

FOIA MARKER

This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

Collection/Record Group: Clinton Presidential Records
Subgroup/Office of Origin: National AIDS Policy Office
Series/Staff Member: Kristine Gebbie
Subseries:

OA/ID Number: 3773
FolderID:

Folder Title:
Meeting with Domestic Policy Council, 5:30 - 6:30 P 3/21/94

Stack:	Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:
S	66	5	4	2

Monday, March 21, 1994
Domestic Policy Council
White House
5:30 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 17, 1994

MEMORANDUM TO HHS Staff working on HIV/AIDS

FROM: Kristine M. Gebbie, R.N., M.N. *W-Steve Lee for*
National AIDS Policy Coordinator

SUBJECT: Regular HHS HIV/AIDS meetings

The idea of a senior level HHS-wide HIV/AIDS group is an outgrowth of a conversation that Secretary Shalala, Phil Lee and I had a few months back. I know how difficult it is to get us all to a meeting, but it is my intention to limit participation to those listed on the distribution list of this memo.

The first meeting has no detailed agenda. We need to discuss ways we can foster greater communication between programs and better coordinate our various activities. This includes the question of other standing committees or work groups. I anticipate that the group will meet monthly, at least for while. The meetings dates are:

Monday, March 21, 1994
3:00 p.m. - 5:00 p.m.
Room 615F - Humphrey Building

Tuesday, April 26, 1994
9:00 a.m. - 11:00 a.m.

Wednesday, May 11, 1994
2:00 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.

Please call me if you have any questions. I look forward to our first meeting.

Distribution list:

Audrey Manley, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Minority Health,
HHS

Myron Belfer, Special Assistant to the Administrator, SAMHSA
Jo Ivey Boufford, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Health, PHS

Stephen Bowen, Associate Administrator for AIDS, HRSA
Jim Curran, Associate Director for HIV/AIDS, CDC

HIV/AIDS Coordination Memo
March 17, 1994
Page 2

Agnes Donahue, Director of Office of Women's Health
Joycelyn Elders, Surgeon General
Phil Lee, Assistant Secretary for Health
William Paul, Director of Office of AIDS Research
Patsy Fleming, Special Assistant to the Secretary, HHS
Nan Hunter, Deputy General Counsel, HHS
Richard Kotomori, Chief of Special Initiatives Branch, IHS
Richard Riseberg, Chief Counsel, PHS
Sam Shekar, HCFA
Randy Wykoff, Director of Office of AIDS Coordination, FDA

Patsy -

Belfer -

Pres. mtgs - "static" idea of what was underway -
heightened expectation - need larger picture

Bauer - foster discussion issues such as vertical transmiss. -
planning from bottom up is needed -

? Women's health -

Cross-agency needed - esp. women & children

Ruby Wyckoff -

was critical - 2 mtgs @ 2 wks = too much

1st mtg was too big...

2nd mtg smaller / too structured to be "working"

1/mo = enough if @ fixed date -

Jim Curran -

need to go mtgs -

Leadership / agency heads -

issues -

- perinatal transmission example of key issue

- ~~communication~~

- unfinished business (eg care (sub. abuse/HIV))

- research tech. transfer -

- external themes

reform, ph. system, efficiency of research

Szm -

ITFA - needs disease specific direction

Jo - combining cross dept / PHS

Hunter - AIDS / health care reform -

watching hearing schedule

? substance abuse
benefit to pay for
pre/post test
counseling -

Fleming - one mtg / month -

Bufford -

with PHS. report to agency heads regularly -

Phil to chair

Public Affairs -

General counsel -

Office of Civil Rights -

Women's health
surgeon gen

Agenda Development

1/18/80 open

HC Reform -

Q76 progress -

Research -

DD Task Force

new areas -

Office of the National AIDS Policy Coordina



Executive Office of the President
750 17th Street, N.W. Suite 1060
Washington, D.C. 20500

Phone: (202)632-1090

Fax: (202)632-1096



Deliver To: Dr. Boufford

Sent From:

———— Kristine Gebbie, RN, MN, National AIDS Policy Coordinator

✓ Steve Lu

Number of Pages: 1 - Cover Fax Number: 620-6960 Date:

Message:

