

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: USIA_Media_Reaction/R__MR/WASHDC/USIA/GOV.USIA@notes1.usia.gov@INET@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:27-FEB-1997 08:40:00.00

SUBJECT: Early Report 2/27: ISRAELI SETTLEMENTS

TO: andrei_brezianu (andrei_brezianu@seur.voa.gov@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Matsui_d (Matsui_d@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:NOT READ

TO: fiwcddsd (fiwcddsd@nosc.mil@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: PAO (PAO@TECHNET.SG@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Clark_p (Clark_p@A1@CD) (OA)
READ:27-FEB-1997 09:13:53.98

TO: tlvio (tlvio@usia.gov@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:

The Israeli government's decision to build new settlements in East Jerusalem garnered unanimously negative reaction from editorial voices in the Middle East--outside of Israel--and in Europe. In Damascus, government-owned Al-Thawra declared that "the international denunciation of this step is a positive sign which indicates that the world community will not admit such Israeli measures in Jerusalem." While some Israeli commentators voiced their support for the decision, and called on Israeli Prime Minister Netanyahu to act "as swiftly as possible" to avoid the appearance of a "weak government," Tel Aviv's mass-appeal , pluralist Maariv observed that both Israel and the Palestinians are "playing with holy fire" in a "deadly game" that could kill the peace process. The paper added, "Both we and the Palestinians should try to be smart rather than right. Not only our fate but the fate of our children is at stake." Amman's center-left, influential Al-Dustur criticized "the shy and timid U.S. stances on Israeli measures that could destroy past and future achievements." Saudi-owned, internationally circulated Ash-Sharq Al Awsat warned that the settlements could "lead to a new intifada." Paris's left-of-center Le Monde judged that "the historic reconciliation between Palestinians, Israelis and their neighbors demands a similarly historic compromise on Jerusalem. Netanyahu has a choice: taking a further step towards peace...or sacrificing the peace process on the altar of national politics."

WILL CLINTON CERTIFY MEXICO AND COLOMBIA ON DRUG EFFORTS?

With President Clinton facing a decision by March 1 on certification of--most notably--Mexico and Colombia for their cooperation in fighting illegal drug

trafficking, writers in Latin America and Europe speculated on how a negative decision would affect U.S. political and economic ties with both countries. A move to "decertify" Mexico, argued Mexico's moderate Novedades, would not only damage "the good relationship between Mexican and U.S. institutions"--but also would represent "a victory for drug trafficking organizations." In Bogota, economic La Republica--apparently resigned to a second year of "decertification" for Colombia--asked that sanctions not accompany the decision, since restrictions on its principal export outlet, the U.S., would "sink us completely in this crisis." Brussels's independent Catholic De Standaard pointed to the widely-held impression that the recent disclosures about official drug corruption in Mexico serve as "grist to the mill of those Congress members who are opposed to NAFTA" and a reminder of the contrast between how Washington deals with Mexico and with Colombia.

BRIEFS

- Asia: Albright's 'Good Start,' France: Trade Vs. Human Rights
- U.S. Politics, Economics: Of Campaign Funds And Interest Rates
- Russia: Will Yeltsin Sack Chernomyrdin?
- U.S.-Saudi Arabia: 'Partnership In Security, Strategic Matters'

EDITORS: Mildred Sola Neely and Pat McArdle

ISRAELI SETTLEMENTS IN EAST JERUSALEM--'CASUS BELLI'?

ISRAEL: "Moving From Words to Deeds"

Top-circulation, pluralist Yediot (2/27) said: "Decisions are easier to take than to carry out, particularly when foreign and domestic opposition is making the going extremely tough. But a decision which remains on paper is worse than no decision at all.... It is important, therefore, that deeds follow words as swiftly as possible. The government yesterday took a step toward proving Israeli sovereignty over Jerusalem. If it gets stuck now, in the execution stage, it will be perceived as a weak government and yesterday's decision will have proved to have done more harm than good."

"The Battle Begins"

Mass-appeal, pluralist Maariv (2/27) remarked: "The government of Israel's decision signals the beginning of the battle for Jerusalem. We know it, the Palestinians know it as does the rest of the world The decision indicates that the government of Israel's has agreed to take a calculated risk. That risk may become prohibitive if Israel tries to carry out the decision right away Both sides are playing with holy fire and the deadly game might kill the peace process. Both we and the Palestinians should try to be smart rather than right. Not only our fate but the fate of our children is at stake."

"Hats Off to Builders of Jerusalem"

Nationalist, religious Hatzofe (2/27) said: "We welcome the government's decision and urge it to match its words with swift action Let's point out for the record that while the city of Jerusalem is mentioned over 600 times in the bible as the eternal capital of the people of Israel, there is not even a single reference in the Koran to the bond between the city and Islam The

day the decision to build a Jewish neighborhood on Har Homa was taken will be remembered forever as a day of bliss in the history of the City of David. Our enemies are certain to try to harm the builders of Jerusalem but with God's help we'll overpower them."

EGYPT: "Peace Is A Gain For Israelis And Arabs"

A contributor to pro-government Al Ahram (2/27) wrote: "It should be clear that peace is not only a gain for Arabs but also a gain for Israelis. Since real peace should eventually mean the return of Arab usurped land and rights, this peace will necessarily give Israel its legitimacy and Arab recognition....There is a change in the American position after Netanyahu's visit. The Clinton administration clearly rejected settlements, but Clinton's statements during Netanyahu's visit were completely different....Washington has become required to renew its clear position toward Netanyahu....Will it accept the return of Netanyahu's No's?"

JORDAN: "Rejected And Irresponsible Israeli Decision"

Pro-government, influential Al-Ray (02/27) contended, "The right-wing Israeli government has deafened its ears to all warnings, and will now have to bear the consequences.... Previously warning of the consequences of continued Israeli settlement policy, the U.S. administration is required to adopt a firm stand that would tell Netanyahu and his government that they are not free to drag this region back to a state of violence and tension."

"Israel Declares War Against Jerusalem And Peace"

Center-left, influential Al-Dustur (2/27) judged, "Showing scorn for the feelings and repeated warnings of Palestinians, Arabs and Muslims, Netanyahu approved the construction of the Jabal Abu Ghuneim settlement.... Showing contempt for international law and for the signed

peace accords, Netanyahu and his government declared against Jerusalem, Palestinian and Arab rights and peace. Not only have Netanyahu and his government jeopardized future accords with the Palestinians, the Syrians and the Lebanese, but they have also endangered already signed treaties with Jordan and Egypt.... It is high time for the U.S. peace process sponsor to adopt a serious stand. The shy and timid U.S. stances on Israeli measures that could destroy past and future achievements altogether are meaningless."

MOROCCO: "Israeli Casus Belli"

A front page editorial in semi-official, French-language Le Quotidien (2/27) observed, "Ever travelling, ever smiling, Arafat has the reputation of a tireless man of peace.... The king and Arafat have examined the necessity of convening an urgent meeting of the Al Quds Committee to mobilize the international community to face the suicidal strategy of Netanyahu's government. Netanyahu's decision to build new Jewish settlements is a real casus belli."

SAUDI ARABIA: "Settlement May Lead To New Intifada"

London-based, internationally-circulated Ash-Sharq Al Awsat (2/26) commented,

"Today the cornerstone of the new Israeli settlement in Jabal Abu Ghuneim will be laid.... The beginning structure in the new settlement may lead to a new intifada or perhaps an Arab intifada. Some fear that the decision to build this settlement will lead to the funeral of the peace process, although that is unlikely, because Yasser Arafat will after a few days head for Washington on an initiation extended to him solely and personally."

SYRIA: "A Tyrannical Step"

Government-owned Al-Thawra (2/27) said on page one, "The new Israeli settlement plan in Abu-Ghneim mountain is an unsuited, provocative and tyrannical step that aims at aggravating tension in the region... the international denunciation of this step is a positive sign which indicates that the world community will not admit such Israeli measures in Jerusalem and still insists on considering them as void and null."

BRITAIN: "Israel Warned Of New Intifada Over Settlement"

The liberal Guardian (2/27) said, "Israel headed for a new crisis with the Palestinians and attracted swift Arab and international condemnation yesterday over its controversial plan to build new Jewish homes in Arab east Jerusalem... Amid warnings of new violence that could undermine the fragile peace process, a cabinet committee gave the go-ahead for a project that is widely seen as an attempt to pre-empt a decision on the final status of the city, supposed to be decided in future negotiations... Mr. Netanyahu chose to ignore the warnings of his security chiefs that the decision could touch off violence reminiscent of last September's."

FRANCE: "Danger In Jerusalem"

Left -of-center Le Monde (2/27) opined: "One step forward, and two back... No sooner has the ink on the Hebron agreement had time to dry, and the Israeli authorities raise a new project that could hinder the peace process.... The East Jerusalem construction project may trigger new violence.... The joint declaration signed in Washington by the PLO and Israel is clear.... Until negotiations on Jerusalem get under way, no new construction is to take place.... Faced with his own domestic difficulties, Netanyahu may be trying to bring together his majority with a radicalization over Jerusalem.... This is a dangerous game.... The historic reconciliation between Palestinians, Israelis and their neighbors demands a similarly historic compromise on Jerusalem. Netanyahu has a choice: taking a further step towards peace...or sacrificing the peace process on the altar of national politics."

GERMANY: "Fight For Jerusalem"

A front-page editorial in right-of-center Die Welt of Berlin said (2/27): "The outbreak of unrest on the West Bank and the confrontation in Eastern Jerusalem between Palestinians and Israelis about the construction of new settlements are the unavoidable consequences of incompatible claims on the same city.... The fight for Jerusalem as seat of the government of a Palestinian state' is unavoidable as long as Israel does not give in, something which can be ruled out under Premier Netanyahu, or as long as Arafat is not satisfied with a simple representation in a Jerusalem under Israeli sovereignty."

"There is reason to Arafat's accusation that the new settlement in the periphery of the city should create 'faits accomplis' before the talks on Jerusalem have even begun, but he is wrong, nevertheless. Israel does not want to talk about Jerusalem.' This is also the problem for King Hussein and for the Saudi monarchy which need to support not only the holy sites of Islam,' but also the Arab claim on the city to preserve their reputation and to remain partners of the United States. This is why nothing could be more inappropriate for Vice President Al Gore's visit to Saudi Arabia than Netanyahu's bulldozers on the hills of Jerusalem."

ITALY: "Contradicting The Basic Principles of Oslo"

Lorenzo Cremonesi from Jerusalem wrote in centrist, top-circulation Corriere della Sera (2/27): "For Palestinian leaders, the building of the new Jewish settlement in Jerusalem contradicts the basic principles of the Oslo agreements....And a large part of the international community agrees with them. Washington, in fact, 'deplored' the initiative, underscoring that it does not help to create confidence."

WILL CLINTON CERTIFY MEXICO AND COLOMBIA ON DRUG EFFORTS?

MEXICO: "Decertification Against Interests Of U.S., Mexico"

An editorial in moderate Novedades (2/26) stated: "McCaffrey is right. Beyond the painful incident that involves Gutierrez Rebollo in drug trafficking activities is a possible U.S. 'decertification' and its resulting economic and political sanctions. It would be a serious blow for the good relationship between Mexican and U.S. institutions and a victory for drug trafficking organizations that have invaded Mexican territory.... McCaffrey knows well that it would be the worst thing to happen...because it would seriously damage the mutual confidence that prevails among the authorities of both countries and it would open a door for drug traffickers. This action would be against the interests of both countries. It is up to the United States to decide."

"Accusations Are Not Created"

Independent El Diario de Monterrey's editorial (2/2) said, "Mexico's main enemies are not outside the country. The real enemies are Mexicans and they live here. With the U.S. Congress' imminent certification on the drug trafficking fight, there has been an anti-U.S. campaign in recent weeks, blaming Washington for all our calamities.... This is not to say that there's no pressure from Washington on Mexico to act for the benefit of our northern neighbor, but it is wrong to believe that the U.S. press simply creates accusations, especially when they come from a paper as serious as the New York Times."

"To Weaken Mexico"

Independent El Norte observed (2/26) in an editorial by former presidential candidate of the Labor Party (PT) Cecilia Soto: "The image of the CIA held by any Mexican over 40 years of age is of continuous harassment owing to (Mexico's) independent posture concerning Cuba, Chile and other contentious topics in these two countries.... What's the meaning of the article signed

by Sam Dillon and Craig Pyes? It appears to be the usual (U.S. government) effort to make the Mexican government yield to the pressure of certification.... The purpose is to weaken Mexico and leave Clinton in a predicament due to his support for President Ernesto Zedillo."

COLOMBIA: "'No' To A Decertification With Sanctions"

The lead editorial in economic La Republica (2/25) seemed to take for granted that a decision to decertify Colombia has already been made, and added, "The United States is our premier trade partner, since it is the final destination of close to 40 percent of our exports. The latter have suffered over the past few months because of an intense process of revaluation of our currency, and the sanctions that they may apply --increase in tariffs, suspension or limitation of the ATPA, cuts in the sugar quotas, measures against bananas--would be a definite blow.... The decertification, therefore, would sink us completely in this crisis."

ARGENTINA: "If Not The Military, Who Would Destroy Drug Trafficking?"

The main editorial in daily-of-record La Nacion (2/26) opined, "Following Washington's requirements to the countries of the continent, the (Argentine) Air Force and Navy are preparing their respective operational areas, as the first visible result of our country's commitment to the armed forces' participation in the fight against drug trafficking.... The United States insists in implementing (military participation) in all of Latin America and, almost unanimously, voices from the barracks are expressing their opposition to taking over a leading role.... The military fear that the nature of their institutions could become changed. They presume that morale problems could be added to the many problems that already burden them.... In view of the proven weakness of regional--and local--security structures...if the military do not destroy drug trafficking, who would? Undoubtedly, this depressing question remains hanging in midair, in our country and in many others."

BRITAIN: "In The Balance: U.S.-Colombia Ties"

According to the liberal Guardian's correspondent in Bogota (2/27): "Diplomatic and trade relations between Colombia and the United States hang in the balance this week as Washington decides whether to certify Colombia as an effective ally in the war on drugs."

BELGIUM: "Drug (Related) Corruption Threatens U.S.-Mexican Cooperation"

Latin American affairs writer Francis Van den Berghe wondered in independent Catholic De Standaard (2/27), "Will Mexico receive from Washington the certificate of good behavior in the fight against drugs? For the first time since 1986 there is doubt about that annual 'certification.'... Clinton has to reckon with the credibility of his own anti-drug policy. It will now be difficult for him to tell the Americans that he wants to protect their children against drugs if, at the same time, he delivers a certificate of good behavior to a country where drug gangs feel at home and which supplies three-fourths of America's illegal cocaine and marijuana. The drug affair in Mexico is grist to the mill of those Congress members who are opposed to NAFTA. In Latin America, the easiness with which Mexico received the 'certificate' is compared to the

denial of it to a country like Colombia--which allowed America to guard the coast and was praised by the UN for eliminating major drug gangs."

BRIEFS

ASIA: ALBRIGHT'S 'GOOD START'; FRANCE: TRADE VS. HUMAN RIGHTS

CHINA: "Announcement No. 3 By Comrade Deng's Funeral Committee"

This Xinhua News Agency release made the front page of official, Communist Party People's

Daily (Renmin Ribao) and all other major Chinese papers, including the English-language China Daily (2/27): "The whole party, the whole army and the people of all ethnic groups...are determined, under the leadership of the party central committee with comrade Jiang Zemin as the core, to hold high the great banner of Deng Xiaoping's theory of building socialism with chinese characteristics, to adhere unswervingly to the party's basic line, to adhere to an independent foreign policy of peace, to turn grief into strength, and to fight for the realization of the 'three-step' development strategy and to build our country into a prosperous, democratic and civilized modern socialist nation."

SINGAPORE: "The Right Approach To Asia"

An editorial in the pro-government Straits Times maintained (2/27): "Madeleine Albright's visit to Asia...was a welcome combination of symbolism and substance. If sustained, her approach should move trans-Pacific relations into higher gear during President Clinton's second term in office.... The impression left behind by America's top diplomat in both places (Tokyo and Seoul) was that of an astute, experienced and decisive person who wanted to build on the United States' Asian ties. That is good news, of course, for a region where the U.S. plays a critical balancing role.

"Ms. Albright's visit to China, was, if anything, more promising. A number of issues, led by human rights, have bedevilled relations between the existing superpower and the arriving one. Any prolonged drift in ties can turn into the single most intractable issue facing the Asia-Pacific in the coming years. Many hope, therefore, that President Clinton's second term would provide him the opportunity of addressing the interests at the heart of the relationship instead of allowing it, as has sometimes appeared, to be dominated by an agenda where the exertions of human rights and other U.S. lobbies have loomed large. It was time for a new start. Going by reports of Ms. Albright's discussions in Beijing, that would certainly seem to be the case. The energetic new secretary of state kept her promise to be straightforward on human rights, but her talks reaffirmed Washington's commitment to a strategic dialogue with Beijing."

BELGIUM: "France And China--Trade Vs. Human Rights"

Philippe Paquet wrote this observation in conservative, Catholic La Libre Belgique (2/27): "French Minister of Foreign Affairs Herve de Charette is a visionary. To justify France's opposition to the Fifteen's adoption of an American draft resolution denouncing human rights violations before the

appropriate UN Commission, he has recommended, according to Agence France Presse...that confirmation be deferred until the next Congress of the Chinese Communist Party in (October.)...

"No one fails to see that the French ministry is engaging in a stalling strategy. In the highest interest of French trade, it is appropriate to help China to gain time.... This procrastination policy only has one shortcoming: in the Chinese gulag, political prisoners are also waiting....

"Mr. de Charette's interested cowardice is particularly out of place because the reawakening of Muslim separatism in the Chinese Turkestan is exposing for the first time China to the risk of being condemned in Geneva. She has avoided it so far because of support from third world countries benefitting from China's economic or military assistance. But many Islamic countries, from Turkey to Iran and from Saudi Arabia to Pakistan, cannot remain indifferent to the suppression of the Yining's riots, a suppression which, according to some eye-witness accounts, was brutal, even 'wild.' "At a moment when those countries -- which of course are not all in a position to teach lessons on human rights -- are worrying about Beijing's attitude, it would be strange, to say the least, if Europe were to start referring to 'progress.'"

THAILAND: "Jiang Zemin: The Next Rising Son In China"

The independent Nation cautioned (2/27): "The looming danger in China now is that without a leader of Deng's stature, power could spiral down to the de facto power, the military. And given the weakness of China's civilian system, the influence of the military as a power broker may be boosted.... Although lacking the charisma and stature of Deng and dismissed by many as an interim leader, 70-year-old Jiang Zemin has proved his political mettle, avoiding antagonizing either conservatives or liberals in the party. By blending power politics with populism, he has not only survived politically, but has significantly consolidated his power base. His 'strike hard' crusade against corruption has won Jiang wide support from the public, which, for years has been deeply discontented with corruption and rising crime. His 'spiritual civilization crusade' is China's latest ideological tool to rid society of the 'evils of capitalism.' Although some believe this could be Jiang's distinct political legacy, others think it is a master stroke aimed mainly at appeasing old Communist Party guards opposed to excessive Western influence on Chinese society.... Another significant indication that Jiang is wooing popular support is his hints that he might re-evaluate the 1989 Tiananmen massacre... Jiang is shrewd. He realizes that by reversing the official verdict of the Tiananmen crackdown, he could earn the support of the public and the international community. But Jiang Zemin, who does not have a military background, will still have to cultivate support of the military elite if he wants to continue his own brand of politics: that of making both conservatives and liberals happy."

U.S. POLITICS, ECONOMICS: OF CAMPAIGN FUNDS AND INTEREST RATES

BRITAIN: "Greenspan Warning Rocks Wall Street"

The conservative Daily Telegraph had this report from New York (2/27):
"Federal Reserve Chairman Alan Greenspan yesterday sent shudders through the financial markets after he warned 'caution seems especially warranted' over the sharp rise in American share prices.... He declined to rule out a pre-emptive increase in United States interest rates before inflation reaches unacceptable levels, to hold economic growth and prices in check."

"White House 'Overnights' Encouraged By Clinton"

The independent Financial Times reported this from Washington (2/27):
"Documents released by the White House have placed President Clinton firmly at the center of the campaign finance scandal which dominates national politics and which threatens to overwhelm the president's legislative agenda for a second term.... So far, Mr. Clinton has sought to distance himself from the scandal, blaming abuses or improprieties on party officials. But these records show his involvement was both detailed and direct.... There is no evidence that these donations were illegal, but they are widely perceived as improper. They will add new fuel to investigations into whether the president or anyone else in the White House went beyond the bounds not only of propriety but of the law."

BELGIUM: "Inn-Keeper Clinton Is In A Fine Fix"

Washington correspondent Yve Laudy wrote in conservative, Catholic La Libre Belgique (2/27): "After the publication of a memo reflecting (Clinton's) enthusiasm to welcome contributors to the White House, the scandal of campaign financing has touched Bill Clinton. Even though he or his assistants did not do anything illegal, the doubt triggered new calls for the nomination of an independent prosecutor. And, the daily disclosures about a scandal which is easier to understand than 'Whitewater;' and, the weakness of the White House which can only retort that 'the Republicans did the same thing,' could at last shake the public's political apathy."

"Who Says Greenspan Never Speaks Plainly"

Financial daily Financieel-Economische Tijd's New York correspondent proposed (2/27): "Who said that the Chairman of the Federal Reserve never speaks in clear language?... Yesterday Alan Greenspan gave the clearest and most alarming speech in his career....uttering warnings about higher interest rates, more inflation and the explosion of the speculative soap bubble in Wall Street. Despite that harsh language, investors in shares do not really seem to be impressed.... Wall Street reacted extremely calmly to Greenspan's words. Traders remarked that his words did not really come as a surprise."

ITALY: "Special Prosecutor?"

Ennio Caretto commented from Washington in centrist, top-circulation Corriere della Sera (2/27): "A new quarrel is thus beginning. Senate Republican leader Trent Lott asked for the appointment of a special prosecutor. For now, Attorney General Janet Reno is hesitant. The appointment of a special prosecutor may result in a double-edged weapon for the Republicans. 'They are

not perfect either,' said Stephanopolous, who now works for ABC television, 'they risk getting a special prosecutor at their heels as well.' That would be a modest price for all to pay if a serious reform of electoral funding were to result from all of this."

SPAIN: "Did Clinton 'Rent' The White House For Fund-Raising"

Javier Valenzuela wrote this for liberal El Pais (2/27): "The known data indicate that Clinton has turned the most sacred bedroom in the United States into a luxury motel.... This umpteenth side of Clinton's fund raising campaign bothers his fellow citizens in extreme.... The high cost of last year's campaign against Bob Dole has placed Clinton in such a difficult situation that analysts are beginning to recall the case of Richard Nixon--forced to resign during his second term. What is most serious is that there is evidence that Clinton himself spurred the voracity of fund raisers."

RUSSIA: WILL YELTSIN SACK CHERNOMYRDIN?

RUSSIA: "All Right, Let It Be Yeltsin"

Neo-communist Pravda (2/27) granted in this piece by Anatoly Salutsky: "If ailing or recuperating Yeltsin, as a president, can quickly mobilize the nation in the face of NATO expansion, let him stay. After all, Roosevelt did splendidly, guiding America from a wheelchair, and in a crisis, too."

"There's No Alternative To Chernomyrdin"

Vladimir Razuvayev asserted in centrist Nezavisimaya Gazeta (2/26): "Sacrificing the 'political queen' is all but impossible. In Russia, there is no alternative to either President Yeltsin or Prime Minister Chernomyrdin."

"President Is No Fool To Do Such A Thing"

Andrei Bagrov asserted on page one of reformist, business-oriented Kommersant Daily (2/26): "Nobody in his right mind, not even the president, will sacrifice someone with real political and financial power, over enormous damage and fierce resistance from one or several influential financial-industrial groups."

GERMANY: "Long-Term Scapegoat"

Moscow correspondent Markus Ziener in business Handelsblatt of Duesseldorf commented

(2/27): "Whatever Yeltsin's state of health, with a feeling for populism, he still recognizes the signs of the time. With his rebuke of the government, he is trying to disassociate himself from his premier.... Indeed, in the current situation, Yeltsin could tend to distract attention from his own deficits by sacrificing his long-term scapegoat, Viktor Chernomyrdin.... But because of all the obvious deficits, Yeltsin can hardly separate himself from his premier, since personal alternatives are not in sight. Yeltsin cannot personally enter a political clinch by sacrificing his loyal premier. All indications are that there will be a new edition of the Russian solution of the social crisis: Some responsible officials will get the sack, while new

ones will come and continue the crisis management."

U.S.-SAUDI ARABIA: 'PARTNERSHIP IN SECURITY AND STRATEGIC MATTERS'

SAUDI ARABIA: "Partnership In Security And Strategic Matters"

Influential Ar-Riyadh commented (2/27): "The United States is a friendly country which has its own perspective and foreign policy toward each country, whether it is a friendly country or an enemy. Its relations are based on no single color or fixed standing position. The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, aware that dealing with states is subject to change according to circumstances...finds that the list of needs which bond it with the United States include not only a military one or oil but that there is a partnership in security and strategic matters and other longstanding positions which make interests control the road on which the wagon of mutual relations advance."

"To Give U.S.-Saudi Relations A Push"

Conservative Al-Madina (2/26) asserted: "The visit has a very important strategic aim.... Its purpose is to give Saudi -U.S. relations a push and to form a new policy for Washington's relation's with the Arab world and its issues."

##

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:27-FEB-1997 08:40:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:D

TEXT:

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-type: Application/X-Lotus-Notes; Name=early.wp5

Content-transfer-encoding: BASE64

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

===== ATTACHMENT 2 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:27-FEB-1997 08:40:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:D

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Return-path: USIA_Media_Reaction/R__MR/WASHDC/USIA/GOV.USIA@notes1.usia.gov

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)

by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IFWKKJXP4G015B38@PMDF.EOP.GOV> for Clark_p@a1.eop.gov; Thu, 27 Feb 1997 08:40:15 -0500 (EST)

Received: from notes1.usia.gov by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-7 #6879)

id <01IFWKKGSLQW000045@STORM.EOP.GOV> for Clark_p@A1.EOP.GOV; Thu, 27 Feb 1997 08:40:11 -0700 (MST)

Received: by notes1.usia.gov (IBM OS/2 SENDMAIL VERSION 1.3.2)

/1.0) id AA5874; Thu, 27 Feb 1997 08:39:17 -0800

Received: from USIA with "Lotus Notes Mail Gateway for SMTP" id

FEF6EC20BA4968808525644B00433225; Thu, 27 Feb 97 08:37:59

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: uucp@Whitehouse.GOV@INET@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-MAR-1997 21:52:00.00

SUBJECT: scanner results

TO: binns_m (binns_m@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:NOT READ

TO: horn_s (horn_s@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:11-MAR-1997 10:21:19.59

TEXT:
SCANNER RESULTS

>>>>>>> ill illary <<<<<<<<
(From: wlgraf)

Bill & Hillary: Guilty and Guiltier!

MESSAGE BODY

From nobody@Whitehouse.GOV Mon Mar 10 21:51:08 1997
Received: (from uucp@localhost) by WhiteHouse.gov (8.7.1/uucp-relay) id VAA19136 for
<First_Lady@WhiteHouse.GOV>; Mon, 10 Mar 1997 21:51:08 -0500 (EST)
Received: from storm.eop.gov/198.137.241.51 via smap
Received: from DIRECTORY-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-7 #6879)
id <01IGCPF0LCLC006PXL@STORM.EOP.GOV> for First_Lady@WhiteHouse.GOV; Mon,
10 Mar 1997 21:52:01 -0500 (EST)
Received: from SCAN-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-7 #6879)
id <01IGCPF0AMSG006EH2@STORM.EOP.GOV> for first.lady@Whitehouse.GOV; Mon,
10 Mar 1997 21:52:00 -0500 (EST)
Received: from www1.whitehouse.gov by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-7 #6879)
id <01IGCPEN2IKE006PCB@STORM.EOP.GOV> for first.lady@Whitehouse.GOV; Mon,
10 Mar 1997 21:51:42 -0500 (EST)
Received: by www1.whitehouse.gov (951211.SGI.8.6.12.PATCH1042/940406.SGLAUTO)
for first.lady@whitehouse.gov id VAA18679; Mon, 10 Mar 1997 21:42:52 -0500
Date: Mon, 10 Mar 1997 21:42:52 -0500
From: brad <lomathew@nmsu.edu>
Subject: Inbound-White_House_WWW_MAIL => FIRST_LADY
Apparently-to: first.lady@Whitehouse.GOV
To: first.lady@Whitehouse.GOV
Errors-to: The Postmaster <postmaster@Whitehouse.GOV>
Reply-to: brad <lomathew@nmsu.edu>
Message-id: <199703110242.VAA18679@www1.whitehouse.gov>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: TEXT/PLAIN; CHARSET=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Keywords: WWW-Correspondence; ; Register agreement with a position; No Answer;
Young Person - under 18 years of age;
Comments: Forwarded from White House WWW
Comments: This message scanned by SCAN version 0.1 jms/960226

[Connection Information]

CLIENT: analog-ts2-5.NMSU.Edu[128.123.62.24]
BROWSER: Mozilla/3.01Gold (Win16; I)
URL: http://www.whitehouse.gov/WH/Mail/html/Mail_First_Lady.html

[Sender Information]

PERSONAL-NAME: brad
EMAIL-ADDRESS: lomathew@nmsu.edu
ORGANIZATION: student
RELATIONSHIP:
STREET-ADDRESS:
CITY:
STATE-PROVINCE:
ZIP-CODE:
COUNTRY:

[Message Information]

PURPOSE: Register agreement with a position
TOPIC: No Answer
AFFILIATION: Young Person - under 18 years of age
SUBJECT: N/a

[Message]

NEW IN 1997...

An Independent is a Republican in Democrats clothing

Impeach Clinton and her husband too!

(From: Micah)

Boy I sure do miss George. Hell, now I even miss Jimmy!

(From: Scott)

Brown Tree Snake = Snake In The Grass In The White House

(From: Fred)

An oldie but goodie: "Gun Control Is Being Able To Hit Your Target"

(From: Maurie)

ABORTION - ONE DEAD, ONE WOUNDED

(From: Kin)

HILLARY--THE RESIDENTS OF YOUR VILLAGE
BETTER KEEP THIER HANDS OFF MY KIDS

(From: Gary)

It's not a matter of choice - it's a matter of convenience.

(From: Susan)

"God is angry with the wicked everyday" Psalm 7:11 KJV
"The Lord hath made all things for himself: yea, even the
wicked

for the day of evil." Psalm 16:4 KJV

If anyone decides to actually use these lower your
comprehensive deductible

first.

(From: Gerry)

Bill Clinton -- A national disgrace!

(From: Smith)

Visualize No Liberals

(From Leonard)

"Only an (picture of democrat donkey) would vote Democratic."

(From ?)

"If you liked Stalin, then you'll love Gore in '00."

(From John)

Only in America can a Veteran live in a cardboard box
and a Draft Dodger in the White House.

(From Tim)

HILLARY-ONE BAD BILL IS ENOUGH

(From Craig)

Gun control means using BOTH hands!

(From Jay)

Democrats-----Socialism

(From Hal)

CLINTON'S Motel 1600
Call 1-800-DNC-DONR

(From ?)

Pro-Choice = Baby killer Bill

(From Christopher)

I THINK... THEREFORE I AM A REPUBLICAN

(From Carl)

JOIN P.E.T.A. {people for eating of tasty animals}

NEA {nitwitted excestensionalist asses}

(From Tim)

"I've Seen Bill's Bridge to the Future, and I can't Pay the
Toll!"

(From Stephen)

The number of people who voted for Clinton is the number of
idiots in this

country.

(From Mike)

I BELIEVE PAULA JONES!

(From Ken)

CNN-Clinton News Network

Don't blame me! - I voted republican!

NOW-nine overweight women!

ACLU-American Cartoon Labor Union

(From James)

GAYS IN THE MILLITARY? WHY NOT? THERE IN THE WHITEHOUSE

(From Jimmy, who saw it in a parking lot)

The Clintons Prove that Crime Does Pay

(From Richard)

Friends don't let friends vote democrat.

Sex, drugs, & Rock Roll = Democrats

(From WM)

"Gay and Lesbian = Heterosexually Impaired"

(From Demetrius)

CNN - the Communist News Network

(From Clayton)

When's Hillary up for re-election again?

(From Anthony)

Make welfare as hard to get as a building permit

(From Jo Jo)

For all of us who are sick of the animal rights activists:

"I Love Animals: They Taste Great!"

And for all of who are sick of the tree-huggers:

"Save a Tree: Eat a Beaver."

(From: Nick)

Polical Correctness Sucks!

(From: wlgraf)

Bill & Hillary: Guilty and Guiltier!

(From: Michael)

Liberals Lie

(From Brett)

"Vote Republican--It's less taxing"

(From Brendan)

VOTE DEMOCRAT: IT'S EASIER THAN WORKING

(From Oleg)

Get tough on crime-impeach the Clintons!

(From William)

"POLITICALLY CORRECT? - bite me!"

(From Brian)

Equal Opportunity Employer - (majorities need not apply)

Affirmative Action - (I refuse to make it on my own)

Democrats - (We love the poor so much, we want to create more!)

(From Michael)

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:10-MAR-1997 21:53:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:D

TEXT:
RFC-822-headers:
Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)
by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IGCPFZ6ZVK010858@PMDf.EOP.GOV>; Mon,
10 Mar 1997 21:52:47 -0500 (EST)
Received: from whitehouse.gov (whitehouse.gov)
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-7 #6879) id <01IGCPFWOVQW006PCB@STORM.EOP.GOV>;
Mon, 10 Mar 1997 21:52:43 -0500 (EST)
Received: (from uucp@localhost) by WhiteHouse.gov (8.7.1/uucp-relay)
id VAA19268; Mon, 10 Mar 1997 21:51:35 -0500 (EST)
===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: uucp@Whitehouse.GOV@INET@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:12-MAR-1997 00:22:00.00

SUBJECT: scanner results

TO: binns_m (binns_m@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:12-MAR-1997 05:47:44.16

TO: horn_s (horn_s@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:
SCANNER RESULTS

>>>>>>> A <<<<<<<<

NOW THAT YOU ARE IN YOUR SECOND TERM, YOU WOULDN'T LET THEM
CONTROL YOU. BUT I SEE THAT MR. LAKE (A C.F.R.'er) IS TO BE
THE NEW D-CIA. IT IS LIKE WATCHING A BOMB TICK AWAY BEFORE

MESSAGE BODY

From nobody@Whitehouse.GOV Wed Mar 12 00:20:43 1997
Received: (from uucp@localhost) by WhiteHouse.gov (8.7.1/uucp-relay) id AAA28295 for
<President@WhiteHouse.GOV>; Wed, 12 Mar 1997 00:20:43 -0500 (EST)
Received: from storm.eop.gov/198.137.241.51 via smap
Received: from DIRECTORY-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-7 #6879)
id <01IGE8X803PC006ZL3@STORM.EOP.GOV> for President@WhiteHouse.GOV; Wed,
12 Mar 1997 00:21:07 -0500 (EST)
Received: from SCAN-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-7 #6879)
id <01IGE8X641VG006VXT@STORM.EOP.GOV> for president@Whitehouse.GOV; Wed,
12 Mar 1997 00:21:04 -0500 (EST)
Received: from www2.whitehouse.gov by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-7 #6879)
id <01IGE8VL2XTS006PCB@STORM.EOP.GOV> for president@Whitehouse.GOV; Wed,
12 Mar 1997 00:19:48 -0500 (EST)
Received: by www2.whitehouse.gov (951211.SGI.8.6.12.PATCH1042/940406.SGI.AUTO)
for president@whitehouse.gov id AAA15161; Wed, 12 Mar 1997 00:17:50 -0500
Date: Wed, 12 Mar 1997 00:17:50 -0500
From: "W. ROBERT MILLAR" <kumar@thewebcafe.com>
Subject: Inbound-White_House_WWW_MAIL => PRESIDENT
Apparently-to: president@Whitehouse.GOV
To: president@Whitehouse.GOV
Errors-to: The Postmaster <postmaster@Whitehouse.GOV>
Reply-to: "W. ROBERT MILLAR" <kumar@thewebcafe.com>
Message-id: <199703120517.AAA15161@www2.whitehouse.gov>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: TEXT/PLAIN; CHARSET=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Keywords: WWW-Correspondence; ; Offer neutral commentary, advice,
or a suggestion; Human Rights; Foreign Citizen;
Comments: Forwarded from White House WWW
Comments: This message scanned by SCAN version 0.1 jms/960226

[Connection Information]

CLIENT: 206.108.39.122[206.108.39.122]
BROWSER: Mozilla/2.0 (Win95; I)
URL: http://www.whitehouse.gov/WH/Mail/html/Mail_President.html

[Sender Information]

PERSONAL-NAME: W. ROBERT MILLAR
EMAIL-ADDRESS: kumar@thewebcafe.com
ORGANIZATION: humankind
RELATIONSHIP: member
STREET-ADDRESS: 9824 158 a st.
CITY: SURREY
STATE-PROVINCE: BRITISH COLUMBIA
ZIP-CODE: V4N 2A3
COUNTRY: CANADA

[Message Information]

PURPOSE: Offer neutral commentary, advice, or a suggestion
TOPIC: Human Rights
AFFILIATION: Foreign Citizen
SUBJECT: COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS/ MRS. CLINTONS' GRAMMY

[Message]

DEAR MR. PRESIDENT,
I AM WRITING FROM MY OFFICE JUST WONDERING, HOW CAN A MAN,
THAT IN MY MIND, IS AS STRONG AS MY OWN FATHER IN WILL, LET
HIMSELF BE CONTROLLED AND MANIPULATED BY SUCH CORRUPT GROUPS
AS THE BILDEBERGERS AND THE C.F.R..? I WOULD HAVE THOUGHT THAT
NOW THAT YOU ARE IN YOUR SECOND TERM, YOU WOULDN'T LET THEM
CONTROL YOU. BUT I SEE THAT MR. LAKE (A C.F.R.'er) IS TO BE
THE NEW D-CIA. IT IS LIKE WATCHING A BOMB TICK AWAY BEFORE
YOUR EYES. YOU WANT TO STOP IT BUT YOU FEEL HELPLESS. PLEASE
MR. PRESIDENT, DIRECT US, THE FREE CITIZENS OF THE WORLD AWAY
FROM SUCH HOPELESSNESS AND TOWARDS A WORLD IN WHICH YOU WOULD
WANT CHELSEA TO BE PROUD OF, AND NOT SOME GLOBAL SOCIALISM
CREATED BY THE DREAMS OF CECIL RHODES. I KNOW YOU ARE A RHODES
SCHOLAR, BUT YOU CAN'T BE FOR GLOBAL SOCIALISM,... ARE YOU?!

OH, BY THE WAY, WISH HILLARY A LARGE CONGRATULATIONS ON BEHALF
OF ALL OF US HERE IN VANCOUVER, FOR HER GRAMMY VICTORY!

SINCERLY,

W. ROBERT MILLAR

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:12-MAR-1997 02:58:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:D

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879)

id <01IGE8YX1BEO013N7I@PMDf.EOP.GOV>; Wed, 12 Mar 1997 00:22:29 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)

by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IGE8YT7VHS00T8BX@PMDf.EOP.GOV>; Wed,
12 Mar 1997 00:22:24 -0500 (EST)

Received: from whitehouse.gov (whitehouse.gov)

by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-7 #6879) id <01IGE8YOC4QY006PCB@STORM.EOP.GOV>;
Wed, 12 Mar 1997 00:22:17 -0500 (EST)

Received: (from uucp@localhost) by WhiteHouse.gov (8.7.1/uucp-relay)

id AAA28401; Wed, 12 Mar 1997 00:21:08 -0500 (EST)

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: uucp@Whitehouse.GOV@INET@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:17-MAR-1997 00:35:00.00

SUBJECT: scanner results

TO: binns_m (binns_m@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:17-MAR-1997 06:01:26.28

TO: horn_s (horn_s@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:
SCANNER RESULTS

feeling that your work isn't important is a function of your isolation.
Isn't art a form of communication? Who are you trying to communicate
with? Who is your audience? What do you know about your audience? How
will you know if you have communicated?

