

**THE CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ANNOUNCES
NEW CIVIL RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT INITIATIVE**

January 19, 1998

The Vice President today announced a package of civil rights enforcement initiatives that places new emphasis on prevention and non-litigation remedies for discrimination and strengthens civil rights agencies' ability to enforce anti-discrimination law. The plan promotes prevention by providing increased resources for compliance reviews and technical assistance, and offers an alternative to expensive litigation by funding a dramatic expansion of alternative dispute resolution (ADR) mechanisms. The plan also sets specific performance goals for the EEOC to speed processing of complaints and reduce case backlog, and provides for greater coordination across federal agencies and offices. The Clinton Administration's Fiscal Year 1999 balanced budget contains \$602 million for civil rights enforcement agencies and offices -- an increase of \$86 million, or more than 16 percent, over last year's funding.

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)

The Administration's budget proposal expands the EEOC's ADR program over three years to allow as many as 70 percent of all complainants to choose mediation, rather than the lengthy process of investigation and litigation. In the first year of this expansion, the EEOC will provide ADR in a projected 16,000 cases -- 20 percent of all incoming cases and double the number currently sent to mediation. The Administration's budget also sets specific performance goals for the EEOC to reduce its backlog. Through a combination of the increased use of mediation, improved information technology, and an expanded investigative staff, the EEOC will reduce the average time it takes to resolve private sector complaints from over 9.4 months to 6 months, and reduce the backlog of cases from 64,000 to 28,000, by the year 2000.

In total, the budget requests \$279 million for the EEOC for FY 1999 -- \$37 million or 15 percent more than the enacted 1998 budget. More than one-third of the proposed increase (\$13 million) goes to expansion of the agency's ADR program.

Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

The Administration's budget proposes an increased emphasis on reducing discrimination and ensuring equal opportunity in housing. The highlight of the HUD budget proposal is a targeted enforcement initiative that will use paired testing -- in which otherwise identical applicants of different races approach realtors or landlords -- to detect and eliminate housing discrimination. This systematic, focused testing strategy will allow more accurate measurement and increased public awareness of housing discrimination, while facilitating enforcement actions against violators of the fair housing law.

The Administration's budget proposes \$52 million for FY 1999 -- \$22 million, or about 70 percent, more than last year's funding -- to enable HUD to meet its goals of ensuring equal opportunity in housing. The new paired testing program is funded at \$10 million.

Key Aspects of the Budget

*** Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)**

The plan increases the use of ADR in the Federal government as a voluntary option available to parties that seek a non-litigation solution to their cases. The Administration's budget expands mediation programs in almost every agency, most notably in the EEOC.

*** Prevention Activities**

The plan emphasizes efforts throughout the government's civil rights agencies and offices to prevent discrimination from occurring -- for example, through technical assistance, outreach, and compliance reviews. Offices in which such consultative activities will assume added importance include the Civil Rights Center of the Department of Labor and the Offices of Civil Rights of the Departments of Health and Human Services and Education. The Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs of the Department of Labor will increase compliance reviews by 10 percent, while reducing burdens on contractors (paperwork, etc.) by at least 30 percent.

*** Improved Coordination**

The plan recognizes the need for enhanced coordination of federal civil rights enforcement policy among agencies by highlighting the lead role of the Department of Justice's Civil Rights Division, under the direction of Bill Lann Lee, and providing additional resources for coordination activities. This emphasis will lead to more consistent enforcement of civil rights laws, broader dissemination of best practices, and improved data collection.

FINAL

Q&A for Civil Rights Enforcement Initiative January 19, 1998

Q: What did the Clinton Administration announce with regard to civil rights enforcement?

A: The Clinton Administration announced its Fiscal Year 1999 budget proposal of \$602 million for civil rights enforcement agencies -- this is \$86 million or more than 16 percent greater than the FY 1998 budget. The President's proposal places new emphasis on preventive measures and non-litigation strategies while also strengthening the ability of federal agencies to enforce existing civil rights laws. The Administration's budget provides for increased use of voluntary Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR), especially in the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, so parties can have their cases resolved more easily, cheaply, and promptly. The combination of additional resources and reforms will enable the EEOC to reduce the average time it takes to resolve a complaint from over 9 months to 6 months, and reduce the current backlog from 64,000 to 28,000, by the year 2000.

Q: Why is the President proposing these actions?

A: This budget keeps the President's commitment to ensure equal opportunity for all Americans: that no one should be denied such essentials as a job, a home or a chance at an education because of the color of their skin, a disability, their gender or their religion. One of the key observations John Hope Franklin, Chairman of the President's Initiative on Race, made in his November 30, 1997 letter to the President was that the budgets of civil rights agencies have not kept pace with their increased responsibilities. This budget seeks to provide sufficient resources and promote management reforms to allow civil rights agencies to do their jobs.

Q: How does this budget relate to the President's Initiative on Race?

A: John Hope Franklin and the rest of the Race Initiative's Advisory Board urged the President to focus on this issue and recommend some specific reforms. Of course, the Administration has a consistently strong record in this area, but the Initiative on Race made this an especially appropriate year in which to propose systemic reforms to, and strengthening of, the nation's civil rights agencies and offices.

Q: What other agencies are part of the Civil Rights Enforcement Initiative?

A: The President's budget encourages the use of ADR, prevention activities such as education and technical assistance, and improved enforcement across the major civil

rights agencies and offices. It includes funding for the following agencies involved in civil rights enforcement:

Civil Rights Enforcement Funding
(Budget authority, in millions of dollars)

	1997 Actual	1998 Enacted	1999 Request
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	240	242	279
Department of Housing and Urban Development, Fair Housing Activities	30	30	52
Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division	62	65	72
Department of Labor, Office of Federal Contractor Compliance Efforts	59	62	68
Department of Education, Office of Civil Rights	55	62	68
Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Civil Rights	20	20	21
Department of Agriculture	10	15	19
U.S. Commission on Civil Rights	9	9	11
Department of Transportation, Office of Civil Rights	6	6	7
Department of Labor, Civil Rights Center	5	5	5

Q: What does the President's budget propose with respect to the Civil Rights Division at the Department of Justice?

A: The Administration's 1999 budget proposes more than a 10 percent increase for the Department of Justice -- from \$65 million in FY 1998 to \$71.6 million in FY 1999. This funding will permit the Department to continue its efforts in enforcing the laws that provide civil and criminal protections from discrimination, including the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Fair Housing Act, and the Americans with Disabilities Act. The budget also includes funds specifically to enhance the Civil Rights Division's role in coordinating federal civil rights enforcement across agencies. This enhanced coordinating role, which

will be undertaken by Bill Lann Lee, will lead to more consistent enforcement of civil rights laws, broader dissemination of best practices, and improved data collection.

Q: The Congressional Research Service has recently stated that the appointment of Bill Lann Lee as Acting Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights is illegal under the Vacancies Act. How can you appoint Lee to this position?

A: The Department of Justice has reviewed this question closely and has determined that the Attorney General has the authority to make this appointment.

Q: What actions is the President taking with respect to appointing a new Chairman of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission?

A: After the departure of Chairman Gilbert Casellas at the end of last year, the President reappointed Paul M. Igasaki to the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and named him Acting Chairman. Currently, three of the five positions on the Commission are filled, which is enough for a quorum. The fourth member to be appointed is for a Republican slot, and Senate Majority Leader Trent Lott (R-Miss.) has already indicated his choice for the position. The President is working as expeditiously as possible to nominate a fifth member of the Commission.

Q: What does the President's plan do to improve performance at the EEOC?

A: This plan creates specific goals and invests in new technology and procedures that will significantly improve EEOC performance. The initiative's reforms will reduce the average time it takes to process a complaint from over 9 months to 6 months, and the current backlog from 64,000 to 28,000 cases, by the end of 2000. This reduction will be achieved in part through technology improvements and in part through a dramatic expansion of the Agency's Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) program. The Administration's budget proposal expands the EEOC's ADR program over three years to allow as many as 70 percent of all complainants to choose mediation, rather than the lengthy process of investigation and litigation. In the first year the EEOC will provide ADR in a projected 16,000 cases -- 20 percent of all incoming cases and double the number currently sent to mediation.

Q: Is the President's budget simply a way to increase funding for affirmative action programs?

A: No. The President's budget is aimed at preventing and eliminating discrimination through emphasizing compliance with and enforcement of the various existing civil rights laws, such as the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Fair Housing Act, and the Americans with Disabilities Act. Affirmative action programs are only one tool (and not the most widely used tool) of civil rights enforcement.

Q. What does this budget do to improve USDA programs for minority farmers?

A. The President's budget proposal increases funding for USDA's civil rights programs from \$15 million to \$19 million to increase human resources management, outreach to under-represented customers, involvement of small and disadvantaged businesses in USDA programs, conflict resolution activities, and processing complaints brought by employees and customers.

