Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet
Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. list	Wednesday October 30 1996; RE: dates of birth/SSNs [partial] (1 page)	10/30/1996	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:
Clinton Presidential Records
Policy Development
Lyn Hogan
OA/Box Number: 10043

FOLDER TITLE:
Nevada [2]

2011-1010-S
ms198

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.
PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).
RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

SOUTHWEST

SOUTHWEST AIRLINES CO.

Governmental Affairs, HDQ-4GA
P.O. Box 36611 - 4GA
2702 Love Field Drive
Dallas, TX 75235-1611
(214) 792-4045
Fax (214) 792-5348

Peggy S. LeGrande

a

THE WHITE HOUSE
OFFICE OF DOMESTIC POLICY

Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy

To: Claudia + Lyn : fyi

Draft response for POTUS
and forward to CHR by:

Draft response for CHR by:

Please reply directly to the writer
(copy to CHR) by:

Applause to you!

Please advise by:

Let's discuss:

For your information:

Reply using form code:

File: trip file

Send copy to (original to CHR):

Schedule ?: ☐ Accept ☐ Pending ☐ Regret

Designee to attend:

Remarks: (I kept my card - you all take these.)

SOUTHWEST

SOUTHWEST AIRLINES CO.
Peggy LeGrande
Governmental Affairs

P.O. Box 36611
Dallas, TX 75235-1611
(214) 792-4045
Fax # (214) 792-5348

October 28, 1996

NOV - 4 1996

Ms. Carol H. Rasco
Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Second Floor, West Wing
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Carol:

It was wonderful to meet you last week in Reno at the Child Care Summit. I hated to leave so early, but I'm sure the afternoon was as terrific as the morning.

I was thrilled to be invited, and I truly appreciated the opportunity to share a bit of personal (awful) experience in this area and to give a brief overview of Southwest Airlines' perspective on this issue, as it affects the daily lives of our own 24,000+ Employees, scattered in 50 locations across America. It was so gratifying to learn about the great progress already made in the effort to provide quality, affordable early childhood education and daycare for the children of working parents, most especially since the "Dinosaur Days" when my two tots were in daycare.

As Senator Bryan so aptly stated, the problem is one of an almost generational lack of knowledge -- we need to bring people up to speed! Nevertheless, it promises to be a great new millennium for kids in this country, (thanks in large part to you and your Boss) and everybody who says it can't be done should stay out of the way of the people who are *doing* it!

Please pass along my best wishes to Claudia and Lynn (they are so **great**), and please note that I have enclosed a few of my cards. If you (or anyone else) *ever* need assistance with travel on Southwest Airlines, please call me. (Alas, we can only carry "Socks" if she is a trained, seeing-eye cat.)

I look forward seeing you again. Thanks for your inspirational hard work, Carol. Take care!

Sincerely,

Peggy

Peggy LeGrande

/pl

Clinton Presidential Records
Digital Records Marker

This is not a presidential record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

This marker identifies the place of a publication.

Publications have not been scanned in their entirety for the purpose of digitization. To see the full publication please search online or visit the Clinton Presidential Library's Research Room.

THE CHILDREN'S CABINET INC.

Child Care & Economic Diversification in Nevada

A Summary Report of the October 23, 1996 Summit

THE CHILDREN'S CABINET INC.

This report was written and produced by the Children's Cabinet, Inc.
1090 South Rock Boulevard, Reno, NV 89502 (702) 856-6200

THE CHILDREN'S CABINET INC.

November 5, 1996

Ms. Lyn Hogan
Office of Domestic Policy
The White House, West Wing-Second Floor
Washington, DC 20502

Dear Ms. Hogan:

Thank you for participating in the Child Care & Economic Diversification Summit convened by the Children's Cabinet on October 23, 1996. Enclosed is your copy of the Summary Report.

As noted in the Background section of the report; the Summit is a starting point from which to move forward. More work involving a broad range and depth of participants will be necessary to effect long term positive changes. It will take the time and commitment of everyone.

We will continue to keep you informed and invite your participation in the future work.

Once again, thank you for your participation.

Sincerely,

Sarah Longaker
Executive Director

enc.