MESSAGE BODY

From owner-SOC-FIX@MAELSTROM.STJOHNS.EDU Mon Mar 17 00:33:42 1997
Received: (from uucp@localhost) by WhiteHouse.gov (8.7.1/uucp-relay) id AAA04572 for <VP@WhiteHouse.GOV>;
Mon, 17 Mar 1997 00:33:42 -0500 (EST)
Received: from unknown/198.137.241.51 via smap
Received: from DIRECTORY-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-7 #6879)
id <01IGL8TVM168007Y3K@STORM.EOP.GOV>; Mon, 17 Mar 1997 00:33:59 -0500 (EST)
Received: from SCAN-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-7 #6879)
id <01IGL8TV4CLY0084TB@STORM.EOP.GOV>; Mon, 17 Mar 1997 00:33:57 -0500 (EST)
Received: from maelstrom.stjohns.edu (maelstrom.stjohns.edu)
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-7 #6879) id <01IGL8T14VOK007QLE@STORM.EOP.GOV>;
Mon, 17 Mar 1997 00:33:17 -0500 (EST)
Received: from maelstrom.stjohns.edu by maelstrom.stjohns.edu
(LSMTP for OpenVMS v1.1a) with SMTP id <9.44E421FC@maelstrom.stjohns.edu>;
Mon, 17 Mar 1997 0:33:16 -1300
Date: Mon, 17 Mar 1997 00:33:03 -0400
From: Automatic digest processor <LISTSERV@MAELSTROM.STJOHNS.EDU>
Subject: SOC-FIX Digest - 15 Mar 1997 to 16 Mar 1997
Sender: Societal and Relational Caretaking Discussion List
<SOC-FIX@MAELSTROM.STJOHNS.EDU>
To: Recipients of SOC-FIX digests <SOC-FIX@MAELSTROM.STJOHNS.EDU>
Reply-to: Societal and Relational Caretaking Discussion List
<SOC-FIX@MAELSTROM.STJOHNS.EDU>
Message-id: <01IGL8T16HK6007QLE@STORM.EOP.GOV>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: TEXT/PLAIN; CHARSET=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT
Comments: This message scanned by SCAN version 0.1 jms/960226

There are 11 messages totalling 566 lines in this issue.

Topics of the day:

1. Introduction to:Michael
2. To Shannon
3. an introduction of sorts. (7)
4. Introduction
5. intro

Date: Sun, 16 Mar 1997 01:55:09 -0800
From: SCN User <jsnyder@SCN.ORG>
Subject: Re: Introduction to:Michael

Olyvia wrote :

>
>I do like it here at home, and have all I need to create, however my
>problem seems to be lack of self esteem, to get my work out into the public.
>I use to do art shows, but not any longer. I seem to have become this
>recluse and like it, but I realize it isn't really healthy for me. Over a
>short time(a year) I've somehow decided that my work isn't important! But it is
>to me and important that I show it, I'm just scared I guess.
>
>>>If you were not "stuck" at home, what would be the first three things you
>would want to do in the outside world?<<
>Go to art shows. To the mountains in search of new subject matter for my art.
>Go to more art shows..
>
>Thanks for your comments and suggestions...need lots of advise!
>
Olyvia, I wonder if talking with artists would also be on your list of
things you would want to do in the outside world? I wonder if the curious
feeling that your work isn't important is a function of your isolation.
Isn't art a form of communication? Who are you trying to communicate
with? Who is your audience? What do you know about your audience? How
will you know if you have communicated?

I am trying to answer these questions myself.

Keep talking, Jennifer

Date: Sun, 16 Mar 1997 02:00:07 -0800
From: SCN User <jsnyder@SCN.ORG>
Subject: Re: To Shannon

Olivia wrote:

> Supper was ready, at my house we fill our dish in the
>kitchen,then go to the dinning table. Sometimes I fill my husbands dish
>and serve him. Last night I told him I would get his dish, because he

>couldn't do it right!! It was over potatoes... scallops. The dish was hot
>and I thought
>he might burn himself on it, so I said I would get his food for him... we argued
>over this. So I think I was controlling somehow.
>Was I?

Does it help if you turn the situation around: My husband dished up my food for me because he thought I would burn myself.(?)

Does your husband have a history of burning himself on food dishes? Was your concern based on fact or fantasy?

Let's keep talking
Jennifer

Date: Sun, 16 Mar 1997 13:50:43 +0200
From: "Arlene C. Brill" <acbrill@ESCORTNET.COM>
Subject: an introduction of sorts.

hello everyone

my name's arlene....i'm an american who escaped and now lives in
istanbul, turkey..
i joined this list a while ago but have been unable to 'get
involved' with what's going on
here. could someone please fill me in a bit? i'm sure i joined
for a specific reason, but
i've been away and trying now to catch up...

i just 'caught' the last two messages...michael/shannon and from
what i saw, i think
i would like to join in...but need to know where to start..

thanks,
arlene

Date: Sun, 16 Mar 1997 05:10:20 -0700
From: Michael Rumptz <michael@NETZONE.COM>
Subject: Re: an introduction of sorts.

Hi Arlene,
What did you "escape" from?

Michael

>hello everyone
>
>my name's arlene....i'm an american who escaped and now lives in
>istanbul, turkey..

>i joined this list a while ago but have been unable to 'get
>involved' with what's going on
>here. could someone please fill me in a bit? i'm sure i joined
>for a specific reason, but
>i've been away and trying now to catch up...
>
>i just 'caught' the last two messages...michael/shannon and from
>what i saw, i think
>i would like to join in...but need to know where to start..
>
>
>thanks,
>arlene
>
>

Date: Sun, 16 Mar 1997 14:18:53 +0200
From: "Arlene C. Brill" <acbrill@ESCORTNET.COM>
Subject: Re: an introduction of sorts.

ach...sorry...
from the states of course...philadelphia and the jersey shore..
the american institute for the mentally unbalanced.

where did that come from? i'm in a nutsy mood today...
classes begin tomorrow and i'm no where near ready for the
onslaught...

where are you Michael?

: From: Michael Rumptz <michael@NETZONE.COM>
: To: SOC-FIX@MAELSTROM.STJOHNS.EDU
: Subject: Re: an introduction of sorts.
: Date: Sunday, March 16, 1997 2:10 PM
:
: Hi Arlene,
: What did you "escape" from?
:
: Michael
:
: >hello everyone
: >
: >my name's arlene....i'm an american who escaped and now lives
in
: >istanbul, turkey..
: >i joined this list a while ago but have been unable to 'get
: >involved' with what's going on
: >here. could someone please fill me in a bit? i'm sure i
joined
: >for a specific reason, but
: >i've been away and trying now to catch up...

:>
:>i just 'caught' the last two messages...michael/shannon and
from
:>what i saw, i think
:>i would like to join in...but need to know where to start..
:>
:>
:>thanks,
:>arlene
:>
:>

Date: Sun, 16 Mar 1997 05:28:09 -0700
From: Michael Rumptz <michael@NETZONE.COM>
Subject: Re: an introduction of sorts.

Arlene,
I'm in Arizona. What type of classes? Why Istanbul?

Michael

At 02:18 PM 3/16/97 +0200, you wrote:
>ach...sorry...
>from the states of course...philadelphia and the jersey shore..
>the american institute for the mentally unbalanced.
>
>where did that come from? i'm in a nutsy mood today...
>classes begin tomorrow and i'm no where near ready for the
>onslaught...
>
>where are you Michael?
>

>-----
>: From: Michael Rumptz <michael@NETZONE.COM>
>: To: SOC-FIX@MAELSTROM.STJOHNS.EDU
>: Subject: Re: an introduction of sorts.
>: Date: Sunday, March 16, 1997 2:10 PM
>:
>: Hi Arlene,
>: What did you "escape" from?
>:
>: Michael
>:
>: >hello everyone
>: >
>: >my name's arlene....i'm an american who escaped and now lives
>in
>: >istanbul, turkey..
>: >i joined this list a while ago but have been unable to 'get
>: >involved' with what's going on
>: >here. could someone please fill me in a bit? i'm sure i
>joined

>: >for a specific reason, but
>: >i've been away and trying now to catch up...
>: >
>: >i just 'caught' the last two messages...michael/shannon and
>from
>: >what i saw, i think
>: >i would like to join in...but need to know where to start..
>: >
>: >
>: >thanks,
>: >arlene
>: >
>: >
>
>

Date: Sun, 16 Mar 1997 14:33:17 +0200
From: "Arlene C. Brill" <acbrill@ESCORTNET.COM>
Subject: Re: an introduction of sorts.

communication skills (aka: creative thinking)
i'm the instructor...

: From: Michael Rumptz <michael@NETZONE.COM>
: To: SOC-FIX@MAELSTROM.STJOHNS.EDU
: Subject: Re: an introduction of sorts.
: Date: Sunday, March 16, 1997 2:28 PM
:
: Arlene,
: I'm in Arizona. What type of classes? Why Istanbul?
:
: Michael
:
: At 02:18 PM 3/16/97 +0200, you wrote:
: >ach...sorry...
: >from the states of course...philadelphia and the jersey
shore..
: >the american institute for the mentally unbalanced.
: >
: >where did that come from? i'm in a nutsy mood today...
: >classes begin tomorrow and i'm no where near ready for the
: >onslaught...
: >
: >where are you Michael?
: >
: >-----
: >: From: Michael Rumptz <michael@NETZONE.COM>
: >: To: SOC-FIX@MAELSTROM.STJOHNS.EDU
: >: Subject: Re: an introduction of sorts.
: >: Date: Sunday, March 16, 1997 2:10 PM
: >:

:>: Hi Arlene,
:>: What did you "escape" from?
:>:
:>: Michael
:>:
:>: >hello everyone
:>: >
:>: >my name's arlene....i'm an american who escaped and now
lives
:>in
:>: >istanbul, turkey..
:>: >i joined this list a while ago but have been unable to
'get
:>: >involved' with what's going on
:>: >here. could someone please fill me in a bit? i'm sure i
:>joined
:>: >for a specific reason, but
:>: >i've been away and trying now to catch up...
:>: >
:>: >i just 'caught' the last two messages...michael/shannon
and
:>from
:>: >what i saw, i think
:>: >i would like to join in...but need to know where to
start..
:>: >
:>: >
:>: >thanks,
:>: >arlene
:>: >
:>: >
:>
:>

Date: Sun, 16 Mar 1997 05:34:01 -0700
From: Michael Rumptz <michael@NETZONE.COM>
Subject: Re: an introduction of sorts.

Arlene -
You must be on-line now, judging from the speed of your response. Do
you have net meeting? If so, call me - we'll chat.

I'm interested in how you got there and why.

Michael

At 02:33 PM 3/16/97 +0200, you wrote:
>communication skills (aka: creative thinking)
>i'm the instructor...
>
>-----
>: From: Michael Rumptz <michael@NETZONE.COM>

>: To: SOC-FIX@MAELSTROM.STJOHNS.EDU
>: Subject: Re: an introduction of sorts.
>: Date: Sunday, March 16, 1997 2:28 PM
>:
>: Arlene,
>: I'm in Arizona. What type of classes? Why Istanbul?
>:
>: Michael
>:
>: At 02:18 PM 3/16/97 +0200, you wrote:
>: >ach...sorry...
>: >from the states of course...philadelphia and the jersey
>shore..
>: >the american institute for the mentally unbalanced.
>: >
>: >where did that come from? i'm in a nutsy mood today...
>: >classes begin tomorrow and i'm no where near ready for the
>: >onslaught...
>: >
>: >where are you Michael?
>: >
>: >-----
>: >: From: Michael Rumptz <michael@NETZONE.COM>
>: >: To: SOC-FIX@MAELSTROM.STJOHNS.EDU
>: >: Subject: Re: an introduction of sorts.
>: >: Date: Sunday, March 16, 1997 2:10 PM
>: >:
>: >: Hi Arlene,
>: >: What did you "escape" from?
>: >:
>: >: Michael
>: >:
>: >: >hello everyone
>: >: >
>: >: >my name's arlene....i'm an american who escaped and now
>lives
>: >in
>: >: >istanbul, turkey..
>: >: >i joined this list a while ago but have been unable to
>'get
>: >: >involved' with what's going on
>: >: >here. could someone please fill me in a bit? i'm sure i
>: >joined
>: >: >for a specific reason, but
>: >: >i've been away and trying now to catch up...
>: >: >
>: >: >i just 'caught' the last two messages...michael/shannon
>and
>: >from
>: >: >what i saw, i think
>: >: >i would like to join in...but need to know where to
>start..
>: >: >
>: >: >

>: >: >thanks,
>: >: >arlene
>: >: >
>: >: >
>: >
>: >
>
>

Date: Sun, 16 Mar 1997 14:37:57 +0200
From: "Arlene C. Brill" <acbrill@ESCORTNET.COM>
Subject: Re: an introduction of sorts.

geez michael...i'm good, but i'm not that good...
tell me how to do it and i'll try...
don't even know what net meeting is...
i do have a super PC though..a pentium...but i'm a
macintosh person...and a totally cyberdummy..
so we can try writing back and forth here quickly...
i got here because i wanted to come here.
i lived for 6 years in greece, got disillustioned with the
greeks and moved to nicer pastures..contrary to what
the press reports..it's great here...

ar

: From: Michael Rumptz <michael@NETZONE.COM>
: To: SOC-FIX@MAELSTROM.STJOHNS.EDU
: Subject: Re: an introduction of sorts.
: Date: Sunday, March 16, 1997 2:34 PM
:
: Arlene -
: You must be on-line now, judging from the speed of
your response. Do
: you have net meeting? If so, call me - we'll chat.
:
: I'm interested in how you got there and why.
:
: Michael
:
: At 02:33 PM 3/16/97 +0200, you wrote:
: >communication skills (aka: creative thinking)
: >i'm the instructor...
: >
: >-----
: >: From: Michael Rumptz <michael@NETZONE.COM>
: >: To: SOC-FIX@MAELSTROM.STJOHNS.EDU
: >: Subject: Re: an introduction of sorts.
: >: Date: Sunday, March 16, 1997 2:28 PM
: >:
: >: Arlene,

:>: I'm in Arizona. What type of classes? Why Istanbul?
:>:
:>: Michael
:>:
:>: At 02:18 PM 3/16/97 +0200, you wrote:
:>: >ach...sorry...
:>: >from the states of course...philadelphia and the jersey
:>: >shore..
:>: >the american institute for the mentally unbalanced.
:>: >
:>: >where did that come from? i'm in a nutsy mood today...
:>: >classes begin tomorrow and i'm no where near ready for the
:>: >onslaught...
:>: >
:>: >where are you Michael?
:>: >
:>: >-----
:>: >: From: Michael Rumptz <michael@NETZONE.COM>
:>: >: To: SOC-FIX@MAELSTROM.STJOHNS.EDU
:>: >: Subject: Re: an introduction of sorts.
:>: >: Date: Sunday, March 16, 1997 2:10 PM
:>: >:
:>: >: Hi Arlene,
:>: >: What did you "escape" from?
:>: >:
:>: >: Michael
:>: >:
:>: >: >hello everyone
:>: >: >
:>: >: >my name's arlene....i'm an american who escaped and now
:>: >: >lives
:>: >: >in
:>: >: >istanbul, turkey..
:>: >: >i joined this list a while ago but have been unable to
:>: >: >'get
:>: >: >involved' with what's going on
:>: >: >here. could someone please fill me in a bit? i'm sure i
:>: >: >joined
:>: >: >for a specific reason, but
:>: >: >i've been away and trying now to catch up...
:>: >: >
:>: >: >i just 'caught' the last two messages...michael/shannon
:>: >: >and
:>: >: >from
:>: >: >what i saw, i think
:>: >: >i would like to join in...but need to know where to
:>: >: >start..
:>: >: >
:>: >: >
:>: >: >thanks,
:>: >: >arlene
:>: >: >
:>: >: >
:>: >: >

:>: >
:>
:>

Date: Sun, 16 Mar 1997 11:57:50 -0500
From: Eric Lewis <Havocat@AOL.COM>
Subject: Re: Introduction

I have read it and read a couple others. I sense that I am done knowing about it and that the intellect can only do sso much. Actually, this morning I decided that since I can;t get a date and I try like hell to get one that perhaps it isn;t the time to. And the desperation to go out doesn;t help , I know that I am looking for a woman to save me or money to save me, or excitement to save me. The other aspect is worth I want an external to give me worth so I am trying to let that go. I ahve decided that this solitude I am going through is okay and is the time to let go.
Eric

Date: Sun, 16 Mar 1997 23:50:20 GMT
From: "David G. McDivitt" <mcdivitt@IAMERICA.NET>
Subject: intro

Hello to all the new members. I thought I'd say something about myself.

I was at one time very religious. As many know, religion is what you make of it, and there are no fixed answers. I went from fundamentalism to more contemporary groups. I feel all things are based on need. Sure, I had theology problems, but I had no need to question the existence of God, until I began to work through codependency issues. I'm in my third marriage. To deal with my oldest stepson, my wife and I attended a parenting group for 2.5 years. He was charged with an offense, and parents were supposed to attend one group while he attended another.

We remained in the group much longer than was necessary. Actually, we worked on our relationship more than anything else, as did others who attended. Of the couples there, either one or the other was a step parent. The similarity between all of us was incredible. We regularly challenged each other about enabling and taking responsibility over our own lives.

I recognized a similarity between religion and codependency. It seems as though religion, culturally, predisposes people to be codependent. Furthermore, I feel socialism plays upon this religious or collective ethic. I do not feel socialism would exist in the world if religion was not there first, as a model. Both are authoritarian systems and quite similar. Religion tells people what they can't do, and socialism tells people what they have to do.

Becoming an atheist helped me considerably. I got tired of being yanked this way and that through guilt and whatever moral precept. As an atheist I'm more rational, and it's given me the objectivity required in my present relationship. I saw the connection between religion and codependency, when I recognized Jesus

hanging on the cross to be a model for codependency. This may offend some people, but in the welcome message it is stated this is not a spiritual group. Furthermore, I do not feel spirituality solves any problems. This purpose of this group is to take a nonspiritual look at society and relationships. In no way do I wish to compel anyone to be an atheist. I do hope, through this group, people may consider rational, nonspiritual answers to society and relationships. The name of the list evolved from the fact, people who enable are generally trying to fix things, hence SOC-FIX.

I hope we can talk about low self esteem and stuff like that. I feel spirituality is a reification of normal human feelings, and I hope we talk about feelings, rather than attempting to dodge the subject through spiritualization.

--
<http://cust.iamerica.net/mcdivitt/>

End of SOC-FIX Digest - 15 Mar 1997 to 16 Mar 1997

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:17-MAR-1997 00:36:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:D

TEXT:
RFC-822-headers:
Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879)
id <01IGL8W3QVAO00KW0R@PMDF.EOP.GOV>; Mon, 17 Mar 1997 00:35:46 -0500 (EST)
Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)
by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IGL8VZ5TXS00I4O6@PMDF.EOP.GOV>; Mon,
17 Mar 1997 00:35:40 -0500 (EST)
Received: from whitehouse.gov (whitehouse.gov)
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-7 #6879) id <01IGL8VV9WDK007QLE@STORM.EOP.GOV>;
Mon, 17 Mar 1997 00:35:34 -0500 (EST)
Received: (from uucp@localhost) by WhiteHouse.gov (8.7.1/uucp-relay)
id AAA04639; Mon, 17 Mar 1997 00:34:24 -0500 (EST)
===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: uucp@Whitehouse.GOV@INET@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:25-MAR-1997 13:27:00.00

SUBJECT: scanner results

TO: binns_m (binns_m@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:NOT READ

TO: horn_s (horn_s@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:25-MAR-1997 14:14:56.11

TEXT:
SCANNER RESULTS

>>>>>>> assassin <<<<<<<

Perhaps it is time, while Pratt House is on spring break, to dispatch an assassin from the basement of Chatham House to kill the messenger.

