

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. itinerary	Personal (Partial) (1 page)	02/10/2000	P6/b(6)
002. itinerary	Personal (Partial) (1 page)	02/10/2000	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Domestic Policy Council
Bethany Little
OA/Box Number: 18526

FOLDER TITLE:

Illinois Trip

2013-0371-S

ms645

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

LAINÉ

630-218-4999

Star Covers

847-425-1292

ANGELA RUDIN - NCLC -

630-218-2730

Angela Ann

312-372-5000

Kimmerman

(H) 847-634-1264

PAH - 888-614-3925

(W) 847-272-6880 x223

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

PASSENGER TICKET AND BAGGAGE CHECK

PASSENGER COUPON

NOTICE

This portion of the ticket should be retained as evidence of your journey.

NOTICE

International (Warsaw Convention) Notice

If the passenger's journey involves an ultimate destination or stop in a country other than the country of departure, the Warsaw Convention may be applicable and the Convention governs and in most cases limits the liability of carriers for death or personal injury and for loss of or damage to baggage. See also the notices entitled "Advice to International Passengers on Limitation of Liability" and "Notice of Baggage Liability Limitations".

Domestic Notice

Air Transportation to be provided between points in the U.S. (including its overseas territories and possessions) is subject to the individual contract terms (including rules, regulations, tariffs and conditions) of the transporting air carriers, which are herein incorporated by reference and made part of the contract of carriage.

Where this coupon is issued for transportation, or services other than air travel, specific terms and conditions may apply. These terms and conditions may be included in the ticket set or may be obtained from the issuing company or agent.

Please make sure you have received the important legal notices entitled "Conditions of Contract", "Notice of Incorporated Terms", "Notice of Baggage Liability Limitations", and "Notice of Overbooking" or the specific terms and conditions relating to non-air transportation or services. If not, contact the nearest office of the company or agent to obtain copies.

REV. 4/84

PASSENGER TICKET AND BAGGAGE CHECK
SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT

ISSUED BY

American Airlines

BOARDING PASS

18FEB00

ISSUING OFFICE CODE

US

ISS. AGENT ID
74P / ORD

PLACE OF ISSUE
CHICAGO OHARE

US

NAME OF PASSENGER (NOT TRANSFERABLE)

ROTHERHAM/ANDREW

FARE BASIS

YOUR CODE

FO

FROM
CHICAGO OHARE

CARR.

AA 154

FLIGHT

CLASS

DATE

TIME

18FEB435P

STATUS

NOT VALID BEFORE - NOT VALID AFTER

TO
WASHINGTON REAG

REVALIDATION

FROM
CHICAGO OHARE

TO
WASHINGTON REAG

AMERICAN AIRLINES

ENDORSEMENTS/RESTRICTIONS

ORIGINAL ISSUE

FARE CALCULATION

BOARDING PASS ONLY

ISSUED IN EXCHANGE FOR

PNR CODE
QWFJEF / RR

PREMIUM

SEAT/SMOKE

23B NO

CARRIER FLIGHT
AA 154 Y 18FEB435P

REVALIDATION

GATE
H10 355P

23B

FARE
EQUIL FARE PAID

FORM OF PAYMENT

TAX

PCS. CK. WT. UNCK. WT.

SEQ. NO. ALLOW. BCS. CK. WT. UNCK. WT.

TAX

STOCK CONTROL NUMBER TX

COUPON AIRLINE

TAX

00106973857715

NOT VALID WITHOUT FLIGHT COUPON

TOTAL

ADDITIONAL SEAT INFORMATION

PCS. CK. WT. UNCK. WT. SEQ. NO. PCS. CK. WT. UNCK. WT.

PREMIUM

COUPON AIRLINE FORM SERIAL NO. CK

74F / 12E

Clinton Presidential Records Digital Records Marker

This is not a presidential record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

This marker identifies the place of a publication.

Publications have not been scanned in their entirety for the purpose of digitization. To see the full publication please search online or visit the Clinton Presidential Library's Research Room.

Pamphlet, "Black Hills Seminars 2000," 2 pages

Reclaiming Youth International

Augustana College
2001 S. Summit Ave.
Sioux Falls, SD 57197

Non-Profit Org.
U.S. Postage
PAID
Sioux Falls, SD
Permit No. 7675

A Setting for Renewal...

Paula Sapa's the Lakota Sioux name for the Black Hills is the setting for your professional development. Come to the natural beauty of the Black Hills and be revitalized, renewed, rejuvenated as you learn and learn with presenters on the leading edge of reclaiming youth.

Reclaiming Youth International presents... **Black Hills Seminars 2000**



Photo by Constance Quirk
Developmental Therapy-Teaching Programs


"One is hard-pressed to think of a higher task or nobler effort than that of the Black Hills Seminars...reclaiming youth at risk."

-- Senator George McGovern

Sneak Preview

Critical Issue:

Meeting the Diverse Needs of Young Children



ISSUE: The increase in racial, ethnic, and cultural diversity in American schools is reflected in many early childhood classrooms. These classrooms also are receiving increased numbers of children with disabilities or developmental delays. With a knowledge of effective practices, educators can create classrooms that are responsive to the diverse needs of all children.



OVERVIEW: If schools are to meet the challenge of educating increased numbers of children with diverse needs, teachers must embrace instruction and curricula that engage and encourage all students. Research about including children from multicultural backgrounds, children from homes in which English is not the primary language, and children with disabilities indicates the importance of several educational

strategies: heterogeneous student grouping; developmentally appropriate practice; an inclusive curriculum; high expectations for all students; appropriate physical environment and materials; collaboration and instructional teaming; support from administrators, families, and the community; and ongoing professional development.

One strategy for teaching children in a diverse classroom is an inclusive curriculum that emphasizes the strengths but accommodates the needs of all children. Because young children notice and ask questions about disabilities, the curriculum for a diverse classroom should acknowledge differences yet point out shared abilities and similarities. Such strategies help counter misconceptions and stereotyping about what children with disabilities can and cannot do (Derman-Sparks & Anti-Bias Curriculum Task Force, 1989). The curriculum also must balance learning the common core of knowledge from the dominant culture with knowledge of minority cultures (Derman-Sparks, 1992).

Research shows positive results—both socially and academically—for at-risk, ethnic-minority, and language-minority students in heterogeneous, cooperative learning groups. The teacher responsible for such a heterogeneous class must be able to identify the individual needs of each child, including any needs for accommodation and support.

Teachers can use instructional teaming to meet the diverse needs of children. The inclusion of children with disabilities into the general classroom calls for collaboration between general and special education teachers. Inclusion of children with limited English proficiency calls for collaboration between classroom teachers and bilingual resource specialists.

More online...

[www.ncrel.org/sdrs/areas/issues/
students/earlycld/ea400.htm](http://www.ncrel.org/sdrs/areas/issues/students/earlycld/ea400.htm)



GOALS:

- Educators understand, respect, and validate the diverse needs of children entering the early childhood classroom; they hold high expectations for achievement for all students.

More online...



ACTION OPTIONS:

- Participate in instructional teaming and collaboration with special education teachers or bilingual resource specialists to ensure that appropriate educational strategies are used.
- Become aware of various cultural influences and social conventions that affect how children communicate and interact with their parents and families. Adjust instruction accordingly.


More online...



IMPLEMENTATION PITFALLS: Labeling a student with disabilities or relying on a standard description of a particular disability may prevent a teacher from accurately assessing the student's individual abilities. Such labeling also may hurt a child's self-esteem and cause teachers to lower their expectations for the child's achievement. Instead of labeling the child, the label can be placed on the

program or service.

More online...



DIFFERENT POINTS OF VIEW: Not everyone agrees that diversity in the classroom is beneficial. Some teachers may feel overwhelmed by the prospect of meeting so many different types of needs. They also may have concerns about being able to meet the normal developmental needs of young children in a classroom where so many students have special needs.

More online...



ILLUSTRATIVE CASES:

The Inclusion Initiative, New York City, combines general education students and children with special needs in the same classroom.

Ysleta Independent School District, El Paso, Texas, promotes cooperative learning among children transitioning from Spanish to English reading during second and third grade.

More online...

The ***Pathways to School Improvement*** Internet server was developed by the North Central Regional Educational Laboratory.

NCREL
North Central Regional Educational Laboratory

1900 Spring Road, Suite 300
Oak Brook, Illinois 60523-1480
(800) 356-2735, Fax (630) 571-4716

***Pathways to School Improvement* Internet Server**
www.ncrel.org/pathways.htm

253

NDL
Hawson

LEAD + LO
D TIME
D TO FOCUS ON
STAKEHOLDERS

20 → 27C
CHANGES

CLIPPER
O CONTACTS
RECHES
1.3 million
SO IN
WISCONSIN

Julie A. Radocchia
02/16/2000 09:49:51 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Andy Rotherham/OPD/EOP@EOP
cc:
Subject: packets

Here's what's in the packets:

Exerpt of the state of the union concerning education
Highlights from FY 2001 budget

"Vice President Gore Announce over \$100 Million increase for safe schools/healthy students program in FY 2001 budget"

"Vice President Gore announces new investment to create smaller, safer and more successful high schools"

President Clinton's commitment to repair, renovate, and renew Americas schools" 1/5/00 release

"White House release study showing boom in charter schools and announces \$30 million increase in charter school funding"

"President Clinton announces unprecedented national effort to improve our nation's schools by dramatically increasing accountability and investment"

"Vice President Gore announces adminstration will seek \$30 million for high-quality early education educators"

Key FY 2001 Budget Initatives: Illinois
Indiana
Iowa
Minnesota
Ohio
Wisconsin

HAWSON
STUDY NOTES FOR
CLIPPER
- CHARTER SCHOOLS
- STUDENT
- SAFETY

Today → Focus schools
- what to do

D INVEST MORE
D REPAIR MORE

→ STANDARDS - BASED PROF. DEVELOPMENT

✓ COVENANT

SCHOOL COUNCIL

PROF. REVIEW

- SIMILARS - GO FORWARD?
BACK-OFF?

✓ CHARTER SCHOOLS

A National Plan for Improving Professional Development

By Dennis Sparks and Stephanie Hirsh

Virtually every effort to improve the quality of education since the publication of *A Nation at Risk* in 1983 has focused on overcoming deficits in student knowledge or on reshaping the structure and organization of schooling. These reforms—ranging from encouraging more students to take harder courses to establishing charter and voucher schools, from testing and holding schools accountable to lowering class size, and from raising student self esteem to creating schools within schools—all have largely left the classroom untouched.

Despite a decade and a half of reform talk, teachers mostly continue to teach as they have in the past. In the absence of substantial professional development and training, many teachers naturally gravitate to the familiar methods they remember from their own years as students. For instance, a 1998 study from the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) found that only a little more than half of teachers say they are using instructional strategies aligned with high standards (56 percent) and assisting all students to achieve (52 percent). [NCES: *What Happens in Classrooms?* 1998] Moreover these percentages are almost certainly inflated. A videotape study of eighth grade mathematics teachers conducted as part of the Third International Mathematics and Science Study found that most teachers, even those who say they use reform methods, still teach with traditional practices. [Stigler, Gonzales, Kawanaka, Knoll, and Serrano. *The TIMSS Videotape Classroom Study*, NCES 1999] In short, a school may be state-of-the-art charter, voucher-supported, magnet, or even school-within-a-school without greatly affecting the teaching that takes place inside the classroom. As a result, student achievement for most has remained stagnant, even as society's expectations for graduates rose.

All too often, in their zeal for visible reforms, educational leaders, policymakers, and the public have avoided the crucial role played by the teacher. Assuming that teachers are interchangeable parts whose knowledge and abilities do not matter, they search for the right organization that would make schools work regardless of what teachers do. A few programs try to minimize the role of the teacher, producing "teacher-proof" materials and prepackaged lessons that spell out everything the teacher is to say and do. When people do pay attention to teachers, it is usually to demand the use of teacher testing to target low scorers for dismissal or the abolishment of tenure so principals can fire "inferior" teachers.

In reality, a growing body of research shows that improving teacher knowledge and teaching skills is essential to raising student performance. Students spend the vast majority of their time in school either interacting in some way with teachers or working under teachers' direction. Naturally enough, what teachers know and can do directly affects the quality of student learning. Other reforms – from smaller classes to charters to testing – are effective only to the degree that

they affect what goes on behind the classroom door.

