

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Robert Malley to Kathleen Cooper. Subject: Cuba. (9 pages)	07/28/1995	P1/b(1)
002. email	Joseph Sestak to Steven Andreasen, Robert Bell, Sandra Kelly et al. Subject: FW: Goals Update. (14 pages)	08/11/1995	P1/b(1)
003. email	Richard Nuccio to Robert Malley. Subject: Helms/Burton Memo. (11 pages)	08/18/1995	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Senator Helms])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[07/28/1995-08/18/1995]

2006-1363-F
vz5604

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	Robert Malley to Kathleen Cooper. Subject: Cuba. (9 pages)	07/28/1995	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Senator Helms])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[07/28/1995-08/18/1995]

2006-1363-F

vz5604

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 01 August 95 15:01
FROM Tyler, John T.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT Subject: RE: @legislatRE: S.908 Cloture Vote Question [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Danvers, William C.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

Good grief!

From: Danvers, William C.
To: Tyler, J. T. (Tim)
CC: /R, Record at A1; @DEMOCRACY - Democracy Affairs; @GLOBAL - Global Affairs; @LEGISLAT-Legislative Affairs; @PRESS - Public Affairs
Subject: @legislatRE: S.908 Cloture Vote Question [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Tuesday, August 01, 1995 02:54 PM

It is my understanding that by tradition, he votes for cloture.

From: Tyler, J. T. (Tim)
To: Danvers, William C.
CC: /R, Record at A1; @DEMOCRACY - Democracy Affairs; @GLOBAL - Global Affairs; @LEGISLAT-Legislative Affairs; @PRESS - Public Affairs
Subject: S.908 Cloture Vote Question[UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Tuesday, August 01, 1995 02:46 PM

Bill... I watched part of the debate and the final cloture vote on S.908. While the 55 to 45 vote outcome was the one desired, I wonder if you can explain why Senator Pell voted with the Republicans rather than with the Democrats? Senator Helms commented that he appreciated his friend and colleague's two votes for cloture!

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002. email	Joseph Sestak to Steven Andreasen, Robert Bell, Sandra Kelly et al. Subject: FW: Goals Update. (14 pages)	08/11/1995	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Senator Helms])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[07/28/1995-08/18/1995]

2006-1363-F
vz5604

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 01 August 95 19:23
FROM Danvers, William C.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT Subject: FW: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]

TO

Andreasen, Steven P.
Andricos, George M.
Aoki, Steven N.
Appel, Edward J.
Argarin, Jocelyn D.
Armstrong, Fulton T.
Atkin, Timothy J.
Atlas, Edwin L.
Baker, Jane E.
Baker, James E.
Bakke, Kyle D.
Baldwin, Kenneth
Bassett, Leslie A.
Bass, Peter E.
Battenfield, Pat
Beardsley, Tyler S.
Beers, Rand R.
Behring, Deanna M.
Bellamy, Ralph C.
Bell, Robert G.
Benjamin, Daniel
Bieda, James M.
Birkland, Andrea L.
Blacker, Coit D.
Blieberger, Marion H.
Blinken, Antony J.
Bolinski, Charlene C.
Boorstin, Robert O.
Boright, John
Bradley, Amelia J.
Breeland, Jocelyn G
Bresnahan, Gary E.
Broadway, Jamuna
Brown, Dallas
Bruton, Robert G.
Bryan, Lloyd D.
Burrell, Christina L.
Kevin J. Cadieux
Canty, Mary T.

Carter, Michael E.
Cicio, Kristen K.
Clark, Bronya
Clarke, Richard A.
Claussen, Eileen B.
Cooper, Kathleen H.
Crispell, Thomas G.
Daniel, Patty A.
Danvers, William C.
Darby, Melanie B.
Darnes, Victoria J.
Debortoli, Michelle A.
Deshazer, Macarthur X.
Dimel, Marsha L.
Dohse, Fred J.
Dragone, Karen D.
Drew, Samuel N.
Dupuy, Shawn L.
Dymersky, Debra L.
Eddy, Randolph P.
Edwards, Joan
Emery, Mary C.
Evans, Paul A.
Feinberg, Richard E.
Fetig, James L.
Ficklin, John W.
Flessas, Daniel
Fried, Daniel
Friedrich, M. K.
Froman, Michael B.
Fuerth, Leon S.
Fuhrman, Thomas A.
Gardner, Anthony
Gates, Brian K.
Genton, Regina A.
George, Christopher
Gerstner, Christina L.
Glinski, David
Gorsuch, Robert P.
Gray, Wendy
Guekel, Kathi A.
Hale, John E.
Hall, Wilma G.
Halperin, Morton H.
Hamilton, Roy A.
Hammond, Cathy J.
Hammonds, Lisa W.
Harmon, Joyce A.
Harris, Elisa D.
Harrison, Lyle M.

Hawes, David J.
Hawkins, Ardenia R.
Herrington, David E.
Heslin, Sheila N.
Hilliard, Brenda I.
Hill, Roseanne M.
Hilty, JoAnne M.
Hofmann, Stephen D.
Houck, Baerbel K.
Hunerwadel, Joan S.
Jensen, Noelle B.
Jones, Steven R.
Joshi, M. Kay
Kammerer, Kelly C.
Kelly, Sandra L.
Kerr, Andrew S.
Ketterer, James P.
Kreczko, Alan J.
Kyle, Robert D.
Laipson, Ellen B.
Leary, William H.
Lindsey, Wanda
Litwak, Robert C.
Lorin, Matthew E.
Lowry, Jay E.
Mack, Kathryn S.
Malley, Robert
Marmol, Madelyn P.
Marshall, Betty A.
Marshall, Dana M.
Martinez, Alejandro
Stefan T. Mauzy
Maxfield, Nancy H.
Mazzuchi, Anthony D.
McCormick, Shawn H.
McIntyre, Stuart H.
Merchant, Brian
Miller, Matthew E.
Millison, Cathy L.
Mitchell, Calvin A.
Mitsler, Elaine M.
Miyaoka, Lester H.
Moody, Angelyn D.
Moore, Lori A.
Neil, M. Elise
Noetzel, Jon C.
Norman, Marcia G.
Nuccio, Richard A.
O'Loughlin, Katherine
Parco, Jim E.

Gwyn M. Parker
Parramore, Carl W.
Parris, Mark R.
Pascual, Carlos E.
Pease, Bruce E.
Peggins, John W.
Peters, Mary A.
Pifer, Steven K.
Poneman, Daniel B.
Poppiti, Victoria L.
Porter, Gidell
Quinn, Mary E.
Ramsay, Martha S.
Reade, Evan G.
Realuyo, Celina B.
Reed, George
Rice, Susan E.
Roth, Stanley O.
Roundtree, Beverly J.
Salvetti, Lisa M.
Sanborn, Daniel R. K.
Satterfield, David M.
Saunders, John W.
Saunders, Richard M.
Schifter, Richard
Schmidt, John R.
Schmidt, Sandra E.
Schwartz, Eric P.
Sculimbrene, Thomas A.
Seaton, James B.
Seeley, Charlotte P.
Sens, Andrew D.
Sestak, Joseph A.
Sevigny, Theodore T.
Sheehan, Michael A.
Sigler, Ralph
Simon, Steven N.
Simons, James R.
Stauffer, Helen L.
Stern, Jessica E.
Sterns, Crayton L.
Suettinger, Robert L.
Sullivan, Kathryn
Sulser, Jack A.
Tarullo, Daniel K.
Marcel T. Thomas
Turner, Joe T.
Tyler, John T.
Unrue, Michael M.
Van DeCar, Karen J.

Van Tassel, David S.
Veit, Katherine M.
Vershow, Alexander R.
Verville, Elizabeth G.
Wadsworth, Valon J.
Wales, Jane
Walsh, Helen C.
Ward, JoAnn X.
Weber, Paul A.
Wilhelm, Richard J.
Wilson, Marilyn E.
Wise, William M.
Witkowsky, Anne A.
Woolston, Ann E.
Wooton, Kevin B.
Wozniak, Natalie S.
Wright, Allison M.
Yorkdale, Glenn H.

CARBON_COPY

NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE

TEXT_BODY

From: Danvers, William C.
To: @up; @nsa
CC: Record; @legislat; fuerth; wise
Subject: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Tuesday, August 01, 1995 07:21 PM

Note for Tony, Nancy and Sandy:

The Bosnia vote was an improvement over the last go around and puts us within striking distance of a veto override.

DoD auth should come to the Senate floor in the next couple of days, could be as soon as tomorrow.