MESSAGE BODY

From WesBurt@aol.com Tue Mar 25 13:25:24 1997
Received: (from uucp@localhost) by WhiteHouse.gov (8.7.1/uucp-relay) id NAA00512 for <VP@WhiteHouse.GOV>; Tue, 25 Mar 1997 13:25:24 -0500 (EST)
From: WesBurt@aol.com
Received: from storm.eop.gov/198.137.241.51 via smap
Received: from DIRECTORY-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-7 #6879)
id <01IGX655WTTS000LV0@STORM.EOP.GOV>; Tue, 25 Mar 1997 13:26:17 -0500 (EST)
Received: from SCAN-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-7 #6879)
id <01IGX654DMXS000LUZ@STORM.EOP.GOV>; Tue, 25 Mar 1997 13:26:14 -0500 (EST)
Received: from emout16.mx.aol.com (emout16.mx.aol.com)
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-7 #6879) id <01IGX63HJNTS000040@STORM.EOP.GOV>; Tue, 25 Mar 1997 13:24:56 -0500 (EST)
Received: (from root@localhost) by emout16.mail.aol.com (8.7.6/8.7.3/AOL-2.0.0)
id NAA06561; Tue, 25 Mar 1997 13:24:38 -0500 (EST)
Date: Tue, 25 Mar 1997 13:24:38 -0500 (EST)
Subject: Work, After Automation II, a
Cc: WesBurt@aol.com
Message-id: <970325132436_1084378698@emout16.mail.aol.com>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: TEXT/PLAIN; CHARSET=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT
Comments: This message scanned by SCAN version 0.1 jms/960226

~~~~~

Dear members of my favorite e-mail lists,

Here are the times required by the several lists to return a confirming copy of my 97-03-17 post "Work, After Automation."

(CONSTITUTION@websightz.com), (00 hrs, 42 min)  
(futurework@csf.colorado.edu), (19 hrs, 00 min)

(fastnet@igc.apc.org), The last confirmation copy, (48 hrs, 00 min)  
was of my 97-02-12 post, "A World Laboratory of Nations," but  
nothing since.

(Sysval-l@netcom.com), (00 hrs, 29 min)  
(Wisdom-l@rain.org), (00 hrs, 03 min)  
(Vice.President@whitehouse.gov) (00 hrs, 02 min)  
(Burt's blind list)

The above range of time delays is typical of the various mail lists I have visited since December 1994. List Fastnet, owned by Dick Sclove, The Loka Institute, has decided that I am the enemy so Fastnet no longer distributes my posts. List Futurework has not yet arrived at that opinion, but the jury is still out. All the other lists are set to the automatic mode and experience only brief delays that vary with the traffic load.

Here is a reminder that the Internet was established as an intelligence network and continues to serve the dual functions of an intelligence network; first by identifying dissident individuals, and, second by attempting to suppress any opinions that are contrary to the objectives of the governing establishment, which seem to be that of moving every national economy in the world toward 5-10% unemployment and 2-3%/year inflation, in the mistaken belief that high unemployment and moderate inflation will assure a maximum return to investors in the short run. Even in that most socialistic of industrial nations, Sweden, the 1-2% unemployment rate of the past several decades was recently increased to 8% during the four year interval 1990, '91, 92, and 1993. Switzerland and Japan seem to be the only industrial nations that are still following domestic policies that make 1-2% unemployment and 2-3% inflation possible. Notice that when the largest economy (U.S.) in the world sustains inflation at 2-3%/year, all of the lesser economies must do likewise to preserve their balance of foreign trade. But these domestic policies which control unemployment and are unmentionable in the English speaking media and on the internet, are older than the bible and the "cognitive elite" in every generation writes about such policies as follows:

> "Moses commanded us a Law for our inheritance,  
> it is our inheritance, not theirs."  
>  
> Or as P. T. Barnum put it: "Never give the suckers an even break."

The only people worth talking to about the Law, on the internet or anywhere else, are those people with opinions that are presently politically correct, but mistaken. By that I mean, the people who don't want to hear what they need so urgently to know if they hope to enjoy both a sustainable future and a maximum return to investors over the

long run. This stiff-necked, pig-headed, self righteous, and loud mouthed faction usually carries the day when issues are decided in the short run. But with all due respect to J. M. Keynes, both this small faction and the rest of us live most of our lives in the long run and it is our collective task to make sure that our long run common interest governs the domestic policies of each of our respective governments.

Much is written about how the internet will change human society for the better when more people have access to more information and direct democracy becomes universal. I have found no evidence of such an influence among the three classes of contributors to the pool of public knowledge. The most frequent and vociferous contributors are those young folks who have just read a little Ayn Rand, E. F. Schumacher, John G. Gurley, Gary Zukav, Jeremy Rifkin, P.J.O'Rourke, or Ludwig von Mises and having re-discovered the world, they want to design future cities and establish ideal societies for the people who will live in those cities, all without bothering to find out how our existing cities were reduced to their "present condition." Young folks are like that, and the rest of us should listen carefully to their proposals and encourage their research because every century, or so, one of them will have an original idea for solving a real problem. Adam Smith, you will recall, had one good idea (His First Maxim of Taxation) buried in a book full of commentary and Karl Marx also had one good idea (the 5th and 6th of twelve Commandments) buried in hundreds of pages of commentary. It would be a shame to miss that once in a century re-discovery of the ancient Law.

The next most frequent and vociferous contributors of new information are those Gurus and Consultants in the prime of life who make a good living by talking and writing about problems which are caused by the perversity, and excessive number, of people in the metropolitan areas of the earth. These Gurus and Consultants have no fonder wish than to land a lucrative consulting contract, a fat grant from the National Science Foundation, or a Nobel prize for their diligent past and proposed future efforts to preserve the status quo. For them, problems and publications, but not solutions, are the staff of life. Author Bernard Mandeville's "Fable Of The Bees" described the grip these Gurus and Consultants had on public opinion in 1723 at the beginning of the industrial revolution, today they have both the media and the internet in their service.

And finally, my favorite contributors are those comfortably retired or independently wealthy persons who feel obliged to give something back to the society that enabled them to become comfortable or wealthy. There are many such contributors. A few whose contributions have informed my understanding of our "present condition" are Peter G. Peterson, George Soros, John F. Welch Jr., Alan Greenspan, Eberhard Weber, Steve Kurtz, Michael Gorbachev, Bruce Buchanan, Wilhelm Roepke, and Walter Fritz. While they are not equal in any sense, they each focused their attention on the big picture as it appeared to them. We find each of them flogging his own mule, each firmly believing that he has looked deeper into the human condition than either Pope John Paul II, Karl Marx, Adam Smith, Benedict De Spinoza, or Moses Maimonides, and, each firmly

convinced that the public will be on his side as soon as our perverse human nature changes for the better and we all become more reasonable and saintly.

But when the poor animals they flogg are examined more closely, it turns out that none of these ten beasts address the singular defect in our public policy that is the root cause of our "present condition."

Instead, each beast consists of a different collection of the symptoms of that natural defect of omission in every industrial society which must be corrected by public policy if the members of that society hope to achieve both a sustainable future and a maximum return to investors over the long run. Here we have ten of the best minds of this generation who are pulling public opinion in ten different directions, and not one of them will lift a finger to identify, confirm, or even try to refute a valid conceptual model of the Law of Whole Systems (LOWS) which could help the public understand how the American economy could produce 171% of the Swiss GNP/capita in 1949 and only 70% of Swiss GNP/capita in 1994.

The populations of Northern Ireland, the United States, and Switzerland are predominantly Catholic and Protestant. A valid conceptual model of the LOWS would explain why the same ingredients (Catholics and Protestants, Arabs and Jews, Blacks and Whites) under different domestic policies produce the different results that we see reported in the Media every day.

So what is this LOWS that each of us should have learned in Sunday School and Elementary School, but is nowhere concisely stated in the English literature? In the first place, when we speak of "Whole Systems," the "Whole System" must always include all of the persons who contribute to, or receive benefits from, the system under consideration, whether that system is a family farm, a corporation, an industry, a nation, or the world economy. For example, in a fully automated industry such as the electric power industry there is no direct labor applied to the product. But the "WholeSystem" must still include all consumers of electric power (households, corporations, and governments), as well as the skilled and interested people on the production side of the loop in the capital (engineering) budgets, in the executive compensation budgets, in the debt service and dividend budgets, and in the operating (purchased material) budgets of the electric power industry.

In the second place, the Law itself applies only to "intelligent societies" wherein the division of labor has advanced so far and the production process is now so complex that centralized control of production becomes less efficient than a decentralized free market control of production. The Law itself defines the technical requirements for achieving full employment (1-2%) in a classical free market, thereby realizing the full potential efficiency of decentralized (minimum management and minimum government) control.

That requirement is: That only the directly variable costs of production will be used to set the selling price for the output of a productive asset in a competitive market. Any sunk or fixed costs that are associated with the

acquisition or maintenance of a productive asset must be separated from the variable costs and distributed, as a markup on direct cost, over the whole population of productive physical assets that is operating under one management, or, over the whole population of productive human assets that is operating under one government.

With all of the empirical data that has accumulated on our worldwide laboratory of nations since 1946 we may fairly construct a profile of the Law's effectiveness as nations exhibit public policy, on productive human assets, that varies from zero compliance to full compliance with the Law of Whole Systems, and sometimes back down again to partial compliance when they forget the Law, as Germany seems to be doing. We can be confident that private policy on productive physical assets is always in full compliance with the Law in every industrial nation, so only public policy needs to be examined. The task of persuading Richard Rovere's "wealthy and politically powerful" part of the governing elite that compliance with the Law is in their interest is never easy, because compliance or non-compliance is transparent from their perspective on the pinnacle of the income distribution curve.

A chart of nations showing efficiency (minimum input of labor and material to satisfy the demand for output, 0 to 100%) on the vertical scale and degree of compliance (0 to 100%) on the horizontal scale would show only modest improvements in efficiency as compliance increased from a "state of nature" (0%) to include stable government, moderate taxes, national defense, means tested welfare, Democracy, and public education. As public education (5% of GNP) began to improve the efficiency of the workforce, a transition would occur that reached about 50% of maximum efficiency. This is where England and the United States are located. The last step to complete the transition and achieve full compliance with the Law, and thereby the full potential efficiency of the economy, is the universal subsidy (5% of GNP) to parenting households that levels the economic playing field, as measured by discretionary income (% of total income), for all types of households, parenting, gay, single, lesbian, elderly, or wealthy.

I am astonished that the gay, single, lesbian, elderly, wealthy, and ecology minded communities are so reluctant to get on the right side of this issue. The Catholic Church has been giving lip service to the Law since Pope Leo XIII issued RERUM NOVARUM (On the condition of workingmen) in 1891. The Protestant Churches have painted the Law with the dirty brush of Socialism or Welfarism, while our corporations have consistently practiced the Law since 1891, and yet some nations have incorporated full compliance into their public policy and are laughing all the way to the bank. Has a religion or ideology ever exerted a positive influence on the public mind that could offset the negative influence of an obscene economic injustice that affects every parenting family in the society? Not in the 20th century!

Perhaps it is time, while Pratt House is on spring break, to dispatch an assassin from the basement of Chatham House to kill the messenger.

Cheers,

-----  
===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:25-MAR-1997 13:27:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:D

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879)

id <01IGX66MTQTC00SSIH@PMDF.EOP.GOV>; Tue, 25 Mar 1997 13:27:29 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)

by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IGX66J2G2800BK0W@PMDF.EOP.GOV>; Tue,  
25 Mar 1997 13:27:23 -0500 (EST)

Received: from whitehouse.gov (whitehouse.gov)

by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-7 #6879) id <01IGX66C9B6U000040@STORM.EOP.GOV>;  
Tue, 25 Mar 1997 13:27:14 -0500 (EST)

Received: (from uucp@localhost) by WhiteHouse.gov (8.7.1/uucp-relay)

id NAA00654; Tue, 25 Mar 1997 13:26:01 -0500 (EST)

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: uucp@Whitehouse.GOV@INET@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:26-MAR-1997 20:52:00.00

SUBJECT: scanner results

TO: binns\_m ( binns\_m@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:27-MAR-1997 06:23:47.22

TO: horn\_s ( horn\_s@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:  
SCANNER RESULTS

-----

wrinkled, old asses for Playgirl, so what's up, girls?

At the very least, have some photographer shoot you when you're still in your prime, THEN publish the pictures 40 years later.

--

From: jolso868@uwsp.edu

lern how to fucking spell you dumb fucking liberel

(I'd mock you, Sir, but the challenge is gone.)

--

Poor Snoot.

When the liberals stop attacking him, you know he's in DEEP shit.  
I almost feel sorry for the bomb-throwing bastard.  
Almost.

--

Please, please Mr. Lott, please don't kill me, please?  
Please, please Mr. Lott, please don't include the language  
that will kill me in your bill. Please, Mr. Lott?

Please, please Mr. Arney and Mr. Hyde, please don't let those

>>>>>> shoot you <<<<<<<

wrinkled, old asses for Playgirl, so what's up, girls?

At the very least, have some photographer shoot you when you're

MESSAGE BODY

-----

From coppage@ix.netcom.com Wed Mar 26 20:49:34 1997  
Received: (from uucp@localhost) by WhiteHouse.gov (8.7.1/uucp-relay) id UAA07635 for  
<President@WhiteHouse.GOV>; Wed, 26 Mar 1997 20:49:34 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov/198.137.241.51 via smap  
Received: from DIRECTORY-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-7 #6879)  
id <01IGYZXAHESG000XY0@STORM.EOP.GOV> for President@WhiteHouse.GOV; Wed,  
26 Mar 1997 20:50:32 -0500 (EST)  
Received: from SCAN-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-7 #6879)  
id <01IGYZX9L9DU000Y8M@STORM.EOP.GOV> for president@Whitehouse.GOV; Wed,  
26 Mar 1997 20:50:29 -0500 (EST)  
Received: from dfw-ix1.ix.netcom.com (dfw-ix1.ix.netcom.com)  
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-7 #6879) id <01IGYZWMIYDQ000040@STORM.EOP.GOV> for  
president@Whitehouse.GOV; Wed, 26 Mar 1997 20:50:00 -0500 (EST)  
Received: (from smap@localhost) by dfw-ix1.ix.netcom.com (8.8.4/8.8.4)  
id TAA05317; Wed, 26 Mar 1997 19:49:36 -0600 (CST)  
Received: from tul-ok6-28.ix.netcom.com(207.92.186.92)  
by dfw-ix1.ix.netcom.com via smap (V1.3) id sma005288; Wed Mar 26 19:49:21 1997  
Date: Wed, 26 Mar 1997 19:49:36 -0600 (CST)  
From: coppage@ix.netcom.com (BartCop)  
Subject: RUSH LIMBA - Lying Nazi Whore # 90  
To: rfilip@aol.com  
To: silly@teleport.com  
To: president@WhiteHouse.GOV  
To: waylen@alii.nosc.mil  
To: jonstud@ecst.csuchico.edu  
To: yrsr00a@prodigy.com  
To: jewel5@cwn.com  
To: freehold@visi.net  
To: richard@rsp.COM.AU  
To: jescoent@texas.net  
To: patcarr@ix.netcom.com  
To: scott\_mccullers@dpc.senate.gov  
To: hotone@earthlink.net  
To: geo@3-cities.com  
To: vern@grail.cba.csuohio.edu  
Message-id: <199703270149.TAA05317@dfw-ix1.ix.netcom.com>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: TEXT/PLAIN; CHARSET=US-ASCII  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT  
Comments: This message scanned by SCAN version 0.1 jms/960226

X x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x

(Music Pounding)

Ladies and Gentlemen!  
Welcome to the Program!!!

Welcome to Another Exciting Issue of...  
RUSH LIMBA - LYIN' NAZI WHORE!

(black chorus)  
RUSH LIMBA - LYIN' NAZI WHORE!

Let me remind you to tip the Bartenders and Waitresses...

Relax, and have a GOOD time  
It'll be Better if You've got a Buzz Going..  
Trust Me  
And we DO have a Shooooooooooooooooowww for you tonight!  
(big applause)

RUSH LIMBA - LYIN' NAZI WHORE!  
(black chorus)  
RUSH LIMBA - LYIN' NAZI WHORE!

Ladies and Gentlemen!  
Welcome to the Program!!!  
Let me see your Hands in the Air!!  
Let me see your Hands in the Air!!

Ladies and Gentlemen!  
Ladies and Gentlemen!  
Give it UP!  
Give it UP!

For...

Bartcop!!

(Music: Theme from SHAFT)

(black chorus)  
BartCop, BartCop - Shining the Light on Truth!

Welcome to..  
BartCop, BartCop - Shining the Light on Truth!

Welcome to..  
BartCop, BartCop - Shining the Light on Truth!

THE AWARD-WINNING, THRILL-PACKED, RUSH LIMBA INTERNET HUMOR MAGAZINE  
A SEMI-TRUTHFUL LOOK AT POLITICS IN AMERICA!

== Rush Limba - On The Cutting Edge of White Supremacy ==  
The Patron Saint of AIIIIIIII Assholes Everywhere  
Vs. BartCop - Shining the Light on Truth

BOOM Shagalaga.. BOOM Shagalaga..

Firmly Ensconced in his "Attila the Whore" Chair  
America's Fattest Right-Wing Truth Defector  
Vs. BartCop - Shining the Light on Truth

BOOM Shagalaga.. BOOM Shagalaga..

The Infected Cyst of Conservatism  
Tied Behind his Back....  
Just to Make it Fair...  
Vs. BartCop - Shining the Light on Truth

BOOM Shagalaga.. BOOM Shagalaga..

== Spiritual Arsonist for the Mentally Defective ==  
Posterboy for the 4-F Generation  
- Too Goddamn Ugly For Radio -  
Vs. BartCop - Shining the Light on Truth

BOOM Shagalaga.. BOOM Shagalaga..

The Biggest Anti-RUSH Humor Newsletter on the World Wide Web  
Changing Political Opinions in Nine Countries on Four Continents  
Now Read By Up To 50,000,000 Intelligent People

The Newsletter Of Honesty That Causes Abject Panic in the GOP!  
The Amusing Expose of America's Premier Embarrassment!  
BartCop - Shining the Light on Truth

BOOM Shagalaga.. BOOM Shagalaga..

## POLITICS in AMERICA

Debunking Rabid-Right Propaganda Since 1996  
Coming Down on Rampant Fascism Like Hard Rain  
Tracking His Lardship's Relentless Pursuit of Food  
BartCop - Shining the Light on Truth

BOOM Shagalaga.. BOOM Shagalaga..

SHINING the LIGHT on TRUTH!  
Thank You, Thank You

Ladies and Gentlemen, Please be Seated..

=====

RUSH LIMBA - Lying, Niggardly Whore

=====

Volume 90 "The Boy From Brazil"

What do you think of when you hear people talk about Asian's  
trying to buy influence with America's President?

I think of Von Reagan getting \$6,000,000 for reading two  
small speeches in Japan a month after leaving office.

"The best President Japan ever had."

What do YOU think of?

-----

OK - The first problem we need to correct is this bad habit of women over 50 dropping their britches in Playboy Magazine.

Nancy Sinatra, Farrah Fawcett, Joey Heatherton, Donna Mills, Faye Resnick, Nancy Reagan - the list goes on and on.

It pisses me off that these former babes wait 30 years after their prime to take off their clothes for Playboy.

Hey!  
Knock it off!

Cheeses of Nazareth!

If you insist on getting naked in front of a camera, at least do it while you still have a body.

I don't see Kirk Douglas or Don Ameche showing off their wrinkled, old asses for Playgirl, so what's up, girls?

At the very least, have some photographer shoot you when you're still in your prime, THEN publish the pictures 40 years later.

Wouldn't that make more sense?

-----

HEY!

You know that game, papers-scissors-rock?

So... scissors cuts paper. OK, I'll buy that.  
And.. rocks break scissors, I'll buy that, too.

But.. paper "covers" rock? I don't think so.  
That sounds like like some religio-wacko trying to invent an excuse for why the big puzzle won't add up.

And since we're discussing church and state..  
I think it would be a good idea to ask every candidate:

Does Bible "cover" Constitution?  
Or does Constitution "cover" Bible?

There can only be one answer, and NO, you religio-boneheads, it's NOT "Bible covers Constitution."

If you want to spread religion, God bless you.  
But if you want YOUR hand on the public switch, you need to leave  
that stuff at home and play by the rules when you're on the job!

Let it ring from the mountaintop,

Constitution TRUMPS Bible,  
Every play, Every hand.

-----

Rush took his private plane to Palm Beach.  
As he walked into the airport, a man was heard screaming

"Nazi whore! Nazi whore!"

No, it wasn't a liberal heckler.  
It was his limo driver trying to hook up with him.

-----

True MINI-MAIL

From: jolso868@uwsp.edu

learn how to fucking spell you dumb fucking liberal

(I'd mock you, Sir, but the challenge is gone.)

---

From: changeme@milwaukee.tec.wi.us

You're an idiot!

(Please, don't ever change)

---

From: 102441.606@CompuServe.COM

Subject: limbaugh

BartCop, You are a sick man please seek help.

-----

Here's an idea:

Each time I get some spam mail, some crap I didn't ask for,  
I send them the latest issue of RL-LNW in return.

Fuck 'em.

If they're going to invade my computer with "really good" ideas on how to make "tons of cash," I figure the least I can do is educate them on the evils of fascism.

Feel free to do the same.

Next time you get spam mail, reply with an issue of RL-LNW.

They'll take you off their list.

-----

### Great GOP Quotes

"Gee, what a witty fag, HuMMM?? I will become GAY now".

--Republican Bill Randolph, from last issue

From: billrand@stratos.net

(I've heard from several Republicans who suddenly turned gay after reading RL-LNW Volume 89. Warn your friends.)

-----

We need to give credit when it's due...

Remember that lady named "Edie" something who lost her two little boys in the Oklahoma City bombing?  
Remember how sad it was?

I remember Liddy was trying to blame Clinton and the FBI for the bombing so he got her on his show a month later.

He was trying to get her to say she had heard rumors that the FBI knew about the upcoming blast and the big boys stayed away that day to save their lives, leaving the other 168 to die.  
Edie said she never heard any rumors like that at all.

That was then.

This is now.

Edie has joined 34 other plaintiffs in a lawsuit against the government saying they KNEW or SHOULD HAVE KNOWN about the bomb.  
(If there's any evidence to support this, I haven't seen it.  
Have you?)

Friday, on Tulsa radio, she said:

"I no longer hold Tim McVeigh primarily responsible for the deaths of my children - he was going to bomb some building somewhere no matter what. I now hold the United States primarily responsible for the deaths of my two boys. The government is to blame."

I'm old enough that I shouldn't be shocked by anything these days.

I would've bet your tax money that there was no power on Earth that could get that young mother to let "ol' Tim" off the hook so she could blame "the government."

When I think back to that Memorial service they held after the bombing, when they were playing Clapton's "Tears in Heaven" for the dead children and all that, when most people thought the bombers were responsible for the deaths....

And now this woman let's "ol' Tim" off the hook so she can sue her government, I just have one thing to say to Rush and Liddy and Newt and other Republicans who say "Government is the problem."

Job well done, boys.

-----

Great Rush Quotes

"Am I an idiot?"  
--Dr, Frankenslur, March 13, Radio Show

-----

Ha ha

Back in Volume 88, I reported how Danny Quayle ate nitro pills and drank a fifth of vodka and went to the hospital with bruises all over his body. They asked what happened and he said he was trying to explode the nitro by running his head into the wall!!

Ha ha

Four days after that Volume was published, Rush told the fucking nitro story on his Radio Show!

Ha ha

But,

Ha ha

he didn't to mention Dan Quayle by name.  
he just said it happened to "some guy,"

Again and again, I scoop "cutting-edge Baconboy."

-----

What's this bullshit I read about Hillary in Africa?

She's spending MY tax money to give those people hope?  
What a bunch of shit!

Those people are darkies - why do they need saving?  
I'll bet they're giving her tons of cash so she can launder it  
through the Chinese embassy so Bill can destroy America.  
I hate her.

Bitch.

In the paper, it says she told the women of Zimbabwe that  
they were part of society too! The NERVE of her.

Bitch.

She said "A Democracy depends on the full integration of women  
into society, that they deserve the SAME OPPORTUNITY as men.

HA!

Has this lady ever read a bible?

Hillary told the dark women that they should support each other!  
She told the women they should have "solidarity," which we all  
know is a code-word for Leninist-Stalinist-Socialism.

Just like Rush says, men-hating lesbians always "do a dance"  
when they hear that there's been another abortion!  
This is perfect!

Hillary's going to destroy Africa with that same "equality" crap  
that liberals use to spread lies here in America!

Rush is right - liberals are so fucked.

-----

A fax G. Gordon Liddy chose not to read on the air:  
4/26/95

Mr. Liddy,

Could you run through your Top Ten Best Ways to kill  
federal workers for me? I lost my copy.

Long live the GOP!

BartCop,  
Slayer of Liddy

-----

>From the BartCop "See, I Told You So" files...

The new Governor of Arkansas, Mike Huckabee - the super-Christian,  
says he can't approve the disaster bill to give low-interest loans  
to the people who lost everything in that tornado attack because...

.get this...

He'd have to call the twisters "an Act of God" to get funding and  
HIS God would NEVER send tornadoes to hurt people in Arkansas.

Here we go.

Here we go with another example of why we don't need some ass  
beating on a Bible when recently-fucked people suddenly need  
help from their government to get back on their feet.

Let me repeat this, because it's important, especially to the  
families who lost everything.

What would that BE like?

Let's say the tornado was NICE to you and your injuries were  
just a broken arm and a dozen stitches. Now, as you leave the  
emergency room, your spouse reminds you that you have no home,  
no automobile, no clothes, no medicine, no cheese-grader, nothing.

For the first time in your life, you're totally dependent on  
the kindness of others to feed and protect your family.

This is America.

We help our homeless, right?

People have friends and family to help.

(unless you arrived in town last Wednesday)

So ...so now you hear from the new Governor's office.

The new Governor is waiting for the Arkansas State legislature to  
change the wording in the Arkansas disaster-relief laws so he doesn't  
have to accuse God of causing the twisters in the first place.

Repeat: The Republican Governor refuses to blame God,  
thus blocking funding for the victims.

BartCop has a question: How many examples like this does it take  
for a sane person to realize that religious insanity has  
no business near a courthouse or Capitol building?

Wait --

Do you hear that?

Listen . . . . . hear that?

..that spinning sound you hear is Thomas Jefferson's body.

This reminds me of a plank in the BartCop Quiz:

If a plane with 300 passengers crashes into a field,  
and 200 people survive, did God save them?  
Or did he cause the deaths of the other 100?  
Can you have it both ways?

This is a question Arkansas' governor can't answer.

Meanwhile, people are homeless and penniless while they wait for  
their Republican super-Christian governor to make a decision.

Former Governor Jim Guy Tucker, where are you?

Oh, that's right.

He's no longer governor because he did business with a man who did  
business with the man America chose to lead our great country.

TWICE

The man the Republican-rabid-right wants to destroy at any cost,  
so he's not able to protect Arkansans from Mike Huckabee.  
They want Clinton sooooooooooooo bad, but he's too smart for them.

Those poor people, wiped out by God's tornado.

Somehow, you just know if BartCop was Governor of Arkansas,  
those people would be comfy in a Holiday Inn right now,  
picking out house plans with the mortgage company they hired  
to build their new home with their government loan.

..Yep, I just might get into politics,  
since I'm so goddamn smart and have all the answers.

I'm in Oklahoma, so I'll register as a Republican, quote Jesus,  
throw some personal slurs at Bill Clinton and win by 20 percent.

Hell, it worked for the Jesus Twins, Largent & Coburn.

-----

Webster says,

prickling, (noun) the act or process of one that pricks

Then it has a picture of Rush.

-----

Who says the Coathanger Coalition has no sense of humor?

The CC is displaying graphic, bloody photos of aborted fetuses  
outside schools in an attempt to "disgust" students.

It's working.

I'm disgusted.

Coathanger Coalition Spokesman Jeff White said  
"Public schools is where the abortion mentality is birthed,"  
like he didn't get the irony of such a stupid goddamn statement.

Who says the Coathanger Coalition has no sense of humor?

-----

Great Rush Quotes

"I hate to be dense."  
-- Radio Show, March 12, 1997

-----

Poor Snoot Gingrich

Did you see Crossfire Friday?  
Bill Press watched Pat Buchanan and that Gary Bauer woman  
of the Family Council-something and some other Republican  
talk about what an inept, crooked shit Snoot Gingrich was.

Bill Press just watched and laughed.

Then, on Capital Gang Saturday, Demo Senator Patrick Moynihan  
said he was NOT going to sit there and listen to Kato Burn  
slur the hell out of Snoot!

It took them a second to get the joke, but it worked.

Poor Snoot.

When the liberals stop attacking him, you know he's in DEEP shit.  
I almost feel sorry for the bomb-throwing bastard.  
Almost.

Dick Armey and the nutty 1994 Freshmen called Snoot "off-key."  
Trent Lott said "Mixed messages don't help."  
Some New York Republican Rep called Snoot "Roadkill."  
I hate it when the GOP attacks their own in more inventive  
terms than I, anti-Rush boy, can come up with.

(Remember when George Will said old Bob Dole offered the GOP  
nothing more than buyer's remorse? DAMN, that's good.)

But that's not Snoot biggest problem.  
Rumor has it that Ralph Reed is not pleased!!

Snoot, you need to listen to me very carefully here:  
Take some advice from your old pal, BartCop.  
(Snoot gets every issue of RL-LNW - "fresh.")

If Ralph Reed asks if you like apple pie or baseball,  
turn, and run like a motherfucker!!!!.

You CAN do that, right?

(By the way, we've been getting lots of mail about the beating  
Al D'Amato took from Ralph Reed. "Is D'Amato still alive?"  
...Is a bear Catholic?  
The answer in RL-LNW Volume 91.)

So Snoot, all I can say is...  
when a mad bomber blows himself up,  
there's not many people at the funeral.

-----

### Great Biblical Quotes

"Give to Bill Clinton what is Clinton's  
and give to Almighty God what is God's."  
--Jesus Christ, endorsing Bill Clinton's 1993 tax bill.

-----

Why does Rush Limba always refuse to be on Larry King?

Everyone that's anyone in America has been on.  
The last three presidents, the last four presidential losers,  
Mark Fuhman, Charlie Manson, Billy Graham, Howard Stern,  
Ross Perot, Colin Powell, OJ Simpson, Scott O'Grady,  
Dr. Kevorkian, Yassir Arafat, everyone everyone etc.

Anyone you can think of has been on - except Del Puerca Grande.  
You know why?

Because Rush is afraid to go anywhere that he can't be the boss.  
If he can't hide behind his urine-colored microphone,  
there's no way he'll agree to be on an interview show.

If he went on Larry King, he'd have to ANSWER questions  
instead of ducking them and going to a commercial like he  
does whenever a liberal gets through, the coward.

Rush has no balls.  
It's that simple.

Oh, sure, he's a BIG man when it comes to slurring the poor,  
or laughing at Magic Johnson or making fun of how Jocelyn Elders  
pronounces Eric Clapton's name, but he can't take the heat  
when it's time to own up to his lying, bullshit rhetoric.  
(Rush gets every issue of RL-LNW - "fresh.")

Why can't the greatest mind in all on conservatism  
go on a talk show and answer a few simple questions?  
What are you afraid of, Porky?

We both know what would happen, right?

Many of the 200+ million Americans who think he's a stinking,  
lying gasbag would ask him embarrassing questions, and quick-witted  
Larry might follow-up Rush's half-ass reply and he'd be stuck!  
I can just see it...

Larry: Youngtown, Ohio. You're on...

Youngstown: Rush, you dirty, rat bastard!  
Eat me, you mongrel dog!

Rush: ...I...

Larry: Here's a call from Scarsdale, New York.

Scarsdale: Rush, you rotten, fascist whore! Go to Hell!

Rush: ...I...

Larry: Tulsa, Oklahoma

Tulsa: Hi, Rush. I really think you're great.  
I've dedicated my homepage to your greatness.

Limba: At last, a caller that's not a liberal wacko.

Larry: Caller, what do you like about Rush?

Tulsa: His honesty, his humility, his tolerance of others...

Larry: (cough)

Tulsa: Yeah, Rush. You're something. A true genius!!  
I think every American should read my tribute to you  
on the World Wide Web at <http://www.ctyme.com/bartcop>

Rush: This BartCop sounds like one smart cookie.

-----

From: FizzTwo@aol.com

A man on his way home from work in L.A. is stuck in traffic.  
He sees a cop so he says, "Officer, what's up?"

"O.J. just found out about the verdict, and he's very depressed.  
He's in the middle of the highway saying he'll douse himself in  
gasoline and light himself. He says he doesn't have \$8.5 million  
for the Goldmans, so I'm taking up a collection for him."

The man says, "How much have you collected?"

The officer says, "So far, four gallons."

-----

The future of RL-LNW is very much in doubt.

I watched Jungle Jim Inhofe (R - Bonehead) on Crossfire and I didn't hear him say anything that was really stupid. Well, he did, but nothing that was pregnant with humor. (Can you believe he's on the Intelligence Committee?)

Either I'm losing my touch or Jim Inhofe is getting smarter.

.and I refuse to believe that.

-----

Correction

As all subscribers know, whenever RL-LNW makes a mistake, we own up to it right away, to preserve our integrity.

Last Issue, RL-LNW said Rush Limba was "wailing" about the unfairness of Clinton supporters spending the night in the Lincoln Bedroom, even if Reagan and Bush did the same thing.

We meant to say Limba was "whaling."

RL-LNW regrets the error.

-----

Remember this guy from our last issue?  
I thanked him by e-mail for his "contribution" to Volume 89.

From: arich@rex.imperium.net

Subject: Re: Thanks for contributing to RL-LNW

Obviously you did not read my contribution if you think I would appreshiate a subscription to your lies.

Do you really understand anything that you pontificate upon?

(Pontificate?  
I was raised Catholic.... so, maybe)

-----

MAILBAG Overflow

From: RASHARP324@aol.com

Subject: BRAINWASHED

I really don't believe you can be so wrong and believe you're so right. You must be doing this merely for attention, but i don't think your'e getting it.

What goes around comes around and i hope you can live with the results of the actions of the corrupt Clinton adminstruation.

P.S. you really are ignoront

(This guy gets a FREE, no-cancel subscription to RL-LNW.)

---

From: Zi3@ttacs.com

Subject: You have got your Head up your A\$\$!!!

Its a\$\$holes like you that make us hard working people suffer!  
Pull your sorry head out and wake up to the truth!  
Your buckfucking friend Bill Clinton has sold us to the Commies.  
But I guess that is what people like you want!

ASSHOLE Dickhead.

(Thanks for writing, but I gots to know...  
How'd you pick that nick?)

-----

OLD BUSINESS

We've gotten mail saying we misspell Steve Largeant's name.  
This is a false charge.

We at RL-LNW spell Largeant's name correctly.

It is he who cannot spell.

-----

Dramatic Pause

-----

May I have your attention for a moment, please.

I, BartCop, hereby charge the unclean bastards of the right with pre-meditated murder of what they call "defenseless little babies."

Allow me to explain:

Most liberals (and a majority of Supreme Court Justices) believe that abortion should be a private medical matter between a woman, her doctor, and sometimes, her God. (cough)

Many conservatives (led by their religious leaders) believe that abortion is the deliberate murder of an unborn baby.

You're with me so far, right?  
Everyone agrees?

So, let's talk about the upcoming vote on the sham bill banning what they like to call "partial-birth abortions."

(There's NO SUCH THING as a PBA, but they call it that, hoping to push the unstable mind into violence. Nobody would murder a doctor or receptionist if they performed an "Intact D & E," so the Coathanger Coalition throws a little gasoline on the argument. Isn't that an un-Christian thing to do?)

Now, let's talk about money and political power:

The Republicans can't increase contributions to their party if PBA's are made illegal. If anything, donations to the GOP would DROP if they were illegal, because that war would be "won."

But they CAN get more donations from the rabid-right if they portray America's twice-elected president as a criminal who likes to see innocent babies being killed.

That's a gold mine for the GOP.

Now, stay with me.  
Here's where the deliberate murder comes in:

The GOP is PRETENDING they're trying to outlaw PBA's, but they INTENTIONALLY include language in the bill that Clinton warns them IN ADVANCE he will certainly veto. Clinton WARNS them up-front he will veto their bill, but they send it - AS IS - anyway.

Why?

Why is a simple question.

Fucking why?

WHY would the Republicans INTENTIONALLY include language they KNOW will defeat their bill, a bill they SWEAR they want to pass?

Could a "pro-lifer" explain that to me?

Why intentionally include language they KNOW will screw them... if they really want the bill to pass?

Think about it.

If the GOP called Clinton's bluff and removed the language that allowed the mother's fertility to remain intact, Clinton would be FORCED to sign it, saving thousands of "babies" every year.

My ultra-religio-wacko friend PapaX7 says they INTENTIONALLY include this language because "it's a red herring."

So, hundreds or thousands of "babies" are dying a painful death every year because "it's a red herring."

But that's the GOP objective, is it?

Why does the GOP include language to GUARANTEE that the number of Intact D & E's will continue?

It's because of the money - pure and simple.  
Show me where I'm wrong.

They don't WANT to save babies - they want money.

Matter of fact, if you listen hard, very, very hard, you can hear the little "babies" as they beg for their lives.

"Please, please Mr. Gingrich, please don't kill me, please?  
Please don't include the language in the bill that will kill me.  
Please, please Mr. Lott, please don't kill me, please?  
Please, please Mr. Lott, please don't include the language that will kill me in your bill. Please, Mr. Lott?

Please, please Mr. Armey and Mr. Hyde, please don't let those evil doctors suck my brain out and kill me just minutes before I take my first breath, please Mr. Armey, please don't include the language in your bill, please? If you take that language out, I promise I'll be good and never complain or eat too much.  
So please, Mr. Gingrich, please let me be born, please?"

Abortionist: Snoot, pass me the scissors, would you?

Gingrich: Here you go, Doc. Anything else?

Abortionist: Yeah, my wife wants a summer house in the Bahamas.  
Can you keep the certain-veto language in your bill?

Gingrich: Are you a member of Team 100?

- Remember,  
Hypocrisy stops a beating heart.

=====

RUSH LIMBA - Lying, Nazi Whore is FREE!!

send e-mail to [coppage@ix.netcom.com](mailto:coppage@ix.netcom.com)

or visit BartCop's RL-LNW Lie-brary at

<http://www.ctyme.com/bartcop/>

-----  
===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:26-MAR-1997 20:52:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:D

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879)  
id <01IGZ00QB0N4012X6J@PMDf.EOP.GOV>; Wed, 26 Mar 1997 20:52:31 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)  
by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IGZ00N028G00ST3R@PMDf.EOP.GOV>; Wed,  
26 Mar 1997 20:52:25 -0500 (EST)

Received: from whitehouse.gov (whitehouse.gov)  
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-7 #6879) id <01IGZ00JT53U000040@STORM.EOP.GOV>;  
Wed, 26 Mar 1997 20:52:21 -0500 (EST)

Received: (from uucp@localhost) by WhiteHouse.gov (8.7.1/uucp-relay)  
id UAA07736; Wed, 26 Mar 1997 20:51:08 -0500 (EST)

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: fdfisher@mail.utexas.edu@INET@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-APR-1997 09:26:00.00

SUBJECT: - no subject (01IH9IE11ZLE00B719) -

TO: STONE\_CF ( STONE\_CF@A1@CD ) (WHO)

READ: 3-APR-1997 10:56:58.98

TEXT:

Chad,

Tell me what mistakes I am making before I send this off to the Wall Street Journal for its OpEd page. ?Is my figure for world production about right?

Frank

## A PUBLIC CONCERN FOR THE FUTURE THE ANSWER TO THE PERIL OF PRIVATIZING THE PLANET

Free enterprise and classical economics discount the value of the future. On the free market a promise to pay \$1000 in a year is today worth only \$935, at a going interest at 7%. That is because at that rate if you had the \$935 now you could earn \$65 over the year.

Similarly, the future values of \$1000 to be delivered in successive years are \$874, \$817, \$764 . . . .If you keep working at the arithmetic you will find that in ten years time the future value is about half and that the present value of \$1000 in 100 years is \$1.

So over 200 years a million dollars would be discounted to \$1. Over 300 years a billion and over 400 years a trillion dollars would be discounted to \$1.

At 7%, then, what would free enterprise sell the whole planet for, paid for today but delivered in 400 years? Capitalizing the current gross world product of around \$40 trillion at 7% results in a current value for the world of \$571 trillion. Free marketers would set a present price for the world in the year 2400 at \$571. .

This bothers us, the idea that someone for \$571 could today buy the whole world in the year 2400. We want the world to continue indefinitely. If done away with, or disposed of in large part by the owner of its future, it might not be the sort of place we have come to know, the sort of place we had rather expected might be enjoyed by our great-great-great- . . . . grandchildren. .

But the value we place on a continuing planet is not reflected in the free market place. Indeed, we watch today's businesses giving little regard to future values. They do not care whether in the future there will be oceans with fish and healthy coral. Minerals are mined, oil pumped, forests cut

down. Business looks at the numbers and sees only how much higher present values are than future ones.

Two arguments are regularly used to justify government. The first is that certain functions do not produce private profits, things like public schools, parks, an army. So the government must spend money on things the public needs but that the profit motive will not provide. Government is also justified by socialism, charity or Christianity as a means to assure a more equitable distribution of goods than results from market forces alone.

Looking at how free enterprise discounts future values, we can see another and less frequently stated argument for government action: to protect our human concern for the future. Today's citizens ascribe a value to a livable planet in 400 years time that is greater than the value set by the free market. We need government to protect us from privatizing and devaluing the planet's future.

Francis Dummer Fisher  
Senior Research Fellow  
LBJ School of Public Affairs, UT-Austin  
fdfisher@mail.utexas.edu  
3208 Harris Park Ave., Austin, TX 78705  
512 476-2719

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 3-APR-1997 09:26:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:D

TEXT:  
RFC-822-headers:  
Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879)  
id <01IH9IDXMH8000UCRZ@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for STONE\_CF@a1.eop.gov; Thu,  
03 Apr 1997 09:26:27 -0500 (EST)  
Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)  
by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IH9IDW5FHS00F0JB@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for  
STONE\_CF@a1.eop.gov; Thu, 03 Apr 1997 09:26:25 -0500 (EST)  
Received: from curly.cc.utexas.edu by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.1-7 #6879)  
with ESMTP id <01IH9IDSP9LW002E01@STORM.EOP.GOV> for STONE\_CF@a1.eop.gov; Thu,  
03 Apr 1997 09:26:21 -0500 (EST)  
Received: from [128.83.176.32] (slip-102-32.ots.utexas.edu [128.83.176.32])  
by curly.cc.utexas.edu (8.8.5/8.8.5/cc-uts-1.16) with SMTP id IAA16927 for  
<STONE\_CF@a1.eop.gov>; Thu, 03 Apr 1997 08:26:14 -0600 (CST)  
===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: Tonya\_James/R\_\_MR/WASHDC/USIA/GOV.USIA@notes1.usia.gov@INET@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME: 7-APR-1997 14:33:00.00

SUBJECT: Daily Digest 4/7: ALBANIA: INT'L FORCE FACING 'RISKS,' 'DANGERS'

TO: andrei\_brezianu ( andrei\_brezianu@seur.voa.gov@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Matsui\_d ( Matsui\_d@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: cwi ( cwi@imssys.com@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: fiwcddsd ( fiwcddsd@nosc.mil@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: jkiely ( jkiely@telenet.com@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: napsnet ( napsnet@nautilus.org@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: PAO ( PAO@TECHNET.SG@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Clark\_p ( Clark\_p@A1@CD ) (OA)  
READ: 7-APR-1997 15:21:05.80

TO: afpa1 ( afpa1@ix.netcom.com@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: NSAS ( NSAS@SHAPE.NATO.INT@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: spike ( spike@pananet.com@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: tlvio ( tlvio@usia.gov@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:

As an international "peacekeeping" force prepares to leave for Albania, now scheduled for April 14, commentators in Europe, Asia, Africa and Latin America continued to register many concerns about the still "chaotic" situation in the Balkan country and worries that the conflagration could spread in the region. A majority of observers expressed doubts about the international force's prospects for a successful mission and they were not optimistic that a solution aimed at quelling the crisis would be forthcoming.

The Italian-led international force was the main focus in a majority of editorials and commentaries. A few media voices welcomed the "intervention" as necessary and "vital." Madrid's liberal El Pais, for example, asserted that "given the current situation in Albania in which the state has ceased to exist...the mere presence of an international military force will have a stabilizing effect." The majority view, however, was distinctly skeptical about the prospects for success, with pundits enumerating the many possible "risks" and "dangers" the troops would encounter. London's independent Times summed it up thusly: "The international force now being hastily assembled with the lukewarm blessing of the UN appears to have learned nothing from the last European attempt to enforce peace in the Balkans. As in Bosnia, there is no clear mandate, no chain of command or division of duties, no properly defined military task and no exit strategy. Unlike Bosnia, there is not even the pretext of international aggression: The force is wading into the Albanian imbroglio to confront not a political challenge or civil war but thieves, gangsters, and opportunists." Italy's up-front role in leading the mission had a few admirers. Most journalists, however, had reservations about Rome's ability to "play such a leading role," and some questioned its motives. A British paper maintained that "Rome's truer motive is self-interest...terrified at the prospect of an uncontrolled flood of Albanians." In Italy itself, editorialists emphasized the importance of the international mission--not only for the humanitarian aspects, but also for Italy's prestige. There was very little comment on the role of the U.S. Italian dailies maintained, however, that the U.S. is a "de facto" participant in the international force, reporting that the U.S.--via its satellites--would have an "information-gathering" role. A number of commentators looked at the current situation in Albania in broader, post-Cold War terms. Some lamented that the Tirana leaders, after the end of the "Stalinist dictatorship" five years ago, have left Albania with "literally nothing." Many agreed that for Albania to be saved from further disorder, the current leadership must step down. Others decried the policies of the West and the great powers. Rome's centrist La Stampa asserted that the West denied many post-communist countries "any help which would truly strengthen them, that would protect them from aggression, that would make them part of the democratic West.... Western Europe regrets yesterday's order, and lives in a sort of post-political panic." Critics charged that the "international community continues to mount makeshift operations combining humanitarian action and force" and that in the end, this multilateral framework "fails to act." Center-right O Estado de Sao Paulo ran this condemning conclusion: "Sometimes busy with former Yugoslavia, sometimes with itself, Europe practically ignored the Albanian...crisis."

This survey is based on 36 reports from 15 countries, March 18-April 7.  
EDITOR: Diana McCaffrey

## EUROPE

ITALY: "America Will Help With Satellites And Spy Planes"

A report in left-leaning, influential La Repubblica noted (4/6): "Officially, the international military mission to Albania will be carried out by eight nations--Italy, France, Greece, Turkey, Romania, Spain, Austria and Denmark. They could become ten were Bulgaria and Slovenia to decide to participate. But the United States is de facto also participating in the operation, albeit in an unofficial role. While U.S. armed forces will not be part of the

'international protection force,' it is rather difficult to believe that the almost 5,000 men under the command of Italian General Luciano Forlani will be able to proceed without the information gathered by the Americans."

### "A Matter Of Credibility--Italy Seeking High-Profile Foreign Policy"

An analysis by Alessandro Corneli in leading, business Il Sole-24 Ore (4/4): "Italy's increased presence in peacekeeping or peace-enforcing missions reflects its desire to seek a higher international profile, but remains linked to a foreign policy tradition characterized by strong emotive and bitter disputes among political forces each time a decision has to be made.... The Albanian crisis has brought to light again the contradictions of Italian foreign policy, which is inspired on one side by humanitarian principles...and on the other side is moved by unspoken geopolitical considerations concerning this 'elusive protectorate,' with the usual side dish of domestic disputes which limit our international credibility."

### "Berisha: 'U.S. Hates Me Because It Wants To Colonize Us'"

Under the headline above, a dispatch from Tirana in centrist, top-circulation Corriere della Sera (4/4) commented, "It is the end of a love story, the one between President Berisha and the Americans. For three days dozens of people have been holding the U.S. Embassy under siege. Obviously an organized siege. By whom? Says President Berisha: 'Since the beginning of my first mandate, in 1991, I tried to establish a good relationship with the Americans. And I offered full collaboration.... I can say that I accept all differences of opinion and that I am ready for dialogue. But, so long as I am here, I will defend the national sovereignty of my country.'... That is to say, there is a strong difference between collaboration and colonization. The fact is that, for at least one year, there has been only one obstacle to stabilization in Albania according to Washington: Berisha. But perhaps at this point they have already realized at the State Department that Berisha does not forgive."

### "Italy Under Examination"

Stefano Silvestri wrote in leading business-oriented Il Sole 24-Ore (4/2): "The international mission to Albania is necessary and urgent. Italy strongly wanted it and is still in the most adequate position to lead it.... This is a test for Italy, no less important than the one for monetary union..... In Albania...we should prevent the dissolution of a European state..... The lack of enthusiasm by the United States, Germany and the UK forced the decision of having an 'ad hoc' group, focused around the Mediterranean NATO countries.... This does not mean that NATO or other allied countries are not committed to ensuring the success of the mission, but that there will be a different degree of responsibility and decision making power.... The aim is to maintain a substantial political neutrality in Albania."

### "Leaving Italy Alone With Its Devils"

Barbara Spinelli commented in centrist La Stampa (3/30): "To the nations which have emerged from the collapse of communism we offered money, not in order to favor the democratic process as was the case with the Marshall Plan, but in order to enrich mafia groups and the post-Communist nomenclature. We denied

them any help which would truly strengthen them, that would protect them from aggression, that would make them part of the democratic West

the way West Germany did with East Germany. The European disasters in the former Yugoslavia and Albania stem from this lack of preparation and this desire for impotence.... Western Europe regrets yesterday's order, and lives in a sort of post-political panic.... It is leaving Italy alone to deal with the refugee drama. It is leaving it alone with its devils."

GERMANY: "Guerrilla War Looming In The Horizon"

Left-of-center Die Tageszeitung of Berlin (4/4) said in an editorial by Ulrich Enzensberger, "The EU's regional league, which does not want to miss the Euro-train--Italy, Greece and Spain--as well as Turkey and Romania, which are striving for EU membership, want to show the Albanians what Europe is.... The great power Germany wants to have the chestnuts pulled out of the fire that it lighted with the failed attempt to install an obedient autocratic system ? la Croatia. The continuation of this kind of policy through other means will however meet with fierce resistance among the Albanians.

"The intervention of Turkish, Greek and Italian troops in a country which for decades has been fighting against the Ottoman Empire and which due to its minority has been bitterly at enmity with Greece, goes beyond the framework of comparable 'humanitarian' police actions. A guerrilla war that might spread like a regional conflagration is looming on the horizon. There is no alternative to the deposition of Berisha, which the south is demanding: This is the only way to encourage the internal opposition within his Democratic Party and to contribute to working cooperation with the socialists through the help of the Democratic Alliance. This is the only way to form an independent Albanian government which might also be capable of defusing the explosive situation in Kosovo."

"Elections In Total Anarchy?"

According to an editorial in left-of-center Frankfurter Rundschau (4/4), "In the south of Albania, there is still total anarchy. The soldiers of the planned multinational protection force may succeed in protecting the harbors and the important streets, but whether under these circumstances it will be possible to distribute the urgently needed relief remains questionable. The police and the army, which are nearly dissolved anyway, seem to be powerless.... But how can there be an election campaign and free elections in view of the permanent chaos?... After the end of Stalinist dictatorship five years ago there were at least the structures of a state, the police, the judiciary and the armed forces left. But now, Albania is literally left with nothing."

"There Should Be Concerns"

Ludwigshafen's Die Rheinpfalz commented (4/3), "There should be concerns about the multinational force in Albania, especially since some of the Albanians do not support it. Armed gangs are raging, which is the reason why the planned mission...poses many risks. The Italian government plans to send 2,000 soldiers, but not to the south, where the disaster ship capsized on Good Friday and where many relatives of the 83 casualties swore to take revenge on the

Italians. Many citizens in Rome, Milan and Brindisi fear that their sons will risk their lives in Albania. The fear is not unfounded that this Balkan country might become another Somalia."

#### "Ambitious, Extensive OSCE Mission"

Bayerischer Rundfunk aired the following commentary (4/1): "The aims of the OSCE mission in Albania are as ambitious as they are extensive.... There are no objections to the humanitarian or the political dimension of the OSCE mission. On the contrary, one has to welcome it, again for humanitarian and political, but also for unselfish and selfish reasons. The problem needs to be solved where it emerged--in Albania, and not in the European countries. But the putting into practice of good intentions meets with considerable risks.... Especially now, when the building of a new order requires a strong government, it will lose power because of party politics before election campaigns. All this against the background that the Albania mission of the OSCE

reminds us already now of the UN disaster in Somalia. Here and there the foreigners have to deal with a country that is split into fractions.... Possibly the greatest danger for the OSCE mission emanates from these political power plays and intrigues. How should the helpers help if the population does not join forces in the planned reconstruction? The finding that the political opponent is not an enemy, that change in power is normal and revives democracy must first of all spread in Albania. Whether Friday's ship catastrophe will burden the operation with additional risks cannot be assessed."

#### "Dangers In Albania"

Boris Kalnoky commented in right-of-center Die Welt of Berlin (4/1): "After the tragedy on the Adria [where Albanian refugees died after colliding with an Italian ship], Albania's Prime Minister Bashkim Fino practically had no choice but to find accusing words for Italy--a guilt that Rome rejects. However, the question is hardly, who is right, but what effects this incident will have on the planned OSCE mission.... The majority of the casualties on the refugee ship came from Vlora. There, the leaders of the rebels announced in front of thousands of people...that nobody could guarantee the safety of the 'security forces.' In a country where every child has a gun and where honor commands one to wash off disgrace with blood, this means a massive security risk.

"Therefore, the humanitarian action must be protected militarily. The preconditions would be a bit more favorable if the well-liked Americans or Germans would take the leadership. The decisive question remains whether it will be possible to have democratic elections without collecting the guns. Because only elections could somehow solve the crisis. However, should there be fights between the peace troops and the Albania's, the chances for a solution would be lower than ever."

#### "Realistic Threats"

Centrist Sueddeutsche Zeitung of Munich editorialized (4/1): "The first leftist Italian government after the war has not been hostile toward Albania at all, just the contrary: It was the first to voice a warning and due to its

initiative the upcoming food and medication aid will be delivered. The patrolling of the Adriatic Sea derives from two realistic threats: the exodus of an entire people and dealings of the Albanian-Italian mafia to ship out refugees, among them also criminals. The refugees need to be protected against accusations as they were voiced by the 'Liga Nord,' but they are not an object of idealization either.... If Italian citizens become victims, Rome will be pressured to act. It is hard to imagine what this additional isolation would mean for the Albanians."

BRITAIN: "Doubts About Italy's Albania Mission"

The independent Financial Times pointed out from Rome (4/3): "Doubts about Italy's ability to play such a leading role began to circulate in the aftermath of last Friday's sinking of an Albanian boat with heavy loss of life after collision with an Italian corvette.... Doubts about Italy were fed by poor government handling of the incident, accompanied by cynical attempts among the Italian opposition and in Albania to exploit the tragedy."

"A Rethink For Rome"

The conservative Times commented (4/2): "Italy's proposal for military intervention in Albania has all the makings of a disaster. The international force now being hastily assembled with the lukewarm blessing of the UN appears to have learned nothing from the last European attempt to enforce peace in the Balkans. As in Bosnia, there is no clear mandate, no chain of command or division of duties, no properly defined military task and no exit strategy. Unlike Bosnia, there is not even the pretext of international aggression: The force is wading into the Albanian imbroglio to confront not a political challenge or civil war but thieves, gangsters, and opportunists."

"Rome's truer motive is self-interest...terrified at the prospect of an uncontrolled flood of Albanians."

RUSSIA: "Peace Mission: It May Or May Not Work"

Sergei Gavrilov noted in reformist, business-oriented Kommersant Daily (4/1): "It's hard to tell how bringing in an international force will affect the situation in Albania. It may put an end to the abortive revolution of 'hapless investors,' but it may also backfire."

"Security Force Vital"

Sergei Startsev reported from Rome for centrist Nezavisimaya Gazeta (3/28): "Without a multinational security force, the EU humanitarian mission is doomed to fail."

BELGIUM: "A Makeshift Operation"

Conservative Catholic La Libre Belgique commented (4/2): "Contrary to the UNPROFOR, the force which will be deployed in Albania within ten days is not a UN operation. This multilateral force will be under Italian command and will comprise forces coming mainly from Southern Europe.... In the case of Albania, the international community is innovating again. The force has neither NATO military management nor UN logistical support. On the political level, the

European Union gave up, yielding the baptism of fire to the OSCE.... Unable to set up a standing force, be it at the UN or at the European level, the international community continues to mount makeshift operations combining humanitarian action and force. The real tragedy is that the big powers and other smaller countries put up with those selective military interventions. Their sovereignty is not threatened. You come and go. Under those conditions, NATO, this heritage of the Cold War, becomes ever more valuable."

#### "Multilateral Framework Fails To Act"

Foreign editor Axel Buyse wrote in independent Catholic De Standaard (4/1): "The major European countries' gunboat diplomacy of last century undoubtedly had disadvantages. The unilateral intervention in other countries was a result of the right of the strongest in a period in which striving for a balance of power seemed to be the only workable instrument to keep Europe stable. On the threshold of the 21st century, we always talk about multilateral cooperation. Europe is constrained by a knot of supra-national institutions which are to make a return to 19th century situations impossible. However, when things go really wrong on Europe's flanks--as was the case earlier in the former Yugoslavia and today in Albania--that multilateral framework fails to act. (It is) discouraging."

