

FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an
administrative marker by the William J. Clinton
Presidential Library Staff.**

Collection/Record Group: Clinton Presidential Records
Subgroup/Office of Origin: Council of Economic Advisers
Series/Staff Member: Subject Files
Subseries:

OA/ID Number: 21609
FolderID:

Folder Title:
[Loose Materials Re: Meeting Notes--Climate Change]

Stack:	Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:
S	21	4	11	1

11/10 Del Mtg

Review of Flex Mechs Panel table

Review of dinner - "Friends of the Chair" group to negotiate
flex mechs would also work b+ COPs

SBSTA - adopted decision on systematic observation + research

" UNECCC - Montreal Protocol relationship

" on Brazilian proposal - procedural - postpone discussion to COPs

tech transfer - now stopped moving

flex mechs - only looked at workplan for date; 577 split;

Ann I more unified than previously

AIS -

SBI - Wm Ann I Communications

Financial Mechanism

4.8, 4.9

4.2a, b

Schedule - SBSTA/SBI Joint Session

Flex Mechs

11/10/98 GRULAC Bi-lat

GRULAC vehicle for exchanging ideas, discussion but do not speak as 1 condition

Venez. Favor progress on all fronts, esp. to prepare Protocol to enter into force

→ CDM of obvious interest

→ work program should also include FCCC issues tech, funding, 4.8/9

US supports balance in consideration of Rio + Kyoto issues

Info collection can U go thru existing UN mechanisms - UNCTAD UNIDO

Colombia want CDM up as soon as possible; even if just in a pilot phase; wants to know more about Cumberman's early credit program

Guatemala want CDM asap, but need ratification first

US needs to achieve all 3 flex mechanisms simultaneously

Opportunities to address GCC plus Country Studies Programs

Brazil - preparation of non-Anc I ratl commitments - US

proposal to revise guidelines is controversial

(Brazil ratl comm ready by mid-99) - some in G-77

are not doing ratl comm, and are resistant, Aig guidelines don't help

US - how do we help non-Anc I countries beyond the GEF, bilateral aid

Venez - CDM is a big opportunity; host country should know

all the costs + risks of a project; project must be a development project - should be decided by host only;

baseline should be project-by-project

Could contribute discussion on CDM party action bet GRULAC + US industry

Botswana - 11/10 tech b'-let

- Gbedys Ramothya

electricity

- primarily coal

- some solar in rural areas

flow of info b+ countries + private sector key

- clearinghouse role of CDL

Tanzania - 11/10 tech b'-let

identify the issues

integrate w/ larger efforts

- work w/ stakeholders

consensus building

identify data + analytic needs

- education effort

identify opportunities for emissions abatement

- tech

- policies

timelines

workplan

resources - EPA

tech team visit

~6 issues still unresolved, including "Kyoto Mechanisms"
G-77 is splitting.

expect more positive effort from OASIS, GARC today

Seller Plus

- monitoring, inventorying, reporting
- national registry
- borrow w/ penalty - general compliance rule
- no deficit

Non-Annex I accession to Annex B

11/18/98

Mazur - LDCs

trading + CDM rules

negotiating change of State

dom side: early credit

tech analysis

3. Lab Study

elect res.

Priorities

1) LDC consultations

work w/ Bill Broad on emissions

MC curves for LDCs based on indicators

"reasonable" target - see Annex I targets relative to BAU

2) trading

papers on trading restrictions

memo for Frank

See EINF trading constraint scenarios

min: SGM - tax

give liability - memo for Frank
why Superfunds

3) domestic

dom trading impl. ^{Financial mechanisms} (Euro concern of grandfathering v. auctioning)

pass thru under trading - NEMS

allocation - auction, grandfather, grandfather to taxpayers, hybrid

Budget - prize for car improvements

VG

how does CDM work - talk to Sabiright

12/1/98 GCC Diplomacy Mtg

Trading

making the trading regime "green" (Rus Green Fund)
the role of the trading program in forcing technology
- examples of trading + tech forcing (Mazur book)
must deal w/ Rus problem to deal w/ caps issue

model software for a registry to show how trading
would work

Developing Countries

dealing w/ intransigence of China, India, Saudi Arabia
India - how to break through w/ Indian Power sector?