America's recent push to reform the schools has created high standards, rigorous tests, and strict accountability measures in the hopes that these would force schools to improve the education they provide students. Supporters of these plans postulate that schools and teachers already know what to do but simply need to work harder and demand more from students. Many states have already reached the limits of this strategy; they can align curriculum to standards and tests but ultimately improvements come down to how well teachers understand the standards and instructional techniques to reach all students. If states want teachers to radically change their results to get all students achieving, they must give teachers the tools, support, and training to radically change their practice. America cannot climb past its current achievement plateau without educating teachers, administrators, and other educators on what they need to do to reach the higher levels.

Therefore, to improve the education we provide our children, our nation must improve the ongoing professional development it provides teachers and create a national plan for helping teachers fulfill their untapped potential. We can no longer hope that a random selection of courses and consultants will provide teachers with the knowledge and teaching techniques they need to bring all students to higher standards. Improving American education requires creating an organized staff development plan to upgrade the quality of teaching by keeping all educators, and all those who support these educators, learning throughout their careers.

Quality Professional Development Can Raise Student Achievement

In the United States, we celebrate individual teachers through awards and movies like *Music of the Heart* and *Stand and Deliver*, yet do little to help all educators reach outstanding levels. Acting on an assumption that great teachers are born, not made, our schools frequently hire unqualified and undertrained teachers – such as military veterans and Ivy League graduates – to be teachers after they have taken just a short summer course. Studies show that nearly a quarter of newly hired American teachers lack the qualifications for their job and more than 40 states allow districts to hire teachers who have not met basic requirements. [NCTAF, 1996] It is therefore not surprising that schools do not recognize the importance of investing in quality teaching and supporting teacher learning. But ignoring ways to help teachers develop their skills and knowledge ignores the critical link between student performance and teaching; not surprisingly, studies show that students with better teachers learn more.

A Texas study of 900 districts conducted by Ronald Ferguson of Harvard University found that teacher expertise (as measured by teacher education, licensing examination scores, and experience) explains 40 percent of the difference in student achievement in reading and mathematics. Teacher quality explains most of the gap in achievement between African-American and white students (after controlling for socioeconomic status). Ferguson's study also

reveals how teacher quality can be improved; every dollar spent on more highly qualified teachers produced greater increases in student achievement than a dollar spent on any other single program. [NCES 1997 citing Ferguson 1991] Similarly, a Boston study by Bain and Company found that students of the top-third teachers produced gains on math tests that exceeded the national median while the bottom third showed virtually no growth. A study of schools in New York City found that differences in teacher qualifications accounted for 90 percent of the variation in student achievement in reading and mathematics. [Armour-Thomas, Clay, Domanico, Bruno, & Allen, 1989] The evidence showing the influence of quality teachers is so overwhelming that the National Commission on Teaching and America's Future (NCTAF) called for a nationwide commitment to provide every child with a caring and competent teacher. [NCTAF, 1996] Even Eric Hanushek, the University of Rochester economist who frequently writes that school spending does not have much impact on student achievement, admits that "the difference between a good teacher and a bad teacher can be a full level of achievement in a single year." [Haycock, 1999]

By taking the more than three million teachers already in schools and helping them become more effective, staff development can produce immediate gains in teacher quality. For example, a 1998 study by David Cohen and Heather Hill at the University of Michigan found a relationship between teacher participation in curriculum workshops and scores on California's state assessment, even when controlling for teachers' past learning. Sustained participation in professional development activities tied to California's elementary school mathematics curriculum successfully improved teachers' knowledge of mathematics and their ability to transfer this knowledge to students. This effect was even higher when the professional development included information about the test. [Cohen and Hill, 1998] The National School Boards Foundation even called investment in teacher learning, "the primary policy lever that school boards have to raise student achievement." [National School Boards Foundation, March 1999]

Staff development helps prepare teachers for the complexities of educating the millennial generation with the advanced skills and knowledge they will need for the unknown future. It helps teachers enhance their knowledge of content so they are better able to answer students' questions, enliven lessons, and help students solve problems. It expands teachers' repertoire of instructional skills so they can determine the best method to match an individual student's specific needs and helps principals and other administrators learn new ways to lead and inspire. In addition, staff development can encourage all of the school's educators to adopt attitudes that support high levels of learning, including the belief that virtually all students can learn at high levels and meet national standards.

A study from NCES found that teachers who attended professional development activities focused on standards were much more likely to teach using reform activities that raise students' achievement. Nearly two-thirds (65 percent) of teachers *with* the professional development reported that they used three or more activities compared to only a third (35 percent) of those *without* professional development. In addition, three out of five (61 percent) of those without professional development reported using no reform activities compared

to fewer than two out of five (39 percent) of those with the training. [NCES: *Status*, 1998]

Parents and the public understand the need for qualified teachers. A survey by Recruiting New Teachers found that nearly twice as many people (55 percent) thought that the quality and caliber of teachers had the greatest influence on student learning compared with establishing a system of standards (30 percent) and requiring achievement tests (14 percent). Three out of five respondents (61 percent) strongly favor lengthening the school year by two weeks to allow more time for teacher consultation and planning while seven in ten (71 percent) agree that public schools should pay teachers for longer work days so they have time to stay abreast of new developments in their own field. [Recruiting New Teachers, 1998]

Moreover, teachers themselves report that teacher professional development has improved their teaching. More than four out of five teachers participating in professional development said it provided them with new information (85 percent), nearly two thirds reported it caused them to change their teaching practices (65 percent), more than three in five said that professional development programs caused them to seek further information or training (62 percent), and two out of five reported that the programs changed their views on teaching (42 percent). [NCES, *Toward* , July 1998]

America's Inadequate Professional Development

Unfortunately, despite the importance of quality teacher learning to improved student performance, the American school system fails to provide sufficient staff development. The typical school district currently allocates only about one percent of its budget for improving the abilities of its staff. Fewer than half of teachers reported receiving released time to attend professional development (47 percent) and nearly a quarter (23 percent) said they were given no support, time, or credit for professional development. [NCES: *Toward*, 1998] Only 19 percent of teachers had a mentor teacher and two-thirds did not participate in a formal induction program during their first year on the job. [NCES: *Teacher Quality* , 1999]

Moreover, even when states and school districts are willing to pay more to educate their staff, the professional development most teachers receive is of only limited quality. Although nine in 10 teachers state they spent an average of 42 hours on professional development activities (including meetings, workshops, and conferences) in the 1994-1995 academic year, a NCES report found that only one in five teachers felt very well prepared to integrate educational technology into their instruction or to teach limited English proficient students, culturally diverse students, and students with disabilities. Just two out of five teachers feel well prepared to implement new teaching methods and just over one-third say they are well prepared to implement higher standards. [NCES: *Status* , 1998] Clearly, this training is not providing educators with the knowledge and skills they need to help students succeed.

This failure may be due to one-shot workshops and schoolwide presentations of new methods that lack connections to the challenges teachers face in the classroom. The current "proof of purchase" system of rewarding teachers with higher pay based on the number of graduate courses they have on their transcript simply encourages teachers to take a hodgepodge of miscellaneous courses that are not necessarily linked to the school's plans or students' needs. There is no incentive for these programs to improve teachers' performance since all staff development experiences count equally for salary enhancement, certificate maintenance, and career mobility. [Stout, "Staff Development Policy" 1996] These "adult pull-out programs" of disjointed lectures frequently leave teachers no better off than before, leading some to collect course credit without ever using these courses to change their instruction.

This status quo is especially alarming at a time when standards are raising demands for what teachers and students should know and be able to do, and when, teacher shortages and efforts to lower class size are propelling more untrained teachers into the classroom. Already nearly a third of math teachers, and a quarter of science, social studies, and English teachers lack full-state certification and a college major in the field they teach. Without staff development, most of these teachers will be ineffective. Even those teachers who initially received an outstanding preservice teacher education still need additional quality staff development simply because of changes in standards and expectations since their initial preparation. Many districts spend nearly 90 percent of operational funds on personnel, so they should be obligated to make sure these employees are trained, well-prepared, and supported.

What Can Be Done?

The most effective way to improve the achievement of our students is to improve the quality of teaching. No effort to improve the quality of education for all students, especially for the most disadvantaged, can succeed unless it changes the way in which teachers teach and students learn. However, even if states and districts were willing to spend millions of dollars on staff development, this investment would be wasted without a plan to direct staff development dollars and teacher time for learning to the best possible programs and methods. Such a plan would evaluate staff development and ensure that quality programs and strategies are recognized and encouraged to grow.

Improving staff development requires empowering educators to develop new models for integrating learning into all aspects of the school. Effective staff development, researchers say, is:

- Results-driven and job-embedded;
- Focused on helping teachers become deeply immersed in subject matter and teaching methods;
- Curriculum-centered and standards-based;
- Sustained, rigorous, and cumulative; and
- Directly linked to what teachers do in their classrooms.

Effective professional development makes the connection between subject matter and pedagogy. It expands teachers' repertoire of research-based instructional methods to teach that content and help students master new skills. Such programs create regular opportunities for serious collaborative planning, develop classroom assessment skills, and connect teachers to other professionals within and beyond their schools.

A growing number of researchers and policymakers are working on plans to upgrade staff development programs and focus them on improving the learning of all students. For example, participants in the 1999 National Education Summit called on states and educators to target their professional development resources on programs that give teachers the content knowledge and skills to teach to higher standards. This plan would change teacher compensation programs to provide salary credit for professional development only when it is standards-based, linked to state and district priorities, and part of schoolwide plans to raise student achievement. [Achieve, 1999] Likewise, researcher Julia E. Koppich, in a report for the Brookings Institution, also stressed the importance of requiring accountability and concentrating federal professional development dollars on increasing teachers' subject-matter knowledge and mastery of teaching methods. She urged states to establish an accountability test for teacher professional development: "Does it contribute to higher levels of student learning?" [Koppich, draft]

In addition, the National Staff Development Council (NSDC), a non-profit professional association devoted to staff development and school improvement, created a set of standards and guidelines for staff development that schools and districts can use to evaluate professional development. NSDC recommends that school systems:

- **Set clear and high standards for the learning of all students** and then focus on the changes in practice required to achieve student-learning goals.
- **Hold superintendents and principals, as well as teachers, accountable** for student achievement and the provision of high-quality staff development in their annual performance reviews.
- **Invest in teacher learning**, ideally allocating at least 10 percent of their budgets to staff development.
- **Review school improvement plans** to ascertain that they focus on student learning and specify effective methods for reaching these goals.
- **Involve all teachers in the continuous, intellectually rigorous study** of the content they teach and the ways they teach it.
- **Embed opportunities for professional learning** and collaborating with colleagues in the daily schedule of teachers. NSDC advocates that at least 25 percent of teachers' time be devoted to their own learning. Schools should schedule more time for collaborating with colleagues.

- **Provide teachers with classroom assessment and other action research skills** that allow them to determine on a regular basis if student learning has been improved because of their new knowledge and skills.
- **Recognize the importance of skillful leaders** in schools and at the district level who have a deep understanding of instruction, curriculum, assessment, and the organizational factors that affect student learning.

These guidelines are the first step to a new national strategy for staff development. The challenge now is to create new policies and structures on the national, state, and local level that ensure that all teachers have access to the kind of training and knowledge they need to help students achieve high standards.

National Level: The Need for a National Center on Professional Development

Education in the United States historically has been a responsibility of the state and local government. The national role is largely limited to protecting rights of various groups, funding compensatory programs, advising states, and conducting research. While these powers may seem limited, its research and advisory functions are vital to the improvement of education. This can only happen, however, when research is guided into the right channels and spread throughout the K-12 system.

We recommend the creation of a National Center on Professional Development that will:

- Conduct and monitor research on effective staff development and the links between professional development and student learning;
- Determine the necessary conditions and resources required in states, school districts, and schools to use staff development to its full potential;
- Provide technical assistance to states;
- Evaluate the effectiveness of programs and inform "consumers" of those findings;
- Monitor, analyze, and disseminate policy modes that are effective in promoting quality staff development; and,
- Function as a research and information dissemination clearinghouse to publicize research findings.

A National Center could be convened by the U.S. Department of Education and housed in the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, with additional funding by states, corporations, and foundations. It could provide states and districts with tools to measure the quality and effectiveness of programs, help

them understand more about building the leadership required for staff development, and assist them in determining staff development needs across core subject areas. Its research and materials would show states and districts how to use staff development successfully to achieve the vision of a standards-based reform agenda. The Center would focus on how to change the classroom experience for teachers and students through professional development. Ultimately, its work would show how to use professional development to strengthen teaching and improve student learning.