Maud W. "Jill" Walker Family Resource Center
1090 So. Rock Blvd. • Reno, Nevada 89502 • (702) 856-6200
FAX: (702) 856-6208

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker
Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. list	Wednesday October 30 1996; RE: dates of birth/SSNs [partial] (1 page)	10/30/1996	P6/b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Policy Development
Lyn Hogan
OA/Box Number: 10043

FOLDER TITLE:

Nevada [2]

2011-1010-S
ms198

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.
PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).
RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Jill - FYI -

[001]

Please wave in the following people to the West Wing of the White House to see Carol Rasco, ext. 6-2249.

Wednesday, October 30, 1996, 3:00 p.m.

Barbara Blum
P6/(b)(6)

David Liederman
P6/(b)(6)

Bill Pierce
P6/(b)(6)

Betsey R. Rosenbaum
P6/(b)(6)

Lester Cash
P6/(b)(6)

Carol Williams
P6/(b)(6)

Thursday, October 31, 3 p.m.

Marilyn Benoit
P6/(b)(6)

Marcia Lowry
P6/(b)(6)

Harold Richman
P6/(b)(6)

Paul Vincent
P6/(b)(6)

Lester Cash
P6/(b)(6)

Carol Williams
P6/(b)(6)

Ç-- TOP --
-

E X E C U T I V E   O F F I C E   O F   T H E   P R E S I D E N T

21-Nov-1996 09:54am

TO: Lyndell Hogan

FROM: Jill Pizzuto
Domestic Policy Council

SUBJECT: Sarah Longaker (Nicole)

702-856-6208 (fax)

(702) 856-6200, phone
(702) 856-6208, fax
-- BOTTOM --

Press RETURN to continue, GOLD MENU for options or EXIT to cancel

The White House



DOMESTIC POLICY

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

TO: Sarah Longaker

FAX NUMBER:

TELEPHONE NUMBER:

FROM: Lyn Hogan

TELEPHONE NUMBER:

PAGES (INCLUDING COVER): 3

COMMENTS:

Per our conversation, following is a detailed description of Nevada's Federal child care funding. Talk to you tomorrow.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Secretary

Washington, D.C. 20201

NOV 18 1996

MEMORANDUM FOR CAROL H. RASCO

Enclosed is our response to three questions posed by Lynn Hogan to Joan Lombardi concerning how Nevada fared regarding child care funding under welfare reform. While the first two questions appear simple on the surface, there were difficulties framing answers due to differing funding cycles for Discretionary and Mandatory funds.

Claudia Cooley
Claudia Cooley
Executive Secretary
to the Department

Enclosure

Nevada

1. How much new child care money will Nevada receive from the Feds under the new welfare reform law?

In FY 1997, Nevada is eligible to receive a total of $6,878,492 in Federal Mandatory and Matching funds. Based on the latest reports for FY 1996 (Federal expenditures for AFDC Child Care and Transitional Child care of $1,688,141, and Federal expenditures of $1,433,868 for At-Risk Child Care) the Federal FY 1996 share for title IV-A child care in Nevada is $3,122,009. Thus, if Nevada accesses all of its Matching Funds, it will receive a projected increase of $3,756,483 in Federal Mandatory and Matching funding over what it received in FY 1996 Federal funds from the former IV-A child care programs.

Additionally, it should be noted that on September 30, 1996, Nevada received $3,824,970 from the Child Care and Development Block Grant, which, under welfare reform, we are calling Discretionary funds. Nevada also will receive $79,047 in FY 1997 Discretionary funds as a result of the funds set aside for school-age care and child care resource and referral programs in the Omnibus Consolidated Appropriations Act of 1997. There is no matching requirement for these funds.

Thus, if Nevada accesses all of its Matching funds, it will secure a total of $10,782,509 in Federal child care funding this fiscal year.

2. How much new money will Nevada have to spend, that Nevada is not currently spending on child care, to draw down the maximum amount of Federal funds available?

The State would have to spend $3,756,483 in new money, as explained below. The State's total commitment of its own funds for FY 1997 would be $6,878,492. (This consists of $2,580,422 in estimated Maintenance-of-Effort expenditures and $4,298,070 as Nevada's share of the Matching Fund.) Based on the most recent financial reports from the State, Nevada's share of its FY 1996 title IV-A child care funds was $3,122,009. Thus, to access the maximum amount of Federal funds available, Nevada will have to commit $3,756,483 in additional funds.