#### HUNGARY: "Hungary Is Right Not To Send Soldiers"

Influential Magyar Hirlap concluded (4/3), "The government of Hungary was right when it decided not to send Hungarian soldiers to Albania, a country characterized by chaos and anarchy.... Hungary's membership in NATO will not depend on whether we participate in the international military operation. Hungary has already proved its competence in other military actions, including the Bosnia mission and Cyprus, just to name a few.... The Albanian mission is very dangerous, utterly unpredictable and very costly. The question immediately arises why the international community does not consider terminating the reasons leading to the conflict. At the moment it seems that instead of getting involved in this dangerous military mission, it would make more sense to pay the victims of the pyramid schemes. "

#### SPAIN: "Entering Albania"

Liberal El Pais editorialized (4/1): "International military forces are finally going to be deployed to Albania, the United Nation's Security Council has decided.... Even though the mission isn't peacekeeping but rather to ensure the arrival of humanitarian aid to its destinations, the

presence of a contingent of 2,500-5,000 men can contribute to ending the current chaotic situation. It will be a complex operation but very necessary and one has to acknowledge the urgency with which the (United Nations in) New York and the other countries participating in the force have understood the situation.... The mission is limited in terms of time and scope....

Notwithstanding, experience shows that in a mission of this kind it is practically impossible to establish how and when it will conclude. The mission does not include the task of establishing public order. However, given the current situation in Albania in which the state has ceased to exist in large portions of the country, it is foreseeable that in the best of cases, the mere presence of an international military force will have a stabilizing effect.

In the worst of cases, the force could put an end to the abuses and violence of the numerous armed gangs. This is exactly what Albania most needs at this time."

TURKEY: "Turkey Should Embrace Albania"

Necati Ozfatura said in conservative, religious Turkiye (4/4): "The Republic of Albania is surrounded by old and new enemies. Greece, Serbia and Macedonia are the main ones. Besides these enemy countries, the number of Catholic and Orthodox missionaries in Albania has increased immensely since 1992. The civil war situation in Albania will definitely affect the whole region. If the current civil war situation continues, Turkey will find itself in a serious war. Therefore, Turkey should provide financial aid to Albania, first of all because of its own interests, but also because Albania is Turkey's natural ally."

"Albania: Going Where?"

Turgut Tarhanli wrote in intellectual Radikal (4/3): "It is now considered normal to talk about an international intervention when a country does not have a powerful enough national authority. That's exactly the scene in Albania. According to the current UN plan, a multinational force will help Albania to overcome its difficulties. However, Albania's main problem lies with the political authority. The Berisha administration, and, in particular, Berisha himself, did nothing but ruin the country. Even if the election takes place in June as projected, we will not see a breakthrough in the country. It will probably be the beginning of a new transition period. In the meantime, there are enough incidents to muddy the waters. Italy, which will be in charge of the multinational force, is suspected of the boat accident in which 80 Albanians died. Greece, on the other hand, is claiming rights over the southern part of Albania."

EAST ASIA

INDONESIA: "Albania: Archaic Armed Rebellion Or 'Revolution'?"

Nationalistic Merdeka commented (4/5): "What is going on in Albania? An archaic armed rebellion or a revolution by the 'new generation'?... It is too early to label it a 'revolution,' as a revolution requires the decay of a political system and mass brutality. Revolution...must have an ideology and follow economic crisis and distrust in the leadership, as well as clear thinking...which we have not yet seen in Albania. We cannot expect too much truth as, among other things, the international press is controlled by a Western press which tends to support capitalism. The Balkans are not the only source of tension, as Western Europe becomes increasingly vulnerable as the Albanian crisis is protracted. It should be noted that Italy is a principal NATO base.... Can we find a scapegoat...in Thomas Jefferson? Isn't it he who stated that a government which does not come from the people, by the people and for the people, must vanish from the earth?"

SOUTH ASIA

BANGLADESH: "Albanians Should Resolve Their Problems Themselves"

Pro-Awami League Banglar Bani opined (4/2): "Albania is the latest example of how the lack of initiative and efforts of a ruling government can make the external presence in a country

inevitable. The Albanian rulers should be aware of the consequences of hosting foreign peacemakers and engage their initiative to resolve their problems themselves."

INDIA: "Innocent Victims"

The centrist Hindu observed (3/31): "Two countries which are experiencing social unrest that threaten to unravel them are Albania and Zaire. There are a number of similarities in the experiences of the two, both of which have had to endure intolerable dictatorships. The one major difference seems to be that Albania, being in Europe, gets the world's focus on its travails, while Zaire, with its misfortune of being in Africa, does not.... Albania's is the problem of transition to democracy.... For Albania to be saved from further disorder, the current leadership must go back to the people and regain their confidence. The European Union has made a guarded entry and mercifully the situation already looks to have stabilized, the eruptions subsiding as fast they took place."

PAKISTAN: "Take Care In Aiding Albania"

The centrist News held (3/31): "The situation in Albania can get out of hand if the European peace force is given a partisan role--to ensure President Sali Berisha's continuity in power--a prospect that is strongly opposed.... The incumbent Albanian president is seen by the West as its answer to the growing communist popularity in a country where the replacing socialism by capitalism has spelt disaster. The failed financial pyramid schemes which proliferated in the market economy represent the ugly face of capitalism. Albanians evidently have had enough and would prefer to return to an austere Marxist system which at least ensured social welfare from birth to death. "

SRI LANKA: "Could Albania Prove Another Yugoslavia On A Smaller Scale?"

Mervyn de Silva wrote in the English-language, independent Sunday Times (3/6): "The United States, the sole superpower, seized the opportunity to send Europe a simple message. The EU has the men, the money and the experience to clean up the mess in Albania. But things do not move that easily-- or neatly--in 'the New World Order.' Conflict resolution, mediation, negotiated settlement, restore peace and stability, before it becomes a regional problem to de-stabilize the whole area--that certainly was what the United States would like to see. Europe not only has the men, the money and resources to clean up its backyard, but also it knows the rules of the game, the post Cold-War 'order.' And so the clear signal to the European Union. After Stalin's death, Albania invited international attention as the 'loner.' The international community may agree that it is best to leave Albania alone, or let the 'Reds' have it."

AFRICA

NIGERIA: "Zaire And Albania Crises Are Lessons In Bad Governance"

The independent Post Express commented (4/4): "In a number of countries the world over, from Africa to Europe and beyond, the state is suffering a legitimacy crisis.... Albania and Zaire are, in their respective ways, examples of where low institutionalization or excessive personalization of the power of the state has so corrupted the state that it is no longer able to discharge its traditional functions nor assert enough moral authority to sustain it in the face of poor material performance. The two countries are at one and the same time examples of bad governance.... Both Zaire and Albania teach the lesson that leaders who personalize state power rather than use it to promote the public good, and who continue to be insensitive to the complaints of the victims of their misrule end up destroying the very state in whose name they seek to justify their hold on to power."

## LATIN AMERICA

### BRAZIL: "The Price Of Abandonment"

Center-right O Estado de Sao Paulo commented (3/31): "If Europe had helped Albania on time it would have avoided the [present] difficult operation of providing humanitarian assistance. At the moment when Europe prepares to help Albania with humanitarian and economic assistance, it is impossible not to consider how much cheaper this support would have been, thereby avoiding violence and damages--including to Europe's image--if it had occurred at the right time. The Albanians are deeply disappointed with the mockery of capitalism that is installed in their country and also with the European Union, which ignored their appeal for economic and technical assistance in the difficult transition from communism to a market economy.... Both in its communist stage and its new savage 'capitalistic' phase, Albania is suffering from the same sickness: the lack of legitimacy and the artificiality of its institutions.... The European assistance could have helped to prevent the current degeneration. The explosive potential of the Albanian situation has not been duly evaluated by international analysts. Sometimes busy with former Yugoslavia, sometimes with itself, Europe practically ignored the Albanian...crisis."

##  
===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 7-APR-1997 14:33:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:p

TEXT:  
Unable to convert OA\$SHARA2070:ZWUCKSS55.FGN to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
===== ATTACHMENT 2 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 7-APR-1997 14:33:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:D

TEXT:  
RFC-822-headers:  
Return-path: Tonya\_James/R\_\_MR/WASHDC/USIA/GOV.USIA@notes1.usia.gov  
Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879)  
id <01IHFE9CN8G0012GQY@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for Clark\_p@a1.eop.gov; Mon,  
07 Apr 1997 14:33:00 -0400 (EDT)  
Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)  
by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IHFE99SCQO00PR90@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for  
Clark\_p@a1.eop.gov; Mon, 07 Apr 1997 14:32:55 -0400 (EDT)  
Received: from notes1.usia.gov ([198.67.64.251])  
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.1-7 #6879)  
with SMTP id <01IHFE96OKWC000K5T@STORM.EOP.GOV> for Clark\_p@A1.EOP.GOV; Mon,  
07 Apr 1997 14:32:52 -0400 (EDT)  
Received: by notes1.usia.gov (IBM OS/2 SENDMAIL VERSION 1.3.2)  
/1.0) id AA3606; Mon, 07 Apr 1997 13:31:31 -0700  
Received: from USIA with "Lotus Notes Mail Gateway for SMTP" id  
89E885827763C35285256472004C37DB; Mon, 07 Apr 1997 13:28:54 +0000  
X-Lotus-Type: Daily Digest  
===== END ATTACHMENT 2 =====

# **Clinton Presidential Records Automated Records Management System [EMAIL]**

This is not a presidential record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

---

**Hex Dump file is not in a recognizable format, has been incorrectly decoded or is damaged.**

---

**File Name:** p\_25sskcw\_who\_html\_1.doc

**Attachment Number:** OA\$SHARA2070:ZWUCKSS55.FGN

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: uucp@Whitehouse.GOV@INET@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:22-APR-1997 14:39:00.00

SUBJECT: scanner results

TO: binns\_m ( binns\_m@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: horn\_s ( horn\_s@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:22-APR-1997 17:28:20.41

TEXT:  
SCANNER RESULTS

-----  
make in a year in taxes. Plus just so I can push that stupid  
idea that the reason poor kids are poor idea down your and all  
your liberal buddies throtes, My grandfathers father died when  
he was in the 8th grade, my grandfather had to quit school to  
support the family and never returned to school. When he could

MESSAGE BODY

-----  
From nobody@Whitehouse.GOV Tue Apr 22 14:37:11 1997  
Received: (from uucp@localhost) by WhiteHouse.gov (8.7.1/uucp-relay) id OAA25501 for  
<President@WhiteHouse.GOV>; Tue, 22 Apr 1997 14:37:11 -0400 (EDT)  
Received: from storm.eop.gov/198.137.241.51 via smap  
Received: from DIRECTORY-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)  
id <01II0CS4LGR4001TJA@STORM.EOP.GOV> for President@WhiteHouse.GOV; Tue,  
22 Apr 1997 14:37:30 EDT  
Received: from SCAN-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)  
id <01II0CS48LSM001BNX@STORM.EOP.GOV> for president@Whitehouse.GOV; Tue,  
22 Apr 1997 14:37:28 -0400 (EDT)  
Received: from www1.whitehouse.gov ([198.137.240.91])  
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)  
with SMTP id <01II0CRW7SEY001T7W@STORM.EOP.GOV> for president@Whitehouse.GOV;  
Tue, 22 Apr 1997 14:37:25 -0400 (EDT)  
Received: by www1.whitehouse.gov (951211.SGI.8.6.12.PATCH1042/940406.SGLAUTO)  
for president@whitehouse.gov id OAA04071; Tue, 22 Apr 1997 14:27:25 -0400  
Date: Tue, 22 Apr 1997 14:27:25 -0400  
From: "Lane Williams Jr." <WILLIAMS-ENTERPRISES@worldnet.att.net>  
Subject: Inbound-White\_House\_WWW\_MAIL => PRESIDENT  
Apparently-to: president@Whitehouse.GOV  
To: president@Whitehouse.GOV  
Errors-to: The Postmaster <postmaster@Whitehouse.GOV>  
Reply-to: "Lane Williams Jr." <WILLIAMS-ENTERPRISES@worldnet.att.net>  
Message-id: <199704221827.OAA04071@www1.whitehouse.gov>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: TEXT/PLAIN; CHARSET=US-ASCII  
Keywords: WWW-Correspondence; ; Other; Justice or Crime; Private Citizen;

Comments: Forwarded from White House WWW

Comments: This message scanned by SCAN version 0.1 jms/960226

[Connection Information]

CLIENT: 213.baltimore-002.md.dial-access.att.net[207.116.137.213]  
BROWSER: Mozilla/3.01Gold (Win16; I)  
URL: [http://www.whitehouse.gov/WH/Mail/html/Mail\\_President.html](http://www.whitehouse.gov/WH/Mail/html/Mail_President.html)

[Sender Information]

PERSONAL-NAME: Lane Williams Jr.  
EMAIL-ADDRESS: WILLIAMS-ENTERPRISES@worldnet.att.net  
ORGANIZATION:  
RELATIONSHIP:  
STREET-ADDRESS: 7962 Millstream Ct  
CITY: Elkridge  
STATE-PROVINCE: MD  
ZIP-CODE: 21227  
COUNTRY: USA

[Message Information]

PURPOSE: Other  
TOPIC: Justice or Crime  
AFFILIATION: Private Citizen  
SUBJECT: My oppinion

[Message]

Dear Mr. President,

When the people vote on an issue and the people pass an issue and then a branch of the federal gov't steps in and says the people cannot have what they just voted on, isn't that unconstitutional. The three bodies of gov't were set up for checks and balances against one another, not checks and balances against the people. And when gov't says that they are more superior to the people than a very big problem is at hand. When the judicial branch of gov't made that decision to turnover a vote in California, that the people voted for not a branch of gov't, 'YOU' Mr. President should have stood-up and said that it was unconstitutional for a branch of gov't to go against the will of the people. If it was a mistake then the people could have changed it down the road. What if our forefathers decided everything the people voted on was stupid and ignorant. You probably would not be president right now some dictator would have the throne and congress would just be a bunch of idealogical socialist whacks, and the church would decide your fate not a judge. Mr. President, I have read many history books about socialist and marxist and communist and dictators, and it bothers me to know that the same words that came out of their mouths is coming out of yours. You only have three years left and in that time you will have probably poluted the minds of many young people who are taught to

believe the president is some who stands up for ALL people not just the poor, the well to do, the middle class, the guy who can barely get by, or the very rich. The president is suppose to stand behind all these because each one feeds the other. To say rich people are taking from the poor is stupid. Considering the only way the poor can even get somewhere is from a business owner, or even a rich charity giver. I'd be dead broke tomorrow if I walked to bum and asked him for a job. The whole concept is that a socialist and a communist actually believe a society can run with everyone being equal in the terms of money. Impossible. The poor have know money to give or to employ with, the middle class needs what they have to get by, and the upper middle class and very rich need what they have to employ the poor and the middle class. Considering only 1% of the American population can actually quit work tomorrow with out ever having to worry about going broke, and still pay more taxes than us average people. And don't even try to say they don't because my grandfather is one of those upper middle class(millions of dollars)and he pays more than I make in a year in taxes. Plus just so I can push that stupid idea that the reason poor kids are poor idea down your and all your liberal buddies throtes, My grandfathers father died when he was in the 8th grade, my grandfather had to quit school to support the family and never returned to school. When he could he joined the armed services, and served his country during the war. Then turned around and started his family with little to no money. My grandfather had no education, no parental father after 8th grade, and came from a durt poor farm in Mississippi. And now he is a millionaire. One thing he did have, he had an American dream, not socialist dream, not a communist dream, but an American dream. A dream only you can fullfill in America. Where you can start with nothing and make a fruitfull life. If there is one thing he passed to me is the fact no one can stand in your way of being successful except yourself. I don't care to hear about a perfect world with socialist ideas because those ideas enslave people from becoming what they might. They tell people that they are beneath others, but the help of the gov't they can be uplifted. Sounds like a Stalin speech or even a Hilter speech. I know you college types like to believe in socialism, darwinism, communism. And the grand thing about America is if you don't like living a free life or having the chance to make it on your on without an employer, you can go somewhere else and we want stop you. Look at American business they were smart they left the country because of unions and over taxation. One day socialistic people will learn, the more you tax the rich and the achieving, the less rich and achieving they will be. tax the rich to feed the poor until there is no rich no more, thats your phylosophy isn't it? Well Mr. President you want to pull yourself out of this scandal business and have people leave you alone, then start acting like an American and speaking like an American and making policy that real Americans believe in and standing up for American ideas not russian or socialist ideas; people like

Adam Smith, and George Washington, and Benjamin Franklin, not stalin or lenin, or marx. USSR is a prime example of the socialist idea and its failure. Most people will look the other way on the white water thing if only you would stop trying to impose these socialist ideas upon us and our uneducated young. Action always speak louder than words. Truthfully Mr. President, I don't believe you, never have, never will. I felt the effects you had on the military. I know the american idea and I know where it gets its strength. I know what standing up for the constitution is and protecting it. I know that you don't. I do believe that you are a comunist at heart. When I was in the military, if ever called to battle by you I would not go because you do not stand for the constitution nor the american idea, and protecting those 2 concepts come first on the contract I once had. I do believe you are guilty of the white water mess. Hell you are an American so you and your wife made a bad business deal. Fess-up and the American people want slop your name around as much in the history books, remember 3 more years and you want be president. They will rip you to shreds then.

Well, Have a good day,

Lane

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:22-APR-1997 14:40:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:D

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879)

id <01II0CU3QPE8002LGQ@PMDF.EOP.GOV>; Tue, 22 Apr 1997 14:39:07 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)

by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879) id <01II0CU0J8DC003E56@PMDF.EOP.GOV>; Tue,  
22 Apr 1997 14:39:02 -0500 (EST)

Received: from WhiteHouse.gov ([198.137.241.30])

by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)

with ESMTP id <01II0CTUBVIM001T7W@STORM.EOP.GOV>; Tue,  
22 Apr 1997 14:38:53 -0400 (EDT)

Received: (from uucp@localhost) by WhiteHouse.gov (8.7.1/uucp-relay)

id OAA25537; Tue, 22 Apr 1997 14:37:34 -0400 (EDT)

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: USIA\_Media\_Reaction/R\_\_MR/WASHDC/USIA/GOV.USIA@notes1.usia.gov@INET@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 14:17:00.00

SUBJECT: Daily Digest: NORTH KOREA: 'HOW HUNGRY IS IT FOR PEACE?'

TO: andrei\_brezianu ( andrei\_brezianu@seur.voa.gov@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Matsui\_d ( Matsui\_d@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TO: cwi ( cwi@imssys.com@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: fiwcdsd ( fiwcdsd@nosc.mil@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: jkiely ( jkiely@telenet.com@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: napsnet ( napsnet@nautilus.org@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: PAO ( PAO@TECHNET.SG@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: Clark\_p ( Clark\_p@A1@CD ) (OA)  
READ:23-APR-1997 14:18:38.53

TO: afpa1 ( afpa1@ix.netcom.com@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: NSAS ( NSAS@SHAPE.NATO.INT@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: spike ( spike@pananet.com@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: tlvio ( tlvio@usia.gov@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TO: tlvpao ( tlvpao@usia.gov@INET@EOPMRX )  
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:

Foreign media analysts pondered what the future might hold for the Korean peninsula, now that talks in New York--involving the U.S., South and North Korea--have failed to produce a commitment from Pyongyang that it will participate in four-party talks (including China) aimed at bringing a formal end to the Korean War. Amid widespread reports of famine in North Korea and

recent statements by North Korean defector Hwang Jang-yop expressing his perception that the North may use "its formidable armed forces" against South Korea, few editors were sanguine about the prospects for peace and many debated on how best to "engage" or "deal with" the North Korean regime. The press in South Korea offered varied assessments of the North's actions and whether or not the reports of food shortages in the North have been "exaggerated." Some observers advocated "civilian food aid" to the North Korean people, while others voiced suspicion that food coming into the North from abroad "will fatten high officials only" or, worse yet, the military. Seoul's moderate Hankook Ilbo applauded the U.S. State Department for urging North Korea to reduce the size of its military, stating: "From now on, all efforts connected with food aid and the opening of dialogue channels should be geared toward having the North reduce its military." The question of providing food aid to North Korea was also hotly debated in the Japanese media, with a number of editors focusing on North Korea's alleged kidnapping of a Japanese school girl in Niigata 20 years ago. Tokyo's conservative Sankei judged giving food aid to a "kidnapper" state "unnecessary," and noted, "Even though Pyongyang says it is on the verge of starvation, its negotiating stance is far from desperate.... There is no need to be hasty."

Analysts from Australia to Germany pointed to the "tinderbox" atmosphere on the Korean peninsula. Munich's Sueddeutsche Zeitung, for example, warned, "The failure of the talks in New York again demonstrated how unpredictable and dangerous the regime in Pyongyang still is.... The danger remains that the North could risk a new war--be it because Kim Jong-Il or his military leaders feel they are being backed up against the wall, or because they overestimate their own forces." The top-circulation, moderately conservative Bangkok Post likewise cautioned: "As long as the Pyongyang regime refuses to join peace talks, its decision is effectively to continue to refuse any search for peace. This can only be taken as a distressing sign that North Korea intends to continue its secretive activities...aimed at destabilizing the Korean peninsula and all of East Asia."

Recent releases from Pyongyang's official Korean Central News Agency, meanwhile, revealed that food supplies in the North were not so limited as to keep Kim Jong-Il from throwing a banquet for overseas Koreans in honor of his father, the late Kim Il-Sung. The latter was "a legendary man" who shared the "weal and woe" of his people under the motto, "'One is sure to win if he believes in and depends upon the people,'" the release declared.

This survey is based 56 reports from 13 countries, March 13 - April 23.  
EDITOR: Kathleen J. Brahney

## EAST ASIA AND PACIFIC

### NORTH KOREA: "'Juche' Seminars Held Abroad"

A release from government-owned Korean Central News Agency said (4/21): "National seminars were held in (Tanzania and Nigeria)...on the occasion of the birth anniversary of President Kim Il-Sung.... Yemi Oyeneye, Chairman of the Nigerian National Committee for the study of the Juche idea, spoke at a national seminar of the Juche idea study organisations in Nigeria. He said that Secretary Kim Jong-Il, with a clairvoyant scientific intelligence, leads

the revolution without any slightest deviation and plans and pushes ahead with all work in a revolutionary, bold and big way."

### "A Banquet For Overseas Koreans In Pyongyang"

Government-owned Korean Central News Agency (4/16) said, "Comrade Kim Jong-Il took care that a banquet was given for overseas Koreans on April 15, the birth anniversary of the Great Leader President Kim Il-Sung. Kim Yong-Sun, chairman of the Reunification Policy Committee of the Supreme People's Assembly... said in his toast that the respected General Kim Jong-Il arranged a grand banquet for the overseas Koreans who have come to the homeland with deep reverence for the president. The president's was a great life of a legendary man, a peerlessly great leader who led the revolution and construction to brilliant victory, sharing weal and woe with the people through trials under the motto, 'One is sure to win if he believes in and depends upon the people,' Kim Yong-Sun noted."

### "VOA Broadcasts--Useless Propaganda"

Official Korean Central News Agency put forth this view (3/13): "The United States has recently begun broadcasting 'Radio Free Asia' in Korean. This is part of an ideological and cultural infiltration to bring under control the Asian countries that are building an independent new society free from imperialist domination and subjugation.... The broadcasting of the radio in Korean indicates that the United States has not renounced its policy of antagonizing and stifling (North Korea) and is pursuing it in a more undisguised way.... No one can deny that South Korean society has turned into a den of criminals under the sway of corruption and social vices...because of the influence of the 50-odd years of U.S. military occupation and American 'values' and decadent culture. No matter what false propaganda the United States may spread over 'Radio Free Asia,' the Korean people will feel stronger wrath and hatred for the United States and harden their confidence in their ideology, culture and cause."

### SOUTH KOREA: "Pyongyang's Double Standard"

Independent Dong-A Ilbo asserted (4/23): "North Korea made unreasonable demands that food aid be provided first and that the United States ease economic sanctions against it. These demands were not resolved and the New York meeting was postponed. This is a disappointing development. Although the four-party talks are not entirely off, they certainly look uncertain at this point. The North is practicing its brinkmanship strategy again, and we must be especially careful with its double-standard tactics.... The four-party talks should not be used as a conduit for the North's food negotiations."

### "Three-Party Meetings Pretty Much Bungled"

According to anti-establishment Hankyoreh Shinmun (4/23): "The New York meetings ended without producing the result we expected. Nevertheless, recognition by the North of the need for four-party talks and its agreement in principle to the proposal was an achievement. Another positive development was that both Koreas are now trying to increase contacts with each other....

"(But) the North's secretive negotiating style aggravated the situation,

making a solution more difficult. For its part, South Korea failed to show flexibility and was only interested in submission by the North. These limits on both sides have long derailed efforts to find a peace mechanism, distorting them into long, hard fights. The result is that the South and the North are stuck in fruitless competition. The four-party talks won't do much for us if there is no improvement in the relationship between the two Koreas."

### "It Is Getting Too Late To Help"

An op-ed piece in anti-establishment Hankyoreh Shinmun (4/22) queried: "What do the starving people of the North have to do with the four-party talks? The South Korean government has prohibited public contributions to our Northern brethren, even though the government recently agreed to allow civilian food aid. What is the government's message?... Food aid with all kinds of preconditions tagged on will only hurt the recipient's pride.... Only food aid will demonstrate that we are morally better positioned than the North. It may well be the only opportunity we have to control the issue. The government has not presented any reasonable justification for why we should miss this opportunity."

### "On Helping North Korea"

In the opinion of conservative Chosun Ilbo (4/21): "The campaign to help North Korea is spreading. The problem is that some are not bothering to investigate what the best way is to help. They say 'Let's just give food,' and even point their fingers at the government for 'blocking' the aid effort. This kind of attitude has often caused the North to misjudge and continue tactics that ridicule us.... We suspect that its food shortages are not as bad as have been reported, or that it is perverse enough to ignore its starving people. Unless the North dispels our suspicions that food coming in from abroad will fatten its high officials only, the campaign to help with its food shortages won't get the support from our public."

### "Hwang Jang-yop In Seoul"

Conservative Chosun Ilbo (4/21): "Our main interest in Hwang is the information he has on North Korea. The United States and Japan have made direct and indirect demands that they be allowed to participate in debriefing Hwang.... If the United States wants information on the North, we can always relay it to them for the sake of our solidarity. The South Korean government would be wise to not take advantage of Hwang's defection politically. That, however, does not mean that things must remain secret, because the public has a right to know the results of questioning of Hwang."

### "Hwang Jang-yop: Long Journey To Freedom"

Government-owned Seoul Shinmun (4/21): "The United States and Japan have both expressed a desire to question Hwang. Although it is natural for them to show interest in him, we disapprove of U.S. participation in the interrogation process.... If the United States cannot trust us, and if that is why it wants to question Hwang, what we face here is a need for the United States, Japan and South Korea to build a formation exchanging mechanism that is acceptable to all sides."

## "U.S. Will Conduct Equidistant Diplomacy"

Independent Dong-A Ilbo (4/19) wondered: "How is the United States looking at the Korea issue? At this point it does not look as if U.S. policy will change much. With improved prospects for talks increasingly stabilizing this peninsula, the United States will continue to pursue its 'soft-landing' policy...(and) will try to maintain its current level of influence over both Koreas....

"If the four-party talks show quick progress, the United States will be in an advantageous position to conduct an 'equidistant diplomacy' toward both Koreas and will be able to manage both sides effectively. This new development will be a significant advantage to the United States in terms of its regional diplomacy. Chinese influence, which will inevitably grow in this region in the new century, would be checked by a stabilized Korean peninsula. With a stabilized Korea and China's influence blocked, the United States will also be able to keep Japan inside the U.S.-Japan security system."

## "Rice First, Or Dialogue: A Tedious Battle"

According to moderate Hankook Ilbo (4/18): "North Korea did not quite say that it would agree to the four-party talks, nor did the April 16 New York meeting look like much more than a 'food session' in which both sides merely repeated their former stands. After the meeting, the chief of the North Korean delegation stated that there was progress, which was a positive signal. Both the United States and South Korea stuck to their position that the North had to come to the four-party talks before food aid could be provided. When the meeting resumes on Friday, the North is expected to agree to the four-party dialogue."

## "North Korean Famine And Military Reduction"

In the view of independent Dong-A Ilbo (4/17): "It is highly significant that the U.S. government raised the issue of North Korea's need to reduce its military just before the opening of the four-party talks. In so doing, the United States has pointed to the core of the issue.... The presence of separate North and South military forces consisting of over two million men poses the greatest obstacle to the important goal of easing tension and achieving peace on this peninsula. A reduced military would greatly enhance the chances of reaching that goal, and decreased military costs would be the best method to accomplish it. The four-party talks should deal with this issue. Meanwhile, the North should first use the food it has stockpiled for military use to feed its starving people."

## "'Trust' Emerges As The Main Issue Of The Four-Party Talks"

Anti-establishment Hankyoreh Shinmun commented (4/17): "Reducing military costs is likely to become one of the main topics at the four-party talks. Indeed, it is especially important in terms of restoring trust toward each other.... In addition to easing tensions on this peninsula, the North's agreeing to take actions to help restore trust would greatly enhance the environment for food aid as well as economic cooperation."

## "North Korea Should Solve its Food Problem With Military Reductions"

Moderate Hankook Ilbo opined (4/17): "When the State Department urged North Korea to cut down the size of its military, the United States finally saw the North Korea issue in a realistic way.... The United States' dialogue with North Korea increases our leverage over the North. From now on, all efforts connected with food aid and the opening of dialogue channels should be geared toward having the North reduce its military."

## "Crisis Management Plan Needed For North Korea"

Pro-business Joong-Ang Ilbo cautioned (4/14): "With several high-ranking U.S. officials, including Vice President Al Gore, agreeing about the possibility of the North's early collapse, it seems that the U.S. intelligence and security communities share similar views. Plans--ranging from how to deal with North Korean refugees to an entire crisis management blueprint dealing with a collapse situation--should already be in place. We must bear in mind that the possibility of military provocation remains high.... Ways to prevent our Northern brethren from starving must be prepared."

## "How Can We Verify The North's Food Shortages?"

Government-owned Seoul Shinmun declared (4/14) editorialized that "Our problem is that the shortages have never been proven and we do not have means to confirm how bad the situation really is. This confusion has been further aggravated by a new theory that the North may pursue war even if food is coming in from abroad.... As a result of food shortages, the North indeed could either be simply too hungry to wage war or be forced to provoke a crisis. Without having the means at this point to confirm any of the allegations, how the situation is read depends entirely on how one looks at it."

## JAPAN: "Dealing With North Korea Requires Patience"

Conservative Sankei had this to say (4/23): "Diplomatic moves over North Korea have become hurried and pointless. Although international relief including food aid for Pyongyang is flowing because of the reports that North Korea is on the brink of starvation, preliminary talks in New York between North Korea, the United States and South Korea on four-party peace negotiations have fallen through again.

"North Korea is hinting it will not attend the four-party talks if it does not receive additional food from the United States and South Korea. Although Pyongyang says it is on the verge of starvation, its negotiating stance is far from desperate.... From the beginning, North Korea has always been more eager to establish direct links with the United States and less than enthusiastic about four-party talks for a lasting peace on the Korean peninsula. Even if the United States and South Korea 'force' an 'unwilling' North Korea to participate in the four-party talks, nothing positive can be expected from such talks. Only when the North decides it needs to secure international food aid by whatever means possible will Pyongyang be ready to compromise and accept the peace talks. There is no need to be hasty."

## "North Korea Should Promote Dialogue In A Positive Manner"

Liberal Mainichi opined (4/21): "North Korean Workers' Party Secretary Hwang Jang-yop's arrival in the South Korean capital after two months of diplomatic bargaining showed the complexities of the international politics between South and North Korea.... Hwang warned of the danger of the North launching a war on the Korean peninsula. Pyongyang may react strongly to Hwang's statement.... We believe that the Hwang defection case should no longer be used as an excuse to intensify the confrontation between the two Koreas.... The real objective should be direct dialogue through which peace can be restored and the Korean peninsula reunified. Nothing can be resolved until the two countries sit down together and start talking. The United States is beginning to become irritated with North Korea's slow and indecisive response to U.S. and South Korean calls to attend four-party talks on peace on the Korean peninsula. In Japan, concern is growing over alleged North Korean abduction of Japanese citizens. North Korea should put its own house in order quickly, and engage in dialogue with these countries in order to settle pending issues in a positive manner."

#### "Japan Should Clarify Its Position Over Food Aid For North Korea"

Top-circulation, moderate Yomiuri (4/16) held, "North Korea needs foreign food aid. This is obvious from film clips and other reports of the food crisis that country faces. Nevertheless, most Japanese find it difficult to respond so easily to appeals from the North for food aid. Suspicions surfaced earlier this year that North Korea had a hand in the abduction of a Japanese junior high schoolgirl, who disappeared in Niigata 20 years ago. Six similar cases remain unaccounted for.... Another matter of concern is the report, confirmed by the Foreign Ministry, that Rodong-1 missiles have been re-deployed. The missiles could pose a serious threat to peace and stability in East Asia. Doubts remain whether international food aid reaches North Koreans civilians...."

"Pyongyang must respond in good faith to allay suspicions about its past deeds.... Working closely with the United States and South Korea, the Japanese government should reiterate its basic policy toward North Korea and carefully consider steps to be taken in this matter."

#### "Japan Should Give Food To Neighbor In Need"

Liberal Mainichi said (4/9), "Sooner or later, Japan will be asked to give food aid, too. Japan should then express its willingness to give food aid to a neighbor in need. At the same time, Japan should urge Pyongyang not to develop missiles capable of attacking Japan and request that North Korea explain the circumstances of the alleged abduction of a Japanese schoolgirl (about 20 years ago)."

#### "Food Aid For 'Kidnapper' State Unnecessary"

Conservative Sankei published this analysis (3/14): "Suspicion has grown that North Korea ordered the abduction of a Japanese junior high school girl from Niigata 20 years ago.... Japan should not follow the lead of the United States and South Korea, which have contributed 10 million dollars and 6 million dollars respectively to the World Food Program (for North Korea). Neither should it participate in talks to determine Japan's share of financial aid for KEDO.... Needless to say, it is strategically important to maintain stability

on the Korean peninsula. But there is no need to give humanitarian aid to North Korea, an inhumane state which continues to refuse the release of those kidnapped by North Korean agents."

#### AUSTRALIA: "Potential War In Korea Rattles The U.S"

An op-ed piece in the liberal Canberra Times (4/15): "The U.S. forces in the Far East are not a safeguard against aggression, but an instrument of blackmail in the hands of the North Koreans, who are demanding ever larger amounts of food aid from the United States and Japan because they know that in the last resort neither dare refuse.... The strategic dilemma in which the United States finds itself is acute. The lack of a secure defense against missile attack renders its forces, notwithstanding their otherwise high level of technological sophistication, impotent in the face of the possibility of a regional war in north-east Asia."

#### PHILIPPINES: "Koreas: Potential Tinderbox"

The independent Manila Standard (4/22) said, "It is hoped that the two Koreas would finally close the books on the 1950-53 Korean War by replacing the shaky armistice with a more enduring peace treaty. The world should hope that the North Korean leaders would see the wisdom of joining the four-party talks. Negotiations could stave off the impending famine, ease tensions and make possible the idea of a united Korea. An escalation of mass hunger and desperation could prompt a proud and defiant Pyongyang to engages the South rashly in a show of force. The starving masses, unrestrained by government troops, could pour into South Korea in huge numbers.... The Korean peninsula is a tinderbox that has the potential to cause grave destruction and suffering."

#### "Facing Famine"

The independent Manila Times judged (4/17): "A big part of North Korea's economic and financial crisis should be laid at the doorstep of the one million-strong armed forces.... This bloc, admittedly, gets the best accommodations, food and clothing, even while the rest of the country is famished.... But peace talks should be treated separately from what is clearly a humanitarian issue. The World Food Program has a proven record of ensuring that food donations reach their intended recipients."

#### "The Philippines And The Two Koreas"

The independent Manila Standard said this in an editorial (4/4): "Part of the reason President Ramos agreed to have (North Korean defector) Hwang Jang-yop...stay longer in the Philippines is to help reduce tensions on the Korean peninsula and help bring about a reconciliation of the Korean people in the two countries.... A reunification of the two nations would be costly to South Korea in the short run, but would, looking down the road, help promote development and stability on the peninsula and the region."

#### "Juche Fails"

Antonio Abaya had this to say in the conservative, second largest circulation

Philippine Star (3/22): "Hwang Jang-yop...is a major catch for South Korea because he was a leading figure in the reclusive regime of the late...Kim Il Sung. He was...the chief architect of the hermit kingdom's national ideology of 'Juche,' or 'Self Reliance,' which is now being stood on its head as North Korea faces widespread starvation following two years of devastating floods.... The main Filipino admirers of Hwang's Juche...should be given the opportunity to interact with Hwang and hear from no less than the architect of Juche why it failed."

#### "Philippines Plays 'Third Man' In Two Koreas' Squabble"

Former ambassador Oscar S. Villadolid had this to say in the independent Manila Standard (3/19): "There is a dangerous catch to the...dangerous diplomatic game the Philippines has decided to play. Allowing Hwang a 'transit point' (in the Philippines) as requested by Beijing and South Korea would ensure his departure from China, and this may earn for the Philippines a lifetime of enmity, if not hatred, from the unpredictable communist overlords in Pyongyang. With its huge armed forces...and modern weaponry to boot, its displeasure toward us may eventually translate into numerous clandestine arms shipments to the remaining insurgents in our country."

#### THAILAND: "North Korea Risks Its Own Survival"

The lead editorial of top-circulation, moderately conservative Bangkok Post commented (4/21): "North Korea's decision to renege on its own promise (by refusing to show up for three-way talks with the United States and South Korea in New York) once again can only be viewed with worry and even some alarm. As long as the Pyongyang regime refuses to join peace talks, its decision is effectively to continue to refuse any search for peace. This can only be taken as a distressing sign that North Korea intends to continue its secretive activities. These are, in essence, aimed at destabilizing both the Korean peninsula and all of East Asia.... North Korea has two honorable courses, and if it expects respect from the world it must choose one of them, quickly. The first is to accept the U.S.-South Korea offer of peace talks, including China.... The second acceptable choice is for North Korea to reject the U.S.-South Korean offer and make one of its own. Such an offer must address security issues, including the fears among many of North Korea's neighbors that it intends to renew war. It must also include hard decisions about Pyongyang's secretive but known attempts to build nuclear weapons and missiles to deliver them.... A North Korean decision to negotiate will be well received, and Pyongyang knows this. Similarly, North Korea must know it will receive no reward for continuing to be recalcitrant. Each time Pyongyang backs down on one of its promises, it increases the tension and threat of violence. North Korea can become respectable if it negotiates. By continuing to delay, it is only risking its own survival."

#### "North Korea: How Hungry Is It For Peace?"

The independent, English-language Nation editorialized (4/18), "The history of Korean negotiations suggests that any diplomatic gains will not come easy.

"Despite their reservations, the U.S.-led allies must persist in using the opportunity presented by the crisis in North Korea to search for a solution. Much is at stake and not only from the threat of famine to North Korea's 22

million people. Successful talks including China, South Korea, the United States and North Korea could finally end the technical war that has divided the peninsula for four decades and replace the long-standing armistice with a peace treaty. It is in nobody's interest to let North Korea implode, unleashing instability, massive refugee flows and unpredictable reactions from Pyongyang.... There is no doubt about the self-serving nature of Pyongyang's regime and the huge gap in trust that needs to be bridged before real progress can be made. The West, led by the United States, is slowly making those steps with increased offers of aid. It could move further by proposing a comprehensive deal in which it would promise guaranteed food aid and an easing of U.S. trade sanctions. But in return, Pyongyang must commit itself to redeploying the massive assortment of troops and arms along the border, and begin doing it quickly."

"U.S. And Asia"

Tosapon Kraipan commented in elite Siam Post (4/12): "The United States is now utilizing all means at its disposal to persuade North Korea to enter into peace negotiations with South Korea... If North Korea complies, leading to the signing of a peace treaty, unification of the two Koreas may be possible. Pyongyang will not be able to resist the strain the famine (and mismanagement) have brought on the regime for too long. It will eventually be forced to abandon socialism and to embrace democracy.... When that day comes, North Korea will not be different from today's Russia, which is forced by circumstances to unconditionally follow the U.S.s' every lead... Then, the United States could divert its attention to seriously negotiating with China on the issues of Taiwan, human rights and freedom of Hong Kong residents. If this scenario works out accordingly, it would not be difficult for the United States to take total and undisputable control of Asia."

"Warning Shots From North Korea"

Elite Siam Post's Tosapon Kraipan commented (4/11), "North Korean troops' exchanging of warning shots with their South Korean rivals at the demilitarized zone shortly before U.S. Defense Secretary William Cohen flew to the area...was intended to be seen as a challenge to both the United States and South Korea.... (But) North Korea is not currently in the position to challenge anyone.... It may be oblivious to the fact that, by acting this way, it has played into the hands of its adversaries. First, U.S.-South Korean ties, military and otherwise, will be strengthened. Second, the United States will have an excuse not to withdraw its troops from the Korean peninsula and North Korea will continue to be branded as a confirmed pariah and ostracized."

EUROPE

BRITAIN: "Keep Talking To North Korea"

The independent weekly Economist told its readers in an editorial (4/18): "Some countries are hard to help. North Korea is about the hardest of all. Its usually secretive regime has admitted that some children have died from malnutrition. Yet even as North Korea extends its begging bowl, it continues to bite the hands trying to feed its hungry people.... So what to make of North Korea's expected 'yes' to a joint American-South Korean proposal for four-way peace talks...that could ease tensions at one of the world's most

dangerous frontiers? Hope for the best, but prepare for the worst. Indeed, the biggest worry is that it may already be too late to prop up North Korea. By all means, hope for a 'soft landing' for its crumbling economy. But be prepared for a crash."

### "North Korea Starves"

In the judgment of the liberal Guardian (4/16): "There are strategic arguments for keeping food and the peace talks linked, but it would be unwise only to dole out the bare minimum. This is a humanitarian issue and there is no reason why the people of North Korea should be punished for living under a fantasy cult regime. Nor is the strategy a sound one: None of North Korea's neighbors--least of all South Korea--wants the country to implode. A collapse of the regime would produce a huge refugee problem, and possibly internal strife as well--perhaps even a last-breath military provocation. Much better to feed the generals and the people, and give the solution time to emerge."

### "Chinese See Piles Of Dead Children In North Korea"

Under the above headline, the conservative Times ran this report from its China correspondent in Dandong, on the North Korean border (3/18): "Chinese truck drivers ferrying grain supplies to North Korea say they have seen corpses of children lying abandoned, and describe scenes of hunger and deprivation in the world's last Stalinist state.... Analysts predict that North Korea could collapse in two years, with chaos similar to that in Albania, another state in the Stalinist mode."

### GERMANY: "Helpless, Unpredictable Pyongyang Plays A Hazardous Game"

Gebhard Hielbscher maintained in an editorial in centrist Sueddeutsche Zeitung of Munich (4/23): "The failure of the talks in New York again demonstrated how unpredictable and dangerous the regime in Pyongyang still is. As long as North Korea refuses an open dialogue, we must assume that it does not accept South Korea as a partner of detente. Obviously, Pyongyang continues to see Seoul as an opponent that needs to be outmaneuvered and possibly eliminated. Thus the danger remains that the North could risk a new war--be it because Kim Jong-Il or his military leaders feel they are being backed up against the wall, or because they overestimate their own forces. South Korea and its allies must always be prepared for such danger. U.S. satellite pictures showing that North Korea has deployed medium-range missiles underscore this threat. It would be irresponsible behavior to dismiss such moves as a red herring.

"We can only speculate about the reasons for the cancellation of the talks.... But because of North Korean defector Hwang Jang-yop, Seoul and Washington now have a better picture of the situation in North Korea.... It is much more important to learn how seriously Kim Jong-il and his generals take the current food crisis. The breakdown of the New York talks gives reason to assume that Pyongyang believes to have more latitude than pictures of downtrodden people offer. If it is clear that considerable parts of foreign food aid lands in army depots or with leadership cadres, the donor countries should insist on supervising the distribution of food, since the suffering of the people would than only be prolonged."

## RUSSIA: "China May Follow Japan's Path"

Reformist weekly Moskovskiy Novosti's (# 16, 4/22) ran this comment by Lev Prichinin: "Only very naive people might think that the United States, Japan and South Korea have been forging stronger strategic ties among themselves with the sole purpose of fending off Communist North Korea. Rather, they are preparing for a cold war in the Pacific, with China--still (a Communist) 'people's,' republic, but with its economy growing fast--ready to attack new markets. There is no guarantee that the Chinese will not follow the path of Japanese economic expansion, in a way that might pale anything the Americans and the Japanese have seen.... Russia and China may not be allies, but, having similar geopolitical concerns, they can hardly be enemies either."

## "Wars Come And Go, U.S. Interests Remain"

Ivan Shomov contended in reformist Segodnya (4/8): "The United States' strong objections to the proposed sales of Russian rocket complexes to South Korea are strictly political, and serve the interests of U.S. arms manufacturers, which have dominated that region for decades. The same is true of Defense Secretary Cohen's statement that Washington intends to keep its forward-base forces in East Asia even after a full peaceful settlement is reached on the Korean peninsula.... Hearing that, you can't agree more with a prominent politician who once said that wars, cold or hot, come and go, but U.S. interests remain."

## BELGIUM: "Weighing Food Aid To North Korea"

Independent Le Soir's Pierre Lefevre observed (4/9): "Food assistance to North Korea has, more than elsewhere, a political dimension.... Donor countries--the United States, Japan and South Korea among others--are carefully weighing the tons of rice they are allow for delivery to Pyongyang, as much according to the country's overtures and political evolution as to its shortage of food. Beyond humanitarian considerations, they are seeking to prevent the implosion of North Korea....

"Paradoxically, South Korea is the more reserved.... It is not very popular in South Korea to be generous toward an ungrateful regime which ignores, despises and refuses to recognize the sister country.... (South Korea's) fingers have been burned by Pyongyang's attempts to acquire nuclear weapons. Fearing (North Korea's) capacity in the field of arms proliferation and caring about regional stability, the United States shows more flexibility. On one hand, it is providing assistance and talks to Kim Jong-Il's regime. On the other, it is trying to lead Seoul, Tokyo and Beijing into a policy of regional stabilization and integration of North Korea. With some success."

## DENMARK: "Aid Will Be Worth Twice As Much"

Center-right Berlingske Tidende had this perspective (4/21): "South Korea promised to lend more emergency aid to North Korea over the weekend and in the United States, a government spokesman indicated that North Korea, South Korea, China and the United States will shortly initiate peace negotiations. These developments indicate that the situation in North Korea is worsening. Massive

floods three summers in a row and the general economic collapse of the Stalinist state have led to severe food shortages.... There is simply not enough to sustain life and the death toll will explode during the course of the spring if massive humanitarian aid is not provided.... This is why both South Korea and the United States have promised to increase their support without demanding any political concessions as previously.... If aid is provided quickly, it will be worth twice as much in the long run and it will make it difficult for the North Koreans to maintain their Cold War stance."

## SOUTH ASIA

INDIA: "Forces In Asia: Pentagon Creates Confusion"

This analysis by Tokyo correspondent F. J. Khergamvala appeared in the centrist Hindu (4/14): "Defense Secretary William Cohen, and the soon-to-retire Chief of the Joint Services, General John Shalikashvili, have just ended back-to-back visits to Japan and South Korea.... Cohen...announced that even if the two Koreas were to unite, U.S. forces would retain their present strength in Japan and South Korea 'into the indefinite future.'... This is the first time a top U.S. official has linked the future presence of U.S. forces to a particular eventuality.... Within two days, General Shalikashvili said in Tokyo that, should there be a change on the Korean peninsula, 'we would make the right adjustments' after consultation with allies....

"The Pentagon seems to be trying to juggle several balls at one time, including constituencies back home.... On the other hand, Cohen also sought to reassure Southeast Asian nations who feel that the United States--and only the United States--with Japan in a subsidiary role, can play the role of the regional gendarme."

"North Korean Defector"

This analysis appeared in the centrist Times of India (3/20): "While Hwang is potentially a priceless intelligence asset, South Korea will need to clear up one other mystery first: is there any likelihood that Hwang is in fact a double agent, told to defect in order to spread disinformation about North Korea's true intentions?... This question will be difficult to answer; North Korea's angry reactions could have been rehearsed. The reactions would have been the same whether Hwang was a real defector or a planned deception. The South Koreans are certain to be wary."

## LATIN AMERICA

ARGENTINA: "Parallel Tragedies"

Pro-government La Prensa told its readers (3/21): "The food crisis in North Korea and the financial disaster which led Albania to anarchy are different expressions of the same evil.... The North Korean and Albanian crises are the result, in different stages and according to local characteristics, of the unique aspect shared by the Communist regimes of Pyongyang and Tirana--isolation. Schismatic in the communist world since the end of the 50s, Albania--under the ruthless tyranny of Enver Hoxja--closed its doors to the foreign world in a process that turned it into the poorest country in Europe

and moved it back to the pre-history of the modern economy. Shortly before, North Korea had also become a fortress-island of communist puritanism and imposed a 'Self-Reliance' ('Juche') policy, whose mentor--supreme ideologist Hwang Jang-yop, who drove his country to famine as a consequence of his ideas--has recently deserted to capitalist South Korea. At 74, he appears to feel sorry for his unfortunate concepts which have affected 24 million Koreans who, unlike him, do not have the same privilege of abandoning their oppressive country.

"Albania is the 'sui generis' prologue of the future that awaits North Korea. When the famous fences of the 38th parallel collapse--as (such barriers) did in Eastern Europe at one point--trampled down by a population tired of spiritual and material deprivation, the thousands of refugees who cross the Adriatic will be but shadows of the migratory movements that will take place in the Korean peninsula. And here lies the difference that makes North Korea a victim with some kind of 'advantage.' It has, like East Germany had in West Germany, a sister nation in South Korea, to assist with funds and experience. There is no West or South Albania that can assist it in the painful transition from proto-communism to a market economy. This is clearly evident."

##

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:23-APR-1997 14:18:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:p

TEXT:

Unable to convert OA\$SHARA1660:ZWUSKG1P6.FGN to ASCII,  
The following is a HEX DUMP:

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

===== ATTACHMENT 2 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:23-APR-1997 14:18:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:D

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Return-path: USIA\_Media\_Reaction/R\_\_MR/WASHDC/USIA/GOV.USIA@notes1.usia.gov

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879)

id <01IIIQE2BM7K003LLU@PMDF.EOP.GOV> for Clark\_p@a1.eop.gov; Wed,

23 Apr 1997 14:17:49 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)

by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IIIQDZ29OG00361J@PMDF.EOP.GOV> for

Clark\_p@a1.eop.gov; Wed, 23 Apr 1997 14:17:45 -0500 (EST)

Received: from notes1.usia.gov ([198.67.64.251])

by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)

with SMTP id <01IIIQD7OD16001ZBT@STORM.EOP.GOV> for Clark\_p@A1.EOP.GOV; Wed,

23 Apr 1997 14:17:11 -0400 (EDT)

Received: by notes1.usia.gov (IBM OS/2 SENDMAIL VERSION 1.3.2)

/1.0) id AA5679; Wed, 23 Apr 1997 14:15:32 -0700

Received: from USIA with "Lotus Notes Mail Gateway for SMTP" id  
F2019FB2166707FE85256482004AF4C4; Wed, 23 Apr 1997 14:13:22 +0000

X-Lotus-Type: Daily Digest

===== END ATTACHMENT 2 =====

# **Clinton Presidential Records Automated Records Management System [EMAIL]**

This is not a presidential record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

---

**Hex Dump file is not in a recognizable format, has been incorrectly decoded or is damaged.**

---

**File Name:** p\_5p1gksuw\_who\_html\_1.doc

**Attachment Number:** OA\$SHARA1660:ZWUSKG1P6.FGN

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: warddm@acq.osd.mil ( warddm@acq.osd.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:23-APR-1997 14:12:42.00

SUBJECT: e a digest 21 april 1997