**BRIEF SUMMARY OF KEY ADMINISTRATION-SUPPORTED
PROVISIONS IN THE HOUSE CRIME BILL**

- **More Police and Community Policing:** The House bill funds 50,000 new police officers -- evenly-divided between large and small cities -- deployed in community policing programs.
- **"Smart and Tough" Approach to Youth Crime and Violence:** This bill focuses on youthful violence in numerous ways:
 - With proven and extensive crime prevention programs (as discussed below);
 - With boot camps for youthful offenders, as a second-chance for kids who get off-track;
 - With drug courts to get young drug users turned around before it is too late;
 - With a ban on juvenile gun possession;
 - And, for hardened young criminals, the authority to try 13-year olds as adults.
- **Measures to Stiffly Punish Violent Crime:** The bill includes several important steps, including:
 - The President's "three strikes and you're out" proposal for repeat violent offenders;
 - The death penalty for the most heinous of murders, including killing a federal law enforcement officer;
 - A \$3 billion plan for grants to state and local governments to expand prisons to hold 30,000 more violent offenders and criminal aliens.
- **A Substantial Crime Prevention Agenda:** The bill includes almost \$6 billion in crime prevention programs, including:
 - The President's "YES" program (Youth Employment Skills), to get job training and opportunities to kids in hard-hit, high-crime areas;
 - Ounce of prevention programs to keep schools open after hours, and to expand after-school activities like Boys and Girls clubs, that keep kids off the streets;
 - Innovative alternatives, like Midnight Sports and Police Partnerships with youths;
 - A "Safe Schools" program, to reduce crime and violence in our schools.
- **Attack on Violence Against Women:** The bill includes this plan to increase penalties and prevention efforts aimed at domestic violence and sexual assaults.
- **Much, Much More:** Among the many other administration-backed provisions are laws to promote victims rights; to prevent child abuse; to provide a mandatory minimum "safety-valve" for non-violent offenders; and to increase penalties for hate crimes.

Daily Talking Points on Anti-Crime Legislation Monday, March 21, 1994

SOMETHING TO SAY "YES" TO: THE PRESIDENT'S YOUTH EMPLOYMENT AND SKILLS CRIME REDUCTION PROGRAM

- A major factor underlying the nation's crime problem has been the growing concentration of poverty and sharp erosion in the economic position of disadvantaged youth and young adults. The President's Y.E.S. initiative is a highly targeted program to prevent crime by improving the labor market prospects of at-risk youth in high crime and economically distressed areas.
- By improving the employment and long-term career prospects of youth and young adults, the poverty-crime-violence cycle can be broken. As the President said in the State of the Union address, young people must have something to say "yes" to.
- Building upon other Administration supported initiatives, Y.E.S. includes a highly leveraged but flexible direct job creation component providing employment opportunities primarily for youth and young adults ages 16-25. Key program features include:
 - * Careful targeting to disadvantaged youth living in high crime, high poverty neighborhoods, including public housing;
 - * Neighborhood-wide interventions, intensive programming and a wide range of services to address the employment related needs of participants;
 - * Tying participation to good behavior, such as completing school, paying child support and avoiding involvement in criminal activity or substance abuse;
 - * Emphasizing private sector job placement, while also supporting some public sector employment linked to placing participants in private sector positions; and
 - * Leveraging other federal, state, local, and private sector resources with matching commitments from the community.
- Proposals for ten renewable (up to four years) grant awards will be evaluated on the basis creativity in leveraging resources; ability to effectively link the program to permanent private sector placements for participants; evidence of need based upon the incidence of crime, poverty rate and other factors; and program design and implementation plan quality.
- Rigorous evaluation will track and assess the effectiveness of the programs, including the extent to which they reduce crime, enhance employment and earnings, promote entrepreneurship, reduce drop out rates, and increase educational attainment.

TALKING POINTS FOR CRIME BILL CALLS

A. Calls to Progressive Democrats

There are two votes we need help with from Progressive Democrats in the House. They are:

1. Support for the Rule

- The President wants/needs this bill to move next week. We want to keep this moving ahead.
- This bill is as good as you are going to get -- almost \$6 billion for prevention, a mandatory-minimum safety-valve; delay will make it worse.
- The Rule is fair, gives progressives shots on key amendments -- and if it goes down, the subsequent Rule will be far worse from your perspective.

2. Support for Final Passage

- The President needs this bill -- we need your support for his agenda.
- If you join with the Republicans to help defeat this bill, there will still be a Crime Bill ultimately -- but you will like it less.
- If you do not support this bill, it is inevitable that another Bill will come back, and it will have less of the prevention programs that you want -- and "tougher" provisions on the punishment side.
- You should help us get this bill to Conference, where more work on it can be done. Do not join Republicans in obstructionism.

B. Calls to Moderate Democrats

There are four votes on which we need help from Moderate Democrats next week:

1. Support on the Rule

- The President wants/needs this legislation now.
- Do not join the Republicans in a partisan act designed to block this legislation, which is a substantial, balanced bill that tackles both prevention and punishment.

2. Opposition to a Republican Substitute

- This is pure partisanship; it is an effort to embarrass the President and the administration.
- The bill without the substitute is very tough: it includes the death penalty, three strikes, 50,000 cops, \$4 billion for prisons and boot camps.

3. Opposition to an Amendment to Strike Prevention Programs

- These are important programs as central to the President's crime fighting agenda as the punishment and policing initiatives.
- These are proven programs that get results -- not "crime pork" -- and that Mayors, Governors, and local police chiefs all support.
- To get the Bill passed, we will need the votes of Progressive Democrats, too -- keeping these programs in are essential to gaining their support for a bill that includes the death penalty, three strikes, and \$4 billion in prisons.

4. Support for Final Passage

- If the Democratic House is unable to pass a Crime Bill, it will be bad for you, too; no one wins in this scenario, even if you think you are being "tough" by voting against the bill.
- You will be able to vote on the "tough" side for several key amendments.
- The President wants and needs this bill now; we have to move ahead on the legislative agenda.
- Let us get to Conference; you will have a second shot at this bill when it comes back.

