarides, Mark J. (LEGIS)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY CAN YOU CLEAR THIS ONE? THANKS.

-----Original Message-----

From: Quinn,
Mary E. (EUR)
Sent: Monday, December 20, 1999 12:17 PM
To: @LEGISLAT
- Legislative Affairs
Subject: REMINDER FOR PACKAGE 8964 [UNCLASSIFIED]

Looking
for comments/concurrence please. Thanks.

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT

8964srb.doc

December 14, 1999

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR SAMUEL R. BERGER

THROUGH: ANTONY BLINKEN

FROM: NICHOLAS KASS/BERNARD CRAWFORD

SUBJECT: President's Report to Congress on Cyprus

Attached at Tab I is your memorandum to the President transmitting

his bimonthly
Report to Congress on Cyprus, submitted in accordance with the
International
Security Assistance Act of 1978. This report covers the October -
November 1999
timeframe and should be submitted as soon as possible.

Concurrence by: James Baker, Miles Lackey, Steven Naplan

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum to the President at Tab I.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum to the President
Tab A Transmittal Letters to Congress
Tab B Report to Congress
Tab II Incoming from State

1

8964

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT

8964report to congress.doc
President's Bimonthly Report on Cyprus
October - November 1999

Special Coordinator for Cyprus Thomas G. Weston met with Turkish
Cypriot
representative Tahsin Ertugruloglu on October 4 to discuss the Cyprus
situation
and prospects for talks. Mr. Weston urged the Turkish Cypriots to
come to
negotiations this fall without preconditions.

On October 5 Special Presidential Emissary for Cyprus Alfred H.
Moses met with
Greek Deputy Foreign Minister Gregory Niotis in Washington. They
exchanged views
on the Cyprus dispute and possible steps to move the settlement
process forward.

Ambassador Moses received the Frizis Award of the Hellenic
Leadership Conference

in Washington on October 6. In addressing the annual dinner, Ambassador Moses underscored the United States' commitment to a just and lasting solution to the Cyprus dispute.

On October 14, U.S. Ambassador to Cyprus Donald K. Bandler met with Turkish Cypriot leader Rauf Denktash. Ambassador Bandler underscored the importance of resolving the Cyprus dispute and emphasized that the goal of negotiations is a just and lasting settlement that addresses the needs of both sides.

Mr. Weston traveled to London, Bonn and Brussels from October 11-13 to meet with key European officials regarding the Cyprus issue. He then traveled to Ankara on October 14 to join Ambassador Moses in two meetings with President Demirel, Prime Minister Ecevit, Foreign Minister Cem and MFA Deputy Under Secretary for Cyprus Logoglu. Ambassador Moses and Mr. Weston went on to Athens on October 18 to meet with Foreign Minister Papandreou. They concluded their trip in Nicosia from October 19-21 where they had a series of separate meetings with President Clerides and Mr. Denktash and discussed the prospects for resolving the Cyprus dispute through UN-sponsored talks.

Mr. Weston traveled to Berlin, Stockholm and Rome from November 1-5. In Berlin, he and the UN Secretary-General's Special Advisor for Cyprus, Alvaro de Soto, UK Special Representative for Cyprus, David Hannay, and Russian Cyprus Coordinator, Vladimir Chizov, attended a conference on Cyprus hosted by German Foreign Minister Fischer. In Stockholm, Mr. Weston met with Swedish Cyprus Coordinator Kai Falkman and MFA EU Affairs Director Frank Belfrage. In Rome, he met with MFA Deputy Political Director Candilio, Balkans Director Mirachian and Prime Minister D'Alema's Diplomatic Advisor Francesco Olivieri.

On November 2 Ambassador Bandler met with Cypriot Foreign

Minister Kasoulides to follow up on Ambassador Moses' and Mr. Weston's visit to the island and continue discussions on convening UN-sponsored talks.

Ambassador Bandler met with Mr. Clerides on November 8 to discuss the prospects for convening talks and emphasized the need for all parties to work toward a just and lasting settlement. The Ambassador followed up with Mr. Clerides again on November 11.

Also on November 11, Ambassador Bandler met with Mr. Denktash to underscore the United States' commitment to a solution and the hope that both sides would agree to participate in UN-sponsored talks.

On November 13, UN Secretary-General Kofi Annan announced the start of proximity talks in New York on December 3, in order to prepare the ground for meaningful negotiations leading to a comprehensive settlement.

President Clinton issued a statement on November 14 welcoming the start of UN-sponsored proximity talks on December 3 noting that they "can bring us one step closer to a lasting peace." The President also remarked that "a negotiated settlement is the best way to meet the fundamental interests of the parties -- including real security for all Cypriots and an end to the island's division."

President Clinton visited Turkey and Greece from November 15-20. In Ankara, the President met President Demirel and Prime Minister Ecevit. He then traveled to Istanbul on November 18 to attend the OSCE Summit. On November 19, President Clinton traveled to Athens where he met with President Stephanopoulos and Prime Minister Simitis. The President thanked both Greek and Turkish leaders for their efforts on Cyprus and noted that hard work must be done to "reach a just settlement in Cyprus."

On November 23 Ambassador Bandler met with Mr. Kasoulides to follow up on the UN Secretary-General's announcement on the start of proximity talks and discuss President Clinton's trip to Turkey and Greece. On November 24, the Ambassador met with Mr. Clerides to exchange views on the December 3 talks in New York.

2

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT 8964potus.doc

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

THROUGH: THE EXECUTIVE CLERK

FROM: SAMUEL BERGER
CHUCK BRAIN

SUBJECT: Report to Congress on Cyprus

Purpose

Forward the bimonthly Presidential Report to the Congress on Cyprus.

Background

The International Security Assistance Act of 1978 requires that you submit a bimonthly report on progress made during the period toward "the conclusion of a negotiated solution of the Cyprus problem," including any relevant reports prepared by the UN Secretary General for the Security Council. The Department of State has prepared for your approval a draft Presidential Report on Cyprus, which

is at Tab B. At Tab A are transmittal letters to the Speaker of the House of Representatives and the Chairman of the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations.

The previous report covered progress during August-September 1999. The current report covers the period October-November 1999.

The United States remains actively engaged in efforts to promote negotiations, under UN auspices and without preconditions, to settle the Cyprus dispute.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the letters to the Congress at Tab A.

Attachments

Tab A Transmittal Letters to Congress

Tab B Report to Congress

2

2

8964

cc: Vice President
Chief of Staff

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT 8964ltr. to speaker.doc

Dear Mr. Speaker:

In accordance with Public Law 95-384 (22 U.S.C. 2373(c)), I submit to you this report on progress toward a negotiated settlement of the Cyprus

question covering
the period October 1, 1999 to November 30, 1999. The previous
submission covered
events during August and September 1999.

United Nations Secretary-General Kofi Annan announced on
November 13 the start of
proximity talks in New York on December 3 to work towards a
resolution to the
long-standing Cyprus dispute. The goal of these talks is to prepare the
ground
for meaningful negotiations leading to a comprehensive settlement of
the Cyprus
problem.

This welcome news was highlighted during my trip to Turkey and
Greece from
November 15-20 as a positive step toward bringing about a just and
lasting
solution for all Cypriots and improving Greek-Turkish relations for a
more secure
southern Europe.

Sincerely,

The Honorable J. Dennis Hastert
Speaker of the
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

1

2

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In accordance with Public Law 95-384 (22 U.S.C. 2373 (c)), I submit to you this report on progress toward a negotiated settlement of the Cyprus question covering the period October 1, 1999 to November 30, 1999. The previous submission covered events during August and September 1999.

United Nations Secretary-General Kofi Annan announced on November 13 the start of proximity talks in New York on December 3 to work towards a resolution to the long-standing Cyprus dispute. The goal of these talks is to prepare the ground for meaningful negotiations leading to a comprehensive settlement of the Cyprus problem.

This welcome news was highlighted during my trip to Turkey and Greece from November 15-20 as a positive step toward bringing about a just and lasting solution for all Cypriots and improving Greek-Turkish relations for a more secure southern Europe.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Jesse Helms
Chairman
Committee on Foreign Relations
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 01/05/2000 4:55:27 PM
FROM Hendricks, Lori A. (INTECON)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT WIPO [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Smith, Holly M. (INTECON/INTERN)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY Holly, for some strange reason I can't forward Chuck's changes but you should have the e-mail. Please accept all Chuck's changes on the WIPO report, Pres memo, and cover then send out cvr memo, Pres memo and cong. letter (below) for clearance on final docs to Dan Shapiro w/ cc to @ legisl, and cc to @ legal. (no need to send out report again). thanks

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT

WIPO helms ltr.doc

Dear Mr. Chairman:

As required by the second proviso of the text of the resolution of ratification of the World Intellectual Property Organization Copyright Treaty and the World Intellectual Property Organization Performances and Phonograms Treaty, passed by the Senate on October 21, 1998, I transmit herewith to the Committee on Foreign Relations the first report on the status of the ratification of those treaties and related matters.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Jesse Helms
Chairman, Committee on Foreign Relations
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 01/10/2000 1:27:31 PM
FROM Woolston, Ann E. (VP)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT Charles Burson Question [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Saunders, Richard M. (VP)
Babbitt, James F. (VP)

CARBON_COPY**TEXT_BODY**

After the VP's speech at the UN, and after Charles talked to Leon, Charles stopped by and wanted to know if Jesse Helms was hosting a US-Africa Business Leaders mtg. If so, he wants to know if we're involved.