3. By what date will Nevada have to spend the new money to draw down all of the available Federal funds?

To access all available FY 1997 Federal funds, the State must, by the end of Fiscal Year 1997, i.e. by September 30, 1997:

a. Obligate all FY 1997 Mandatory funds.

b. Expend from its own funds an amount that equals the State's Maintenance-of-Effort threshold.

c. Obligate all FY 1997 Matching funds. (Note: These Matching Fund obligations must be liquidated by September 30, 1998.)

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20201

Executive Secretariat

FACSIMILE

PLEASE NOTIFY OR HAND-CARRY THIS TRANSMISSION TO THE FOLLOWING PERSON AS SOON AS POSSIBLE:

Name: Carol Rasco
~~Lynn Hogan~~

Address:

Message: Child Care Funding for Nevada

Telephone: Office: FAX: 456-7028

Number Of Pages Being Transmitted (Including This One) 3

FROM: KRISTIN SIEBENALER

FAX NUMBER: 690-7203
OFFICE NUMBER: 690-8475

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20201

Executive Secretariat

FACSIMILE

PLEASE NOTIFY OR HAND-CARRY THIS TRANSMISSION TO THE FOLLOWING PERSON AS SOON AS POSSIBLE:

Name: Carol Rasco
Lynn Hogan - FYI cc: to Carol

Address:

Message: Child Care Funding for Nevada

Telephone: Office: FAX: 456-7028

Number Of Pages Being Transmitted (Including This One) 3

FROM: KRISTIN SIEBENALER

FAX NUMBER: 690-7203
OFFICE NUMBER: 690-8475

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Secretary

Washington, D.C. 20201

NOV 18 1996

MEMORANDUM FOR CAROL H. RASCO

Enclosed is our response to three questions posed by Lynn Hogan to Joan Lombardi concerning how Nevada fared regarding child care funding under welfare reform. While the first two questions appear simple on the surface, there were difficulties framing answers due to differing funding cycles for Discretionary and Mandatory funds.

Claudia Cooley
Executive Secretary
to the Department

Enclosure

Nevada

1. How much new child care money will Nevada receive from the Feds under the new welfare reform law?

In FY 1997, Nevada is eligible to receive a total of $6,878,492 in Federal Mandatory and Matching funds. Based on the latest reports for FY 1996 (Federal expenditures for AFDC Child Care and Transitional Child care of $1,688,141, and Federal expenditures of $1,433,868 for At-Risk Child Care) the Federal FY 1996 share for title IV-A child care in Nevada is $3,122,009. Thus, if Nevada accesses all of its Matching Funds, it will receive a projected increase of $3,756,483 in Federal Mandatory and Matching funding over what it received in FY 1996 Federal funds from the former IV-A child care programs.

Additionally, it should be noted that on September 30, 1996, Nevada received $3,824,970 from the Child Care and Development Block Grant, which, under welfare reform, we are calling Discretionary funds. Nevada also will receive $79,047 in FY 1997 Discretionary funds as a result of the funds set aside for school-age care and child care resource and referral programs in the Omnibus Consolidated Appropriations Act of 1997. There is no matching requirement for these funds.

Thus, if Nevada accesses all of its Matching funds, it will secure a total of $10,782,509 in Federal child care funding this fiscal year.

2. How much new money will Nevada have to spend, that Nevada is not currently spending on child care, to draw down the maximum amount of Federal funds available?

The State would have to spend $3,756,483 in new money, as explained below. The State's total commitment of its own funds for FY 1997 would be $6,878,492. (This consists of $2,580,422 in estimated Maintenance-of-Effort expenditures and $4,298,070 as Nevada's share of the Matching Fund.) Based on the most recent financial reports from the State, Nevada's share of its FY 1996 title IV-A child care funds was $3,122,009. Thus, to access the maximum amount of Federal funds available, Nevada will have to commit $3,756,483 in additional funds.

3. By what date will Nevada have to spend the new money to draw down all of the available Federal funds?

To access all available FY 1997 Federal funds, the State must, by the end of Fiscal Year 1997, i.e. by September 30, 1997:

a. Obligate all FY 1997 Mandatory funds.

b. Expend from its own funds an amount that equals the State's Maintenance-of-Effort threshold.

[illegible] all FY 1997 Matching funds. (Note: These

c. Obligate ... Matching Fund obligations must be liquidated by September 30, 1998.)