DoD approps bill will be taken up in House tomorrow. They began debate last evening for about 10 minutes.

S. 908 was pulled from the House floor today after two cloture votes failed. Sen. Helms was quite upset, and indicated that he would bring the bill up again. Dole put the bill back on the calendar. While this is not a guarantee it will come up, it means that it could.

HIRC hearings on Middle East tomorrow and SCt. hearing on nuclear developments in Cuba.

State is working with Treasury on funding options in light of the fact we should be getting more money from the Senate for ops SCt.

Christopher is going to send an ABM letter to the Hill. State wants Bob to take look at it. They want to send it as soon as possible.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 01 August 95 19:27

FROM Danvers, William C.

CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED

SUBJECT Subject: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]

TO Baker, Jane E.
Bass, Peter E.
Cicio, Kristen K.
Darby, Melanie B.
Dohse, Fred J.
Emery, Mary C.
Gray, Wendy
Hall, Wilma G.
Harmon, Joyce A.
Hawkins, Ardenia R.
Joshi, M. Kay
Millison, Cathy L.
Sens, Andrew D.
Veit, Katherine M.

CARBON_COPY Andricos, George M.
Burrell, Christina L.
Danvers, William C.
Fuerth, Leon S.
Wise, William M.

TEXT_BODY

Note for Tony, Nancy and Sandy:

The Bosnia vote was an improvement over the last go around and puts us within striking distance of a veto override. The President made a pitch to the Congressional Hispanic Caucus this afternoon on Bosnia; State and DoD made additional calls. Altogether in the past week, we had three cabinet or subcabinet briefings with the House, in addition to a Presidential meeting with key House members. Working the Senate is the next big task. Tony's offer to hit key Senators is crucial.

On the veto, Pat's office does not think there will be a problem-- i.e. there does not have to be an override vote in the Senate next week unless we want one (which I assume we don't).

DoD auth should come to the Senate floor in the next couple of days, could be as soon as tomorrow. Understand that there may be Dem hold on the bill. Unclear why. Sen. Brown likely to put Pakistan amend on DoD bill. Sen. Glenn may lead the opposition to this amend. Sandy may want to begin calls

on Pressler tomorrow.

DoD approps bill will be taken up in House tomorrow. They began debate last evening for about 10 minutes.

On MEPFA, State wants to let Helms to cool off a bit. The House has guaranteed State that they will take up a short term extension before they adjourn. State believes (and hopes) that the Senate will pass a short term extension as well. Hopefully this will play itself out. I will continue to keep in close touch with State on this.

S. 908 was pulled from the House floor today after two cloture votes failed. Sen. Helms was quite upset, and indicated that he would bring the bill up again. Dole put the bill back on the calendar. While this is not a guarantee it will come up, it means that it could. My hunch is that it will be difficult to bring it up in Sept. when there is likely to be a very full Senate calendar. Helms has vowed to shut down the SFRC, all nominations, etc. We will have to wait a few days to see what happens next. He will try to put some foreign policy amends on the DoD bill. Most do not believe he will put consolidation on DoD.

HIRC hearings on Middle East tomorrow and SCt. hearing on nuclear developments in Cuba.

State is working with Treasury on funding options in light of the fact we should be getting more money from the Senate for ops SCt.

Christopher is going to send an ABM letter to the Hill. State wants Bob to take look at it. They want to send it as soon as possible.

On Jordan drawdown-- there are some substantive problems that have just come about. Apparently CBO believes these funds have to be offset (this is why it was taken off the State Auth bill). In addition, there is concern on the Hill as to whether this is the last (or first) of this type of request for Jordan. I have told State that we want to help, and they will discuss options and what needs to be done and get back to us. I will keep in touch with State (and DoD).

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 02 August 95 07:55
FROM Schifter, Richard
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT Subject: RE: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Danvers, William C.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

Could you send me the roll call? I want to see whether there is anyone that I can talk to.

From: Danvers, William C.
To: @NSC - NSC Staff; @VP - VP Natl Security Affairs
CC: /R, Record at A1; @LEGISLAT-Legislative Affairs
Subject: FW: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Tuesday, August 01, 1995 07:23 PM

From: Danvers, William C.
To: @up; @nsa
CC: Record; @legislat; fuerth; wise
Subject: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Tuesday, August 01, 1995 07:21 PM

Note for Tony, Nancy and Sandy:

The Bosnia vote was an improvement over the last go around and puts us within striking distance of a veto override.

DoD auth should come to the Senate floor in the next couple of days, could be as soon as tomorrow.

DoD approps bill will be taken up in House tomorrow. They began debate last evening for about 10 minutes.

S. 908 was pulled from the House floor today after two cloture votes failed. Sen. Helms was quite upset, and indicated that he would bring the bill up again. Dole put the bill back on the calendar. While this is not a guarantee it will come up, it means that it could.

HIRC hearings on Middle East tomorrow and SCt. hearing on nuclear developments in Cuba.

State is working with Treasury on funding options in light of the fact we should be getting more money from the Senate for ops SCt.

Christopher is going to send an ABM letter to the Hill. State wants Bob to take look at it. They want to send it as soon as possible.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 02 August 95 16:16
FROM Harris, Elisa D.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT berger points [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Bell, Robert G.
CARBON_COPY Poneman, Daniel B.
TEXT_BODY pls see attached background para and points for tomorrow:

[[CWC82.DOC : 4107 in CWC82.DOC]]

**ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE** 2 August 95 16:14

**ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME** CWC82.DOC

Chemical Weapons Convention

Although Senator Helms indicated yesterday that no treaties (or ambassadors) will get out of SFRC unless the Administration works with him on his State reorganization plan, we are still hopeful that Lugar will be able to convince Helms to report out START II before the August recess. This will then clear the way for Foreign Relations to take up the Chemical Weapons Convention immediately after the recess. Tony has agreed to call and send letters on CWC ratification to Helms, Lugar, Nunn and Thurmond the same day that START II is reported out. We also need DOD's help, however, in getting the SASC report on CWC out OF Committee, so that SFRC cannot use the absence of a SASC report as an excuse not to move forward

with CWC, as happened last year. This is a good time to review our CWC ratification strategy with your counterparts and to secure John White's help in getting the SASC CWC report out now.

Talking Points:

- I wanted to review our CWC ratification gameplan with you.
- As you know, we are working closely with Senator Lugar and his staff to find a face-saving way for Helms to report out START II by the end of this week or early next week.
- Once that happens -- the same day -- Tony intends to call Helms, Lugar and others to nail down a date immediately after the recess for reporting out the Chemical Weapons Convention.
- John, we could really use your help in getting the SASC report out of Committee as soon as possible.
- Last year, the SFRC used the absence of a SASC report as an excuse not to move forward with the CWC. We cannot let that happen again.
- It would be a terrible blow to the President's nonproliferation policy if the U.S. does not ratify CWC, thereby preventing its entry into force.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 03 August 95 08:50
FROM Harris, Elisa D.
CLASSIFICATION ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
SUBJECT RE: Berger Weekly Lunch ~~{CONFIDENTIAL}~~
TO Cicio, Kristen K.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526 5/16/2017
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By YZ NARA, Date 12/28/2018
2006-1363-F

welcome back, kris! the attached has been cleared by Bell and Poneman.
thanks!

[[CWC82.DOC : 2048 in CWC82.DOC]]

From: Cicio, Kristen K.
To: Cicio, Kristen K.; @SENIORS - Senior Directors
CC: /R, Record at A1; @NSA - Nat'l Security Advisor; @AFRICA - African Affairs; @ASIA - Asian Affairs; @CEE - Central/Eastern Europe; @CUBA - Cuban Affairs; @DEFENSE - Defense Policy; @DEMOCRACY - Democracy Affairs; @ENVIRON - Environmental; @EUROPE - European Affairs; @GLOBAL - Global Affairs; @INTEL - Intelligence Programs; @INTERAM - Inter-American; @LEGAL - Legal Advisor; @NESASIA - NE/South Asia; @NONPRO - Export Controls; @RUSSIA - Russia/Ukraine
Subject: RE: Berger Weekly Lunch ~~{CONFIDENTIAL}~~
Date: Thursday, August 03, 1995 08:29 AM

Hi all!

Please let me know if you have any items at all for today's lunch!

Thanks,

Kris

(John Schmidt and Bob Bell, I got your e-mails)

From: Cicio, Kristen K.

