Estimates of the Effects of the Conference Agreement Medicaid Plan on States, 2002 and 1996 - 2002
Formula as of November 16, 1995
(Dollars in Millions, Federal Spending, Fiscal Years)

States	2002				1996-2002			
	Baseline Spending (1)	Proposed Spending (2)	Federal Savings	Percent Reduction	Baseline Spending (1)	Proposed Spending (2)	Federal Savings	Percent Reduction
Total	$176,931	$127,418	($49,513)	-28%	$954,338	$791,146	($163,192)	-17%
Alabama	$2,485	$2,145	($340)	-14%	$13,823	$12,864	($959)	-7%
Alaska	$373	$275	($98)	-26%	$2,001	$1,660	($341)	-17%
Arizona	$2,436	$1,913	($523)	-21%	$12,903	$11,658	($1,244)	-10%
Arkansas	$2,084	$1,430	($655)	-31%	$11,081	$8,574	($2,507)	-23%
California	$17,955	$13,678	($4,278)	-24%	$95,663	$80,310	($15,353)	-16%
Colorado	$1,521	$1,030	($491)	-32%	$8,163	$6,367	($1,796)	-22%
Connecticut	$2,345	$1,688	($657)	-28%	$12,990	$11,094	($1,896)	-15%
Delaware	$323	$285	($38)	-12%	$1,728	$1,720	($8)	0%
District of Columbi	$846	$579	($267)	-32%	$4,511	$3,802	($709)	-16%
Florida	$7,691	$5,680	($2,011)	-26%	$40,720	$33,045	($7,675)	-19%
Georgia	$4,900	$3,301	($1,599)	-33%	$26,050	$20,348	($5,702)	-22%
Hawaii	$508	$373	($135)	-27%	$2,732	$2,450	($281)	-10%
Idaho	$545	$426	($119)	-22%	$2,933	$2,448	($484)	-17%
Illinois	$6,207	$4,684	($1,523)	-25%	$33,242	$29,056	($4,186)	-13%
Indiana	$4,317	$2,589	($1,727)	-40%	$23,100	$16,069	($7,032)	-30%
Iowa	$1,440	$1,108	($333)	-23%	$7,807	$6,874	($933)	-12%
Kansas	$1,079	$946	($133)	-12%	$5,962	$5,874	($88)	-1%
Kentucky	$3,455	$2,230	($1,225)	-35%	$18,353	$13,374	($4,979)	-27%
Louisiana	$6,147	$2,909	($3,237)	-53%	$33,991	$18,818	($15,173)	-45%
Maine	$1,092	$801	($291)	-27%	$5,999	$5,264	($735)	-12%
Maryland	$2,532	$1,810	($722)	-29%	$13,478	$11,266	($2,212)	-16%
Massachusetts	$4,717	$3,312	($1,404)	-30%	$25,516	$21,815	($3,701)	-15%
Michigan	$5,992	$4,595	($1,397)	-23%	$32,153	$28,518	($3,635)	-11%
Minnesota	$2,701	$2,070	($631)	-23%	$14,665	$13,602	($1,063)	-7%
Mississippi	$2,342	$1,929	($413)	-18%	$12,640	$11,100	($1,540)	-12%
Missouri	$2,625	$2,484	($141)	-5%	$14,871	$15,338	$467	3%
Montana	$636	$422	($214)	-34%	$3,409	$2,603	($807)	-24%
Nebraska	$822	$542	($280)	-34%	$4,448	$3,662	($785)	-18%
Nevada	$540	$394	($145)	-27%	$2,899	$2,539	($360)	-12%
New Hampshire	$631	$375	($256)	-41%	$3,728	$2,542	($1,186)	-32%
New Jersey	$5,100	$3,294	($1,806)	-35%	$28,038	$21,775	($6,263)	-22%
New Mexico	$1,147	$970	($177)	-15%	$6,066	$5,605	($461)	-8%
New York	$22,034	$14,888	($7,146)	-32%	$119,527	$98,331	($21,196)	-18%
North Carolina	$5,406	$3,432	($1,974)	-37%	$29,014	$21,298	($7,716)	-27%
North Dakota	$457	$320	($137)	-30%	$2,491	$1,985	($506)	-20%
Ohio	$7,508	$5,350	($2,158)	-29%	$40,586	$33,200	($7,386)	-18%
Oklahoma	$2,060	$1,393	($667)	-32%	$11,074	$8,016	($3,058)	-28%
Oregon	$1,649	$1,444	($205)	-12%	$8,884	$8,982	$98	1%
Pennsylvania	$7,102	$5,818	($1,284)	-18%	$38,448	$36,174	($2,273)	-6%
Rhode Island	$1,004	$630	($374)	-37%	$5,465	$4,138	($1,327)	-24%
South Carolina	$2,756	$2,291	($465)	-17%	$15,252	$13,741	($1,511)	-10%
South Dakota	$442	$349	($93)	-21%	$2,380	$2,163	($217)	-9%
Tennessee	$4,587	$3,342	($1,245)	-27%	$24,576	$20,739	($3,838)	-16%
Texas	$11,358	$9,102	($2,257)	-20%	$61,167	$55,273	($5,894)	-10%
Utah	$960	$683	($278)	-29%	$5,128	$4,103	($1,024)	-20%
Vermont	$366	$305	($61)	-17%	$1,982	$1,919	($63)	-3%
Virginia	$2,434	$1,607	($827)	-34%	$13,022	$9,835	($3,188)	-24%
Washington	$3,381	$2,035	($1,346)	-40%	$18,203	$13,405	($4,797)	-26%
West Virginia	$2,591	$1,534	($1,057)	-41%	$13,723	$9,521	($4,203)	-31%
Wisconsin	$3,066	$2,267	($799)	-26%	$16,484	$14,069	($2,415)	-15%
Wyoming	$236	$178	($58)	-24%	$1,269	$1,077	($193)	-15%

