

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	To: Andreasen, Steven, et al; From: White House Situation Room; Re: WHSR Morning Summary (4 pages)	02/23/1996	P1/b(1)
002. email	To: Kristoff, Sandra, Kyle, Robert; From: Walsh, Helen; Re: Japan Briefing (6 pages)	03/26/1996	P1/b(1)
003. email	To: Bass, Peter, et al; From: Kristoff, Sandra; Re: Re: TPL lunch topics (2 pages)	04/03/1996	P1/b(1)
004. email	to: Bass, Peter, et al; From: Kristoff, Sandra; Re: cpl lunch (1 page)	04/05/1996	P1/b(1)
005. email	To: Black, Todd, et al; From: White House Situation Room; WHSR Log (4 pages)	04/12/1996	P1/b(1)
006. email	To: Bass, Peter, et al; From: Kristoff, Sandra; Re: Trip meeting today (2 pages)	04/12/1996	P1/b(1)
007. email	To: Andreasen, Steven, et al; From: White House Situation Room; Re: WHSR Evening Summary (4 pages)	04/12/1996	P1/b(1)
008. email	To: Goldgeier, James; From: Blacker, Coit; Re: Fw: clinton-hashimoto bilateral at G-7 (6 pages)	06/18/1996	P1/b(1)
009. email	To: Baker, Jane, et al; From: Friedrich, M.; Re: Fw: hashimoto briefer - nearly finished - and it nearly finished me (9 pages)	06/21/1996	P1/b(1)
010. email	To: Hill, Roseanne, et al; From: Schwartz, Eric; Re: Fw: Hashimoto bilateral in Lyon (8 pages)	06/22/1996	P1/b(1)
011. email	To: Lee, Malcolm; From: Zumwalt, James; Re: hashimoto paper (11 pages)	06/24/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Futenma])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/23/1996 - 08/13/1996]

2016-0420-F
sb1921

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.
PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).
RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
012. email	To: Pritchard, Charles (Jack); From: Sens, Andrew; Re: Re: Yamamoto Office Call (2 pages)	07/10/1996	P1/b(1)
013. email	To: Kyle, Robert, Mitsler, Elaine; From: Pritchard, Charles (Jack); Re: Berger Meeting (4 pages)	07/10/1996	P1/b(1)
014. email	To: Johnson, Davis; From: Fetig, James; Re: Fw: Lake Meeting (4 pages)	07/22/1996	P1/b(1)
015. email	To: Johnson, David; From: Fetig, James; Re: FW: Futenma (4 pages)	08/09/1996	P1/b(1)
016. email	To: Seaton, James; From: Sestak, Joseph; Re: Fw: LTGEN Pace (5 pages)	08/12/1996	P1/b(1)
017. email	To: Seaton, James; From: Sestak, Joseph; Re: No Subject [Cable, Re: Okinawa Base Issues] (5 pages)	08/13/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Futenma])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/23/1996 - 08/13/1996]

2016-0420-F

sb1921

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	To: Andreasen, Steven, et al; From: White House Situation Room; Re: WHSR Morning Summary (4 pages)	02/23/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Futenma])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/23/1996 - 08/13/1996]

2016-0420-F
sb1921

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002. email	To: Kristoff, Sandra, Kyle, Robert; From: Walsh, Helen; Re: Japan Briefing (6 pages)	03/26/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Futenma])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/23/1996 - 08/13/1996]

2016-0420-F
sb1921

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
003. email	To: Bass, Peter, et al; From: Kristoff, Sandra; Re: Re: TPL lunch topics (2 pages)	04/03/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Futenma])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/23/1996 - 08/13/1996]

2016-0420-F
sb1921

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
004. email	to: Bass, Peter, et al; From: Kristoff, Sandra; Re: cpl lunch (1 page)	04/05/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Futenma])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/23/1996 - 08/13/1996]

2016-0420-F
sb1921

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
005. email	To: Black, Todd, et al; From: White House Situation Room; WHSR Log (4 pages)	04/12/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Futenma])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/23/1996 - 08/13/1996]

2016-0420-F
sb1921

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 12 April 96 07:57
FROM Sestak, Joseph A.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: Japan-U.S. Defense agreement [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Kristoff, Sandra J.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

Nice! r, Joe

From: Kristoff, Sandra J.
To: Cullin, Brian P.; @ASIA - Asian Affairs; @DEFENSE - Defense Policy
CC: /R, Record at A1; @PRESS - Public Affairs; @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary
Subject: RE: Japan-U.S. Defense agreement [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Friday, April 12, 1996 07:52 AM

[[FUTENMA.DOC : 1820 in FUTENMA.DOC]]