Could you do trading w/ power sector?

→ benchmark a sector - do sector-specific CDM
incentive effects on post-2012 commitments

Need mandate for the 3^d way

Who's next? ROK, Mex, Turkey, Chile

Two-prong approach: 1) China

2) trying to corral likelihoods along
Argentine way

Saudi Arabian strategy - SA or OPEC approach

Africa - work up on CDM

Rate - safety value bc of pt. B of Byrd-Hagel

need to be intl-negotiated

legal + political/economic issues

→ pair Annex I safety value w/ developing country indexed
targets

Papers:

DOE - trading + tech forcing¹

EPA - Rus Green Fund²

- Rus use trading revenues for infrastructure

EPA - Sander model / primer³ on trading

also need a CDM primer⁴

Mazur + Gardner sectoral trading / sector CDM

Dan R. - safety valve - how to do it

incentive to developing countries

how to introduce it

econ team also think through this

State - 3rd way - what it would look like

- Africa strategy

- AOSIS strategy

} discuss a future mtg

IWG - submissions to secretariat

AID - what are LDCs doing

Coal 12/2/98

Issues we promised to consider

- 1) labor impacts of elect res proposal
- 2) transition assistance (2:00 TL)
- 3) status/future of coal industry

What happens to coal at \$50/ton - to Todd

Clean Coal Tech - \$2.3b since 1986, more or less complete

- IGCC
 - fluidized bed combustion
 - some sequestration R+D now - ~\$6m
- } sig ↑ in efficiency

coal ^{R&D} program - \$200m almost all env, energy eff.

Vision 21

interest in driving down capital cost for IGCC + fluidized bed

so new coal plants can compete w/ new gas plants

Mining Industry Vision - tech to ↓ CO₂ emis from mining + processing + utilization
(industry consultation) - effort also on CH₄

? "incentives" to ↑ IGCC coal + fluidized bed

Abandoned Mine Land reclamation - want to take AML fees

off-budget & spend only on the poor communities in Appalachia

try to provide \$ to poor communities to help prepare

them for post-coal world; AML creates jobs for reclamation

\$1.6b is fund that hasn't been spent

potential for forestry sequestration

Todd's interests - AML - labor + sequestration aspects
(papers)

Clean coal + coal R+D Synops.3 - what is necessary to ↑ commercialization

include mining industry

Transition 12/3/98

Outcome of Sept labor mtg

- 1) evaluate labor effects of elect res.
- 2) state + future of coal industry
- 3) transition assistance

Transition Assistance

- 1) broad program (consider how to fold into existing training programs)
- 2) coal (a new TA program)

Coal - 84,000 UMW

120,000 + mineworkers

Issue is also coal mine communities

Think through some design issues (interagency process)
who else besides coal workers → point person: Ron

funding the allocation

BAU employment in various industries

AML program potential?

Samuel - willian.edol.gov - coal chart

Argentina 12/8/98

issue of design of targets

March Anx/E experts group - could get them to focus on targets issue

send paper this week - ask what they need

tech assistance - Bariloche/Env Ministry issue

write Nina, Nauru - ask what their intentions are

Argentina Call 12/16

Phil met w/ Castilini on 12/15 - went well

- confirm direct govt-govt co-op
- Ofe JI needs to fill out paperwork

Maria Julia

- wants a MOU or letter of intent c 1/11 summit in DC on this issue - re: voluntary commitment → probably go w/ joint communiqué

Flavia - bus group

- wants bus group involved early on in process
- check on outreach component of EPA coop agreement

late Feb/early March - next visit by US technical team will provide answers to our questions/paper to Phil in informal response
? no mtg on Monday

Imp't to engage economists, modelers

US-funded position in Ofe JI / Secretariat → Baillie?

Marizna?

early Jan visit to push co-op, staffer?