To: Carol
From: Lyn
Date: November 4, 1996
Re: Nevada's Child Care Funding

Joan Lombardi just faxed me the attached document regarding Nevada's child care funding.

She did not clear this through the regular channels at HHS. She believes that will take about a week.

I suggested that I call Sarah Longaker and Keith Lee, let them know that we need to clarify the child care funding number cited earlier, and that HHS is clearing a document that we will share with them in a week.

Does this make sense, or would you like to proceed another way?

cc: Jeremy Ben-Ami
Elizabeth Drye

Lynn-

Here are the answers to the questions you asked about Nevada. We basically compared the amounts available in mandatory and matching funds for 97 to what they had expended in 96.

Since this is all very technical, and given how difficult this information is to convey, John Monahan suggested that this document be considered internal. If you are writing to the state, we would be happy to put any correspondence through the system for "official clearance".

It would be great if I could walk you through this to make sure I am clear on the questions and you are clear on the information provided.

Looking forward to seeing you at some event and having a chance to get to know each other.

Joan

Internal use only

Nevada

1. How much new child care money will Nevada receive from the Feds under the new welfare reform law?

In FY 1997, Nevada is eligible to receive a total of $6,878,492 in Federal Mandatory and Matching funds. Based on the latest projections for FY 1996 (actual Federal expenditures for AFDC Child Care and Transitional Child care of $1,688,141, and projected Federal expenditures of $1,750,000 for At-Risk Child Care) the Federal FY 1996 share for title IV-A child care in Nevada is $3,438,141. Thus, if Nevada accesses all of its Matching Funds, it will receive a projected increase of $3,440,351 in Federal Mandatory and Matching funding over what it received in FY 1996 Federal funds from the former IV-A child care programs.

Additionally, it should be noted that on September 30, 1996, Nevada received $3,824,970 from the Child Care and Development Block Grant, which, under welfare reform, we are calling Discretionary funds. Nevada also will receive $79,047 in FY 1997 Discretionary funds as a result of the funds set aside for school-age care and child care resource and referral programs in the Omnibus Consolidated Appropriations Act of 1997. There is no matching requirement for these funds.

Thus, if Nevada accesses all of its Matching funds, it will secure a total of $10,782,509 in Federal child care funding this fiscal year.

2. How much new money will Nevada have to spend, that Nevada is not currently spending on child care, to draw down the maximum amount of Federal funds available?

To receive all of its Matching funds, Nevada must maintain past effort and match. The State's total commitment for FY 1997 would be $6,878,492. (This consists of $2,580,422 in MOE expenditures and $4,298,070 as Nevada's share of the Matching Fund.) Nevada's share of its projected FY 1996 title IV-A child care funds was a projected $3,438,141. Thus, to access the maximum amount of Federal funds available, Nevada will have to commit $3,440,351 in additional funds.

3. By what date will Nevada have to spend the new money to draw down all of the available Federal funds?

Three requirements apply to States accessing Matching funds. If a State fails to meet any one of the three requirements, the matching funds awarded to the State would be disallowed by the end of Fiscal Year 1997, i.e. September 30, 1997:

a) A State must obligate all of its Mandatory funds. (This does not mean that the State must use all of its Mandatory funds first. The State could use Matching funds and Mandatory funds simultaneously. But, by the end of the fiscal year, it must have obligated all of its Mandatory funds.)

b) The State must <u>expend</u> from its own funds an amount that is no less than the non-Federal share amount included as the maintenance-of-effort (MOE) amount.

c) The State must <u>obligate</u> its share of the matching funds.

f:/ta-team/onsite/other/nevada.doc

October 24, 1996

OCT 29

Dear Carol-

Thank you once again for your role in making yesterday's Policy Summit successful. Your contribution was key and I appreciate your willingness to "go with the flow."

The Cabinet's committed to moving this forward and I anticipate having some great progress to report when we're back in December for the Partnership meeting.

Please extend my thanks to Lyn, Claudia and Jill. You work with a great group who are clearly dedicated as they are knowledgable.

Once again, thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Longaker

Lyn
Claudia
Jill

Applause to you!

Lyn -
Pls. call or
write this
woman -
CHR

Jill → needs to be in my 10/29 briefing book.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

17-Oct-1996 02:24pm

10/18:

Called - left msg. for Narcisa regarding CHR's message & to call me if there were any questions.