To: @SENIORS - Senior Directors

CC: /R, Record at A1; @NSA - Nat'l Security Advisor; @AFRICA - African Affairs; @ASIA - Asian Affairs; @CEE - Central/Eastern Europe; @CUBA - Cuban

Affairs; @DEFENSE - Defense Policy; @DEMOCRACY - Democracy Affairs; @ENVIRON

- Environmental; @EUROPE - European Affairs; @GLOBAL - Global Affairs;

@INTEL - Intelligence Programs; @INTERAM - Inter-American; @LEGAL - Legal

Advisor; @NESASIA - NE/South Asia; @NONPRO - Export Controls;

@RUSSIA -

Russia/Ukraine

Subject: Berger Weekly Lunch~~[CONFIDENTIAL]~~

Date: Wednesday, August 02, 1995 10:01 AM

Senior Directors:

Sandy Berger will be having his weekly lunch tomorrow w/John Deutch, John White and Leon Fuerth (Talbot is out of area). If you have any items you wish Sandy to bring up at the lunch please send them, via e-mail to @nsa, by 9:00 am tomorrow, Thursday, August 3.

Thanks,

Kris

**ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE**

3 August 95 8:49

**ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME**

CWC82.DOC

Chemical Weapons Convention

Although Senator Helms indicated Tuesday that no treaties (or ambassadors) will get out of SFRC unless the Administration works with him on his State reorganization plan, we are still hopeful that Lugar will be able to convince Helms to report out START II before the August recess. This will then clear the way for Foreign Relations to take up the Chemical Weapons Convention immediately after the recess. Tony has agreed to call and send letters on CWC ratification to Helms, Lugar, Nunn and Thurmond the same day that START II is reported out. We also need DOD's help, however, in getting the SASC report on CWC out of Committee, so that SFRC cannot use the absence of a SASC report as an excuse not to move forward

with CWC, as happened last year. This is a good time to review our CWC ratification strategy with your counterparts and to secure John White's help in getting the SASC CWC report out now.

Talking Points:

- I wanted to review our CWC ratification gameplan with you.
- As you know, we are working closely with Senator Lugar and his staff to find a face-saving way for Helms to report out START II by the end of this week or early next week.
- Once that happens -- the same day -- Tony intends to call Helms, Lugar and others to nail down a date immediately after the recess for reporting out the Chemical Weapons Convention.
- John, we could really use your help in getting the SASC report out of Committee as soon as possible.
- Last year, the SFRC used the absence of a SASC report as an excuse not to move forward with the CWC. We cannot let that happen again.
- It would be a terrible blow to the President's nonproliferation policy if the U.S. does not ratify CWC, thereby preventing its entry into force.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 07 August 95 19:25
FROM Danvers, William C.
CLASSIFICATION ~~TOP SECRET~~
SUBJECT Subject: S.908 ~~[TOP SECRET]~~
TO Clarke, Richard A.
Halperin, Morton H.
CARBON_COPY Andricos, George M.
Burrell, Christina L.
Danvers, William C.
TEXT_BODY

Mort and Dick:

I want to give you both a brief, very close hold update on S. 908, the Helms State Dept. Auth. bill. Last week, Sen. Helms called Wendy Sherman and asked to have a private meeting with her. He indicated that he would not even tell his staff initially.

They met and agreed to have further conversations. Wendy notified AID (Brian and LA); USIA (Duffy), ACDA (Holum), White House Chief of Staff Office, Jack Quinn of OVP, Gordon Adams, Pat Griffin and me of the Helms meeting. She is also calling Leon Fuerth tonight. I spoke to Elaine Kamarck.

Helms' chief of staff sent a letter to Wendy, formally asking for a meeting. Wendy will send a reply back to Helms' staffer tomorrow, asking for, among other things, a bipartisan meeting.

The meeting will likely take place sometime next week. It will be very small, and the first meeting will likely be used to set an agenda-- i.e. there will not be a specific proposal to be discussed. Another suggestion is that all things, including the Helms' consolidation proposal, are potentially on the table.

I assume there will be a meeting of the principals before the meeting with the Helms' staff.

I will keep you abreast of all that is going on. These details were fully confirmed with me tonight.

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526 5/16/2017
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By VL NARA, Date 12/18/2018
2006-1363-F

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 09 August 95 12:57
FROM Hall, Wilma G.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT Subject: RE: Call to Sen Lugar on START I [UNCLASSIFIED]

TO Andricos, George M.
Baker, Jane E.
Bass, Peter E.
Cicio, Kristen K.
Darby, Melanie B.
Dohse, Fred J.
Emery, Mary C.
Gray, Wendy
Hall, Wilma G.
Harmon, Joyce A.
Hawkins, Ardenia R.
Joshi, M. Kay
Millison, Cathy L.
Sens, Andrew D.
Veit, Katherine M.

CARBON_COPY Andreasen, Steven P.
Bell, Robert G.
Burrell, Christina L.
Danvers, William C.
Kelly, Sandra L.
Moody, Angelyn D.
Seaton, James B.
Sestak, Joseph A.
Witkowsky, Anne A.

TEXT_BODY

Per discussion with Bob Bell, he will call Senator Lugar.

From: Andricos, George M.
To: Hall, Wilma G.; @NSA - Nat'l Security Advisor; @UP - APNSA Special Assistants
Cc: /R, Record at A1; @DEFENSE - Defense Policy; @LEGISLAT-Legislative Affairs
Subject: RE: Call to Sen Lugar on START I [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Wednesday, August 09, 1995 11:34AM

Wilma,
We think Sandy would be good, if he can do it.

From: Hall, Wilma G.
To: Andricos, George M.; @NSA - Nat'l Security Advisor; @UP - APNSA Special Assistants
CC: /R, Record at A1; @DEFENSE - Defense Policy; @LEGISLAT-Legislative Affairs
Subject: RE: Call to Sen Lugar on START I [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Wednesday, August 09, 1995 10:39 AM

Mike,

Mr. Lake cannot make this call -- he is away from office. Pls decide who needs to do this -- Sandy Berger????

From: Andricos, George M.
To: @NSA - Nat'l Security Advisor; @UP - APNSA Special Assistants
Cc: /R, Record at A1; @DEFENSE - Defense Policy; @LEGISLAT-Legislative Affairs
Subject: Call to Sen Lugar on START I [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Wednesday, August 09, 1995 10:07AM

Note for Tony,

The Senate Foreign Relations Committee has a business meeting scheduled for Thursday morning, at which we originally expected START II would be reported out. We are now told that START II is not on the agenda. Could you call Sen Lugar and ask him to talk to Sen Helms about getting it on the agenda so it can be sent on to the floor for consideration when the Senate returns in September?

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 10 August 95 15:09
FROM Tyler, John T.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: long-term scheduling meeting w/NES [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Hawkins, Ardenia R.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

Dee... I just sent NS the Draft 150 Outreach Proposal, which we should discuss if she believes it is ready for an initial public offering. We should also discuss S.908 and how to deal with Senator Helms war on the State Department. [[HELMSNEW.DOC : 3922 in HELMSNEW.DOC]] This is one approach.

From: Hawkins, Ardenia R.
To: Danvers, William C.; Fetig, James L.; Halperin, Morton H.; Mitchell, Calvin A.; Tyler, J. T. (Tim)
CC: /R, Record at A1; Burrell, Christina L.; Cooper, Kathleen H.; Houck, Baerbel K.
Subject: long-term scheduling meeting w/NES [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Thursday, August 10, 1995 02:50 PM

YES, there will be a long term meeting with Nancy on tomorrow (8/11) in the Situation Room. Please provide your agenda items by COB today (8/10) for submission and review by NES.

thanks, ever so much

Dee

**ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE** 9 August 95 13:49

**ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME** HELMSNEW.DOC

SEC. _____. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING THE REINVENTION
AND CONSOLIDATION OF
OR FOREIGN AFFAIRS AGENCIES.