1. joint communiqué
2. work off of existing Baillie work on EPA co-op
3. schedule Feb/Mar trip
4. Castilini needs a staffer to do the work
5. check up Baillie re: economic analytic skills in Arg.
6. Jan. visit by EPA to discuss co-op

100

11/2 8pm Mtg
 Compensation - 4.8, 4.9 RT
 2.3, 3.14 KP
 In decision, intervention } Done

meet w/ Melinda + Mark re: CDM, trading on Tuesday

6:30 Tuesday - mtg/briefing w/ Bus Group

11/3 8am Mtg
 Bi-lats - Korea, Brazil (Lyne & cont ctr) 3-5
 Wed - Emissions Mtg Assn/EDF presentation - Mark Pitt
 8:15pm conference call

contact: Xenia

Bi-lats - Korea	10am	rm 12	Melinda Susan Jeff Joe
Brazil	noon	rm 12	Melinda William Hall / Seabright Lefrere
- Senegal	3:30		Hales Doe
- S. Africa	4:45		Melinda Hales / Seabright Doe, Holt, Hixson
- Max (W. Th)			
GLULAC (Th?)			
Israel			
- Turkey			
- Kazakhstan			
China (Fr)			
India (informal)			
UK			
Maria Ilia	4		

Compensation

Split interests: adaptation v. oil exporters compensation

uncertainty

mitigate costs thru flex mechs

11/3

Korea Bi-lat - Yung-Doon Chon

CDM - "clear + strong position" - no ceiling on CDM

China - doesn't take foreign ministry statements & face-value
don't isolate China

need to sell them on the benefits of Convention

Transition - other developing countries can learn from Korea, Argentina
and others who take on the policies to reduce emissions

need financial resources to make appropriate investments
before taking on commitment (why CDM for 2005 before
taking on a target)

→ implies they expect more from CDM than trading
have stimulated energy efficiency; ↑ energy taxes 50% this yr.

Projections - complicated by economic + political uncertainty

11/3 SBI contact group - 4.8, 4.9

Australia

very different grounds for compensation + adaptation
very complex + detailed analysis

Canada

need to distinguish b/t adaptation + compensation bc they
require very different analyses
want vulnerable countries to describe effects in nat'l communications
assessment of economic effects of implementation should include
benefit of diversifying economies

Chairman

this is the most impt. issue for non-annex I countries
should be referred to contact group (Iran, Sweden co-chairs)

Secretariat

3-10pm Jacaranda Rm available for contact group (+ perhaps beyond)

4pm SBI/SBSTA mtg to begin (4-6)

3, 4, 7-10 Contact group meets

h

11/3 Senegal Bi-lat - Drop

Expects voluntary commitments to move on COPS

Intro session was progress bc it was imp't to have the conversation

Big emitters (China, India) need to do something

Interested in an analogue to the Berlin mandate for more countries

View on developing countries differs from G-77

Need a new category for developing country targets

will set up US-Afr group mty

~~A~~

Senegal electrification - rural $5 - 15 - 40\%$
status quo 2005-2010

copy of AEA to SBI secretariat office

Diont Madeline

direction 6 encl. Sn.

11/3 S. Africa mtg

USG: David H.
Jeff S.
Elmer H.
Alec H.
Joe A.
Bill A.
Melinda K.

Cannot/will not take on binding commitments now or in near future, but will engage in dialogue on flex mechanisms
Africa group is more willing to discuss dev can issues than others - G.77

Did Monday affect your expectations of the COP - no

We need meaningful part for ratification - asked what is m.p. by SA
- varies by countries' circumstances (emissions, wealth)
- most ems from LDCs in future, $\therefore$ must have global participation

SA doesn't have enough info to identify alternatives to BAC
however do recognize that ems can be reduced thru CDM
CDM - a new commitment for SA

MK - SA has potential both thru CDM in SA + throughout the region

SA is coal-intensive, so there are difficulties esp given need to develop for all citizens

MK - differentiation in KP allows for non-Annex I countries to similarly take on a differentiated (growth) target
mechanisms must work together, can be very powerful

SA - What is US doing domestically - Bill A. response CCTE indconsult.
electres fed energy
sinks

Hales - caps on flex mechs bad econ, bad env

MK Cap on CDM - only big LDCs gain - most of Afr at of C.C.C
imp + to share your thoughts w/ our Congressional delegation

firms are showing more interest in CDM - part of globalization

AH - need to explain to US Congressmen why CDM is a new commitment
+ not just a new project; CTI - CDM will be vehicle for tech transfer

Bill A. - SA could T+T w/ growth target

Concern @ growth target

^{SA} Need to educate + show people to prove our T+T approach
not just tell them

11/4 Del mty

4.8, 4.9 - proposed 2 different decisions

SBI to run all day today

Sinks - EU ready to make decisions once IPCC delivers report; US to host workshop on 3.8