TO: Carol H. Rasco

FROM: Jill Pizzuto
Domestic Policy Council

SUBJECT: call / ~~Narisa~~ Narcisa Polonio - info attached

Narisa Polonio from Replication & Program Strategies: (215) 557-4486
message says that the University of CO has developed a program for pre-natal care; experimented in trhee different communities and has been successful; wnats to have a conversation w/ CHR about the use of these prevention measures to get people off welfare. Narisa is involved in this program. She is supposed to be faxing additional background sometime today as well.

Jill

Please call & tell her both the staff member involved in this issue and I will be out of town that day. Appreciate the lit. she has sent.

Send this info back to me.

CHR

10/21.

~~[illegible]~~ Narcisa called and said she's in Philad & would be willing to come visit w/ (Carol or Lynn) re. This program. Lynn understands CHR away until 10/23. I's hoping for someone to call her.

FACSIMILE

To: Carol H. Rasco
Att: Jennifer

Fax #: 202 456 2878

Re: Referral from Susan Kelly and Sandra Todd

Date: October 17, 1996

Pages: 4, including this cover sheet.

Sandra and Susan from Valencia Community College, Orlando, Florida suggested that I make contact with you. They believe that you may be interested in learning about our efforts to replicate a highly acclaimed model that substantially enhances the health and social functioning of low-income, first -time mothers and their babies. Attached is a brief overview of the model that was developed by David L. Olds, Ph.D., Director of the Prevention Research Center for Family and Child Health at the University of Colorado Health Sciences Center, and his colleagues.

As guidelines are being drafted to adjust the Welfare Reform Act, will they accommodate the implementation of proven prevention models which increase the chances of families becoming economically self-sufficient and reducing the chances of subsequent pregnancy among low-income unmarried mothers? I would appreciate an opportunity to discuss how states need to have the flexibility to implement innovative models that focus on prevention.

I will be in Washington, D.C. on Friday, October ~~25, 1996 and would~~ be willing to stop in to discuss our findings in greater detail with you or members of your staff. I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Narcisa A. Polonio

From the desk of...

Narcisa A. Polonio
Chief Operating Officer
Replication and Program Strategies, Inc.
One Commerce Square, 2005 Market Street,
Suite 900
Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 557-4486
Fax: (215) 557-4485

THE PRENATAL AND EARLY CHILDHOOD NURSE HOME VISITATION PROGRAM

The Prenatal and Early Childhood Nurse Home Visitation program is a highly acclaimed model that substantially enhances the health and social functioning of low-income, first-time mothers and their babies. Using well-tested protocols, nurse home visitors work closely with families during pregnancy and afterward to reduce risks to health, strengthen the bond between mother and child, and increase families' chances of becoming economically self-sufficient. The program represents one of the most promising ways yet developed of enabling poor, at risk mothers and their children to obtain a meaningful and productive life.

Specifically, the program achieves three important objectives:

- It helps first-time mothers protect their health during pregnancy in order to improve birth outcomes, which in turn lowers the chances of babies being born neurologically impaired.
- It strengthens the empathy and emotional availability between mother and child during the first two years of the child' life, which in turn reduces the likelihood of child abuse and neglect.
- It improves parents' involvement in the work force and reduces unintended subsequent pregnancies, which in turn contribute to economic independence and family stability.

Evidence of Effectiveness

The Prenatal and Early Childhood Nurse Home Visitation model has been carefully tested through randomized clinical trials in Elmira, NY (rural) and Memphis, TN (urban) during the past twenty years. A clinical trial is also underway in Denver, CO. Findings from the Elmira and Memphis tests demonstrate the program's capacity to make significant differences in the lives of low-income mothers and their children:

- 43% reduction in subsequent pregnancy among low-income, unmarried mothers by first child's fourth birthday (.58 pregnancies versus 1.02 pregnancies).

- 80% reduction in rates of child maltreatment among at-risk families from birth through child's second year (4% versus 19%).

- 56% reduction in rates of children's encounters with health care for injuries and ingestions from birth through child's second birthday (.15 visits versus 34 visits).

- 25% reduction in cigarette smoking during pregnancy among women who smoked at time of their registration in the program (4.17 cigarettes/day difference).

- 25% reduction in the rates of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy and less severe cases among those with such disorders (16% versus 21%).