Civil Rights

TOM F.

Page Proof Format of Budget Chapter on
Civil Rights Exp. Funding.

Susan C.

12. CIVIL RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT FUNDING

Federal civil rights enforcement agencies are responsible for strengthening Federal guarantees of equal opportunity and enforcing our laws against discrimination. To eliminate discrimination requires both a proactive effort to promote equal opportunity and effective mechanisms for enforcement. Adequate funding is essential to meaningful enforcement of legal protections afforded all Americans. The FY 1999 Budget provides the resources necessary to support vigorous enforcement of those Federal civil rights laws.

Since the Civil Rights Act of 1964 was signed 34 years ago, numerous Federal laws have been put in place that prohibit discrimination in the areas of housing, employment, educational opportunities, public accommodations, voting, and programs receiving Federal financial assistance. Nevertheless, discrimination remains a real and widespread problem. For example, recent cases provide evidence of the breadth of the employment discrimination problem. These cases revealed companies that race-coded their job applications and segregated minorities into low profile and low paying jobs. Other companies terminated workers because of age or disability, without offering reasonable accommodations. Patterns of gender discrimination or of sexual harassment are similarly egregious examples of the need for vigorous enforcement of employment discrimination laws.

Housing discrimination also remains pervasive and real. Recent testing in the Washington, D.C. area housing markets showed that blacks and Hispanics faced substantial discrimination when they tried to buy or rent a home. The studies showed that blacks and Hispanics were discriminated against 36 percent of the time they tried to buy a home, and 42 percent of the time they tried to rent a home. These results are disturbing and unacceptable 30 years after the passage of the Fair Housing Act of 1968. Housing discrimination affects not only a family's economic well-being, but it is frequently the cause of other forms of economic disadvantage, such as limited job opportunities and increased segregation in schools.

The problems of discrimination are not limited to issues of employment or housing. The proportion of complaints based on disability has grown to 50 percent of all educational discrimination complaints received by the Department of Education. Furthermore, thousands of investigations annually determine that the problem of fighting discrimination in our schools remains as important national issue.

As real and pervasive as illegal discrimination appears to be, changing demographic patterns and an American population that is growing increasingly diverse will require even more vigilance in preventing and enforcing laws against discrimination. A renewed

commitment to strong and effective enforcement will help ensure that economic opportunities and progress reach all segments of a diverse American population. For Federal civil rights enforcement agencies, in addition to increased resources, this renewed commitment includes:

- Greater emphasis on prevention and non-litigation remedies to achieve the objectives of Federal civil rights laws;
- Use of additional tools to increase compliance, including the expansion of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) programs;
- Increased use of technology for better management of agency resources and tracking of case-loads;
- Improved statistical methods for measurement and analysis;
- Encouraging the role of the States through increased partnerships in addressing the problems of discrimination; and
- Enhanced coordination by the Department of Justice in addressing Federal civil rights enforcement efforts.

The FY 1999 Budget proposes \$602 million for civil rights enforcement agencies, \$86 million or 16 percent greater than the FY 1998 enacted level of \$516 million, as shown in Table 12-1. Programs and issues in the principal civil rights enforcement agencies, and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, are discussed below.

Enforcing Civil Rights Laws in Employment

The exclusion of people from employment opportunities remains a significant problem facing the workforce today. Approximately 80,000 complaints of employment discrimination are filed annually with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). Increased statutory responsibilities, including the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the Civil Rights Act of 1991 have increased the number of complaints that are brought each year. Currently, over 20 percent of all complaints brought before the EEOC are based on disability, while race discrimination, totaling 60 percent of all complaints filed, remains the most widespread discriminatory basis.

The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) is charged with promoting equal opportunity through administrative and judicial enforcement of Federal civil rights laws and through education and technical assistance. Established by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the EEOC enforces the principal Federal statutes prohibiting employment discrimination, including: Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 as amended; the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA) of 1967 as amended; the Equal Pay Act

Table 12-1. CIVIL RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT FUNDING

(Budget authority, in millions of dollars)¹

	1997 Actual	Estimate	
		1998	1999
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	240	242	279
Department of Housing and Urban Development, Fair Housing Activities	30	30	52
Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division	62	65	72
Department of Labor—Office of Federal Contractor Compliance Efforts	59	62	68
Department of Education Office of Civil Rights	55	62	68
Department of Health and Human Services, OCR ²	20	20	21
Department of Agriculture	10	15	19
U.S. Commission on Civil Rights	9	9	11
Department of Transportation, Office of Civil Rights	6	6	7
Department of Labor, Civil Rights Center	5	5	5
Total	496	516	602

¹ Numbers may not add due to rounding.
² Includes Medicare Trust Fund transfers.

(EPA) of 1963; Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA); and Section 501 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended. Taken as a whole, these laws protect workers from illegal discrimination based on race, color, religion, gender, national origin, age, and disability.

In recent years, Congress provided EEOC with only marginal increases that have been insufficient to support upgrades to technology and investment in alternative methods of enforcing the law. At the same time, increased enforcement responsibilities have resulted in a 47 percent rise in private sector complaints received by the agency during the first half of the decade, from 62,000 in 1990 to 91,000 in 1994. Consequently, the backlog of private sector complaints at the EEOC rose from 73,124 charges at the end of FY 1993 (the highest level of the previous 10 years), to an all-time high of 111,000 in FY 1995.

Over the past three years, the EEOC has addressed Congressional concerns about the pending backlog and the lack of alternative dispute resolution methods by making a fundamental shift in its approach to its business. Among the most significant changes are: the development of national and local priority issues; the implementation of a targeted and prioritized charge processing system for private sector cases; and the elimination of full investigation of all cases. Two years after implementing the priority charge handling procedures, EEOC has reduced its charge inventory 35 percent—from 111,000 pending charges at the end of the third quarter of FY 1995 (just prior to implementation) to 65,000 pending charges at the end of FY 1997. However, under EEOC's new charge prioritization system, it is now faced with a caseload that is approximately 70 percent category "B" charges (those needing further investigation to determine whether they have merit) and 20 percent "A" charges (those with potential merit requiring extensive investigation). Without additional resources to continue procedural reforms, implement greater use of mediation, and invest in technology, the Commission is unlikely to make further progress toward its goal of reducing the average time it takes

to resolve private sector complaints from over 9.4 months to 6 months by 2001.

Finally, the budget proposes \$13 million for an enhanced mediation program that would double the number of complaints eligible for EEOC's alternative dispute resolution program in FY 1999. Voluntary mediation is an effective method of complaint resolution that can be used in enforcement efforts. EEOC currently uses some of its trained investigators to mediate, but this diverts scarce investigative resources from the majority of cases that do not lend themselves to mediation. While volunteers have also been used since the program's inception in FY 1996, EEOC will need to use more experienced and credible mediators in the future. Through the use of contract mediators, EEOC would encourage employer participation by addressing employers' concerns about bias by EEOC staff, and would encourage claimants to elect mediation by addressing claimant concerns about the competency of volunteers.

Discrimination by federal contractors is the subject of a separate enforcement effort conducted by the Department of Labor's Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP). OFCCP is responsible for ensuring nondiscrimination in employment based on race, sex, religion, color, national origin, disability or veteran status by more than 200,000 Federal contractors and subcontractors with a total workforce of approximately 22 million people. It assures that Federal contractors and subcontractors take affirmative action in hiring and the advancement of minorities and women under the authority of Executive Orders 11246 and 11375. It also enforces the affirmative action and nondiscrimination provisions of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and, as an agent of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990. It ensures that contractors comply with the provisions of the Vietnam Era Veterans Readjustment Assistance Act of 1974 providing affirmative action by Federal contractors to employ, and advance in employment, special disabled and Vietnam era veterans.

The FY 1999 Budget includes funds to continue OFCCP's Fair Enforcement Initiative which began in FY 1998. The Fair Enforcement Initiative includes a

streamlined tiered compliance review process which reduces contractor burden while enabling the agency to target the most serious violations. The tiered review process also will enable OFCCP to reach more of the contractor universe, resulting in a 10 percent increase in FY 1999 in the number of compliance reviews conducted. In addition, through the completion of various regulatory changes, OFCCP will reduce contractor burden by at least 30 percent. OFCCP will modernize its computer systems in order to streamline internal procedures permitting the agency, for example, to accept electronically submitted reports from contractors. The Fair Enforcement Initiative, which includes technical compliance assistance, will increase the effectiveness and efficiency of the agency while addressing the persistent problem of systemic discrimination in the workplace.