(a) Findings.--The Congress finds it is necessary in order to make the Government more efficient and to realize significant budgetary savings for the American taxpayer--

- (1) to reinvent the foreign affairs agencies of the United States;
 - (2) to provide for the reorganization of the Department of State to maximize efficient use of resources, eliminate redundancy in functions, and improve the management of the Department of State;
 - (3) to assist government-wide efforts to balance the Federal budget;
 - (4) to ensure that the international affairs budget function shoulders an appropriate share of the reductions in United States Government spending necessary to eliminate the budget deficit; and
 - (5) to strengthen--
 - (A) the coordination of United States foreign policy;
 - (B) the leading role of the Secretary of State in the formulation and articulation of United States foreign policy;
 - (C) the authority of United States ambassadors over all United States Government personnel and resources located in diplomatic missions, in order to enhance the ability of the ambassadors to deploy those resources to the best effect that will attain the President's foreign policy objectives; and
 - (D) the United States Foreign Service, as the forward deployed civilian force of the United States Government, through renewed emphasis on the original principles which undergird the distinct Foreign Service personnel system. These include worldwide availability, assignments based on the needs of the service, rank in person, and merit-based advancement.
- (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.--It is the sense of Congress that the President should--
- (1) Critically examine the foreign affairs agencies and identify areas where there may be potential for management improvements and fiscal savings through the elimination of such duplicative, overlapping, or superfluous personnel, functions, goals, activities, offices and programs that the United States Arms Control and Disarmament Agency, the United States Information Agency, and the Agency for International Development have in common with the Department of State, in order to realize a budgetary savings to the taxpayer;
 - (2) encourage the United States foreign affairs agencies to maintain a high percentage of the best qualified, most competent American citizens serving in the United States Government, while downsizing significantly the total number of people employed by these agencies;
 - (3) ensure that all functions of diplomacy be subject to recruitment, training, assignment, promotion and egress based on common standards and procedures, with maximum interchange among the functions; and
 - (4) report to the Congress in time for inclusion in the budget submission for Fiscal Year 1997 the results of the study undertaken by the President and the foreign

affairs agencies to achieve the purposes of this legislation, including the details of savings to the American Taxpayer and increased efficiencies in government due to the reinvention of the foreign affairs agencies.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 10 August 95 17:34
FROM Danvers, William C.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT Subject: RE: long-term scheduling meeting w/NES [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Hawkins, Ardenia R.
CARBON_COPY Andricos, George M.
Burrell, Christina L.
Danvers, William C.

TEXT_BODY

Suggestions for Long Term Planning Meeting:

ABM/TMD:

-- No matter the outcome of the proposed agreement on the DoD Authorization bill, we should consider some public outreach efforts on our position on ABM/TMD. Thursday's editorial in the Washington Post is an example of how we can get our message out. ACTION: PA strategy, DoD, State and NSC.

START II:

-- START II is stuck in SFRC, tied to progress on S. 908. Don't need to target Sen. Helms, but would be useful to get people writing about START II and its importance and the need for Congress to act. We should consider raising CWC as well. ACTION: PA strategy, State, DoD and NSC.

DoD AUTHORIZATION BILL:

-- What, if anything, needs to be done during recess on bill. ACTION: DoD and State.

BOSNIA:

-- Contact target list agreed on by State and DoD-- debrief of Lake trip with key Members and Senators. (I will fax an agreed upon strategy to you prepared by State.) ACTION: NSC, State and DoD.

-- Set up Hill briefings in early Sept. with White House Senate and House groups (those Members and Senators who met with President before Senate and House lift votes). ACTION: NSC.

-- CODEL to Bosnia. ACTION: DoD and State.

FOREIGN OPS:

-- Contact Leahy and McConnell on 150 priorities. ACTION: State, OMB, NSC, AID, Treasury and others.

From: Hawkins, Ardenia R.

To: Danvers, William C.; Fetig, James L.; Halperin, Morton H.; Mitchell, Calvin A.; Tyler, J. T. (Tim)

CC: /R, Record at A1; Burrell, Christina L.; Cooper, Kathleen H.; Houck, Baerbel K.

Subject: long-term scheduling meeting w/NES [UNCLASSIFIED]

Date: Thursday, August 10, 1995 02:50 PM

YES, there will be a long term meeting with Nancy on tomorrow (8/11) in the Situation Room. Please provide your agenda items by COB today (8/10) for submission and review by NES.

thanks, ever so much

Dee

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002. email	Joseph Sestak to Steven Andreasen, Robert Bell, Sandra Kelly et al. Subject: FW: Goals Update. (14 pages)	08/11/1995	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Senator Helms])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[07/28/1995-08/18/1995]

2006-1363-F

vz5604

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 14 August 95 12:01
FROM Halperin, Morton H.
CLASSIFICATION ~~TOP SECRET~~
SUBJECT Subject: RE: S.908 ~~[TOP SECRET]~~
TO Danvers, William C.
CARBON_COPY Andricos, George M.
Burrell, Christina L.
Clarke, Richard A.

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526 05/16/2007
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By VL NARA, Date 12/16/2008
706-1363-f

TEXT_BODY

I returned today from vacation to find this E-Mail which I appreciate. Can you bring me up to date? Thanks.

From: Danvers, William C.
To: Clarke, Richard A.; Halperin, Morton H.
CC: /R, Record at A1; @LEGISLAT-Legislative Affairs
Subject: S.908 ~~[TOP SECRET]~~
Date: Monday, August 07, 1995 07:25 PM

Mort and Dick:

I want to give you both a brief, very close hold update on S. 908, the Helms State Dept. Auth. bill. Last week, Sen. Helms called Wendy Sherman and asked to have a private meeting with her. He indicated that he would not even tell his staff initially.

They met and agreed to have further conversations. Wendy notified AID (Brian and LA); USIA (Duffy), ACDA (Holum), White House Chief of Staff Office, Jack Quinn of OVP, Gordon Adams, Pat Griffin and me of the Helms meeting. She is also calling Leon Fuerth tonight. I spoke to Elaine Kamarck.

Helms' chief of staff sent a letter to Wendy, formally asking for a meeting. Wendy will send a reply back to Helms' staffer tomorrow, asking for, among other things, a bipartisan meeting.

The meeting will likely take place sometime next week. It will be very small, and the first meeting will likely be used to set an agenda-- i.e. there will not be a specific proposal to be discussed. Another suggestion is that all things, including the Helms' consolidation proposal, are potentially on the table.

I assume there will be a meeting of the principals before the meeting with

the Helms' staff.

I will keep you abreast of all that is going on. These details were fully confirmed with me tonight.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 15 August 95 17:37
FROM Danvers, William C.
CLASSIFICATION ~~SECRET~~
SUBJECT Subject: S. 908 ~~{SECRET}~~
TO Halperin, Morton H.
CARBON_COPY Andricos, George M.
Bass, Peter E.
Burrell, Christina L.
Clarke, Richard A.
Danvers, William C.
Simon, Steven N.
Wise, William M.

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526 5/16/2017
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By 17 NARA, Date 12/18/2014
2006-1363-F

TEXT_BODY

Note for Mort:

I wanted to give you a further update on where we are on consolidation. I assume that is why you called.

There have been a further exchange of letters between State and Helms' staff. There will be an agenda setting meeting tomorrow with legislative affairs staff from the agencies-- State, USIA, ACDA and AID-- as well as Helms' staff and SFRC Dem. staff.

There will be NO/NO talk of policy or substance. That was agreed upon with Helms' staff and the interagency leg. group that met this afternoon.

You should also know that in a conversation I had with Bill Wise this morning, he stressed that any discussion of policy changes to our position on reorganization has to include NSC/OVP/NPR/OMB. He further stressed that we need to communicate that we have not changed our message on reorganization-- i.e. we are against consolidation. I passed this on State who understood and agreed.

One other point you should be aware of: last Friday, the President, the VP, Erskine Bowles, the Secretary of State, Sandy Berger, Pat Griffin (for a moment), Leon Panetta (for a moment) and Wendy Sherman met with Sen. Helms and four of his staff members to discuss consolidation.

As I understand it, Helms' staff made a presentation to the President on his consolidation proposal and there was not much more to it than that. Pat Griffin STRESSED that this meeting was not a big deal and should not be

viewed as such. The meeting was VERY CLOSE HOLD, and I believe that people would prefer we not discuss it, particularly with the press.

Now you know all that I know.

I will give you an update after tomorrow's meeting.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 15 August 95 17:46
FROM Halperin, Morton H.
CLASSIFICATION ~~SECRET~~
SUBJECT Subject: RE: S. 908 ~~[SECRET]~~
TO Danvers, William C.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526 5/16/2017
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By 12 NARA, Date 12/18/2018
2006-1363-F

Thanks. That was why I was calling. I am a bit at a loss to understand how you get have an agenda setting meeting without at least implicitly discussing policy, but I trust that it will be done. I look forward to hearing the result.

From: Danvers, William C.
To: Halperin, Morton H.
CC: /R, Record at A1; Bass, Peter E.; Clarke, Richard A.; Simon, Steven N.; Wise, William M.; @LEGISLAT-Legislative Affairs
Subject: S. 908 ~~[SECRET]~~
Date: Tuesday, August 15, 1995 05:37 PM

Note for Mort:

I wanted to give you a further update on where we are on consolidation. I assume that is why you called.