Brian, Jim and david-- Try this. It is consistent with what I was told Mondale would be saying (as of about 11:320 last night). I have not seen Emb. cable reporting on the Hashimoto statement. We should try to limit ourselves to confirming that Futenma is part of a larger package and avoid announcing the Okinawa results which Perry is off to Tokyo tomorrow to handle. Let me know if you need anything else. sjk

From: Cullin, Brian P.
To: @ASIA - Asian Affairs; @DEFENSE - Defense Policy
CC: @PRESS - Public Affairs; @EXECSEC - Executive Secretary
Subject: Japan-U.S. Defense agreement [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Friday, April 12, 1996 06:49 AM

Just got a call from DoD saying Hashimoto will announce the agreement at 07:00 this AM our time because of leaks. We'll need a posture on this as soon as possible. Thanks, Brian

ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE 12 April 96 7:50

**ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME**

FUTENMA.DOC

OKINAWA

Q: Can you confirm reports the U.S. is returning Futenma Air Base to Japan as a result of last September's rape incident on Okianwa?

A:

☐ The U.S. and Japan established a Special Action Committee on Okinawa last November to discuss ways to lessen the burden of the America military presence on Japanese communities without sacrificing military readiness.

☐ On aspect of the discussions concerned the consolidation of our bases on Okinawa. The U.S. has offered to return Futenma Marine Air Base to Japan over a five to seven year period on the condition Japan provided and paid for adequate replacement facilities.

☐ The issue involving Futenma Air Base are part of a larger package of issues being discussed under the SACO process. Secretary Perry will be leaving for Tokyo tomorrow to conclude those discussions with Japan on a series of steps the U.S. will take to adjust the burden of our military presence in Japan.

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
006. email	To: Bass, Peter, et al; From: Kristoff, Sandra; Re: Trip meeting today (2 pages)	04/12/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Futenma])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/23/1996 - 08/13/1996]

2016-0420-F
sb1921

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
007. email	To: Andreasen, Steven, et al; From: White House Situation Room; Re: WHSR Evening Summary (4 pages)	04/12/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Futenma])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/23/1996 - 08/13/1996]

2016-0420-F
sb1921

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 10 May 96 13:31

FROM Wozniak, Natalie S.

CLASSIFICATION ***** CLASSIFICATION NOT FOUND *****

SUBJECT En Route Japan: Lake/Tyson Press Briefing Aboard Air Force One

TO

Abdulmalik, April B.
Alijanil, Leyla
Andreasen, Steven P.
Appel, Edward J.
Armstrong, Fulton T.
Atlas, Edwin L.
Baker, Jane E.
Baker, James E.
Bakke, Kyle D.
Baldwin, Kenneth
Bassett, Leslie A.
Bass, Peter E.
Battenfield, Pat
Beardsley, Tyler S.
Beers, Rand R.
Behring, Deanna M.
Bellamy, Ralph C.
Bell, Robert G.
Bemisderfer, Dwight D.
Bendick, Gordon L.
Benjamin, Daniel
Birkland, Andrea L.
Blacker, Coit D.
Black, Todd F.
Blieberger, Marion H.
Blinken, Antony J.
Bolinski, Charlene C.
Boynton, Peter J.
Brennan, Steven
Bresnahan, Gary E.
Brown, Dallas
Brown, Nancy E.
Bruton, Robert G.
Bryan, Lloyd D.
Bujold, Noelle D.
Burrell, Christina L.
Canty, Mary T.
Carter, Michael E.
Cicio, Kristen K.
Clark, Bronya

Clarke, Richard A.
Cooper, Kathleen H.
Cullin, Brian P.
Daadler, Ivo H.
Daniel, Patty A.
Danvers, William C.
Darnes, Victoria J.
Davis, William K.
Deshazer, Macarthur X.
Dimel, Marsha L.
Dobbins, James F.
Dohse, Fred J.
Dragone, Karen D.
Dupuy, Shawn L.
Dymersky, Debra L.
Eddy, Randolph P.
Edwards, Joan
Eisenhower, James J.
Epstein, Gerald L.
Fairfax, Kenneth J.
Feeley, John F.
Fetig, James L.
Ficklin, John W.
Flessas, Daniel
Florio, Elaine
Fried, Daniel
Friedrich, M. K.
Fuerth, Leon S.
Fuhrman, Thomas A.
Gates, Brian K.
George, Christopher
Gerstner, Christina L.
Gladbach, Damon J.
Glinski, David L.
Goldgeier, James M.
Gorsuch, Robert P.
Gray, Wendy
Grummon, Stephen R.
Guekel, Kathi A.
Haines, Mary A.
Hale, John E.
Hall, Wilma G.
Hamilton, Roy A.
Harding, Bruce D.
Harmon, Joyce A.
Harris, Elisa D.
Harrison, Lyle M.
Hasman, Thomas M.
Hawes, David J.
Hawkins, Ardenia R.