Note: The savings do not total the savings from the CBO baseline because of the differences in the Urban Institute & CBO baselines.
(1) From The Urban Institute as reported in "The Impact of the Budget Resolution Conference Agreement on Medicaid Expenditures", July 1995.
(2) From the GAO estimates of the block grant allocation of the Conference Agrement proposal, as of November 16, 1995
Note: These estimates include supplemental payments for illegal aliens, Nebraska, Nevada & Louisiana
Source: U.S. DHHS
16-Nov-95

Comparison of the Conference Agreement to the October House and Senate Medicaid Proposals: 1996-2002

(Dollars in Millions, Federal Spending, Fiscal Years)

States	Baseline (1)	Conference Agreement Medicaid Block Grant		Senate Proposal Reduction in Medicaid		House Proposal Reduction in Medicaid	
		Reduction (2)	Percent Reduction	Reduction (2)	Percent Reduction	Reduction (2)	Percent Reduction
United States	**954,338**	**-163,192**	**-17%**	**-175,594**	**-18%**	**-169,357**	**-18%**
Alabama	13,823	-959	-7%	-958	-7%	-1,155	-8%
Alaska	2,001	-341	-17%	-341	-17%	-521	-26%
Arizona	12,903	-1,244	-10%	-1,328	-10%	-1,258	-10%
Arkansas	11,081	-2,507	-23%	-2,506	-23%	-2,964	-27%
California	95,663	-15,353	-16%	-17,964	-19%	-15,555	-16%
Colorado	8,163	-1,796	-22%	-1,820	-22%	-1,927	-24%
Connecticut	12,990	-1,896	-15%	-2,341	-18%	-1,896	-15%
Delaware	1,728	-8	0%	-8	0%	-385	-22%
District of Columbia	4,511	-709	-16%	-710	-16%	-882	-20%
Florida	40,720	-7,675	-19%	-9,205	-23%	-9,537	-23%
Georgia	26,050	-5,702	-22%	-5,776	-22%	-5,709	-22%
Hawaii	2,732	-281	-10%	-281	-10%	-443	-16%
Idaho	2,933	-484	-17%	-488	-17%	-522	-18%
Illinois	33,242	-4,186	-13%	-4,391	-13%	-5,798	-17%
Indiana	23,100	-7,032	-30%	-7,258	-31%	-7,032	-30%
Iowa	7,807	-933	-12%	-933	-12%	-936	-12%
Kansas	5,962	-88	-1%	-88	-1%	-133	-2%
Kentucky	18,353	-4,979	-27%	-4,978	-27%	-4,979	-27%
Louisiana	33,991	-15,173	-45%	-15,175	-45%	-5,262	-15%
Maine	5,999	-735	-12%	-845	-14%	-735	-12%
Maryland	13,478	-2,212	-16%	-2,285	-17%	-2,487	-18%
Massachusetts	25,516	-3,701	-15%	-5,684	-22%	-3,707	-15%
Michigan	32,153	-3,635	-11%	-3,634	-11%	-4,253	-13%
Minnesota	14,665	-1,063	-7%	-1,544	-11%	-1,942	-13%
Mississippi	12,640	-1,540	-12%	-1,819	-14%	-1,697	-13%
Missouri	14,871	467	3%	467	3%	321	2%
Montana	3,409	-807	-24%	-820	-24%	-930	-27%
Nebraska	4,448	-785	-18%	-785	-18%	-895	-20%
Nevada	2,899	-360	-12%	-877	-30%	-630	-22%
New Hampshire	3,728	-1,186	-32%	-1,187	-32%	532	14%
New Jersey	28,038	-6,263	-22%	-6,395	-23%	-6,437	-23%
New Mexico	6,066	-461	-8%	-489	-8%	-785	-13%
New York	119,527	-21,196	-18%	-21,710	-18%	-21,975	-18%
North Carolina	29,014	-7,716	-27%	-7,715	-27%	-8,596	-30%
North Dakota	2,491	-506	-20%	-506	-20%	-511	-21%
Ohio	40,586	-7,386	-18%	-7,637	-19%	-7,944	-20%
Oklahoma	11,074	-3,058	-28%	-3,178	-29%	-3,058	-28%
Oregon	8,884	98	1%	76	1%	-1,397	-16%
Pennsylvania	38,448	-2,273	-6%	-2,538	-7%	-3,144	-8%
Rhode Island	5,465	-1,327	-24%	-1,328	-24%	-1,550	-28%
South Carolina	15,252	-1,511	-10%	-1,515	-10%	-1,516	-10%
South Dakota	2,380	-217	-9%	-217	-9%	-375	-16%
Tennessee	24,576	-3,838	-16%	-3,837	-16%	-6,228	-25%
Texas	61,167	-5,894	-10%	-7,006	-11%	-6,038	-10%
Utah	5,128	-1,024	-20%	-1,031	-20%	-1,116	-22%
Vermont	1,982	-63	-3%	-65	-3%	-365	-18%
Virginia	13,022	-3,188	-24%	-3,292	-25%	-3,193	-25%
Washington	18,203	-4,797	-26%	-4,832	-27%	-5,112	-28%
West Virginia	13,723	-4,203	-31%	-4,202	-31%	-4,460	-32%
Wisconsin	16,484	-2,415	-15%	-2,414	-15%	-2,935	-18%
Wyoming	1,269	-193	-15%	-202	-16%	-285	-22%