Ann

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 01/11/2000 9:45:05 AM
FROM Mitchell, Rebecca (Julie) J. (DEFENSE)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT You received a phone call from Rich Marchant, Joint Staff. [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Witkowsky, Anne A. (DEFENSE)

CARBON_COPY
TEXT_BODY re: Has President sent response to Jesse Helms on CFE?

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 01/18/2000 12:24:21 PM
FROM Sargeant, Stephen T. (EXSEC)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: Package 8907 [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Joshi, M. Kay (EXSEC)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY Kay -- please print out the letter and send in with the one that had been originally approved.
Thanks
Steve

-----Original Message-----

From:
Witkowsky, Anne A. (DEFENSE)
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2000
12:18 PM
To: @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary
Cc: Weiss, Andrew S.
(RUE); Norland, Richard B. (EUR); Binnendijk, Johannes A. (Hans) (DEFENSE)
Subject: Package 8907 [UNCLASSIFIED]

Elaine:

Please
pass to Steve Sargeant. We have had to make some changes in the letter because of the time lapse in getting it out. These are marked. (I deleted one sentence in the CFE-related portion of the text to make room for new Chechnya language and keep letter to two pages.) Chechnya/OSCE changes have been cleared with Weiss and Norland.

For Steve's review and approval.

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT

CFE Helms 2.doc

January 18, 2000

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Thank you for your letters concerning Chechnya, the recent summit of the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE) in Istanbul, and the adapted Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe (CFE). I share your concerns about the grave situation in the North Caucasus and Russia's military actions there, including Russia's noncompliance with CFE Treaty flank limits.

We are continuing our efforts to seek an end to the tragic conflict in Chechnya.

In my recent meetings with former President Yeltsin in Istanbul and then-Prime Minister Putin in Oslo, I have stressed that there can be no purely military solution to the conflict in Chechnya. In public and in private, I have pressed the Russians to take meaningful steps toward a political solution, either through

direct talks with responsible Chechen leaders or with the assistance of a third-party such as the OSCE. We believe that both sides should implement an immediate cease-fire, halt indiscriminate attacks against innocent civilians, ensure freedom of movement for people displaced by the fighting, and cooperate with international humanitarian relief efforts.

At the Istanbul Summit, OSCE members, including Russia, signed a new Charter for European Security that recognizes European security in the 21st century increasingly depends on building security within societies as well as security between states. In the summit declaration, all OSCE states recognized that a

political solution to the Chechnya conflict is essential and that the OSCE can contribute to achieving that goal. We will continue to work with the OSCE Chairman-in-Office to advance OSCE's role in promoting such a solution. It is in this context that I joined the other 29 CFE Parties in signing the CFE Adaptation Agreement. The adapted Treaty is in the interest of the United States

and NATO, and it was essential to lock in the commitment of other nations to its terms. The adapted Treaty will replace obsolete bloc-to-bloc limits with nationally based ceilings. The Agreement will provide for enhanced transparency of military forces, through increased information and more inspections. It will open the Treaty to accession by other European states, including the Baltic nations and Slovenia, an objective identified by the Senate. And, it will strengthen the requirements for host nation consent to the presence of foreign forces, which speaks directly to the interests of a number of non-NATO nations, including Ukraine, Azerbaijan, Moldova, and Georgia.

Among the summit's most important outcomes were CFE-related commitments that U.S.

negotiators facilitated on Russian force withdrawals from Georgia and Moldova, addressing one of your key concerns. These commitments are reflected in the CFE Final Act, a document associated with the Adaptation Agreement, and in the OSCE Summit Declaration. Concerning Georgia, it was agreed that Russian Treaty Limited Equipment will be substantially reduced by December 31, 2000, and two Russian bases there will be closed by July 1, 2001. With respect to Moldova, it was agreed that all Russian Treaty Limited Equipment will be withdrawn and/or destroyed by the end of 2001, and all Russian forces will be withdrawn by the end

of 2002. Both Georgia and Moldova made effective use of the

Istanbul Summit to
secure these commitments from Russia. We fully supported that
strategy by
directly engaging senior Russian leadership as part of our effort to
successfully

conclude CFE adaptation.

Russia's noncompliance with CFE flank limits is a matter of very
serious concern.

We have pressed the Russians repeatedly on this point and the
Russian leadership

has reaffirmed its commitment to all Treaty obligations and in
particular to
agreed flank levels. It is essential that we have confidence there will
be real
compliance. That is why, upon signing the CFE Adaptation
Agreement, I made clear

that I will only submit the Agreement to the Senate for advice and
consent to
ratification when Russian forces have in fact been reduced to the
levels set
forth in the adapted Treaty. Our Allies agree on the importance of
these
reductions to bringing an adapted Treaty into force. In this way, as
part of our
broader diplomatic approach, we continue to send a clear message to
Russia to
reduce its forces on its southern flank.

Again, thank you for writing. We will continue to consult closely with
the
Senate on these important CFE matters.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Jesse Helms
Chairman, Committee on Foreign Relations
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510-3301

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 01/18/2000 12:25:48 PM
FROM Joshi, M. Kay (EXSEC)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: Package 8907 [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)
Gray, Wendy E. (NSA)
Hilliard, Brenda I. (EXSEC)
Joshi, M. Kay (EXSEC)
Millison, Cathy L. (EXSEC)
Wasserman, Elaine P. (EXSEC)

CARBON_COPY**TEXT_BODY**

printed for Steve per note below.

-----Original Message-----

From: Sargeant,
Stephen T. (EXSEC)
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2000 12:24 PM
To: Joshi,
M. Kay (EXSEC)
Subject: FW: Package 8907 [UNCLASSIFIED]

Kay
-- please print out the letter and send in with the one that had
been originally approved.
Thanks
Steve

-----Original Message-----

From:
Witkowsky, Anne A. (DEFENSE)
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2000
12:18 PM
To: @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary
Cc: Weiss, Andrew S.
(RUE); Norland, Richard B. (EUR); Binnendijk, Johannes A. (Hans)
(DEFENSE)
Subject: Package 8907 [UNCLASSIFIED]

Elaine:

Please

pass to Steve Sargeant. We have had to make some changes in the letter because of the time lapse in getting it out. These are marked. (I deleted one sentence in the CFE-related portion of the text to make room for new Chechnya language and keep letter to two pages.) Chechnya/OSCE changes have been cleared with Weiss and Norland.

For Steve's review and approval.

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT CFE Helms 2.doc

January 18, 2000

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Thank you for your letters concerning Chechnya, the recent summit of the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE) in Istanbul, and the adapted Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe (CFE). I share your concerns about the grave situation in the North Caucasus and Russia's military actions there, including Russia's noncompliance with CFE Treaty flank limits.

We are continuing our efforts to seek an end to the tragic conflict in Chechnya.

In my recent meetings with former President Yeltsin in Istanbul and then-Prime Minister Putin in Oslo, I have stressed that there can be no purely military solution to the conflict in Chechnya. In public and in private, I have pressed the Russians to take meaningful steps toward a political solution, either through

direct talks with responsible Chechen leaders or with the assistance of a third-party such as the OSCE. We believe that both sides should

implement an immediate cease-fire, halt indiscriminate attacks against innocent civilians, ensure freedom of movement for people displaced by the fighting, and cooperate with international humanitarian relief efforts.

At the Istanbul Summit, OSCE members, including Russia, signed a new Charter for European Security that recognizes European security in the 21st century increasingly depends on building security within societies as well as security between states. In the summit declaration, all OSCE states recognized that a political solution to the Chechnya conflict is essential and that the OSCE can contribute to achieving that goal. We will continue to work with the OSCE Chairman-in-Office to advance OSCE's role in promoting such a solution. It is in this context that I joined the other 29 CFE Parties in signing the CFE Adaptation Agreement. The adapted Treaty is in the interest of the United States

and NATO, and it was essential to lock in the commitment of other nations to its terms. The adapted Treaty will replace obsolete bloc-to-bloc limits with nationally based ceilings. The Agreement will provide for enhanced transparency of military forces, through increased information and more inspections. It will open the Treaty to accession by other European states, including the Baltic nations and Slovenia, an objective identified by the Senate. And, it will strengthen the requirements for host nation consent to the presence of foreign forces, which speaks directly to the interests of a number of non-NATO nations, including Ukraine, Azerbaijan, Moldova, and Georgia.