Heslin, Sheila N.
Hilliard, Brenda I.
Hill, Roseanne M.
Hilty, JoAnne M.
Hofmann, Stephan D.
Houck, Baerbel K.
Huggins, Peter
Hunerwadel, Joan S.
Johnson, David T.
Johnson, Natalie A.
Jones, Kerri-Ann D.
Jordan, Donald L.
Joshi, M. Kay
Kelly, Sandra L.
Kerr, Andrew S.
Kessinger, Jodi
Kinser-Kidane, Brenda J.
Kreczko, Alan J.
Kristoff, Sandra J.
Kyle, Robert D.
Leary, William H.
Lee, Malcolm R.
Letts, Kelly J.
Lorin, Matthew E.
Lowry, Jay E.
MacDonald, Bruce W.
Malley, Robert
Marshall, Betty A.
Martinez, Alejandro
Maxfield, Nancy H.
McCormick, Shawn H.
McIntyre, Stuart H.
Merchant, Brian
Miller, Matthew E.
Millison, Cathy L.
Miscik, Judith A.
Mitsler, Elaine M.
Miyaoka, Lester H.
Moody, Angelyn D.
Moore, Lori A.
Mueller, William (Doug) D.
Naplan, Steven J.
Neil, M. Elise
Norman, Marcia G.
Norris, John J.
O'loughlin, Kathrine
Parco, Jim E.
Gwyn M. Parker
Parramore, Carl W.
Parris, Mark R.

Pascual, Carlos E.
Peggins, John W.
Peters, Mary A.
Pifer, Steven K.
Kenneth M. Pollack
Poneman, Daniel B.
Porter, Gidell
Quinn, Mary E.
Ragan, Richard F.
Ramsay, Martha S.
Reade, Evan G.
Reed, George
Reznik, Victoria (NMI)
Rice, Susan E.
Ritterhauff, Robin
Roach, Darren S.
Roundtree, Beverly J.
Salvetti, Lisa M.
Samore, Gary S.
Sanborn, Daniel R. K.
David B. Sandalow
Satterfield, David M.
Saunders, John W.
Saunders, Richard M.
Schifter, Richard
Schmidt, John R.
Schmidt, Sandra E.
Schuker, Jill A.
Schwartz, Eric P.
Sculimbrene, Thomas A.
Seaton, James B.
Sens, Andrew D.
Sestak, Joseph A.
Sevigny, Theodore T.
Sheehan, Michael A.
Showalter, Victoria A.
Sigler, Ralph
Simon, Steven N.
Simons, James R.
Smith, Michael P.
Snyder, Julie A.
Sparks, John E.
Stauffer, Helen L.
Suettinger, Robert L.
Sulser, Jack A.
Tarullo, Daniel K.
Unrue, Michael M.
Van Tassel, David S.
Veit, Katherine M.
Vershbow, Alexander R.

Verville, Elizabeth G.
Wadsworth, Valon J.
Walsh, Helen C.
Ward, JoAnn X.
Weber, Paul A.
Wetzel, M. Jeanne
Whitworth, Frank D.
Wiley, Mary C.
Wilhelm, Richard J.
Willis, Robin M.
Wise, William M.
Witkowsky, Anne A.
Woolston, Ann E.
Wozniak, Natalie S.
Wright, Allison M.
Yorkdale, Glenn H.

CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE

TEXT_BODY [[LAKE-AF1.DOC : 3330 in LAKE-AF1.DOC]]

**ATTACHMENT
FILE DATE** 10 May 96 13:28

**ATTACHMENT
FILE NAME** LAKE-AF1.DOC

THE WHITE HOUSE

Office of the Press Secretary
(Tokyo, Japan)

For Immediate Release April 16, 1996

PRESS BRIEFING
BY
NATIONAL SECURITY ADVISOR TONY
LAKE
AND NATIONAL ECONOMIC ADVISOR DR.
LAURA TYSON

Aboard Air Force One
En Route To Tokyo, Japan

Q Okinawa, where does it stand now with Perry and Okinawa? Is the President going to do more with Hashimoto than Perry's done, or is he just reaffirming what --

MR. LAKE: Do you want a little shtick first or should we go right to questions? It's up to you.

Q Go ahead.

Q Shtick.

MR. LAKE: I'll do a shorthand shtick, and if you want to use any of it I can put in the prepositions and adjectives or whatever.

Basic point: Came to Korea to both announce the initiative -- and if you want more on the meetings I can give it to you, but I don't think you need them. There was nothing very new. To announce the initiative and reaffirm our security ties to Korea.