Note: The savings do not total the savings from the CBO baseline because of the differences in the Urban Institute & CBO baselines.

(1) From The Urban Institute as reported in "The Impact of the Budget Resolution Conference Agreement on Medicaid Expenditures", July 1995.

(2) From the GAO estimates of the block grant allocation of the Conference Agrement proposal, as of November 16, 1995, and the bills passes on the floor, estimated on Novem

Note: These estimates include supplemental payments for illegal aliens, Nebraska, Nevada & Louisiana

Source: U.S. DHHS

16-Nov-95

Comparison of the Republican Medicaid Proposals
Federal Savings by States, 1996 - 2002
(Dollars in Millions, Federal Spending, Fiscal Years)

States	September House	October House	September Senate	October Senate	Conference Agreement
United States	**-182,366**	**-169,357**	**-186,733**	**-175,594**	**-163,192**
Alabama	-1,155	-1,155	-2,607	-958	-959
Alaska	-554	-521	-394	-341	-341
Arizona	-1,328	-1,258	-2,517	-1,328	-1,244
Arkansas	-2,964	-2,964	-2,860	-2,506	-2,507
California	-18,693	-15,555	-13,801	-17,964	-15,353
Colorado	-1,953	-1,927	-1,297	-1,820	-1,796
Connecticut	-2,145	-1,896	-3,544	-2,341	-1,896
Delaware	-415	-385	-115	-8	-8
District of Columbia	-963	-882	-734	-710	-709
Florida	-10,531	-9,537	-8,944	-9,205	-7,675
Georgia	-5,776	-5,709	-5,904	-5,776	-5,702
Hawaii	-443	-443	-267	-281	-281
Idaho	-575	-522	-581	-488	-484
Illinois	-6,135	-5,798	-2,902	-4,391	-4,186
Indiana	-7,287	-7,032	-8,624	-7,258	-7,032
Iowa	-936	-936	-1,082	-933	-933
Kansas	-133	-133	-704	-88	-88
Kentucky	-4,979	-4,979	-4,928	-4,978	-4,979
Louisiana	-5,269	-5,262	-15,352	-15,175	-15,173
Maine	-853	-735	-946	-845	-735
Maryland	-2,516	-2,487	-1,536	-2,285	-2,212
Massachusetts	-4,239	-3,707	-5,652	-5,684	-3,701
Michigan	-4,253	-4,253	-4,692	-3,634	-3,635
Minnesota	-2,072	-1,942	-1,019	-1,544	-1,063
Mississippi	-1,938	-1,697	-1,251	-1,819	-1,540
Missouri	321	321	-2,043	467	467
Montana	-943	-930	-948	-820	-807
Nebraska	-932	-895	-692	-785	-785
Nevada	-680	-630	-850	-877	-360
New Hampshire	437	532	-1,164	-1,187	-1,186
New Jersey	-7,032	-6,437	-7,172	-6,395	-6,263
New Mexico	-902	-785	-615	-489	-461
New York	-24,588	-21,975	-21,450	-21,710	-21,196
North Carolina	-8,596	-8,596	-8,319	-7,715	-7,716
North Dakota	-511	-511	-540	-506	-506
Ohio	-7,944	-7,944	-6,683	-7,637	-7,386
Oklahoma	-3,235	-3,058	-3,423	-3,178	-3,058
Oregon	-1,596	-1,397	-35	76	98
Pennsylvania	-3,153	-3,144	-2,422	-2,538	-2,273
Rhode Island	-1,638	-1,550	-1,141	-1,328	-1,327
South Carolina	-1,516	-1,516	-2,954	-1,515	-1,511
South Dakota	-378	-375	-240	-217	-217
Tennessee	-6,424	-6,228	-4,165	-3,837	-3,838
Texas	-7,001	-6,038	-12,187	-7,006	-5,894
Utah	-1,116	-1,116	-1,052	-1,031	-1,024
Vermont	-401	-365	-205	-65	-63
Virginia	-3,300	-3,193	-3,079	-3,292	-3,188
Washington	-5,434	-5,112	-5,579	-4,832	-4,797
West Virginia	-4,460	-4,460	-4,615	-4,202	-4,203
Wisconsin	-2,935	-2,935	-2,639	-2,414	-2,415
Wyoming	-305	-285	-264	-202	-193

Note: The savings do not total the savings from the CBO baseline because of the differences in the Urban Institute & CBO baselines.