Iceland - little interest in Iceland exemption bc of precedent

Brazilian proposal - Brazil claims that developing countries should be brought in thru an overarching framework, not in an ad hoc manner

Brazil still pushing their fund

AII - developing countries want to extend pilot phase; LAm not necessarily in line w/ G-77

Common Interest Group - 1.2.a, b, 4.8, 4.9, flexmechs - EU will table today a decision

Flexmechs - umbrella concerned a potential cancellation w/ EU, G-77

Bi-lats - Chile meeting 10am

African countries lunch

Bis Group - 6:30 Fresno Room

background briefing for US media, 9:00

EIA emissions release

Turkey? - b+ 3:30-5:30 - Susan W.

11:30

GRULAC - Mario M.

Mexico - Mario 10th

Egypt - 3:00 Hotel

11/4 Chile Brief

want cooperation, not conflict

has drafted a paper on "another way" (w/ Elsa Kelly, Mexico)

US needs to align certain countries (eg, Chile, Arg, Mex) w/ our goals

Chile sees a need for a non-paper & what they expect from non-annex I countries

→ clarity, eg.

- 1 energy-saving techniques
- 2 limitations of emis, not reductions
- 3 BAU for each country

note the advantages of taking action

explain emissions trading - possible gains from trade

be careful @ saying - we ask for a voluntary commitment
consult carefully

analytical capacity

Roberto —, MIT trained, research on acid rain program

→ now doing trading work

11/4 Turkey Bi-lat

Turkey expects that its request to be excluded from Annexes I, II will be handled in this COP. (4.2f), however alternative interpretations imply that only the review should begin @ COP-4.

May be best to postpone Turkey decision to COP5

Turkey is releasing its natl communication

Turkey says it is not prepared to take on a target now

formulaic approach

144

flex mechs overview w/ enviro + bus negos

call Susan G.

noon Thu background w/ Latin press - press briefing in
Jennifer Macedonia (Conf Ctr)

Susan G.

Susan P.

11/4 Umb-EU FlexMechs mtg

Austria - should focus on issues where we can come to agreement @ COP4

Switz - 2 sets of papers appear to be complementary

UK-EU is working still on text

EC - private entities should participate

Austria - trading

→ fungibility

→ eligibility of parties + legal entities

→ reporting in natl registries by parties + legal entities

→ transparency + competition

Germany - expect draft text workplans on all 3 flex mechs
+ draft decisions on all 3

Austria - II - want a comprehensive compliance regime

real, long-term, + measurable envl bns

CDM

Sus Dev - UK - could also consider criteria on compatibility w/
other existing intl obligations (eg, biodiversity convention)

Share denotation - UK - may not be prepared to resolve @ COP4

Limit on Share - } sensitive + complex

Cypress
Run

Modest costs - assumes efficient implementation of flex mechs
global participation
applies to all countries
based on broad review of literature

Flex mechs are key

- Annex I trading cuts costs by more than $\frac{1}{2}$
- expanding to include large developing countries cuts costs by $\frac{1}{2}$ again
- trading across gases further lowers costs
- sinks will provide more cost-effective opportunities to meet our target
- does not include many phase I US programs (CCTI, elect res, ...)

Recent EMF exercise

- SGM falls near the median in terms of permit price
- On average, Annex I trading cuts US permit price 55%
- On average, full global trading cuts US permit price 80%
- trading constraints increase permit prices for all
US, EU, Japan
- developing countries can secure economic gains from
participating in trading

has anyone estimated the CO₂ emissions from people talking at each COP?

talk to Weyant re: summary/synthesis of ENF-16

Enzi documents

11/5 Delegation mtg

briefing by embassy
SASTA technology issues

GLC monitoring (climate observation systems)

Flex Mechs - joint contact group, developing countries not prepared to move forward

Unb tabled decision text } Both are quick programs
EU also tabled decision text }

- still pushing caps hard

Developing countries split - AOSIS strongly for a cap

most are focused more on CDM than others

India said G-77 not ready

SBI

4.2(f) (Turkey)

Ann I communications

Non Ann I communications

G, EF

4.2(a), 4.2(b)

administration + finance

4.8 + 4.9 this afternoon

Bi-lats 10 Mexico

3:30 Costa Rica

? Uruguay

set up Argentina technical

1 pm - brief local press

11/5 Mexico Bi-lat

Mexico senses some progress on the "uncomfortable issue" since Monday
Dismissed CDM paper tabled by Mexico

What is status of our ratification prospects

Next week Mexico will release a report of its GCC activities

Q. C compliance + flexmechs

Would Mexico be willing to participate in a process to move
forward (Chile/Arg process) - rather equivocal answer

Should we push harder on targets?