Among the summit's most important outcomes were CFE-related commitments that U.S.

negotiators facilitated on Russian force withdrawals from Georgia and Moldova, addressing one of your key concerns. These commitments are

reflected in the CFE
Final Act, a document associated with the Adaptation Agreement, and
in the OSCE
Summit Declaration. Concerning Georgia, it was agreed that Russian
Treaty
Limited Equipment will be substantially reduced by December 31,
2000, and two
Russian bases there will be closed by July 1, 2001. With respect to
Moldova, it
was agreed that all Russian Treaty Limited Equipment will be
withdrawn and/or
destroyed by the end of 2001, and all Russian forces will be
withdrawn by the end

of 2002. Both Georgia and Moldova made effective use of the
Istanbul Summit to
secure these commitments from Russia. We fully supported that
strategy by
directly engaging senior Russian leadership as part of our effort to
successfully

conclude CFE adaptation.

Russia's noncompliance with CFE flank limits is a matter of very
serious concern.

We have pressed the Russians repeatedly on this point and the
Russian leadership

has reaffirmed its commitment to all Treaty obligations and in
particular to
agreed flank levels. It is essential that we have confidence there will
be real
compliance. That is why, upon signing the CFE Adaptation
Agreement, I made clear

that I will only submit the Agreement to the Senate for advice and
consent to
ratification when Russian forces have in fact been reduced to the
levels set
forth in the adapted Treaty. Our Allies agree on the importance of
these
reductions to bringing an adapted Treaty into force. In this way, as
part of our
broader diplomatic approach, we continue to send a clear message to
Russia to
reduce its forces on its southern flank.

Again, thank you for writing. We will continue to consult closely with
the

Senate on these important CFE matters.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Jesse Helms
Chairman, Committee on Foreign Relations
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510-3301

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 01/24/2000 5:56:30 PM
FROM Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)
CLASSIFICATION ~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATIONREASON 1.5(b)(d)
DATECLASSIFIEDON 01/24/2000
DECLASSIFYON 01/24/2010
SUBJECT RE: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
~~SECRET~~
TO Edwards, Joan K. (NONPRO)
CARBON_COPY Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)
Gray, Wendy E. (NSA)
Hilliard, Brenda I. (EXSEC)
Joshi, M. Kay (EXSEC)
Millison, Cathy L. (EXSEC)
Wasserman, Elaine P. (EXSEC)

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526 5/16/2017
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By 12 NARA, Date 2/7/2019
206-1363-F(3)

TEXT_BODY

Joan,

Okay -- I will hold original package in ExecSec hold bin until tomorrow and receipt of additional letters.

June

-----Original

Message-----

From: Edwards, Joan K. (NONPRO)

Sent: Monday, January

24, 2000 5:39 PM

To: Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)

Subject: RE: Query

re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report

~~SECRET~~

June,

I've updated all the letters and did labels. You'll get them in the a.m. Maureen left for the evening because of a sick car pool member and she wants to see the letters first before sending them across the street. Cheers

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 4:44 PM
To: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Cc: Edwards, Joan K. (NONPRO); @EXECSEC -
Executive Secretary
Subject: RE: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan
Entity List Congressional Report ~~[SECRET]~~
Importance: High

Sorry,
-- here is the letter.

<< File: 9259 letter to Hill.doc >>

-----Original
Message-----

From: Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Sent: Monday,
January 24, 2000 4:37 PM
To: Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)
Cc: Edwards,
Joan K. (NONPRO); Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Subject: FW: Query
re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
~~[SECRET]~~
Importance: High

June

-the only thing attached to your email was the classified attachment
- the letter did not come through. Maureen.

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 4:30 PM
To: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Cc: Samore, Gary S. (NONPRO); @LEGISLAT -
Legislative Affairs; Camp, Donald A. (NESA); @EXECSEC - Executive
Secretary; @NONPRO - Export Controls; @WWD - West Wing Desk
Subject: RE:
Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
[UNCLASSIFIED]
Importance: High

Maureen,

Returned from Chuck
Brain's office this afternoon. Package will be ready to go to Staff Secretary as soon as the additional letters are prepared -- only the letter to Jesse Helms is now in the package going forward.

Can
your office prepare the additional letters to:

Gilman, Chairman,
Committee on International Relations
Bill Young, Chairman, Committee
on Appropriations
Ted Stevens, Chairman, Committee on Appropriations
Joseph
Biden, Committee on Foreign Relations
Sam Gejdenson, Committee on
International Relations
David Obey, Committee on Appropriations
Robert
C. Byrd, Committee on Appropriations

Reminder about the last sentence
in the second paragraph needs to be changed accordingly.

Please
use the version below:

<< File: 9259d.doc >>

Please return
letters via e-mail and I will print and add to package and forward
to Staff Secretary.

Thanks -- June

-----Original Message-----

From: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 4:16 PM
To: @EXECSEC
- Executive Secretary
Cc: Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO); Samore,
Gary S. (NONPRO); @LEGISLAT - Legislative Affairs; Camp, Donald
A. (NESA)
Subject: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity
List Congressional Report [UNCLASSIFIED]

Exec Sec - this package
is a report to Congress that was due on December 24, 1999. Can

anyone tell me if there's a problem with the package? We need to get it to Congress as soon as possible. Thanks very much - Maureen

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 01/26/2000 3:12:16 PM

FROM Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)

CLASSIFICATION ~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATIONREASON 1.5(b)(d)

DATECLASSIFIEDON 01/24/2000

DECLASSIFYON 01/24/2010

SUBJECT RE: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
~~SECRET~~

TO Harris, Elisa D. (NONPRO)

CARBON_COPY Brackman, Stella S. (DEFENSE)
Edwards, Joan K. (NONPRO)
Harris, Elisa D. (NONPRO)
Samore, Gary S. (NONPRO)
Segal, Jack D. (NONPRO)
Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)
Gray, Wendy E. (NSA)
Hilliard, Brenda I. (EXSEC)
Joshi, M. Kay (EXSEC)
Millison, Cathy L. (EXSEC)
Wasserman, Elaine P. (EXSEC)
Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC)
Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526 5/16/2007
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By VL NARA, Date 2/7/2011
2006-1363-F(3)

TEXT_BODY

I know Maureen wanted it signed and transmitted to Congress as soon as possible since it was due on December 24 (see earlier e-mail below). However, you may want to touch base with her today. Joan's note below says Maureen wanted to review the additional letters before forwarding to us.

June

-----Original Message-----

From: Harris,
Elisa D. (NONPRO)
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2000 3:09 PM
To: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC); Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)

Cc: @NONPRO - Export Controls; @CROSS - Cross Hatches; Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC)
Subject: RE:
Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
~~[SECRET]~~

i'm the only one in. expect we'll be at full strength
by tomorrow. can this wait till then??

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2000 3:05 PM
To: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Cc: @NONPRO - Export Controls; @CROSS - Cross
Hatches; Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC)
Subject: FW: Query re: Pkg. #9259:
India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report ~~[SECRET]~~

Maureen,

Are
you in the office today? Reminder we are still holding package until
receipt of additional letters.

June

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 5:57 PM
To: Edwards,
Joan K. (NONPRO)
Cc: @CROSS - Cross Hatches
Subject: RE: Query
re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
~~[SECRET]~~

Joan,

Okay
-- I will hold original package in ExecSec hold bin until tomorrow
and receipt of additional letters.

June

-----Original Message-----

From: Edwards,
Joan K. (NONPRO)

Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 5:39 PM
To: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Subject: RE: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan
Entity List Congressional Report ~~[SECRET]~~

June, I've updated all
the letters and did labels. You'll get them in the a.m. Maureen
left for the evening because of a sick car pool member and she wants
to see the letters first before sending them across the street.
Cheers

-----Original Message-----
From: Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)

Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 4:44 PM
To: Tucker, Maureen E.
(NONPRO)
Cc: Edwards, Joan K. (NONPRO); @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary
Subject: RE:
Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
~~[SECRET]~~
Importance: High

Sorry, -- here is the letter.

<<
File: 9259 letter to Hill.doc >>

-----Original Message-----
From: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 4:37 PM
To: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Cc: Edwards, Joan K. (NONPRO); Tucker, Maureen
E. (NONPRO)
Subject: FW: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity
List Congressional Report ~~[SECRET]~~
Importance: High

June -the
only thing attached to your email was the classified attachment -
the letter did not come through. Maureen.