The Department of Labor (DOL) also operates numerous employment and training programs that seek to enhance the skills and abilities of the nation's workforce. To ensure that these programs are administered in a non-discriminatory manner, the Civil Rights Center (CRC) at the Department of Labor is responsible for enforcing the Federal statutes and regulations that prohibit discrimination in all DOL financial assistance programs and prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability by certain public entities and in activities conducted by DOL. CRC employs a proactive approach towards reducing discrimination, by promoting voluntary compliance with existing non-discrimination laws through education and technical assistance to mitigate the number of complaint filings. To further reduce complaint workload, CRC plans to expand the number of technical assistance visits made to the States to ensure voluntary compliance. The CRC also intends to encourage the States to promote the use of alternative dispute resolution in complaint processing programs at the state level. Methods of Administration (MOA) agreements which are signed by the states as a condition of receiving employment and training funds have also been an effective tool in assisting states in addressing discrimination by ensuring that uniform systems are in place to enforce applicable nondiscrimination laws.

Combating Housing Discrimination and Promoting Fair Housing Activities

Despite 30 years of laws and regulations prohibiting housing discrimination, fair housing audits continue to show high indices of discrimination, and mortgage lenders reject minority applicants at higher rates than white applicants. Builders continue to construct housing inaccessible to disabled persons in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has overall responsibility for the promotion of fair housing and enforcement of the Fair Housing Act of 1968, as amended, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, gender, religion, national origin, disability or familial status in the sale or rental,

provision of brokerage services, or financing of housing. The Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity (FHEO) administers two grant programs: the Fair Housing Assistance Program (FHAP), which provides financial assistance to supplement enforcement activities of States and localities which have passed laws substantially equivalent to Federal fair housing laws; and the Fair Housing Initiatives Program (FHIP), which is a competitive grant program that provides funding to private fair housing groups to carry out activities that assist in enforcement and furthering compliance with the Fair Housing Act. These fair housing activities are designed to ensure citizens the freedom and dignity of choosing where to live.

At the State and local government level, agencies with laws equivalent to the Federal Fair Housing Act are estimated to increase from 78 in 1997 to 85 in 1999, and the number of cases processed by these agencies are estimated to increase from 3,797 in 1997 to 6,100 in 1999. FY 1999 funding for the FHAP program is proposed at \$23 million, an \$8 million increase over the FY 1998 level, to support the expected creation of additional State and local fair housing organizations that will meet the needs of currently underserved populations and will be used for joint investigations and enforcement activities.

The FY 1999 Budget also proposes \$10 million for a targeted, audit-based enforcement initiative that would raise the Nation's and communities' awareness of the extent of discrimination through focused and publicly released audit results and subsequent enforcement actions. Paired testing, in which otherwise identical white and minority testers approach realtors or landlords, is a particularly effective method of detecting housing discrimination. This initiative provides for non-profit housing organizations to undertake audit-based fair housing enforcement in 20 areas nationwide to develop local indices of discrimination, to identify and pursue violations of fair housing laws, and to promote new community fair housing enforcement initiatives. The Administration believes that this systematic and focused strategy, replicated across the country, could substantially aid in detecting and reducing levels of housing discrimination. The FY 1999 budget also includes a \$4 million increase in flexible funding for fair housing initiatives, to strengthen Secretary Cuomo's "One America" initiative, including his pledge to double the number of enforcement actions taken by HUD on discrimination complaints. In total, the FY 1999 Budget proposes \$52 million for fair housing activities to enable HUD to meet its goals of reducing discrimination and ensuring equal opportunity in housing.

Enforcing Civil Rights in Education and Health Programs

Although much progress fighting discrimination in our schools has been made in the past three decades, the reality of discrimination—sometimes flagrant—remains. Investigations in thousands of cases annually by the Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights

reveal that discriminatory tracking and assessment practices continue, to the detriment of hundreds of thousands of minority, limited English proficient, disabled, and female students. Additionally, instances of racial and sexual harassment continue as pervasive problems that must be addressed.

The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) at the Department of Education is charged with ensuring equal access to education and promoting educational excellence throughout the Nation through vigorous enforcement of civil rights laws and regulations. These laws are: Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (prohibiting race, color and national origin discrimination); Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (prohibiting sex discrimination); Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1972 (prohibiting disability discrimination); Age Discrimination Act of 1975; and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (prohibiting disability discrimination in State and local government services). Also, OCR enforces civil rights provisions in Title V, Part A, of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (the Magnet Schools Assistance program), and provides technical assistance to Federal award recipients and beneficiaries, the public and other organizations in an attempt to obtain voluntary compliance with civil rights laws.

OCR's purview currently encompasses a range of issues: discrimination against minorities in special education and remedial courses; discrimination of minorities in math and science and other advanced placement courses; disability discrimination; access to programs for limited English proficient (LEP) students; racial and sexual harassment; discrimination in testing/assessment; gender equity in athletics; and higher education and elementary and secondary school desegregation. Over 50 percent of the complaints OCR receives annually are for disability. On average, OCR receives and resolves over 5,000 discrimination complaints annually. OCR selects its compliance reviews based on field assessments of the greatest problems of unredressed discrimination in the regions. Currently, the greatest percentage of compliance reviews are in the area of race discrimination.

With its increased funding levels in 1998, OCR will hire additional attorneys, reducing its current attorney/case ratio in order to improve the timeliness of its complaint resolutions and increase its compliance reviews. OCR's 1999 budget, an increase of \$6.5 million over FY 1998, will enable it to maintain its increased staffing level, as well as to fund technology improvements and complete the Elementary and Secondary Education School Survey. It will also allow OCR to pursue its goal of building collaborative relationships with parents, students, and educators—focusing on preventing discrimination rather than just remedying it—and building partnerships with States to address statewide compliance with civil rights laws and regulations. A key element of its enforcement strategy involves educating the public about its rights and responsibilities and creating linkages among recipients, beneficiaries, and

community groups for the purpose of achieving the shared goal of civil rights compliance. For example, OCR has encouraged parental involvement in monitoring voluntary action plans. These approaches require a significant investment in time and resources to provide the necessary technical assistance.

Federal health care and social services programs are the responsibility of the Department of Health and Human Services' Office for Civil Rights (OCR). The OCR enforces compliance with Civil Rights statutes to ensure that people have equal access to and do not face discrimination in HHS programs, particularly in the areas of managed care, quality of health care, interethnic adoption, services to limited English proficient persons, and welfare reform. OCR investigates complaints, undertakes pre- and post-grant reviews, and provides outreach and technical assistance. The Civil Rights statutes OCR enforces include Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, Title VI and XVI of the Public Health Service Act, parts of the Omnibus Reconciliation Act of 1981 related to non-discrimination within block grant programs, the Multiethnic Placement Act of 1994, and the Small Business Protection Act of 1996 (interethnic adoption provisions).

Over the past few years, HHS' OCR has focused more of its resources on non-complaint activities and increased use of alternative methods to resolve complaints faster. With additional funding in FY 1999, OCR will undertake an increased number of compliance reviews in priority program areas to ensure that discrimination is not occurring within HHS-funded programs and provide more technical assistance and outreach.

Government-wide Civil Rights Enforcement and Monitoring

The Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division, serves as the chief civil rights enforcement agency of the Federal government. It has primary responsibility for Federal civil rights litigation and is charged with coordinating Federal civil rights policy. The Division enforces a number of laws providing civil and criminal protections from discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, gender, national origin, disability, age, familial status, citizenship status, marital status, and source of income, in such areas as employment, education, public accommodations, housing, lending, and programs receiving Federal assistance.

The Attorney General has delegated to the Civil Rights Division primary litigation authority for enforcement of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Fair Housing Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances Act, and a number of criminal and civil statutes, including laws prohibiting police misconduct. The Division also enforces Federal constitutional and statutory rights in institutions covered by the Civil Rights

of Institutionalized Persons Act. The Division has instituted a successful mediation program in its Disability Rights Section (the one area where the Division handles initial complaints, rather than referrals from other government agencies).

The increased funding proposed in the FY 1999 Budget will allow the Civil Rights Division to significantly expand investigations and prosecutions of police brutality and misconduct, including pattern and practice cases, as well as violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act. The Budget includes a \$1 million increase to enhance the Division's coordination of Federal civil rights enforcement, and \$1.5 million for improvements in information technology, trial preparation, and courtroom presentations.

Finally, the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights has a broad ranging mandate to monitor and report on the status of civil rights' protections in the United States. As an independent, bipartisan agency of the Federal Government, the Commission strives to keep the President, the Congress, and the public informed about civil rights issues that deserve concentrated attention, and to appraise Federal laws and policies with respect to

discrimination or denial of protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, gender, age, disability, or national origin, or in the administration of justice. In doing so, it continually reminds all Americans why vigorous civil rights enforcement is in our national interest.