(1) From The Urban Institute as reported in "The Impact of the Budget Resolution Conference Agreement on Medicaid Expenditures", July 1995.

All other estimates from the GAO estimates of the block grant allocation of the Republican proposals.

Note: These estimates include supplemental payments for illegal aliens, Nebraska, Nevada & Louisiana

Source: U.S. DHHS

16-Nov-95

Coverage Loss Under the Conference Agreement Medicaid Block Grant

- The Republican Conference Agreement block grant proposal will reduce Federal Medicaid spending by $164 billion between 1996 and 2002 -- a 28% cut in the year 2002 alone.

- Even if states were able to reduce program spending through provider payment and service reductions, they could be forced to eliminate coverage for as many as 7.7 million Americans in 2002 alone. This includes:

    - 850,000 low-income seniors;

    - 1.3 million people with disabilities; and

    - 3.8 million children.

- Medicaid is the primary payer of nursing home care -- today, 68% of nursing home residents rely on Medicaid to pay bills that average $38,000 annually. Under the Republican block grant proposal, as many as 330,000 residents of nursing homes could lose coverage in 2002.

- States also count on Medicaid to provide health coverage for their rural residents. The Republican proposal could force states to eliminate coverage for about 1.9 million rural residents in 2002.

US DHHS; 18-Nov-95; Based on the methodology used in previous analyses

Draft Estimates of the Number of People Losing Coverage Under the Republican Block Grant
By Group, 2002 (rounded to the nearest 100)
November 18, 1995

	TOTAL	BY GROUP			SUBSET
		Aged	Disabled	Families	Children
Total	7,755,100	861,500	1,300,200	5,593,500	3,845,000
Alabama	42,400	5,100	10,600	26,700	18,900
Alaska	16,400	800	1,400	14,100	9,300
Arizona	47,800	16,000	16,800	15,000	10,400
Arkansas	112,000	14,800	26,700	70,500	48,700
California	723,000	59,300	91,000	572,700	356,600
Colorado	101,900	11,200	17,600	73,200	50,200
Connecticut	107,100	10,800	17,800	78,500	53,600
Delaware	3,100	200	500	2,400	1,700
District of Columbia	21,600	1,600	4,700	15,300	10,700
Florida	454,000	50,700	61,000	342,200	272,000
Georgia	354,100	38,100	59,100	256,900	174,700
Hawaii	28,200	2,600	4,300	21,300	14,500
Idaho	22,700	2,000	3,700	17,000	11,900
Illinois	183,300	14,700	37,400	131,200	92,300
Indiana	183,900	19,300	28,500	136,100	93,000
Iowa	55,900	7,000	9,400	39,500	26,400
Kansas	12,100	1,400	1,900	8,900	6,000
Kentucky	197,400	20,400	49,700	127,300	84,500
Louisiana	458,200	49,300	79,500	329,400	234,400
Maine	45,900	5,700	9,600	30,600	20,500
Maryland	97,900	8,900	18,800	70,200	49,700
Massachusetts	232,200	26,600	48,300	157,300	104,700
Michigan	123,500	8,800	24,400	90,300	57,900
Minnesota	76,900	9,900	10,600	56,300	38,400
Mississippi	52,800	6,800	11,200	34,800	25,200
Missouri	0	0	0	0	0
Montana	27,300	3,000	5,700	18,600	10,300
Nebraska	56,000	6,300	7,500	42,200	31,400
Nevada	23,200	2,600	3,700	17,000	11,600
New Hampshire	38,300	17,800	13,700	6,800	4,600
New Jersey	297,100	27,400	52,000	217,700	142,500
New Mexico	14,500	1,400	3,100	10,000	6,800
New York	853,200	87,800	132,800	632,600	454,600
North Carolina	497,600	86,600	69,900	341,100	223,500
North Dakota	21,200	3,200	2,800	15,200	10,600
Ohio	300,700	33,200	51,500	216,000	147,300
Oklahoma	131,400	14,800	17,300	99,300	69,300
Oregon	24,400	1,800	3,200	19,400	12,900
Pennsylvania	166,900	17,100	36,400	113,400	81,700
Rhode Island	79,800	12,300	17,400	50,100	33,600
South Carolina	92,200	13,200	15,300	63,700	45,500
South Dakota	12,400	1,500	2,200	8,700	6,300
Tennessee	188,000	21,300	46,600	120,100	85,700
Texas	356,000	34,600	35,500	285,900	204,300
Utah	47,400	2,900	5,600	39,000	25,900
Vermont	9,000	1,100	1,600	6,400	4,000
Virginia	246,900	33,900	38,100	174,900	122,500
Washington	259,700	18,400	41,800	199,500	129,500
West Virginia	168,800	16,000	31,600	121,200	72,800
Wisconsin	77,900	10,600	19,100	48,100	35,400
Wyoming	10,900	700	1,300	8,900	6,200