TO: oldacrea@md.redstone.army.mil ( oldacrea@md.redstone.army.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: johnsonr@mqg-smtp3.usmc.mil ( johnsonr@mqg-smtp3.usmc.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: rmhansen@ccgate.hac.com ( rmhansen@ccgate.hac.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jvedward@ssd.rockwell.com ( jvedward@ssd.rockwell.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: endemarc@SSD.ROCKWELL.COM ( endemarc@SSD.ROCKWELL.COM [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: rovegno\_julie@aphub.aerojetpd.com ( rovegno\_julie@aphub.aerojetpd.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: edgerton@usia.gov ( edgerton@usia.gov [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mckinney@inet.ntfl.af.mil ( mckinney@inet.ntfl.af.mil [ WHCA ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: lmterrill@lanl.gov ( lmterrill@lanl.gov [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: vaghne@ssdch-usassdc.army.mil ( vaghne@ssdch-usassdc.army.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: garcia@plk.af.mil ( garcia@plk.af.mil [ WHO ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: dan.mcclain@trw.com ( dan.mcclain@trw.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ericderitis@lmsc.lockheed.com ( ericderitis@lmsc.lockheed.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: renne@eglin.af.mil ( renne@eglin.af.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: pgriscti@space.honeywell.com ( pgriscti@space.honeywell.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mark.q.barbour@cpmx.saic.com ( mark.q.barbour@cpmx.saic.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: emonahan@spacecom.af.mil ( emonahan@spacecom.af.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: greenemeier-md-pa@redstone.army.mil ( greenemeier-md-pa@redstone.army.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: leslee\_guyton@quickmail.llnl.gov ( leslee\_guyton@quickmail.llnl.gov [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: cherneyag@m105x3.laafb.af.mil ( cherneyag@m105x3.laafb.af.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: monnemg@HQDA.ARMY.MIL ( monnemg@HQDA.ARMY.MIL [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jondre@inet.ntfl.af.mil ( jondre@inet.ntfl.af.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: julian\_janice\_e@hq.navsea.navy.mil ( julian\_janice\_e@hq.navsea.navy.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: bob\_blinn@pobox.tbe.com ( bob\_blinn@pobox.tbe.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: kimmel\_troy@techmatics.com ( kimmel\_troy@techmatics.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: pmloftus@aug.com ( pmloftus@aug.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: grant\_peter\_m\_cdr@hq.navsea.navy.mil ( grant\_peter\_m\_cdr@hq.navsea.navy.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: kcain@spacecom.af.mil ( kcain@spacecom.af.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: rryals@spacecom.af.mil ( rryals@spacecom.af.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: welshg@af.pentagon.mil ( welshg@af.pentagon.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: bunne@policy1.policy.osd.mil ( bunne@policy1.policy.osd.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: don\_boster@anmd-ekv.army.mil ( don\_boster@anmd-ekv.army.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bruce W. MacDonald ( CN=Bruce W. MacDonald/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [ OSTP ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: dknox@spacecom.af.mil ( dknox@spacecom.af.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: graziose\_john@hq.navsea.navy.mil ( graziose\_john@hq.navsea.navy.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: rbscibeck@ccgate.hac.com ( rbscibeck@ccgate.hac.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: george\_knapp@netqm.nichols.com ( george\_knapp@netqm.nichols.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: lvanderson@ccgate.hac.com ( lvanderson@ccgate.hac.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ccoughlan@lmsc.lockheed.com ( ccoughlan@lmsc.lockheed.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: asommerf@inet.ntfl.af.mil ( asommerf@inet.ntfl.af.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: dtekavec@inet.ntfl.af.mil ( dtekavec@inet.ntfl.af.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: atoulouse@apt.mdc.com ( atoulouse@apt.mdc.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: biddlem@ssdcw-usassdc.army.mil ( biddlem@ssdcw-usassdc.army.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mhawkins@afceeb1.brooks.af.mil ( mhawkins@afceeb1.brooks.af.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jfreitas@spacecom.af.mil ( jfreitas@spacecom.af.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: antelishb@m105x3.laafb.af.mil ( antelishb@m105x3.laafb.af.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: rreynold@afceeb1.brooks.af.mil ( rreynold@afceeb1.brooks.af.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: gjtorres@corp.rockwell.com ( gjtorres@corp.rockwell.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: bobbie.starkey@gsm.honeywell.com ( bobbie.starkey@gsm.honeywell.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: dalrymps@mt2.laafb.af.mil ( dalrymps@mt2.laafb.af.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: caskey\_david\_1@hq.navsea.navy.mil ( caskey\_david\_1@hq.navsea.navy.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: adminsbsd@aerosbsd.aero.org ( adminsbsd@aerosbsd.aero.org [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ganier#m#\_sherrie@mmac.is.lmsc.lockheed.com ( ganier#m#\_sherrie@mmac.is.lmsc.lockheed.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: kodlickl@af.pentagon.mil ( kodlickl@af.pentagon.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: calesg@af.pentagon.mil ( calesg@af.pentagon.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: schilling\_steven\_l@hq.navsea.navy.mil ( schilling\_steven\_l@hq.navsea.navy.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: shipman\_amy@hq.navsea.navy.mil ( shipman\_amy@hq.navsea.navy.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jamorrow@corpwash.remnet.rockwell.com ( jamorrow@corpwash.remnet.rockwell.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: selustig@corp.rockwell.com ( selustig@corp.rockwell.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ecisnero@bdm.com ( ecisnero@bdm.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: phillips-md-th1@thaad1.army.mil ( phillips-md-th1@thaad1.army.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: nbuttimer@bmdo.osd.mil ( nbuttimer@bmdo.osd.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: tmahr@spacecom.af.mil ( tmahr@spacecom.af.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: hgallagh@bdm.com ( hgallagh@bdm.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mike\_smith@anmd-ekv.army.mil ( mike\_smith@anmd-ekv.army.mil [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: gail.cornelius@pobox.tbe.com ( gail.cornelius@pobox.tbe.com [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: pvarnish@dra.hmg.gb ( pvarnish@dra.hmg.gb [ UNKNOWN ] )  
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION  
EXTERNAL AFFAIRS DIGEST

A compilation of items of interest for BMDO personnel. Use of articles  
does not reflect official endorsement

April 21, 1997  
Congressional Schedule

April 18-22 Congressional Recess

April 24 SASC Markup of S.7, National Missile Defense Act of 1997. 222 Russell 2 p.m.

May 1 Hearing, Senate Govern. Aff. Subcomm on Intl. Secur. ABM Treaty Issues. Witnesses Paul Kaminski USD (A&T); Walter Slocombe USD (Policy) 342

Dirksen. 2 p.m.

May 15 Target date for SASC subcommittee mark-up

May 22-June 2 Memorial Day Recess

June 16 Target date for Senate DoD Authorization Bill Floor Debate

## NEWS ARTICLES

(1) THAAD REPORT SHOULD JUDGE SYSTEM 'FUNDAMENTALLY SOUND', Defense Week, April 21, 1997. The THAAD system is the right answer to its requirement, and its design is "fundamentally sound." Its test failures are due to shortcomings in "subcomponent reliability and producibility." That's what two top-level review teams are likely to tell Paul Kaminski, the Pentagon's acquisition chief in a briefing this week, the Army's top official for anti-missile acquisition told Defense Week Thursday. How these findings will affect the program and schedule has not yet been decided. . . . The results were to be briefed Friday to the Executive Steering Committee, a panel headed by Air Force Lt. Gen. Lester Lyles, director of the BMDO. "I think what the groups will tell me is pretty much things that confirm that we have reliability issues with the missile and we need to work on the quality control to get those issues under control," said Brig. Gen. Daniel Montgomery. "fundamentally, the missile is a sound design,, I think that's what they'll tell me," he said.

(2) NATIONAL MISSILE DEFENSE REQUIREMENT APPROVED, Defense Week, April 21, 1997. Ending a long haul and marking a major milestone, on March 10, a Joint Chiefs of Staff panel approved the requirements documents for the first post-cold war national Missile Defense (NMD) program. . . . The Joint Requirements Oversight Council blessed the document ,which expresses the military justification for developing - and maybe building - a shield against ICBMs. Completion of the Joint Operational Requirements Document sets the stage for a schedule April 28 Defense Acquisition Board meeting. The program is effectively in the demonstration and validation phase, although it needs to satisfy the formal requirements of that phase of development. . . . The threat-driven document has been controversial . . . Some in the industry say not being clear on the threat has made designing a system to defeat it difficult. . . . the Clinton administration has said the threat is not serious or imminent enough to warrant . . . an immediate decision and has forestalled that choice until at least 2000.

(3) KOREAN DEFECTOR SAYS HE SEES WAR, New York Times, April 21, 1997. A high-level North Korean defector arrived here [in Seoul] today, saying that people in the North have already "lost hope" and that he wants to prevent a war that the desperate Communist government seems intent on

waging. The defector, Hwang Jang Yop . . . once his country's top ideologue, said North Korea was facing "economic paralysis" as a misguided mix of socialism, feudalism, and militarism. . . . It is possible that Mr. Hwang's arrival here and his remarks could anger the North Korean government into rejecting the peace talks. South Korean officials said, however, that they are not worried because the defection so far has not derailed progress toward the talks. . . . Mr. Hwang . . . is expected to provide valuable information about the secretive Pyongyang government and also advice about how to deal with it. It was not clear whether American intelligence will be able to question the defector directly.

(4) NMD TESTING COSTS, Defense Daily, April 21, 1997. Each flight test of the National Missile Defense system could cost \$40 million, according to former BMDO director retired Army Lt. Gen. Malcolm O'Neill. "That's larger than the budgets of some DoD programs," he says. To lower those costs, the NMD program should rely heavily on simulation and conduct flight tests only to validate the computer model's findings, O'Neill adds.

(5) NEW NAME, Defense Daily, April 21, 1997. The Army's Space and Strategic Defense Command will change its name to the Army's Space and Missile Defense Command on Oct. 1, SSDC commander Lt. Gen. Edward Anderson says. The new command will also be responsible for the service's National Missile Defense program office, which is currently under the Program Executive Office for Air and Missile Defense.

(6) SIMULATED AIRBORNE LASER SHOOT DOWN SCUD MISSILE, Defense News, April 21-27, 1997. Debuting in an exercise, the U.S. Air Force's Airborne Laser (ABL) shot down a Scud missile April 17. The kill was a simulation and an actual ABL is not slated for testing until 2002. Still, its virtual appearance at the Roving Sands exercise is giving service leaders a look at how the laser can be used in combat. . . . The April 17-26 exercise is based at Fort Bliss, TX.

(7) U.N. INSPECTOR: IRAQ DETERMINED ON ARMS, Washington Times, April 21, 1997. Iraq is determined to retain its ability to produce weapons of mass destruction, the chief U.N. arms inspector said. . . . Rolf Ekeus also accused some governments of pressuring his U.N. Special Commission, which was set up after the 1991 Persian Gulf war to ensure that Iraq complies with the Security Council resolutions. "We've seen documents containing instructions from high authorities to institutions and agencies requesting that the capacity to resume and complete arms programs must be retained," Mr. Ekeus [said]. "Those who issued these orders feel that these weapons can be of major importance in certain circumstances," he said.

(8) UPDATE ON TOP APPOINTMENTS, Defense Daily, April 21, 1997. Defense Secretary William Cohen will soon appoint replacements for two key civilians, Pentagon acquisition chief Paul Kaminski and Assistant Secretary for C3I Emmett Paige. . . . A search for Kaminski's replacement is "active" but "has not produced a firm candidate." . . . The White House has not put any limits on the political leanings of appointees [Pentagon spokesman Kenneth] Bacon says.

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS\_EXT:[ATTACH.D52]MAIL44042521H.116 to ASCII,

The following is a HEX DUMP:

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

# **Clinton Presidential Records Automated Records Management System [EMAIL]**

This is not a presidential record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

---

**Hex Dump file is not in a recognizable format, has been incorrectly decoded or is damaged.**

---

**File Name:** p\_g1252403\_who\_html\_1.msoff

**Attachment Number:** [ATTACH.D52]MAIL44042521H.116

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: uucp@Whitehouse.GOV@INET@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:10-MAY-1997 12:49:00.00

SUBJECT: scanner results

TO: binns\_m ( binns\_m@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:12-MAY-1997 05:55:36.07

TO: horn\_s ( horn\_s@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:  
SCANNER RESULTS

-----

>>>>>>> a bomb <<<<<<<<  
and you will see a big change in attitude. The schools would  
have the money they need and the airforce would have to hold a  
bake sale to build a bomb! The electoral voters are a passe

MESSAGE BODY

-----

From nobody@Whitehouse.GOV Sat May 10 12:47:25 1997  
Received: (from uucp@localhost) by WhiteHouse.gov (8.7.1/uucp-relay) id MAA19338 for  
<First\_Lady@WhiteHouse.GOV>; Sat, 10 May 1997 12:47:25 -0400 (EDT)  
Received: from storm.eop.gov/198.137.241.51 via smap  
Received: from DIRECTORY-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)  
id <01IPE6SOOWW000V34@STORM.EOP.GOV> for First\_Lady@WhiteHouse.GOV; Sat,  
10 May 1997 12:47:21 EDT  
Received: from SCAN-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)  
id <01IPE6RBE80000VA7@STORM.EOP.GOV> for first.lady@Whitehouse.GOV; Sat,  
10 May 1997 12:47:19 -0400 (EDT)  
Received: from www1.whitehouse.gov ([198.137.240.91])  
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)  
with SMTP id <01IPE63I12I000VGV@STORM.EOP.GOV> for first.lady@Whitehouse.GOV;  
Sat, 10 May 1997 12:46:48 -0400 (EDT)  
Received: by www1.whitehouse.gov (951211.SGI.8.6.12.PATCH1042/940406.SGI.AUTO)  
for first.lady@whitehouse.gov id MAA10569; Sat, 10 May 1997 12:36:29 -0400  
Date: Sat, 10 May 1997 12:36:29 -0400  
From: Willow Smith <willow@davros.pswtech.com>  
Subject: Inbound-White\_House\_WWW\_MAIL => FIRST\_LADY  
Apparently-to: first.lady@Whitehouse.GOV  
To: first.lady@Whitehouse.GOV  
Errors-to: The Postmaster <postmaster@Whitehouse.GOV>  
Reply-to: Willow Smith <willow@davros.pswtech.com>  
Message-id: <199705101636.MAA10569@www1.whitehouse.gov>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: TEXT/PLAIN; CHARSET=US-ASCII

Keywords: WWW-Correspondence; ; Offer neutral commentary, advice,  
or a suggestion; Education; Private Citizen;  
Comments: Forwarded from White House WWW  
Comments: This message scanned by SCAN version 0.1 jms/960226

[Connection Information]

CLIENT: davros.pswtech.com[198.3.202.230]  
BROWSER: OmniWeb/2.1 OWF/1.0  
URL: [http://www.whitehouse.gov/WH/Mail/html/Mail\\_First\\_Lady.html](http://www.whitehouse.gov/WH/Mail/html/Mail_First_Lady.html)

[Sender Information]

PERSONAL-NAME: Willow Smith  
EMAIL-ADDRESS: willow@davros.pswtech.com  
ORGANIZATION:  
RELATIONSHIP:  
STREET-ADDRESS:  
CITY:  
STATE-PROVINCE:  
ZIP-CODE:  
COUNTRY:

[Message Information]

PURPOSE: Offer neutral commentary, advice, or a suggestion  
TOPIC: Education  
AFFILIATION: Private Citizen  
SUBJECT: Styrofoam in our schools for example..

[Message]

Dear First Lady,  
Today I was at our school for teacher appreciation day. It wasn't the first time I was there, but I was reminded yet again of the waste in our society when I was served a hot dog on a styrofoam plate. This got me to thinking and you may be interested in the results. I want to let you know that I voted for Clinton four years ago but this time I voted for Harry brown. This is mostly because I see such waste in government spending and think that the only cure is to cut down as many government agencies as possible.

When people are given money equally based on income, without any motivation to better themselves, it makes for a co-dependent society. I think that is the base of many woes. We need a total transformation of the tax system based on credits for community services, child care, care for the elderly, etc., instead of giving breaks to the wealthy or middle class: instead of welfare give tax breaks for people trying to make a difference. Our society has changed from one that was willing to help a neighbor to one that is afraid of his neighbor. The timing coiensides with people going on

welfare and this is because people did not have to be nice to each to survive any more: also, the government took over care of the elderly ,which has now become a burden on them instead of the children. The elderly need to be able to get a job if they want ! Children need to go to work with their parents after school! If tax breaks were given to companies based on them being responsible for assisting in care of the employees children, we might even get back to that old practice of company sponsorship for colleges because bosses would know their employees family in a caring way.

People need to see where their money is being spent. The money needs to go directly to the schools where people can see it and they can have more of a say on the practices of the individual school in their neighborhood instead of trying to make one concept good for all (socialism). Let them battle out if they want sex education or not at each school. Schools could excell and buy more schools if they were set up like a business. The parents would be able to invest in these school through the "taxes" they pay, and people with out children could chose to invest but wouldn't have to so would have more money to spend and pay their employees. There would have to be a certain amount of federal government control, but it would be like inspecting a resturant and done by a local business. School would buy as much as possible from local people instead of federally shipped supplies. This would improve the food because local resturaunts and grociers would be invoved and get discounts from taxes for supporting the school. We pay 45% of our income in taxes without a voice on where it is spent. See if you can find any country in history that did not have rebellion at that heavy weight in gold! Our own constitution was made from just such a rebellion! It would be illegal to tax us at all if not for a loophole developed after world war II to claim that we are in a state of emergency and leave it that way for 50 years . Make it a system that allows people to vote on where the money goes (computers make this possible) and you will see a big change in attitude. The schools would have the money they need and the airforce would have to hold a bake sale to build a bomb! The electoral voters are a passe system that is also no longer necessary as well, I have to say.

Tax on luxury items, reduce taxes on organically grown foods and small farmers growing them. Legalize use of herbs for healthcare in general maladies and as vitamins. Montalbano and Lille drugs both know that penicillin and its derivatives have caused more damage than good by breaking down our immune systems,while fresh basil will keep keeps white blood cell count high naturally. We are fighting a war for weeds that come from the ground, and how people use them; yet hemp would feed masses, supply fuel, rope that deteriorates, and natural cloth. The oil industries will not leave our children and grandchildren air to breathe. The plastic companies wrap our earth like the inside of a golf ball with the crust ready to

explode. We have to create a need for reusable plastics. Many current reused plastics are being used to insulate nuclear waste,build homes,etc. How does this relate to styrofoam? Well, to start with you just can't get rid of the stuff! We are using it at all school functions, and after cleaning up a school festival I started thinking about how much the school was spending on both the buying and cost of waste pick- up of this product. If schools used recyclable plastic plates and the government helped sponsor recycling pickup that gives money back to the school, people would want to take all their plastics to help the schools out,and it would create more industry for using reused plastic. It would also be useful for paper ,the most wasted item in school, to be recycled in this manner. Small towns and neighborhoods that don't have recycling options would be given incentive to do so because it would help the schools.

I want to add a few more comments about the elderly and children being intricle parts of society that are currently shuffled about. Elderly people may really enjoy being at the schools helping to teach and telling stories if they were made welcome. Parents and elderly should be able to ride the school bus (currently discouraged by insurance) to get to school to help out. Children should be able to ride to their parents work so they can see what work is like. They should be with thee parents not tucked into daycares 10 miles away. Encourage this with tax breaks for places that hire the old and let children into the work place. Think how much your own daughter has benefitted from being with you at the oval office!

Thank you for your time. Please think about your grandchildren now and save our schools. We don't need metal detectors we need people who care.

Willow Smith

-----  
===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:10-MAY-1997 12:49:00.00  
  
ATT BODYPART TYPE:D  
  
TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879)  
id <01IPE9WGEUO002090@PMDF.EOP.GOV>; Sat, 10 May 1997 12:49:52 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)  
by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IPE9UYJ80001XE7@PMDF.EOP.GOV>; Sat,  
10 May 1997 12:49:50 -0500 (EST)

Received: from WhiteHouse.gov ([198.137.241.30])  
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)  
with ESMTP id <01IPE972I1E000VGV@STORM.EOP.GOV>; Sat,  
10 May 1997 12:49:18 -0400 (EDT)

Received: (from uucp@localhost) by WhiteHouse.gov (8.7.1/uucp-relay)  
id MAA19369; Sat, 10 May 1997 12:47:57 -0400 (EDT)

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: uucp@Whitehouse.GOV@INET@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:11-MAY-1997 12:43:00.00

SUBJECT: scanner results

TO: binns\_m ( binns\_m@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:12-MAY-1997 05:57:44.89

TO: horn\_s ( horn\_s@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:  
SCANNER RESULTS

-----  
EITHER. WISH SOMEONE WITH AMERICAS BEST INTEREST WAS IN CHARGE. I REMEMBER THAT KRUCHEF SAID HE WOULD TAKE US OVER FROM WITHIN OUR ON POLITICAL SYSTEM WITHOUT HAVING TO FIRE A SHOT AND THEY ARE DOING IT. YOU NEED TO STOP THE FLOOD OF FOREGINERS COMING INTO THIS COUNTRY BEFORE THEY CONTROL THE VOTE. GOVERNMENT HEALTH CARE IS COMMIE, THE IRS SHOULD BE DISMANTLED.

MESSAGE BODY

-----  
From AR15SHOOTS@aol.com Sun May 11 12:41:35 1997  
Received: (from uucp@localhost) by WhiteHouse.gov (8.7.1/uucp-relay) id MAA21209 for <President@WhiteHouse.GOV>; Sun, 11 May 1997 12:41:35 -0400 (EDT)  
From: AR15SHOOTS@aol.com  
Received: from storm.eop.gov/198.137.241.51 via smap  
Received: from DIRECTORY-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)  
id <01IIQSA1G4A8000PQM@STORM.EOP.GOV> for President@WhiteHouse.GOV; Sun, 11 May 1997 12:41:38 EDT  
Received: from SCAN-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)  
id <01IIQSA17IOM000YML@STORM.EOP.GOV> for president@Whitehouse.GOV; Sun, 11 May 1997 12:41:37 -0400 (EDT)  
Received: from emout08.mail.aol.com ([198.81.11.23])  
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)  
with ESMTP id <01IIQS9GXFE000PN0@STORM.EOP.GOV> for president@Whitehouse.GOV; Sun, 11 May 1997 12:41:10 -0400 (EDT)  
Received: (from root@localhost) by emout08.mail.aol.com (8.7.6/8.7.3/AOL-2.0.0)  
id MAA13924 for president@whitehouse.gov; Sun, 11 May 1997 12:41:09 -0400 (EDT)  
Date: Sun, 11 May 1997 12:41:09 -0400 (EDT)  
Subject: White House Forum E-Mail  
To: president@WhiteHouse.GOV  
Message-id: <970511124102\_-432601239@emout08.mail.aol.com>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: TEXT/PLAIN; CHARSET=US-ASCII  
Comments: This message scanned by SCAN version 0.1 jms/960226

Field 1 = E-Mail Sent to the President via AOL

Field 2 = A REAL AMERICAN

Field 3 = UNITED STATES

Field 4 = OKLA

Field 5 = OKLA

Field 6 = 73120

Field 7 = NAFTA AND GATT ARE NOT GOOD FOR OUR COUNTRY.  
SOCIALISM IS NOT GOOD FOR OUR COUNTRY.

HIDDEN TAXES LIKE THE PHONE TAX THAT EXPANDS COST ON SMALL BUSINESS AND  
ELIMINATES JOBS THAT ARE WORTH HAVING IS WRONG.

THE FAMILY LEAVE ACT IS COMMIE. YOU SHOULD NOT HAVE THE RIGHT TO DICTATE TO  
A BUSINESS WHAT THEY CAN DO WITH THEIR BUSINESS WHAT THEY SELL WHAT THEY PAY  
OR WHO THEY HIRE. WHY DON'T YOU THINK OF AMERICA FIRST. WOMMEN SHOULD BE  
SEPARATED FROM MEN IN THE MILITARY. ABORTION IS ABOSOLUTELY WRONG AND YOUR  
PROMOTION OF ABORTION AND DRUGS IS WRONG. NAFTA IS WRONG, WRONG, WRONG,  
WRONG. THE GOVERNMENTS INCREASED CONTROL OVER OUR LIVES IS WRONG. I WISH  
THE PRESENT ADMINISTRATION HAD NEVER BEEN ELECTED AND I DO NOT LIKE BUSH  
EITHER. WISH SOMEONE WITH AMERICAS BEST INTEREST WAS IN CHARGE. I REMEMBER  
THAT KRUCHEF SAID HE WOULD TAKE US OVER FROM WITHIN OUR ON POLITICAL SYSTEM  
WITHOUT HAVING TO FIRE A SHOT AND THEY ARE DOING IT. YOU NEED TO STOP THE  
FLOOD OF FOREGINERS COMING INTO THIS COUNTRY BEFORE THEY CONTROL THE VOTE.  
GOVERNMENT HEALTH CARE IS COMMIE, THE IRS SHOULD BE DISMANTLED.

-----  
===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:11-MAY-1997 12:43:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:D

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879)  
id <01IIQSCM8OA80024L3@PMDF.EOP.GOV>; Sun, 11 May 1997 12:43:42 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)  
by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IIQSCKGWRK0021IT@PMDF.EOP.GOV>; Sun,  
11 May 1997 12:43:40 -0500 (EST)

Received: from WhiteHouse.gov ([198.137.241.30])  
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)  
with ESMTP id <01IIQSBWFZ2E000PN0@STORM.EOP.GOV>; Sun,  
11 May 1997 12:43:08 -0400 (EDT)

Received: (from uucp@localhost) by WhiteHouse.gov (8.7.1/uucp-relay)  
id MAA21246; Sun, 11 May 1997 12:41:47 -0400 (EDT)

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: uucp@WhiteHouse.gov@INET@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:25-MAY-1997 16:53:00.00

SUBJECT: scanner results

TO: binns\_m ( binns\_m@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:27-MAY-1997 05:46:08.59

TO: horn\_s ( horn\_s@A1@CD ) (WHO)  
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:  
SCANNER RESULTS

-----

> long. Again he threw me back, this time I slid backward  
> against the exhaust fan from the building. As I tried to  
> get up, he dove on top of me and said, "Now you die for  
> your bad judgment."  
> > He felt a lot heavier than he looked, I could

>>>>>>> you die <<<<<<<<  
> against the exhaust fan from the building. As I tried to  
> get up, he dove on top of me and said, "Now you die for  
> your bad judgment."

MESSAGE BODY

-----

From Robalini@aol.com Sun May 25 16:51:51 1997  
Received: (from uucp@localhost) by WhiteHouse.gov (8.7.1/uucp-relay) id QAA04325 for <VP@WhiteHouse.GOV>;  
Sun, 25 May 1997 16:51:51 -0400 (EDT)  
From: Robalini@aol.com  
Received: from storm.eop.gov/198.137.241.51 via smap  
Received: from DIRECTORY-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)  
id <01IJAJ8HCOOW00119R@STORM.EOP.GOV>; Sun, 25 May 1997 15:58:55 EDT  
Received: from SCAN-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)  
id <01IJAJ8FX8U80015MD@STORM.EOP.GOV>; Sun, 25 May 1997 15:58:53 -0400 (EDT)  
Received: from emout20.mail.aol.com ([198.81.11.46])  
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)  
with ESMTP id <01IJAJ7ROD8M00119G@STORM.EOP.GOV>; Sun,  
25 May 1997 15:58:20 -0400 (EDT)  
Received: (from root@localhost) by emout20.mail.aol.com (8.7.6/8.7.3/AOL-2.0.0)  
id PAA19593; Sun, 25 May 1997 15:51:43 -0400 (EDT)  
Date: Sun, 25 May 1997 15:51:43 -0400 (EDT)  
Subject: The Konformist: 25 May, 1997 Part III  
To: First.Lady@WhiteHouse.GOV, president@WhiteHouse.GOV,  
Vice.President@WhiteHouse.GOV  
Message-id: <970525155142\_485695115@emout20.mail.aol.com>  
MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: MULTIPART/MIXED; BOUNDARY="Boundary\_[ID\_DIH1WC0Bvx24F+5NjIFxCg]"  
Comments: This message scanned by SCAN version 0.1 jms/960226

--Boundary\_[ID\_DIH1WC0Bvx24F+5NjIFxCg]  
Content-id: <0\_11411\_864589902@emout20.mail.aol.com.3260>  
Content-type: text/plain; CHARSET=US-ASCII

### Part III

--Boundary\_[ID\_DIH1WC0Bvx24F+5NjIFxCg]  
Content-id: <0\_11411\_864589902@emout20.mail.aol.com.3261>  
Content-type: text/plain; CHARSET=US-ASCII; name=05253.txt  
Content-disposition: ATTACHMENT; FILENAME=05253.txt  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Robalini's Note: The following is my kind of story, and I appreciate Mr. =  
deWalton for passing it on.

From: Alan deWalton

Dear friends;  
=

At first I had some reservations about posting this, but I  
decided as long as I kept the original source confidential  
is would be -- in light of the urgency -- justified. Since =

you have in the past posted info on UFO related subjects I =

feel you may be interested. Two thoughts about where the =

'aliens' come from are that they are part of an actual =

species; OR that they are the product of secret black budget =

genetic engineering [or both]. As for the 'time slip' =

phenomena mentioned below, this has been documented in =

various 'Fortean' type publications. In most cases the =

time slips have been into the past, and very rarely is one =

reported in regards to the future. The following I admit =

is pretty 'far out' and many will not believe it. So be it, =

consider it just an interesting sci-fi story akin to those =

dreamed up by bored old ladies for WEEKLY WORLD NEWS. IF =

that's all it is, then fine... but IF it is more than that, =

then your very LIFE may depend on acting on the warnings to =  
follow.  
=

First, a comment on the "UFO" phenomena and what many believe IS the real force behind the enigma. Researcher Alex Christopher, author of "PANDORA'S BOX", claims that her own abduction experiences involved huge underground bases jointly occupied by American Black Ops forces, German neo-Nazis, Greys and 'Draconians'. The Draco were reptilian humanoids who resembled something akin to genetically engineered veloci-raptors. Apparently they are "homo-saurian" bipeds who once lived on the surface of this planet in prehistoric times, yet were chased off the planet during a forgotten war with our most distant ancestors -- some of the reptiloids escaping underground and others escaping off the planet altogether.  
=

Now they are returning to reclaim "their original home", working in alliance with their own kind beneath the surface of the planet and whatever collaborating human elements they may be able to recruit via mind-control, etc. They have made a deal with the Luciferian cults of Bavaria which run the Machievellian scenarios involving National, Global, and =

Corporate Socialists. Using these forces the Draco and the =

Bavarian elite plan to provoke a global holocaust which will =

wipe-out most human life on the surface, following which =

the Draco, their "Grey" subordinates, the neo-Nazis, and the American Black Ops [secret society] forces will emerge to =

rule the planet -- a type of 'Helter Skelter' scenario like =

the one Charles Manson conceived of yet on a more massive scale =

(the Manson murders were actually an attempt to create a race =

war, one that the Manson 'family' would ride out in underground =

caves in the Mojave desert and emerge to repopulate the world =

after most of those on the surface were dead. This race war =

would be called 'Helter Skelter').  
=

The human collaborators have accepted one-third of the planet for themselves while the rest is to be annexed to the alien

empire [Revelation chapter 12!?!]. The aliens and their human collaborators must also deal with certain 'benevolent' forces beyond this planet who are also involved in this final showdown between good and evil, and planet earth seems to be the main staging area or battle-ground in this 'cosmic conflict'. There has been a conspiracy to suppress the "forbidden history" of our planet earth regarding the ancient Draco war with our ancestors and to suppress evidence to the fact, AND also knowledge about the current collaboration between regressive humanoid and alien forces. This involved the programming of the masses via =

the media with the perspective on "reality" that the power-mongers, human and otherwise, would have them to believe.  
=

In order to establish the New World Order the Draco needed access to the Bavarians' eco-political connections and the Bavarians needed the alien mind-control technology. A "marriage of convenience" you might say. The invasion will come from below AND via U.N. / N.W.O. member nations who will invade America following a global economic collapse (to be blamed on 'Americans', of course) on the pretext of "restoring order... the "New World" type of "ORDER" that is. Although the Bavarian cultists have managed to draw European fascists and Asian communists together as part of their Machievellian plan for the sake of the New World Order, the alliance is flimsy at best. The Russian and Chinese are increasingly pushing for a multi-polar New World Order whereas the Bavarians want the NWO to be based in the European Community, of which Germany is the most powerful nation economically and politically. Actually the "Aryan elite" expect the Asians and Americans to kill each other off so that they can emerge from their underground empire with their draconian allies and rule the planet as the supreme 'race'.  
=

This underground black-budget 'Alternative-2' empire devours 1.5 TRILLION dollars from the global economy every two years to keep it going, according to the late Phil Schneider. The LAST thing they want is for the Asian Communists to discover the REAL "fascist capitalist imperialists" within their network of underground empire bases -- from the joint Black Ops / Draco / Grey bases =

near Lancaster, California to the joint German / Draco / Grey =

bases near Montauk, Long Island and everything in between -- =

once the invasion of America begins. IF the Communists discover =

these bases then the corporate-fascist-draconian alliance might =

be destroyed by the very "monster" they helped to create in order to destroy US. After all "Communism" and "Fascism" are two sides of the same "Socialist" coin -- Global Socialism and National

Socialism.

=

One of the major "joint operational" New World Order control facilities is under the new "Denver International Airport" [D.I.A.] according to Alex Christopher. She was told about this massive human-alien base by former Black Ops employee Phil Schneider, who was murdered in recent years, although his murder was made out =

to be a suicide [he supposedly 'strangled himself']. The D.I.A. =

is intended to be the New World Order "control center" for America, and is =

intended as an area for FEMA/MJTF/UN, etc. forces to bring =

in 'dissidents' and transport them to the underground prisons =

which were constructed with OUR TAX DOLLARS.

=

So, the 'Nazis' and Aliens working together in underground bases to create a New World Order dictatorship on earth? I know it sounds like science fiction, but take note of the "reptilian" =

theme which has INCREASINGLY been described by hundreds or thousands of UFO abductees in recent years. Are all these people suffering from some type of mass hallucination? That in itself would be a REMARKABLE phenomena suggestive of a collective unconscious =

telepathic link between humans.

=

Now in reference to the "time-slip" experience which I will relay below. A woman I know claims to have experienced angelic visions of a global economic collapse in October, 1997, followed by growing ANARCHY, especially in larger cities, followed by an all-out U.N. INVASION in November, 1997. She has STRESSED that future events =

are in the process of 'solidifying' so-to-speak. Like wet cement however these events are not entirely SET and can be re-shaped to SOME degree through collective human WILL. But as it stood when she had her visions, the fall of '97 was the tentative culmination period. Can these events be delayed or prevented? Probably not prevented at this point, but they can be delayed =

or diminished to a certain degree, however the closer we get to =

and event which is beginning to manifest in the physical realm =

as a result of all the influences that have been set in motion =

in the past, the more difficult it will be to do so. The "wheels =

are in motion" in other words, we have collectively set things =  
in motion that will be VERY difficult to change. It is easier =  
to stop a freight train from hitting a car if warned a mile =  
in advance, than it would be if the train did not see the car =  
until 50 feet away. Incidentally this woman who has had the =  
'visions' claims that she 'saw' massive Chinese invasion of =  
the West Coast, a Russian invasion via Alaska, a German invasion =  
via New England, and other U.N. forces via the East Coast.

Compare this with the following account given by General George =  
Washington:

<http://pss.fit.edu/~stevens/gwvision.html>

So here, then is the unusual post which I recieved from an  
individual who I will not identify. I will state that in the  
event of a U.N. "invasion", the Rockies, Great Plains west of  
the Mississippi, and the four corners area of the Southwest U.S.  
will be the "safest" areas, although nowhere will be 'entirely'  
safe. Bottom line: Get on God's side! The Almighty is the only  
One who will be able to see you through. Set aside a room and  
fill it with SURVIVAL SUPPLIES that can be loaded on a vehicle  
at a moments notice [when and if an economic collapse occurs,  
since the rest will quickly follow]. Have enough gas on hand  
because when this all starts happening you can forget visiting  
a gas station. Get some food storage, clothing, non-hybrid  
seeds [capable of producing seed-bearing plants that can be  
replanted], get together with friends/militias and meet at  
a remote camp where there is a FRESH WATER source.

=

If you make these preparations and these events do NOT come  
to pass on THIS time-table -- whether or not 'aliens' are  
actually involved a potential U.N. dictatorship is sufficient  
concern in itself -- then you have suffered no major loss =

by preparing, have you? Besides, a survival stash is a GOOD  
INVESTMENT any way you look at it.

=

So then, on with the vision/dream/time-slip experience or  
whatever you may wish to call it:

- > Subject: \*T\*G\* Time Transfer, if not what is it?
- > -----
- > I am forwarding two posts (this is the first one) that

> Jim and I have written so others who did not have a chance  
> to see this can know what Jim and I are going through.  
> regards, [\*\*\*]  
> =

> >Hi Everyone,

> >

> > I have been waiting to see if anybody else on  
> the list may have experienced something similar. I don't want  
> to put thoughts in others heads though. This was not a dream,  
> it was not a obe, it was real, it did happen.

> >And Dracos are real and they bleed red blood.

> > I can't explain it, I don't know how it happened  
> or why. All I know is it did happen. It was three weeks ago,  
> and I still have mixed feeling about posting it here. But  
> here goes.

> >

> > I climbed to the roof of the building I was  
> working on. (Rebuilding and expanding the capacity of a  
> silo that stores plastic pellets at [\*\*\* \*\*\* \*\*\*] Inc.  
> in Evansville IN.)

> > When I got to the top I felt dizzy, and  
> disoriented, for a few seconds. (I thought I was having  
> another numbness attack that I told [\*\*\*] I've been having)  
> I grabbed hold of a pipe to get my balance. When I felt  
> stable again, I looked around, everything had changed. The  
> sky was grey and smoky looking, buildings all around me  
> were either demolished or still smoldering from fire. There  
> were cars on the hiway out front, wrecked or just abandoned,  
> there were bodys, some still smoldering laying everywhere.  
> It was sickening.

> > I just stood there, looking in amazement. I  
> was trying to figure out if I had missed something, or if I  
> was dreaming. But I WAS there, my truck was gone, and so was  
> Lee. All my tools, welding leads, torches, and material were  
> gone.

> > And then I realized that the bins that I was  
> working on were finished. I opened the lid of the one I was  
> working on at the time and it was half full of material. It  
> was then that I realized that I must be in the future.

> > I began to look for something with a date on  
> it so I could find out where exactly I was. (If I was in  
> the future) I then realized that it was colder than it was  
> a few seconds ago. Then I hear a roar that sound like trucks  
> and tracks clanking, (Like a bulldozer). It was an armored  
> column of military vehicles coming down the hiway towards  
> where I was. I then heard shooting, machine guns and small  
> arms fire. The armored column was surrounding the building  
> where I was.

> > It was then that I realized that the factory  
> where I was working was not damaged. As a matter of fact  
> it was still in operation. (I could here the hammer mill  
> still running) What ever the soldiers were shooting at was  
> inside the building I was on. And somebody was shooting back.

> > There were two tanks in this column, one of  
> them pulled up right in front of this building, and began  
> firing into it. The whole building shook. Then the black  
> craft came, triangular. I saw it but I didn't believe it,  
> It made a high pitched noise and the tank in front of the  
> building that was firing, exploded.

> > But these soldiers knew what they were doing.  
> One of the wheeled armored vehicles took off across the open  
> field, and this craft began to follow it. As it went past  
> the rest of the soldiers, five of them jumped up from the  
> ditch with, what I think were stinger missiles, (Shoulder  
> mounts) and fired simultaneously at the craft. The explosion  
> hurt my ears, but they did knock it down, and it crashed into  
> the field. (They all began to cheer) but not for long. The  
> other tank then appeared from nowhere and began firing its  
> big gun at it rapidly until there was nothing left of it.

> > I was very confused watching all of this from  
> the roof. Then I heard more vehicles coming. They were soldiers  
> too. I first thought it was reinforcements, but they began to  
> fight each other. Now I was totally confused. And there was  
> still someone shooting from inside the building I was on.

> > I felt that I was in the middle of this, and  
> didn't really know what side I was on. I felt it better to  
> stay hidden until I could sort things out. I was looking for  
> a safer place when I remember that they had kept a delivery  
> log for the rail cars coming in with materials, in the control  
> room right below me. So I decided to go down and see if I  
> could find a date.

> > I climbed down the ladder on the side of the  
> bin, and stepped through the door to the control room. There  
> was no one in there and I found the log. The last shipment  
> that was recorded was dated monday, Oct. 6, 97. But the  
> calendar on the wall was turned to Nov. 97, so I had no  
> Idea what day it actually was.

> > From where I was, I could see the whole  
> production floor, but I could see no-one moving anywhere. I  
> decided I was safer on the roof where I was, so I climbed  
> back up the bin ladder and hid behind a wall where I could  
> see better.

> > The roof was covered with a layer of ash, I  
> first thought it was a covering of light snow. (It was cold  
> enough) I assumed the ash was from burning buildings. As I  
> was looking at the ash I could see my foot prints where I  
> had walked. And then I felt the hair on the back of my neck  
> stand up, as I realized there were other foot prints also,  
> (over mine) I realized I was not alone on the roof. But  
> these footprints were different, they were long and narrow.

> > I followed them with my eyes, to see where  
> they went. I didn't have to look far. There he was, (I think  
> it was a he) standing about ten feet from me, but he did  
> not see me. It was a draco, like the ones I saw at epcot  
> [Note: 'Jim' saw such a creature in an astral-type experience, =

> although THIS experience he claims was NOT an astral trip =

> - ed.]. I could feel the adrenaline running through my body. =

> My first thought was to hide, but there was nowhere to hide. =

> And nowhere to run.

> > I looked to see if he had a weapon, but I

> couldn't see any. Then from deep within me I felt a rage,

> a rage like I never had felt before. I wanted to kill him.

> [Apparently responding to the reports that he had heard =

> about on 'the list' in the past concerning what the Draco =

> do to people that they have abducted to their underground =

> 'concentration camps' on earth. - ed.] Before I could even =

> think, I found myself standing up and walking toward him. =

> He turned and saw me, (I didn't think they showed emotion, =

> but when he saw me, I saw fear in his reptile looking face.) =

> But then I saw his eyes, they were snake eyes, and they =

> looked mean.

> > When I looked at his eyes, I felt as if he

> was looking right through me. Now I have been in a number

> of bar room brawls, and I could always tell when someone

> was going try to sucker punch me, by there eyes. (It don't

> work with dracos!) That sucker nailed me and I didn't

> even see it coming. I'm not even sure if he even hit me

> physically, it felt more like a shock wave.

> > Now I've been knocked down enough in fights,

> that I know if you don't get back up fast your done. So

> I sprung up and lunged at him. I caught him off balance,

> I don't think he expected that. I went for his throat. I

> have found that if you cut off a persons air supply they

> come around to your way of thinking pretty fast. But when

> I gabbed his skinny, but hard as rock throat, I felt as

> if I had grabbed a pineapple. And as he moved I could feel

> it cutting my hands. I figured I could handle the pain =

> a lot longer than he could go without air. But as I was

> squeezing with all of my strength on his throat, he put

> his hands on my chest, and threw me back. I couldn't hold

> on.

> > I could tell from this that I was no match =

> for him without a weapon. And I had none. And I knew I had

> to stay in control, and show no fear. I didn't want to lunge

> at him again, cause the last time hurt. But I lunged again,

> this time I double my fist, and went for his eyes. But I

> found out that dracos have sharp eyelids too.

> > I was beginning to doubt that I could hurt him.  
> And then he spoke, "What's wrong warrior, have you under  
> estimated your enemy?"  
> > I knew he was right, but I answered, "I wasn't  
> sure you were the enemy, but thanks for straightening that  
> fact out for me."  
> > Then I felt the rage building inside again, so  
> I lunged again, this time I hit him as hard as I could, in  
> the side of the head. I think it stunned him, but not for  
> long. Again he threw me back, this time I slid backward  
> against the exhaust fan from the building. As I tried to  
> get up, he dove on top of me and said, "Now you die for  
> your bad judgment."  
> > He felt a lot heavier than he looked, I could  
> not throw him off. I thought I was about to die. But then =  
  
> I saw my eastwing hammer, it was hanging on the inside of =  
  
> the vent cover. I reached up and grabbed it. And swung it =  
  
> as hard as I could at his head. When I hit him he squealed =  
  
> like a hog. His body went limp, and I rolled him off of me. =  
  
> Then I kept hitting him just to be sure. They are reptiles, =  
  
> they do have yellow pupils in their snake eyes, and yes =  
  
> they do die.  
> > When I looked up to see what was going on  
> out in the road, the second bunch of soldiers, were still  
> fighting the first ones I saw. (These were two groups of  
> US soldiers.) I decided it was about time for me to get  
> the hell out of Dodge, so I climbed down my ladder and =  
  
> was going to try to get away, and find out what was going  
> on. When I got to the bottom I felt this dizziness again.  
> I turned and my truck, and Lee were there. And everything  
> was as it was before. I asked him if he had seen anything,  
> and he said no. And asked me what was going on, and what  
> had happened. I said how long was I gone. and he told me  
> I had just went up the ladder, and then came right back down.  
> Then he asked what happen to my hands. (They were bleeding  
> bad from the cuts.) I told him I did not know, I must have  
> cut them on the ladder. He looked at me kind of disbelieving  
> and asked what the white stuff was, that I had all over me.  
> I said I did not know. (but it was the ash from the roof.)  
> > When I finished the job, three days later, I  
> took my eastwing hammer, and hung it on the exhaust fan cover  
> on the roof. And that's where it will stay, I don't know how  
> it got there when I needed it, but I was taking no chances.  
> (If it weren't for safety factors, I would go exchange my  
> hammer for a 44 Mag. Might save me from cutting my hands.)  
> >

> > Now, if someone could possibly explain this to  
 > me, I would be in their debt. I have mixed feelings about  
 > this, at first I felt it was a mind control trick to confuse  
 > me, and the list. But since, I have had dreams, and obe's,  
 > that make me feel this is real. (And also some cuts on my  
 > hands.) [\*\*\*] and I believe others on this list were in these  
 > dreams. I have been waiting to see if anyone else would post  
 > something about this.  
 > > One question for [\*\*\*], was I in danger, could  
 > this Draco have killed me? What would have happened, would  
 > I have just disappeared, or fallen off of that ladder dead?  
 > Just one of many questions.  
 > > The only thing that I know for sure is, don't  
 > hit a dracos without gloves on, because it hurts.  
 > >  
 > >Jim

-----  
 Get Your \*Web-Based\* Free Email at <http://www.hotmail.com>  
 -----

\*\*\*\*\* =

Subj: My friend John  
 From: mihra@styx.cerbernet.co.uk (Roger Bunn)

## PSYOPS

>( > <^> <^> < )<  
 < \ />  
 ^ ^ =

My ex boss Marianne Faithful and Wil Self, the journalist caught =  
 taking smack on John Majors plane appeared on national BBC 2 =  
 tv tonight.

Wil Self affirmed that he would not talk about the hypocritical  
 term "War on drugs" as it didn't really exist. Marianne bless her =  
 heart said that in the past "romantically, she just had to be a junkie".  
 Having "been on the scene" and having spent time with the lady =  
 I can affirm that Wil Self is correct and that herself can roll a good =  
 splif when she takes a mind.

But more importantly I would like confirm to those that have an =  
 interest in PsyOps that both John Dunbar and I would like to hear =  
 from you. John was Marianne's husband and father of Nick now a =

Subj: Re: Ebola Outbreak Coverup?  
 Date: Thu, May 15, 1997 3:22 AM EDT  
 From: perkins@netmedia.net.il (David Lloyd Perkins)  
 >To: tetra@tetrahedron.org (Len Horowitz)  
 >  
 >Shalom,

> I just phoned to the English newspaper in Santadomingo and spok=  
e  
with Manuel Mortega. He informs me that they have reported that the  
outbreak is meningitis related. He is unaware of the trucks, the dead  
animals and other details. Reportedly, there are 5 deaths and 20 effect=  
ed  
so far.

>  
> Santa Domingo News  
> Tel: (809) 535-7131  
> Fax: (809) 535-0788  
>  
> dr1@codetel.net.do  
> mortega@codetel.net.do>Please pass on at once. Thanks

Dr. Len Horowitz, author of "Emerging Viruses: AIDS & Ebola--Nature, Acci=  
dent or Intentional?" (Tetrahedron, Inc.; \$29.95; <http://www.tetrahedron.org>) Readers are urged to review this text for background information.

Subj: Dominican Republic and CDC  
Date: Thu, May 15, 1997 3:25 AM EDT  
From: perkins@netmedia.net.il (David Lloyd Perkins)

The CDC as of yesterday had on its world travelers advisory page that the=  
re  
had been a large number of inquiries regarding an alleged outbreak in the=

Dominican Republic, but it was all unfounded. They say there is no incre=  
ase  
in Meningitis currently

\*\*\*\*\* =

Thanks to Parascope, David Hoffman, and Alex Constantine for the followin=  
g.

>Subject:Dave Hoffman, McVeigh Story

>  
>  
> Was Timothy McVeigh  
> an unwitting mind-  
> controlled patsy?  
>  
> McVeigh: The Manchurian Candidate  
>  
> by David Hoffman  
> Special to ParaScope  
> hafreepr@telepath.com  
>  
> [Editor's Note: The following is a special preview of David  
> Hoffman's forthcoming book The Oklahoma City Bombing and  
> the Politics of Terror, due out from Feral House later this  
> year. Hoffman is the publisher of the Haight Ashbury Free  
> Press.]  
>

> IN ORDER TO FULFILL HIS MILITARY OBLIGATION, McVeigh signed  
> on with the Army National Guard in Buffalo, where he landed  
> a job as a security guard with Burns International  
> Security. McVeigh was assigned to the night shift, guarding  
> the grounds of Calspan Research, a defense contractor that  
> conducts classified research in advanced aerospace rocketry  
> and electronic warfare.

> In a manner mirroring his conduct in the service, McVeigh  
> became the consummate security guard. Calspan spokesman Al  
> Salandra told reporters that McVeigh was "a model  
> employee." Yet according to media accounts, McVeigh had  
> lost his confidence, and his cool.

> "Timmy was a good guard," said former Burns supervisor  
> Linda Haner-Mele. He was "always there prompt, clean and  
> neat. His only quirk," according to Mele, "was that he  
> couldn't deal with people. If someone didn't cooperate with  
> him, he would start yelling at them, become verbally  
> aggressive. He could be set off easily."

> According to an article in the Washington Post, co-workers  
> at a Niagara Falls convention center where he was assigned  
> described him as "emotionally spent, veering from passivity  
> to volcanic anger." An old friend said he looked "like  
> things were really weighing on him."

> "Timmy just wasn't the type of person who could initiate  
> action," said Lynda Haner-Mele formerly of Burns Security,  
> where McVeigh worked in early 1992. "He was very good if  
> you said, 'Tim watch this door -- don't let anyone  
> through.' The Tim I knew couldn't have masterminded  
> something like this and carried it out himself. It would  
> have had to have been someone who said: 'Tim, this is what  
> you do. You drive the truck...'"

> Mele's account directly contradicts the testimony of  
> Sergeant Chris Barner and former Private Ray Jimboy, both  
> of whom served with McVeigh at Fort Riley, and claimed that  
> McVeigh was a natural leader. This also contradicts  
> McVeigh's service record, which rated him "among the best"  
> in leadership potential and an "inspiration to young  
> soldiers." "He had a lot of leadership ability inside  
> himself," said Barner. "He had a lot of self confidence."

> Apparently, "Something happened to Tim McVeigh between the  
> time he left the Army and now," said Captain Terry Guild.

> "He didn't really carry himself like he came out of the  
> military," said Mele. "He didn't stand tall with his  
> shoulders back. He kind of slumped over." She recalled him  
> as silent, expressionless, with lightless eyes, but subject  
> to explosive fits of temper. "That guy didn't have an  
> expression 99 percent of the time," added Mele. "He was

> cold."

>  
> Colonel David Hackworth, an Army veteran who interviewed  
> McVeigh for Newsweek, concluded that McVeigh was suffering  
> from a "postwar hangover." "I've seen countless veterans,  
> including myself, stumble home after the high-noon  
> excitement of the killing fields, missing their battle  
> buddies and the unique dangers and sense of purpose," wrote  
> Hackworth in the July 3rd edition of Newsweek. "Many lose  
> themselves forever."

>  
> Although such symptoms may be seen as a delayed reaction  
> syndrome resulting from the stress of battle, they are also  
> common symptoms of mind control.

>  
> While visiting friends in Decker, Michigan, McVeigh  
> complained that the Army had implanted him with a  
> microchip, a miniature subcutaneous transponder, so that  
> they could keep track of him. He complained that it left an  
> unexplained scar on his buttocks and was painful to sit on.

> -----  
> "Among the many telemetry instruments being used today, are  
> miniature radio transmitters that can be swallowed, carried  
> externally, or surgically implanted.... They permit the  
> simultaneous study of behavior and physiological  
> functioning."

> --Dr. Stuart Mackay, Bio-Medical Telemetry (textbook), 1968  
> -----

> To the public, unfamiliar with the bewildering lexicon of  
> government mind control research, such a claim may appear  
> as the obvious rantings of a paranoiac. But is it?

>  
> Miniaturized telemetrics have been part of an ongoing  
> project by the military and the various intelligence  
> agencies to test the effectiveness of tracking soldiers on  
> the battlefield. The miniature implantable telemetric  
> device was declassified long ago. As far back as 1968, Dr.  
> Stuart Mackay, in his textbook entitled Bio-Medical  
> Telemetry, reported, "Among the many telemetry instruments  
> being used today, are miniature radio transmitters that can  
> be swallowed, carried externally, or surgically implanted  
> in man or animal. They permit the simultaneous study of  
> behavior and physiological functioning."

>  
> It is interesting to note that McVeigh claimed that the  
> Army implanted him with a microchip. According to Dr. Carl  
> Sanders, the developer of the Intelligence Manned Interface  
> (IMI) biochip, "We used this with military personnel in the  
> Iraq War where they were actually tracked using this  
> particular type of device."

>  
> It is also interesting to note that the Calspan Advanced  
> Technology Center in Buffalo, NY (Calspan ATC), where

> McVeigh worked, is engaged in microscopic electronic  
> engineering of the kind applicable to telemetrics. Calspan  
> was founded in 1946 as Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory,  
> which included the "Fund for the Study of Human Ecology," a  
> CIA financing conduit for mind control experiments by  
> emigre Nazi scientists and others under the direction of  
> CIA doctors Sidney Gottlieb, Ewen Cameron, and Louis Jolyn  
> West.

> According to mind control researcher Alex Constantine,  
> "Calspan places much research emphasis on bioengineering  
> and artificial intelligence (Calspan pioneered in the field  
> in the 1950s)." In his article, "The Good Soldier,"  
> Constantine states:

> "Human tracking and monitoring technology are well within  
> Calspan's sphere of pursuits. The company is instrumental  
> in REDCAP, an Air Force electronic warfare system that  
> winds through every Department of Defense facility in the  
> country. A Pentagon release explains that REDCAP "is used  
> to evaluate the effectiveness of electronic-combat  
> hardware, techniques, tactics and concepts." The system  
> "includes closed-loop radar and data links at RF manned  
> data fusion and weapons control posts." One Patriot  
> computer news board reported that a disembodied, rumbling,  
> low-frequency hum had been heard across the country the  
> week of the bombing. Past hums in Taos, New Mexico, Eugene  
> and Medford, Oregon, Timmons, Ontario and Bristol, England  
> were most definitely (despite specious official denials)  
> attuned to the brain's auditory pathways.

> "The Air Force is among Calspan's leading clients, and  
> Eglin AFB has farmed key personnel to the company. The  
> grating irony -- recalling McVeigh's contention he'd been  
> implanted with a telemetry chip -- is that the  
> Instrumentation Technology Branch of Eglin Air Force Base  
> is currently engaged in the tracking of mammals with  
> subminiature telemetry devices. According to an Air Force  
> press release, the biotelemetry chip transmits on the upper  
> S-band (2318 to 2398 MHz), with up to 120 digital  
> channels."

> There is nothing secret about the biotelemetry chip. Ads  
> for commercial versions of the device have appeared in  
> national publications. Time magazine ran an ad for an  
> implantable pet transponder in its June 26, 1995 issue --  
> ironically enough -- opposite an article about a militia  
> leader who was warning about the coming New World Order.  
> While monitoring animals has been an unclassified  
> scientific pursuit for decades, the monitoring of humans  
> has been a highly classified project which is but a subset  
> of the Pentagon's "nonlethal" arsenal. As Constantine  
> notes, "the dystopian implications were explored by Defense  
> News for March 20, 1995:

>  
> "Naval Research Lab Attempts To Meld Neurons And Chips:  
> Studies May Produce Army of 'Zombies.'  
>

> "Future battles could be waged with genetically engineered  
> organisms, such as rodents, whose minds are controlled by  
> computer chips engineered with living brain cells.... The  
> research, called Hippo-campal Neuron Patterning, grows live  
> neurons on computer chips. 'This technology that alters  
> neurons could potentially be used on people to create  
> zombie armies,' Lawrence Korb, a senior fellow at the  
> Brookings Institution, said."  
>

> "It's conceivable," according to Constantine, "given the  
> current state of the electronic mind control art, a  
> biocybernetic Oz over the black budget rainbow, that  
> McVeigh had been drawn into an experimental project, that  
> the device was the real McCoy."  
>

> What this defense department newsletter may have been  
> discussing is the successor to the "Stimoceiver," developed  
> in the late 1950s by Dr. Joseph Delgado and funded by the  
> CIA and the Office of Naval Research. The stimoceiver is a  
> tiny transponder, implanted in the head of a control  
> subject, which can then be used to modify emotions and  
> control behavior. According to Delgado, "Radio Stimulation  
> of different points in the amygdala and hippocampus [areas  
> of the brain] in the four patients produced a variety of  
> effects, including pleasant sensations, elation, deep,  
> thoughtful concentration, odd feelings, super relaxation,  
> colored visions, and other responses."  
>

> According to Delgado, "One of the possibilities with brain  
> transmitters is to influence people so that they conform  
> with the political system. Autonomic and somatic functions,  
> individual and social behavior, emotional and mental  
> reactions may be invoked, maintained, modified, or  
> inhibited, both in animals and in man, by stimulation of  
> specific cerebral structures. Physical control of many  
> brain functions is a demonstrated fact. It is even possible  
> to follow intentions, the development of thought and visual  
> experiences."  
>

> As Constantine points out, the military has a long and  
> sordid history of using enlisted men and unwitting  
> civilians for its nefarious experiments, ranging from  
> radiation, poison gas, drugs and mind control, to spraying  
> entire U.S. cities with bacteriological viruses to test  
> their effectiveness, as was done in San Francisco in the  
> late 1950s. The most recent example involves the use of  
> experimental vaccines tested on Gulf War veterans who are  
> currently experiencing bizarre symptoms, not the least of  
> which is death. When attorneys representing the former  
> soldiers requested their military medical files, they

- > discovered there was no record of the vaccines ever being
- > administered.
- >
- > Timothy McVeigh may have unknowingly been an Army/CIA
- > guinea pig involved in a classified telemetric/mind-control
- > project -- a "Manchurian Candidate."

Robalini's Note: There is another time implanted microchips have come up =  
 in the corporate media. On NBC Dateline, it was one of the stories used =  
 as a replacement for the interview with O.J. Simpson after "The Verdict", =  
 which he cancelled over the absurd belief that they were going to smear =  
 him viciously. After Tom Brokaw smug announcement of the cancellation (w=  
 ith a tone implying something devious in Mr. Simpson's motivations), they=  
 had a show which featured an "upbeat" segment on how lost pets would now=  
 be history, thanks to a new technology involving implanted microchips an=  
 d satellites that would be able to track animals. Impressive that R&D fu=  
 nds were spent merely to track pets. Of course, that wasn't the only int=  
 eresting piece on the show, as the lead story was how deaths caused by go=  
 vernment officials were being covered up due to political connections (in=  
 the shows case, deaths by an =

"inept" doctor were not investigated due to his political power.) But pe=  
 rhaps the most sinister part of the evening was the Dateline question, wh=  
 ere events from a certain year were shown, and the question was asked, "W=  
 hat year is it?"

The year, according to the show, was (is?) 1984.  
 \*\*\*\*\* =

Subj: Part 3, GOV'T Spraying US Population  
 From: jad@locust.etxt.org (John DiNardo)

-- \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

THE PEOPLE'S SPELLBREAKER =

\_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

News They Never Told You .... News They'll Never Tell You

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ PRICE: \_\_ CENTS

THE NEWSPAPER FOR THE PEOPLE OF  
 LOUISIANA  
 =

\* \* \* \* \* MORNING EDITION \* \* \* \* \*

=

EDITOR: John DiNardo

=

### Part 3, GOV'T Spraying US Population