To meet these responsibilities, the agency evaluates Federal civil rights enforcement programs; investigates and studies allegations of discrimination; maintains a network of regional offices and State Advisory Committees that give the Commission a local presence in communities across the country; and educates the public about civil rights. The additional resources being requested for FY 1999 will allow the Commission on Civil Rights to address more fully today's critical, and still evolving, civil rights problems, including police brutality, hate crimes, and disability rights issues. At the same time, the Commission has taken important steps toward improving the efficiency and effectiveness of its operations. These improvements will help to ensure that the FY 1999 resources are more effective in advancing civil rights in the United States.



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

January 17, 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR: DISTRIBUTION

FROM: Howard Dendurent
Budget Review Branch *Howard*

SUBJECT: FY 1999 Budget - *Analytical Perspectives* -
Transmittal #12 *EW*

Attached for your review and comment are page proofs of the FY 1999 Budget *Analytical Perspectives* chapter:

12. Civil Rights Enforcement Funding

Please provide comments to Susan Carr (room 9201, extension 5-7881) no later than 4:00 p.m., January 20th.

Attachment

DISTRIBUTION:

Jack Lew
Elena Kagan (DPC)
Emil Parker (NEC)

Barry Anderson
Tom Freedman (DPC)

Joe Minarik (2)
Mary Smith (DPC)

Michael Deich
T.J. Glauthier

Barbara Chow

Josh Gotbaum

Alan Rhinesmith (rm 9201)
Bruce Long (room 7007)
Ron Cogswell (room 8001)
Judy Winston

Ken Schwartz (room 9202)
Barry White (room 8201)
Phil DuSault (room 10002)

Barry Clendenin (room 7025)
Kathy Peroff (room 8002)
David Morrison (room 10001)

Dick Emery
Ed Rea
Hugh Connelly
Susan Carr

Bob Barker
Sue Lind
Arline Dell

Phil Dame
Andy Schoenbach
Marie Sibrell

12. CIVIL RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT FUNDING

Federal civil rights enforcement agencies are responsible for strengthening Federal guarantees of equal opportunity and enforcing our laws against discrimination. To eliminate discrimination requires both a proactive effort to promote equal opportunity and effective mechanisms for enforcement. Adequate funding is essential to meaningful enforcement of legal protections afforded all Americans. The FY 1999 Budget provides the resources necessary to support vigorous enforcement of those Federal civil rights laws.

Since the Civil Rights Act of 1964 was signed 34 years ago, numerous Federal laws have been put in place that prohibit discrimination in the areas of housing, employment, educational opportunities, public accommodations, voting, and programs receiving Federal financial assistance. Nevertheless, discrimination remains a real and widespread problem. For example, recent cases provide evidence of the breadth of the employment discrimination problem. These cases revealed companies that race-coded their job applications and segregated minorities into low profile and low paying jobs. Other companies terminated workers because of age or disability, without offering reasonable accommodations. Patterns of gender discrimination or of sexual harassment are similarly egregious examples of the need for vigorous enforcement of employment discrimination laws.

Housing discrimination also remains pervasive and real. Recent testing in the Washington, D.C. area housing markets showed that blacks and Hispanics faced substantial discrimination when they tried to buy or rent a home. The studies showed that blacks and Hispanics were discriminated against 36 percent of the time they tried to buy a home, and 42 percent of the time they tried to rent a home. These results are disturbing and unacceptable 30 years after the passage of the Fair Housing Act of 1968. Housing discrimination affects not only a family's economic well-being, but it is frequently the cause of other forms of economic disadvantage, such as limited job opportunities and increased segregation in schools.

The problems of discrimination are not limited to issues of employment or housing. The proportion of complaints based on disability has grown to 50 percent of all educational discrimination complaints received by the Department of Education. Furthermore, thousands of investigations annually determine that the problem of fighting discrimination in our schools remains as important national issue.

As real and pervasive as illegal discrimination appears to be, changing demographic patterns and an American population that is growing increasingly diverse will require even more vigilance in preventing and enforcing laws against discrimination. A renewed

commitment to strong and effective enforcement will help ensure that economic opportunities and progress reach all segments of a diverse American population. For Federal civil rights enforcement agencies, in addition to increased resources, this renewed commitment includes:

- Greater emphasis on prevention and non-litigation remedies to achieve the objectives of Federal civil rights laws;
- Use of additional tools to increase compliance, including the expansion of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) programs;
- Increased use of technology for better management of agency resources and tracking of case-loads;
- Improved statistical methods for measurement and analysis;
- Encouraging the role of the States through increased partnerships in addressing the problems of discrimination; and
- Enhanced coordination by the Department of Justice in addressing Federal civil rights enforcement efforts.

The FY 1999 Budget proposes \$602 million for civil rights enforcement agencies, \$86 million or 16 percent greater than the FY 1998 enacted level of \$516 million, as shown in Table 12-1. Programs and issues in the principal civil rights enforcement agencies, and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, are discussed below.

Enforcing Civil Rights Laws in Employment

The exclusion of people from employment opportunities remains a significant problem facing the workforce today. Approximately 80,000 complaints of employment discrimination are filed annually with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). Increased statutory responsibilities, including the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the Civil Rights Act of 1991 have increased the number of complaints that are brought each year. Currently, over 20 percent of all complaints brought before the EEOC are based on disability, while race discrimination, totaling 60 percent of all complaints filed, remains the most widespread discriminatory basis.

The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) is charged with promoting equal opportunity through administrative and judicial enforcement of Federal civil rights laws and through education and technical assistance. Established by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the EEOC enforces the principal Federal statutes prohibiting employment discrimination, including: Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 as amended; the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA) of 1967 as amended; the Equal Pay Act

Table 12-1. CIVIL RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT FUNDING

(Budget authority, in millions of dollars) ¹

	1997 Actual	Estimate	
		1998	1999
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	240	242	279
Department of Housing and Urban Development, Fair Housing Activities	30	30	52
Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division	62	65	72
Department of Labor—Office of Federal Contractor Compliance Efforts	59	62	68
Department of Education Office of Civil Rights	55	62	68
Department of Health and Human Services, OCR ²	20	20	21
Department of Agriculture	10	15	19
U.S. Commission on Civil Rights	9	9	11
Department of Transportation, Office of Civil Rights	6	6	7
Department of Labor, Civil Rights Center	5	5	5
Total	496	516	602

¹ Numbers may not add due to rounding.² Includes Medicare Trust Fund transfers.

(EPA) of 1963; Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA); and Section 501 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended. Taken as a whole, these laws protect workers from illegal discrimination based on race, color, religion, gender, national origin, age, and disability.

In recent years, Congress provided EEOC with only marginal increases that have been insufficient to support upgrades to technology and investment in alternative methods of enforcing the law. At the same time, increased enforcement responsibilities have resulted in a 47 percent rise in private sector complaints received by the agency during the first half of the decade, from 62,000 in 1990 to 91,000 in 1994. Consequently, the backlog of private sector complaints at the EEOC rose from 73,124 charges at the end of FY 1993 (the highest level of the previous 10 years), to an all-time high of 111,000 in FY 1995.

Over the past three years, the EEOC has addressed Congressional concerns about the pending backlog and the lack of alternative dispute resolution methods by making a fundamental shift in its approach to its business. Among the most significant changes are: the development of national and local priority issues; the implementation of a targeted and prioritized charge processing system for private sector cases; and the elimination of full investigation of all cases. Two years after implementing the priority charge handling procedures, EEOC has reduced its charge inventory 35 percent—from 111,000 pending charges at the end of the third quarter of FY 1995 (just prior to implementation) to 65,000 pending charges at the end of FY 1997. However, under EEOC's new charge prioritization system, it is now faced with a caseload that is approximately 70 percent category "B" charges (those needing further investigation to determine whether they have merit) and 20 percent "A" charges (those with potential merit requiring extensive investigation). Without additional resources to continue procedural reforms, implement greater use of mediation, and invest in technology, the Commission is unlikely to make further progress toward its goal of reducing the average time it takes

to resolve private sector complaints from over 9.4 months to 6 months by 2001.

Finally, the budget proposes \$13 million for an enhanced mediation program that would double the number of complaints eligible for EEOC's alternative dispute resolution program in FY 1999. Voluntary mediation is an effective method of complaint resolution that can be used in enforcement efforts. EEOC currently uses some of its trained investigators to mediate, but this diverts scarce investigative resources from the majority of cases that do not lend themselves to mediation. While volunteers have also been used since the program's inception in FY 1996, EEOC will need to use more experienced and credible mediators in the future. Through the use of contract mediators, EEOC would encourage employer participation by addressing employers' concerns about bias by EEOC staff, and would encourage claimants to elect mediation by addressing claimant concerns about the competency of volunteers.