Japan -- we're trying to also reaffirm security ties for the following reason: Over the years our relationship with Japan has been defined publicly primarily in terms of economics. The fact is that the economics, thanks to a lot of hard bargaining which we'll continue on issues that Laura could talk about, has produced real results. We talked about, I think on this very plane and with most of this very group, of the statistics here, over 80 percent, in fact, export growth in those sectors, 20 sectors in which we had trade agreements -- now 21 -- which is about two and a half times overall export growth to Japan. So the economics are going well, still some problems.

But the result has been that there hasn't been enough attention to what has always been in our policy a balance between our security relationships and our economic relationships. We want to put more focus in this trip on the security relationships both through the joint security declaration that you will be seeing, which I can talk more about if you want or we can go into it tomorrow, through the visit to the independents, and coming out of

Secretary Perry's very successful visit here which is the culmination of some months since the SACO was announced of negotiations with the Japanese government on how to deal with the issue of the dislocations caused by our bases in Okinawa.

That went very well. Perry announced -- well, first of all, some of it leaked so that the Futenma part of it was announced then by Prime Minister Hashimoto and Ambassador Mondale. We hadn't intended that that would happen so early. And then Secretary Perry has announced the rest of it.

I would simply emphasize one point about that, which is that Secretary Perry did brief the President; the President was very, very pleased with this both because we have now completed the great bulk of the work in the SACO. There are some remaining details to be worked through. Those are mostly implementation issues, and I don't anticipate that there will be a lot of discussion with Prime Minister Hashimoto on those details. We have plenty of time to work that through between now and next fall.

Secondly, the President is very pleased -- and this is important throughout Asia -- because we have done this in a way that in no way degrades our military capacities in the Pacific.

To come back to the general security issue, I think there's one fact that strikes me about this that I may have mentioned to you all before, and that is for the first time since the Vietnam War, because we have drawn down in Europe and we have held constant in the Pacific, we now have approximately 100,000 troops in the Pacific and approximately 100,000 in Europe. And this is, I think, symbolic of the fact that we are paying equal attention to security and economic and other issues in both Europe and Asia.

This is something that we want to emphasize as the President now comes back to Asia. As you'll recall, his first trip abroad was to Japan and Asia. Now, we're coming back again.

End of shtick, and I think I actually suddenly wove in the answer to the question about Okinawa.

Q -- you don't include that?

MR. LAKE: Abroad -- overseas, not abroad. Overseas. Thank you very much. Yes, that was good. I didn't tell you about this little spat we had with Canada? Vancouver is now flying the American -- never mind. You'll hear about it when we get home. (Laughter.)

Laura, did you have anything on economic stuff you wanted to add?

Q What's the status of that trade --

DR. TYSON: Well, I would make a general statement, then I can answer. The general statement is that we embarked on a trade and economic strategy with Japan in 1993. We thought about it long and hard, and that strategy has produced real results. And we are coming to talk about the results; we are also coming to talk about the need to continue to enforce the agreements we've made and to work out some of the issues that remain before us.

We want to continue to make the point that our relationship is a win-win relationship, that the trade agreements we have signed have produces exports for us, but they've produced more competition, lower price, more choice in the Japanese marketplace. The most recent example of that is, of course, in autos and auto parts. We had two studies released last week, one on the automobile agreement and the other the CEA and Treasury study really documenting the tremendous gains in overall trade that have been made in the last three years.

The other thing we'll talk about, which was also talked about at the beginning, is the macroeconomic component of our relationship. I think both countries have made great progress relative to what we wanted to occur when we set down the framework in 1993. We intended to get the deficit down by half, and that's happened in our country. They were working to get their current account surplus down from 3.1 percent of GDP to around 2 percent, which is where it is. They have used a successful domination of fiscal stimulus and a -- monetary policy to come out of what has

been their deepest recession since World War II. So we'll talk about our macroeconomic relationship as well as our trading relationship.

A lot of results to celebrate together, but also recognizing that we remain committed to the framework. We have a joint communique which we'll be issuing. It says very clearly that we view the framework as a continued high priority, enforcement of the framework as a continued priority.

Q How hard will the President force the issue of -- trade factors -- trade issues? There have been stories leading up to this visit saying he'll mention it, but it's not going to be a priority like has been in the past? I mean, is he going to press it hard?

DR. TYSON: Each of these issues will be mentioned, each of them is on a slightly different track. We have four issues going into this summit and civil aviation, the cargo part of civil aviation has actually been resolved before the summit. But the anticipation is we'll bring up these issues here, but they will continue to be negotiated.

The semiconductor trade agreement doesn't expire until the summer. Insurance talks went on last Friday in Washington and will continue to go on after this. Mickey will be going on to Kobe to discuss semiconductors. And film, we will make the point we have been making with them over and over again, which is we think bilateral negotiations on issues of trade where we have disagreement is the productive way.

