

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	From USIA_Media Reaction to Many Recipients Re: Daily Digest 5/20: Clinton at U.S.-EU Summit [partial] [10 USC 424] (1 page)	05/20/1998	P3/b(3)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Tape Restoration Project [Email]
WHO ([fast track...])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[08/21/1997 - 07/18/1998]

2019-0155-F
jn659

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

ARMS Email System

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES READ RECEIPT)

CREATOR: Anna E. Cushing (CN=Anna E. Cushing/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-AUG-1997 14:14:22.00

SUBJECT: RECEIVED: Presidential Letter on Fast Track Authority

TEXT:

RETURN RECEIPT

Your Document:

RECEIVED: Presidential Letter on Fast Track Authority

was successfully delivered to:

4135 @ WHCA (4135 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])

Todd H. Dennett (CN=Todd H. Dennett/O=OVP [OVP])

Todd H. Dennett (CN=Todd H. Dennett/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

Heather M. Marabeti (CN=Heather M. Marabeti/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

Matthew L. Bennett (CN=Matthew L. Bennett/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Michael B. Feldman (CN=Michael B. Feldman/O=OVP [OVP])

Moe Vela (CN=Moe Vela/O=OVP [OVP])

Michael B. Feldman (CN=Michael B. Feldman/O=OVP [OVP])

Michael J. Burton (CN=Michael J. Burton/O=OVP [OVP])

Simeona F. Pasquil (CN=Simeona F. Pasquil/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP [OVP])

Nathan B. Naylor (CN=Nathan B. Naylor/O=OVP [OVP])

Matthew L. Bennett (CN=Matthew L. Bennett/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Steven W. Adamske (CN=Steven W. Adamske/O=OVP [OVP])

Joe Keohan (CN=Joe Keohan/O=OVP [OVP])

Steven W. Adamske (CN=Steven W. Adamske/O=OVP [OVP])

Heather M. Marabeti (CN=Heather M. Marabeti/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Nathan B. Naylor (CN=Nathan B. Naylor/O=OVP [OVP])

Simeona F. Pasquil (CN=Simeona F. Pasquil/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

Lorraine A. Voles (CN=Lorraine A. Voles/O=OVP [OVP])

Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])

Michael B. Feldman (CN=Michael B. Feldman/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Michael B. Feldman (CN=Michael B. Feldman/O=OVP [OVP])

Ron Klain (CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP [OVP])