School districts spend between \$1,700 to \$3,500 per teacher on staff development. [Stout, 1996 (citing research of Little and Miller, Lord, and Dorney.)] In the absence of a system to evaluate professional development programs regularly, a lot of this money is wasted on ineffective and inconsequential programs. In addition to its important research and development function, the Center could help discover, publicize, and disseminate new models for effective staff development and, unlike existing research labs, provide a stamp of approval for quality programs. The Center would also be able to sort through existing research and projects to direct new information into the hands of practitioners who can use it. Ultimately, the Center would help advance efforts to create new models for collective bargaining contracts that include provisions for collaborative staff development to ensure that teacher learning can be better integrated into the school day. It would assist states and districts in the development of coherent, systemic professional development plans to replace their current fragmented policies.

Research and Evaluation

A National Center on Professional Development would enable states and school districts to learn from policy research and statistical analysis of productivity and quality. The Center could help address critical issues in the field, including how to measure the effectiveness of staff development and how to strengthen the links between staff development and student achievement. By forging connections to both research universities and K-12 schools, the Center could break the tradition of conducting research in a vacuum without consulting practitioners. It could direct researchers to follow the lead of schools, finding out what educators need to know, rather than just floating out their own research in the hopes a school might find it useful. It also can serve as a home for the U.S. Department of Education's model professional development awards programs. Researchers could investigate how these high-performing schools and districts allocate their resources for professional development and then suggest ways for other schools and districts to replicate this success.

Now that standards for staff development have been created, American education needs a way of evaluating and certifying quality staff development programs much as the "Good Housekeeping" seal of approval validates home care products and the Department of Agriculture approves food products. One model for how to do this is NSDC's recent publication, *What Works in the Middle: Results-Based Staff Development*, funded by the Edna McConnell Clark

Foundation. This book provides a list of the 26 content-specific staff development programs in the core content areas that have evidence of their impact on student achievement. No such compilation exists for elementary and high school grades. The Center can build on this work by reviewing various professional development programs, measuring their effectiveness at achieving a defined set of benchmarks, and then sharing the results. It can establish a standard method for reviewing programs and rating their quality. At the same time, it could examine state and local policies to ensure that they develop environments and structures compatible with quality staff development and learning.

Trained program evaluators, such as those used by the U.S. Department of Education's Model Professional Development Awards program, should conduct these reviews of programs and techniques using common procedures and benchmarks. The evaluation process needs to shift from counting how many staff members participate and whether they enjoyed the session to determining the needs of the school and the evidence that the program is meeting these needs and improving student achievement. The evaluation should not be rigidly tied to teacher or student performance on multiple-choice tests but also should look for evidence that the staff development program has met the standards of the NSDC and other groups, changed teacher attitudes, affected what teachers do in the classroom, and helped prepare teachers to meet the rigorous standards of the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards. Above all else, staff development programs and policies need to present evidence of how they benefit students.

This Center on Professional Development would differ from existing regional research laboratories and the National Research and Development Center on Policy and Teaching Excellence in its focus on schools and practitioners. Its major purpose would be the development of information about quality professional development programs and the sharing of this information with educators in schools. It can tackle projects of nationwide scope—such as evaluating and certifying effective professional development programs—and organize research on the effects of different forms of professional development on student achievement. The Center's concentration on professional development will enable it to perform more in-depth work than centers with broader purposes.

Dissemination of Information and Tools to the Field

While the federal government, academic researchers, and others conduct mounds of research in education, few involved in this system, let alone teachers, know about this research. All too often, practitioners are out of the information loop, unaware of research findings. For all schools and districts to develop better staff development programs, leaders need access to better information. Staff development should be grounded in solid research, not only to convey the best teaching methods and appropriate curriculum content, but also to help school staff understand the process of change and how to work collaboratively to solve

problems, alter the school's culture, and build structures that support teacher learning. States, districts, and schools need information about which staff development programs have been successful in increasing teacher knowledge about their subject areas, subject-specific pedagogy, and student achievement. This information needs to be shared across districts and states.

Fortunately, technology has evolved to the point where it can solve the problem of dissemination. Through technology, the same Center on Professional Development that conducts research could also act as a clearinghouse—disseminating the findings on the Internet in a format that makes them accessible to anyone with a computer and modem. Because virtually every school and public library is linked to the Internet, posting information online may be the most cost-effective way to ensure that educators, administrators, and trainers of teachers can find information as it is needed. This Center can present models and exemplars for what quality staff development looks like through text, pictures, and even video. Any school would be able to download images of teachers doing lesson study or other forms of collaboration. Using the Internet to disseminate information would enable educators to access information instantly when they are ready to use it as opposed to the long wait required by a traditional paper-and-mail information clearinghouse.

Because such a Center would be an extension of the national government's research and advisory role it would not violate local control of education. Instead, it would help provide information for state and local governments to make their own choices about what form of staff development they would prefer and what features they consider most important.

A Better Role for States

Because local school districts only have the power and authority delegated to them by states, policy improvements and changes that support staff development must be targeted at the state level. States can set policies and provide resources, for example, to provide all new teachers with a mentor, increase funding and time for quality staff development, and determine and monitor how money is spent. It can change the definition of staff development to include collaborative activities and efforts by schools to determine how to best meet their specific needs. It also could require the alignment of evaluation components and professional development plans with standards for teachers, schools and districts. States could require the linkage of *school* professional development with *teacher* professional development plans.

Increase Funding for Quality Professional Development

Lacking a correct understanding of how staff development can improve teachers' effectiveness, many school districts have been reluctant to spend

money on staff development. Instead, they rely on large numbers of administrators and specialists: more than half of school district employees in the United States are not classroom teachers (compared with less than a quarter in the Japanese system). [NCTAF 1996, p. 49] But if this country truly wants more capable teachers who can produce better results in the classroom, it needs to increase funding for staff development and ensure that every dollar spent on professional development is really an investment in learning. A study by Greenwald, Hedges, and Laine found that student achievement goes up more for every \$500 spent on increasing teacher professional training than for spending the same amount on raising teacher salaries or reducing class size. [Greenwald et. al. 1996]

Currently, the typical school spends only 0.5 percent of its budget on raising the abilities of its staff while the typical private-sector company spends nearly four times as much. [Klein et. al 1996] This disparity may explain why education has been slower in responding to changing technology and societal needs. For this reason, NSDC recommends that districts increase their spending on staff development to at least 10 percent of the school budget.

Some states and districts are working to change this situation. Illinois appropriates \$4 million of state funds for teacher professional development. Kentucky provides \$23 per child, Florida spends \$4 per child, and Massachusetts allocates \$10 million for local districts through a formula. In Missouri, after the state received a court order to redesign its finance system, a coalition of education organizations convinced the state to spend two percent of all K-12 funding on staff development. States can increase their staff development funds by reducing their control and monitoring functions since better-trained teachers would require less oversight.

Despite this progress, much still needs to be done. States need to keep better track of how much is spent on professional development, what the sources of these funds are, and how this money is spent. We need to determine if that money is spent wisely or if it should be reallocated to more successful forms of staff development. For example, the salary raises schools pay to teachers with advanced degrees can be considered a cost of staff development. A state may find that this money can be better invested in a pay-for-knowledge plan rather than the current pay-for-credentials system that pays even when the course is unrelated to what the teachers do in their classroom.

Require High-Quality Professional Development

Many states require that teachers participate in professional development in order to maintain their certification. Unfortunately, many states perpetuate outdated ideas about what constitutes staff development by paying for seat time in courses or workshops (e.g. continuing education units). Yet research shows that collaborative schoolwide forms of staff development may have more power to change the culture of a school and update teachers on better ways to help students learn. Therefore, it is simply common sense for states to redefine

what counts for professional development.

As with everything else, not all staff development is of the same quality. Most states currently treat all staff development programs as equal, without an attempt to measure their productivity. Instead, states should insist that schools use research-validated staff development practices and that they require outside providers to present evidence that their programs work. This assessment should be performed using evidence from federal research or third-party evaluation whenever possible. This state system would hold teachers accountable for the student achievement they produce as a result of their professional development, and hold principals accountable for the change in the performance of their teachers. The evaluation system should have significant consequences that will demand that programs and staff provide evidence about results.

For example, Staff Development Leadership Councils (SDLCs) in Texas and Illinois are working on systems weighting the recertification credits that teachers earn from attending staff development courses and seminars based on evidence of improved practice and student results. Teachers seeking recertification must show more than just the requisite amount of "seat time"; they have to prove that they have put this learning to use in ways that benefit students.

Local Role in Creating Learning Schools

In the end, education remains a local responsibility and many of the new, more powerful forms of collaborative professional development need to take place in local districts and schools. Therefore, a plan to improve educator staff development will need to focus on change at the local level—encouraging schools to make more time available, increasing collaboration among staff, and altering the culture of the school to one that supports learning for all staff members as well as students. Currently, fragmented policies and expectations convey mixed messages to the local level and divides the limited funds in ways that dilute their impact. Local schools and districts need to align and structure the staff development system to meet the needs of students and teachers.

A New Model – the Learning School

Ultimately, the purpose of staff development on the local level is to change school culture and attitudes so that educators become better equipped to help all students reach high levels. As any of the survivors of previous reform attempts could testify, changing education is a difficult task that goes beyond just drafting new requirements or presenting a new method in a lecture or workshop. By contrast, in a learning school all staff members are engaged in sustained, intellectually rigorous study of what they teach and how they teach it. They replace the traditional model of isolated "adult pull-out programs" with an entire school focused on increasing learning collaboratively. Teachers learn better when they learn together and support one another in planning more advanced lessons, improving the quality of their students' work, and solving the day-to-day problems of teaching and learning. Such a school embeds staff

development into all of its daily activities.

A learning school would allow more time for staff to work and plan together. Departments would have common planning time so teachers can study the standards together, create more powerful lessons with their peers, practice and share new teaching methods, and solve problems collaboratively. Schools would organize sets of teachers who share responsibility for the same students and provide them with time to meet and discuss individual students' strengths, weaknesses, and personal issues. The school would assign a mentor to new teachers and teachers showing difficulties. All teachers would have the opportunity to sit in on lessons from other teachers. They would conduct research, plan together, analyze student work in groups to develop mutual understanding of excellent and acceptable work, and share successful methods and teaching tips. They would organize programs that empower teachers to help each other, such as peer-coaching and peer-observation of each other's classrooms. Teachers would become experts in a particular teaching method and then teach it to others.

Because teachers in learning schools continue to learn and expand their knowledge of both content and teaching strategies, they are better able to adapt to growing challenges and changes in students and to higher standards. In these schools professional development is not a one-shot workshop or self-improvement project by an isolated teacher. Instead the whole staff determines its own needs and decides how it wishes these needs to be met. Staff development becomes incorporated into everything the teachers do. The school may choose to bring in consultants for specific tasks or send a few teachers for additional training in a particular area. But in this model all staff development is planned around specific needs of the school and is linked to specific school improvement goals.

Provide More Time for Professional Development

Transforming existing schools into these learning schools will require substantial changes in how schools schedule teachers and time. For teachers to become continuous learners who are ready to face the challenges of higher standards and changing student needs, they need more time to learn and grow. Yet, time is a scarce resource during the school day; most staff development programs (between 60 and 80 percent) last under eight hours. This is not long enough to have much impact; studies indicate that teachers who participated in a professional development activity that lasted eight hours or more were three to five times more likely to say that the activity improved their teaching a lot as were teachers with a shorter activity. Similarly, teachers who participated in weekly common planning periods for team teachers were four times more likely to say that participation improved their teaching a lot than were those who only participated occasionally (52 percent versus 13 percent). [NCES: *Teacher Quality* , 1999]

To fix this, NSDC recommends that states and districts increase to 25 percent the time available during the school day for teachers to work together and collaboratively plan lessons and share information. Just as rehearsing is part of

the job of an actor and creating new recipes part of being a chef, much of the work of teachers is thinking and planning with their colleagues.

Schools can find this time by reorganizing the whole day and making the schedule more efficient. They can use alternative forms of schedules, like block scheduling, to adjust time between adults and students. In addition, schools can find some of this time by reducing some of the non-teaching duties of the staff. There is no professional reason for teachers to spend time as hall monitors, detention guards, and lunchroom patrollers when they can be using that time for learning.

Increase Collaboration as Part of Professional Development

When most people think of staff development, they automatically think of special programs after school. Most members of the public, accustomed to teamwork and frequent feedback in their own workplace, naturally assume that these interactions occur in the school as well, so professional development needs to be specific training classes. The reality, however, is that it is rare for teachers to visit each other's classrooms; frequently, a tenured teacher may find that no supervisor has set foot into his or her classroom during the entire year. While some teachers may share lesson plans, they rarely develop lessons together. But research shows that one of the most effective forms of professional development takes place when teachers have opportunities to work together and learn from each other throughout the day.