Q There are some stories quoting Japanese trade officials, especially on film, about the WTO and the bilateral relationship of the WTO makes bilateral talks not really useful anymore, and saying the U.S. has no more -- and the Japanese are ignoring the film issue altogether.

MR. LAKE: Did you see their remarks on that?

DR. TYSON: Which ones?

MR. LAKE: On that guy's remarks.

DR. TYSON: I haven't seen the remarks that you're referring to, no.

MR. LAKE: My understanding is that the Japanese officials were saying that more was made of those remarks than they had intended.

Q But the bottom line --

MR. LAKE: What they had said is that that official did not mean to go beyond their existing policy.

DR. TYSON: When were these remarks made?

MR. LAKE: Yesterday.

DR. TYSON: Their existing policy, their policy has been in this to say that this is something which we should -- first of all, they say we should wait until the JFTC survey is completed. Our view is the JFTC survey is only part of the issue that has been brought up in the Kodak-Fuji dispute, and that we think, as our other areas of trade where there's disagreement, bilateral negotiations are the best methods of working out the disagreement. We will continue to make that case in Tokyo.

Q So you don't expect to make any move on --

MR. LAKE: No, this summit is not about those four issues, no. But they will come up.

DR. TYSON: They will be raised, but they are each on a separate track and none of them were set up to be resolved at this meeting.

Q Are you sure you're not setting this up as pulling rabbits out of a hat?

MR. LAKE: No.

DR. TYSON: No.

MR. LAKE: Today was a bit of a rabbit, but we did try very subtly in nuanced ways to set you up for it without leaking it.

There will not be an economic rabbit coming out of the hat at this summit.

Q How long had this peace initiative been incubating?
Can you talk a little bit about how long --

MR. LAKE: We've been talking to them about it for -- well, let me back up. The specific notion of a two-plus-two has been around for some years. Last year there was some tentative thinking about it, but it never got to the stage of a formal proposal. We started working with the South Koreans on this, I'd say, over the last two months. Pretty much since my visit here, and

I think it was February. And we had most of it concluded and the Presidents has put the final seal on it before they went out.

Q Do you think there was any relationship between the incursions and this initiative that is going on?

MR. LAKE: No, actually, we'd been working on the initiative since before the incursions. So it would be very wrong to say the incursions created the initiative. I think the only real relationship is that the incursions, as the President said, do show the dangers of provocative actions that could lead to crises that could have very serious consequences, and this makes all the more important our working to try to achieve a peace settlement on the Peninsula.

Q Is there any consideration of adding U.S. troops in South Korea, such as taking up some of the ones to be taken out of Japan?

MR. LAKE: No. Over the past couple of years, and especially during the course of the negotiations on the nuclear, North Korea's nuclear program, we have made a number of qualitative improvements -- not major changes -- and we think that we are in a very strong, solid position in South Korea. And we don't anticipate new moves.

Q -- the area that are being moved out of Japan --

MR. LAKE: I don't anticipate that there will be any

significant changes in our troop deployments in Japan. What there will be is relocations within Japan, not movements of troops out of Japan, at least on any significant level.

Q Has there been any pressure applied to Japan to revise its Constitution --

MR. LAKE: No. Any discussions we will have with Japan about its role will be absolutely within the Japanese Constitution.

Q Are you doing anything -- whether you call it pressures, are you doing anything to help them figure out what they want to do along those lines?

MR. LAKE: Along which lines? I can't hear you very well.

Q To get involved in multinational peacekeeping --

MR. LAKE: No. I'm glad you asked that. We are very supportive of what the -- of the trend in Japanese foreign policies of greater engagement around the world. The role, for example, that Japan played in Cambodia was very positive. And we are supportive of this and in the JDPO of the kinds of things they've been talking about. But we are not putting pressure on them to do anything, and certainly we are not asking them to do anything that is beyond their Constitution. This is up to them.

Q Are you advising them in any way on what would be the right thing to do with their Constitution?

MR. LAKE: No, their Constitution is up to them, period. I could not state that more clearly or firmly.

Q You're presenting the security, this is what the U.S. wanted. But, clearly, the last six months there's been a lot of pressure in the United States to do something about the Okinawa

bases; and now there's a lot of people, including the governor of Okinawa, still upset about what the United States is doing, is asking for more, asking for troops to be moved out. I mean, do you think this is the beginning of a trend?

MR. LAKE: That is not what Secretary Perry reported. In fact, what we understand is that the reaction in Japan has been very, very positive to what Secretary Perry has worked through, including on the issue of Futenma.

Q Did you see the comments by Hosikawa two weeks ago in Washington? I mean, he said, you know --

MR. LAKE: I would refer you to the reaction to what we have announced today, rather than any comments of two weeks ago before this was all announced.