There have been a further exchange of letters between State and Helms' staff. There will be an agenda setting meeting tomorrow with legislative affairs staff from the agencies-- State, USIA, ACDA and AID-- as well as Helms' staff and SFRC Dem. staff.

There will be NO/NO talk of policy or substance. That was agreed upon with Helms' staff and the interagency leg. group that met this afternoon.

You should also know that in a conversation I had with Bill Wise this morning, he stressed that any discussion of policy changes to our position on reorganization has to include NSC/OVP/NPR/OMB. He further stressed that we need to communicate that we have not changed our message on reorganization-- i.e. we are against consolidation. I passed this on State who understood and agreed.

One other point you should be aware of: last Friday, the President, the VP, Erskine Bowles, the Secretary of State, Sandy Berger, Pat Griffin (for a moment), Leon Panetta (for a moment) and Wendy Sherman met with Sen.

Helms
and four of his staff members to discuss consolidation.

As I understand it, Helms' staff made a presentation to the President on his consolidation proposal and there was not much more to it than that. Pat Griffin STRESSED that this meeting was not a big deal and should not be viewed as such. The meeting was VERY CLOSE HOLD, and I believe that people would prefer we not discuss it, particularly with the press.

Now you know all that I know.

I will give you an update after tomorrow's meeting.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 15 August 95 18:04
FROM Wozniak, Natalie S.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT August 14 McCurry Press Briefing [UNCLASSIFIED]

TO

Andreasen, Steven P.
Andricos, George M.
Appel, Edward J.
Argarin, Jocelyn D.
Armstrong, Fulton T.
Atkin, Timothy J.
Atlas, Edwin L.
Baker, Jane E.
Baker, James E.
Bakke, Kyle D.
Baldwin, Kenneth
Bassett, Leslie A.
Bass, Peter E.
Battenfield, Pat
Beardsley, Tyler S.
Beers, Rand R.
Behring, Deanna M.
Bellamy, Ralph C.
Bell, Robert G.
Benjamin, Daniel
Bieda, James M.
Birkland, Andrea L.
Blacker, Coit D.
Blieberger, Marion H.
Blinken, Antony J.
Bolinski, Charlene C.
Boorstin, Robert O.
Boright, John
Bradley, Amelia J.
Breeland, Jocelyn G
Bremner, Sue L.
Bresnahan, Gary E.
Broadway, Jamuna
Brown, Dallas
Bruton, Robert G.
Bryan, Lloyd D.
Burrell, Christina L.
Kevin J. Cadieux
Canty, Mary T.

Carter, Michael E.
Cicio, Kristen K.
Clark, Bronya
Clarke, Richard A.
Claussen, Eileen B.
Cooper, Kathleen H.
Crispell, Thomas G.
Daadler, Ivo H.
Daniel, Patty A.
Danvers, William C.
Darby, Melanie B.
Darnes, Victoria J.
Deshazer, Macarthur X.
Dimel, Marsha L.
Dohse, Fred J.
Dragone, Karen D.
Drew, Samuel N.
Dupuy, Shawn L.
Dymersky, Debra L.
Eddy, Randolph P.
Edwards, Joan
Emery, Mary C.
Feinberg, Richard E.
Fetig, James L.
Ficklin, John W.
Flessas, Daniel
Fried, Daniel
Friedrich, M. K.
Froman, Michael B.
Fuerth, Leon S.
Fuhrman, Thomas A.
Gardner, Anthony
Gates, Brian K.
Genton, Regina A.
George, Christopher
Gerstner, Christina L.
Glinski, David
Gorsuch, Robert P.
Gray, Wendy
Grimes, Richard J.
Guekel, Kathi A.
Haines, Mary A.
Hale, John E.
Hall, Wilma G.
Halperin, Morton H.
Hamilton, Roy A.
Hammond, Cathy J.
Hammonds, Lisa W.
Harmon, Joyce A.
Harris, Elisa D.

Harrison, Lyle M.
Hawes, David J.
Hawkins, Ardenia R.
Herrington, David E.
Heslin, Sheila N.
Hilliard, Brenda I.
Hill, Mary E.
Hill, Roseanne M.
Hilty, JoAnne M.
Hofmann, Stephen D.
Houck, Baerbel K.
Hunerwadel, Joan S.
Jensen, Noelle B.
Joshi, M. Kay
Kammerer, Kelly C.
Kelly, Sandra L.
Kerr, Andrew S.
Ketterer, James P.
Kreczko, Alan J.
Kyle, Robert D.
Laipson, Ellen B.
Leary, William H.
Lindsey, Wanda
Litwak, Robert C.
Lorin, Matthew E.
Lowry, Jay E.
Malley, Robert
Marmol, Madelyn P.
Marshall, Betty A.
Marshall, Dana M.
Martinez, Alejandro
Stefan T. Mauzy
Maxfield, Nancy H.
Mazzuchi, Anthony D.
McCormick, Shawn H.
McIntyre, Stuart H.
Merchant, Brian
Miller, Matthew E.
Millison, Cathy L.
Mitchell, Calvin A.
Mitsler, Elaine M.
Miyaoka, Lester H.
Moody, Angelyn D.
Moore, Lori A.
Neil, M. Elise
Noetzel, Jon C.
Norman, Marcia G.
Nuccio, Richard A.
O'Loughlin, Katherine
Parco, Jim E.

Gwyn M. Parker
Parramore, Carl W.
Parris, Mark R.
Pascual, Carlos E.
Pease, Bruce E.
Peggins, John W.
Peters, Mary A.
Pifer, Steven K.
Poneman, Daniel B.
Porter, Gidell
Quinn, Mary E.
Ramsay, Martha S.
Reade, Evan G.
Realuyo, Celina B.
Reed, George
Rice, Susan E.
Roth, Stanley O.
Roundtree, Beverly J.
Salvetti, Lisa M.
Sanborn, Daniel R. K.
Satterfield, David M.
Saunders, John W.
Saunders, Richard M.
Schifter, Richard
Schmidt, John R.
Schmidt, Sandra E.
Schwartz, Eric P.
Sculimbrene, Thomas A.
Seaton, James B.
Seeley, Charlotte P.
Sens, Andrew D.
Sestak, Joseph A.
Sevigny, Theodore T.
Sheehan, Michael A.
Sigler, Ralph
Simon, Steven N.
Simons, James R.
Stauffer, Helen L.
Sterns, Crayton L.
Suettinger, Robert L.
Sulser, Jack A.
Tarullo, Daniel K.
Marcel T. Thomas
Turner, Joe T.
Tyler, John T.
Unrue, Michael M.
Van DeCar, Karen J.
Van Tassel, David S.
Veit, Katherine M.
Vershbow, Alexander R.

Verville, Elizabeth G.
Wadsworth, Valon J.
Wales, Jane
Walsh, Helen C.
Ward, JoAnn X.
Weber, Paul A.
Wilhelm, Richard J.
Wilson, Marilyn E.
Wise, William M.
Witkowsky, Anne A.
Woolston, Ann E.
Wooton, Kevin B.
Wozniak, Natalie S.
Wright, Allison M.
Yorkdale, Glenn H.

CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE

TEXT_BODY [[8-14MCBF.DOC : 4660 in 8-14MCBF.DOC]]

**ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE** 15 August 95 18:4

**ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME** 8-14MCBF.DOC

THE WHITE HOUSE

Office of the Press Secretary

Immediate Release August 14, 1995

Fo

PRESS BRIEFING
BY MIKE MCCURRY

The Briefing Room

1:10 P.M. EDT

MR. MCCURRY: The President today -- a couple of items here -
- the President today named Donald A. Baer as Assistant to the
President and Director of Communications to the President of the
United States. Mr. Baer succeeds Mark D. Gearan, who was confirmed
by the United States Senate last week to be Director of the Peace
Corps, an event that caused great tumult here at the White House as
person after person rushed to wish Mr. Gearan well in his new
position.

I deny -- there's a rumor going around that we have -- that
the President is announcing today that the office of Peace Corps is
being elevated to Cabinet rank. (Laughter.) I specifically deny
that.

Mr. Baer is currently the Assistant to the President for
Speechwriting. As many of you know, he joined the White House
staff in April of 1994 as Deputy Assistant to the President for
Speechwriting and Research, and prior to coming to the White House
he served seven years at U.S. News and World Report, a
distinguished news organization, first as a reporter covering the
Justice Department, the White House and national politics, and then
as assistant managing editor. Before moving to Washington in 1987,
he was a magazine writer and a lawyer in New York City, something
that we will not hold against him.