~~~~~

=

////////////////////////////////////

////////// CLOUDS OF SECRECY //////////

//// THE ARMY'S GERM WARFARE TESTS OVER POPULATED AREAS /////

////////// By Leonard A. Cole //////////

//////////

////////// Published by Rowman & Littlefield //////////

////////////////////////////////////

////////// page 61 //////////

//////////

//// JOINT QUARTERLY REPORT No. 3: ////

//// -- THE SPRAYING OF MINNEAPOLIS -- ////

////

//// "THE REPORT STATES THAT THE 'AEROSOL CLOUD STUDY' ////

//// INCLUDED SIXTY-ONE RELEASES OF THE FLUORESCENT ////

//// MATERIAL IN FOUR AREAS OF THE CITY. TENTATIVE ////

//// CONCLUSIONS ARE LISTED AT THE BEGINNING OF THE ////

//// REPORT: FIRST, ////

//// 'Street-level dosage patterns are reproducible ////

//// in an essentially residential area.' ////

////

//// SECOND, ////

//// 'Similar patterns are obtained when the source ////

//// is located at a street intersection, on a roof ////

//// top, or in the middle of a block.' ////

//// THIRD, ////

//// 'Of the penetration studies conducted in ////

//// residences and in the Clinton School, greater ////

//// degrees were obtained in the basements of houses ////

//// than in the upper levels; in the school building, ////

//// however, there was little difference in vertical ////

//// distribution of the inside dosages.' (p. 11). ////

////

//// THE EXPERIMENT THUS INCLUDED MASSIVE EXPOSURE OF ////

//// PEOPLE IN THEIR HOMES AND CHILDREN IN THE SCHOOL. ////

//// YET, THE HUMAN DIMENSION IS NEVER MENTIONED, ONLY ////

//// CLINICAL DESCRIPTIONS OF THE AEROSOL -- ITS ////

//// 'PENETRATION,' 'DISTRIBUTION,' AND 'DOSAGE.' ////

//// WHO WAS BREATHING THE MATERIAL, AND HOW MUCH, ////

//// SEEMS TO HAVE BEEN OF NO CONCERN. ////

////

//// THE REPORT EXPLAINS THAT OPERATIONS DURING THE ////

//// THREE-MONTH PERIOD IN MINNEAPOLIS INVOLVED: ////

//// 'eighty-one field experiment hours and eleven ////

//// thousand one hundred and seventy man hours, ////
//// including full-time and part-time personnel in ////
//// the field and laboratory.' ////
////
//// EXPERIMENTS WERE CONDUCTED BETWEEN 8:00 P.M. AND ////
//// MIDNIGHT, AND BETWEEN 1:30 P.M. AND 5:00 P.M. (P.25). ////
//// THUS, HUNDREDS OF PERSONNEL WERE CARRYING OUT THE ////
//// EXPERIMENTS FOR MONTHS, WHEN PEOPLE WERE SLEEPING, ////
//// AT WORK, OR COMMUTING, WHEN CHILDREN WERE AT SCHOOL ////
//// OR PLAYING OUTDOORS. NO TIME, NO LOCATION, NO SEGMENT ////
//// OF THE POPULATION, WHETHER OLD, YOUNG, SICK OR POOR, ////
//// WERE EXEMPT FROM EXPOSURE TO THE ZINC CADMIUM SULFIDE ////
//// PARTICLES.. ////

////
//// A SECTION OF FIVE PAGES OF THE REPORT IS TITLED, ////
//// 'Public Relations,' ////
//// ALTHOUGH, IN FACT, MUCH IS DEVOTED TO PUBLIC ////
//// DECEPTION. FROM THE TEXT: ////

////
//// 'Advance meetings with the mayor of Minneapolis, ////
//// the Minneapolis City Council, and the Public ////
//// Utilities Committee were held in August and ////
//// September of 1952. ... The result of these ////
//// meetings was the extension of full cooperation ////
//// of various city departments to the field office ////
//// during the test program. ... It was the desire of ////
//// these departments to be prepared to dispel the ////
//// anticipated concern of citizens calling to report ////
//// unusual activities at unusual hours. [p. 26]' ////

////
//// AT A GLANCE, THIS PASSAGE MIGHT BE TAKEN TO MEAN THAT ////
//// THE CITY OFFICIALS WERE INFORMED OF THE PURPOSE AND ////
//// METHOD OF THE TESTING ARRANGEMENTS. CAREFUL READING ////
//// OF THE REMAINING NARRATIVE INDICATES THAT THIS WAS ////
//// UNLIKELY. THE OFFICIALS EVIDENTLY HAD BEEN TOLD THAT ////
//// THE TESTS INVOLVED EFFORTS TO MEASURE ABILITY TO LAY ////
//// SMOKE SCREENS ABOUT THE CITY, BECAUSE THAT WAS THE ////
//// STORY RELEASED BY THE ARMY. THE SMOKE-SCREEN ////
//// EXPLANATION WAS CONCOCTED TO ALLAY SUSPICIONS ABOUT ////
//// THE STRANGE EQUIPMENT AND ACTIVITIES THAT PASSERSBY ////
//// MIGHT NOTICE. THIS MISINFORMATION EFFORT INCLUDED ////
//// PLANTING FALSE NEWS STORIES, LIKE ONE CITED BY THE ////
//// REPORT (ON P. 30) THAT APPEARED IN THE MINNEAPOLIS ////
//// TRIBUNE: ////

////
//// 'Government research has shown that even in an age ////
//// of radar-bombing, it may be desirable to hide ////
//// cities with smoke screens in the event of atomic ////
//// attack. It is not known if any smoke has been ////
//// released over Minneapolis. Several other cities ////
//// also are involved in the tests.'" ////

/////////
///////// page 62 ///////////
/////////

-CITE-

=

-EXPCITE-

TITLE 50 - WAR AND NATIONAL DEFENSE

CHAPTER 32 - CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL WARFARE PROGRAM

-HEAD-

Sec. 1520: Use of human subjects for testing of chemical or biological agents by Department of Defense; accounting to Congressional committees with respect to experiments and studies; notification of local civilian officials

=

-STATUTE-

(a) Not later than thirty days after final approval within the Department of Defense of plans for any experiment or study to be=

conducted by the Department of Defense, whether directly or unde=

r

contract, involving the use of human subjects for the testing of=

chemical or biological agents, the Secretary of Defense shall supply the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House =

of

Representatives with a full accounting of such plans for such experiment or study, and such experiment or study may then be conducted only after the expiration of the thirty-day period beginning on the date such accounting is received by such committees.

=

(b)(1) The Secretary of Defense may not conduct any test or experiment involving the use of any chemical or biological agent=

on

civilian populations unless local civilian officials in the area=

in

which the test or experiment is to be conducted are notified in advance of such test or experiment, and such test or experiment =

may

then be conducted only after the expiration of the thirty-day period beginning on the date of such notification.

=

(2) Paragraph (1) shall apply to tests and experiments conduct=

ed

by Department of Defense personnel and tests and experiments conducted on behalf of the Department of Defense by contractors.=

=

-SOURCE-

Public Law 95-79, Title VIII, Sec. 808, July 30, 1977, 91 Stat. 334;
In U.S. Statutes-at-Large, Vol. 91, page 334, you will find Public Law 95-79.
Public Law 97-375, title II, Sec. 203(a)(1), Dec. 21, 1982, 96 Stat. 1882.
In U.S. Statutes-at-Large, Vol. 96, page 1882, you will find Public Law 97-375.

~~ TO BE CONTINUED ~~

* * * * *

To receive an episode of these many series in your e-mail box each weekday, just send an e-mail message with the word "SUBSCRIBE" in the "Subject" line, to jad@locust.etext.org

I urge you to post the episodes of this ongoing series to other Usenet newsgroups, networks, computer bulletin boards and mailing lists.

It is also important to post hardcopies on the bulletin boards in campus halls, churches, supermarkets, laundromats, etc. -- any place where concerned citizens can read this vital information. Our people's need for Paul Reveres and Ben Franklins is as urgent today as it was 220 years ago.

=

John DiNardo jad@locust.etext.org

////////////////////////////////////=

//

| If we seriously listen to this God within us [conscience, if you will],
| we usually find ourselves being urged to take the more difficult path,
| the path of more effort rather than less.
| Each and every one of us, more or less frequently, will hold
| back from this work Like every one of our ancestors before us,
| we are all lazy. So original sin does exist; it is our laziness.

=

M. Scott Peck

=

THE ROAD LESS TRAVELED

=

////////////////////////////////////=

/

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: uucp@WhiteHouse.gov@INET@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-MAY-1997 12:51:00.00

SUBJECT: scanner results

TO: binns_m (binns_m@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:NOT READ

TO: horn_s (horn_s@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:28-MAY-1997 13:01:03.81

TEXT:
SCANNER RESULTS

>>>>>>> cut ou <<<<<<<<

> home and opulent life style. The Asian explained
> his wealth with a wink toward his "cut": "You see
> that highway out there? Fifteen percent!"

MESSAGE BODY

From iasanet-list-error@ac.dal.ca Wed May 28 12:50:03 1997
Received: (from uucp@localhost) by WhiteHouse.gov (8.7.1/uucp-relay) id MAA20323 for
<President@WhiteHouse.GOV>; Wed, 28 May 1997 12:50:03 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from storm.eop.gov/198.137.241.51 via smap
Received: from DIRECTORY-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)
id <01IJEJHPKW68001DUO@STORM.EOP.GOV> for President@WhiteHouse.GOV; Wed,
28 May 1997 12:49:32 EDT
Received: from SCAN-DAEMON by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)
id <01IJEJHOOQRW001I3I@STORM.EOP.GOV> for president@Whitehouse.GOV; Wed,
28 May 1997 12:49:30 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from SYSWRK.UCIS.Dal.Ca ([129.173.1.68])
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)
with ESMTTP id <01IJEJH4KK6E001GZX@STORM.EOP.GOV> for president@Whitehouse.GOV;
Wed, 28 May 1997 12:49:05 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from reprocess.SYSWRK.UCIS.DAL.CA by SYSWRK.UCIS.DAL.CA
(PMDF V4.3-13 #6307) id <01IJELF6NUE8005QUC@SYSWRK.UCIS.DAL.CA>; Wed,
28 May 1997 13:45:13 -0400
Received: from DIRECTORY-DAEMON by SYSWRK.UCIS.DAL.CA (PMDF V4.3-13 #6307)
id <01IJELENMRZ4005VVR@SYSWRK.UCIS.DAL.CA>; Wed, 28 May 1997 13:44:29 -0400
Received: from selene.wright.edu by SYSWRK.UCIS.DAL.CA (PMDF V4.3-13 #6307)
id <01IJELEJ29WW005R23@SYSWRK.UCIS.DAL.CA>; Wed, 28 May 1997 13:44:16 -0400
Received: from discover.wright.edu (discgate.wright.edu)
by mailhost.wright.edu (PMDF V5.1-6 #12548)
with SMTP id <01IJEJAWITY0000HGL@mailhost.wright.edu> for
iasanet-list@ac.dal.ca; Wed, 28 May 1997 12:44:02 -0500 (EST)
Received: from localhost by discover.wright.edu (4.1/SMI-4.1)

id AA29949; Wed, 28 May 1997 12:41:26 -0400 (EDT)
Date: Wed, 28 May 1997 12:41:25 -0400 (EDT)
From: "O. Ogunjimi" <s001eoo@discover.wright.edu>
Subject: Why Africa Can Thrive Like Asia
X-Sender: s001eoo@discover
To: iasanet-list@ac.dal.ca
Errors-to: iasanet-list-error@ac.dal.ca
Reply-to: iasanet-list@ac.dal.ca
Message-id: <Pine.SUN.3.93.970528124055.28248E-100000@discover>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT
Comments: This message scanned by SCAN version 0.1 jms/960226

----- Forwarded message -----

Date: Sun, 25 May 1997 07:44:32 -0400 (EDT)
From: "o. kasirim nwuke" <knwuke@lynx.dac.neu.edu>
To: The Nigerian Meeting Place <naijanet@mitvma.mit.edu>
Cc: "The First Sex?:-)" <naija-women@gradient.cis.upenn.edu>
Subject: Why Africa Can Thrive Like Asia (fwd)

> May 24, 1997
>
> Why Africa Can Thrive Like Asia
>
>
> By NICHOLAS D. KRISTOF
>
> [K] ISANGANI, Congo -- One of the great paradoxes
> of Africa is that its people are for the most
> part desperately poor while its land is
> extraordinarily rich. East Asia is the opposite: a
> region mostly poor in resources that over the last
> few decades has enjoyed the greatest economic boom
> in human history.
>
> The area around this river port city in eastern
> Congo, the former Zaire, is a case in point: it is
> dilapidated and impoverished yet studded with
> diamonds, like a billionaire on Skid Row. Back in
> the 1950s, when this country and several others in
> Africa were at the same income level as South
> Korea while blessed with far more natural
> resources, it might have seemed reasonable that
> Africa would soon leave Asia in the dust.
>
> Now South Korea has a per capita income of about
> \$10,000 a year, and Congo stands at \$150 per
> person. Narrowing the gap is a fundamental
> challenge for Congo's new leaders as they try to
> rebuild their country after the overthrow this

> month of the longtime Zairian dictator, Mobutu
> Sese Seko.

> And a vital first step, not only for this country
> but for others across Africa -- and for big aid
> donors like the United States -- is understanding
> why such yawning disparities have occurred.

> A wave of new research into the contrast between
> Africa and East Asia is producing some surprising
> findings. The most striking and reassuring
> conclusion is that although East Asia enjoyed some
> significant cultural and historical advantages,
> its economic boom relied on factors that probably
> can be replicated elsewhere.

> In a nutshell, the formula was an
> outward-oriented, market-based economic policy
> coupled with an emphasis on education and health
> care. Countries in other regions like Chile have
> followed the strategy with great success. Several
> African countries, led by Congo's neighbor Uganda,
> are trying to learn from the Asian experience and
> are enjoying their own Asian-style boom.

> Uganda, a beautiful, vividly green nation on the
> banks of Lake Victoria in East Africa, with vast
> herds of antelope that graze beside modern
> highways, now has one of the fastest-growing
> economies in the world. Yet it is simply at the
> crest of a wave of African countries experimenting
> with privatization and stock markets -- there are
> 16 so far in Africa -- and trying to prove that
> rapid economic growth is not a prerogative of East
> Asia alone.

> "This is still in the early days, and we're not
> euphoric and jumping up and down, but we think
> that parts of Africa are moving in the right
> direction," said Alan H. Gelb, chief economist for
> Africa at the World Bank. "I think there are
> grounds for optimism."

> At last count, four countries -- Uganda, Angola,
> Lesotho and Malawi -- were enjoying growth rates
> of 10 percent. That is about as fast as the peak
> growth rates for the Asian "tigers," Hong Kong,
> Taiwan, Singapore and South Korea.

> "There's an emerging consensus that Africa is not
> hopeless, and that in fact there is an emerging
> renaissance going on in Africa," said Salih
> Booker, a senior fellow at the Council on Foreign
> Relations.