Total coverage loss was distributed proportionally across groups using the distribution of coverage loss across groups in the July report. The number of kids was estimated by multipying the 1994 percentage of kids in families with children by the state's number of people in families losing coverage.
18-Nov-95

OLDER METHODOLOGY PIECE - STILL APPLICABLE

Methodology for Coverage Loss under the House and Senate Block Grant Proposals

The estimates of the number of Medicaid beneficiaries who could lose coverage under the Congressional block grant proposals are based on the July 1995 report by the Urban Institute entitled, "The Impact of the Budget Resolution Conference Agreement on Medicaid Expenditures." In this report, the analysts assumed that states that could not offset the reduction in Federal Medicaid spending through provider payment and service cuts would have to reduce coverage. They estimated the amount of savings that a state could achieved through provider payment and service cuts by assuming that this amount is equal to the savings achieved through slowing the rate of Medicaid cost growth per beneficiary. If a state could offset the reduction in Federal Medicaid spending by constraining the increase in Medicaid costs per beneficiary to inflation or inflation plus 1.9%, then that state would not need to reduce coverage. However, if the savings through cost growth restraints is less than the block grant reduction, then the state would need to reduce coverage.

Since each state has a different mix of Medicaid spending per recipient, growth in Medicaid spending per beneficiary and block grant reduction, the estimates of coverage loss by state vary considerably. Similarly, any change in one of these parameters will have an effect on the coverage loss by state. Since both the House and Senate proposals have formulae that are different than that used in the July report, the coverage loss estimated by the Urban Institute is no longer valid. To estimate the coverage loss under the House and Senate proposals, the relationship between the size of the cut and the coverage loss was used. Once the percent reduction in coverage was derived, it was assumed that coverage loss would be distributed proportionately across groups.