Karen E. Skelton (CN=Karen E. Skelton/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Matthew L. Bennett (CN=Matthew L. Bennett/O=OVP [OVP])
Moe Vela (CN=Moe Vela/O=OVP [OVP])
Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])
Kimberly M. Harold (CN=Kimberly M. Harold/O=OVP [OVP])
Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])
Joe Keohan (CN=Joe Keohan/O=OVP [OVP])
Ron Klain (CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP [OVP])
Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])
Todd H. Dennett (CN=Todd H. Dennett/O=OVP [OVP])
Nicholas R. Baldick (CN=Nicholas R. Baldick/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])
Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])
Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])
Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])
Virginia M. Terzano (CN=Virginia M. Terzano/O=OVP [OVP])
Virginia M. Terzano (CN=Virginia M. Terzano/O=OVP [OVP])
Caren L. Solomon (CN=Caren L. Solomon/O=OVP [OVP])
Jeffrey P. Morales (CN=Jeffrey P. Morales/O=OVP [OVP])
Jeffrey P. Morales (CN=Jeffrey P. Morales/O=OVP [OVP])
Jeffrey P. Morales (CN=Jeffrey P. Morales/O=OVP [OVP])
Fred DuVal (CN=Fred DuVal/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Eli G. Attie (CN=Eli G. Attie/O=OVP [OVP])
Jonathan H. Schnur (CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/O=OVP [OVP])
Wendy C. New (CN=Wendy C. New/O=OVP [OVP])
Roger V. Salazar (CN=Roger V. Salazar/O=OVP [OVP])
Jeffrey P. Morales (CN=Jeffrey P. Morales/O=OVP [OVP])
Linda L. Moore (CN=Linda L. Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Heather M. Marabeti (CN=Heather M. Marabeti/O=OVP [OVP])
Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP [OVP])
Beth A. Viola (CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])
Allison.sisk (Allison.sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ lngtwy @ eop @ inet [UNKNOWN])
Beth A. Viola (CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])
Peggy Cusack (CN=Peggy Cusack/O=OVP [OVP])
Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])
Beth A. Viola (CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])
Allison.sisk (Allison.sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ lngtwy @ eop @ inet [UNKNOWN])
Allison.sisk (Allison.sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ lngtwy @ eop @ inet [UNKNOWN])
Allison.sisk (Allison.sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ lngtwy @ eop @ inet [UNKNOWN])
Allison.Sisk (Allison.Sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ INET @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN])
Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])
Paul J. Cusack (CN=Paul J. Cusack/O=OVP [OVP])
Wendy Hartman (CN=Wendy Hartman/O=OVP [OVP])
4752 @ WHCA (4752 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])
4142 @ WHCA (4142 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])
Allison.Sisk (Allison.Sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ INET @ LNGTWY @ EOP [UNKNOWN])
Allison.Sisk (Allison.Sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ INET @ LNGTWY @ EOP [UNKNOWN])
Dan J. Taylor (CN=Dan J. Taylor/O=OVP [OVP])
Virginia M. Terzano (CN=Virginia M. Terzano/O=OVP [OVP])
Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])
Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])
Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP [OVP])
Debbie B Bengtson (CN=Debbie B Bengtson/O=OVP [OVP])
Beth A. Viola (CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])

Donald H. Gips (CN=Donald H. Gips/O=OVP [OVP])
Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
Peter R. Orszag (CN=Peter R. Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
Jeanne Lambrew (CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
Jeanne Lambrew (CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Peter R. Orszag (CN=Peter R. Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
4433 @ WHCA (4433 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])
4614 @ WHCA (4614 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])
4752 @ WHCA (4752 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])
David S. Beaubaire (CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP@WHO [WHO])
David S. Beaubaire (CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP@WHO [WHO])
Records Management (Records Management [UNKNOWN])
Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Jeanne Lambrew (CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
John G. Stiver (CN=John G. Stiver/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
Stephen B. Silverman (CN=Stephen B. Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
Intern Weekly Report (Intern Weekly Report @ WHO [UNKNOWN])
Kris M Balderston (CN=Kris M Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
Anne E. McGuire (CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
Rosemary B. OShea (CN=Rosemary B. O'Shea/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
David S. Beaubaire (CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
Elizabeth M. Toohey (CN=Elizabeth M. Toohey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
Ronda H. Jackson (CN=Ronda H. Jackson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
Stefanie Sanford (CN=Stefanie Sanford/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
Kris M. Balderston (CN=Kris M. Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Carl E. Snoddy (CN=Carl E. Snoddy/OU=OA/O=EOP@EOP [OA])
Charles R. Marr (CN=Charles R. Marr/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Jeremy B. Rudd (CN=Jeremy B. Rudd/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
Lisa D. Branch (CN=Lisa D. Branch/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
Dawn M. Chirwa (CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Ophelia D. West (CN=Ophelia D. West/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Constance J. Bowers (CN=Constance J. Bowers/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Ashley L. Raines (CN=Ashley L. Raines/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
Joseph J. Minarik (CN=Joseph J. Minarik/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Ophelia D. West (CN=Ophelia D. West/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Debra A. Schiff (CN=Debra A. Schiff/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Ashley L. Raines (CN=Ashley L. Raines/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
Melissa Green (CN=Melissa Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Melissa Green (CN=Melissa Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Charles R. Marr (CN=Charles R. Marr/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Brooks E. Scoville (CN=Brooks E. Scoville/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Ophelia D. West (CN=Ophelia D. West/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Christopher D. Carroll (CN=Christopher D. Carroll/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
Dawn M. Chirwa (CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
William R. Kincaid (CN=William R. Kincaid/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Ophelia D. West (CN=Ophelia D. West/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Joseph J. Minarik (CN=Joseph J. Minarik/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Christopher D. Carroll (CN=Christopher D. Carroll/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
Jeremy B. Rudd (CN=Jeremy B. Rudd/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
Robert M. Shireman (CN=Robert M. Shireman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Robert N. Weiner (CN=Robert N. Weiner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lisa D. Branch (CN=Lisa D. Branch/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])