Discrimination by federal contractors is the subject of a separate enforcement effort conducted by the Department of Labor's Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP). OFCCP is responsible for ensuring nondiscrimination in employment based on race, sex, religion, color, national origin, disability or veteran status by more than 200,000 Federal contractors and subcontractors with a total workforce of approximately 22 million people. It assures that Federal contractors and subcontractors take affirmative action in hiring and the advancement of minorities and women under the authority of Executive Orders 11246 and 11375. It also enforces the affirmative action and nondiscrimination provisions of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and, as an agent of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990. It ensures that contractors comply with the provisions of the Vietnam Era Veterans Readjustment Assistance Act of 1974 providing affirmative action by Federal contractors to employ, and advance in employment, special disabled and Vietnam era veterans.

The FY 1999 Budget includes funds to continue OFCCP's Fair Enforcement Initiative which began in FY 1998. The Fair Enforcement Initiative includes a

streamlined tiered compliance review process which reduces contractor burden while enabling the agency to target the most serious violations. The tiered review process also will enable OFCCP to reach more of the contractor universe, resulting in a 10 percent increase in FY 1999 in the number of compliance reviews conducted. In addition, through the completion of various regulatory changes, OFCCP will reduce contractor burden by at least 30 percent. OFCCP will modernize its computer systems in order to streamline internal procedures permitting the agency, for example, to accept electronically submitted reports from contractors. The Fair Enforcement Initiative, which includes technical compliance assistance, will increase the effectiveness and efficiency of the agency while addressing the persistent problem of systemic discrimination in the workplace.

The Department of Labor (DOL) also operates numerous employment and training programs that seek to enhance the skills and abilities of the nation's workforce. To ensure that these programs are administered in a non-discriminatory manner, the Civil Rights Center (CRC) at the Department of Labor is responsible for enforcing the Federal statutes and regulations that prohibit discrimination in all DOL financial assistance programs and prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability by certain public entities and in activities conducted by DOL. CRC employs a proactive approach towards reducing discrimination, by promoting voluntary compliance with existing non-discrimination laws through education and technical assistance to mitigate the number of complaint filings. To further reduce complaint workload, CRC plans to expand the number of technical assistance visits made to the States to ensure voluntary compliance. The CRC also intends to encourage the States to promote the use of alternative dispute resolution in complaint processing programs at the state level. Methods of Administration (MOA) agreements which are signed by the states as a condition of receiving employment and training funds have also been an effective tool in assisting states in addressing discrimination by ensuring that uniform systems are in place to enforce applicable nondiscrimination laws.

Combating Housing Discrimination and Promoting Fair Housing Activities

Despite 30 years of laws and regulations prohibiting housing discrimination, fair housing audits continue to show high indices of discrimination, and mortgage lenders reject minority applicants at higher rates than white applicants. Builders continue to construct housing inaccessible to disabled persons in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has overall responsibility for the promotion of fair housing and enforcement of the Fair Housing Act of 1968, as amended, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, gender, religion, national origin, disability or familial status in the sale or rental,

provision of brokerage services, or financing of housing. The Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity (FHEO) administers two grant programs: the Fair Housing Assistance Program (FHAP), which provides financial assistance to supplement enforcement activities of States and localities which have passed laws substantially equivalent to Federal fair housing laws; and the Fair Housing Initiatives Program (FHIP), which is a competitive grant program that provides funding to private fair housing groups to carry out activities that assist in enforcement and furthering compliance with the Fair Housing Act. These fair housing activities are designed to ensure citizens the freedom and dignity of choosing where to live.

At the State and local government level, agencies with laws equivalent to the Federal Fair Housing Act are estimated to increase from 78 in 1997 to 85 in 1999, and the number of cases processed by these agencies are estimated to increase from 3,797 in 1997 to 6,100 in 1999. FY 1999 funding for the FHAP program is proposed at \$23 million, an \$8 million increase over the FY 1998 level, to support the expected creation of additional State and local fair housing organizations that will meet the needs of currently underserved populations and will be used for joint investigations and enforcement activities.

The FY 1999 Budget also proposes \$10 million for a targeted, audit-based enforcement initiative that would raise the Nation's and communities' awareness of the extent of discrimination through focused and publicly released audit results and subsequent enforcement actions. Paired testing, in which otherwise identical white and minority testers approach realtors or landlords, is a particularly effective method of detecting housing discrimination. This initiative provides for non-profit housing organizations to undertake audit-based fair housing enforcement in 20 areas nationwide to develop local indices of discrimination, to identify and pursue violations of fair housing laws, and to promote new community fair housing enforcement initiatives. The Administration believes that this systematic and focused strategy, replicated across the country, could substantially aid in detecting and reducing levels of housing discrimination. The FY 1999 budget also includes a \$4 million increase in flexible funding for fair housing initiatives, to strengthen Secretary Cuomo's "One America" initiative, including his pledge to double the number of enforcement actions taken by HUD on discrimination complaints. In total, the FY 1999 Budget proposes \$52 million for fair housing activities to enable HUD to meet its goals of reducing discrimination and ensuring equal opportunity in housing.

Enforcing Civil Rights in Education and Health Programs

Although much progress fighting discrimination in our schools has been made in the past three decades, the reality of discrimination—sometimes flagrant—remains. Investigations in thousands of cases annually by the Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights

reveal that discriminatory tracking and assessment practices continue, to the detriment of hundreds of thousands of minority, limited English proficient, disabled, and female students. Additionally, instances of racial and sexual harassment continue as pervasive problems that must be addressed.

The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) at the Department of Education is charged with ensuring equal access to education and promoting educational excellence throughout the Nation through vigorous enforcement of civil rights laws and regulations. These laws are: Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (prohibiting race, color and national origin discrimination); Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (prohibiting sex discrimination); Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1972 (prohibiting disability discrimination); Age Discrimination Act of 1975; and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (prohibiting disability discrimination in State and local government services). Also, OCR enforces civil rights provisions in Title V, Part A, of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (the Magnet Schools Assistance program), and provides technical assistance to Federal award recipients and beneficiaries, the public and other organizations in an attempt to obtain voluntary compliance with civil rights laws.

OCR's purview currently encompasses a range of issues: discrimination against minorities in special education and remedial courses; discrimination of minorities in math and science and other advanced placement courses; disability discrimination; access to programs for limited English proficient (LEP) students; racial and sexual harassment; discrimination in testing/assessment; gender equity in athletics; and higher education and elementary and secondary school desegregation. Over 50 percent of the complaints OCR receives annually are for disability. On average, OCR receives and resolves over 5,000 discrimination complaints annually. OCR selects its compliance reviews based on field assessments of the greatest problems of unredressed discrimination in the regions. Currently, the greatest percentage of compliance reviews are in the area of race discrimination.

With its increased funding levels in 1998, OCR will hire additional attorneys, reducing its current attorney/case ratio in order to improve the timeliness of its complaint resolutions and increase its compliance reviews. OCR's 1999 budget, an increase of \$6.5 million over FY 1998, will enable it to maintain its increased staffing level, as well as to fund technology improvements and complete the Elementary and Secondary Education School Survey. It will also allow OCR to pursue its goal of building collaborative relationships with parents, students, and educators—focusing on preventing discrimination rather than just remedying it—and building partnerships with States to address statewide compliance with civil rights laws and regulations. A key element of its enforcement strategy involves educating the public about its rights and responsibilities and creating linkages among recipients, beneficiaries, and

community groups for the purpose of achieving the shared goal of civil rights compliance. For example, OCR has encouraged parental involvement in monitoring voluntary action plans. These approaches require a significant investment in time and resources to provide the necessary technical assistance.

Federal health care and social services programs are the responsibility of the Department of Health and Human Services' Office for Civil Rights (OCR). The OCR enforces compliance with Civil Rights statutes to ensure that people have equal access to and do not face discrimination in HHS programs, particularly in the areas of managed care, quality of health care, interethnic adoption, services to limited English proficient persons, and welfare reform. OCR investigates complaints, undertakes pre- and post-grant reviews, and provides outreach and technical assistance. The Civil Rights statutes OCR enforces include Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, Title VI and XVI of the Public Health Service Act, parts of the Omnibus Reconciliation Act of 1981 related to non-discrimination within block grant programs, the Multiethnic Placement Act of 1994, and the Small Business Protection Act of 1996 (interethnic adoption provisions).

Over the past few years, HHS' OCR has focused more of its resources on non-complaint activities and increased use of alternative methods to resolve complaints faster. With additional funding in FY 1999, OCR will undertake an increased number of compliance reviews in priority program areas to ensure that discrimination is not occurring within HHS-funded programs and provide more technical assistance and outreach.