Al[illegible]on of Federal Funds under Conference Agreement

[illegible]ate	Federal Medicaid Grant FY 1994	Federal Medicaid Grant FY 1996	Projected Federal Medicaid Medicaid Grant FY 1997	Projected Federal Medicaid Medicaid Grant FY 1998	Projected Federal Medicaid Medicaid Grant FY 1999	Projected Federal Medicaid Medicaid Grant FY 2000	Projected Federal Medicaid Medicaid Grant FY 2001	Projected Federal Medicaid Medicaid Grant FY 2002	Percent Increase FY 1994 to FY 2002	Total Federal Medicaid Grant FY 1996 - 2002
Al	1,291,924,306	1,517,652,207	1,654,240,806	1,742,461,573	1,835,387,049	1,933,268,240	2,038,389,435	2,144,969,017	66.03	12,864,348,427
Ala	166,688,316	204,933,213	212,105,875	223,417,482	235,332,336	247,882,610	261,102,169	275,026,789	64.99	1,659,800,474
Ari	1,190,983,662	1,382,154,585	1,508,359,999	1,586,667,501	1,671,058,990	1,760,024,153	1,838,299,625	1,912,871,610	60.61	11,656,336,443
Ar	817,740,165	1,011,457,933	1,102,489,147	1,161,284,890	1,223,218,216	1,288,450,337	1,357,163,393	1,429,540,917	74.82	8,573,602,836
Ca	7,793,374,854	9,234,362,672	10,080,890,481	10,756,591,382	11,601,148,166	12,298,775,008	12,782,853,372	13,677,760,106	76.50	80,310,282,156
Co	626,954,220	761,882,361	830,379,028	874,614,249	921,211,051	950,069,051	990,833,139	1,030,466,464	53.64	6,367,455,344
Co[illegible]ut	1,210,949,864	1,463,011,635	1,514,217,042	1,559,643,653	1,590,836,424	1,622,653,153	1,655,106,216	1,688,206,340	39.41	11,093,678,363
De	150,423,524	212,327,783	219,759,236	231,479,995	243,823,770	256,826,692	270,523,470	284,950,486	89.43	1,719,690,612
Di[illegible] Columbia	408,545,579	501,412,091	518,961,515	534,530,360	545,220,967	556,125,387	567,247,894	578,592,852	41.62	3,802,091,067
Fl	2,938,862,763	3,779,873,171	4,118,867,027	4,405,461,831	4,711,966,003	5,038,890,940	5,306,783,632	5,660,377,086	93.28	33,045,129,389
G	2,081,818,942	2,431,907,471	2,650,666,558	2,791,965,445	2,940,823,004	3,058,468,090	3,173,732,138	3,300,661,422	58.86	20,348,284,125
H	238,435,898	323,124,375	334,433,728	344,466,740	351,356,075	358,383,196	365,550,860	372,861,877	56.38	2,450,176,852
Id	233,658,438	278,329,886	303,379,367	324,615,912	347,339,028	371,652,758	397,666,451	425,505,243	81.95	2,448,490,433
Il	2,755,171,918	3,602,391,803	3,817,025,101	3,871,520,087	4,204,873,919	4,373,145,345	4,603,601,761	4,683,745,631	70.00	29,056,303,647
In	1,598,015,775	1,952,467,267	2,128,189,321	2,213,316,894	2,301,849,570	2,393,923,552	2,489,680,494	2,589,267,714	62.03	16,068,694,812
Io	690,257,743	835,235,895	910,407,125	948,823,410	984,896,348	1,024,064,200	1,065,047,568	1,107,649,471	60.47	6,873,944,018
K	605,356,701	713,700,869	777,933,947	809,051,305	841,413,357	875,069,891	910,072,687	946,475,594	56.35	5,873,717,650
K[illegible]y	1,835,484,840	1,577,628,832	1,719,633,427	1,811,552,144	1,908,182,220	2,009,924,511	2,117,113,785	2,230,019,463	66.98	13,374,434,382
Lo[illegible]a	3,127,066,524	2,622,000,000	2,659,048,207	2,622,000,000	2,622,000,000	2,622,000,000	2,761,831,260	2,909,119,721	-6.97	18,817,999,188
M	581,342,025	694,220,790	718,518,518	740,074,073	754,875,555	769,973,066	785,372,527	801,079,978	37.80	5,264,114,507
M[illegible]nd	1,191,870,013	1,376,087,185	1,493,244,106	1,552,990,961	1,615,134,071	1,679,751,165	1,740,119,201	1,809,723,969	51.84	11,266,050,649
M[illegible]chusetts	2,266,378,409	2,879,326,768	2,960,447,201	3,069,965,489	3,131,625,357	3,194,487,263	3,247,225,868	3,312,170,181	46.86	21,815,286,920
M[illegible]n	2,950,524,137	3,465,182,888	3,777,049,348	3,929,131,320	4,085,256,573	4,248,666,835	4,418,613,509	4,595,358,049	55.75	28,518,258,518
Min[illegible]ta	1,425,826,384	1,793,778,359	1,869,559,628	1,912,255,284	1,950,600,390	1,989,510,398	2,029,300,806	2,069,866,618	45.17	13,601,785,179
M[illegible]ippi	1,055,867,803	1,281,781,330	1,375,341,850	1,471,615,886	1,574,628,856	1,684,852,880	1,802,782,347	1,928,987,811	82.73	11,100,000,018
Mi[illegible]i	1,580,877,918	1,849,248,945	2,015,681,350	2,096,308,604	2,206,104,742	2,298,428,931	2,388,268,069	2,483,917,832	57.12	15,337,878,193
M[illegible]a	245,721,071	312,212,472	340,311,595	356,345,980	375,349,811	390,363,807	405,978,484	422,217,602	71.83	2,602,779,931
N[illegible]a	398,719,716	465,900,417	502,339,158	500,792,953	510,808,812	521,024,988	531,445,488	542,074,398	38.64	3,662,386,215
N[illegible]	203,040,660	347,896,453	371,107,134	390,784,634	321,839,558	344,386,327	368,474,110	394,267,298	94.18	2,538,737,513
N[illegible]mpshire	487,993,288	360,000,000	360,000,000	360,000,000	360,000,000	360,000,000	367,200,000	374,544,000	-23.25	2,541,744,000
N[illegible]Jersey	2,461,272,457	2,877,788,840	2,979,376,120	3,069,081,902	3,131,062,057	3,194,296,093	3,228,435,260	3,294,023,965	33.83	21,775,062,637
N[illegible]Mexico	522,912,904	638,548,035	695,954,532	744,581,236	796,569,772	852,204,994	909,920,189	970,404,609	85.58	5,605,183,411
N[illegible]York	11,124,007,418	12,991,382,470	13,449,523,605	13,854,265,050	14,133,736,608	14,418,700,444	14,596,808,636	14,887,724,809	33.83	98,331,131,620
N[illegible]Carolina	2,092,374,043	2,587,883,809	2,820,793,352	2,933,625,086	3,050,970,089	3,173,008,893	3,299,929,249	3,431,926,419	64.02	21,298,136,897
N[illegible]Dakota	201,927,164	241,166,565	262,873,733	273,348,663	284,324,230	295,697,199	307,525,087	319,828,091	58.39	1,984,803,567
	3,442,221,084	4,034,048,690	4,397,114,162	4,572,998,729	4,755,918,678	4,946,155,425	5,144,001,642	5,349,761,708	55.42	33,200,000,033
O[illegible]oma	792,938,445	911,198,775	993,206,684	1,062,731,131	1,137,122,310	1,216,720,872	1,301,891,333	1,393,023,726	75.68	8,015,894,810
O[illegible]n	750,904,002	1,092,881,061	1,190,934,424	1,238,584,454	1,288,145,225	1,339,670,724	1,388,213,572	1,443,742,116	92.27	8,981,960,676
P[illegible]ylvania	3,919,591,148	4,454,423,400	4,762,171,114	4,973,457,959	5,172,396,277	5,378,292,128	5,594,463,813	5,818,242,380	48.44	36,174,447,057
R[illegible]Island	441,897,183	545,868,262	584,785,281	581,728,839	593,383,418	605,230,885	617,335,298	629,682,004	42.50	4,137,811,788
S[illegible]Carolina	1,381,268,632	1,621,021,815	1,766,913,779	1,861,143,290	1,960,394,062	2,064,946,091	2,175,069,866	2,291,066,131	66.30	13,740,556,833
S[illegible]Dakota	207,663,177	262,604,959	268,457,405	297,916,702	309,832,330	322,225,623	335,114,646	348,519,234	67.89	2,162,669,900
T[illegible]ssee	1,837,824,334	2,519,934,251	2,746,728,333	2,856,597,466	2,970,861,365	3,089,695,820	3,213,283,652	3,341,814,999	81.84	20,738,915,886
	5,439,763,277	6,423,141,920	7,000,044,231	7,487,978,291	8,010,055,864	8,498,424,506	8,751,450,414	9,101,508,431	67.31	55,272,604,665
	397,948,715	484,274,254	527,858,937	558,009,654	588,881,649	618,694,965	649,793,996	682,882,488	71.55	4,109,175,964
V[illegible]nt	185,107,831	248,168,729	256,644,264	264,549,613	269,853,162	281,254,276	293,055,646	305,358,756	64.96	1,919,175,467
V[illegible]ia	978,983,345	1,151,948,095	1,255,505,512	1,343,188,149	1,437,007,207	1,494,602,702	1,545,439,464	1,607,257,043	64.18	9,834,848,171
W[illegible]ngton	1,462,040,694	1,769,446,927	1,831,607,897	1,886,639,059	1,924,631,960	1,963,175,546	1,995,004,615	2,034,904,912	39.38	13,405,310,818
W[illegible]Virginia	959,172,778	1,198,613,157	1,260,926,342	1,311,363,395	1,363,817,931	1,418,370,648	1,475,105,474	1,534,109,693	59.94	9,520,506,641
W[illegible]nsin	1,434,052,027	1,709,500,642	1,869,355,700	1,937,889,928	2,015,405,525	2,096,021,746	2,179,862,818	2,267,057,121	58.09	14,069,093,279
W[illegible]ming	107,187,373	132,815,380	137,587,429	144,903,900	152,631,625	160,771,469	169,345,412	178,378,603	66.42	1,076,511,626
T[illegible]al: States & D	81,789,801,787	96,963,413,446	103,990,380,149	108,545[redacted]233	113,213,824,478	117,953,113,748	122,106,919,258	127,233,231,637	55.56	790,003,442,941
T[illegible]al: Territories	127,272,500	139,950,000	148,892,465	156,679,044	1[illegible]3	170,403,166	177,563,267	185,007,943	45.36	1,143,042,119
					[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	127,418,239,580	55.55	791,146,485,059