Peter R. Orszag (CN=Peter R. Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Ophelia D. West (CN=Ophelia D. West/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Dorothy Robyn (CN=Dorothy Robyn/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Ashley L. Raines (CN=Ashley L. Raines/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
Debbie B Bengtson (CN=Debbie B Bengtson/O=OVP [OVP])
Elizabeth Harrington (CN=Elizabeth Harrington/O=OVP [OVP])
Thomas A. Shea (CN=Thomas A. Shea/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Albert Gore (CN=Albert Gore/O=OVP [OVP])
Christine K. Baer (CN=Christine K. Baer/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Ron Klain (CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP [OVP])
Debbie B Bengtson (CN=Debbie B Bengtson/O=OVP [OVP])
Mary M. Overbey (CN=Mary M. Overbey/O=OVP [OVP])
Craig T. Smith (CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Craig T. Smith (CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Debbie B Bengtson (CN=Debbie B Bengtson/O=OVP [OVP])
Tracy B. LaBrecque (CN=Tracy B. LaBrecque/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
at gore-dc (at gore-dc@CCMAIL [UNKNOWN])
Craig T. Smith (CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
4135 @ WHCA (4135 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])
3149668 @ SkyTel (3149668 @ SkyTel [UNKNOWN])
Gordon Adams (CN=Gordon Adams/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Wendy C. New (CN=Wendy C. New/O=OVP [OVP])
2167994 @ SkyTel (2167994 @ SkyTel [UNKNOWN])
Linda R. Cooper (CN=Linda R. Cooper/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Wendy Hartman (CN=Wendy Hartman/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])
Michael J. Burton (CN=Michael J. Burton/O=OVP [OVP])
Angelique Pirozzi (CN=Angelique Pirozzi/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Wendy Hartman (CN=Wendy Hartman/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])
Ronda H. Jackson (CN=Ronda H. Jackson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Michael A. Saunders (CN=Michael A. Saunders/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
Robert G. Damus (CN=Robert G. Damus/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Kathryn W. Jackson (CN=Kathryn W. Jackson/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
Kathryn W. Jackson (CN=Kathryn W. Jackson/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
Sarah B. Richardson (CN=Sarah B. Richardson/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Eileen M. Upperman (CN=Eileen M. Upperman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Eileen M. Upperman (CN=Eileen M. Upperman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
James C. Murr (CN=James C. Murr/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Sean P. Maloney (CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Sean P. Maloney (CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Erskine B. Bowles (CN=Erskine B. Bowles/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Eileen M. Upperman (CN=Eileen M. Upperman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Maria A. Westfried (CN=Maria A. Westfried/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Kyle M. Baker (CN=Kyle M. Baker/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
R. Scott Michaud (CN=R. Scott Michaud/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Tracy F. Sisser (CN=Tracy F. Sisser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Robert B. Johnson (CN=Robert B. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Tracy F. Sisser (CN=Tracy F. Sisser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Daniel W. Burkhardt (CN=Daniel W. Burkhardt/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Dawn M. Chirwa (CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Cheryl D. Mills (CN=Cheryl D. Mills/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Mickey Ibarra (CN=Mickey Ibarra/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Robert C. Houser (CN=Robert C. Houser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
R. Scott Michaud (CN=R. Scott Michaud/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Michelle Houston (CN=Michelle Houston/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Manuel A. Mendoza (CN=Manuel A. Mendoza/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
R. Scott Michaud (CN=R. Scott Michaud/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Joshua Silverman (CN=Joshua Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Michael Gill (Michael Gill@6-7044@fax @ EOP [UNKNOWN])
sally_aman (sally_aman @ clsdc [UNKNOWN])
Robert C. Houser (CN=Robert C. Houser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Robert C. Houser (CN=Robert C. Houser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Christopher Adams (CN=Christopher Adams/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
Rebecca A. Cameron (CN=Rebecca A. Cameron/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Laura K. Capps (CN=Laura K. Capps/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Anne E. McGuire (CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Janet E. Irwin (CN=Janet E. Irwin/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Dorian V. Weaver (CN=Dorian V. Weaver/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Stacey S. McArdle (CN=Stacey S. McArdle/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Dorian V. Weaver (CN=Dorian V. Weaver/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Carla B. Stone (CN=Carla B. Stone/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Eunice C. Hendrix (CN=Eunice C. Hendrix/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Sean P. Maloney (CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Mona G. Mohib (CN=Mona G. Mohib/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lori E. Abrams (CN=Lori E. Abrams/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Marilyn R. Jacanin (CN=Marilyn R. Jacanin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Rebecca A. Cameron (CN=Rebecca A. Cameron/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Carmen B. Fowler (CN=Carmen B. Fowler/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lisa J. Levin (CN=Lisa J. Levin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Nancy V. Hernreich (CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Nancy V. Hernreich (CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lori L. Anderson (CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lori L. Anderson (CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Mona G. Mohib (CN=Mona G. Mohib/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Robert D. Kyle (CN=Robert D. Kyle/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
Anna E. Cushing (CN=Anna E. Cushing/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
Records Management (Records Management [UNKNOWN])
Anna E. Cushing (CN=Anna E. Cushing/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