-----Original Message-----
From: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 4:30 PM
To: Tucker,

Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Cc: Samore, Gary S. (NONPRO); @LEGISLAT -
Legislative Affairs; Camp, Donald A. (NESA); @EXECSEC - Executive
Secretary; @NONPRO - Export Controls; @WWD - West Wing Desk
Subject: RE:
Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
[UNCLASSIFIED]
Importance: High

Maureen,

Returned from Chuck
Brain's office this afternoon. Package will be ready to go to Staff
Secretary as soon as the additional letters are prepared -- only
the letter to Jesse Helms is now in the package going forward.

Can
your office prepare the additional letters to:

Gilman, Chairman,
Committee on International Relations
Bill Young, Chairman, Committee
on Appropriations
Ted Stevens, Chairman, Committee on Appropriations
Joseph
Biden, Committee on Foreign Relations
Sam Gejdenson, Committee on
International Relations
David Obey, Committee on Appropriations
Robert
C. Byrd, Committee on Appropriations

Reminder about the last sentence
in the second paragraph needs to be changed accordingly.

Please
use the version below:

<< File: 9259d.doc >>

Please return
letters via e-mail and I will print and add to package and forward
to Staff Secretary.

Thanks -- June

-----Original Message-----

From: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 4:16 PM

To: @EXECSEC
- Executive Secretary
Cc: Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO); Samore,
Gary S. (NONPRO); @LEGISLAT - Legislative Affairs; Camp, Donald
A. (NESA)
Subject: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity
List Congressional Report [UNCLASSIFIED]

Exec Sec - this package
is a report to Congress that was due on December 24, 1999. Can
anyone tell me if there's a problem with the package? We need to
get it to Congress as soon as possible. Thanks very much - Maureen

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 01/27/2000 4:13:52 PM

FROM Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)

CLASSIFICATION ~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATIONREASON 1.5(b)(d)

DATECLASSIFIEDON 01/24/2000

DECLASSIFYON 01/24/2010

SUBJECT RE: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
~~SECRET~~

TO Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)

CARBON_COPY Brackman, Stella S. (DEFENSE)
Edwards, Joan K. (NONPRO)
Harris, Elisa D. (NONPRO)
Samore, Gary S. (NONPRO)
Segal, Jack D. (NONPRO)
Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)
Gray, Wendy E. (NSA)
Hilliard, Brenda I. (EXSEC)
Joshi, M. Kay (EXSEC)
Millison, Cathy L. (EXSEC)
Wasserman, Elaine P. (EXSEC)
Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC)

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526 5/16/2017
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By VZ NARA, Date 2/7/2011
2006-1363-F(3)

TEXT_BODY

Maureen,

Yes -- letters received earlier today -- package went to Staff Secretary this afternoon. Please send us the corrected page(s), and we will substitute in the package.

Does this substitution require that the package go through NSC/WH LEG coordination/approval process again?

June

-----Original Message-----
From: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)

Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2000 3:52 PM
To: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Cc: @NONPRO - Export Controls; @CROSS - Cross Hatches;
Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC)
Subject: RE: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan
Entity List Congressional Report ~~[SECRET]~~

June, these letters
were sent over to you late this morning I believe. However....State
has JUST called to say there is a mistake in one of the attachments
and they want to send over the corrected version. How can I make
the substitution please? Thanks - Maureen

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2000 3:12 PM
To: Harris,
Elisa D. (NONPRO)
Cc: @NONPRO - Export Controls; @CROSS - Cross
Hatches; Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC); Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Subject: RE:
Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
~~[SECRET]~~

I know Maureen wanted it signed and transmitted to Congress
as soon as possible since it was due on December 24 (see earlier
e-mail below). However, you may want to touch base with her today.
Joan's note below says Maureen wanted to review the additional letters
before forwarding to us.

June

-----Original Message-----

From: Harris,
Elisa D. (NONPRO)
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2000 3:09 PM
To: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC); Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Cc: @NONPRO - Export
Controls; @CROSS - Cross Hatches; Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC)
Subject: RE:
Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
~~[SECRET]~~

i'm the only one in. expect we'll be at full strength
by tomorrow. can this wait till then??

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2000 3:05 PM
To: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Cc: @NONPRO - Export Controls; @CROSS - Cross
Hatches; Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC)
Subject: FW: Query re: Pkg. #9259:
India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report ~~[SECRET]~~

Maureen,

Are
you in the office today? Reminder we are still holding package until
receipt of additional letters.

June

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 5:57 PM
To: Edwards,
Joan K. (NONPRO)
Cc: @CROSS - Cross Hatches
Subject: RE: Query
re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
~~[SECRET]~~

Joan,

Okay

-- I will hold original package in ExecSec hold bin until tomorrow
and receipt of additional letters.

June

-----Original Message-----

From: Edwards,
Joan K. (NONPRO)
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 5:39 PM
To: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Subject: RE: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan
Entity List Congressional Report ~~[SECRET]~~

June, I've updated all
the letters and did labels. You'll get them in the a.m. Maureen
left for the evening because of a sick car pool member and she wants
to see the letters first before sending them across the street.

Cheers

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)

Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 4:44 PM

To: Tucker, Maureen E.

(NONPRO)

Cc: Edwards, Joan K. (NONPRO); @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary

Subject: RE:

Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report

~~[SECRET]~~

Importance: High

Sorry, -- here is the letter.

<<

File: 9259 letter to Hill.doc >>

-----Original Message-----

From: Tucker,

Maureen E. (NONPRO)

Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 4:37 PM

To: Bartlett,

L. June (EXSEC)

Cc: Edwards, Joan K. (NONPRO); Tucker, Maureen

E. (NONPRO)

Subject: FW: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity

List Congressional Report ~~[SECRET]~~

Importance: High

June -the

only thing attached to your email was the classified attachment -
the letter did not come through. Maureen.

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett,

L. June (EXSEC)

Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 4:30 PM

To: Tucker,

Maureen E. (NONPRO)

Cc: Samore, Gary S. (NONPRO); @LEGISLAT -

Legislative Affairs; Camp, Donald A. (NESA); @EXECSEC - Executive

Secretary; @NONPRO - Export Controls; @WWD - West Wing Desk

Subject: RE:

Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report

[UNCLASSIFIED]

Importance: High

Maureen,

Returned from Chuck
Brain's office this afternoon. Package will be ready to go to Staff
Secretary as soon as the additional letters are prepared -- only
the letter to Jesse Helms is now in the package going forward.

Can
your office prepare the additional letters to:

Gilman, Chairman,
Committee on International Relations
Bill Young, Chairman, Committee
on Appropriations
Ted Stevens, Chairman, Committee on Appropriations
Joseph
Biden, Committee on Foreign Relations
Sam Gejdenson, Committee on
International Relations
David Obey, Committee on Appropriations
Robert
C. Byrd, Committee on Appropriations

Reminder about the last sentence
in the second paragraph needs to be changed accordingly.

Please
use the version below:

<< File: 9259d.doc >>
Please return
letters via e-mail and I will print and add to package and forward
to Staff Secretary.

Thanks -- June

-----Original Message-----

From: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 4:16 PM
To: @EXECSEC
- Executive Secretary
Cc: Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO); Samore,
Gary S. (NONPRO); @LEGISLAT - Legislative Affairs; Camp, Donald
A. (NESA)
Subject: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity
List Congressional Report [UNCLASSIFIED]

Exec Sec - this package
is a report to Congress that was due on December 24, 1999. Can

anyone tell me if there's a problem with the package? We need to get it to Congress as soon as possible. Thanks very much - Maureen

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 01/27/2000 4:44:28 PM

FROM Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)

CLASSIFICATION ~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATIONREASON 1.5(b)(d)

DATECLASSIFIEDON 01/24/2000

DECLASSIFYON 01/24/2010

SUBJECT RE: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
~~[SECRET]~~

TO Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526 5/16/2017
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By 12 NARA, Date 2/7/2015
2006-1363-F(3)

CARBON_COPY Brackman, Stella S. (DEFENSE)
Edwards, Joan K. (NONPRO)
Harris, Elisa D. (NONPRO)
Samore, Gary S. (NONPRO)
Segal, Jack D. (NONPRO)
Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)
Gray, Wendy E. (NSA)
Hilliard, Brenda I. (EXSEC)
Joshi, M. Kay (EXSEC)
Millison, Cathy L. (EXSEC)
Wasserman, Elaine P. (EXSEC)
Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC)

TEXT_BODY

June, no, the new page will not require re-clearance; State is correcting how they've listed one entity in Appendix A. I will get you the substitute page as soon as I get it. Thanks - Maureen

-----Original

Message-----

From: Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)

Sent: Thursday,

January 27, 2000 4:14 PM

To: Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)

Cc: @NONPRO

- Export Controls; @CROSS - Cross Hatches; Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC)

Subject: RE:

Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report

~~[SECRET]~~

Maureen,

Yes -- letters received earlier today --
package went to Staff Secretary this afternoon. Please send us the
corrected page(s), and we will substitute in the package.