C. Calls to Republican Targets

There are two votes on which we can try to obtain help from Republican Targets next week:

1. Opposition to an Amendment to Strike Prevention Programs

- These are important programs as central to the President's crime fighting agenda as the punishment and policing initiatives.
- These are proven programs that get results -- not "crime pork" -- and that Mayors, Governors, and local police chiefs all support.
- To get the Bill passed, we will need the votes of Progressives too -- keeping these programs in are essential to gaining their support for a bill that includes the death penalty, three strikes, and \$4 billion in prisons.

2. Support for Final Passage

- You do not want to be the Party of obstruction. This is a bill that should pass with bipartisan support. It is in your interest to show bipartisan progress on this legislation now, given the highly polarized political environment.
- You will be able to vote on the "tough" side for several key amendments.
- This is a solid bill: you should not vote against 50,000 more police, thousands of more prison beds, three strikes, just for pure politics.
- Inside the House, some colleagues will tell you to vote against this bill because it is "weak." But can you explain to your constituents that you voted against a bill that does all the things that this bill does because it is "weak"?

PROGRESSIVE DEMOCRATIC TARGETS

Dellums	(D-CA)
Stark	(D-CA)
Edwards	(D-CA)
Waxman	(D-CA)
Becerra	(D-CA)
Waters	(D-CA)
Schenk	(D-CA)
Schroeder	(D-CO)
Meek	(D-FL)
Hastings	(D-FL)
Lewis	(D-GA)
McKinney	(D-GA)
Mink	(D-HI)
Rush	(D-IL)
Reynolds	(D-IL)
Gutierrez	(D-IL)
Collins	(D-IL)
Jefferson	(D-LA)
Fields	(D-LA)
Frank	(D-MA)
Wynn	(D-MD)
Mfume	(D-MD)
Kildee	(D-MI)
Levin	(D-MI)
Ford	(D-MI)
Conyers	(D-MI)
Collins	(D-MI)
Clay	(D-MO)
Wheat	(D-MO)
Clayton	(D-NC)
Watt	(D-NC)
Payne	(D-NJ)
Ackerman	(D-NY)
Flake	(D-NY)
Towns	(D-NY)
Owens	(D-NY)
Rangel	(D-NY)
Serrano	(D-NY)
Stokes	(D-OH)
Blackwell	(D-PA)
Margoles-Mezvinsky	(D-PA)
Ford	(D-TN)
Washington	(D-TX)
Johnson	(D-TX)
Scott	(D-VA)
Smith	(D-IA)
Oberstar	(D-MN)
Obey	(D-WI)
Sanders	(I-VT)
Dixon	(D-CA)
Yates	(D-IL)
Vento	(D-MN)
Williams	(D-MT)
Moran	(D-VA)

REPUBLICAN TARGETS

Horn	(R-CA)
Shays	(R-CT)
Johnson	(R-CT)
Castle	(R-DE)
Ros-Lehtinen	(R-FL)
Leach	(R-IA)
Porter	(R-IL)
Morella	(R-MD)
Roukema	(R-NJ)
Bateman	(R-VA)
Wolf	(R-VA)
Young	(R-FL)
Fawell	(R-IL)
Torkildsen	(R-MA)
Bentley	(R-MO)
Ehlers	(R-MI)
Upton	(R-MI)
Saxton	(R-NJ)
Boehlert	(R-NY)
Quinn	(R-NY)
Greenwood	(R-PA)
Machtley	(R-RI)
Klug	(R-WI)
Diaz-Balart	(R-FL)
Gilchrest	(R-MD)
Ramsted	(R-MN)
Gallo	(R-NJ)
Zimmer	(R-NJ)
Fish	(R-NY)
Molinari	(R-NY)
Pryce	(R-OH)
Moorhead	(R-CA)
Lightfoot	(R-IA)
Snowe	(R-ME)
Zeliff	(R-NH)
Weldon	(R-PA)
Sundquist	(R-TN)
Petri	(R-WI)
Roth	(R-WI)
Thomas	(R-CA)
McCandless	(R-CA)
Stearns	(R-FL)
Bilirakis	(R-FL)
Shaw	(R-FL)
Gilman	(R-NY)
McDade	(R-PA)
Lazio	(R-NY)
Walsh	(R-NY)

MODERATE DEMOCRATIC TARGETS

McCurdy	(D-OK)
Spratt	(D-SC)
Lloyd	(D-TN)
Coleman	(D-TX)
Frost	(D-TX)
Browder	(D-AL)
Thornton	(D-AR)
Hutto	(D-FL)
Cooper	(D-TN)
Clement	(D-TN)
Tanner	(D-TN)
Andrews	(D-TX)
Bevill	(D-AL)
Tauzin	(D-LA)
Danner	(D-MO)
Taylor	(D-MS)
Hefner	(D-NC)
Brewster	(D-OK)
Wilson	(D-TX)
Stenholm	(D-TX)
Skelton	(D-MO)
Volkmer	(D-MO)
Cramer	(D-AL)
Condit	(D-CA)
Parker	(D-MS)
Traficant	(D-OH)