11/S 4.8+4.9 Contact Group

next mtg: 6:30-10:00 Monday

expect draft text by Saturday from co-chairs

Tanzania - insert new ^{possible} ¶1 before 7: All countries include in national communications an assessment of impacts of impl. policies on developing countries

wishes to drop ¶18 - repeats what is implied by ¶7

¶9 - Δ to "Invites all parties..."

new ⁽⁶⁺⁹⁺¹⁰⁾ ¶1 invites relevant intl orgs to submit information on possible impacts that impl. policies effects might have on developing countries

USA - who is responsible for identifying the impacts - Annex I or non Annex I
→ a real tension for this group; Annex I countries are not in the best position to evaluate impacts - the affected should do this
no specifics in non Annex I communications to date on this issue
would be even more difficult for intl orgs to evaluate impacts of response policies

Co-Chair (Iran) - identifying impacts should be responsibility of COP (not Annex I, non Annex I - would not be requesting parties to identify impacts, but rather to provide info on the factors to the COP

Co-Chair (Sweden) - need a practical approach

USA - if info is all that is necessary, Annex I parties already provide info on PAMs; non Annex I should provide Annex I info
shouldn't be up to the COP, but the affected developing countries should identify

Co-Chair (Iran) - would Annex I parties accept what non Annex I parties say are the impacts?

USA - would need to "trust but verify" their expert teams

11/5 4.8 + 4.9 Contact Group

Co-Chair (Sweden) - would then need to develop procedures for working this at Marshall Islands - issue is also whether or not PAMs are sufficient
Saudi Arabia - Annex I parties already have info on effects of PAMs on non Annex I (eg, oil input data); data also available from intl orgs (eg, OECD, OPEC) → all data should be brought before the COP + have the COP assess the data.

Japan - Convention not written in terms of who is guilty, who is victim; impossible to identify individual impact of 1 country on another; ("the Parties" not just 2); re: intl orgs, historically not done under GATT - not possible, not objective, not clear how data will be used

Australia - Annex I already provide info on effects in natl communications; concerns re practicality; Article 7 - COP should assess all impacts of PAMs; Annex I ≠ have info to assess impacts on non Annex I; agree w/ US concern that Annex I natl communication guidelines should not be dealt w/ by this contact group; intl org if unclear, not sure how data would be used - shouldn't IPCC handle it?

Co-Chair (Sweden) - I don't get the sense that is a North-South issue.

Libya - don't understand how Annex I ~~can~~ can adopt PAMs + not do or know impacts on developing countries.

Zimbabwe - Annex I has capacity + resources to assess effects on non-Annex I - not too much of a burden; all are responsible for providing info

Venezuela - just asking Annex I to give all relevant info on its neighbors re: these measures so non-Annex I can determine if it will have an impact on them

11/5 4.8 & 4.9 Contact Grp

USA - Do you trust me if I provide you w/ info? I have already provided much info in CAR. More info is not also better

#7 should be placed in context of natl circumstances

#7 should bracket after and/or be of interest in 2 decisions

#8 should include (S2a) in inter alia - try to develop capacity for assessment thru sus dev

#9 can't accept at all - bc it is a natl comm issue

Canada - difficult to even assess domestic effects, let alone intl.

Indonesia -

Tanzania - inclusion of info in Annex I not difficult; quotes UN charter

in preamble - Annex I should have to assess the effects of their policies

Kennet - compromise - info from both Annex I + non-Annex I parties

quote EIA study - how KP would affect US energy consumption

Austria^{EU} - accept US edits on #7

would like to keep #8, and positively consider US edit

#9 may be OBC, would like to transfer some elements to #5

do not accept G-77 edits

Phil Corington would like me for a $\frac{1}{2}$ hour
technical discussion with the Argentines at
5pm. Is it ok for me to go?