Does
this substitution require that the package go through NSC/WH LEG
coordination/approval process again?

June

-----Original Message-----

From: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2000 3:52 PM
To: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Cc: @NONPRO - Export Controls; @CROSS - Cross Hatches;
Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC)
Subject: RE: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan
Entity List Congressional Report ~~[SECRET]~~

June, these letters
were sent over to you late this morning I believe. However....State
has JUST called to say there is a mistake in one of the attachments
and they want to send over the corrected version. How can I make
the substitution please? Thanks - Maureen

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2000 3:12 PM
To: Harris,
Elisa D. (NONPRO)
Cc: @NONPRO - Export Controls; @CROSS - Cross
Hatches; Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC); Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Subject: RE:
Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
~~[SECRET]~~

I know Maureen wanted it signed and transmitted to Congress
as soon as possible since it was due on December 24 (see earlier
e-mail below). However, you may want to touch base with her today.
Joan's note below says Maureen wanted to review the additional letters
before forwarding to us.

June

-----Original Message-----

From: Harris,
Elisa D. (NONPRO)
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2000 3:09 PM
To: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC); Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Cc: @NONPRO - Export
Controls; @CROSS - Cross Hatches; Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC)
Subject: RE:
Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
~~[SECRET]~~

i'm the only one in. expect we'll be at full strength
by tomorrow. can this wait till then??

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2000 3:05 PM
To: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Cc: @NONPRO - Export Controls; @CROSS - Cross
Hatches; Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC)
Subject: FW: Query re: Pkg. #9259:
India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report ~~[SECRET]~~

Maureen,

Are
you in the office today? Reminder we are still holding package until
receipt of additional letters.

June

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 5:57 PM
To: Edwards,
Joan K. (NONPRO)
Cc: @CROSS - Cross Hatches
Subject: RE: Query
re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
~~[SECRET]~~

Joan,

Okay
-- I will hold original package in ExecSec hold bin until tomorrow
and receipt of additional letters.

June

-----Original Message-----

From: Edwards,
Joan K. (NONPRO)
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 5:39 PM
To: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Subject: RE: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan
Entity List Congressional Report ~~[SECRET]~~

June, I've updated all
the letters and did labels. You'll get them in the a.m. Maureen
left for the evening because of a sick car pool member and she wants
to see the letters first before sending them across the street.
Cheers

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)

Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 4:44 PM
To: Tucker, Maureen E.
(NONPRO)
Cc: Edwards, Joan K. (NONPRO); @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary
Subject: RE:
Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
~~[SECRET]~~
Importance: High

Sorry, -- here is the letter.

<<

File: 9259 letter to Hill.doc >>

-----Original Message-----

From: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 4:37 PM
To: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Cc: Edwards, Joan K. (NONPRO); Tucker, Maureen
E. (NONPRO)
Subject: FW: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity
List Congressional Report ~~[SECRET]~~
Importance: High

June -the
only thing attached to your email was the classified attachment -
the letter did not come through. Maureen.

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett,

L. June (EXSEC)

Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 4:30 PM

To: Tucker,

Maureen E. (NONPRO)

Cc: Samore, Gary S. (NONPRO); @LEGISLAT -

Legislative Affairs; Camp, Donald A. (NESA); @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary; @NONPRO - Export Controls; @WWD - West Wing Desk

Subject: RE:

Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report [UNCLASSIFIED]

Importance: High

Maureen,

Returned from Chuck

Brain's office this afternoon. Package will be ready to go to Staff Secretary as soon as the additional letters are prepared -- only the letter to Jesse Helms is now in the package going forward.

Can

your office prepare the additional letters to:

Gilman, Chairman,

Committee on International Relations

Bill Young, Chairman, Committee

on Appropriations

Ted Stevens, Chairman, Committee on Appropriations

Joseph

Biden, Committee on Foreign Relations

Sam Gejdenson, Committee on

International Relations

David Obey, Committee on Appropriations

Robert

C. Byrd, Committee on Appropriations

Reminder about the last sentence

in the second paragraph needs to be changed accordingly.

Please

use the version below:

<< File: 9259d.doc >>

Please return

letters via e-mail and I will print and add to package and forward to Staff Secretary.

Thanks -- June

-----Original Message-----

From: Tucker,

Maureen E. (NONPRO)

Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 4:16 PM

To: @EXECSEC

- Executive Secretary

Cc: Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO); Samore,

Gary S. (NONPRO); @LEGISLAT - Legislative Affairs; Camp, Donald
A. (NESA)

Subject: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity
List Congressional Report [UNCLASSIFIED]

Exec Sec - this package

is a report to Congress that was due on December 24, 1999. Can
anyone tell me if there's a problem with the package? We need to
get it to Congress as soon as possible. Thanks very much - Maureen

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	June Bartlett to Maureen Tucker. Subject: FW: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report. (12 pages)	02/01/2000	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
Exchange-Non-Record (Mar 97-Jan 01) ([Jesse Helms])
OA/Box Number: 630000

FOLDER TITLE:

[12/14/1999-02/28/2000]

2006-1363-F

vz5696

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 02/02/2000 1:40:18 PM
FROM Gray, Wendy E. (NSA)
CLASSIFICATION ~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATIONREASON 1.5(b)(d)
DATECLASSIFIEDON 01/24/2000
DECLASSIFYON 01/24/2010
SUBJECT RE: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
~~SECRET~~
TO Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526 5/16/2017
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By 12 NARA, Date 2/7/2015
2006-1363-F(3)

CARBON_COPY

TEXT_BODY

sorry -- not a madam -- don't make that kind of money. ha ha ha
(you're welcome)

-----Original Message-----

From: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Sent: Wednesday, February 02, 2000 1:36 PM
To: Gray,
Wendy E. (NSA)
Subject: RE: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan
Entity List Congressional Report ~~SECRET~~

Merci Madam.

-----Original
Message-----

From: Gray, Wendy E. (NSA)
Sent: Wednesday, February
02, 2000 1:35 PM
To: Gray, Wendy E. (NSA); Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Cc: @CROSS
- Cross Hatches
Subject: RE: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan
Entity List Congressional Report ~~SECRET~~

Just spoke with Ed.
The word in question is remaining in letters.

-----Original

Message-----

From: Gray, Wendy E. (NSA)

Sent: Wednesday, February

02, 2000 12:24 PM

To: Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)

Cc: @CROSS -

Cross Hatches

Subject: FW: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan

Entity List Congressional Report ~~[SECRET]~~

Hello!

The letters

are with the Clerk's Office. A question has been raised regarding the use of the word "parastatal" in the 1st paragraph, 13th line. Clerk's Office has checked in three dictionaries and believe they have an appropriate definition but would like to know your definition.

Thanks!

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)

Sent: Tuesday,

February 01, 2000 5:10 PM

To: Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)

Cc: @NONPRO

- Export Controls; @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary; @WWD - West Wing Desk

Subject: FW: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report ~~[SECRET]~~

Thanks -- Printed and revised copy will be provided to Staff Secretary.

June

-----Original Message-----

From: Tucker,

Maureen E. (NONPRO)

Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2000 5:00 PM

To: Bartlett,

L. June (EXSEC)

Cc: @NONPRO - Export Controls; @CROSS - Cross Hatches;

Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC); @WWD - West Wing Desk

Subject: RE: Query

re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report

~~[SECRET]~~

June,
here is Appendix A to the India list. Maureen

<< File: APPENDIX
A.doc >>

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett, L. June
(EXSEC)
Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2000 1:08 PM
To: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Cc: @NONPRO - Export Controls; @CROSS - Cross
Hatches; Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC); @WWD - West Wing Desk
Subject: RE:
Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
~~[SECRET]~~

Maureen,

Please send page to me and I will provide
the new page to Staff Secretary.

June

-----Original Message-----

From: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2000 1:02 PM
To: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Cc: @NONPRO - Export Controls; @CROSS - Cross Hatches;
Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC)
Subject: RE: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan
Entity List Congressional Report ~~[SECRET]~~

June, I have the substitute
page now. To whom should I send it please? Maureen

PS - the
new page is the second page to "Appendix A"

-----Original Message-----

From: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2000 4:44 PM
To: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Cc: @NONPRO - Export Controls; @CROSS - Cross Hatches;

Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC)
Subject: RE: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan
Entity List Congressional Report ~~{SECRET}~~

June, no, the new page
will not require re-clearance; State is correcting how they've listed
one entity in Appendix A. I will get you the substitute page as
soon as I get it. Thanks - Maureen

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2000 4:14 PM
To: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Cc: @NONPRO - Export Controls; @CROSS - Cross
Hatches; Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC)
Subject: RE: Query re: Pkg. #9259:
India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report ~~{SECRET}~~

Maureen,

Yes

-- letters received earlier today -- package went to Staff Secretary
this afternoon. Please send us the corrected page(s), and we will
substitute in the package.