- at:
19970821141423

ARMS Email System

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES READ RECEIPT)

CREATOR: James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-AUG-1997 14:58:11.00

SUBJECT: RECEIVED: Presidential Letter on Fast Track Authority

TEXT:

RETURN RECEIPT

Your Document:

RECEIVED: Presidential Letter on Fast Track Authority

was successfully delivered to:

4135 @ WHCA (4135 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])

Todd H. Dennett (CN=Todd H. Dennett/O=OVP [OVP])

Todd H. Dennett (CN=Todd H. Dennett/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

Heather M. Marabeti (CN=Heather M. Marabeti/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

Matthew L. Bennett (CN=Matthew L. Bennett/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Michael B. Feldman (CN=Michael B. Feldman/O=OVP [OVP])

Moe Vela (CN=Moe Vela/O=OVP [OVP])

Michael B. Feldman (CN=Michael B. Feldman/O=OVP [OVP])

Michael J. Burton (CN=Michael J. Burton/O=OVP [OVP])

Simeona F. Pasquil (CN=Simeona F. Pasquil/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP [OVP])

Nathan B. Naylor (CN=Nathan B. Naylor/O=OVP [OVP])

Matthew L. Bennett (CN=Matthew L. Bennett/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Steven W. Adamske (CN=Steven W. Adamske/O=OVP [OVP])

Joe Keohan (CN=Joe Keohan/O=OVP [OVP])

Steven W. Adamske (CN=Steven W. Adamske/O=OVP [OVP])

Heather M. Marabeti (CN=Heather M. Marabeti/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Nathan B. Naylor (CN=Nathan B. Naylor/O=OVP [OVP])

Simeona F. Pasquil (CN=Simeona F. Pasquil/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

Lorraine A. Voles (CN=Lorraine A. Voles/O=OVP [OVP])

Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])

Michael B. Feldman (CN=Michael B. Feldman/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Michael B. Feldman (CN=Michael B. Feldman/O=OVP [OVP])