Government-wide Civil Rights Enforcement and Monitoring

The Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division, serves as the chief civil rights enforcement agency of the Federal government. It has primary responsibility for Federal civil rights litigation and is charged with coordinating Federal civil rights policy. The Division enforces a number of laws providing civil and criminal protections from discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, gender, national origin, disability, age, familial status, citizenship status, marital status, and source of income, in such areas as employment, education, public accommodations, housing, lending, and programs receiving Federal assistance.

The Attorney General has delegated to the Civil Rights Division primary litigation authority for enforcement of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Fair Housing Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances Act, and a number of criminal and civil statutes, including laws prohibiting police misconduct. The Division also enforces Federal constitutional and statutory rights in institutions covered by the Civil Rights

of Institutionalized Persons Act. The Division has instituted a successful mediation program in its Disability Rights Section (the one area where the Division handles initial complaints, rather than referrals from other government agencies).

The increased funding proposed in the FY 1999 Budget will allow the Civil Rights Division to significantly expand investigations and prosecutions of police brutality and misconduct, including pattern and practice cases, as well as violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act. The Budget includes a \$1 million increase to enhance the Division's coordination of Federal civil rights enforcement, and \$1.5 million for improvements in information technology, trial preparation, and courtroom presentations.

Finally, the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights has a broad ranging mandate to monitor and report on the status of civil rights' protections in the United States. As an independent, bipartisan agency of the Federal Government, the Commission strives to keep the President, the Congress, and the public informed about civil rights issues that deserve concentrated attention, and to appraise Federal laws and policies with respect to

discrimination or denial of protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, gender, age, disability, or national origin, or in the administration of justice. In doing so, it continually reminds all Americans why vigorous civil rights enforcement is in our national interest.

To meet these responsibilities, the agency evaluates Federal civil rights enforcement programs; investigates and studies allegations of discrimination; maintains a network of regional offices and State Advisory Committees that give the Commission a local presence in communities across the country; and educates the public about civil rights. The additional resources being requested for FY 1999 will allow the Commission on Civil Rights to address more fully today's critical, and still evolving, civil rights problems, including police brutality, hate crimes, and disability rights issues. At the same time, the Commission has taken important steps toward improving the efficiency and effectiveness of its operations. These improvements will help to ensure that the FY 1999 resources are more effective in advancing civil rights in the United States.



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

Carr
Rts.
budget

January 17, 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR: DISTRIBUTION

FROM: Howard Dendurent
Budget Review Branch *Howard*

SUBJECT: FY 1999 Budget - *Analytical Perspectives* -
Transmittal #12

Attached for your review and comment are page proofs of the FY 1999 Budget *Analytical Perspectives* chapter:

12. Civil Rights Enforcement Funding

Please provide comments to Susan Carr (room 9201, extension 5-7881) no later than 4:00 p.m., January 20th.

Attachment

DISTRIBUTION:

Jack Lew
Elena Kagan (DPC)
Emil Parker (NEC)

Barry Anderson
Tom Freedman (DPC)

Joe Minarik (2)
Mary Smith (DPC)

Michael Deich
T.J. Glauthier

Barbara Chow

Josh Gotbaum

Alan Rhinesmith (rm 9201)
Bruce Long (room 7007)
Ron Cogswell (room 8001)
Judy Winston

Ken Schwartz (room 9202)
Barry White (room 8201)
Phil DuSault (room 10002)

Barry Clendenin (room 7025)
Kathy Peroff (room 8002)
David Morrison (room 10001)

Dick Emery
Ed Rea
Hugh Connelly
Susan Carr

Bob Barker
Sue Lind
Arline Dell

Phil Dame
Andy Schoenbach
Marie Sibrell

**Executive Office of the President
Office of Management and Budget**

Housing, Treasury, and Finance Division

Facsimile Cover Sheet

*Civil Rights
Subcommittee
JGW
Q & A*

Date: January 16, 1998

To: Mary Smith 6-5581

From: Susan Carr

Subject: Some small edits

Pages to follow:

Comments:

Thanks.

FROM:

Susan M. Carr
Policy Analyst
Office of Management and Budget
NEOB 9201
725 17th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20503

phone: (202) 395-7881
fax: (202) 395-6889
e-mail: carr_s@a1.eop.gov

Q&A for Civil Rights Enforcement Initiative
-January 19, 1998

Franklin

Q: What did the Clinton Administration announce with regard to civil rights enforcement?

A: The Clinton Administration announced its comprehensive Fiscal Year 1999 budget proposal of \$602 million for civil rights enforcement agencies, \$86 million or 14 percent greater than the 1998 budget amount of \$516 million. The President's \$86 proposal places new emphasis on preventative measures and non-litigation strategies while also strengthening federal agencies abilities to enforce civil rights laws after discrimination has occurred. The Administration's budget provides for dramatic increases in funding for alternate dispute resolution (ADR) in order to facilitate the resolution of cases more expeditiously and in a less costly manner. The highlights of the President's budget proposal is an increase of \$37 million for the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, which is a more than 15 percent increase over last year's funding of \$242 million. *end* With this infusion of funds, the EEOC will be able to reduce the average time it takes to resolve private-sector complaints from over 9.4 months to 6 months by the year 2000. The President requests \$40 million over 3 years, with \$13 million for the first year, in order to double the number of complaints eligible for ADR to 16,000 in 1999, which is 20 percent of the 80,000 cases expected to be received by the EEOC in 1999.

Q: Why is your Administration proposing these actions?

A: This budget is in keeping with the President's commitment to ensuring equal opportunity for all Americans. In launching the Race Initiative this year, the President started a dialogue about race. Understanding our differences, and joining together in conversation is just one part of the solution. This budget reflects our country's commitment to a legal level playing field, that no one should lose a job because of the color of their skin, a disability, their gender or religion. It is important to protect the values reflected in these laws, and to enforce them.

Q: What other agencies are part of the Civil Rights Enforcement Initiative?

A: The President's budget includes funding for the following agencies involved in civil rights enforcement:

Civil Rights Enforcement Funding
(Budget authority, in millions of dollars)

	1997 Actual	1998 Enacted	1999 Request

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	240	242	279
Department of Housing and Urban Development, Fair Housing Activities	30	30	52
Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division	62	65	72
Department of Labor, Office of Federal Contractor Compliance Efforts	59	62	68
Department of Education, Office of Civil Rights	55	62	68
Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Civil Rights	20	20	21
Department of Agriculture	10	15	19
U.S. Commission on Civil Rights	9	9	11
Department of Transportation, Office of Civil Rights	6	6	7
Department of Labor, Office of Civil Rights	5	5	5

Q: What does the President's budget propose with respect to the Civil Rights Division at the Department of Justice?

A: The Administration's 1999 budget proposes a 10 percent increase for the Department of Justice for a total of \$71.6 million. This funding will permit the Department of Justice to provide continued funding for its efforts in enforcing a number of laws providing civil and criminal protections from discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, gender, national origin, disability, age, and other factors such as the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Fair Housing Act, and the Americans with Disabilities Act. In addition, this funding will permit the Civil Rights Division to significantly expand investigations and prosecutions of police misconduct cases as well as discrimination on the basis of disability. The Budget also includes \$1 million to enhance the Department's role in coordinating Federal civil rights enforcement across all agencies which will further the goal of preventing and responding to discrimination, both through technical assistance and enforcement.

Q: What actions is the President taking with respect to appointing a new Chairman of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission?

The Chief Enforcement Agency of the Federal Govt

A: The President recently reappointed Paul M. Igaraki to the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and named him as acting chairman. Chairman Gilbert Casellas departed at the end of last year. Currently, there are three members on the Commission, enough for a quorum. The fourth member to be appointed is for a Republican slot. Senate Majority Leader Trent Lott (R-Miss.) has already indicated his choice for the position.

Q: Isn't the President's budget simply a way to increase funding for affirmative action programs?

A: No. The Federal government enforces a great number of civil rights laws. Affirmative action is only one aspect of civil rights. The President's 1999 budget is aimed at preventing and eliminating discrimination through emphasizing prevention and through simultaneously strengthening enforcement of the various civil rights laws such as the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Fair Housing Act, and the American with Disabilities Act.

As amended



12. CIVIL RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT FUNDING

Federal civil rights enforcement agencies are responsible for strengthening Federal guarantees of equal opportunity and enforcing our laws against discrimination. To eliminate discrimination requires both a proactive effort to promote equal opportunity and effective mechanisms for enforcement. Adequate funding is essential to meaningful enforcement of legal protections afforded all Americans. The FY 1999 Budget provides the resources necessary to support vigorous enforcement of those Federal civil rights laws.