In their book, *The Teaching Gap*, researchers James Stigler and James Hiebert make a case that teachers' methods and the culture of teaching are at least as strong an influence on what students learn as is the content and depth of the curriculum. According to the authors, the lower performance of American students relative to many of their international peers is largely related to how Americans teach. This gap can only be crossed by educators who are working together to improve their lessons and learn new methods. While teachers from other nations have regular opportunities to develop lessons collaboratively, American teachers work largely alone. "The most alarming aspect of classroom teaching in the United States is not how we are teaching now but that we have no mechanism for getting better," write Stigler and Hiebert. "Without such a mechanism, the teaching gap will continue to grow." [Stigler and Hiebert, 1999] Teachers can work on this lesson study during common planning periods or other specified time during the school day.

In addition, schools can build this collaboration by giving some experienced teachers a reduced teaching load in return for mentoring a novice teacher—teaching him or her how to manage a classroom and cope with the millions of details not included in their preservice education. Through collaboration, novice teachers can learn from the experience of veterans, while adding energy and enthusiasm that can help rejuvenate a school. This partnership can help reduce the rate of attrition for new teachers, more than 30 percent of whom leave in their first five years of teaching. [NCTAF, 1996]

A similar amount of released time can be created for experienced teachers to do peer review for less successful teachers. Even though most of the existing discussion on peer review has focused on using it as a way to remove a small percentage of inadequate teachers, it can also be a powerful way of helping teachers improve their practice. In school districts like Rochester, NY, peer review has been used to help teachers become better with the threat of being "counseled out" held only as a last resort. After all, who would know better how to help a teacher become better than another teacher already providing high-quality instruction?

This model is not a theoretical idea. It is similar to ordinary practice in Japan and other countries whose schools do better than our own. District 2 in New York City uses a variant of this model to change instruction systemwide. Under superintendent Anthony Alvarado the district created a program that trains teachers in specific techniques, arranges time for teachers to visit other schools and classrooms, and pays for consultants to work with teams of teachers on their own problems and goals. [Achieve, 1999] Teachers need to work together to adapt standards to their classrooms and share information on how to improve.

Making the Change

These models show what professional development could and should be to transform education. The challenge now is to create new policies and structures that can support this effort to ensure that all teachers have access to the kind of knowledge and skills they need to help students achieve high standards. Making these slow changes, eliminating fragmentation, adopting more productive forms of staff development, and changing teacher attitudes will be difficult for educators and policymakers who are used to displaying more visible reforms to the public as proof that they are working to fix the schools. It is much harder to measure the increase in teachers' knowledge and abilities than it is to count the number of new charter schools or a reduction in class size. Yet changes in staff development are more meaningful since they affect everything the teacher does in the classroom.

This national plan would help educators, policymakers, and researchers move forward to define, disseminate, and advance models of quality professional development and the tools and policies required to make these models flourish. States must encourage the use of high quality professional development programs for new and experienced teachers. They should increase funding for professional development while requiring school districts to use these funds on programs and procedures that have research support. School districts should press forward with policies that build learning communities by adjusting school schedules to build in common time for teachers to learn together and work collaboratively.

Teacher unions need to evaluate the effectiveness of the staff development

programs they provide their members. They should follow the lead of progressive unions like Rochester that have adopted teacher professionalism plans that include a meaningful peer review and improvement process. Unions also should replace traditional salary schedules that raise teachers' pay based on the number of academic courses completed with a salary that pays teachers based on performance—demonstrated knowledge and skill at teaching.

The federal government should develop a National Center on Professional Development that can initiate and manage research on better staff development and then disseminate the results. It should measure the effectiveness of existing programs and study ways to improve staff development for the future. It also would function as a research and information clearinghouse, using the Internet to share its evaluations and research-proven practices.

School staff and researchers must work as partners to increase the utility of staff development for the school and its staff. They need to work together to find better ways to foster cooperation and build a spirit of mutual respect and learning. Principals, administrators, and teachers need to communicate the needs of school personnel while researchers investigate and communicate the success of various methods.

These changes will ultimately help redefine the role of teacher, cause a fundamental shift in how schools are organized, and create a cultural shift from teachers as industrial workers processing another load of children to teachers as professionals, using their specialized training to guide all children to higher levels of learning.

We cannot expect teachers to teach what they do not know, nor to use yesterday's training to prepare today's students for tomorrow's future. We certainly cannot expect our teachers to share and learn from each other's knowledge and skill unless we provide them with the research, structures, time, and money with which to do it. Ultimately, quality staff development benefits students by channeling the talents and expertise of all the school's teachers in all the school's classrooms. By improving staff development, by creating schools optimized for learning, we will be helping all teachers to excel at helping all students reach the high levels of achievement they need to succeed.

Bibliography

Achieve. *1999 National Education Summit Briefing Book* (Palisades, NY: Achieve September 1999)

Armour-Thomas, E.; Clay, C.; Domanico, R.; Bruno, K.; and Allen, B. *An Outlier Study of Elementary and Middle Schools in New York City: Final Report*. (New York: New York City Board of Education, 1989)

Cohen, D. K., & Hill, H. C. *Instructional policy and Classroom Performance: The Mathematics Reform in California*. (Philadelphia: Consortium for Policy

Research in Education, 1998)

Darling-Hammond, Linda; and Ball, Deborah Loewenberg. *Teaching for High Standards: What Policymakers Need to Know and Be Able to Do*. (NY: National Commission on Teaching and America's Future and Consortium for Policy Research in Education, 1998)

Elmore, Richard F with Burney, Deanna. *Investing in Teacher Learning: Staff Development and Instructional Improvement in Community School District #2, New York City* (NY: National Commission on Teaching and America's Future and Consortium for Policy Research in Education, 1997)

Ferguson, Ronald. "Paying for Public Education: New Evidence on How and Why Money Matters," *Harvard Journal of Legislation* Vol. 28 (Summer 1991)

Greenwald, R., L.V. Hedges, & R.D. Laine. "The Effect of School Resources on Student Achievement". *Review of Educational Research* 66(3), 1996, cited in Darling-Hammond and Ball, 1997

Haycock, Kati "Good teaching matters ... a lot," *Results* (March 1999).

Koppich, Julia "You Can't Teach What You Don't Know: Rethinking the Federal Role in Teacher Professional Development." (Unpublished draft, 1999)

Little, J.W.; Gerritz, W.; Stern, D.; Guthrie, J.; Kirst, M. and Marsh, D. *Staff Development in California*. (San Francisco: Far West Laboratory for Educational Research and Development, 1988)

Miller, B.; Lord, B.; and Dorney, J. *Summary Report: Staff Development for Teachers. A Study of Configurations and Costs in Four Districts*. (Newton, MA: EDC, 1994)

National Commission on Teaching and America's Future. *Doing What Matters Most: Investing in Quality Teaching* (NY: National Commission on Teaching and America's Future, 1997)

National Commission on Teaching and America's Future. *What Matters Most: Teaching for America's Future* (NY: National Commission on Teaching and America's Future, 1996)

National School Boards Foundation. *Leadership Matters: Transforming Urban School Boards*. (Alexandria, VA: National School Boards Foundation, 1999)

Recruiting New Teachers, *The Essential Profession: A National Survey of Public Attitudes Toward Teaching, Educational Opportunity and School Reform*, (Boston: Recruiting New Teachers, 1998)

Stigler, James W.; and Hiebert, James. *The Teaching Gap: Best Ideas from the World's Teachers for Improving Education in the Classroom* (New York: Free Press, 1999)

Stout, Robert T. (1996). "Staff development policy: Fuzzy choices in an imperfect market." *Education Policy Analysis Archives* . 4/(2), 1-12.
<http://olam.ed.asu.edu/epaa/v4n2.html>


U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, *Teacher Quality: A Report on the Preparation and Qualifications of Public School Teachers* . NCES 1999-080 , by Laurie Lewis, Basmat Parsad, Nancy Carey, Nicole Barfai, Elizabeth Farris, and Becky Smerdon. Bernie Greene, project officer. (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, January 1999)

U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, *Status of Education Reform in Public Elementary and Secondary Schools: Teachers' Perspectives*. NCES 1999-045, by Debbie Alexander, Sheila Heaviside, and Elizabeth Farris. Shelley Burns, Project Officer (Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1998)

U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics, *The TIMSS Videotape Classroom Study: Methods and Findings from an Exploratory Research Project on Eighth-Grade Mathematics Instruction in Germany, Japan, and the United States* , NCES 99-074, by James W. Stigler, Patrick Gonzales, Takako Kawanaka, Steffen Knoll, and Ana Serrano. (Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1999)

U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. *Toward Better Teaching: Professional Development in 1993-94*, NCES 98-230, by Susan P. Choy and Xianglei Chen. Project Officer: Michael Ross. (Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1998)

U.S. Department of Education. Office of Educational Research and Improvement. *Fitting the Pieces: Education Reform that Works* , by Steven Klein, Elliott Medrich, and Valeria Perez-Ferreiro. (Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1996)



NORTHERN SUBURBAN SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT
760 RED OAK LANE HIGHLAND PARK, IL 60035
(847) 831.5100 FAX (847) 831.5108

PRESENTS...

*BEST PRACTICES IN INSTRUCTION
CONFERENCE*


FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 2000

*ALLGAUER'S HILTON HOTEL
2855 MILWAUKEE AVE
NORTHBROOK, ILLINOIS 60062*

AGENDA

- 8:00-8:30** *Continental Breakfast and Registration*
- 8:30-9:10** *Welcome and Keynote Address:*
 David W. Peterson, Superintendent
 Dr. Larry Brendtro, Circle of Courage, Inc.
- 9:30-10:30** *Concurrent Session I*
- 10:45-11:45** *Concurrent Session II*
- 12:00-1:00** *Lunch*
- 1:15-2:15** *Concurrent Session III*
- 2:30-3:30** *Concurrent Session IV*

Continuing Education Unit (CEU's) certificates will be available at the registration table at the end of the day. Participation at the conference is equivalent to 6 clock hours.



About Our Keynote Speaker...

Dr. Larry K. Brendtro

Dr. Brendtro is a former president of the Starr Commonwealth serving troubled youth and families in Michigan and Ohio. He has been a Professor of Special Education/Behavioral Disorders at the University of Illinois, Ohio State University and Augustana College. He is currently President of Reclaiming Youth International, a non-profit organization networking those serving children in conflict in family, school and community. He has authored over 100 publications which are available in eight languages. He has five books currently in print, including *The Other 23 Hours*, *Positive Peer Culture*, *Re-educating Troubled Youth*, *Reclaiming Youth at Risk* and the most recent book, *Reclaiming our Prodigal Sons and Daughters*. Dr. Brendtro and Nicholas Long of American University edit the interdisciplinary journal, *Reclaiming Children and Youth* which puts them in contact with scholars and practitioners at the cutting edge of work with high risk youth. Recent journal publications have featured topics such as alternative school programs for troubled youth, treatment of violent youth resilience and strength based interventions. He has trained professionals in 16 countries including Australia, New Zealand, South Africa and Russia. He draws on 35 years of experience with troubled youth and holds a Ph.D. in Education and Psychology from the University of Michigan.

"Best Practices In Instruction"

8:00-8:30	CONTINENTAL BREAKFAST & REGISTRATION						
8:30-9:10	KEYNOTE: David W. Peterson, Welcome Dr. Larry Brendtro, "Reclaiming Our Youth", <i>Circle of Courage, Inc.</i>						
9:30-10:30 Session I	Making Sense of Our Senses Barb Sides & Jackie Kimel <i>Linden I & II</i>	The Development informed, Individual differences, etc. (Greenspan Methodology) Molly Witten Sheri Cawn & Beth Osten <i>Aspen I & II</i> Cont. Session I <i>Aspen I & II</i>	Visual Strategies to Enhance Literacy Panel <i>Almond</i>	Enhancing the Circle of Courage Larry Brendtro <i>Birch</i>	Recent Developments In Inclusion Jan Tuttle <i>Larch</i>	Positive Behavior Intervention Supports Gayle Tavares <i>Laurel</i>	Coffee Break 10:30-10:45
10:45-11:45 Session II	Writing Measurable Goals and Objectives/ Benchmarks Martha Rode <i>Linden I & II</i>	Cont. Session I <i>Aspen I & II</i>			Recent Developments In Inclusion (Repeat of Session I) Jan Tuttle <i>Larch</i>	Assessment of Early Language Skills Anne Remler <i>Laurel</i>	◆ ◆ ◆
12:00-1:00 - Lunch							
1:15-2:15 Session III	Assessment & Intervention Mike Vanderwood & Katie Boggs <i>Linden I & II</i>	Con't Session I <i>Aspen I & II</i> Con't Session I <i>Aspen I & II</i>	Problem Based Learning Debbie Gerdes & Kate Lewis <i>Almond</i>	Life Space Interviewing Larry Brendtro <i>Birch</i>	Assistive Technology & Infinitec Janet McCullough & David Peterson <i>Larch</i>	Effective Communication Parent/Staff Panel <i>Laurel</i>	Coffee Break 2:15-2:30
2:30-3:30 Session IV					Assistive Technology & Infinitec (Repeat of Session III) Janet McCullough & David Peterson <i>Larch</i>	Discipline of Students with Disabilities- IDEA '97 Bill Charis, Bob Gottlieb, Susan Jorjorian, Matt Ottaviano <i>Laurel</i>	◆ ◆ ◆
Name of room locations are in italics.							