Does this substitution require that
the package go through NSC/WH LEG coordination/approval process
again?

June

-----Original
Message-----

From: Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Sent: Thursday,
January 27, 2000 3:52 PM
To: Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)
Cc: @NONPRO
- Export Controls; @CROSS - Cross Hatches; Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC)
Subject: RE:
Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
~~{SECRET}~~

June, these letters were sent over to you late this morning
I believe. However....State has JUST called to say there is a mistake
in one of the attachments and they want to send over the corrected
version. How can I make the substitution please? Thanks - Maureen

-----Original

Message-----

From: Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)

Sent: Wednesday,

January 26, 2000 3:12 PM

To: Harris, Elisa D. (NONPRO)

Cc: @NONPRO

- Export Controls; @CROSS - Cross Hatches; Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC);
Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)

Subject: RE: Query re: Pkg. #9259:

India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report ~~[SECRET]~~

I know

Maureen wanted it signed and transmitted to Congress as soon as possible since it was due on December 24 (see earlier e-mail below). However, you may want to touch base with her today. Joan's note below says Maureen wanted to review the additional letters before forwarding to us.

June

-----Original Message-----

From: Harris, Elisa
D. (NONPRO)

Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2000 3:09 PM

To: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC); Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO)

Cc: @NONPRO - Export

Controls; @CROSS - Cross Hatches; Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC)

Subject: RE:

Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report

~~[SECRET]~~

i'm the only one in. expect we'll be at full strength
by tomorrow. can this wait till then??

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)

Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2000 3:05 PM

To: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)

Cc: @NONPRO - Export Controls; @CROSS - Cross
Hatches; Bash, Brooks L. (EXSEC)

Subject: FW: Query re: Pkg. #9259:

India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report ~~[SECRET]~~

Maureen,

Are

you in the office today? Reminder we are still holding package until receipt of additional letters.

June

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 5:57 PM
To: Edwards,
Joan K. (NONPRO)
Cc: @CROSS - Cross Hatches
Subject: RE: Query
re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
~~SECRET~~

Joan,

Okay

-- I will hold original package in ExecSec hold bin until tomorrow and receipt of additional letters.

June

-----Original Message-----

From: Edwards,
Joan K. (NONPRO)
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 5:39 PM
To: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Subject: RE: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan
Entity List Congressional Report ~~SECRET~~

June, I've updated all
the letters and did labels. You'll get them in the a.m. Maureen
left for the evening because of a sick car pool member and she wants
to see the letters first before sending them across the street.
Cheers

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett, L. June (EXSEC)

Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 4:44 PM

To: Tucker, Maureen E.
(NONPRO)

Cc: Edwards, Joan K. (NONPRO); @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary

Subject: RE:

Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report

~~SECRET~~

Importance: High

Sorry, -- here is the letter.

<<

File: 9259 letter to Hill.doc >>

-----Original Message-----

From: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 4:37 PM
To: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Cc: Edwards, Joan K. (NONPRO); Tucker, Maureen
E. (NONPRO)
Subject: FW: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity
List Congressional Report ~~[SECRET]~~
Importance: High

June -the
only thing attached to your email was the classified attachment -
the letter did not come through. Maureen.

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartlett,
L. June (EXSEC)
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 4:30 PM
To: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Cc: Samore, Gary S. (NONPRO); @LEGISLAT -
Legislative Affairs; Camp, Donald A. (NESA); @EXECSEC - Executive
Secretary; @NONPRO - Export Controls; @WWD - West Wing Desk
Subject: RE:
Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity List Congressional Report
[UNCLASSIFIED]
Importance: High

Maureen,

Returned from Chuck
Brain's office this afternoon. Package will be ready to go to Staff
Secretary as soon as the additional letters are prepared -- only
the letter to Jesse Helms is now in the package going forward.

Can
your office prepare the additional letters to:

Gilman, Chairman,
Committee on International Relations
Bill Young, Chairman, Committee
on Appropriations

Ted Stevens, Chairman, Committee on Appropriations
Joseph
Biden, Committee on Foreign Relations
Sam Gejdenson, Committee on
International Relations
David Obey, Committee on Appropriations
Robert
C. Byrd, Committee on Appropriations

Reminder about the last sentence
in the second paragraph needs to be changed accordingly.

Please
use the version below:

<< File: 9259d.doc >>

Please return
letters via e-mail and I will print and add to package and forward
to Staff Secretary.

Thanks -- June

-----Original Message-----

From: Tucker,
Maureen E. (NONPRO)
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2000 4:16 PM
To: @EXECSEC
- Executive Secretary
Cc: Tucker, Maureen E. (NONPRO); Samore,
Gary S. (NONPRO); @LEGISLAT - Legislative Affairs; Camp, Donald
A. (NESA)
Subject: Query re: Pkg. #9259: India/Pakistan Entity
List Congressional Report [UNCLASSIFIED]

Exec Sec - this package
is a report to Congress that was due on December 24, 1999. Can
anyone tell me if there's a problem with the package? We need to
get it to Congress as soon as possible. Thanks very much - Maureen

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 02/15/2000 9:51:56 AM
FROM Witkowski, Anne A. (DEFENSE)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Accomplishments Project -- Round II [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Norland, Richard B. (EUR)

CARBON_COPY Yee, Hoyt B. (EUR)
Blinken, Antony J. (EUR)
Crawford, Bernard T. (EUR/INTERN)
Kass, Nicholas S. (EUR)
Maxfield, Nancy H. (EUR)
Munter, Cameron P. (EUR)
Norland, Richard B. (EUR)
Quinn, Mary E. (EUR)
Yee, Hoyt B. (EUR)

TEXT_BODY Attached!

-----Original Message-----

From: Norland,
Richard B. (EUR)
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2000 9:50 AM
To: Witkowski,
Anne A. (DEFENSE)
Cc: Yee, Hoyt B. (EUR); @BLINKEN
Subject: RE:
Accomplishments Project -- Round II [UNCLASSIFIED]

Anne, do you
still have the language from that letter you and Phil Gordon worked
on to Sen. Helms about the Istanbul Summit -- it had a handy-dandy
couple of lines on OSCE in the post-Istanbul environment that might
help for the Accomplishments Project, but I don't seem to have a
copy of the final version. If you could point me in the right direction
to find that language, I'd be immensely grateful. Dick.

-----Original
Message-----

From: Yee, Hoyt B. (EUR)
Sent: Monday, February
14, 2000 8:29 PM
To: @BLINKEN
Subject: FW: Accomplishments Project
-- Round II [UNCLASSIFIED]

Dick, I fear Jim may want language
on OSCE for the dreaded Accomplishments Project. See marked up
text
on "Strengthening NATO and European Security" in P drive. You
may
be our best interpreter of Jim's wishes. Is there really a story
(on OSCE) to tell here? Hoyt

-----Original Message-----

From: Huff,
Lindsey E. (PRESS)
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2000 8:06 PM
To: Yee,
Hoyt B. (EUR)
Cc: @BLINKEN
Subject: RE: Accomplishments Project
-- Round II [UNCLASSIFIED]

A fine question, indeed... and, I wish
I could answer it. Jim's cryptic notes have been a challenge to
decipher. The "OSCE" is written just under the box on the first
page, next to "A Record of Accomplishment."

-----Original Message-----

From: Yee,
Hoyt B. (EUR)
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2000 7:44 PM
To: Huff,
Lindsey E. (PRESS)
Cc: @BLINKEN
Subject: RE: Accomplishments Project
-- Round II [UNCLASSIFIED]

Lindsay, any help in clarifying last
line in highlight box of "Strengthening NATO" section ("OSCE, PFP
not just Russia, former Soviet States") would be appreciated. Do
you think Jim wanted us to add a section on OSCE? Hoyt

-----Original
Message-----

From: Huff, Lindsey E. (PRESS)
Sent: Monday, February
14, 2000 7:27 PM

To: @AFRICA - African Affairs; @ASIA - Asian Affairs;
@DEFENSE - Defense Policy; @ENVIRON - Environmental;
@EUROPE - European
Affairs; @HEALTH - International Health Affairs; @INTERAM -
Inter-American;
@INTECON - Economic Affairs; @MULTILAT - Multilateral and
Humanitarian
Affairs; @NESASIA - NE/South Asia; @NONPRO - Export
Controls; @OSTP
- Office Science Tech; @RUSSIA - Russia/Ukraine; @SEE -
Southeast
European Affairs; @TRANSNATIONAL - Transnational Threats
Cc: @NSA
- Natl Security Advisor; @RUDMAN; @PRESS - Public Affairs
Subject: Accomplishments
Project -- Round II [UNCLASSIFIED]

Thank you to all for your hard
work on the accomplishments project. Jim Steinberg has reviewed
your documents and our office has incorporated his edits, using the
edit function. Please remember, these are Jim's edits, not ours.
He underlined many passages (we show as highlighted in yellow),
which can mean expand, check or clarify.