Q -- the student protests in the last couple of days in Okinawa -- there's an underlying anger there.

MR. LAKE: I think you will -- let me say to you first, of course, there was an anger in Okinawa, especially after the incidents, to the incident last fall, which we have stated we regret very deeply. And, of course, the people of Okinawa have seen their lives -- discombobulated is not a good word, is it, what would --

Q Disrupted -- disrupted is a good word.

MR. LAKE: -- disrupted to some degree by artillery practice, you know, training flights, et cetera. We're resolving those issues. I think you will discover when you get to Japan that there is -- all our reports suggests a very, very positive reaction to what we have accomplished. And, again, I would emphasize that we have done this without degrading our military capacities in the region.

So we're very happy. We understand the Japanese are very happy. The Japanese government has played a very positive role in doing this.

Q What is the President going to be saying directly to the Japanese people on this trip in his speeches? What kind of message is there going to be directly to try and influence, you

know, broad public opinion?

MR. LAKE: Let me say one more thing and, again, I'll come to that. Because I saw recently -- I could try to find for you a USIA poll which showed that public support in Japan for the security relationship has been growing again in the past couple of months after it went down in the wake of the Okinawa incident.

I think the President will be trying to make the point that's somewhat to the akin to the point Laura was making on economics, that there are those in Japan who argue that the Japanese are doing us a favor by allowing us to station troops in there and to maintain the security alliance. And there are those international United States who argue that we are doing the Japanese a favor by offering them the alliance and our security presence.

What the President will argue is that, in fact, this is in both of our interests, as it eventually is. Just as Laura was saying on the economic side, that opening the Japanese market is good for us in creating new jobs and good for the Japanese in creating greater consumer choice. So the President wants to keep talking about this mutuality of interest as a way of reinforcing public support in both Japan and in the United States for a security relationship that serves our security interest.

If anybody has to ask why this is in our mutual interest, they only have to look at the events on the Taiwan Straits of a month ago, and they only have to look at the tensions on the Korean Peninsula over the last few years. I would also argue that our maintaining this presence in Northeast Asia in the long-term is very much in the interests of everybody -- United States, Japan, China, South Korea, everybody -- because if we were to withdraw them it would create a vacuum in the region that could only then be filled by an arms race which could include China, Korea, Japan and others. It would be in nobody's interest, either security terms or economic terms.

Q What can you tell us about the security declaration?

MR. LAKE: It will review the important -- as I said,

the importance of our security ties in general terms. It will -- and this is the first time we've done this a number of years -- it will review the importance of the security ties in terms of events within the region. And it will specifically endorse maintaining 100,000 American troops in the Pacific and maintaining about our current troop levels in Japan. It will not name a number, but it will endorse about the current levels.

Q Is it 100,000 for the Pacific, though?

MR. LAKE: Yes. We have about 47,000 troops in Japan, but that varies up and down and we don't want to, therefore, use a specific number.

Q -- 38,000?

MR. LAKE: About 47,000.

Q What will the declaration say about compensation from the Japanese for our troop presence?

MR. LAKE: I can't remember, frankly, but it says -- I will say that the Japanese offer support for our troops at a higher rate than any other nation in the world and we are well pleased with what they are doing there. It's about \$25 billion over five years.

Q Twenty-five million?

MR. LAKE: About \$25 billion over five years. I'll go check that and get back to you if I've got that wrong, but -- which is 70-some percent, over 70 percent of our net cost. Not all our costs, because they don't pay the salaries of our troops, but in the additional costs of being there.

Q What's the purpose of the trip to the carrier tomorrow, and what's the message there?

MR. LAKE: I think the message is, one, as the President does almost everywhere he goes that he is grateful for the sacrifices our men make, especially those at sea who are separated from their families -- our men and women -- and very proud of the job they are doing, one.

Two, there's a Japanese cruiser that is moored nearby and he will point to the symbol of our cooperation with the Japanese. And, third, it is a way again of dramatizing our security ties to the Japanese on the fact that we are a Pacific power.

Q Can we change the subject for a second?

MR. LAKE: Certainly, especially if it will be getting heard at --

Q Lebanon and trade. (Laughter.)

Q Besides -- that Christopher has made, what else is going on? Is it just the status quo, or do you think the President is going to get personally involved?

MR. LAKE: The Secretary has been staying in very close touch, of course, with the President, as he works the telephones, which he's continuing to do. I don't have much new to report from actually what was reported to you, that McCurry said in Anchorage, it's a work in progress. The Secretary's being here does not mean that he's not working this issue very hard.

Q The message seemed to be from Peres that he's upping the French offer and that --

MR. LAKE: I really would rather not get into what is a constant evolution here.

Q Has the President sent messages to Peres or anyone else through --

MR. LAKE: I know that the Secretary is speaking for the President when he makes his calls.