INCLUDES: Immigrant Funding
Supplemental Funds

DESCRIPTION OF HOUSE MEDICAID FORMULA

I. FUNDING

Year	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Funding	96.2	$103.1	$107.7	$112.5	$117.2	$122.1	$127.0
Growth	na	7.10%	4.53%	4.39%	4.19%	4.2%	4.04%

II. STATE BASE YEAR AMOUNTS

Determined by House-Senate conferees

III. DISTRIBUTION FORMULA (Beginning in 1997)

$$\begin{matrix}\text{State}\\\text{Grant}\end{matrix} = \left(\begin{matrix}\text{Expenditure}\\\text{Need}\end{matrix}\right) * \left(\begin{matrix}\text{Federal}\\\text{Medical}\\\text{Assistance}\\\text{Percentage}\end{matrix}\right) * \left(\begin{matrix}\text{Adjustment}\\\text{Factor}\end{matrix}\right)$$

Expenditure Need Calculation

$$\begin{matrix}\text{Expenditure}\\\text{Need}\end{matrix} = \left(\begin{matrix}\text{Poverty}\\\text{Count}\end{matrix}\right) * \left(\begin{matrix}\text{Caseload}\\\text{Cost}\\\text{Index}\end{matrix}\right) * \left(\begin{matrix}\text{Geographic}\\\text{Cost}\\\text{Index}\end{matrix}\right) * \left(\begin{matrix}\text{U.S. Spending}\\\text{Per Person in}\\\text{Poverty}\end{matrix}\right)$$

This represents the dollar amount each state would need to finance the U.S. average benefits per person in poverty

Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP)

The same as current law, i.e.,

$$FMAP = 1.0 - 0.45 \left(\frac{\text{State Per Capita Income}}{\text{U.S. Per Capita Income}}\right)^2$$

Adjustment Factor

The adjustment factor is a scalar used to proportionally adjust each state's grant amount to insure that they add to the fixed appropriation amount.