Continuing Education Units are available for participation


TARGET AUDIENCE	MORNING SESSIONS (9:30-11:45)	LOCATION & TIME
Teachers, therapists, social workers, psychologists, behavior specialists and inclusion facilitators.	“Making Sense of our Senses” By: Barb Sides & Jackie Kimel An overview of the educational environment. How classrooms and presentation styles affect learning and sensory processing (auditory, visual, tactile and movement).	Linden I & II 9:30-10:30
All Grades	“Writing Measurable Goals and Objectives/ Benchmarks” By: Martha Rode This session will address how goals and objectives/benchmarks should be written according to changes in IDEA ‘97. Examples of academic and behavioral goals will be presented during this session.	Linden I & II 10:45-11:45
General and special education teachers, teacher consultants, occupational & physical therapists, speech & language pathologists & psychologists.	“The Development informed, Individual differences based, Relationship focused Approach.” By: Molly Witten, Sherri Cawn & Beth Osten This multi-disciplined, dynamic systems model integrates functional skills from a perspective of language, sensory motor and socio-emotional integration. The first session will include a theory overview. The subsequent sessions will focus on concrete suggestions on how to develop classroom strategies. These strategies are intended to advance students up the developmental ladder. Recommended for younger students or older students who may be functioning at a younger developmental level.	Aspen I & II 9:30-11:45
	“The Development informed, Individual differences based, Relationship focused Approach.” By: Molly Witten, Sherri Cawn & Beth Osten <i>(Cont.) Session I</i>	Aspen I & II 10:45-11:45

Parents, general and special educators interested in literacy for students with special needs.	“Visual Strategies to Enhance Literacy” By: Susan Levi, Gretchen Rigert, Colleen Johnston, Angela Benedetto, Angela Sopko, Kathy Farley, Jamie Rennick, Megan Overby, Marianne Kallen & Karolyn Berkiel. A brief overview of “balanced literacy” with examples of successful approaches and hands on applications for students spanning a wide range of abilities and ages.	Almond 9:30-11:45
All Grades	“Enhancing the Circle of Courage” By: Dr. Larry Brendtro Creating a reclaiming environment for youth and children includes several critical components. This session will present strategies that encourage students to be involved in their school community, engage students in determining their future, and giving students opportunities to be caregivers.	Birch 9:30-11:45
All Grades	“Recent Developments in Inclusion” By: Jan Tuttle At federal, state and local levels, an emphasis continues to be placed on exploring all opportunities for children to be educated in inclusive classrooms and environments. IDEA reauthorization, the recent court case of Cory H., and changes at ISBE will be discussed, as well as strategies that have been used successfully in inclusive classrooms.	Larch 9:30-11:45
All Grades	“Positive Behavior Intervention Supports” By: Gayle Tavares Positive Behavioral Intervention Supports is a proactive systems approach to preventing and responding to classroom and school behavior issues. Principals, administrators, psychologists, social workers, teachers and anyone interested in positively effecting global changes in school wide behavior, would benefit from this presentation.	Laurel 9:30-10:30
Pre-School, Kindergarten Teachers and Support Staff	“Assessment of Early Language Skills” By: Anne Remler Participants will discuss the importance of teaching early literacy skills to preschool and kindergarten age students. An overview of early literacy interventions, resources and assessment will be provided.	Laurel 10:45-11:45

TARGET AUDIENCE	AFTERNOON SESSIONS (11:45-3:30)	LOCATION & TIME
	"The Development Informed, Individual Based, Relationship focused Approach." By: Molly Witten, Sherri Cawn & Beth Osten <i>(Cont.) Session I</i>	Aspen I & II 11:45-3:30
All Grades	"Assessment & Intervention" By: Mike Vanderwood & Katie Boggs One of the biggest challenges when developing interventions is determining where to start and what to change. One approach for meeting this challenge is to take an ecological or instructional environment approach to conducting classroom observations and student and teacher interviews. Participants will learn how to use The Instructional Environment System-II (TIES II) to gather information to develop interventions for academic and behavioral concerns.	Linden I & II 1:15-3:30
Late Elementary & Middle School	"Problem Based Learning" By: Debbie Gerdes & Kate Lewis This session will provide a brief overview of Problem-Based Learning for integrating special education students into the regular classroom. Participants will gain an understanding of why this methodology is effective and strategies for implementing it.	Almond 1:15-3:30
All Grades	"Life Space Interviewing" By: Larry Brendtro Participants will learn an interview technique that is very effective in decreasing and preventing aggressive behavior. This session will include the six stages of life space interviewing: student crisis, time line, central issue, insight, new skills and transfer of training.	Birch 1:15-3:30

All Grades	“Assistive Technology & Infinitec” By: Janet McCullough & David W. Peterson Ms. McCullough will provide an update of activities planned to support provision of assistive technology by ISBE. Heather Dent and Nicole Fauquier will discuss a student case and demonstrate various assistive technology devices. David Peterson will present an update on the Infinitec project and how the NSSED member districts will benefit from its development.	Larch 1:15-3:30
All Staff (administrators, teachers, support staff & parents)	“Effective Communication” By: Debbie DePalma, Glen Penkava, Julie Becker, Roseanne Scanlan, Ellen Bronfeld & Susan Levi A panel composed of parents and teachers of children with disabilities will share their best strategies for effectively communicating about everything from transition to negotiating IEP goals.	Laurel 1:15-2:15
All Grades	“Discipline of Students with Disability-IDEA ‘97 ” By: Bill Charis, Bob Gottlieb, Susan Jorjorian & Matt Ottaviano This session will present the final report of the IDEA ‘97 Discipline Committee. The changes in the discipline of students with disabilities will be discussed.	Laurel 2:30-3:30

PAI
ACCC
66



ALCOAUER
9TH RIVERFRONT

Clinton Presidential Records Digital Records Marker

This is not a presidential record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

This marker identifies the place of a publication.





Publications have not been scanned in their entirety for the purpose of digitization. To see the full publication please search online or visit the Clinton Presidential Library's Research Room.

Reclaiming Children and Youth

the journal of strength-based interventions

*"One of the best publications
in the youth service field."*

– Youth Today



"Reclaiming Children and Youth provides us with practical skills and resources as well as some of the best cutting-edge approaches to rekindling hope in the youth we serve."

– Linda Lantieri, Founding Director, Resolving Conflict Creatively Program

"This journal will address real life experiences of children and youth and should be read by everyone working with youth."

– Barbara Huff, Executive Director, Federation of Families for Children's Mental Health


www.reclaiming.com

Clinton Presidential Records Digital Records Marker

This is not a presidential record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

This marker identifies the place of a publication.

Publications have not been scanned in their entirety for the purpose of digitization. To see the full publication please search online or visit the Clinton Presidential Library's Research Room.



The Reclaiming Youth Library™

2000 Directory of Resources recommended by the journal, *Reclaiming Children and Youth*



New Release

❑ Reclaiming Our Prodigal Sons and Daughters: A Practical Approach for Connecting with Youth in Conflict


By Scott J. Larson and Larry K. Brendtro

"With practical, often wrenching insights, the authors clarify the maze of complex issues surrounding the very complicated lives of youth in crisis – and what adults can do to help." – Mary Wood, Director, Developmental Therapy Institute, Athens, GA

Reclaiming Our Prodigal Sons and Daughters offers a penetrating portrayal of the rootlessness of many of today's youth and a powerful, four-step plan for reaching them. This resource is based on the best research and practice available on positive youth development.

The unique feature of *Reclaiming Our Prodigal Sons and Daughters* is its accent on the spiritual dimension of contemporary youth problems and on the role that members of faith communities can play in reclaiming youth in conflict.

Scott J. Larson, D.Min., is executive director of Straight Ahead Ministries, an organization that provides faith-based services in more than 100 facilities for delinquent youth in 11 states. Larry K. Brendtro, Ph.D., is president of Reclaiming Youth International, a nonprofit educational organization that operates programs for reclaiming troubled youth. [NES] 18.95



Our Bestseller

❑ Reclaiming Youth At Risk: Our Hope for the Future

Larry Brendtro, Martin Brokenleg & Steve Van Bockern – Draws on early youth pioneers, Native tribal wisdom, and youth development research. Replaces deviance and deficit approaches with programs for belonging, mastery, independence, and generosity. [NES] List Price 21.95, Our Price 19.95



A Journal of Positive Solutions

❑ Reclaiming Children and Youth: The Journal of Strength-Based Interventions


Nicholas J. Long and
Larry K. Brendtro, editors

"This Journal is compassionate, hopeful, and wisely respectful of children. It rises above the punitive mood that poisons our society." –Jonathan Kozol

Reclaiming Children and Youth [RCY] has become the leading-edge quarterly publication offering practical strategies for success with youth in conflict in family, school,

and community. A distinguished editorial board of 80 experts in education, treatment, and youth work bring the latest solutions to the problems of challenging children and youth. Readers gain immediate carry-away skills through regular features such as *Respectful Discipline* and *Life Space Crisis Intervention*. Each issue explores a timely theme and spotlights successful programs. Back issues of RCY are useful for staff development on timely topics such as *Rage and Aggression*, *Alcohol and Kids*, and *Building Respect*. RCY is published by PRO-ED of Austin, Texas, the nation's leader in journals, texts, and books for professionals serving special needs children and youth.

For information on subscriptions or back issues call PRO-ED Journals toll-free at 1-800-897-3202 or FAX 512-451-8542.



Attendees for Luncheon to be held at the offices of North Central Regional**Educational Laboratory, Oak Brook, Illinois – Friday Feb. 18, 2000****Special Guest : Andrew Rotherham, Special Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy**

Dr. Lela Bridges	Superintendent, Harvey School District 152, Illinois
Dr. John Bryant	Executive Director, Cincinnati Youth Collaborative, Cincinnati
Mr. Albert P. Cooper, Sr.,	Coordinator, Preschool to Grade 5 Program, Milwaukee P.S.
Mr. John Davis	Superintendent, Anna Community Consolidated S. D. 37, Illinois
Mr. Leonard Dominguez	Chief of Policy & Research, Chicago P. S.
Ms. Joyce Haskins	Asst. Commissioner, Office of Instructional Support & Lifelong Learning, Roseville, Minnesota
Representative Ron Jelinek	Michigan House of Representatives
Mr. Paul Kimmelman	Superintendent, West Northfield School District 31, Illinois
Mr. Dave Kroeze	Superintendent, Northbrook 27, Illinois
Ms. Susan Manikowski	Lead Associate for Professional Development and Teaching and Learning Support, Robbinsdale Area Schools, Minnesota
Mr. Charles P. Rose	Superintendent, Franczek, Sullivan, Mann, Crement, Hein, Relias, P.C., Illinois
Ms. Karen Wells	Principal, Valley View Elementary School, Bloomington, Minnesota.
Mr. Michael Williamson	Asst. Superintendent, Michigan Dept. of Education, Lansing, Michigan
Mr. James Wise	Executive Director, Iowa Urban Education Network, Des Moines, Iowa.
Dr. Adrian Lorbetske	President, Nicolet Area Technical College, Rhinelander, Wisconsin.
Mrs. Sandra Rowell	Staff Development Coordinator, Minneapolis P.S.
Mrs Dee Grover-Thomas	Director, Minnesota New County School, Henderson, Minnesota.
Dr. David Dickson	Superintendent, Hammond City School District, Indiana
Dr. Albert Bennett	Harold Washington Chair of Public Policy and Professor of Education, Roosevelt University, Illinois.
Ms. Gina Burkhardt	Executive Director, NCREL
Mr. Gill Valdez	Associate Director, NCREL
Angela Rudolph	Program Associate, NCREL
Bill Quinn	Senior Program Associate, NCREL
Sabrina Laine	Director, Evaluation and Policy Information Center, NCREL
Marianne Kroeger	Director, Communications and OERI Program Contracts, NCREL
Laura Thomas	Chief Financial Officer, NCREL
Diane Beason	Director, Human Resources, NCREL

1900 Spring Road, Suite 300,
Oak Brook, IL 60523
630-218-2751
630-218-2745 (fax)

**NCREL –
Office of the
Executive Director**

Fax

To: Kendra Brooks

From: Christine Butler

Fax: 202 456 5581

Pages: 2

Phone:

Date: 02/15/2000

Re: Lunch – Friday, February 18, 2000

CC:

☐ **Urgent** ☐ **For Review** ☐ **Please Comment** ☐ **Please Reply** ☐ **Please Recycle**

Kendra,

For Mr. Rotherham's information, I am sending you a copy of the list of the attendees for our lunch to be held in our offices on Friday.