BAU
costs
Bers
intl trading / CAM

ID sources of emissions

do they grow in the future?

what drives growth?

energy planning

what are opportunities to ~~reduce~~ growth

technologies

costs

benefits

already have sector
specific projections

all consistent w/
economic growth

Argentine technical mtg
another meeting
g's for Argentina
industry - Patricia Vasquez

11/6 Delegation Mtg

SBI - Dan

4.8, 4.9

Financial mechanism

4.2 a, b

SBSTA - Jonathan

Systematic observations grp

methodologies on inventories + reporting

HFCs / PFCs + interplay w/ Montreal Protocol

Flex mechs

Bi-lats - 11am Argentina Mark

11.30 Kazakhstan Melinda

1.00 OASIS lunch Melinda

4.00 Austria (their room)

6.30 African Group (their room)

To do

- Liability
- Kazak natl comm.
- TPs + Pirh
- Argentine Qs

- German hot air 124 EGM 125 WGM

64
67
68

1996
237.8

Germany	<u>1989</u>	CO ₂	<u>1990</u>
E	88.01		81.6
W	179.2		183.9
	<u>267.21</u>		

11/6 Kazakhstan Bilat

www.neapsd.kz

completed natl communication

interested in emissions trading

are willing to join Annex B - would do so by joining
Annex I thro FCCC

would like to work closely w/ umbrella group

would like to create a group of similar countries

that would accede to Annex B (eg Argentina + others)

Nov 12 to announce Kazak position

would like advice from US

US will help Kazakhstan w/ FCCC secretariat about joining
Annex I; then Kazakhstan should talk w/ Argentina
e the informal consultation process

Considering 1990 +5 to +10

Umbrella mtg on flex mechs


what about costs of 2^d period for non Annex I

no end effects of trading hot air - banking
"Regardless of where an emission reduction occurs, it has the same effect on total emissions and the same effect on the climate. A ton reduced in NYC generates the same climate benefit as a ton reduced in Belia. In proposing trading constraints, some have focused on countries such as Russia, that will have emissions below their Kyoto targets during the commitment period because of the decline in their economic output associated with the transition to market economies. If trading constraints are established that restrict the opportunities for Russia to sell AAUs (either thru a buyer or seller constraint),

Why non-Annex I countries should not be concerned about targets in the 2nd and subsequent periods.

— could still allow for growth

the deflection from BAU could be gradual



— will be able to draw from experiences with policies and technologies in Annex I countries with more stringent targets in the 1st commitment period

→ non-Annex I countries could then implement proven policies and technologies, providing more certainty and lower costs

— maintaining the principle of differentiation in later periods, non-Annex I countries could take targets in subsequent periods that are more stringent than their 1st period targets but still be net sellers of AUs because Annex I countries would be taking even more aggressive targets in these later periods

- 1 no env/ ben - a ton is a ton
- 2 anti-market - trading is good
- 3 works to lower costs
- 4 enforcement/verification/compliance
- 5 LDCs

Trading + CDM are the single greatest opportunity
for

1:00 Monday - Kazaks

7:00 Tuesday - signing briefing

TPs on flex mechs

Qs on Arg
Kazakhstan

French competitive concerns

call Steve P. @ MERGE results

Sunday - dinner Richels et al

11/7 Delegation Mtg

SBI - 10-1 non-Anx I comm

9:30 systematic observations

10-1 Anx I comm

10:30 HFC, PFC

11-2 Tech transfer

1-4 mechanisms

4-7 4.2a, b

4-7 Anx I comm

7-10 fin mechanisms

Financial mechanism - 2-6 sub-contact group

Yesterday's Plenary - 4.2f - postpone resolution of Turkey to COP5

AG13 decision - multilateral consultative process

4.2a, b -

SBSTA - sinks will emerge w/ a decision

technology - fundamental difference in approaches bt G-77 + developed countries

systematic observations

flex mechs - G-77 appearing to be more split - texts from Mexico,

Africa, a group of L Am

EU constructive in its intervention - did not mention supplementary

meet c. 1 pm

Bilats - CAR

Morocco

G-77

Grolac

Uruguay

Malaysia

Senegal

Arg

GDP growth rate

sources of
emissions

Energy planning

- new plants - hydro, nat gas, (N)
- closure of plants
- effects of intl elect trading
- efficiencies of plants (sub 1+2)
- utilization of plants (load mix)

S:30 Sunday

Philip C.