Another point to note
-- the "Presidential Leadership" section is misnamed, as it should
contain information about not only contributions of the President,
but the Vice President and the Cabinet as well. We've renamed it
"Timeline of Accomplishments". Please update your material to
reflect
this change.

Please provide requested edits no later than COB
Wednesday, February 16. After this round, the book will go to SRB.
Thank you again for your contribution to this important project.

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT CFE Helms 2.doc

January 20, 2000

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Thank you for your letters concerning Chechnya, the recent summit of the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE) in Istanbul, and the adapted Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe (CFE). I share your concerns about the grave situation in the North Caucasus and Russia's military actions there, including Russia's noncompliance with CFE Treaty flank limits.

We are continuing our efforts to seek an end to the tragic conflict in Chechnya.

In my recent meetings with former President Yeltsin in Istanbul and then-Prime Minister Putin in Oslo, I have stressed that there can be no purely military solution to the conflict in Chechnya. In public and in private, I have pressed the Russians to take meaningful steps toward a political solution, either through

direct talks with responsible Chechen leaders or with the assistance of a third-party such as the OSCE. We believe that both sides should implement an immediate cease-fire, halt indiscriminate attacks against innocent civilians, ensure freedom of movement for people displaced by the fighting, and cooperate with international humanitarian relief efforts.

At the Istanbul Summit, OSCE members, including Russia, signed a new Charter for European Security that recognizes European security in the 21st century increasingly depends on building security within societies as well as security between states. In the summit declaration, all OSCE states recognized that a political solution to the Chechnya conflict is essential and that the OSCE can contribute to achieving that goal. We will continue to work with the OSCE Chairman-in-Office to advance OSCE's role in promoting such a solution.

It is in this context that I joined the other 29 CFE Parties in signing the CFE Adaptation Agreement. The adapted Treaty is in the interest of the United States

and NATO, and it was essential to lock in the commitment of other nations to its terms. The adapted Treaty will replace obsolete bloc-to-bloc limits with nationally based ceilings. The Agreement will provide for enhanced transparency of military forces, through increased information and more inspections. It will open the Treaty to accession by other European states, including the Baltic nations and Slovenia, an objective identified by the Senate. And, it will strengthen the requirements for host nation consent to the presence of foreign forces, which speaks directly to the interests of a number of non-NATO nations, including Ukraine, Azerbaijan, Moldova, and Georgia.

Among the summit's most important outcomes were CFE-related commitments that U.S.

negotiators facilitated on Russian force withdrawals from Georgia and Moldova, addressing one of your key concerns. These commitments are reflected in the CFE Final Act, a document associated with the Adaptation Agreement, and in the OSCE Summit Declaration. Concerning Georgia, it was agreed that Russian Treaty Limited Equipment will be substantially reduced by December 31, 2000, and two Russian bases there will be closed by July 1, 2001. With respect to Moldova, it was agreed that all Russian Treaty Limited Equipment will be withdrawn and/or destroyed by the end of 2001, and all Russian forces will be withdrawn by the end

of 2002. Both Georgia and Moldova made effective use of the Istanbul Summit to secure these commitments from Russia. We fully supported that strategy by directly engaging senior Russian leadership as part of our effort to successfully

conclude CFE adaptation.

Russia's noncompliance with CFE flank limits is a matter of very serious concern.

We have pressed the Russians repeatedly on this point and the Russian leadership

has reaffirmed its commitment to all Treaty obligations and in particular to agreed flank levels. It is essential that we have confidence there will be real compliance. That is why, upon signing the CFE Adaptation Agreement, I made clear

that I will only submit the Agreement to the Senate for advice and consent to ratification when Russian forces have in fact been reduced to the levels set forth in the adapted Treaty. Our Allies agree on the importance of these reductions to bringing an adapted Treaty into force. In this way, as part of our

broader diplomatic approach, we continue to send a clear message to Russia to reduce its forces on its southern flank.

Again, thank you for writing. We will continue to consult closely with the Senate on these important CFE matters.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Jesse Helms
Chairman, Committee on Foreign Relations
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510-3301

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 02/23/2000 9:20:35 AM
FROM Norland, Richard B. (EUR)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Senate Resolution on N. Ireland? [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Shapiro, Daniel B. (LEGIS)
Burrell, Christina L. (LEGIS)
Lackey, Miles M. (LEGIS)
Shapiro, Daniel B. (LEGIS)
Tavlarides, Mark J. (LEGIS)

CARBON_COPY Blinken, Antony J. (EUR)
Crawford, Bernard T. (EUR/INTERN)
Kass, Nicholas S. (EUR)
Maxfield, Nancy H. (EUR)
Munter, Cameron P. (EUR)
Norland, Richard B. (EUR)
Quinn, Mary E. (EUR)
Yee, Hoyt B. (EUR)
Crocker, Bathsheba N. (NSA)
Moretz, Sheila K. (NSA)
Patterson, Pamela L. (NSA)
Scott-Perez, Marilyn L. (NSA)
Storey, Sharon V. (NSA)
Sutphen, Mona K. (NSA)

TEXT_BODY

I agree -- although I don't think it's the end of the world to have the Senate express a balanced desire to see the GFA implemented, including decommissioning. What would be bad is to associate the White House with a very unbalanced resolution that only points the finger at the IRA -- because this would just cause them to dig deeper into their hole.

-----Original Message-----

From: Shapiro,
Daniel B. (LEGIS)
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2000 9:13 AM
To: Norland,
Richard B. (EUR); @LEGISLAT - Legislative Affairs
Cc: @BLINKEN;
Steinberg, James
Subject: RE: Senate Resolution on N. Ireland? [UNCLASSIFIED]

Thanks.

I want to talk with Sharon about this this morning. Clearly theirs is preferable, but it seems to me that no resolution is preferable still. I want to be careful that we don't inadvertently make passage of some resolution more likely when we could have gotten away with none.

-----Original Message-----

From: Norland, Richard B. (EUR)

Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2000 8:15 AM

To: Shapiro, Daniel

B. (LEGIS); @LEGISLAT - Legislative Affairs

Cc: @BLINKEN; Steinberg,

James

Subject: RE: Senate Resolution on N. Ireland? [UNCLASSIFIED]

I'll

put a copy in your box. Kennedy's draft seeks to be more balanced than Helms' -- rather than just calling on the IRA to decommission weapons, it calls for full implementation of all aspects of the Good Friday Accord. It also:

- calls on IRA and loyalist paramilitaries to work with de Chastelain
- calls on British government to demilitarize Northern Ireland
- calls on British government to implement Patten Report on police reform
- calls on British government to immediately restore political institutions suspended last week.

-----Original

Message-----

From: Shapiro, Daniel B. (LEGIS)

Sent: Tuesday,

February 22, 2000 7:42 PM

To: Norland, Richard B. (EUR); @LEGISLAT

- Legislative Affairs

Cc: @BLINKEN; Steinberg, James

Subject: RE:

Senate Resolution on N. Ireland? [UNCLASSIFIED]

Rumors of introduction

are apparently accurate, but think Kennedy and Dodd could prevent it coming to the floor for some period of time, although Helms could always bring it up in SFRC. If you have Kennedy's draft, would love to hear it.

-----Original Message-----

From: Norland, Richard
B. (EUR)
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2000 5:24 PM
To: @LEGISLAT
- Legislative Affairs
Cc: @BLINKEN; Steinberg, James
Subject: Senate
Resolution on N. Ireland? [UNCLASSIFIED]

I hear rumors that Jesse
Helms intends to introduce a resolution calling for IRA decommissioning
of weapons, and that Sen. Kennedy may try to draft a more balanced
resolution in case the matter comes up. Is there in fact any likelihood
that such a resolution might be debated, that you've picked up?
thanks. Dick.