>
>
> But to travel the back roads here and in Asia is
> to be reminded how many opportunities Africa
> missed over the last few decades, and how much it
> continues to mortgage its future by giving short
> shrift to education and health care.
>

>
> The new research suggests that a central feature
> of the successful East Asian model was a high
> level of education and public health -- a level
> partly inherited from past centuries and partly
> nurtured in recent decades. Despite its own
> ancient educational traditions, Africa lags far
> behind in building this "human capital."
>

>
> In the little village of Lalia, in eastern Congo,
> the principal of the mud-brick elementary school
> throws up his hands at the challenges he faces
> educating the barefoot children who swarm around
> him.
>

>
> "We have no notebooks and no teaching materials,
> and some teachers don't come because we cannot pay
> salaries," said the principal, Bibi Masakama, an
> energetic 32-year-old, as he showed a visitor
> around the school. The mud walls leaned ominously,
> and light came from holes in the walls that
> functioned as windows. Lizards ran about on the
> walls and on the rough wooden planks that served
> as desks and benches.
>

>
> "None of these kids has ever been vaccinated
> against any disease," Masakama added. "None has
> ever had a medical examination."
>

>
> Many reasons have been cited over the years for
> the different economic trajectories of Africa and
> East Asia. Some said that the colonial burden on
> Africa was much heavier, or that Africa was
> troubled more by artificial boundaries and
> disparate tribes and languages. Others, including
> some Africans, pointed to climate or culture.
>

>
> "In Africa the climate is such that there's always
> fruit around, in back of the house, and you just
> reach up and pick it when you're hungry," said
> Alauwa Lobela, the mayor of Kisangani. "But in
> Europe and Asia, the climate forced people to get
> food, to protect themselves from the cold in the
> winter, to develop a spirit of battle."
>

>
> Such climate-based explanations are common, and
> analysts have found that the economies of tropical
> countries do indeed grow a bit more slowly than

> those of temperate countries, all other things
> being equal. But the statistical relationship is
> slight, and one study suggested that climate
> explains only one-twentieth of the difference in
> economic performance between Africa and East Asia
> since 1965.

> Of course, East Asia includes North Korea, healthy
> and well-educated but an economic disaster area
> facing famine, while Africa includes countries
> like Botswana that have prospered over the years.
> But regionally per capita incomes grew about 11
> times faster in East Asia than in sub-Saharan
> Africa in the years since 1965.

> Why? Economists point to a conjunction of factors
> associated with rapid growth: places like Japan,
> China, Taiwan and South Korea underwent land
> redistribution after World War II and became
> relatively egalitarian societies; they were
> relatively well-educated and healthy, and they
> experienced a sharp drop in birth rates. On top of
> that, economic policies were ideal: the East Asian
> countries sooner or later adopted relatively open,
> market-oriented policies emphasizing exports.

> East Asian countries pursued various economic
> models, but there was also a common economic
> strategy, which countries like Uganda are now
> emulating. The strategy emphasizes fiscal prudence
> and avoiding inflation while vigorously promoting
> exports and keeping the currency undervalued.

> In the past, African countries often tolerated
> soaring inflation and overvalued their currencies,
> keeping exports uncompetitive on world markets.

> A central issue was politics: many African
> countries flirted with socialism and an
> anti-capitalist brand of nationalism that was in
> fashion during the cold war. In East Asia, the
> most successful countries avoided socialism and,
> in the case of South Korea and Taiwan, flirted
> with fascism. Even China since 1980 has arguably
> been closer to fascism than communism, not so much
> Marxist-Leninist as market-Leninist.

> Another critical factor has been savings, which
> are needed to finance new factories and other
> investments that stimulate economic growth. Partly
> because of market incentives and government
> dictates, national savings rates have been much
> higher in Asia (more than 30 percent of gross
> domestic product) than in Africa (about 12

> percent).

> "Our problem is that we don't save," lamented
> Samuel Ndomba, a British-educated professor at the
> University of Kisangani in Congo. "When people get
> a bit of money, they just spend it to buy a beer."

> That might change, though, were there more
> incentives for investment. There is nothing
> intrinsically Asian about high savings, for Japan
> had very low savings rates in the 19th century.
> They soared in part because of government
> campaigns and the spread of deposit-taking
> institutions, and eventually financed Japan's
> industrial revolution.

> One major reason not to save and invest in Africa
> has been inflation and instability: when people
> save money, it often ends up being confiscated.

> Victoria Baenongandi, for example, lasted just two
> weeks as an entrepreneur in eastern Congo. She
> bought a pile of merchandise to resell, but
> everything was seized by soldiers. So she returned
> home and gave up on business -- and put her
> savings into beer.

> Economic policy aside, East Asia enjoyed another
> crucial advantage over Africa, one that may be
> harder to replicate. Countries like South Korea or
> even China started the development process with
> citizens who were more literate and more healthy
> than those in other nations at their income
> levels, and they continued to improve.

> For all of China's persistent poverty, a child
> born in Shanghai in the early 1990s was more
> likely to learn to read and more likely to survive
> childhood than a baby born in New York City.

> Some experts argue that East Asia's success in
> education derives not from government programs --
> Asian governments actually spend a smaller share
> of GNP on education than do African governments.

> Rather, literacy and other education achievements
> in Asia may have been helped greatly by a culture
> of parental concern that supports enormous private
> spending on education, arising from a Confucian
> reverence for scholarship.

> Because of Confucianism, China has had national
> exams and the rough equivalent of university
> graduates for 2,000 years. In contrast, Tanzania

> is said to have had only 13 university graduates
> at independence in 1961.

> Their histories also meant that most Asian
> countries slipped fairly easily into the form of
> the modern nation-state, for they had a sense of
> nationhood that in some cases went back for
> millennia. On the other hand, some African
> countries -- a hodgepodge of ethnic groups within
> borders drawn arbitrarily by colonial rulers --
> are still struggling to adjust to modern
> nationhood. Such instability has always been fatal
> to economic development, whether in Cambodia or in
> Mozambique.

> Health problems have also been a greater economic
> burden on Africa than is often realized. Most
> Africans, for example, have stomach worms, and as
> a result millions of people cannot study or work
> energetically, and some children have their
> intelligence permanently impaired because of
> anemia caused by the parasites.

> "From the age of two, most people here have
> worms," shrugged Bakondagama Barandala, 24, a
> nurse at a shabby clinic in Mambasa, in
> northeastern Congo. The clinic is a metaphor for
> public health in Congo: it is the only clinic in
> the region, yet it has no doctor, no electricity,
> no drinking water, no instruments and no
> medicines.

> For all the importance of education and health
> care, the cultivation of human capital seems to be
> effective only in tandem with the right economic
> policies.

> China was healthy and literate for its income
> level in the early 1960s when under Mao it
> underwent one of the worst economic contractions
> and famines in world history, one in which 30
> million people died. Likewise, Tanzania for many
> years vigorously promoted education and health,
> but it stagnated because of catastrophic economic
> policies inspired by a quasi-socialist ideology.

> One reason for some optimism about Africa now is
> its parallels with Asian countries earlier this
> century. Much like Asia was before its boom,
> Africa is relatively egalitarian in wealth, partly
> because land is not concentrated in the hands of a
> small elite (as in parts of Latin America).
> Similarly, in many African countries birth rates
> are dropping and literacy is rising.

Moreover, it's instructive to recall that Western pessimism over global basket cases was once directed at Asia too: it used to be fashionable to say that Chinese had no capacity for hard work and no feel for business, and that China was destined, as Samuel Taylor Coleridge put it, to "permanency without progression."

Among the most important studies of the lessons of East Asia are a 337-page report edited by two Harvard economists and just released by the Asian Development Bank, and another major report published by the World Bank in 1993.

Those studies and related research point to other factors that go along with the nurturing of financial and human capital -- especially the effectiveness of Asian governments.

Asian governments have often been more effective than Africa's in part because they have been less corrupt. Asia has huge amounts of corruption by American standards, but for the most part graft there is just another cost of doing business; in Africa it often prevents business from being done at all. A joke heard in business circles makes the point:

An African visited an Asian friend, a government official, and admired the official's spectacular home and opulent life style. The Asian explained his wealth with a wink toward his "cut": "You see that highway out there? Fifteen percent!"

Then the Asian visited the African, also a government official, and found that he was living just as lavishly. Asked how he had prospered, the African pointed outside, saying: "You see that highway out there?"

"No," the Asian said, peering helplessly out the window. "What highway?"

"That's just it. One hundred percent."

Copyright 1997 The New York Times Company

ATT BODYPART TYPE:D

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879)
id <01IJEJJSZ9M8005GQ6@PMDf.EOP.GOV>; Wed, 28 May 1997 12:51:13 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)
by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IJEJJRKMPC001ZEC@PMDf.EOP.GOV>; Wed,
28 May 1997 12:51:11 -0500 (EST)

Received: from WhiteHouse.gov ([198.137.241.30])
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.1-7 #6879)
with ESMTP id <01IJEJJ2X8V6001GZX@STORM.EOP.GOV>; Wed,
28 May 1997 12:50:38 -0400 (EDT)

Received: (from uucp@localhost) by WhiteHouse.gov (8.7.1/uucp-relay)
id MAA20373; Wed, 28 May 1997 12:50:36 -0400 (EDT)

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: USIA_Media_Reaction/R__MR/WASHDC/USIA/GOV.USIA@notes1.usia.gov@INET@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:28-MAY-1997 15:33:00.00

SUBJECT: Daily Digest 5/28: PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS IN IRAN

TO: andrei_brezianu (andrei_brezianu@seur.voa.gov@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Matsui_d (Matsui_d@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:NOT READ

TO: cwi (cwi@imssys.com@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: jkiely (jkiely@telenet.com@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Lou_Lantner (Lou_Lantner@dir.voa.gov@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: napsnet (napsnet@nautilus.org@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Clark_p (Clark_p@A1@CD) (OA)
READ:28-MAY-1997 15:49:58.39

TO: afpal (afpal@ix.netcom.com@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: salla.hauvonen (salla.hauvonen@lexis-nexis.com@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: spike (spike@pananet.com@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: tlvio (tlvio@usia.gov@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: tlvpao (tlvpao@usia.gov@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:

The election of Seyyed Mohammed Khatemi as the next president of the Islamic Republic of Iran caught the attention of editors worldwide, with most concluding that his landslide victory signaled a shift toward "moderation" in the theocratic republic. In Iran, official English-language papers saw the "moderate" cleric's win as "a turning point" in the history of the Islamic republic and urged Mr. Khatemi's aides to "make accountability and the rule of law the main pillars of their plan of action." Official Iranian radio, however, insisted in its English-language broadcast that the Western media had

misinterpreted the former minister of Culture and Guidance's victory as being a protest vote and "a message for changes...in this country." It praised the Iranian people for being "vigilant" against "various conspiracies by the enemies." That "message," nonetheless, found credence not just in Western capitals, but in the Middle East and Asia as well. Cairo's pro-government Al-Ahram declared: "It is obvious from the results of the...elections that the Iranian people have come to strongly seek moderation and reform." Karachi's independent national Dawn observed that Mr. Khatemi's "platform of personal freedom" and political "participation" had attracted large numbers of women and young voters. Tel Aviv's mass-appeal, pluralist Maariv declared: "The Iranians appear to have had enough of religious extremism and deprivation of civil liberties."

While pundits uniformly found the elections encouraging, many confessed that Iran's future remains an enigma. The majority concluded that although "the leading Islamic country" has sent "a powerful signal" to the Islamic world by defying its conservative clerical leaders and voting for a reform-minded president, Iran remains "a theocracy, not a democracy." These observers cautioned that outsiders must take a wait-and-see approach in light of the many areas--the Middle East peace process, state-sponsored terrorism, the development of weapons of mass destruction and the violation of human rights--in which Iran's activities have become the concern of many nations. Nevertheless, some European writers deduced that after a period of "appropriate reflection" after the Mykonos case, "it should not be difficult for Europe to resume its traditional trade and political relations with Iran." Writers from Italy to Bahrain to Bangladesh urged the United States to conduct a "strategic revision" of its dual containment policy and to "open a dialogue" with Iran. Still, there were significant voices of caution against expecting too much from the new Khatemi administration. The liberal Montreal Gazette suggested that the new president "has no intention of spending his limited political capital trying to change Iran's terrible relationship with the United States." Istanbul's religious/fundamentalist Milli Gazete intoned ominously, "Some people expected radical changes from Rafsanjani as well when he was elected, but time showed that he did not do anything against the principles of the Iranian Islamic revolution."

This survey is based on 32 reports from 18 countries, May 23-28.

EDITORS: Gail Hamer Burke and Kathleen Brahney

SOUTH ASIA

IRAN: "President-Elect Khatemi"

Official Iranian radio, Tehran's Voice of the Islamic Republic of Iran, broadcast this in Persian (5/25): "The leader of the revolution, his eminence Ayatollah Khamenei, in a message to Iranians on the occasion of the enthusiastic and unprecedented seventh presidential election and the election of Seyyed Mohammed Khatemi as the new president, described the greatness and brilliance of the tremendous turnout of the people in the...elections.... What clearly comes across from the message of the esteemed leader of the revolution is the undeniable effect that the presence of varied ideas and tastes on the enthusiasm of the people to participate in the election....

"The figures related to the seventh presidential election clearly emphasized the role of the people in the new chapter that has been opened nationwide. That is because the participation of more than 29 million people in the polls on Friday and the election of a president with more than 20 million votes was unprecedented in all the elections during the Islamic Republic rule. Thus, the people's president-elect, who has been chosen as the seventh president of Islamic Iran by their decisive vote, begins his responsibility to manage the executive affairs of the country and to give momentum to the trend of the country's development and progress with the support of the leader and each and every one of the people."

"Western Mass Media Misinterpret Elections"

Official Iranian radio, Tehran's Voice of the Islamic Republic of Iran, broadcast this commentary in English (5/25): "It should be mentioned that the mass media affiliated with world imperialism, which did not expect such an enthusiastic presence by the people in the presidential elections of Iran, continued to present wrong analyses concerning the elections. In this regard, some of the Western mass media have interpreted the votes cast by the people of Iran as a sign of opposition to the sovereignty of the theologians and believe that the votes cast by the Iranian people are in fact a message demanding changes and developments in this country. This is under conditions when this time too, the Iranian people cast their votes in favor of a theologian as their future president. Additionally, with the election of the people's favorite president, it was revealed how baseless the publicity of the Western media was where they claimed that the Iranian president had been pre-elected. The reality is that the Iranian people's great and enthusiastic participation in the elections proved that in the Islamic Republic of Iran, it is the people's votes which determine their political fate.... In order to have access to truth, they have to review the 18-year-long history of Iran following the victory of the Islamic revolution, and then they will realize that the sustainable and vigilant presence of the Iranian people in various arenas has safeguarded Iran against various conspiracies by the enemies."

"Turning Point In Iran's History"

In the words of the official, English-language Kayhan International (5/25), "It is everybody's belief that Friday's presidential election proved to be a determining day for and a turning point in the history of the Islamic Republic of Iran."

"A Vote For Change"

The official, English-language Tehran Times held (5/25), "The unprecedented, massive turnout in the presidential elections--covered by more than hundred teams of newsmen from across the world--is another vote of confidence for the Islamic system and its leadership.... While people's participation in the election confirms their loyalty to the Islamic system, their new choice has a special message for the officials: Grievances and complaints must not remain unheeded. In other words, people's vote for Hojjat Ol-Eslam Khatemi is a call for change in certain issue areas."

"Next Is Accountability And The Rule Of Law"

The official, English-language Iran Daily opined (5/25), "Next in the line is rule of law and the inter-relationship between authority and responsibility.... Khatemi's aides will do the nation a major service if at the outset they make accountability and rule of law the main pillars of their plan of action.... Now, more than at any time in the past, it is possible and necessary to join hands with the forthcoming administration of Mohammed Khatemi to face internal problems and foreign pressure."

BANGLADESH: "Election In Iran"

Conservative Ittefaq declared (5/27), "It is notable that Iran has been holding elections regularly since the Islamic revolution. The propensity to cling to power like many Third World countries is not visible in Iran. The loser in the just-concluded election conceded defeat before the counting of votes was completed and congratulated the winner. This example of conceding defeat is rare in many Asian and African countries. It has become part of the political culture to raise allegations of vote-rigging if one loses the election in these countries. Even Iran's supreme leader congratulated the victor. This reflects an allegiance and sincerity to democratic values."

"New Iranian President"

According to anti-West Inqilab (5/27), "A certain anti-Islamic circle is viewing this victory of Khatemi from a different angle. This circle has termed the victory as an end to the influence of the Islamic clergy, as the fall of fundamentalism and as a victory for the moderates. They have forgotten that the Islamic revolution of Iran was the reflection of people's long-cherished aspirations. Programs for leading the country to its desired goals may be different, but this does not at all change the policies and ideals."

"Iranians Want Change"

Pro-left Sangbad asserted (5/27), "The result of the just-concluded election is clear evidence that the influence of Iran's supreme leader, the Ayatollah Ali Khamenei, on his people is on the wane. This verdict of the people proves that a freer political and social environment is more desirable in Iran than tougher religious injunctions. But religious hardliners have been occupying the most seats in the Iranian parliament. This will increase the president's conflict with them in the coming days. Will the radicals be able to accept this changed situation?"

"New Iranian President And Open Air"

According to independent Banglabazar Patrika (5/27), "President-elect Khatemi will face two tough problems. The first is domestic and the second is international. The Iranian image abroad has been damaged substantially since the Islamic revolution. The economy has not yet been rebuilt and there are great ideological divisions. The Western influence has very strong roots in Iranian society. Iranian women could not accept the revolution wholeheartedly. The Islamic hardliners have been interpreting Islamic injunctions according to their convenience and trying to subjugate the womenfolk. But they cannot suppress the younger generation's interest in the outside world."

"Iran's Verdict For Continued Reforms"

The conservative New Nation expressed these views (5/29), "Considering Khatemi's all-round exposure in governance, backed by the unprecedented popular support that he enjoys, it's time for the West to open a dialogue with him inasmuch as he is indeed the right person capable of defusing the long accumulated tensions in international relations."

PAKISTAN: "New President For Iran"

Karachi's independent, national Dawn held (5/27), "The elections last week, which were the seventh in Iran since the Islamic revolution in 1979, were hotly contested. It speaks of the Iranian leadership's commitment to democratic rules and practices--which has never flagged at any stage--that the campaign was conducted in a dignified manner and the loser accepted his defeat gracefully, although he was generally expected to win. This points to the growing maturity of Iranian democracy within the framework set by the Islamic clerics.... It is on the platform of personal freedom that Mr. Khatemi has made the strongest impression. His promise of change and his assurance to women that they will enjoy greater participation in national life have undoubtedly won for him the votes of the youth and women--a factor which may have been decisive in his victory."

MIDDLE EAST

ISRAEL: "Iran's Second Revolution"

The independent Jerusalem Post told its readers (5/25): "Although President-elect Mohammed Khatemi campaigned on maintaining the state's hostility to the United States and Israel...there may be room for some hope that some thaw in the attitude to the 'great and little Satans' might emerge.... Israel's defense establishment undoubtedly will be watching closely for any change in Tehran's support for Hezbollah and must be alert to any winds of change, regardless of the direction, that may blow up in the most important non-Arab state in the Middle East after Turkey."

"A Better Iran"

Mass-appeal, pluralist Maariv judged (5/25): "The victory of Mohammed Khatemi in the presidential elections is the best piece of news to have come out of Iran since the ayatollahs took over the country. In the long run, the victory of the less radical and more liberal of Iran's fanatic religious leaders might be good news also for Israel.... The Iranians appear to have had enough of religious extremism and deprivation of civil liberties. More and more Iranians have grown bitter over the economic situation.... Mohammed Khatemi may yet prove a bonus to the West. It would seem that the specter of nuclear confrontation in the Middle East has lessened somewhat."

EGYPT: "Moderation In Iran"

Unsigned commentary in pro-government Al Ahram remarked (5/26): "It is obvious

from the results of the Iranian elections that the Iranian people have come to strongly seek moderation and reform."

JORDAN: "The Road To Change"

Daily columnist Mahmoud Rimawi opined on the op-ed page of pro-government, influential, Arabic-language Al-Ray (5/27): "If the new president...is to succeed in his call for change and in confronting the traditional forces of power within the regime, the change will be as good as a second revolution, which Iranians and their neighbors have been waiting and hoping for.... We don't expect Iran to make a complete shift of attitude or an instant change in policies due to the complexity of the decision-making system. Yet, the new leadership should invest in the prevailing positive climate to start on the path of change and reform and to re-portray Iran's image as the open-minded, the peaceful and the prosperous."

BAHRAIN: "Beginning Of A New Iranian Era"

Leading, semi-independent Al-Ayam published this view by Omran Salman (5/29), "The Iranians generously granted Khatemi their votes and gave him the number two position in Iran. By doing so, that country moved a step forward. A step which is enough to spread modernization, even if on a limited scale.... Will this be the beginning of a new Iranian era that will render unto Caesar the things that are Caesar's and to God the things that are God's?"

"The Second American Defeat By Iran"

Hafedh Al-Shaikh wrote (5/23) in leading, semiofficial Akhbar Al-Khalij, "The latest edition of Foreign Affairs definitely is the most important edition published.... This edition should be read carefully by all of those in the region who are under the illusion that America will forever defend the status quo.... The article...provides evidence of the failure of the sanctions against Iran.... The Iranian economy is healthier and more stable under the blockade than many countries receiving aid from America."

UNITED ARAB EMIRATES: "Majority Of Iranians Want Freedom And Reforms"

Sharjah-based, Arabic-language Al-Khaleej (5/27) editorialized, "The outcome of the elections reflects a tendency for some sort of moderate democracy in a country that was guided by conservative clergymen.... The election of Khatemi means that the majority of Iranians want freedom and reforms. We wonder whether Khatemi will break Iran's isolation and establish with neighboring countries relations based on mutual respect, confidence and good-neighborliness. The answer to this is strongly linked to his ability to use his popular support in his expected confrontation with the parliament led by its speaker, his rival Nateq Nouri."

EUROPE

TURKEY: "Is Iran Changing?"

Ferhat Koc wrote in Istanbul's religious/fundamentalist Milli Gazete (5/28): "The results of the elections in Iran were a surprise to the Westerners who called Khatemi a liberal. In fact, this should not be regarded as a surprise because there were only two favorites in the elections. The winner, Khatemi, will not bring much of a change to Iran. Let's not forget the fact that some people expected radical changes from Rafsanjani as well when he was elected, but time showed that he did not do anything against the principles of the Iranian Islamic revolution. As for the newly elected president, he is also a well-educated, religiously competent and staunch supporter of the Islamic revolution.... Khatemi himself is a religious figure. He was in charge of the Ministry of Religious Philosophy two years ago."

GERMANY: "Iran Still A Theocracy"

In the view of right-of-center Frankfurter Neue Presse (5/27): "The Rafsanjanis and Khatemis do not differ in any essential point from the advocates of the purely theocratic doctrine. Their liberality is exhausted by interpreting the Islamic dress regulations in a more liberal way. And it is occasionally limited to offering a carrot to the Europeans, who want to enter into a 'critical dialogue.' In the elections, a clique of the enemies of freedom extended its position at the expense of others. Khatemi will not withdraw the order to kill Salman Rushdie nor will he change one iota of the policy that aims at brutally suppressing one's own people. The election and the outcome are by no means the first signal for a better future. The Iranian people had the alternative of deciding between dark green or not so dark a green. It is evidence of an incredible naivete that several experts on the developments in the Orient now speak of a 'revolution at the ballot box.'"

CANADA: "The Women's Vote"

Independent journalist Gwynne Dyer suggested in the liberal Montreal Gazette (5/28) that "Ayatollah Mohammed Khatemi, the surprise victor in the Iranian presidential election last week, owes a lot to women.... There is speculation that Khatemi may even nominate Rafsanjani's daughter Faiza as his vice-president.... But Iran is a democracy, if a strange and rather limited one, and even the most conservative mullahs cannot ignore who elected Khatemi to the presidency.... So what can Khatemi do with this resounding victory, with or without a female vice-president? Khatemi is not a 'liberal.'... He has no intention of spending his limited political capital trying to change Iran's terrible relationship with the United States.... What's left for Khatemi is the political and social field--and it's because they believe that he would start opening Iran up in these areas that the women, the young people, and everybody else interested in change voted for him."

"Iran's Islamic Revolt"

The liberal Toronto Star (5/27) commented, "Iranians sent the Islamic world a powerful signal this weekend by defying their conservative clerical leaders and voting for a reform-minded president.... They do not equate being a good Muslim with being closed-minded.... They value political pluralism and religious tolerance.... Just how much success Khatemi will have is uncertain.... The rise of Khatemi with such strong popular support will also

have reverberations beyond Iran. That the leading Islamic...country should signal its yearning for pluralism and tolerance in the best humanistic traditions of the religion strengthens progressive forces across the Muslim world."

"Iranians Vote For Change"

According to the liberal Montreal Gazette (5/27), "By giving Mohammed Khatemi a landslide victory...Iranians voted massively for change. They voted for greater personal freedoms and less censorship; they voted for a more tolerant regime and against the favored candidate of the religious establishment.... Anyone who expects any major change in Iran's truculent foreign policy...may well be disappointed.... Without the presence of true opposition figures on the ballot, it is hard to know exactly to what extent the vote was a rejection of the status quo. But it is clear Iranians delivered quite a rebuke to the establishment.... Changes would be welcome advances for civil liberties and human rights. But they would still leave Iran a theocracy, not a democracy."

BRITAIN: "Iran Votes For Change"

The independent Financial Times declared (5/27): "There can be no mistaking the yearning for change shown by Iranian voters who last Friday buried the favored presidential candidate of the mullahs in a landslide.... Mohammed Khatemi is the most outward looking leader to emerge in Iran since the 1979 revolution against the Shah.... It appears that Iranian voters have cleared the way towards more rational government in their country."

RUSSIA: "Iranians Vote For More Liberal Life"

Konstantin Eggert said in reformist Izvestia (5/27): "Yevgeny Primakov has lost the bet on finding a new ally in the fight for a 'multipolar world.' Nateq Nouri's disastrous defeat means that, after 18 years of the Islamic revolution, Iranians want a more liberal life and a stable economy."

ITALY: "Khatemi Can Reopen Game In Middle East"

An editorial in provocative, classical liberal Il Foglio stressed (5/28): "Certainly nobody can fool himself that Khatemi is another Tony Blair nor that Iran has suddenly become an Anglo-Saxon democracy. If a parallel can be drawn, albeit with extreme caution, it is perhaps with Deng Xiaoping's China.... The Iranian masses which have experienced the flimsiness and the inefficiency of the Islamic 'third way' between capitalism and socialism...and especially the younger generations, now have a chance to promote a change in their nation.... After a period of appropriate reflection in the wake of the verdict on the Mykonos case, it should not be difficult for Europe to resume its traditional trade and political relations with Iran. More complicated appears the strategic revision in which the Clinton administration is engaged.... While in the case of Iraq a direct threat on the rich but weak monarchies in the Gulf remains, in the case of Iran the possibility is emerging for the first time of overcoming the break in diplomatic relations which followed the occupation of the U.S. Embassy in Tehran between '79 and

'81. There are also other means to discourage Tehran's plan to build its own nuclear arsenal and to resort to the weapon of terrorism: For example, by playing on Iran's urgent need for political and economic reform, and on the consequent need of restoring normal relations with the West."

SPAIN: "Iran Won't Return"

Conservative ABC editorialized (5/26): "It's not worth holding your breath expecting that the victory of the moderate candidate in the Iranian presidential elections will be the beginning of a Western-style democracy. But it is the best omen to lay the foundation of hope for pulling back from the old-style Islamism of Khomeini. With almost three times as many votes as his adversary...the victory of Mohammed Khatemi was overwhelming.... The high level of participation, 90 percent of the eligible voters, the failure of presumed vote-rigging, and the votes of Iranian youth....for a moderate and outward-looking candidate should cause the religious leaders to reflect about an irreversible past and a different future in the Islamic Republic."

EAST ASIA AND PACIFIC

INDONESIA: "Voice Of Freedom And Tolerance Wins In Iran"

Leading independent Kompas concluded (5/26): "It is too early to predict where Khatemi will take Iran in the next four years, though he explicitly stated that he will not change policy toward the United States. However, given the fact that he was elected with the mandate of two-thirds of the voters, his moderate nature and support of freedom and tolerance has clearly been accepted by most Iranians."

"Democracy In A Theocracy--Between Myth And Reality"

The pro-government Indonesia Times said in an editorial (5/26): "Iran's election proved to be alarmingly opposite to what was expected. Being a country based on Islamic theocracy, Iran had, in fact, brought distrust as to what kind of democracy was to be applied. Western countries were even in doubt as to whether it recognizes democracy as a universal value. Now, the Iranian government under the supreme leadership of Ayatollah Khamenei, the spiritual successor to the late Ayatollah Khomeini, has proven itself to be one of the forerunners in democracy. Subsequently, the Iranian nation has demonstrated how Islam can come to be revered as a religion that respects democracy and the principles of self-determination."

JAPAN: "A Breeze Of Freedom In A Fundamentalist Nation"

According to liberal Asahi (5/27): "Former Culture Minister Mohammad Khatemi, backed by centrists and Islamist leftwingers, was elected president in an unexpected landslide victory over his conservative rival. Khatemi fought the election campaign under the banner of 'freedom.'... Khatemi, who expressed his tolerance for officially banned satellite television, attracted overwhelming

support from youth and women.

"The poll offered a glimpse of changes taking place within Iranian society. We would like to see Iran, which until recently locked its doors to the outside world, begin to open itself in small but steady steps. Khatemi's background indicates he will be faithful to the country's Islamic rule of law. We should not discount the strength of the Islamic establishment, which has been in place since the Iranian revolution of 1979 and the overthrow of the pro-American, pro-modernization regime of Shah Pahlavi.

"Khatemi has promised to follow the line set by the incumbent President Rafsanjani. However, the present government has troubled relations with Western countries over a number of issues. Will these relations change under the new president? The Middle East peace process is the first and foremost matter of concern.... Iran has opposed the ongoing peace process brokered by the United States. Iran will have little influence in the region if it is seen as obstructing the peace process by supporting terrorism."

LATIN AMERICA

ARGENTINA: "A Signal To The West"

Oscar Raul Cardoso, international analyst for leading Clarin, wrote (5/26), "The election of moderate Mohammed Khatemi as Iran's new president appears to be a forecast of double and contradictory meaning: It is the first signal in a long time which points to a lessening of pressure in the tortured relationship of the Islamic revolution with the West. At the same time, it suggests...the threat of growing tension within the regime of religious leaders which has ruled the country for the past 18 years. The key question is: Does Khatemi's victory really go against the desires of the Revolutionary Council and Iran's maximum authority, spiritual leader Ayatollah Ali Khamenei?"

"In Spite Of Moderates' Victory: Ayatollahs' Regime Remains Intact In Iran"

Rome-based correspondent Fernando Elenberg commented (5/26) in business-financial El Cronista, "Cohabitation' of the republic's new president with a conservative parliament will not be easy, but parliament will not be able to ignore the plebiscite through which Khatemi was elected. One of the major problems the new president will have to face will probably be Iran's foreign policy. Khatemi expects diplomatic relations with the West to be 'normal' again."

##

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:28-MAY-1997 15:33:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:p

TEXT:
===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

===== ATTACHMENT 2 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE:28-MAY-1997 15:33:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:D

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Return-path: USIA_Media_Reaction/R__MR/WASHDC/USIA/GOV.USIA@notes1.usia.gov

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879)

id <01IJEP7E6H8G0049DL@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for Clark_p@a1.eop.gov; Wed,
28 May 1997 15:33:00 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)

by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IJEP7A754G0060QB@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for
Clark_p@a1.eop.gov; Wed, 28 May 1997 15:32:57 -0500 (EST)

Received: from notes1.usia.gov ([198.67.64.251])

by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.1-7 #6879)

with SMTP id <01IJEP6RF1DW001E8W@STORM.EOP.GOV> for Clark_p@A1.EOP.GOV; Wed,
28 May 1997 15:32:30 -0400 (EDT)

Received: by notes1.usia.gov (IBM OS/2 SENDMAIL VERSION 1.3.2)

/1.0) id AA0148; Wed, 28 May 1997 15:30:56 -0700

Received: from USIA with "Lotus Notes Mail Gateway for SMTP" id

1C59BD59AC8EFE8B852564A5004C7A25; Wed, 28 May 1997 15:29:41 +0000

X-Lotus-Type: Daily Digest

===== END ATTACHMENT 2 =====

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: USIA_Media_Reaction/R__MR/WASHDC/USIA/GOV.USIA@notes1.usia.gov@INET@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-JUN-1997 12:56:00.00

SUBJECT: Daily Digest 6/3: NATO AT SINTRA

TO: andrei_brezianu (andrei_brezianu@seur.voa.gov@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Matsui_d (Matsui_d@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:NOT READ

TO: cwi (cwi@imssys.com@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: jkiely (jkiely@telenet.com@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Lou_Lantner (Lou_Lantner@dir.voa.gov@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: napsnet (napsnet@nautilus.org@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Clark_p (Clark_p@A1@CD) (OA)
READ: 3-JUN-1997 13:12:33.83

TO: afpal (afpal@ix.netcom.com@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: spike (spike@pananet.com@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: tlvio (tlvio@usia.gov@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: tlvpao (tlvpao@usia.gov@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: lgnbaku (lgnbaku@usia.gov@INET@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:

Most foreign analysts judged that the May 29-30 meeting of NATO foreign ministers in Sintra, Portugal, revealed that the Alliance's split on enlargement and restructuring persists, and that the decision on which members to admit has now been pushed right up to the July 8-9 summit in Madrid. Onlookers could not help but note the glaring contrast between this disagreement and NATO's new-found harmony with former antagonist Russia, enshrined in the accord signed last week in Paris. Taking their cue from Secretary of State Albright's "clear" words on the standards new members should

meet, writers concluded that there were "enormous differences of opinion" between the U.S. and its European Allies over how many former Communist countries would be invited to join the Alliance in Madrid. Observers conveyed the widely-held impression that the U.S. wants to admit only three countries for the first round of enlargement: Poland, Hungary and the Czech Republic. "The cost would be lower and the pill would be less bitter for Yeltsin," said Paris's right-of-center *Le Figaro* of the U.S. rationale for its preference. Editors pointed out that a number of European Allies led by France and Italy championed two additional candidates, Romania and Slovenia, since their entry might strengthen Paris's hand in its argument with Washington over a stronger European pillar within NATO. Journalists from those five candidate countries immediately voiced their delight or their distress with their prospects. Warsaw's centrist *Rzeczpospolita*, for instance, rejoiced that Poland has "a place reserved on the train to NATO." Pro-government Romania's *Libera* of Bucharest warned that "[Romania's] missing the train to early membership in NATO would be a threat to the economic reforms and the anti-corruption drive in the country." Ljubljana's left-of-center, independent *Dnevnik* suggested that Slovenia's hopes lay in persuading NATO that its strategic value would make the "higher costs" of an additional member "worthwhile." Leading circulation *Nepszabadsag* of Budapest supported its neighbor's bids, stressing, "It is a vital strategic interest for Hungary that both Romania and Slovenia get admitted." Concern also embraced the Baltics, with papers in Norway and Latvia speculating on ways to secure those countries in European organizations and shelter them from potential Russian encroachments.

Nevertheless, NATO's drive towards increased cooperation with Russia and other former Warsaw Pact members, sealed at Sintra by the creation of the Euro-Atlantic Partnership Council, earned plaudits from several editors. Sintra, maintained Lisbon's lead circulation, center-left weekly *Expresso*, marked "the birth of a new security order around a central organization: NATO." Portugal's private TV station "SIC" insisted that NATO now has "a clear change of focus towards cooperation." Moscow's official government *Rossiyskaya Gazeta* pointed out that "Russia, too, has finally acknowledged the Alliance as a partner." Critics, however, were not pleased by NATO's push to the East or by its declared intention to work for closer links to Mediterranean basin countries. Hungarian, Indian and Egyptian dailies portrayed enlargement as part of the U.S. wish for "hegemonic" control, while in Damascus a suspicious government-owned *Tishreen* charged that "NATO plans to include Arab lands under the pretext of maintaining security and stability."

This survey is based on 38 reports from 18 countries, May 29-June 2.

EDITOR: Mildred Sola Neely

EUROPE

PORTUGAL: "A Changed NATO"

Audience leader, private TV station "SIC" (5/31) aired this commentary by international affairs editor Martim Cabral: "The NATO that came out of Sintra is a NATO with a clear change of focus towards cooperation. This has been translated into the establishment of the EAPC (Euro-Atlantic Partnership Council) to promote stability and partnership. There was no concrete decision regarding enlargement. Everything will be decided in Madrid. However, the door is opened."

"Decisions That Will Go Down In NATO's History"

International affairs specialist Carlos Fino noted on state-owned RTP/1 (5/31): "This meeting is marked by some decisions that will go down in NATO's history: a serious warning to the Bosnian leaders; the initialing of the accord with Ukraine; and the launching of the EAPC which reinforces peace amongst NATO and former Warsaw Pact countries. But history repeats itself: At the negotiation table everybody agrees, but [once] on the ground things change."

"Consolation Prize?"

On state-owned RTP/2, the anchor said (5/31): "The new EAPC...will function as a platform for political dialogue and increased military cooperation, serving in a way as a consolation prize or a waiting room for the candidates excluded in Madrid."

"Birth Of A New Security Order Centered On NATO"

According to lead circulation, center-left weekly Expresso (5/31): "What happened during the two days' meeting in Sintra was the birth of a new security order around a central organization: NATO. Born almost 50 years ago, NATO today is preparing itself to absorb some of its former enemies and simultaneously try to adapt itself in order to respond to current needs. Along the way it is possible that it may 'digest' some of the current international security institutions, in an effect described as 'cannibalism' by a NATO source. In fact it is around NATO that the idea of an 'European security and defense identity,' so dear to European Union leaders, is being built."

"NATO Divided On Enlargement; Door For Three, Four Or Five?"

In the lead article of influential, pro-socialist O Publico (5/30), international affairs editor Teresa de Sousa noted: "After having built a 'united front' to conclude negotiations with Russia, the Allies once again diverged on NATO enlargement and military restructure. Yesterday, in Sintra, the Council of the North Atlantic made its first formal reflexion.... As expected, there was division and the last word will have to be given in Madrid. The differences, although not yet perfectly defined, precluded the Alliance from taking a firm position.... The firmness with which the American secretary of state defended the virtues of a restricted enlargement was confronted with the objections, or at least the hesitations, of a reasonable number of European Allies.... Madeleine Albright was perfectly clear, during the press conference, in the defense of the American option, highlighting lines of orientation and arguments in favor of a restricted enlargement.... However, the United States must have taken into consideration France's special situation--in the midst of an electoral process--and avoided an open confrontation with Paris on enlargement, something that would only sour even more the two countries' differences on NATO's Southern Command. The other side of the American position is, naturally, the endless reaffirmation of the principle of open doors."

"Albright Set Tone At Sintra"

According to mass-circulation, center-right tabloid Correio da Manha (5/30): "The United States

defended yesterday the principles that underline NATO enlargement to countries of the former Eastern bloc.... For the secretary of state, the issue is not to refuse entry into the Alliance to any country but to create conditions for the candidates so that they can meet the required demands.... Albright set the tone of this summit: a preliminary to the Madrid summit when the great decisions will be taken."

"NATO Ministerial Inconclusive On Enlargement, But...Door Is Open"

Right-of-center A Capital (5/30) noted: "The NATO ministerial ended amidst some frustration as the 16 were unable to reach an agreement on enlargement. The delegations arrived in Sintra with irreconcilable positions and the issue is going to be postponed until the Madrid summit. Notwithstanding this indecision, they had the boldness to say that 'the door is open.'"

"The Test At Sintra"

Leading, centrist Diario de Noticias (5/29) noted: "The Atlantic Alliance's enlargement and the affirmation of its capacity to develop and project constructive relations of stability...will be linked to Sintra." A boxed-in comment by defense writer Marina Pinto Barbosa added, "NATO reform...once again places the much discussed European security architecture at center stage. The Fifteen face problems in reaching consensus.... Thanks to the lack of political will on the part of the Europeans, the inefficiency of the OSCE, and the paucity of UN resources, NATO still has no competition in terms of European security. Its fundamental role in Europe is recognized by even the most ferocious Europeanists."

GERMANY: "NATO: At Odds On Internal Reform"

Ruediger Clement commented on national radio station Deutschlandfunk of Cologne (5/29): "The internal reform process of NATO is moving sluggishly forward, especially the reform of its command structure.... But if this reform fails, NATO will militarily not be optimally prepared for new tasks, then a political new NATO must cooperate with a militarily old NATO, which will create new problems. And if the reform fails, the enlargement will become much more difficult, because new members with outdated structures will be accepted.... Enormous efforts will be necessary if the Alliance is to be programmed for the future at the summit in Madrid. The splendor of the Paris summit on Tuesday has already given way to the smokescreen of everyday business."

"Who's In? Who's Out?"