III. CONSTRAINTS

1. The caseload cost index cannot exceed 1.15 or be less than 0.90.

2. Growth Caps: For FY 1997 no state's growth in federal funding may exceed 9%. In succeeding, the growth cap for the 10 states with lowest federal funding per person in poverty may not exceed 7% for all other states their growth may not exceed 5.33% per year.

3. Growth Floors: All states are guaranteed at least 3.5% growth for FY 1997, 3.0% in FY 1998, 2.5% In FY 1999, and 2.0% in succeeding years.

4. States whose growth in federal funding for FY 1997 is more than 100% of the U.S. average growth in total federal appropriations in FY 1997 may never fall below 95%4% growth in succeeding years.

5. Small State Minimum: Beginning in FY 1998 no state may receive less than 0.24% of total federal funding, subject to the limitations of the growth caps.

IV. CASELOAD COST INDEX CALCULATION

$$\textit{Caseload Cost Index} = \frac{\$8596 * \left(\textit{Elderly Share}\right) + \$7816 * \left(\textit{Blind\& Disabled Share}\right) + \$1298 * \left(\textit{Other Share}\right)}{\$3171}$$

Where:

$8596 = US average spending per recipient over age 65

Elderly Share = The proportion of the state's caseload eligible because they are over age 65.

$7816 = US average spending per blind or disabled recipient

B&D Share = The proportion of the state's caseload that are blind or disabled

\$1298 = US average spending per recipient in "other" categories of eligibility (mostly AFDC related)

Other Share = The proportion of the state's caseload eligible in "other" categories

\$3171 = The US average medicaid spending per recipient

Sample Calculation For Florida

$$\begin{matrix}\textit{Caseload}\\ \textit{Cost}\\ \textit{Index}\end{matrix} = \frac{\$8596*.118+\$7816*.145+\$1298*.736}{\$3171} = \frac{\$1017+\$1136+\$956}{\$3171} = \frac{\$3109}{\$3171} = 0.98$$

V. GEOGRAPHIC COST INDEX CALCULATION

$$\begin{matrix}\textit{Geographic}\\ \textit{Cost}\\ \textit{Index}\end{matrix} = 0.15 + 0.85*\begin{pmatrix}\textit{PPS}\\ \textit{Wage}\\ \textit{Index}\end{pmatrix}$$

Where PPS wage index is the annual average wages rate paid to hospital workers in the Medicare Prospective Payment System (PPS) in a given state, expressed as a percent of the average wage paid to all workers in the United States (3-year average is used).

Sample Calculation For Florida

$$\begin{matrix}\textit{Health}\\ \textit{Care}\\ \textit{Cost}\\ \textit{Index}\end{matrix} = 0.15 + 0.85*0.94 = 0.95$$

VI. FEDERAL MATCHING PERCENTAGE

States may choose one of three possible matching percentages:

(1) The federal medical assistance percentage (FMAP) as defined under the old medicaid program,
(2) The lesser of the FMAP plus 10 percentage points and a new matching percentage as defined below, or
(3) 60 percent.

The new matching percentage is calculated according to the following formula:

$$\text{New Matching Percentage} = 1.0 - 0.39 * \left(\frac{\text{TTR Share}}{\text{Need Share}}\right)$$

TTR SHARE: TTR represents the Total Taxable Resources of each state as defined and reported by the Secretary of the Treasury. Based on data for 1991-1993 New York's TTR was $508 billion.

The TTR share is each state's TTR divided by the sum of the total taxable resources of all states ($6,029 billion).

$$\text{TTR Share} = \frac{TTR_{state}}{\sum_{Al}^{Wy} TTR_{state}}$$

For example, New York's share of the total taxable resources of all states was 8.4% (=$508/$6,029) based on 1991-1993 data.

NEED SHARE: is a state's expenditure need as defined above divided by the sum of state need for all states.

$$\text{Need Share} = \frac{\text{State Need}}{\sum_{Al}^{Wy} \text{State Need}}$$

For example, using data for 1991-1993, New York's state need would be calculated as follows:

State Need = 2.8 million poor * 1.03 * 1.21 * $3795 = $13.3 billion
Adding up the expenditure needs of all states results in a figure of $141.5 billion. Thus, New York's need share is 9.4% ($13.3/$141.5).

Calculation of New Matching Percentage For New York

$$\begin{matrix}\textit{Federal}\\\textit{Medical}\\\textit{Need}\\\textit{Percentage}\end{matrix} = 1.0 - 0.39 * \left(\frac{\textit{TTR Share}}{\textit{Need Share}}\right) = 1.0 - 0.39\left(\frac{8.4\%}{9.4\%}\right) = 0.66$$

Thus, New York's Federal matching percentage would be 65%.

Because New York's current matching percentage is 50% and this plus 10 percentage points is 60 percent, New York would choose a between 50 and 60 percent matching percentage.