Since the Civil Rights Act of 1964 was signed 34 years ago, numerous Federal laws have been put in place that prohibit discrimination in the areas of housing, employment, educational opportunities, public accommodations, voting, and programs receiving Federal financial assistance. Nevertheless, discrimination remains a real and widespread problem. For example, recent cases provide evidence of the breadth of the employment discrimination problem. These cases revealed companies that race-coded their job applications and segregated minorities into low profile and low paying jobs. Other companies terminated workers because of age or disability, without offering reasonable accommodations. Patterns of gender discrimination or of sexual harassment are similarly egregious examples of the need for vigorous enforcement of employment discrimination laws.

Housing discrimination also remains pervasive and real. Recent testing in the Washington, D.C. area housing markets showed that blacks and Hispanics faced substantial discrimination when they tried to buy or rent a home. The studies showed that blacks and Hispanics were discriminated against 36 percent of the time they tried to buy a home, and 42 percent of the time they tried to rent a home. These results are disturbing and unacceptable 30 years after the passage of the Fair Housing Act of 1968. Housing discrimination affects not only a family's economic well-being, but it is frequently the cause of other forms of economic disadvantage, such as limited job opportunities and increased segregation in schools.

The problems of discrimination are not limited to issues of employment or housing. The proportion of complaints based on disability has grown to 50 percent of all educational discrimination complaints received by the Department of Education. Furthermore, thousands of investigations annually determine that the problem of fighting discrimination in our schools remains as important national issue.

As real and pervasive as illegal discrimination appears to be, changing demographic patterns and an American population that is growing increasingly diverse will require even more vigilance in preventing and enforcing laws against discrimination. A renewed

commitment to strong and effective enforcement will help ensure that economic opportunities and progress reach all segments of a diverse American population. For Federal civil rights enforcement agencies, in addition to increased resources, this renewed commitment includes:

- Greater emphasis on prevention and non-litigation remedies to achieve the objectives of Federal civil rights laws;
- Use of additional tools to increase compliance, including the expansion of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) programs;
- Increased use of technology for better management of agency resources and tracking of case-loads;
- Improved statistical methods for measurement and analysis;
- Encouraging the role of the States through increased partnerships in addressing the problems of discrimination; and
- Enhanced coordination by the Department of Justice in addressing Federal civil rights enforcement efforts.

The FY 1999 Budget proposes \$602 million for civil rights enforcement agencies, \$86 million or 16 percent greater than the FY 1998 enacted level of \$516 million, as shown in Table 12-1. Programs and issues in the principal civil rights enforcement agencies, and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, are discussed below.

Enforcing Civil Rights Laws in Employment

The exclusion of people from employment opportunities remains a significant problem facing the workforce today. Approximately 80,000 complaints of employment discrimination are filed annually with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). Increased statutory responsibilities, including the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the Civil Rights Act of 1991 have increased the number of complaints that are brought each year. Currently, over 20 percent of all complaints brought before the EEOC are based on disability, while race discrimination, totaling 60 percent of all complaints filed, remains the most widespread discriminatory basis.

The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) is charged with promoting equal opportunity through administrative and judicial enforcement of Federal civil rights laws and through education and technical assistance. Established by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the EEOC enforces the principal Federal statutes prohibiting employment discrimination, including: Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 as amended; the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA) of 1967 as amended; the Equal Pay Act

Table 12-1. CIVIL RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT FUNDING
(Budget authority, in millions of dollars) ¹

	1997 Actual	Estimate	
		1998	1999
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	240	242	279
Department of Housing and Urban Development, Fair Housing Activities	30	30	52
Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division	62	65	72
Department of Labor—Office of Federal Contractor Compliance Efforts	59	62	68
Department of Education Office of Civil Rights	55	62	68
Department of Health and Human Services, OCR ²	20	20	21
Department of Agriculture	10	15	19
U.S. Commission on Civil Rights	9	9	11
Department of Transportation, Office of Civil Rights	6	6	7
Department of Labor, Civil Rights Center	5	5	5
Total	496	516	602

¹ Numbers may not add due to rounding.

² Includes Medicare Trust Fund transfers.

(EPA) of 1963; Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA); and Section 501 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended. Taken as a whole, these laws protect workers from illegal discrimination based on race, color, religion, gender, national origin, age, and disability.

In recent years, Congress provided EEOC with only marginal increases that have been insufficient to support upgrades to technology and investment in alternative methods of enforcing the law. At the same time, increased enforcement responsibilities have resulted in a 47 percent rise in private sector complaints received by the agency during the first half of the decade, from 62,000 in 1990 to 91,000 in 1994. Consequently, the backlog of private sector complaints at the EEOC rose from 73,124 charges at the end of FY 1993 (the highest level of the previous 10 years), to an all-time high of 111,000 in FY 1995.

Over the past three years, the EEOC has addressed Congressional concerns about the pending backlog and the lack of alternative dispute resolution methods by making a fundamental shift in its approach to its business. Among the most significant changes are: the development of national and local priority issues; the implementation of a targeted and prioritized charge processing system for private sector cases; and the elimination of full investigation of all cases. Two years after implementing the priority charge handling procedures, EEOC has reduced its charge inventory 35 percent—from 111,000 pending charges at the end of the third quarter of FY 1995 (just prior to implementation) to 65,000 pending charges at the end of FY 1997. However, under EEOC's new charge prioritization system, it is now faced with a caseload that is approximately 70 percent category "B" charges (those needing further investigation to determine whether they have merit) and 20 percent "A" charges (those with potential merit requiring extensive investigation). Without additional resources to continue procedural reforms, implement greater use of mediation, and invest in technology, the Commission is unlikely to make further progress toward its goal of reducing the average time it takes

to resolve private sector complaints from over 9.4 months to 6 months by 2001.

Finally, the budget proposes \$13 million for an enhanced mediation program that would double the number of complaints eligible for EEOC's alternative dispute resolution program in FY 1999. Voluntary mediation is an effective method of complaint resolution that can be used in enforcement efforts. EEOC currently uses some of its trained investigators to mediate, but this diverts scarce investigative resources from the majority of cases that do not lend themselves to mediation. While volunteers have also been used since the program's inception in FY 1996, EEOC will need to use more experienced and credible mediators in the future. Through the use of contract mediators, EEOC would encourage employer participation by addressing employers' concerns about bias by EEOC staff, and would encourage claimants to elect mediation by addressing claimant concerns about the competency of volunteers.

Discrimination by federal contractors is the subject of a separate enforcement effort conducted by the Department of Labor's Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP). OFCCP is responsible for ensuring nondiscrimination in employment based on race, sex, religion, color, national origin, disability or veteran status by more than 200,000 Federal contractors and subcontractors with a total workforce of approximately 22 million people. It assures that Federal contractors and subcontractors take affirmative action in hiring and the advancement of minorities and women under the authority of Executive Orders 11246 and 11375. It also enforces the affirmative action and nondiscrimination provisions of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and, as an agent of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990. It ensures that contractors comply with the provisions of the Vietnam Era Veterans Readjustment Assistance Act of 1974 providing affirmative action by Federal contractors to employ, and advance in employment, special disabled and Vietnam era veterans.

The FY 1999 Budget includes funds to continue OFCCP's Fair Enforcement Initiative which began in FY 1998. The Fair Enforcement Initiative includes a

streamlined tiered compliance review process which reduces contractor burden while enabling the agency to target the most serious violations. The tiered review process also will enable OFCCP to reach more of the contractor universe, resulting in a 10 percent increase in FY 1999 in the number of compliance reviews conducted. In addition, through the completion of various regulatory changes, OFCCP will reduce contractor burden by at least 30 percent. OFCCP will modernize its computer systems in order to streamline internal procedures permitting the agency, for example, to accept electronically submitted reports from contractors. The Fair Enforcement Initiative, which includes technical compliance assistance, will increase the effectiveness and efficiency of the agency while addressing the persistent problem of systemic discrimination in the workplace.

The Department of Labor (DOL) also operates numerous employment and training programs that seek to enhance the skills and abilities of the nation's workforce. To ensure that these programs are administered in a non-discriminatory manner, the Civil Rights Center (CRC) at the Department of Labor is responsible for enforcing the Federal statutes and regulations that prohibit discrimination in all DOL financial assistance programs and prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability by certain public entities and in activities conducted by DOL. CRC employs a proactive approach towards reducing discrimination, by promoting voluntary compliance with existing non-discrimination laws through education and technical assistance to mitigate the number of complaint filings. To further reduce complaint workload, CRC plans to expand the number of technical assistance visits made to the States to ensure voluntary compliance. The CRC also intends to encourage the States to promote the use of alternative dispute resolution in complaint processing programs at the state level. Methods of Administration (MOA) agreements which are signed by the states as a condition of receiving employment and training funds have also been an effective tool in assisting states in addressing discrimination by ensuring that uniform systems are in place to enforce applicable nondiscrimination laws.