Item the second, 13 state attorneys general have issued a
statement in support of the President's announcement of restricting
tobacco sales to youth. They say in their statement, as the chief
law enforcement officers of our respective states, we applaud
President Clinton's proposals to help prevent another generation of
American kids from dying tobacco-related diseases. Good news.
Support --

Q Democrats or Republicans?

MR. MCCURRY: Two Republicans on the list of 13.

Q Item the third -- we're cleaning out the in-box

here. Today the Clinton administration is granting waivers to two
more states, Maryland and Wisconsin, for welfare reform
experiments. The administration has now given two-thirds of the
states freedom from federal rules to implement their own welfare
reforms. As the President indicated, welfare reform will continue
while Congress dithers.

Q Does doing Wisconsin add a state to that list?

Because, I mean, haven't they gotten the waivers before --

MR. MCCURRY: This is the third welfare reform waiver the Clinton administration has approved for Wisconsin. There are 33 states total. But now numerous specific experiments that have been authorized by the administration, they examine different aspects of welfare reform. Sometimes states will come in after initiating something in time for limited assistance, or work requirements, or for child support enforcement, or for new measures on parental responsibility -- they'll come in with some new ideas they want to advance. And the President is anxious and, as he indicated, flexible in giving states the type of authority they need to proceed with these experiments.

Q Was that 33 waivers or 33 states?

MR. MCCURRY: Thirty-three states, and I believe the total waivers is up 40-plus something. We can get you that exact number.

Q Where are you putting out details of this? Here?

MR. MCCURRY: Department of Health and Human Services has got the full details on both states waivers. So you guys can take a pass on it if you so choose. But I wanted to point that out because I think it's interesting news.

Okay, that's mine. What's yours?

Q Does the President support changing the peacekeeping operation -- or transferring it to a NATO run operation rather than the U.N.?

MR. MCCURRY: The U.N. peacekeeping mission in Bosnia, in former Yugoslavia?

Q Yes.

MR. MCCURRY: The President supports the existing mandate for the U.N. mission in Bosnia. We believe it's an important one. It's keeping people alive and helping to curb the conflict. And the work that we are doing diplomatically is designed to strengthen the existing U.N. mission.

Q Has he heard from Lake yet or is coming --

MR. MCCURRY: He's been getting regular reports from the Deputy National Security Advisor, who's in touch with Mr. Lake. Mr. Lake's probably somewhere over the pond as we talk and will report in to the President later today or tomorrow morning.

Q There has been some reaction from over there. I think President Izetbegovic says no way he'll give up Gorazde. Can you respond to that?

MR. MCCURRY: I don't think it was part of any presentation made by Mr. Lake that demanded giving up Gorazde.

Q Well, there was talk of a trade.

MR. MCCURRY: The parties will be meeting very shortly with Assistant Secretary of State for European Affairs Richard Holbrooke, who will go in some greater detail through the various ideas we have been developing in contact with our allies and friends as a result of Mr. Lake's mission.

Q What do you think of the Russian idea to hold an international conference on Bosnia, or the former Yugoslavia?

MR. MCCURRY: We believe that is a constructive idea and, of course, we welcome constructive efforts to bring about a peace settlement in the Balkans. We'll study the Russian proposal, and I believe, as a result of the meeting between Mr. Lake and Russian Foreign Minister Andrey Kozyrev, the Russian Federation will be looking more closely at some of the ideas we are developing.

Q Have all the parties agreed to see Holbrooke -- all the warring parties?

MR. MCCURRY: I don't know if there's any official confirmation from all three, but we do expect him to see officials in all three capitals -- Zagreb, Sarajevo, and Belgrade.

Q Are you encouraged by what you've heard so far from Lake's mission?

MR. MCCURRY: We are encouraged that the international community seems to be coming together around an effort to stimulate the diplomatic dialogue that could bring the conflict to an end. We remain of the view that negotiation and dialogue by the parties is far preferable to killing each other. And we will be pursuing the ideas that we've developed in recent days, and continuing to press the parties for resolving their differences at the negotiating table.

Q I don't think anybody in the administration said that giving up Gorazde was a demand of the United States, but I do believe that several people have said that that was one possible scramblings of the map to try to reach a diplomatic solution. Is there, in fact -- I mean, how would you describe this map proposal?

Is it a set of a variety of different ideas on reaction to the military situation on the ground?

MR. MCCURRY: The only map, per se, that is before the parties is the map developed as a result of the Contact Group deliberations, reflected in their proposal. And as we've said repeatedly, adjustments in that proposal would have to be made by the parties themselves.

Q Aren't you proposing some adjustments for them to look at? Of course they have to make them.

MR. MCCURRY: We've explored, as I've told you, several ideas with our allies and friends, and will now be presenting those ideas to the parties.

Q That's the '94 plan, last year's Contact Group?

MR. MCCURRY: Last year's -- August '94 Contact Group proposal.

Q Some of the allies are saying that the U.S., at this point, seems more committed to the entire process? Would you say that that's the case?

MR. MCCURRY: I'm sorry, I missed --

Q Some of our allies are saying that suddenly the U.S. seems more committed to being involved and finding a solution. Is that the case?

MR. MCCURRY: I'd say that based on the President's direction, we are taking steps to try to stimulate the dialogue and accelerate the dialogue to bring about an end to the conflict, if that is in fact possible.

Q What specifics might cause them to say that?

MR. MCCURRY: Well, I'm not going to pretend to try to get into the specifics of what are obviously sensitive discussions that will have to be held with the parties.

Q Mike, what is the overall climate -- political or otherwise -- encourages you to think that there's some possibility of diplomatic settlement down the road?

MR. MCCURRY: It's a combination of factors that have changed the environment and the landscape in Bosnia -- the fighting; the significant losses by both sides; the sense that both

sides are nearly exhausting themselves in a conflict that has now raged on for far too long.

Q What would -- if the veto were overridden, or if the arms embargo was lifted on the Bosnian government, which seems to be a last chance, would the President support Dole and Helms in wanting to give \$100 million to the Bosnian government for arms?

MR. MCCURRY: We remain of the view that unilaterally lifting the arms embargo is a bad idea. But as we have repeatedly said, unilaterally lifting the arms embargo would thrust the United States in a position where we would bear unilateral responsibility for the consequences of lifting the arms embargo. One of those consequences we've suggested in the past would be taking on the responsibility of paying for the training, paying for the arms,

being much more aggressive in inserting U.S. personnel into the theater to help protect the Bosnian Muslims as they became better equipped to deal with aggression by the Bosnian Serbs.

In that sense, the proposal by Senator Dole and Senator Helms to spend \$100 million extra in providing arms is at least coherent in the logic that goes with unilaterally lifting the arms embargo. It represents the first of what would likely be many future steps that would further Americanize the conflict. And in that sense, both Senator Dole and Senator Helms are being honest in telling the American people that we will pay for and very likely sacrifice some of our treasure, if not lives, in furtherance of the policy that Congress has now adopted.

Q Isn't it correct to say that you're proposing some revisions to the 1994 Contact Group map?

MR. MCCURRY: It's clear that we're suggesting specific new ideas that could help break an impasse. The impasse arises because the Bosnian government has accepted the Contact Group proposal as a basis for a settlement; the Bosnian Serbs have not.

Q When you say specific new ideas, you're talking about rearrangements in the amount of territory given to each --

MR. MCCURRY: I'm being very careful to not describe the specific new ideas, as you can tell.

Q -- the basic structure of this new deal you're having in mind, basically --

MR. MCCURRY: Basically, it involves a combination of incentives and disincentives for the parties to encourage them to reach a peace settlement.

Q What are the disincentives?

Q Bombing?

MR. MCCURRY: I'm not going to get into the specifics.
Asked and answered.

Q Is Mr. Deutch briefing the President on the situation in Iraq today and what you may have learned from the defectors?

MR. MCCURRY: As I indicated to some of you earlier, he's getting together with the Director of Central Intelligence today. He plans to do that on a regular basis and this was good occasion before the President departs for his vacation to see the Director of Central Intelligence. He'll also be seeing the Secretary of State later on today. And he may, depending on Mr. Lake's travel schedule, see the National Security Advisor, as well.

Q I don't think you answered that question, Michael.

MR. MCCURRY: I sure didn't. I don't talk about intelligence briefings the President gets.