- 83% increase in mothers' rates of labor force participation by the first child's fourth birthday (15.66 months employed versus 8.59 months employed).

- 45-month reduction in AFDC utilization among low-income, unmarried mothers by first child's 15th birthday (47 months of AFDC versus 92 months of AFDC -- preliminary finding from 15-year follow-up in Elmira).

Beyond these empirical findings, there are good theoretical reasons for believing that the program, through its effects on the risk of neurological impairment and on the mother-child relationship, also reduces the likelihood of children developing serious antisocial behavior later.

Program Description

The program consists of several concrete components that research and experience have found to be important to its effective operation and to its ability to yield consistently good outcomes for mothers and their children:

- Nurse home visits begin during pregnancy and continue for two years after the child is born. This is the most critical time in the development of the mother's role as caretaker and of her relationship with her child.

- The focus is on first-time mothers. The preventive thrust of the program is likely to benefit most women who have not yet developed established ways of caring for themselves during pregnancy and for their children.

- Nurse home visitors follow a visit schedule that varies over the two and a half years a family is in the program:
  - weekly visits during the first month following enrollment;
  - biweekly visits for the remainder of the pregnancy;
  - weekly visits during the first six weeks after delivery;
  - biweekly visits thereafter through the 21st month of childhood; and
  - monthly visits until the child reaches age two.

  The schedule matches the developmental stages of pregnancy and early childhood and fosters the setting of achievable objectives for the visitor and family to pursue between visits.

- Nurse home visitors follow tested program protocols that focus on: mother's personal health; health of the home and community environments; mother's caretaking role; mother's own development; and support from family and friends. This comprehensive framework enables achievements in one area to increase the chances of positive change in others.

- Each home visitor carries a caseload of no more than 25 families. The comprehensiveness of the model and the intensity of the visit schedule require caseloads that are manageable and that, for any given case, allow the nurse and the family to have a continuous relationship for the duration of the program.

- Nurse home visitors involve other family members and friends in the program and help families use other health and human services. By helping families learn how to use community resources and social networks, nurses enable them to draw upon others for the supports they need to achieve their goals.

- A team of nurse home visitors is supervised by an experienced nursing supervisor. A skilled supervisor provides guidance in handling the many contingencies that arise when working with the kinds of families served by the program and helps to forge links with other health and social service providers in the community.

- The program is located in and run by an organization with reputable standing in the community. A well-regarded organization increases the confidence that a new program such as this will be operated efficiently and effectively.

- Records are kept on families and their needs, the services they receive, and the progress and outcomes they realize. A well-designed and maintained record-keeping and management information system has proved to be invaluable both clinically and administratively in the operation of the program.

Developer of the Program

The program has been developed by David L. Olds, Ph.D., Director of the Prevention Research Center for Family and Child Health at the University of Colorado Health Sciences Center, and his colleagues. Experienced staff at the Center and at other institutions of higher education cooperating with the University of Colorado are available to provide guidance to states and communities interested in developing the program and to train nurses and other local personnel for program implementation.

Economics

Based on experience to date, the cost of the program over three years for 100 families is estimated at $750,000. Economic analyses indicate that the cost to government for these families is recovered with dividends ($18,000 per 100 families) by the time the children are four years of age. It is likely that in later years the savings from the program increase substantially.

Spirits –
[illegible]
– Good timing,
– Note: Head went out, went to offer help –
good format to get discussion going in state – good model

Economic Diversification and Child Care Summit

October 23, 1996

Reno, Nevada

<u>CONTACT LIST</u>

<u>Tuesday, October 22nd</u>

<u>To Airport</u>

Sargent James Stanfield (Driver to Dulles) 11:20am.
USATA (White House)
1222 22nd Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20007

<u>El Dorado hotel</u>

Ian Hill (sent fruit basket, came to table at restaurant)
Hotel Manager
Eldorado
P.O. Box 3399
Reno, Nevada 89505

Gretchen DeWoody (check-in/out)
Concierge
Casino Guest Services
Eldorado hotel & casino
Fourth & Virginia Streets
Reno, Nevada 89501

David Greenback (assisted us outside upon arrival)

Robert (driver from aiport to hotel)

Ryan Speckman
V.I.P. Transportation
Eldorado
Fouth & Virginia Streets
Reno, Nevada 89501

Dinner (La Strada, El Dorado hotel)