Exchange Mail

DATE-TIME 02/28/2000 10:11:48 AM
FROM Tavlarides, Mark J. (LEGIS)
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: For Miles, Steve N. [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Quinn, Mary E. (EUR)
Kass, Nicholas S. (EUR)

CARBON_COPY Burrell, Christina L. (LEGIS)
Lackey, Miles M. (LEGIS)
Shapiro, Daniel B. (LEGIS)
Tavlarides, Mark J. (LEGIS)
Feldman, Daniel F. (MULTI)
Guarnieri, Valerie N. (MULTI)
Kale, Dora A. (MULTI)
McClean, Matthew K. (MULTI)
Naplan, Steven J. (MULTI)
Patten, Wendy L. (MULTI)
Schwartz, Eric P. (MULTI)
Vaccaro, Jonathan M. (Matt) (MULTI)
Wilcox, Richard M. (MULTI)

TEXT_BODY

Leg. clears with one minor change to POTUS memo.

-----Original

Message-----

From: Quinn, Mary E. (EUR)

Sent: Friday, February

25, 2000 6:56 PM

To: Tavlarides, Mark J. (LEGIS); @LEGISLAT - Legislative
Affairs; @MULTILAT - Multilateral and Humanitarian Affairs

Subject: RE:

For Miles, Steve N. [UNCLASSIFIED]

-----Original Message-----

From:

Tavlarides, Mark J. (LEGIS)

Sent: Friday, February 25, 2000

6:55 PM

To: Quinn, Mary E. (EUR); @LEGISLAT - Legislative Affairs;
@MULTILAT - Multilateral and Humanitarian Affairs

Subject: RE:
For Miles, Steve N. [UNCLASSIFIED]

Please resend.

-----Original
Message-----

From: Quinn, Mary E. (EUR)
Sent: Friday, February
25, 2000 6:49 PM
To: @LEGISLAT - Legislative Affairs; @MULTILAT
- Multilateral and Humanitarian Affairs
Subject: For Miles, Steve
N. [UNCLASSIFIED]

Have you cleared on the Report to Congress on
Cyprus for Dec. and Jan. I can resend if you like. Thanks.

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT 0996SRB.doc

February 18, 2000

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR SAMUEL R. BERGER

THROUGH: ANTONY BLINKEN

FROM: NICHOLAS KASS

SUBJECT: President's Report to Congress on Cyprus

Attached at Tab I is your memorandum to the President transmitting
his bimonthly
Report to Congress on Cyprus, submitted in accordance with the
International
Security Assistance Act of 1978. This report covers the December
1999-January
2000 timeframe and should be submitted as soon as possible.

Concurrences by: Chuck Allen, Miles Lackey, Steven Naplan

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum to the President at Tab I.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum to the President

Tab A Transmittal Letters to Congress

Tab B Report to Congress

Tab II Incoming from State

1

0996

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT 0996potus.doc

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

THROUGH: THE EXECUTIVE CLERK

FROM: SAMUEL BERGER
CHARLES M. BRAIN

SUBJECT: Report to Congress on Cyprus

Purpose

Forward the bimonthly Presidential Report to the Congress on Cyprus.

Background

The International Security Assistance Act of 1978 requires that you submit a bimonthly report on progress made during the period toward "the conclusion of a negotiated solution of the Cyprus problem," including any relevant reports prepared by the UN Secretary General for the Security Council. The Department of

State has prepared for your approval a draft Presidential Report on Cyprus, which

is at Tab B. At Tab A are transmittal letters to the Speaker of the

House of
Representatives and the Chairman of the Senate Committee on
Foreign Relations.

The previous report covered progress during October-November
1999. The current
report covers the period December 1999-January 2000.

The United States remains actively engaged in efforts to promote
negotiations, under United Nations auspices and without
preconditions, to settle
the Cyprus dispute.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the letters to the Congress at Tab A.

Attachments
Tab A Transmittal Letters to Congress
Tab B Report to Congress
2

2

0996

cc: Vice President
Chief of Staff

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT 0996helms.doc

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In accordance with Public Law 95-384 (22 U.S.C. 2373(c)), I submit
to you a
report on progress toward a negotiated settlement of the Cyprus
question covering

the period December 1, 1999, to January 31, 2000. The previous
submission
covered events during October and November 1999.

The United Nations convened twelve days of intensive talks to resolve the Cyprus dispute in early December. United Nations Secretary-General Annan reported that both parties engaged seriously on the whole range of issues that divide them. My

Special Envoy for Cyprus, Alfred H. Moses, and his team provided critical diplomatic support for the United Nations' efforts to reach a comprehensive settlement.

Talks resumed in Geneva on January 31 where United Nations Special Advisor Alvaro

de Soto held a series of meetings with both sides and will continue discussions on May 23 in New York. We welcome this important process, and we will continue to work with the United Nations to reach a solution for all Cypriots based on a bizonal, bicomunal federation.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Jesse Helms
Chairman
Committee on Foreign Relations
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Enclosure

2

Greek Cypriot and Turkish Cypriots leaders came to New York December 3-15 for talks held under the offices of the Secretary-General of the United Nations regarding the Cyprus issue. United Nations Secretary-General Kofi Annan, and subsequently his Special Advisor on Cyprus, Alvaro de Soto, presided over a series of separate meetings with the leaders of the two communities "in order to prepare the ground for meaningful negotiations leading to a comprehensive settlement on Cyprus." United States Special Presidential Emissary for Cyprus Alfred H. Moses, Special Coordinator for Cyprus Thomas G. Weston and United States Ambassador to Cyprus Donald K. Bandler were in New York during the U.N.-sponsored talks and were in close consultation with the Secretary-General and Mr. de Soto. Complementing the UN effort, United States officials held a series of separate meetings with the two leaders as well as Greek and Turkish officials in an effort to find a just and lasting solution for all Cypriots. Mr. de Soto announced that discussions would resume with both parties at the end of January.

On December 15, the United Nations Security Council adopted Resolution 1283, which renewed the U.N. Peacekeeping Forces in Cyprus (UNFICYP) for another six-month period ending on June 30. A copy of the resolution is enclosed.

Also on December 15, the United Nations Secretary-General issued an addendum to his November 29 UNFICYP report informing the United Nations Security Council of the position of the relevant parties concerning the extension of UNFICYP's mandate. A copy of this addendum is enclosed.

On January 17, the United Nations announced the first phase of a restoration project to restore the Apostolos Andreas Monastery and the Hala Sultan Mosque, two highly significant cultural sites on Cyprus. Economic Support Funds provided

by the United States to the United Nations Development Program will be used for this important initiative.

Ambassador Bandler met with President Clerides on January 20 in preparation for the upcoming U.N.-sponsored talks in Geneva. They discussed ways to maintain momentum in the settlement process.

On January 21, Ambassador Bandler met with Turkish Cypriot leader Denktash to discuss the January 31 proximity talks in Geneva as well. The Ambassador urged Mr. Denktash to continue on the path that began in New York, and he underscored the United States commitment to finding a just and lasting solution that addresses the legitimate needs of both sides.

Mr. Weston traveled to the Hague, Paris and Berlin for consultations with various

officials on the Cyprus issue January 27-29. In all cities, Mr. Weston discussed the January 31 U.N.-sponsored proximity talks and the United States efforts in support of reaching a Cyprus solution.

Secretary of State Madeleine K. Albright met separately with Greek Foreign Minister George Papandreou and Turkish Foreign Minister Ismail Cem in Davos, Switzerland on January 29. Secretary Albright commended both of her counterparts

for increased cooperation and efforts to resolve the Cyprus issue.

In Geneva on January 31, United Nations Secretary General Annan resumed the Cyprus talks. United Nations Secretary-General Annan and Special Advisor de Soto

continued discussions with the leaders of the two communities that began in New York in December. Special Emissary Moses, Special Coordinator Weston and Ambassador Bandler were also in Geneva and held consultations with Mr. de Soto as

well as separate meetings with both sides. On February 8, Mr. de Soto reported that following a total of fourteen meetings with both parties, the process is on track and will resume on May 23 in New York.

2

TRANSLATED_ATTACHMENT 0996 hastert.doc

Dear Mr. Speaker:

In accordance with Public Law 95-384 (22 U.S.C. 2373(c)), I submit to you a report on progress toward a negotiated settlement of the Cyprus question covering

the period December 1, 1999, to January 31, 2000. The previous submission covered events during October and November 1999.

The United Nations convened twelve days of intensive talks to resolve the Cyprus dispute in early December. United Nations Secretary-General Annan reported that both parties engaged seriously on the whole range of issues that divide them. My

Special Envoy for Cyprus, Alfred H. Moses, and his team provided critical diplomatic support for the United Nation's efforts to reach a comprehensive settlement.

Talks resumed in Geneva on January 31 where United Nations Special

Advisor Alvaro

de Soto held a series of meetings with both sides and will continue discussions on May 23 in New York. We welcome this important process, and we will continue to work with the United Nations to reach a solution for all Cypriots based on a bizonal, bicomunal federation.

Sincerely,

The Honorable J. Dennis Hastert
Speaker of the
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Enclosure

1

2