I have not yet spoken to Paul Kimmelman, but will make sure that if he is not taking Mr. Rotherham to the airport for his 4:25 flight, that a limousine is made available.

We are looking forward to his visit.



SALES PERSON: SV
CUSTOMER NBR: 1695000023

ITINERARY
QWFJEP

DATE: 15 FEB 00
PAGE: 02

TO: AMERICAN EXPRESS TRAVEL
OEOB ROOM 87
WASHINGTON DC 20502
TEL 202-456-2250/FAX 202-456-6670

FOR: ROTHERHAM/ANDREW

REF: KC5ST0JDPC015

.FARE QUOTED 117.00

AIR FARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE. PLEASE CALL FOR CORRECT
FARE BEFORE PROCESSING TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION.

. SECURITY ALERT INFORMATION

DUE TO THE FAA MANDATED INCREASE IN AIRPORT SECURITY
YOU MAY BE REQUIRED TO PRODUCE A PHOTO IDENTIFICATION
AT AIRPORT CHECKIN.

. REMINDER

ALL FREQUENT FLYER BENEFITS EARNED ON OFFICIAL TRAVEL
ARE THE SOLE PROPERTY OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT AND CANNOT
BE REDEEMED FOR PERSONAL USE.

.
ALL UNUSED TICKETS ARE TO BE RETURNED TO AMERICAN
EXPRESS OR YOUR TRAVEL COORDINATOR IMMEDIATELY UPON
RETURN FROM TRAVEL OR WHEN TRIP HAS BEEN CANCELED.

FOR AFTER HOURS EMERGENCIES

CALL 800-847-0242/YOUR HOTLINE CODE IS KC52

.....
THANK YOU FOR TRAVELING WITH AMERICAN EXPRESS.

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. itinerary	Personal (Partial) (1 page)	02/10/2000	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Domestic Policy Council
Bethany Little
OA/Box Number: 18526

FOLDER TITLE:

Illinois Trip

2013-0371-S
ms645

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]



[60]

INVOICE/ITINERARY

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \right) &= -\frac{2}{r^3} \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} = -\frac{2}{r^3} v_r \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \right) &= -\frac{2}{r^3} v_r \end{aligned}$$

TO: AMERICAN EXPRESS TRAVEL
DEBB ROHN 87
WASHINGTON DC 20502
TEL 202-456-2250 FAX 202-456-5670

[illegible]

AIR	AMERICAN AIRLINES	FLY 1002	EDMONT	
	LV WASHINGTON REAGAN		140P	POP: 00-00
	DEPART: TERMINAL B			APPR: 0010
	AR CHICAGO O'HARE		245P	NON-STOP
	ARRIVE: TERMINAL B			REF: 0010P
	ROGERHAN/ANDRE	SEAT-19C		

CAR	CHICAGO SHARE	DOLLAR RENT A CAR
PICK UP-1445		1-INTER CAR AUTO R/C
RETURN-16FEB/1725		
RATE IS GUARANTEED		
WEEKEND RATE-06043.00		AND UNLTD MILEAGE
EXTRA HOUR-15.00		UNLTD MILEAGE
CODE FROM YON BUENOS		73998486
CALL-773-646-2930		

AIR	AMERICAN AIRLINES	FLY 151	RECORDED	SHOWN
LV CHICAGO OHARE			STOP 425 P	EDF: 00-00
DEPART: TERMINAL B				DIR: 02014
AR WASHINGTON REAGAN			SLIP	NON-STOP
ARRIVE: TERMINAL B				REF: 000-000
ROUTE CHAM/ZANDRE	SEAT-365			

OTHER WASHINGTON
AMERICAN EXPRESS WISHES YOU A SUCCESSFUL YEAR

ROTHMAN, ANDREW
 MOVED TO [REDACTED] (b)(6)
 SUB TITLES
 NEW CO. WILLING
 FINANCIAL GROUP

COPIES DESTROYED 1964

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

CLINTON LIBRARY

The following is a list of the books in the collection of the Clinton Library, which are now in the possession of the Library of the University of Toronto. The books are listed in the order in which they were received by the Library, and are arranged in the order of their publication.

The books are arranged in the order of their publication, and are listed in the order in which they were received by the Library. The books are listed in the order of their publication, and are arranged in the order of their publication.

The books are arranged in the order of their publication, and are listed in the order in which they were received by the Library. The books are listed in the order of their publication, and are arranged in the order of their publication.

The books are arranged in the order of their publication, and are listed in the order in which they were received by the Library. The books are listed in the order of their publication, and are arranged in the order of their publication.

The books are arranged in the order of their publication, and are listed in the order in which they were received by the Library. The books are listed in the order of their publication, and are arranged in the order of their publication.

The books are arranged in the order of their publication, and are listed in the order in which they were received by the Library. The books are listed in the order of their publication, and are arranged in the order of their publication.

The books are arranged in the order of their publication, and are listed in the order in which they were received by the Library. The books are listed in the order of their publication, and are arranged in the order of their publication.



Corporate Services

INVOICE/ITINERARY

SALES PERSON: SV ITINERARY/INVOICE NO. 0051532
CUSTOMER NBR: 1695000023 00FJEP

DATE: 19 FEB 99
PAGE: 02

TO: AMERICAN EXPRESS TRAVEL
DEOB ROOM 87
WASHINGTON DC 20502
TEL 202-456-2250/FAX 202-456-6670

FOR: ROTHERMAN/ANDREW REF: KC5ST0JDFC015

FARE QUOTED 117.00

AIR FARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE. PLEASE CALL FOR CORRECT
FARE BEFORE PROCESSING TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION.

" SECURITY ALERT INFORMATION
DUE TO THE FAA MANDATED INCREASE IN AIRPORT SECURITY
YOU MAY BE REQUIRED TO PRODUCE A PHOTO IDENTIFICATION
AT AIRPORT CHECKIN.

" REMINDER
ALL FREQUENT FLYER BENEFITS EARNED ON OFFICIAL TRAVEL
ARE THE SOLE PROPERTY OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT AND CANNOT
BE REDEEMED FOR PERSONAL USE.

" ALL UNUSED TICKETS ARE TO BE RETURNED TO AMERICAN
EXPRESS OR YOUR TRAVEL COORDINATOR IMMEDIATELY UPON
RETURN FROM TRAVEL OR WHEN TRIP HAS BEEN CANCELED.

FOR AFTER HOURS EMERGENCIES
CALL 800-847-0242/YOUR HOTLINE CODE IS KC52

THANK YOU FOR TRAVELING WITH AMERICAN EXPRESS.

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002. itinerary	Personal (Partial) (1 page)	02/10/2000	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Domestic Policy Council
Bethany Little
OA/Box Number: 18526

FOLDER TITLE:

Illinois Trip

2013-0371-S
ms645

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]


$$[\infty 2^-]$$

INVOICE/ITINERARY

[illegible]

1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2086, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2096, 2097, 2098, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155, 2156, 2157, 2158, 2159, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2174, 2175, 2176, 2177, 2178, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2221, 2222, 2223, 2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2229, 2230, 2231, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2235, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2240, 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2262, 2263, 2264, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2272, 2273, 2274, 2275, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2282, 2283, 2284, 2285, 2286, 2287, 2288, 2289, 2290, 2291, 2292, 2293, 2294, 2295, 2296, 2297, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304, 2305, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313, 2314, 2315, 2316, 2317, 2318, 2319, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334, 2335, 2336, 2337, 2338, 2339, 2340, 2341, 2342, 2343, 2344, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2348, 2349, 2350, 2351, 2352, 2353, 2354, 2355, 2356, 2357, 2358, 2359, 2360, 2361, 2362, 2363, 2364, 2365, 2366, 2367, 2368, 2369, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, 2374, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, 2381, 2382, 2383, 2384, 2385, 2386, 2387, 2388, 2389, 2390, 2391, 2392, 2393, 2394, 2395, 2396, 2397, 2398, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2402, 2403, 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 2411, 2412, 2413, 2414, 2415, 2416, 2417, 2418, 2419, 2420, 2421, 2422, 2423, 2424, 2425, 2426, 2427, 2428, 2429, 2430, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434, 2435, 2436, 2437, 2438, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474, 2475, 2476, 2477, 2478, 2479, 2480, 2481, 2482, 2483, 2484, 2485, 2486, 2487, 2488, 2489, 2490, 2491, 2492, 2493, 2494, 2495, 2496, 2497, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2519, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2528, 2529, 2530, 2531, 2532, 2533, 2534, 2535, 2536, 2537, 2538, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2542, 2543, 2544, 2545, 2546, 2547, 2548, 2549, 2550, 2551, 2552, 2553, 2554, 2555, 2556, 2557, 2558, 2559, 2560, 2561, 2562, 2563, 2564, 2565, 2566, 2567, 2568, 2569, 2570, 2571, 2572, 2573, 2574, 2575, 2576, 2577, 2578, 2579, 2580, 2581, 2582, 2583, 2584, 2585, 2586, 2587, 2588, 2589, 2590, 2591, 2592, 2593, 2594, 2595, 2596, 2597, 2598, 2599, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2604, 2605, 2606, 2607, 2608, 2609, 2610, 2611, 2612, 2613, 2614, 2615, 2616, 2617, 2618, 2619, 2620, 2621, 2622, 2623, 2624, 2625, 2626, 2627, 2628, 2629, 2630, 2631, 2632, 2633, 2634, 2635, 2636, 2637, 2638, 2639, 2640, 2641, 2642, 2643, 2644, 2645, 2646, 2647, 2648, 2649, 2650, 2651, 2652, 2653, 2654, 2655, 2656, 2657, 2658, 2659, 2660, 2661, 2662, 2663, 2664, 2665, 2666, 2667, 2668, 2669, 2670, 2671, 2672, 2673, 26

1. 在“数据”菜单下，单击“数据有效性”命令，打开“数据有效性”对话框，如图 10-1-10 所示。

© 2005 Blackwell Publishing Ltd *Journal of Internal Medicine* 258: 101–108

10125-008 10100-008

Category	Activity Description	Frequency	Duration	Location	Notes
A. A. A.	Activity 1: ...	...	...	...	...
	Activity 2: ...	...	...	...	...
	Activity 3: ...	...	...	...	...
	Activity 4: ...	...	...	...	...
	Activity 5: ...	...	...	...	...
B. B. B.	Activity 6: ...	...	...	...	...
	Activity 7: ...	...	...	...	...
	Activity 8: ...	...	...	...	...
	Activity 9: ...	...	...	...	...
	Activity 10: ...	...	...	...	...

1994 JUL 15 1994

DATE	AMERICAN AIR LINES	11/1/54	EDMUND	SMITH
	CHICAGO BRANCH		11/1/54	11/1/54
	REPORT: 11/1/54			11/1/54
	MR. MACHINERY COMPANY			11/1/54
	CHICAGO BRANCH			11/1/54
	11/1/54			11/1/54

[illegible]

01000000 00000000
00000000 00000000

438 J. H. KEY AND C. J. G. JONES

1. The first step is to identify the problem. This involves understanding the current situation and the goals that need to be achieved.

(b)(6)

[illegible]

1. *Chlorophyll a* and *Chlorophyll b* contents were determined by the method of Arar and Cook (1980).

Journal of Interpersonal Violence 26(10)

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Page 1 of 1

The following information was obtained from the records of the Clinton Library, dated 1/1/1968, regarding the activities of the Clinton Library during the year 1967. The information was obtained from the records of the Clinton Library, dated 1/1/1968, regarding the activities of the Clinton Library during the year 1967.

The Clinton Library was established in 1967, and its purpose was to provide a place where the community could come together to discuss and debate the issues of the day. The library was open to all members of the community, and its activities were designed to be educational and informative. The library was open to all members of the community, and its activities were designed to be educational and informative.