B.71 A.

Victoria G.

Abe H.

Margot A.

Dan R. or Jonathan P.

X Barbara D.

X Clare B. ?

Bill

11/8 Del Mtg

4.8, 4.9 Contact Group mtg - Monday - all night

4.2a/b small grpmtg - G-77 concerned it may go against them

Tech - looking good, but holding up for negotiating tactics

GEF

AIJ - likely go thru into

Flex Mechs - 3-6 Monday, 1st real negotiation

Dates of COP5, COP6

Informal Consultations

Bi-lats - Monday - Bolivia - 10am

Mexico

Monday Schedule

8am Del mtg

9am JUSCANZ (Mah H.)

10am Bolivia Bi-lat (Mah H.) - what to know what Annex I should expect

10.45 Mexico Bi-lat (Mark or Melinda) - want to know GRUAC agenda - no vol. comm.

1.00 Kazakh tech mtg - " more about internal consultation

4.00 Arg Principals Bi-lat

6.15 Flex Roundtable - Plenary 2

Bi-lats

Flex mechs, tech transfer, 4.8/4.9, 4.2a/b

no real opportunities Uganda Kyllin

TPs on CDM v. Trading

Equality

Post-2012 Non-Annex I targets

Argentina Qs

Kazakhstan

11/9 Del mty

SBSTA Plenary this morning

Flex Mechs this afternoon (maybe)

SBI - Non-Anx I Communications - 10am

Financial Mechanisms timing? may switch
4.8/9

4.2a/b

SBSTA - LUCF essentially resolved

Linkage w/ Montreal Protocol
research + systematic observation

Iceland proposal

AIS

flex mechs

tech transfer

Bi-lats - Bolivia 10am

Mexico - perhaps late

Flex Mechs Roundtable - 6:15-8

BCSE Flex Mechs mty 1-3

Ahoriza - Business Grp mty 4:30

Lunch - Sally 2pm

Bolivia B: - 6t 11/9

COP4 missing a dialogue among the parties

How do you encourage voluntary commitments for us & for G-77

Support sudden

Can't take on target now

MH: while Bolivia taking on a target would not significantly affect global emissions, but the signal it would send would be significant

Karalis

Victoria

Mark M.

Rafe/David/Mark H.

BAU for all GHGs - sensitive

policies - energy sector

- pricing
- tech efficiency of plants
- incremental costs of ^{monitoring} abatement of projects

trading - need infrastructure - inventorying
reporting

Susan @ 9 Tues visas to NY thru
will go to Amsterdam
appl, photos, BGS
dip l note, passports

BAU - 6 GHGs

sinks

economic growth

elect demand

trans demand

energy efficiency - highest E/GDP in world

privitization

elect distribution

nat. gas capacity

Costs + Policies

- status of privitization
- gas to coal - nat gas infrastructure
- efficiency of distribution
- efficiency of generation
- efficiency in industrial processes

Have we done
introductions?

Benefits

- air quality - Almaty

macroeconomics model key

follow-up - team mtg or workshop w/ (start appraisal) status report

cell

989-9132 Bulat

964-8866 Sasha

- 1 3rd track of cooperative activities
- 2 Co benefits
- 3 CDH
- 4 wishup to involve private sector
- 5 economic modeling

2nd track
on Oct. trip

Eduardo
Engrs

Andrea
Nat Res

Fabian
All experts
group

Anne
WB
project
on poll.
prev.

Dr.

All experts
group

Patricia
Industry

Mariana

Coordinator
of 1st Natl
Comm

what info do you have now

what info can you obtain quickly + easily

what info would be difficult to obtain

} timing/resources

1st Natl Comm - more than 20 parties involved (public + private)

Arg will have a public debate

1st Natl Comm - BAU

could use help on technology

how will tech evolve

List that would consider the Argentina information broken down by 3 criteria

What models will get us to the goal

Will collect data, and will likely find info gaps

- would like to go thru step by step