Andreas Oldag judged in an editorial in centrist Sueddeutsche Zeitung of Munich (5/30), "The path to the NATO summit in Madrid is still full of stumbling blocks and has a lot of potholes. During NATO's foreign ministers' meeting...enormous differences of opinion came to the fore on how many new members NATO should accept in the first round of its enlargement process.... In the Portuguese city of Sintra, the French and the Italians turned to advocates for Romania and Slovenia.... But with their demonstrative support of Romania, France has longer-reaching political aims in mind. With the establishment of a 'Romance (language) alliance' ranging from Madrid via Rome

to Bucharest, Paris wants to strengthen its political weight. And French President Chirac will also keep in mind that French demands, such as the occupation of the top position for AFSOUTH, will then have greater chances to be successful....

"The acceptance of five new members by no means meets with unrestricted support in NATO. Madeleine Albright, but also Foreign Minister Kinkel show little delight with French and Italian wishes.... It is up to the negotiating skills of NATO's Secretary-General Solana to present the NATO leaders in Madrid with a solution. And this looks like a rejection rather than an acceptance of Slovenia and Romania. But both countries will get the promise to be among the candidates for the second round of enlargement."

FRANCE: "NATO In Search Of Its Future Members"

Pierre Bocev wondered in right-of-center Le Figaro (5/30): "Will it be five or three? The question was being raised in Sintra, Portugal...as everyone's eyes turned to the United States.... Madeleine Albright, like her counterparts, skillfully avoided giving an answer.... But when she says that 'the candidates must conform to the strictest standards before being invited to join,' and when she adds that 'only those who have demonstrated they can conform to their obligations as members' will be admitted into the club, it is clear she does not include Bucharest and Ljubljana. Unofficially, a U.S. representative admitted that in the beginning the U.S. plans to limit the number to three. The cost would be lower and the pill would be less bitter for Yeltsin."

RUSSIA: "Russia, NATO Are Partners"

Alexander Batygin wrote in the Dom I Otechestvo supplement to official government Rossiyskaya Gazeta (5/31): "The Russia-NATO accord creates a new political climate inside and outside Europe. For the first time in the 50-odd years after World War II, we can say confidently that European nations have at last started building their relations on a genuinely peaceful basis. The opponents of a union with NATO must realize that, at the ceremony in Paris, the West recognized Russia as an important and indispensable member of any security structure to be built in Europe. After many years of confrontation and a decade of a cautious rapprochement, Russia, too, has finally acknowledged the Alliance as a partner. With this century drawing to a close, Russia has pushed ahead to meet the West. In the time from Yaroslav the Wise to Peter the Great and in later years, communicating with Europe did this country more good than harm."

"Act Suspends NATO Enlargement"

Nikolai Manvelov filed from Paris for reformist business weekly VEK (# 19, 5/30): "A 48-year era of brinksmanship ended with signing a founding act by Russia and NATO in the Palace Elysee. That it is a founding act, not a charter or declaration, is crucial. It makes the document binding. So, you might say that NATO enlargement has been suspended, according to Russian experts."

ITALY: "Debate Over Historic Expansion"

This assessment from Sintra ran in Rome's conservative Il Tempo (5/30): "How many former Cold War enemies will be invited to join the Atlantic Alliance during the Madrid summit in July? Three, as Washington would like, or four or five, as Rome and Paris are proposing? The debate among the Allies over the size of the first 'historic' expansion of NATO opened yesterday in Sintra.... A confrontation made more serene by the NATO-Russia accord signed in Paris last Tuesday."

BELGIUM: "Enlargement Creates Powerful Dynamic In Central-Eastern Europe"

Pierre Lefevre wrote in independent Le Soir (5/31), "A new security body was born last Friday in Sintra: the Euro-Atlantic Partnership Council. Successor of the North Atlantic Cooperation Council, it should reinforce political consultation and military cooperation among the 16 countries of the Atlantic Alliance and the 27 member countries of NATO's Partnership for Peace.... The signing...of the Russian-Atlantic Founding Act has considerably sped up the integration process. It has shown that the pessimists, who invoked a possible revolt of nationalist Russia against NATO's enlargement were wrong. Although reluctantly, Russia has finally rallied to the process...."

"The enlargement itself creates a powerful dynamic in Central and Eastern Europe.... Too

narrow an enlargement that would not offer guarantees to be continued at midterm could slow down this dynamic. The enlargement operation involves other risks for the Alliance itself: the risk of indigestion and, above all, that of division. A consensus to open NATO to five countries as of the Madrid summit in July seems to be achievable among European countries. None of them has raised any objections. But, U.S. Secretary of State Madeleine Albright, more restrictive, cooled down those enthusiasms. A serious test of strength is taking shape in which the attitude of the U.S. Congress...will be decisive."

"What Standards For Admission?"

In conservative Catholic La Libre Belgique (5/30), Philippe Paquet wrote: "Will there finally be only three (countries) receiving an invitation to join NATO in July in Madrid? Of course, the United States carefully avoided mentioning names. But, Secretary of State Madeleine Albright's outburst on Thursday in Sintra before the other 15 ministers of foreign affairs of the Atlantic Alliance has every appearance of a guideline which will be difficult to ignore when you know the political and military weight which Washington continues to carry in the organization."

HUNGARY: "NATO's Real Objective"

Leading circulation Nepszabadsag (6/2) said in an opinion piece by historian Tamas Krausz, "In contrast with the rather provincial notion in Hungary, NATO enlargement is part of a very conscious and comprehensive strategy rather than a simple decision to invite some Central European states to join the Alliance.... The basis of this U.S. strategy is to need to restore U.S. leadership in the world.... On the altar of political and economic interests, the West once again gives up an ideology: They no longer trust the future of Russia as a 'Westernized,' modern state.... Policy-makers tend to deny this, but NATO enlargement does in fact serve the goal to confine Russia in a

quarantine.... Instead of Russia being a Communist threat, decision-makers depict today's Russia as being unpredictable.... NATO enlargement is necessary to grab the new opportunities of capital accumulation: 'Drang nach Osten.' After all, maintaining the British-American dominance in the oil business on the Caspian Sea is not a joke. However, what is at real stake is the long-term influence over Russian raw materials. Western NATO member states by enlarging NATO, wish to get closer to Eastern energy sources and thus launch their 'long campaign' under better conditions.... It is hard to banish then the thought that Hungary has a common border with Serbia, the potential ally of Russia. Hungary will become a potential military springboard.... As a result of NATO enlargement, Central-Eastern Europe will become a heavily armed buffer zone and what is worse, it will have to cover the costs of the whole process."

"Entry Of Romania, Slovenia Vital To Hungarian Interests"

Leading circulation Nepszabadsag (5/31) pointed out, "In the long-run, it is not in Hungary's interest to be a lonely NATO member on the Northern edge of the Balkans. It is a vital strategic interest for Hungary that both Romania and Slovenia get admitted to the Alliance and hopefully in the first round."

LATVIA: "Secure Latvia's Participation In New European System"

Arnis Jansons commented in centrist Diena (6/3): "The U.S. president's letter to Baltic activists in America proves that our movement toward NATO will continue to be supported by (NATO's) main pillar. A mandatory condition to receive assistance is our own readiness to accept it. NATO is interested in the Baltics as a northeastern flank, but we must be prepared to pay for a place under the Alliance's umbrella. This concept, albeit slowly, is sinking in.... Our most important foreign policy task should be to secure Latvia's participation in the new European system...in which Latvia is not a member of NATO.... We must work faster to create the Baltic-U.S. charter because this agreement will be an important aid in our progress."

NORWAY: "Russia, Baltics And Nordic Countries"

Retired Major General Werner Christie commented in Social Democratic Arbeiderbladet (6/2), "The Baltic countries must find a balance in their relationship with their enormous neighbor, and we, the Nordic countries, must support them so that the pressure from Russia doesn't become too much to bear for them. We should try to establish a joint Nordic/Baltic counterbalance.... It has become a dilemma for us in Norway to figure out how we best can help the Baltics without upsetting the United States or Russia. The daily cooperation between Baltic and Norwegian soldiers gives us the opportunity to take it one step at a time."

POLAND: "West Does Not Have As Many Reservations About Warsaw"

Centrist Rzeczpospolita (6/2) carried a front-page story by Maria Wagrowska concluding, "The Friday talks held by foreign ministers of NATO countries...did not explain which countries of Central Europe can expect to be invited to membership in the Alliance. Because of the advanced reforms in Poland and the Polish armed forces, the West does not have as many reservations about Warsaw"

as it has about Hungary and the Czech Republic."

"Poland Has A Place Reserved In NATO"

Jan Skorzynski held in centrist Rzeczpospolita (5/30), "The process of NATO enlargement got an impressive acceleration.... The Americans were the motivating power of the enlargement from the beginning. They made a bet on the easiest solution as far as the first candidates; first, to invite the countries on which all 16 member countries agree, the countries to which it will be the easiest to convince the American Congress and public opinion. But nothing is forecluded yet--the majority of the countries of the treaty support the entry of five countries at once. For Poland both concepts are advantageous. One way or another, we have a place reserved on the train to NATO."

"Test For Membership"

Public Television, Channel 1's main news "Wiadomosci" said (5/29), "The Sintra meeting is an unquestionable test for answering the question of who will enter NATO in the first round and how many countries it will be. Unofficially it is known that only Poland has support of all 16 countries. Americans repeated many times that they care about a sovereign Ukraine as well as for the security of the Baltic states. In this context it is said that the possible path to NATO is open to all countries. Regardless of whether Russia likes it or not, there will be another round of the process."

ROMANIA: "Missing NATO Train Would Be Threat To Reforms"

Pro-government Romania Libera commented (6/2): "[Romania's] missing the train to early membership in NATO would be a threat to the economic reforms and the anti-corruption drive in the country: Supporters of totalitarianism will distort the meaning of all these actions and present them to the population as useless sacrifices imposed by the ruling coalition in order to make Romania join the West, while the West cares about her only to the extent it can make money here."

"Romania's Accession Hangs By A Thread"

Independent Adevarul (5/30) stressed, "The Romanians' darkest premonitions materialized at Sintra, Portugal, on Thursday...when experts clearly read into Secretary of State Madeleine Albright's speech Washington's decision to back just Poland, the Czech Republic and Hungary for accession into NATO." In an editorial, the newspaper said Albright's apparent opinion that Romania did not meet the high standards for first-tier membership was "shocking," especially

because "at The Hague on Wednesday, President Clinton had thanked Romanian President Constantinescu, directly and before the whole world, for the course of democracy in Romania. Was that meant as consolation for leaving our country outside NATO? If that was the purpose, then (the move) failed, because to Romanians there can be no consolation for dismissing Romania's candidacy."

SLOVENIA: "U.S. Main Adversary To Slovenia's Joining NATO"

Readers of left-of-center, independent Dnevnik (6/2) saw this commentary,

"After NATO's political strategists finally...persuaded Yeltsin to agree to NATO expansion...it seems that they...forgot the measures which had been loudly announced as standards for admission of countries in transition.... In Sintra, it has been more or less decided that only three countries would be admitted to NATO in the first round.... America was the main adversary of Slovenia's joining NATO. Thus, Slovenia has fallen into the pot of soup cooked by the big; whether (Slovenia) will be invited for lunch depends on how much the North Atlantic Alliance will have to pay for Slovenia's admission and what strategic advantages derive from its inclusion, rather than on how democratic, developed and supportive of human rights it is.... Only last-moment lobbying at the doors of the big can still open the door for us to (NATO); it is useless to persuade them how democratic and economically developed we are; rather, we should make them understand the strategic reasons which make higher costs worthwhile."

SPAIN: "Unimaginable Future Of Russia-NATO Association"

Liberal El Pais commented (6/1): "NATO is like a pyramid whose vortex is occupied by the United States, the strongest of all of its members, and the entrance of Russia would have ruined this perfect geometry. The public consolation adopted publicly in the form of an advisory council is that the Kremlin and the Alliance will meet to discuss common problems together with the promise, but not the guarantee, that none of the former republics of the former Soviet Union will enter the Alliance.... With a voice, but not a vote, the Kremlin will only have a luxurious balcony from which it may assist the process, but not participate in it.... The peace is only a project, like the Israeli-Palestinian peace process.... The future of the association between Russia and NATO will revolve in many unimaginable directions.... It is possible that the council could change into a forum to 'NATOize' Russia in a form that the country could one day be in the Alliance."

SOUTH ASIA

BANGLADESH: "Inclusion"

An editorial in conservative Ittefaq (5/30) maintained, "Russia's inclusion into NATO announces the formal end of the Cold War. Russia has got the status of an observer or even adviser and silent partner, not full membership. Russian inclusion proves that sometimes stone also floats in the water. But Russia is not at all satisfied with its present status. It naturally wanted to be a patron like the United States. It cannot be said that the West's fear of the erstwhile bear has completely gone. However, inclusion, for the moment, will help strengthen social, political and economic relations in Europe."

INDIA: "Expanding NATO Allows U.S. To Assert Unipolar Dominance"

In the editorial view of the centrist Times of India (5/31): "For the United States, eastward expansion of NATO is an important way of asserting its unipolar dominance. A NATO with more East European nations will tilt the balance of the organization in favor of the United States as against the Franco-German assertion of Western European independence. In other words, the purpose of the new expanded NATO will continue to be what was spelt out by its first Secretary General, Lord Ismay--to keep the Americans in, the Russians out and the Germans down in Europe. Otherwise, it is difficult to make any sense of NATO undertaking additional

security responsibilities after the end of the Cold War.... Indeed, what can NATO do that cannot be done under the framework of the Organization of Security and Cooperation in Europe?"

MIDDLE EAST

EGYPT: "NATO As Tool Of U.S. Hegemony"

Columnist Nabil Zaki remarked in pro-government Al Akhbar (6/1): "After the end of the Cold War, nations expected that military arsenals and army budgets would be decreased.... However, Western countries do not want that. They insist on maintaining a military organization [NATO]...and on isolating Russia from Europe, while the United States has secured for itself hegemony over European affairs through this pact. Plans have been actually laid down to expand NATO.... The real purpose for expanding NATO is for the West to ensure that Russia will not return to control Eastern Europe again.... It is not unlikely that NATO will have military missions outside Europe.... If Washington wants, it can serve as a tool for intervention in any region."

SYRIA: "Suspicious Of NATO's Designs Toward Arab Lands"

Government-owned Tishreen (6/2) front-paged this assessment by chief editor Mohamed Khair Al-Wadi: "After the collapse of socialism, there was a belief that NATO could be eliminated.... Instead it is expanding eastwards to Russia.... It is noteworthy that the NATO secretary general has said that NATO will expand southwards to include the Mediterranean states. This means that NATO plans to include Arab lands under the pretext of maintaining security and stability.... Since its establishment, NATO guaranteed Western hegemony and protected Western imperialism. It has never sympathized with the Arab peoples' struggles for liberation; on the contrary it supported powers greedy for Arab land.... The support NATO gives to Israeli and Turkish policies makes us believe that it aims to secure Western hegemony over Arab lands."

LATIN AMERICA

ARGENTINA: "NATO Changes Its Reason To Exist"

An editorial in leading Clarin read (6/2): "The NATO-Russia agreement rules out the East-West division and the remnants of the areas of influence outlined in Yalta.... The Western military alliance of 16 countries led by the United States has become the main pivot of international security.... The Euroatlantic organization expands to the Eurasian community, offers guarantees of stability to East European countries, and places the Russian Federation closer to Western aid. All this, which in the text of the Paris document may appear like another step toward Kant's idea of 'perpetual peace' among nations, is, nevertheless, far from producing a world with less conflicts. These, far from diminishing, have spread widely, though their nature has changed. It is a safer world because the nuclear threat has disappeared.... But it is also a less peaceful world, where the great world powers no longer exercise their hegemonic influence over large peripheral areas...even in Europe, as shown in the last Balkan war. In this sense we must point out that it is Russia's weakness and not its power...which leads NATO to the East."

##

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 3-JUN-1997 12:57:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:p

TEXT:

PRINTER FONT 12_POINT_ROMAN

#8

FOREIGN MEDIA REACTION
DAILY DIGEST

#9

USIA

\$8

#8

U.S. INFORMATION AGENCY, WASHINGTON DC 20547

#9

OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND MEDIA
REACTION

\$8

Patricia McArdle, Branch Chief Media Reaction (R/MR), mcardle@usia.gov,
(202) 619

-6511 Ann Pincus, Director, (202) 619

-6511
Tuesday, June 3, 1997
NATO AT SINTRA: 'AT ODDS' WITH EACH OTHER, IN HARMONY WITH RUSSIA

Most foreign analysts judged that the May 29

-30 meeting of NATO

foreign ministers in Sintra, Portugal, revealed that the Alliance's split on enlargement and restructuring persists, and that the decision on which members to admit has now been pushed right up to the July 8

-9

summit in Madrid. Onlookers could not help but note the glaring contrast between this disagreement and NATO's new

-found harmony with

former antagonist Russia, enshrined in the accord signed last week in Paris. Taking their cue from Secretary of State Albright's "clear" words on the standards new members should meet, writers concluded that there were "enormous differences of opinion" between the U.S. and its European Allies over how many former Communist countries would be invited to join the Alliance in Madrid. Observers conveyed the widely

-held impression that the U.S. wants to admit only three countries for the first round of enlargement: Poland, Hungary and the Czech Republic. "The cost would be lower and the pill would be less bitter for Yeltsin," said Paris's right

-of

-center Le Figaro of the

U.S. rationale for its preference. Editors pointed out that a number of European Allies led by France and Italy championed two additional candidates, Romania and Slovenia, since their entry might strengthen Paris's hand in its argument with Washington over a stronger European pillar within NATO. Journalists from those five candidate countries immediately voiced their delight or their distress with their prospects. Warsaw's centrist Rzeczpospolita, for instance, rejoiced that Poland has "a place reserved on the train to NATO."

Pro

-government Romania Libera of Bucharest warned that "[Romania's] missing the train to early membership in NATO would be a threat to the economic reforms and the anti

-corruption drive in the country."

Ljubljana's left

-of

-center, independent Dnevnik suggested that

Slovenia's hopes lay in persuading NATO that its strategic value would make the "higher costs" of an additional member "worthwhile." Leading circulation Nepszabadsag of Budapest supported its neighbor's bids, stressing, "It is a vital strategic interest for Hungary that both Romania and Slovenia get admitted." Concern also embraced the

Baltics, with papers in Norway and Latvia speculating on ways to secure those countries in European organizations and shelter them from potential Russian encroachments. Nevertheless, NATO's drive towards increased cooperation with Russia and other former Warsaw Pact members, sealed at Sintra by the creation of the Euro

-Atlantic Partnership Council, earned plaudits from several

editors. Sintra, maintained Lisbon's lead circulation, center

-left weekly Expresso, marked "the birth of a new security order around a central organization: NATO." Portugal's private TV station "SIC" insisted that NATO now has "a clear change of focus towards cooperation." Moscow's official government Rossiyskaya Gazeta pointed out that "Russia, too, has finally acknowledged the Alliance as a partner." Critics, however, were not pleased by NATO's push to the East or by its declared intention to work for closer links to Mediterranean basin countries. Hungarian, Indian and Egyptian dailies portrayed enlargement as part of the U.S. wish for "hegemonic" control, while in Damascus a suspicious government

-owned Tishreen charged that "NATO plans to include Arab lands under the pretext of maintaining security and stability." This survey is based on 38 reports from 18 countries, May 29

-June 2.
EDITOR: Mildred Sola Neely
EUROPE
PORTUGAL: "A Changed NATO"

Audience leader, private TV station "SIC" (5/31) aired this commentary by international affairs editor Martim Cabral: "The NATO that came out of Sintra is a NATO with a clear change of focus towards cooperation. This has been translated into the establishment of the EAPC (Euro

-Atlantic Partnership Council) to promote stability and partnership. There was no concrete decision regarding enlargement. Everything will be decided in Madrid. However, the door is opened." "Decisions That Will Go Down In NATO's History"

International affairs specialist Carlos Fino noted on state

-owned RTP/1 (5/31): "This meeting is marked by some decisions that will go down in NATO's history: a serious warning to the Bosnian leaders; the initialing of the accord with Ukraine; and the launching of the EAPC which reinforces peace amongst NATO and former Warsaw Pact countries. But history repeats itself: At the negotiation table everybody agrees, but [once] on the ground things change." "Consolation Prize?"

On state

-owned RTP/2, the anchor said (5/31): "The new EAPC...will function as a platform for political dialogue and increased military cooperation, serving in a way as a consolation prize or a waiting room for the candidates excluded in Madrid."

"Birth Of A New Security Order Centered On NATO"

According to lead circulation, center

-left weekly Expresso (5/31):

"What happened during the two days' meeting in Sintra was the birth of a new security order around a central organization: NATO. Born almost

50 years ago, NATO today is preparing itself to absorb some of its former enemies and simultaneously try to adapt itself in order to respond to current needs. Along the way it is possible that it may 'digest' some of the current international security institutions, in an effect described as 'cannibalism' by a NATO source. In fact it is around NATO that the idea of an 'European security and defense identity,' so dear to European Union leaders, is being built."

"NATO Divided On Enlargement; Door For Three, Four Or Five?"

In the lead article of influential, pro

-socialist O Publico (5/30),

international affairs editor Teresa de Sousa noted: "After having built a 'united front' to conclude negotiations with Russia, the Allies once again diverged on NATO enlargement and military restructure. Yesterday, in Sintra, the Council of the North Atlantic made its first formal reflexion.... As expected, there was division and the last word will have to be given in Madrid. The differences, although not yet perfectly defined, precluded the Alliance from taking a firm position.... The firmness with which the American secretary of state defended the virtues of a restricted enlargement was confronted with the objections, or at least the hesitations, of a reasonable number of European Allies.... Madeleine Albright was perfectly clear, during the press conference, in the defense of the American option, highlighting lines of orientation and arguments in favor of a restricted enlargement.... However, the United States must have taken into consideration France's special situation-

-in the midst of an electoral process-

-and avoided an open confrontation with Paris on enlargement, something that would only sour even more the two countries' differences on NATO's Southern Command. The other side of the American position is, naturally, the endless reaffirmation of the principle of open doors."

"Albright Set Tone At Sintra"

According to mass

-circulation, center

-right tabloid Correio da Manhã

(5/30): "The United States

defended yesterday the principles that underline NATO enlargement to countries of the former Eastern bloc.... For the secretary of state, the issue is not to refuse entry into the Alliance to any country but to create conditions for the candidates so that they can meet the required demands.... Albright set the tone of this summit: a preliminary to the Madrid summit when the great decisions will be taken."

"NATO Ministerial Inconclusive On Enlargement, But...Door Is Open"

Right

-of

-center A Capital (5/30) noted: "The NATO ministerial ended amidst some frustration as the 16 were unable to reach an agreement on enlargement. The delegations arrived in Sintra with irreconcilable

positions and the issue is going to be postponed until the Madrid summit. Notwithstanding this indecision, they had the boldness to say that 'the door is open.'"

"The Test At Sintra"

Leading, centrist Diario de Noticias (5/29) noted: "The Atlantic Alliance's enlargement and the affirmation of its capacity to develop and project constructive relations of stability...will be linked to Sintra." A boxed

-in comment by defense writer Marina Pinto Barbosa added, "NATO reform...once again places the much discussed European security architecture at center stage. The Fifteen face problems in reaching consensus.... Thanks to the lack of political will on the part of the Europeans, the inefficiency of the OSCE, and the paucity of UN resources, NATO still has no competition in terms of European security. Its fundamental role in Europe is recognized by even the most ferocious Europeanists."

GERMANY: "NATO: At Odds On Internal Reform"

Ruediger Clement commented on national radio station Deutschlandfunk of Cologne (5/29): "The internal reform process of NATO is moving sluggishly forward, especially the reform of its command structure.... But if this reform fails, NATO will militarily not be optimally prepared for new tasks, then a political new NATO must cooperate with a militarily old NATO, which will create new problems. And if the reform fails, the enlargement will become much more difficult, because new members with outdated structures will be accepted.... Enormous efforts will be necessary if the Alliance is to be programmed for the future at the summit in Madrid. The splendor of the Paris summit on Tuesday has already given way to the smokescreen of everyday business."

"Who's In? Who's Out?"

Andreas Oldag judged in an editorial in centrist Sueddeutsche Zeitung of Munich (5/30), "The path to the NATO summit in Madrid is still full of stumbling blocks and has a lot of potholes. During NATO's foreign ministers' meeting...enormous differences of opinion came to the fore on how many new members NATO should accept in the first round of its enlargement process.... In the Portuguese city of Sintra, the French and the Italians turned to advocates for Romania and Slovenia.... But with their demonstrative support of Romania, France has longer

-reaching political aims in mind. With the establishment of a 'Romance (language) alliance' ranging from Madrid via Rome to Bucharest, Paris wants to strengthen its political weight. And French President Chirac will also keep in mind that French demands, such as the occupation of the top position for AFSOUTH, will then have greater chances to be successful....

"The acceptance of five new members by no means meets with unrestricted support in NATO. Madeleine Albright, but also Foreign Minister Kinkel show little delight with French and Italian wishes.... It is up to the negotiating skills of NATO's Secretary

-General Solana to present the NATO leaders in Madrid with a solution. And this looks like a rejection rather than an acceptance of Slovenia and Romania. But both countries will get the promise to be among the candidates for the second round of enlargement."

FRANCE: "NATO In Search Of Its Future Members"

Pierre Bocev wondered in right

-of

-center Le Figaro (5/30): "Will it be five or three? The question was being raised in Sintra, Portugal...as everyone's eyes turned to the United States.... Madeleine Albright, like her counterparts, skillfully avoided giving an answer.... But when she says that 'the candidates must conform to the strictest standards before being invited to join,' and when she adds that 'only those who have demonstrated they can conform to their obligations as members' will be admitted into the club, it is clear she does not include Bucharest and Ljubljana. Unofficially, a U.S. representative admitted that in the beginning the U.S. plans to limit the number to three. The cost would be lower and the pill would be less bitter for Yeltsin."

RUSSIA: "Russia, NATO Are Partners"

Alexander Batygin wrote in the Dom I Otechestvo supplement to official government Rossiyskaya Gazeta (5/31): "The Russia

-NATO accord

creates a new political climate inside and outside Europe. For the first time in the 50

-odd years after World War II, we can say confidently that European nations have at last started building their relations on a genuinely peaceful basis. The opponents of a union with NATO must realize that, at the ceremony in Paris, the West recognized Russia as an important and indispensable member of any security structure to be built in Europe. After many years of confrontation and a decade of a cautious rapprochement, Russia, too, has finally acknowledged the Alliance as a partner. With this century drawing to a close, Russia has pushed ahead to meet the West. In the time from Yaroslav the Wise to Peter the Great and in later years, communicating with Europe did this country more good than harm."
"Act Suspends NATO Enlargement"

Nikolai Manvelov filed from Paris for reformist business weekly VEK (# 19, 5/30): "A 48

-year era of brinksmanship ended with signing a founding act by Russia and NATO in the Palace Elysee. That it is a founding act, not a charter or declaration, is crucial. It makes the document binding. So, you might say that NATO enlargement has been suspended, according to Russian experts."

ITALY: "Debate Over Historic Expansion"

This assessment from Sintra ran in Rome's conservative Il Tempo (5/30): "How many former Cold War enemies will be invited to join the Atlantic Alliance during the Madrid summit in July? Three, as Washington would like, or four or five, as Rome and Paris are proposing? The debate among the Allies over the size of the first 'historic' expansion of NATO opened yesterday in Sintra.... A confrontation made more serene by the NATO

-Russia accord signed in Paris last Tuesday."

BELGIUM: "Enlargement Creates Powerful Dynamic In Central

-Eastern Europe"

Pierre Lefevre wrote in independent Le Soir (5/31), "A new security body was born last Friday in Sintra: the Euro

-Atlantic Partnership Council. Successor of the North Atlantic Cooperation Council, it should reinforce political consultation and military cooperation among the 16 countries of the Atlantic Alliance and the 27 member countries of NATO's Partnership for Peace.... The signing...of the Russian

-Atlantic Founding Act has considerably sped up the integration

process. It has shown that the pessimists, who invoked a possible revolt of nationalist Russia against NATO's enlargement were wrong.

Although reluctantly, Russia has finally rallied to the process....

"The enlargement itself creates a powerful dynamic in Central and Eastern Europe.... Too

narrow an enlargement that would not offer guarantees to be continued at midterm could slow down this dynamic. The enlargement operation involves other risks for the Alliance itself: the risk of indigestion and, above all, that of division. A consensus to open NATO to five countries as of the Madrid summit in July seems to be achievable among European countries. None of them has raised any objections. But, U.S. Secretary of State Madeleine Albright, more restrictive, cooled down those enthusiasms. A serious test of strength is taking shape in which the attitude of the U.S. Congress...will be decisive."

"What Standards For Admission?"

In conservative Catholic *La Libre Belgique* (5/30), Philippe Paquet wrote: "Will there finally be only three (countries) receiving an invitation to join NATO in July in Madrid? Of course, the United States carefully avoided mentioning names. But, Secretary of State Madeleine Albright's outburst on Thursday in Sintra before the other 15 ministers of foreign affairs of the Atlantic Alliance has every appearance of a guideline which will be difficult to ignore when you know the political and military weight which Washington continues to carry in the organization."

HUNGARY: "NATO's Real Objective"

Leading circulation *Nepszabadsag* (6/2) said in an opinion piece by historian Tamas Krausz, "In contrast with the rather provincial notion in Hungary, NATO enlargement is part of a very conscious and comprehensive strategy rather than a simple decision to invite some Central European states to join the Alliance.... The basis of this U.S. strategy is to need to restore U.S. leadership in the world.... On the altar of political and economic interests, the West once again gives up an ideology: They no longer trust the future of Russia as a 'Westernized,' modern state.... Policy

-makers tend to deny this, but

NATO enlargement does in fact serve the goal to confine Russia in a quarantine.... Instead of Russia being a Communist threat, decision

-makers depict today's Russia as being unpredictable....

NATO enlargement is necessary to grab the new opportunities of capital accumulation: 'Drang nach Osten.' After all, maintaining the British

-American dominance in the oil business on the Caspian Sea is not a joke. However, what is at real stake is the long

-term

influence over Russian raw materials. Western NATO member states by

enlarging NATO, wish to get closer to Eastern energy sources and thus launch their 'long campaign' under better conditions.... It is hard to banish then the thought that Hungary has a common border with Serbia, the potential ally of Russia. Hungary will become a potential military springboard.... As a result of NATO enlargement, Central

-Eastern Europe will become a heavily armed buffer zone and what is worse, it will have to cover the costs of the whole process."
"Entry Of Romania, Slovenia Vital To Hungarian Interests"

Leading circulation Nepszabadsag (5/31) pointed out, "In the long

-run,
it is not in Hungary's interest to be a lonely NATO member on the Northern edge of the Balkans. It is a vital strategic interest for Hungary that both Romania and Slovenia get admitted to the Alliance and hopefully in the first round."
LATVIA: "Secure Latvia's Participation In New European System"

Arnis Jansons commented in centrist Diena (6/3): "The U.S. president's letter to Baltic activists in America proves that our movement toward NATO will continue to be supported by (NATO's) main pillar. A mandatory condition to receive assistance is our own readiness to accept it. NATO is interested in the Baltics as a northeastern flank, but we must be prepared to pay for a place under the Alliance's umbrella. This concept, albeit slowly, is sinking in.... Our most important foreign policy task should be to secure Latvia's participation in the new European system...in which Latvia is not a member of NATO.... We must work faster to create the Baltic

-U.S. charter because this agreement will be an important aid in our progress."

NORWAY: "Russia, Baltics And Nordic Countries"

Retired Major General Werner Christie commented in Social Democratic Arbeiderbladet (6/2), "The Baltic countries must find a balance in their relationship with their enormous neighbor, and we, the Nordic countries, must support them so that the pressure from Russia doesn't become too much to bear for them. We should try to establish a joint Nordic/Baltic counterbalance.... It has become a dilemma for us in Norway to figure out how we best can help the Baltics without upsetting the United States or Russia. The daily cooperation between Baltic and Norwegian soldiers gives us the opportunity to take it one step at a time."

POLAND: "West Does Not Have As Many Reservations About Warsaw"

Centrist Rzeczpospolita (6/2) carried a front

-page story by Maria

Wagrowska concluding, "The Friday talks held by foreign ministers of NATO countries...did not explain which countries of Central Europe can expect to be invited to membership in the Alliance. Because of the advanced reforms in Poland and the Polish armed forces, the West does not have as many reservations about Warsaw as it has about Hungary and the Czech Republic."

"Poland Has A Place Reserved In NATO"

Jan Skorzynski held in centrist Rzeczpospolita (5/30), "The process of NATO enlargement got an impressive acceleration.... The Americans were the motivating power of the enlargement from the beginning. They made a bet on the easiest solution as far as the first candidates; first, to invite the countries on which all 16 member countries agree, the countries to which it will be the easiest to convince the American Congress and public opinion. But nothing is forecluded yet-

-the majority of the countries of the treaty support the entry of five countries at once. For Poland both concepts are advantageous. One way or another, we have a place reserved on the train to NATO."
"Test For Membership"

Public Television, Channel 1's main news "Wiadomosci" said (5/29), "The Sintra meeting is an unquestionable test for answering the question of who will enter NATO in the first round and how many countries it will be. Unofficially it is known that only Poland has support of all 16 countries. Americans repeated many times that they care about a sovereign Ukraine as well as for the security of the Baltic states. In this context it is said that the possible path to NATO is open to all countries. Regardless of whether Russia likes it or not, there will be another round of the process."

ROMANIA: "Missing NATO Train Would Be Threat To Reforms"

Pro

-government Romania Libera commented (6/2): "[Romania's] missing the train to early membership in NATO would be a threat to the economic reforms and the anti

-corruption drive in the country:
Supporters of totalitarianism will distort the meaning of all these actions and present them to the population as useless sacrifices imposed by the ruling coalition in order to make Romania join the West, while the West cares about her only to the extent it can make money here."

"Romania's Accession Hangs By A Thread"

Independent Adevarul (5/30) stressed, "The Romanians' darkest premonitions materialized at Sintra, Portugal, on Thursday...when experts clearly read into Secretary of State Madeleine Albright's speech Washington's decision to back just Poland, the Czech Republic and Hungary for accession into NATO." In an editorial, the newspaper said Albright's apparent opinion that Romania did not meet the high

standards for first

-tier membership was "shocking," especially because "at The Hague on Wednesday, President Clinton had thanked Romanian President Constantinescu, directly and before the whole world, for the course of democracy in Romania. Was that meant as consolation for leaving our country outside NATO? If that was the purpose, then (the move) failed, because to Romanians there can be no consolation for dismissing Romania's candidacy." SLOVENIA: "U.S. Main Adversary To Slovenia's Joining NATO"

Readers of left

-of

-center, independent Dnevnik (6/2) saw this commentary, "After NATO's political strategists finally...persuaded Yeltsin to agree to NATO expansion...it seems that they...forgot the measures which had been loudly announced as standards for admission of countries in transition.... In Sintra, it has been more or less decided that only three countries would be admitted to NATO in the first round.... America was the main adversary of Slovenia's joining NATO. Thus, Slovenia has fallen into the pot of soup cooked by the big; whether (Slovenia) will be invited for lunch depends on how much the North Atlantic Alliance will have to pay for Slovenia's admission and what strategic advantages derive from its inclusion, rather than on how democratic, developed and supportive of human rights it is.... Only last

-moment lobbying at the doors of the big can still open the door for us to (NATO); it is useless to persuade them how democratic and economically developed we are; rather, we should make them understand the strategic reasons which make higher costs worthwhile." SPAIN: "Unimaginable Future Of Russia

-NATO Association"

Liberal El Pais commented (6/1): "NATO is like a pyramid whose vortex is occupied by the United States, the strongest of all of its members, and the entrance of Russia would have ruined this perfect geometry. The public consolation adopted publicly in the form of an advisory council is that the Kremlin and the Alliance will meet to discuss common problems together with the promise, but not the guarantee, that none of the former republics of the former Soviet Union will enter the Alliance.... With a voice, but not a vote, the Kremlin will only have a luxurious balcony from which it may assist the process, but not participate in it.... The peace is only a project, like the Israeli

-Palestinian peace process.... The future of the association between Russia and NATO will revolve in many unimaginable directions.... It is possible that the council could change into a forum to 'NATOize' Russia in a form that the country could one day be

in the Alliance."

SOUTH ASIA

BANGLADESH: "Inclusion"

An editorial in conservative Ittefaq (5/30) maintained, "Russia's inclusion into NATO announces the formal end of the Cold War. Russia has got the status of an observer or even adviser and silent partner, not full membership. Russian inclusion proves that sometimes stone also floats in the water. But Russia is not at all satisfied with its present status. It naturally wanted to be a patron like the United States. It cannot be said that the West's fear of the erstwhile bear has completely gone. However, inclusion, for the moment, will help strengthen social, political and economic relations in Europe."

INDIA: "Expanding NATO Allows U.S. To Assert Unipolar Dominance"

In the editorial view of the centrist Times of India (5/31): "For the United States, eastward expansion of NATO is an important way of asserting its unipolar dominance. A NATO with more East European nations will tilt the balance of the organization in favor of the United States as against the Franco

-German assertion of Western European independence. In other words, the purpose of the new expanded NATO will continue to be what was spelt out by its first Secretary General, Lord Ismay-

-to keep the Americans in, the Russians out and the Germans down in Europe. Otherwise, it is difficult to make any sense of NATO undertaking additional security responsibilities after the end of the Cold War.... Indeed, what can NATO do that cannot be done under the framework of the Organization of Security and Cooperation in Europe?"

MIDDLE EAST

EGYPT: "NATO As Tool Of U.S. Hegemony"

Columnist Nabil Zaki remarked in pro

-government Al Akhbar (6/1):
"After the end of the Cold War, nations expected that military arsenals and army budgets would be decreased.... However, Western countries do not want that. They insist on maintaining a military organization [NATO]...and on isolating Russia from Europe, while the United States has secured for itself hegemony over European affairs through this pact. Plans have been actually laid down to expand NATO.... The real purpose for expanding NATO is for the West to ensure that Russia will not return to control Eastern Europe again.... It is not unlikely that NATO will have military missions outside Europe.... If Washington wants, it can serve as a tool for intervention in any region."

SYRIA: "Suspicious Of NATO's Designs Toward Arab Lands"

Government

-owned Tishreen (6/2) front

-paged this assessment by chief
editor Mohamed Khair Al

-Wadi: "After the collapse of socialism, there was a belief that NATO could be eliminated.... Instead it is expanding eastwards to Russia.... It is noteworthy that the NATO secretary general has said that NATO will expand southwards to include the Mediterranean states. This means that NATO plans to include Arab lands under the pretext of maintaining security and stability.... Since its establishment, NATO guaranteed Western hegemony and protected Western imperialism. It has never sympathized with the Arab peoples' struggles for liberation; on the contrary it supported powers greedy for Arab land.... The support NATO gives to Israeli and Turkish policies makes us believe that it aims to secure Western hegemony over Arab lands."

LATIN AMERICA

ARGENTINA: "NATO Changes Its Reason To Exist"

An editorial in leading Clarin read (6/2): "The NATO

-Russia
agreement rules out the East

-West division and the remnants of the areas of influence outlined in Yalta.... The Western military alliance of 16 countries led by the United States has become the main pivot of international security.... The Euroatlantic organization expands to the Eurasian community, offers guarantees of stability to East European countries, and places the Russian Federation closer to Western aid. All this, which in the text of the Paris document may appear like another step toward Kant's idea of 'perpetual peace' among nations, is, nevertheless, far from producing a world with less conflicts. These, far from diminishing, have spread widely, though their nature has changed. It is a safer world because the nuclear threat has disappeared.... But it is also a less peaceful world, where the great world powers no longer exercise their hegemonic influence over large peripheral areas...even in Europe, as shown in the last Balkan war. In this sense we must point out that it is

Russia's weakness and not its power...which leads NATO to the East."
##

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

===== ATTACHMENT 2 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 3-JUN-1997 12:57:00.00

ATT BODYPART TYPE:D

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Return-path: USIA_Media_Reaction/R__MR/WASHDC/USIA/GOV.USIA@notes1.usia.gov

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879)

id <01IJMXGL6M340008GQ@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for Clark_p@a1.eop.gov; Tue,

03 Jun 1997 12:55:51 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)

by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IJMXGCP6PS0008GX@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for

Clark_p@a1.eop.gov; Tue, 03 Jun 1997 12:55:46 -0500 (EST)

Received: from notes1.usia.gov ([207.42.143.2])

by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.1-7 #6879)

with SMTP id <01IJMXFMNNFE0020N6@STORM.EOP.GOV> for Clark_p@A1.EOP.GOV; Tue,

03 Jun 1997 12:55:04 -0400 (EDT)

Received: by notes1.usia.gov (IBM OS/2 SENDMAIL VERSION 1.3.2)

/1.0) id AA2694; Tue, 03 Jun 1997 12:53:31 -0700

Received: from USIA with "Lotus Notes Mail Gateway for SMTP" id

42A1AE6BC64B0C82852564AB00487DDB; Tue, 03 Jun 1997 12:52:54 +0000

X-Lotus-Type: Daily Digest

===== END ATTACHMENT 2 =====