Sarah Longaker
Executive Director
The Children's Cabinet
1090 South Rock Boulevard
Reno, Nevada 89502
702-856-6200

Ann Wilson Andreini
Legal Counsel
Office of the Governor
Capitol Complex
Carson City, Nevada 89710
702-687-5670

Keith Lee
Chair, Board of Trustees
The Children's Cabinet
1090 South Rock Boulevard
Reno, Nevada 89502
702-856-6200

Lynn Atchinson
Vice President
Washoe Health Systems

Peggy LaGrande
Special Services Coordinator
Southwest Airlines
Governmental Affairs
P.O. Box 36611 - 4GA
Dallas, Texas 75235
214-792-4045

Wednesday, October 23rd

Before speech

Marie Solomon
Director of Operations
The Children's Cabinet
1090 South Rock Boulevard
Reno, Nevada 89502
702-856-6200

Glenda Bean
Arkansas Early Childhood Commission

Marilyn Ives (recipient of child care award, sat at your table, presented with Glenda)
The Children's Cabinet
Department Manager
Childcare Resource Council

Cheri Rice (introduced by Sarah, before speech)

Bob Schriver (introduced by keith before speech0
Executive Director (new)
State Commission on Economic Development

1st Break

Richard Greene -	"Tell PC to make it easier for head starts to get facilities." Get ~~John~~ [illegible] Lombardi's office Head Start & Child Care Linkages (see Qs)
Pam Becker - The Children's Cabinet Early Beginnings	"So who'd you bring with you this time? I heard the other one quit!" :-)

Panel Discussion

Maurice Edgerton (Panelist)
Director of Human Resources
International Game Technology
4900 Energy Way
Reno, Nevada 89502
702-688-0353

Robert C. Cook (Bob, Panelist, only have 6 kids at day care,)
President and CEO
Securitron Magnalock Corporation
550 Vista Boulevard
Sparks, Nevada 89434
702-355-5625

Anita Garaway-Furtaw (been w/ Pantagonia 11 years, Head Start teacher)
Director of Family Services
Lost Arrow Corporation
259 West Santa Clara Sstreet
PO. Box 428
Ventura, California 93002
805-667-4611

Keri Miranda (panelist)
Director
Beginnings Child Center
77 Pringle Way
Reno, Nevada 89520-0109
702-328-5092

Questions for Panelists

Garth
(How do you overcome the liability issue?)

Richard Greene (How do you blend in the HS program?)
Head Start Director
1100 E. 8th Street
P.O. Box 11140
Reno, Nevada 89510
702-786-6023

Ken Len
(Did the companies have any myths before they began?)

Daryl
(Is the turnover below the industry standard? How does pay compare? What are the stats on the advantage of having the day care?)

Larry Harvey (has 3 daughters, 1 at Enchanted Castle;
Director of Human Resources
----------- Nugget Hotel & Casino
(Businesses need to decide whether they want to take on another business or provide a supplement for day care elsewhere)

Break

Marilyn Walter
(representing Ken ____, wanted a chance to say hi to CHR)

Bill Belcher
(came up & spoke to CHR at podium; Had a Q for CHR re: welfare bill: Have you read Jeremy Rifkin's End of Work, & does the President put stock in what Rifkin is saying?)
Director
The Nonprofit Center
P.O. Box 2730
Reno, Nevada 89505-2730
702-333-8281

Lunch

Juanita Westbrook (South African storytelling)
The Children's Cabinet
BADA Program Manager
Bureau of Alcohol and Drug Abuse
1090 South Rock Boulevard
Reno, Nevada 89502
702-856-6200 X129

Sat at table w/ CHR:

Beverly Hunt
Karen Marshall
Kay

At lunch break:

Leslie Larmore, M.S.
Child Development Specialist
Department of Human Resources
Early Childhood Services
3987 S. McCarran Boulevard
Reno, Nevada 89502
702-688-2284

Final Session

Katy Simon (facilitator) (Brooke is daughter) ??
Assistant County Manager
Finance Division
1001 E. Ninth Street
P.O. Box 11130
Reno, Nevada 89520-0027
702-328-2070

<u>Ride to hotel</u>

Carolyn J. Widman
Department Manager
Centralized Assistance & Referral
The Children's Cabinet
1090 So. Rock Boulevard
Reno, Nevada 89502
702-856-6200