Q The actual map on the ground, if you look at what's happening in Bosnia, the groups -- the ethnic groups now are coalescing in different spots in, let's say, a cleaner fashion. You have Serbs moving to Serbia and Croats in one area. What is the U.S. position overall on how the realistic map has worked and would it make it, even though it may be distasteful, would it be easier to work toward a peaceful --

MR. MCCURRY: I cannot give you a real politic analysis of the situation on the ground. Your statement is roughly correct, but due to absolutely tragic and horrible consequences, there are areas that Serbs now occupy because they've been ethnically cleansed of Muslims. By no means is that a neat solution to what is a tragedy, an unspeakable tragedy. But the question is there are steps going to move forward at this point to bring the fighting to an end so that there's not further tragedy, and obviously, that's what our diplomacy is aimed at doing.

Q Despite your answer to Ann, are you able to say whether the United States is getting substantial information from Jordan about their debriefings of General Kamel --

MR. MCCURRY: I don't have anything to add beyond what I said Friday on that subject. I think I answered it as much as I can answer it on Friday.

Q Are you able to say whether there's been any discussion of granting asylum to any of the Iraqi's in the United States?

MR. MCCURRY: I'm just declining to get into specifics on that. I'm not aware of any requests for asylum and I indicated on Friday that we are in contact with the government of Jordan and do have information concerning the two defectors.

Q If there were information coming from Jordan, would it be going through the CIA to get to the President? Is that the right channels it would go through?

MR. MCCURRY: That's not a good thing for me to speculate on here publicly.

Q Can you say whether or not, generally speaking, that the new proposals that were being offered by Tony Lake involved less territory for the Bosnian Muslim government?

MR. MCCURRY: I can say in general they flow from the Contact Group which remains, we believe, a basis for a future settlement.

Q Does that maintain the 49-51?

MR. MCCURRY: That is -- the formulation of division of territory in the Contact Group proposal was 51-49, and as we always indicated, that could be adjusted by agreement of the parties.

Q Is Mrs. Clinton going to China?

MR. MCCURRY: I do not know.

Q Neither do we.

Q When will the decision be made.

Q Have you asked recently?

MR. MCCURRY: I asked today; I'm not aware that there's been any final decision made today.

Q Is there a deadline for a cutoff?

MR. MCCURRY: Yes. As I indicated, prior to the conference.

Q -- to ask President Clinton to declare child abuse a

state of emergency. Has the White House thought about that, and if so, how do you --

MR. MCCURRY: Well, the President is very really concerned about the status of children in America, has talked about it as recently as last Wednesday. Child abuse directed against the young Americans is something that he is deeply troubled by and has worked to combat. And we will certainly look at the proposal that's been made carefully.

Q When you are talking about a window of opportunity, how big is it, until when and where --

MR. MCCURRY: It is really up to the parties to see whether at this point they wish to move into a negotiating track as opposed to attempting to do on the ground through further fighting what thus far they have not been able to achieve at the negotiating table. How long that might last is anybody's guess.

Q Can you give us some idea about what the President's plans are as far as work while he's in Wyoming and as far as liaison in dealing with these foreign policy issues?

MR. MCCURRY: Well, he will have access to all the communications technology that he needs. He's very well equipped when he travels. You've heard White Houses brief on this before, but through the latest marvels of satellite technology he can have confidential and classified briefings with his national security team back here in Washington if that's necessary. I wouldn't rule out that he will need to do that in connection with developments in Bosnia, but we have a very good sense of where the discussions are at this point and a very good sense of what the next steps are as we continue to try to stimulate and invigorate diplomacy.

The President sounded to me like someone who is looking forward to a vacation. There will be a -- Calvin points out there is a National Security Council contact who will be with the President on the trip who can facilitate any briefings that he might need. But the President sounded like someone who was looking forward to vacation. He plans to hike and camp and raft and --

Q Camp?

MR. MCCURRY: Yes.

Q Camp, like how?

MR. MCCURRY: Like camp.

Q Like overnight in a tent?

MR. MCCURRY: Like go pitch a tent with Chelsea and Hillary.

Q Secret Service agents dressed as bears?

MR. MCCURRY: We'll see.

Q Will there be pool coverage?

MR. MCCURRY: He's looking forward to horseback riding. The President reports that since he was young he used to like to visit national parks; in fact, he used to kind of get in the car and go visit some on his own. He hasn't had much of an opportunity to do that as President. In fact, to my recollection he hasn't been able to visit any national park as President, and he's looking forward to doing so. He's taking with him a big stack of books to read, including Pat Conroy's latest novel. And he plans to have a very relaxing time in Wyoming.

Q Is there anybody coming in to talk to him in terms of budget, or is he taking any senior NSC types?

MR. MCCURRY: He's not planning any -- as I am aware right now, and as his schedule now stands, I'm not aware of any briefings or meetings that he plans with any of his staff. We'll have a limited staff operation out there, more designed to take care of you than him.

Q Is he taking up The New York Times on their suggestion today about some environmental work up there?

MR. MCCURRY: The Yellowstone question?

Q Yes.

MR. MCCURRY: He talked about that recently in Billings. He's been fairly briefed on the proposed mining venture, and he remains very supportive of Senator Baucus' plan for an environmental impact statement that would assess what some of the long-term future uses of the area adjacent to the parties should be.

Q Mike, internal elections in Haiti don't seem to be satisfying too many people. How does the White House feel about it?

MR. MCCURRY: Well, we understand that, once again, Haitians were able to vote at sites across the country without fear of

violence or intimidation. And that represents a great step forward. The complications that existed with the election before were logistical, primarily logistical.

They seemed to have addressed some of those shortcomings in the vote that took place yesterday. We regret of course that the anticipated turnout was lower than it had been projected. We're also disappointed that more of the competing parties did not participate fully in the reruns or the run-off elections.

Q What kind of -- while he's having all that fun out there, what sort of domestic issues will be on his mind? I know Jill asked this morning if he'd be kept awake nights by this --

MR. MCCURRY: He's going on vacation, Claire. If he's a lucky guy, he won't have a lot of that on his mind. He'll be worried about the budget; he'll be worried about the fact that when he comes back this fall, there will be very complicated discussions with the Congress to try to avert a crisis. But he's going to be on vacation, and he's not going to pretend otherwise. Thankfully, this is an opportunity for him to get away from all of that.

Q What kind of coverage are the reporters going to have? I mean, how much access are they going to be able to see?

MR. MCCURRY: Traditional and customary. (Laughter.)

Q Will there be a pool at the Rockefeller house every day?

MR. MCCURRY: The coverage opportunities will be designed to afford those who are there to cover the President plenty of time for their own relaxation and vacation. (Laughter.)

Q If any bears approach him will they be around?

MR. MCCURRY: Do you have any preferences where the Bosnia negotiations should take place?

MR. MCCURRY: Well, in the immediate future they're taking place in the three capitals -- in Sarajevo, Zagreb, and Belgrade. And that seems reasonable to the United States.

Q When does he leave tomorrow?

Q You're not answering many questions.

MR. MCCURRY: I've heard around midday, but we're going to try to pin that down for the benefit of those of you who have to

travel. I'll try to do that later on today.

Q Are you going to brief tomorrow?

MR. MCCURRY: I don't believe so. At the moment, I don't plan to because the President, we hope, will be long gone by the time of our customary briefing. I will, of course, meet with you in the morning early for my last gaggle of the month of August.

Q Who's going out?

MR. MCCURRY: Ms. Terzano, our very capable Deputy Press Secretary, will be there to handle your questions during the period the President is vacating. (Laughter.) And there will be an NSC staff contact person there and some advance people, but beyond that I'm not aware of any other senior staff that are traveling.

Q Mike, what is the status of the conversations about Mrs. Clinton's trip and will any decision be made on that -- about whether or not she should go before she returns, since the conference begins on the 4th of September?

MR. MCCURRY: There will be some decision made on that relatively shortly I'd imagine, just giving the need to put together the logistics of a trip if Mrs. Clinton does, in fact, decide to go. I'm not aware that there have been any final decision made by the First Lady.

Q What's relatively shortly?

MR. MCCURRY: Well, within coming days.

Q Was her plan always to go to Hawaii with the President, or not?

MR. MCCURRY: I'm not certain that I know the answer to that. Do you know? I believe -- I'm told by the noddings of the head over here she had planned to go to Honolulu.