What's that?

Q That's good.

MR. LAKE: Good what?

Q It's a good thing -- he should, the Secretary speak for the President.

MR. LAKE: We like to run the government that way, yes.

Q (Inaudible).

MR. LAKE: What? We speak only to God. Secretary speaks only to Presidents and we speak only to God. (Laughter.) Okay. Anything else on Korea? Going once --

Q How is your rotisserie team going?

MR. LAKE: First place, thank you very much. Quickly out of the blocks. A very heavy-hitting team, a little weak on pitching, but Ben McDonald is looking good, and I'm very hopeful.

Q Oh, his rotisserie team. I didn't know.

DR. TYSON: Could I make one general point that I think I'd like to reiterate. We've made it before, but one of the things on the trade issue, there's a tendency to want to assess each of these meetings in terms of are we giving more or less attention to the trade issue and does that mean a change in our policy?

And I really want to emphasize that I see it as a policy of continuity and consistency, that we had the same policy, it's produced results. The fact that at this particular meeting we will talk about some of the issues, but they will be moving forward on different tracks should not in any way be interpreted as a change in the priority we afford to the trade issue or to a change in our policy, which has been consistent the whole time. And our view is that each part of this relationship has to be healthy and secure and stable in order for the overall relationship to be --

MR. LAKE: I hope you heard the way I put it in the beginning, which is that there is a balance in our policy, always has been, but we don't think it's been perceived that way. This is not the security going up and the trade, the economics coming down. After she leaves I'll tell you what it really is.

You're all my closest friends. Do I need more of these?

Q No, you're fine.

MR. LAKE: Okay, good.

Q So have you heard anything from China on --

MR. LAKE: On this?

Q Yes.

MR. LAKE: The South Koreans have been in touch with the Chinese, as have we. And the Chinese have expressed their understanding of what we are doing.

Q That sounds very controlled.

MR. LAKE: Well, no, I think that's -- if you go back and look at the way we worked with the Chinese on the North Korean nuclear issue, as I think we talked about two years ago, they would never tell us what they were actually doing. So I think it's a reaction, we're not displeased.

Q So is understanding a precursor, usually, to saying yes?

MR. LAKE: Well, we'll have to see. I think, you know, we have to work it now with the North Koreans, mostly -- not "we," but mostly South Koreans. And we've both been in touch with the North Koreans.

Q Are the Chinese going to follow more or less with what the North Koreans do?

SENIOR ADMINISTRATION OFFICIAL: LET ME GO ON BACKGROUND HERE, because I don't want to speak for the Chinese. I think you've got that right, yes.

Q (Inaudible).

MR. LAKE: Well, no, I wouldn't just say just totally follows suit, but I think they'll wait and see a little. And, again, as the two Presidents were saying, and they discussed this at some length in their meeting, we don't expect the North Koreans to give an immediate positive response. As the President said, that would be great, we don't yet have their official response. We'll have to see what it is.

This is an offer that will remain open. We will be patient, we will simply continue to work it. We do think this is the way forward and we hope it will bear fruit. But it may require some patience. The important thing is that it is a formal proposal, it is on the table and will stay on the table and we will continue to pursue it. I'm told, I believe that the Japanese government has made a supportive statement in the last couple of hours.

Q -- through our allies going to make a big diplomatic push to try to get other friendly countries towards the North Korea to urge them to do this, or are we putting it out there and let the North Koreans do what they want?

MR. LAKE: I think the North Koreans will make this decision as they usually do based on their own calculation of their interest. So I'm not sure trying to put a lot of international pressure on them now would be productive. I think it's time -- they understand what's in the proposal, it's a positive proposal and I think we'll give them a chance to work it through.

Q Who might contact them and try to persuade them.

MR. LAKE: What?

Q Who might you expect to contact them?

MR. LAKE: Well, I was just saying that we have been in touch with them, they understand it and we're waiting for their word now.

Q Are we looking at weeks or months before something happens, Tony?

MR. LAKE: We just don't know.

Q Tony, has Yeltsin been briefed on this proposal?

MR. LAKE: The Russians have been briefed, yes.

Q What's their opinion about this?

MR. LAKE: I think we'll continue -- we don't have, really, a response. I'm sure we'll be discussing it again when we get to Moscow, as the President will be discussing it with the Japanese, although we already have a positive response from them.

Okay? Thank you.

Q You don't have a date yet for a corporate conference, right --

DR. TYSON: No -- if anything, and more likely sometime - - we were supposed to work out a date last week, but as you know, everything was so -- so there is a commitment to have it. It will be approximately the same format that we had always planned and we'll find the first open date that works with other things on the President's schedule. But certainly we'll have it some time in May.

THE PRESS: Thank you.