Combating Housing Discrimination and Promoting Fair Housing Activities

Despite 30 years of laws and regulations prohibiting housing discrimination, fair housing audits continue to show high indices of discrimination, and mortgage lenders reject minority applicants at higher rates than white applicants. Builders continue to construct housing inaccessible to disabled persons in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has overall responsibility for the promotion of fair housing and enforcement of the Fair Housing Act of 1968, as amended, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, gender, religion, national origin, disability or familial status in the sale or rental,

provision of brokerage services, or financing of housing. The Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity (FHEO) administers two grant programs: the Fair Housing Assistance Program (FHAP), which provides financial assistance to supplement enforcement activities of States and localities which have passed laws substantially equivalent to Federal fair housing laws; and the Fair Housing Initiatives Program (FHIP), which is a competitive grant program that provides funding to private fair housing groups to carry out activities that assist in enforcement and furthering compliance with the Fair Housing Act. These fair housing activities are designed to ensure citizens the freedom and dignity of choosing where to live.

At the State and local government level, agencies with laws equivalent to the Federal Fair Housing Act are estimated to increase from 78 in 1997 to 85 in 1999, and the number of cases processed by these agencies are estimated to increase from 3,797 in 1997 to 6,100 in 1999. FY 1999 funding for the FHAP program is proposed at \$23 million, an \$8 million increase over the FY 1998 level, to support the expected creation of additional State and local fair housing organizations that will meet the needs of currently underserved populations and will be used for joint investigations and enforcement activities.

The FY 1999 Budget also proposes \$10 million for a targeted, audit-based enforcement initiative that would raise the Nation's and communities' awareness of the extent of discrimination through focused and publicly released audit results and subsequent enforcement actions. Paired testing, in which otherwise identical white and minority testers approach realtors or landlords, is a particularly effective method of detecting housing discrimination. This initiative provides for non-profit housing organizations to undertake audit-based fair housing enforcement in 20 areas nationwide to develop local indices of discrimination, to identify and pursue violations of fair housing laws, and to promote new community fair housing enforcement initiatives. The Administration believes that this systematic and focused strategy, replicated across the country, could substantially aid in detecting and reducing levels of housing discrimination. The FY 1999 budget also includes a \$4 million increase in flexible funding for fair housing initiatives, to strengthen Secretary Cuomo's "One America" initiative, including his pledge to double the number of enforcement actions taken by HUD on discrimination complaints. In total, the FY 1999 Budget proposes \$52 million for fair housing activities to enable HUD to meet its goals of reducing discrimination and ensuring equal opportunity in housing.

Enforcing Civil Rights in Education and Health Programs

Although much progress fighting discrimination in our schools has been made in the past three decades, the reality of discrimination—sometimes flagrant—remains. Investigations in thousands of cases annually by the Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights

reveal that discriminatory tracking and assessment practices continue, to the detriment of hundreds of thousands of minority, limited English proficient, disabled, and female students. Additionally, instances of racial and sexual harassment continue as pervasive problems that must be addressed.

The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) at the Department of Education is charged with ensuring equal access to education and promoting educational excellence throughout the Nation through vigorous enforcement of civil rights laws and regulations. These laws are: Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (prohibiting race, color and national origin discrimination); Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (prohibiting sex discrimination); Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1972 (prohibiting disability discrimination); Age Discrimination Act of 1975; and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (prohibiting disability discrimination in State and local government services). Also, OCR enforces civil rights provisions in Title V, Part A, of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (the Magnet Schools Assistance program), and provides technical assistance to Federal award recipients and beneficiaries, the public and other organizations in an attempt to obtain voluntary compliance with civil rights laws.

OCR's purview currently encompasses a range of issues: discrimination against minorities in special education and remedial courses; discrimination of minorities in math and science and other advanced placement courses; disability discrimination; access to programs for limited English proficient (LEP) students; racial and sexual harassment; discrimination in testing/assessment; gender equity in athletics; and higher education and elementary and secondary school desegregation. Over 50 percent of the complaints OCR receives annually are for disability. On average, OCR receives and resolves over 5,000 discrimination complaints annually. OCR selects its compliance reviews based on field assessments of the greatest problems of unredressed discrimination in the regions. Currently, the greatest percentage of compliance reviews are in the area of race discrimination.

With its increased funding levels in 1998, OCR will hire additional attorneys, reducing its current attorney/case ratio in order to improve the timeliness of its complaint resolutions and increase its compliance reviews. OCR's 1999 budget, an increase of \$6.5 million over FY 1998, will enable it to maintain its increased staffing level, as well as to fund technology improvements and complete the Elementary and Secondary Education School Survey. It will also allow OCR to pursue its goal of building collaborative relationships with parents, students, and educators—focusing on preventing discrimination rather than just remedying it—and building partnerships with States to address statewide compliance with civil rights laws and regulations. A key element of its enforcement strategy involves educating the public about its rights and responsibilities and creating linkages among recipients, beneficiaries, and

community groups for the purpose of achieving the shared goal of civil rights compliance. For example, OCR has encouraged parental involvement in monitoring voluntary action plans. These approaches require a significant investment in time and resources to provide the necessary technical assistance.

Federal health care and social services programs are the responsibility of the Department of Health and Human Services' Office for Civil Rights (OCR). The OCR enforces compliance with Civil Rights statutes to ensure that people have equal access to and do not face discrimination in HHS programs, particularly in the areas of managed care, quality of health care, interethnic adoption, services to limited English proficient persons, and welfare reform. OCR investigates complaints, undertakes pre- and post-grant reviews, and provides outreach and technical assistance. The Civil Rights statutes OCR enforces include Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, Title VI and XVI of the Public Health Service Act, parts of the Omnibus Reconciliation Act of 1981 related to non-discrimination within block grant programs, the Multiethnic Placement Act of 1994, and the Small Business Protection Act of 1996 (interethnic adoption provisions).

Over the past few years, HHS' OCR has focused more of its resources on non-complaint activities and increased use of alternative methods to resolve complaints faster. With additional funding in FY 1999, OCR will undertake an increased number of compliance reviews in priority program areas to ensure that discrimination is not occurring within HHS-funded programs and provide more technical assistance and outreach.

Government-wide Civil Rights Enforcement and Monitoring

The Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division, serves as the chief civil rights enforcement agency of the Federal government. It has primary responsibility for Federal civil rights litigation and is charged with coordinating Federal civil rights policy. The Division enforces a number of laws providing civil and criminal protections from discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, gender, national origin, disability, age, familial status, citizenship status, marital status, and source of income, in such areas as employment, education, public accommodations, housing, lending, and programs receiving Federal assistance.

The Attorney General has delegated to the Civil Rights Division primary litigation authority for enforcement of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Fair Housing Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances Act, and a number of criminal and civil statutes, including laws prohibiting police misconduct. The Division also enforces Federal constitutional and statutory rights in institutions covered by the Civil Rights

of Institutionalized Persons Act. The Division has instituted a successful mediation program in its Disability Rights Section (the one area where the Division handles initial complaints, rather than referrals from other government agencies).

The increased funding proposed in the FY 1999 Budget will allow the Civil Rights Division to significantly expand investigations and prosecutions of police brutality and misconduct, including pattern and practice cases, as well as violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act. The Budget includes a \$1 million increase to enhance the Division's coordination of Federal civil rights enforcement, and \$1.5 million for improvements in information technology, trial preparation, and courtroom presentations.

Finally, the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights has a broad ranging mandate to monitor and report on the status of civil rights' protections in the United States. As an independent, bipartisan agency of the Federal Government, the Commission strives to keep the President, the Congress, and the public informed about civil rights issues that deserve concentrated attention, and to appraise Federal laws and policies with respect to

discrimination or denial of protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, gender, age, disability, or national origin, or in the administration of justice. In doing so, it continually reminds all Americans why vigorous civil rights enforcement is in our national interest.

To meet these responsibilities, the agency evaluates Federal civil rights enforcement programs; investigates and studies allegations of discrimination; maintains a network of regional offices and State Advisory Committees that give the Commission a local presence in communities across the country; and educates the public about civil rights. The additional resources being requested for FY 1999 will allow the Commission on Civil Rights to address more fully today's critical, and still evolving, civil rights problems, including police brutality, hate crimes, and disability rights issues. At the same time, the Commission has taken important steps toward improving the efficiency and effectiveness of its operations. These improvements will help to ensure that the FY 1999 resources are more effective in advancing civil rights in the United States.