The Clinton Library was established in 1967, and its purpose was to provide a place where the community could come together to discuss and debate the issues of the day. The library was open to all members of the community, and its activities were designed to be educational and informative. The library was open to all members of the community, and its activities were designed to be educational and informative.

The Clinton Library was established in 1967, and its purpose was to provide a place where the community could come together to discuss and debate the issues of the day. The library was open to all members of the community, and its activities were designed to be educational and informative. The library was open to all members of the community, and its activities were designed to be educational and informative.

The Clinton Library was established in 1967, and its purpose was to provide a place where the community could come together to discuss and debate the issues of the day. The library was open to all members of the community, and its activities were designed to be educational and informative. The library was open to all members of the community, and its activities were designed to be educational and informative.

The Clinton Library was established in 1967, and its purpose was to provide a place where the community could come together to discuss and debate the issues of the day. The library was open to all members of the community, and its activities were designed to be educational and informative. The library was open to all members of the community, and its activities were designed to be educational and informative.

The Clinton Library was established in 1967, and its purpose was to provide a place where the community could come together to discuss and debate the issues of the day. The library was open to all members of the community, and its activities were designed to be educational and informative. The library was open to all members of the community, and its activities were designed to be educational and informative.



Corporate Services

INVOICE/ITINERARY

SALES PERSON: SV ITINERARY/INVOICE NO. 005153P
CUSTOMER NBR: 1895000023 DWJEP

DATE: 12 FEB 99
PAGE: 02

TO: AMERICAN EXPRESS TRAVEL
DEOB ROOM 87
WASHINGTON DC 20502
TEL 202-456-2250/FAX 202-456-6670

FOR: ROTHERMAN/ANDREW REF: KC5ST0JDPC015

FARE QUOTED 117.00

AIR FARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE. PLEASE CALL FOR CORRECT
FARE BEFORE PROCESSING TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION.

SECURITY ALERT INFORMATION
DUE TO THE FAA MANDATED INCREASE IN AIRPORT SECURITY
YOU MAY BE REQUIRED TO PRODUCE A PHOTO IDENTIFICATION
AT AIRPORT CHECKIN.

REMINDER
ALL FREQUENT FLYER BENEFITS EARNED ON OFFICIAL TRAVEL
ARE THE SOLE PROPERTY OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT AND CANNOT
BE REDEEMED FOR PERSONAL USE.

ALL UNUSED TICKETS ARE TO BE RETURNED TO AMERICAN
EXPRESS OR YOUR TRAVEL COORDINATOR IMMEDIATELY UPON
RETURN FROM TRAVEL OR WHEN TRIP HAS BEEN CANCELED.

FOR AFTER HOURS EMERGENCIES
CALL 800-847-0242/YOUR HOTLINE CODE IS KC52

THANK YOU FOR TRAVELING WITH AMERICAN EXPRESS.

Liability Agreement

American Express Travel Related Services Company, Inc. ("AMEX") acts only as agent for the airlines, hotels, bus companies, railroads, tour operators, cruise lines, car rental companies, and other contractors providing accommodations, transportation or other services ("suppliers"). All such services are furnished by suppliers that are independent and do not act for or on behalf of Amex, are not servants of Amex, and with whom Amex does not have a business as joint venturer or otherwise.

By utilizing the services represented by this itinerary, client agrees to the foregoing and also agree that neither Amex nor its parent, affiliates, subsidiaries or Representatives (collectively "Amex Entities") shall be or become liable for any loss, costs, expense, injury, accident or damage to person or property resulting directly or indirectly from (i) the acts or omissions of such suppliers, including, but not limited to, delays or cancellation of services, cessation of operations, breakdown in machinery or equipment or changes in fares, itineraries or schedules, and/or (ii) acts of God, dangers incident to the sea, fire, acts of government or other authorities, wars, acts of terrorism, civil unrest, strikes, riots, thefts, pillage, epidemics, quarantines, other disease, climatic aberrations, or from any other cause beyond Amex's control.

All services covered by this itinerary are subject to the terms and conditions specified by the suppliers; and client also agrees to the terms and conditions set forth in any and all brochures or advertisements describing any tour, cruise, accommodations, transportation or other services, and to any and all conditions contained in documents for any such services including, without limitation, all cancellation fees. No employee of AMEX or any of its affiliates, subsidiary companies or representatives has authority to vary the terms of these conditions.

COPY.

January 24, 2000.

Mr. Andrew Rotherham
Special Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy
White House
Washington, D.C. 20502

Dear Mr. Rotherham:


On behalf of the Board of Directors of the North Central Regional Educational Laboratory (NCREL), I would very much like to invite you to meet with a group of District Superintendents from our seven-state region on February 18, 2000, from 11:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

The purpose of this luncheon conversation is to give you and some of the key local education leaders in the Midwest an opportunity to discuss policies that support work in schools and communities focused on improving student achievement. This forum provides a vital opportunity for school administrators and federal policymakers to talk openly and candidly about education policy and its impact on local communities.

Dr. Paul Kimmelman will meet you at the airport upon your arrival on the 17th. He will also bring you to the NCREL offices for the luncheon meeting on February 18th. While you are at the NCREL offices, we hope you will take this opportunity to meet with senior NCREL staff to hear about the wide range of products and services we provide our states.

We are all looking forward to your visit and appreciate your willingness to spend time meeting with our constituents in the field.

Sincerely yours,



Gina Burkhardt
Executive Director

cc: Paul Kimmelman
Ted Stilwill

Bill CIL machine Mark Allen Scott Matt Pete ?

Ann Anna Scott Dick

2/10/00
Vane-Action

o How we
are used

— Learning, one-stage study

— Diagnostic data

— PIC picture - scoring work

— Land Roll & Land Bill

— Land Awareness

— Land Test, Person

o Come before comes an invest

o

o

Luncheon with Andrew Rotherham – Friday Feb. 18, 2000 from 11:30am to 2:00pm

Acceptances as at February 1, 2000:

Dr. Lela Bridges, Superintendent, Harvey School District 152

Dr. John Bryant, Executive Director, Cincinnati Youth Collaborative

Mr. Albert P. Cooper, Sr., Coordinator, Preschool to Grade 5 Program, Milwaukee P.S.

Mr. John Davis, Superintendent, Anna Community Consolidated School District 37

Mr. Leonard Dominguez, Chief of Policy & Research, Chicago P. S.

Ms. Joyce Haskins, Asst. Commissioner, Office of Instructional Support & Lifelong Learning

Representative Ron Jelinek, Michigan House of Representatives

Mr. Paul Kimmelman, Superintendent, West Northfield School District 31

Mr. Dave Kroeze, Superintendent, Northbrook 27

Ms. Susan Manikowski, Lead Associate for Professional Development and Teaching and
Learning Support, Robbinsdale Area Schools.

Mr. Charles P. Rose, Superintendent, Franczek, Sullivan, Mann, Cremen, Hein, Relias, P.C.

Ms. Karen Wells, Principal, Valley View Elementary School

Mr. Michael Williamson, Asst. Superintendent, Michigan Dept. of Education.

Mr. James Wise, Executive Director, Iowa Urban Education Network

Angela Kudolph
630-218-2730



North Central Regional Educational Laboratory

"Applying Research and Technology to Learning"

January 24, 2000.

Mr. Andrew Rotherham
Special Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy
White House
Washington, D.C. 20502

Dear Mr. Rotherham:


On behalf of the Board of Directors of the North Central Regional Educational Laboratory (NCREL), I would very much like to invite you to meet with a group of District Superintendents from our seven-state region on February 18, 2000, from 11:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

The purpose of this luncheon conversation is to give you and some of the key local education leaders in the Midwest an opportunity to discuss policies that support work in schools and communities focused on improving student achievement. This forum provides a vital opportunity for school administrators and federal policymakers to talk openly and candidly about education policy and its impact on local communities.

Dr. Paul Kimmelman will meet you at the airport upon your arrival on the 17th. He will also bring you to the NCREL offices for the luncheon meeting on February 18th. While you are at the NCREL offices, we hope you will take this opportunity to meet with senior NCREL staff to hear about the wide range of products and services we provide our states.

We are all looking forward to your visit and appreciate your willingness to spend time meeting with our constituents in the field.

Sincerely yours,


Gina Burkhardt
Executive Director

cc: Paul Kimmelman
Ted Stilwill

EASYLINK 3682156S001 8FEB00 15:09/15:11 EST
FROM: 62029160
AMERICAN EXPRESS TRAVEL
TO: 2024565581

SALES PERSON: SV
CUSTOMER NBR: 1695000023

ITINERARY

QWFJEP

DATE: 08 FEB 00
PAGE: 01

TO: AMERICAN EXPRESS TRAVEL
OEOB ROOM 87
WASHINGTON DC 20502
TEL 202-456-2250/FAX 202-456-6670

FOR: ROTHERHAM/ANDREW

17 FEB 00 - THURSDAY

AIR	AMERICAN AIRLINES	FLT:1829	ECONOMY	
	LV WASHINGTON REAGAN		1340	EQP: MD-80
	DEPART: TERMINAL B			02HR 05MIN
	AR CHICAGO OHARE		1445	NON-STOP
	ARRIVE: TERMINAL 3			REF: QWFJEP
	ROTHERHAM/ANDRE	SEAT-19E		
CAR	CHICAGO OHARE	DOLLAR RENT A CAR		
	PICK UP-1445	1-INTER CAR AUTO A/C		
	RETURN-18FEB/1725			
	RATE IS GUARANTEED			
	WEEKEND RATE-USD43.00	UNLIMITED MILEAGE		
	EXTRA HOUR-15.00	UNLIMITED MILEAGE		
	CONFIRMATION NUMBER	Z3906688		
	CALL-773 686-2030			

18 FEB 00 - FRIDAY

AIR	AMERICAN AIRLINES	FLT:426	ECONOMY	SNACK
	LV CHICAGO OHARE		1725	EQP: MD-80
	DEPART: TERMINAL 3			01HR 52MIN
	AR WASHINGTON REAGAN		2017	NON-STOP
	ARRIVE: TERMINAL B			REF: QWFJEP
	ROTHERHAM/ANDRE	SEAT-16E		

19 AUG 00 - SATURDAY

OTHER WASHINGTON
AMERICAN EXPRESS WISHES YOU A SUCCESSFUL TRIP

Hotel -

Hilton Northbrook

Confirmation number - 3108194933

2855 North Milwaukee Avenue

Phone: 847-480-7500

CONTINUED ON PAGE 2

SALES PERSON: SV
CUSTOMER NBR: 1695000023

ITINERARY
QWFJEP

DATE: 08 FEB 00
PAGE: 02

TO: AMERICAN EXPRESS TRAVEL
OEOB ROOM 87
WASHINGTON DC 20502
TEL 202-456-2250/FAX 202-456-6670

FOR: ROTHERHAM/ANDREW

.FARE QUOTED 117.00

AIR FARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE. PLEASE CALL FOR CORRECT
FARE BEFORE PROCESSING TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION.

. SECURITY ALERT INFORMATION

DUE TO THE FAA MANDATED INCREASE IN AIRPORT SECURITY
YOU MAY BE REQUIRED TO PRODUCE A PHOTO IDENTIFICATION
AT AIRPORT CHECKIN.

. REMINDER

ALL FREQUENT FLYER BENEFITS EARNED ON OFFICIAL TRAVEL
ARE THE SOLE PROPERTY OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT AND CANNOT
BE REDEEMED FOR PERSONAL USE.

.
ALL UNUSED TICKETS ARE TO BE RETURNED TO AMERICAN
EXPRESS OR YOUR TRAVEL COORDINATOR IMMEDIATELY UPON
RETURN FROM TRAVEL OR WHEN TRIP HAS BEEN CANCELED.

FOR AFTER HOURS EMERGENCIES
CALL 800-847-0242/YOUR HOTLINE CODE IS KC52

.....

THANK YOU FOR TRAVELING WITH AMERICAN EXPRESS.

**EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICIAL TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION**
(Privacy Act Statement and instructions on back)

2. Traveler (First name, middle initial, last name) <u>Andrew Rothman</u>		1. TYPE OF AUTHORIZATION ☐ TDY ☐ Invitational (Non EOP Employees Only) ☐ Amendment (Show items amended) ☐ Relocation	
3. Title of Traveler <u>Special Asst. to the President</u>		4. AGENCY/DIVISION <u>OPD/DPC</u>	
5. Office Phone <u>6-5372</u>	6. Official Duty Station	7. ☐ Per Diem ☐ Actual Subsistence (unusual circumstances)* Rate(s):	

8. TRAVEL INFORMATION

PURPOSE: North Central Regional Educational Laboratory

DATE(S): Travel Begin On 02/17/00 Travel End On 02/18/00

ITINERARY: Point of Origin (City, State) Washington, DC

Place(s) of Official Visitation (City, State) Chicago, Illinois

Point of Return (City, State) _____

9. MODE OF TRAVEL					10. ESTIMATED COST		AMOUNT
(a) Commercial Transportation					Per Diem/Actual Subsistence	\$	
Rail	Air				Transportation		<u>117.00</u>
Coach	Extra Fare*	Coach Tourist	Business *	First Class †	Rental Car		<u>43/day - 36</u>
		<u>117.00</u>			Miscellaneous		
† First Class must have approval of Agency Head or Deputy					TOTAL		\$ <u>203.00</u>
(b) Privately Owned Vehicle					11. SPECIAL EXPENSE AUTHORIZED		
Auto	Other	Rate auth per mile	☐ Determined more advantageous to government		☐ Registration Fees (meeting, training, etc.)		
			☐ For convenience of traveler (NTE common carrier cost)		☐ Commercial Rental Car		
(c) Gov't Owned Vehicle					☐ Excess Baggage not to exceed		
(d) Other (specify)					☐ Other (Please identify)		
12. ADVANCE REQUESTED					\$		

13. * Special Provisions/Remarks (Justification for first class /business /extra fare travel, annual leave enroute, actual subsistence, etc.)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION

14(a) Requested by: <u>[Signature]</u>	15. Accounting data (Appropriation, division, project, vendor number)
14(b) I certify that the travel herein was reviewed and determined to be essential for the accomplishment of agency programs and missions.	16. Funds are available to defray travel cost specified above.
Approval Official (Signature and Title)	Funds Manager's Certification (Signature)
17. Date	18. Travel Authorization No.

To Hotel

YAHOO! MAPS [Yahoo!](#) - [Maps](#) - [Yellow Pages](#) - [Help](#)**What Free Stuff can you get using a Yahoo! Visa?**Choose your reward: [Click for more Free Stuff](#)**Yahoo! Maps - Driving Directions**[New Location](#)**Starting From:****Arriving At:****Distance:** **Approximate Travel Time:**

ORD

2855 North Milwaukee

Chicago, IL

Avenue

12.2 miles 26 mins

Northbrook, IL 60062

	Directions	miles
1.	Start out going Southwest on COMMERCIAL VEHICLES towards TERMINAL RETURN/AIRPORT EXIT by turning right.	1.0
2.	COMMERCIAL VEHICLES becomes TERMINAL RETURN/AIRPORT EXIT.	0.1
3.	Take the I-190 EAST ramp towards CHICAGO LOOP.	0.3
4.	Merge onto I-190 E.	1.6
5.	Take the I-294 NORTH exit	0.2
6.	Merge onto I-294 N (Portions toll).	3.9
7.	Take the US-14 EAST/DEMPSTER ST exit.	0.2
8.	Merge onto E DEMPSTER ST/US-14.	1.3
9.	Turn LEFT onto N GREENWOOD AVE.	1.2
10.	Turn SLIGHT LEFT onto IL-21/MILWAUKEE AVE.	2.6



© 1999 MapQuest.com, Inc. © 1999 Navigation Technologies

Full Route



© 1999 MapQuest.com, Inc. © 1999 Navigation Technologies

Destination

1) Enter a starting address:

Street Address, Intersection or Airport Code

ORD

City, State Zip or a ZIP

Chicago, IL

2) and a destination address:

Street Address, Intersection or Airport Code

2855 North Milwaukee Avenue

City, State Zip or a ZIP

Northbrook, IL 60062

Get Directions

Like any driving directions/map, you should always do a reality check and make sure the road still exists, watch out for construction, and follow all traffic safety precautions. This is only to be used as an aid in planning.

Need Help? See the [FAQ](#) Or report map problems.
Copyright © 2000 [Yahoo! Inc.](#) All Rights Reserved.

NCREL

North Central Regional Educational Laboratory

"Applying Research and Technology to Learning"

Kristin Ciesemier

Director

North Central Regional Technology in Education

Consortium (www.ncrtec.org)

630-218-4994

kristinc@ncrel.org

1900 Spring Road, Suite 300 ■ Oak Brook, Illinois 60523-1480

630-571-4700 ■ 800-356-2735 ■ Fax 630-218-4989

Clinton Presidential Records Digital Records Marker

This is not a presidential record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

This marker identifies the place of a publication.

Publications have not been scanned in their entirety for the purpose of digitization. To see the full publication please search online or visit the Clinton Presidential Library's Research Room.

Pamphlet, "North Central Regional Technology in Education Consortium," 2 pages

North Central Regional Technology
in Education Consortium



99-3428-5777
PASSENGER TICKET AND BAGGAGE CHECK
SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT
NOT TRANSFERABLE

KC5STBJOPC015

PASSENGER RECEIPT

1695888023 0051532 ASV

BOARDING PASS

ISSUED BY **ARC** FLIGHT COUPON **XXX** TOUR CODE **XXXXX** AGENT CODE **AD9910935** NAME OF PASSENGER **ROTHERHAM/ANDREW**
NAME OF ISSUING AGENT **AMERICAN AIRLINES** NAME OF PASSENGER **WASHINGTON DC** PLACE OF ISSUE **DC** DATE OF ISSUE **10FEB00** DCA
NAME OF PASSENGER **ROTHERHAM/ANDREW** NAME OF PASSENGER **WASHJEP/AA YCADCA** NAME OF PASSENGER **6 0011/** FROM **00RD AA1829 Y 17FEBYCADCA**
XJO FROM ****NOT VALID FOR**** THIS IS YOUR RECEIPT XJO TO ****TRANSPORTATION*** DCA AA426 Y 18FEBYCADCA
XJO TO ****TRANSPORTATION*** KC52*47

ENDORSEMENTS/RESTRICTIONS
FP VI4486260000010426*1210/ 067083 /FCWAS AA CHI4
9.30 AA WAS49.30YCADCA 98.60 END ZPDCAORD XFDCA3ORD
3
XF 6.00
USD 98.60
TAX US 7.40
TAX ZP 5.00
TOTAL USD 117.00
STOCK CONTROL NO. TX 888 CK CPH DOCUMENT NUMBER CK PCS
0 001 7765153509 5
NOT VALID FOR TRAVEL
0 001 7765153509 5
AA09910935

THIS IS NOT VALID FOR TRAVEL UNLESS IT IS ISSUED BY THE ISSUING CARRIER OR ITS AUTHORIZED AGENT.

DOCUMENT IS HEAT SENSITIVE -
Do not expose to prolonged periods of excessive heat or light

MTL COPY

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

Do not expose to excessive heat or direct sunlight

STAPLE
HERE ATB2
HERE ATB2
REV 7/80
CPN 1002483
PRINTED IN U.S.A. BY MAGNETIC TICKET & LABEL CORP., DALLAS, TX

PASSENGER-TICKET AND BAGGAGE CHECK
SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT

ISSUED BY: **American Airlines**

DATE OF **BOARDING PASS** ISSUED

ISSUED BY: **US**

American Airlines

BOARDING PASS

NAME OF PASSENGER (NOT TRANSFERABLE)

ISS. AGENT ID

17FEB00 PLACE OF ISSUE

NAME OF PASSENGER
ROTHERHAM/ANDREW

WASHINGTON REAG

CARR

FLIGHT

FARE BASIS **WASHINGTON REAG**

WASHINGTON REAG

CHICAGO OHARE

CLASS

DATE

AA-1829 Y 17FEB140P

CHICAGO OHARE

AMERICAN AIRLINES

ORIGINAL ISSUE

ISSUED IN EXCHANGE FOR

PNR CODE

PNR CODE

QUE-TER/PR

FARE CALCULATION

BOARDING PASS ONLY

PREMIUM

SEAT/SMOKE

9F NO

CARRIER FLIGHT

CLASS DATE

TIME

AA-1829 Y 17FEB140P

GATE

BOARDING TIME

SEAT

SMOKE

26

110P

9F

NO

ADDITIONAL SEAT INFORMATION

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

PNR

OK

00106927729502

**NOT VALID
WITHOUT FLIGHT COUPON**

NOTICE

International (Warsaw Convention) Notice

If the passenger's journey involves an ultimate destination or stop in a country other than the country of departure, the Warsaw Convention may be applicable and the Convention governs and in most cases limits the liability of carriers for death or personal injury and for loss of or damage to baggage. See also the notices entitled "Advice to International Passengers on Limitation of Liability" and "Notice of Baggage Liability Limitations".

Domestic Notice

Air Transportation to be provided between points in the U.S. (including its overseas territories and possessions) is subject to the individual contract terms (including rules, regulations, tariffs and conditions) of the transporting air carriers, which are herein incorporated by reference and made part of the contract of carriage.

Where this coupon is issued for transportation, or services other than air travel, specific terms and conditions may apply. These terms and conditions may be included in the ticket set or may be obtained from the issuing company or agent.

Please make sure you have received the important legal notices entitled "Conditions of Contract", "Notice of Incorporated Terms", "Notice of Baggage Liability Limitations", and "Notice of Overbooking" or the specific terms and conditions relating to non-air transportation or services. If not, contact the nearest office of the company or agent to obtain copies.

PASSENGER TICKET AND BAGGAGE CHECK

PASSENGER COUPON

NOTICE

This portion of the ticket should be retained as evidence of your journey.

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

REV. 4/84

Clinton Presidential Records Digital Records Marker

This is not a presidential record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

This marker identifies the place of a publication.

Publications have not been scanned in their entirety for the purpose of digitization. To see the full publication please search online or visit the Clinton Presidential Library's Research Room.

Pamphlet, "American Express Corporate Services," 2 pages

The American Express logo, consisting of the words "AMERICAN" and "EXPRESS" in a bold, sans-serif font, stacked vertically within a black rectangular background.

**AMERICAN
EXPRESS**

**Corporate
Services**

Business Travel Service

Clinton Presidential Records Digital Records Marker

This is not a presidential record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

This marker identifies the place of a publication.

Publications have not been scanned in their entirety for the purpose of digitization. To see the full publication please search online or visit the Clinton Presidential Library's Research Room.

Pamphlet, 'American Airlines,' 2 pages

2,000 miles

Get More
Mileage

out of Every
Conversation.

Switch to MCI WorldCom and
get 2,000 miles.

Call 1-800-MCI-MILES.

Talk more.
Go farther.

Talk more.
Go farther.

2,000 miles

American Airlines
AA Advantage

MCI WORLD COM
MCI WORLD COM



American Eagle



AA American Airlines

EASYLINK 0991476S001 15FEB00 14:42/14:42 EST
FROM: 62029160
AMERICAN EXPRESS TRAVEL
TO: 2024565581

SALES PERSON: SV
CUSTOMER NBR: 1695000023

ITINERARY
QWFJEP

DATE: 15 FEB 00
PAGE: 01

TO: AMERICAN EXPRESS TRAVEL
OEOB ROOM 87
WASHINGTON DC 20502
TEL 202-456-2250/FAX 202-456-6670

FOR: ROTHERHAM/ANDREW

REF: KC5ST0JDPC015

17 FEB 00 - THURSDAY

AIR AMERICAN AIRLINES FLT:1829 ECONOMY
LV WASHINGTON REAGAN 1340
DEPART: TERMINAL B
AR CHICAGO OHARE 1445
ARRIVE: TERMINAL 3
ROTHERHAM/ANDRE SEAT-23B
CAR CHICAGO OHARE DOLLAR RENT A CAR
PICK UP-1445 1-INTER CAR AUTO A/C
RETURN-18FEB/1725
RATE IS GUARANTEED
WEEKEND RATE-USD43.00 UNLIMITED MILEAGE
EXTRA HOUR-15.00 UNLIMITED MILEAGE
CONFIRMATION NUMBER Z3906688
CALL-773 686-2030

EQP: MD-80
02HR 05MIN
NON-STOP
REF: QWFJEP

*Due to Andrew
previous
check-out
out to
arr-leave
was-svv
on*

18 FEB 00 - FRIDAY

AIR AMERICAN AIRLINES FLT:154 ECONOMY
LV CHICAGO OHARE 1625
DEPART: TERMINAL 3
AR WASHINGTON REAGAN 1918
ARRIVE: TERMINAL B
ROTHERHAM/ANDRE SEAT-23B

EQP: MD-80
01HR 53MIN
NON-STOP
REF: QWFJEP

19 AUG 00 - SATURDAY

OTHER WASHINGTON
AMERICAN EXPRESS WISHES YOU A SUCCESSFUL TRIP

CONTINUED ON PAGE 2