END

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
008. email	To: Goldgeier, james; From: Blacker, Coit; Re: Fw: clinton-hashimoto bilateral at G-7 (6 pages)	06/18/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Futenma])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/23/1996 - 08/13/1996]

2016-0420-F
sb1921

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
009. email	To: Baker, Jane, et al; From: Friedrich, M.; Re: Fw: hashimoto briefers - nearly finished - and it nearly finished me (9 pages)	06/21/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Futenma])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/23/1996 - 08/13/1996]

2016-0420-F
sb1921

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or
financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President
and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed
of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C.
2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of
an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial
information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement
purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of
financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information
concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
010. email	To: Hill, Roseanne, et al; From: Schwartz, Eric; Re: Fw: Hashimoto bilateral in lyon (8 pages)	06/22/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Futenma])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/23/1996 - 08/13/1996]

2016-0420-F
sb1921

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or
financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President
and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed
of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C.
2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of
an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial
information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement
purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of
financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information
concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
011. email	To: Lee, Malcolm; From: Zumwalt, James; Re: hashimoto paper (11 pages)	06/24/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Futenma])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/23/1996 - 08/13/1996]

2016-0420-F
sb1921

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
012. email	To: Pritchard, Charles (Jack); From: Sens, Andrew; Re: Re: Yamamoto Office Call (2 pages)	07/10/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Futenma])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/23/1996 - 08/13/1996]

2016-0420-F
sb1921

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
013. email	To: Kyle, Robert, Mitsler, Elaine; From: Pritchard, Charles (Jack); Re: Berger Meeting (4 pages)	07/10/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Futenma])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/23/1996 - 08/13/1996]

2016-0420-F
sb1921

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
014. email	To: Johnson, Davis; From: Fetig, James; Re: Fw: Lake Meeting (4 pages)	07/22/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Futenma])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/23/1996 - 08/13/1996]

2016-0420-F
sb1921

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
015. email	To: Johnson, David; From: Fetig, James; Re: FW: Futenma (4 pages)	08/09/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Futenma])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/23/1996 - 08/13/1996]

2016-0420-F
sb1921

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 12 August 96 10:07
FROM Pritchard, Charles (Jack) L.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Call with Bill Wright [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Kristoff, Sandra J.
CARBON_COPY Pritchard, Charles (Jack) L.
TEXT_BODY

Sandy,

As I mentioned in my Trip Report, Kadena is going to reach up and bite us in
.....

The question now is, how do we handle this with limited options. We should never have agreed to seriously consider Kadena, but we did - at the Undersecretary of Defense level. Now we are stuck trying to justify each and every aspect of Futenma, Kadena, and co-located Kadena operations to the Japanese who have been given political guidance to make Kadena happen.

We need to consider if we want to have the President weigh in early in this process and send a political message to Hashimoto that says, for the strategic viability of our forward presence at Kadena, we do NOT want to move Futenma operations there. If we do it early enough, there may be a chance that Hashimoto backs off and looks for another solution that can be "announced" 24 Sep. The danger is that Hashimoto will come back to the President with a rational, why not Kadena response. If not Kadena, then we must fully explain to the Japanese people (Okinawans) why it is not feasible.

At this point I favor putting DOD and the Embassy on notice to provide us with a draft "kill the Kadena option now" presidential letter and be prepared to answer the why not when asked.

I have no confidence that this will sort itself out to our satisfaction. The longer we let it go on (military rationalizing to JDA/MOFA regarding Kadena) the more likelihood it is that something will not be explained and the Japanese will seize upon it and home in for the political kill that we will not be able to deflect.

Jack

From: Kristoff, Sandra J.
To: Pritchard, Charles (Jack) L.
CC: /N, NonRecord at A1

Subject: Call with Bill Wright [UNCLASSIFIED]

Date: Monday, August 12, 1996 09:21 AM

Jack--i called adm. wright to let him know Berger had approved the avenger sale. he raised two points with me. first, the kadena issue is likely to come to a head this week. uniforms are at an impasse. kurt will need to weigh in. second, the sofa with korea details seem to be moving toward not turning over service personnel on arrest; i agree with this although laney may throw a bit of a fit. sjk

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
016. email	To: Seaton, James; From: Sestak, Joseph; Re: Fw: LTGEN Pace (5 pages)	08/12/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Futenma])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/23/1996 - 08/13/1996]

2016-0420-F
sb1921

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
017. email	To: Seaton, James; From: Sestak, Joseph; Re: No Subject [Cable, Re: Okinawa Base Issues] (5 pages)	08/13/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail-Record (Sept 94-Sept 97) ([Futenma])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[02/23/1996 - 08/13/1996]

2016-0420-F
sb1921

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]