Q Mike, I know you don't want to talk about the train wreck coming, but just one last thing. (Laughter.) Could you tell --

MR. MCCURRY: There's a train wreck -- (laughter) --

Q I know and it's something to look forward to. (Laughter.) And that's why we are -- I wanted to know what's the status of specific planning? What happens if the government --

MR. MCCURRY: Well, the President has met with his economic

advisors to look at questions associated with what happens this fall if any number of scenarios take place -- if you don't have appropriations bills in place and you need continuing resolutions;

if we run up against the debt ceiling limit, which hits around about October; a series of things then begin to happen that propel the government in the direction of crisis. And the President wants to understand thoroughly what those are. He has already taken steps to try to avert those by giving to the Congress reasonable and very common sense proposals on how we could make progress on the federal budget. And those, as all of you know, have been roundly ignored by this Congress.

So he is working on the issue. He continues to consider what steps will be necessary for the orderly functioning and transaction of business. I imagine that they're going to look very closely at former Attorney General Civiletti's opinion about who constitutes essential federal personnel if we get into a situation where there's a shutdown and only essential personnel are asked to continue their duties. So those types of things will be underway.

At some point, we will also have to consider whether or not we notify federal employees that there are likely going to be reductions in forces. All of those issues had been among those questions that the President has addressed with his advisors. He's given them some instructions so that they can follow up in an orderly way. They are in the process of doing so now.

Q Are all military exempt?

MR. MCCURRY: I don't know the scope of the essential order. That was actually codified. My understanding is his opinion as Attorney General, which dates back to the 1980s was codified by Congress in 1990. They actually passed a law that stipulated who essential personnel should be and so deemed them.

Q There was also some memo by Weinberger back then about complaining about OMB micromanaging Defense at the time that this happened before. I just wondered to what extent --

MR. MCCURRY: Well, whatever the precedent, past experience in precedent is of those situations. They are being looked at carefully by administration officials now.

Q Mike, is there anything you can say about the latest on the defections of Iraq to Jordan?

MR. MCCURRY: Not beyond what I've already said, Trudy. I've indicated that, of course, it reflects what we believe is the deterioration of conditions inside Iraq. It reflects the

incoherent lack of leadership that Saddam Hussein is now responsible for by those who were closest to him in his inner circle. It represents an unmasking of the truth that the world has long known, which is that he is not capable of civil leadership of the people of Iraq.

Q Do you have James Lee standing somewhere on the East Coast waving at Felix to tell it to go away?

MR. MCCURRY: A variety of federal agencies are carefully monitoring Hurricane Felix.

Q Is he stopping anywhere on the way home after Hawaii, like California?

MR. MCCURRY: It's possible. Yes. Can we announce that, Calvin? Yes, we expect him to visit Monterey, California, September 3, remain overnight in Monterey -- surprise, surprise. And on Monday, September 4, which is Labor Day, he will be speaking at Cal State University in Monterey Bay. The rest of the schedule we will announce as we determine it.

THE PRESS: Thank you.

END 1:36
P.M. EDT

#102-8-14

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 16 August 95 11:47
FROM Halperin, Morton H.
CLASSIFICATION ~~SECRET~~
SUBJECT Subject: RE: S. 908 ~~[SECRET]~~
TO Clarke, Richard A.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526 5/16/2007
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By VL NARA, Date 12/17/2018
2006-1363-1

Not so close hold. Everyone in the agencies now knows about it. Sandy confirmed that it was a deal to got nominations moving -- we did not realize how important it was to have a Peace Corp Director. Sandy said there were no commitments at the meeting to try to reach agreement. I have a call in to Tony seeking guidance.

From: Clarke, Richard A.
To: Halperin, Morton H.
CC: /N; NonRecord at A1
Subject: FW: S. 908 ~~[SECRET]~~
Date: Tuesday, August 15, 1995 06:10 PM

surprise!

you see "it was no big deal"...but it is also VERY CLOSE HOLD.

now that you know about it...why not ask Tony/Sandy what the strategy is?

From: Danvers, William C.
To: Halperin, Morton H.
CC: /R, Record at A1; Bass, Peter E.; Clarke, Richard A.; Simon, Steven N.; Wise, William M.; @LEGISLAT-Legislative Affairs
Subject: S. 908 ~~[SECRET]~~
Date: Tuesday, August 15, 1995 05:37 PM

Note for Mort:

I wanted to give you a further update on where we are on consolidation. I assume that is why you called.

There have been a further exchange of letters between State and Helms' staff. There will be an agenda setting meeting tomorrow with legislative affairs staff from the agencies-- State, USIA, ACDA and AID-- as well as Helms' staff and SFRC Dem. staff.

There will be NO/NO talk of policy or substance. That was agreed upon with Helms' staff and the interagency leg. group that met this afternoon.

You should also know that in a conversation I had with Bill Wise this morning, he stressed that any discussion of policy changes to our position on reorganization has to include NSC/OVP/NPR/OMB. He further stressed that we need to communicate that we have not changed our message on reorganization-- i.e. we are against consolidation. I passed this on State who understood and agreed.

One other point you should be aware of: last Friday, the President, the VP, Erskine Bowles, the Secretary of State, Sandy Berger, Pat Griffin (for a moment), Leon Panetta (for a moment) and Wendy Sherman met with Sen. Helms and four of his staff members to discuss consolidation.

As I understand it, Helms' staff made a presentation to the President on his consolidation proposal and there was not much more to it than that. Pat Griffin STRESSED that this meeting was not a big deal and should not be viewed as such. The meeting was VERY CLOSE HOLD, and I believe that people would prefer we not discuss it, particularly with the press.

Now you know all that I know.

I will give you an update after tomorrow's meeting.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 16 August 95 18:31

FROM Danvers, William C.

CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED

SUBJECT Subject: Legislative Update [UNCLASSIFIED]

TO Baker, Jane E.
Bass, Peter E.
Cicio, Kristen K.
Darby, Melanie B.
Dohse, Fred J.
Emery, Mary C.
Gray, Wendy
Hall, Wilma G.
Harmon, Joyce A.
Hawkins, Ardenia R.
Joshi, M. Kay
Millison, Cathy L.
Sens, Andrew D.
Veit, Katherine M.

CARBON_COPY Andricos, George M.
Burrell, Christina L.
Danvers, William C.
Fuerth, Leon S.
Wise, William M.

TEXT_BODY

S. 908: State, USIA, ACDA, AID and us met with Sen. Helms' staff, as well as Dem staffers on next steps on the State Auth. bill. We discussed our problems with the bill and asked that if there are further discussions that everything, including consolidation, be put on the table. They agreed.

We also agreed to send to the Committee a list of our problems with the bill, including resources and foreign policy micromanagement. State is preparing the list, and we will chop on it before it is sent to the Hill. The list will go up at the end of the month.

Ct. Dems indicated to the Rs that they felt left out of the process. They agreed to talk to each other to see if they can work out their differences.

We will regroup with Dems after they have had further discussions with the Rs to see if there is room to sit down and try to bridge the gap on our differences with the Rs in particular but the Dems as well. After those

discussions, and after the list is sent, we will consider next steps with the Ct.

Ct. Rs indicated that there would not be any more business meetings until a bill is signed-- meaning no START II or appointments. Probably won't hold to that line, if for no other reason there will be pressure from Senate Ds and Rs to keep things moving.

Clear that Dems were upset about Friday meeting and the fact that they were left out. Might be useful to have a similar meeting with key Dems after recess. What is very clear is that whatever we decide to do from here, we need to do it in close concert with Dems.

No substance discussed at all. No further movement until after recess.

MIDDLE EAST: Interagency meeting tomorrow with both policy and leg. people on a range of issues.

CONSULTATIONS: Met with Speaker's and Gephardt's staffers to discuss consultations. Speaker's staffer indicated that he would ask Speaker (and perhaps Arney) about setting up a regular process to meet with consultative group. Gephardt's staffer indicated that they would be willing to work with Speaker's office as well. In addition, talked with Gephardt staffer about setting up a regular meeting with House Dems on national security issues, perhaps every 6 to 8 weeks, similar to recent meeting we had with them. Not every meeting would have to be done at Secretary level. Our participation would depend on issue. Important thing is to keep in touch.

On issue of setting up CODELs of freshmen member per dinner with President, Speaker's office will take lead in setting these up.

INDOCHINESE REFUGEES: Will work with Eric in setting up a roll out process for Hill on this.

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
003. email	Richard Nuccio to Robert Malley. Subject: Helms/Burton Memo. (11 pages)	08/18/1995	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Senator Helms])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[07/28/1995-08/18/1995]

2006-1363-F

vz5604

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]