Ron Klain (CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP [OVP])

Karen E. Skelton (CN=Karen E. Skelton/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Matthew L. Bennett (CN=Matthew L. Bennett/O=OVP [OVP])
Moe Vela (CN=Moe Vela/O=OVP [OVP])
Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])
Kimberly M. Harold (CN=Kimberly M. Harold/O=OVP [OVP])
Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])
Joe Keohan (CN=Joe Keohan/O=OVP [OVP])
Ron Klain (CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP [OVP])
Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])
Todd H. Dennett (CN=Todd H. Dennett/O=OVP [OVP])
Nicholas R. Baldick (CN=Nicholas R. Baldick/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])
Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])
Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])
Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])
Virginia M. Terzano (CN=Virginia M. Terzano/O=OVP [OVP])
Virginia M. Terzano (CN=Virginia M. Terzano/O=OVP [OVP])
Caren L. Solomon (CN=Caren L. Solomon/O=OVP [OVP])
Jeffrey P. Morales (CN=Jeffrey P. Morales/O=OVP [OVP])
Jeffrey P. Morales (CN=Jeffrey P. Morales/O=OVP [OVP])
Jeffrey P. Morales (CN=Jeffrey P. Morales/O=OVP [OVP])
Fred DuVal (CN=Fred DuVal/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Eli G. Attie (CN=Eli G. Attie/O=OVP [OVP])
Jonathan H. Schnur (CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/O=OVP [OVP])
Wendy C. New (CN=Wendy C. New/O=OVP [OVP])
Roger V. Salazar (CN=Roger V. Salazar/O=OVP [OVP])
Jeffrey P. Morales (CN=Jeffrey P. Morales/O=OVP [OVP])
Linda L. Moore (CN=Linda L. Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Heather M. Marabeti (CN=Heather M. Marabeti/O=OVP [OVP])
Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP [OVP])
Beth A. Viola (CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])
Allison.sisk (Allison.sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ lngtwy @ eop @ inet [UNKNOWN])
Beth A. Viola (CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])
Peggy Cusack (CN=Peggy Cusack/O=OVP [OVP])
Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])
Beth A. Viola (CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])
Allison.sisk (Allison.sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ lngtwy @ eop @ inet [UNKNOWN])
Allison.sisk (Allison.sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ lngtwy @ eop @ inet [UNKNOWN])
Allison.sisk (Allison.sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ lngtwy @ eop @ inet [UNKNOWN])
Allison.Sisk (Allison.Sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ INET @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN])
Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])
Paul J. Cusack (CN=Paul J. Cusack/O=OVP [OVP])
Wendy Hartman (CN=Wendy Hartman/O=OVP [OVP])
4752 @ WHCA (4752 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])
4142 @ WHCA (4142 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])
Allison.Sisk (Allison.Sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ INET @ LNGTWY @ EOP [UNKNOWN])
Allison.Sisk (Allison.Sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ INET @ LNGTWY @ EOP [UNKNOWN])
Dan J. Taylor (CN=Dan J. Taylor/O=OVP [OVP])
Virginia M. Terzano (CN=Virginia M. Terzano/O=OVP [OVP])
Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])
Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])
Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP [OVP])
Debbie B Bengtson (CN=Debbie B Bengtson/O=OVP [OVP])
Beth A. Viola (CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])

Donald H. Gips (CN=Donald H. Gips/O=OVP [OVP])
Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
Peter R. Orszag (CN=Peter R. Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
Jeanne Lambrew (CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
Jeanne Lambrew (CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Peter R. Orszag (CN=Peter R. Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
4433 @ WHCA (4433 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])
4614 @ WHCA (4614 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])
4752 @ WHCA (4752 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])
David S. Beaubaire (CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP@WHO [WHO])
David S. Beaubaire (CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP@WHO [WHO])
Records Management (Records Management [UNKNOWN])
Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Jeanne Lambrew (CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
John G. Stiver (CN=John G. Stiver/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
Stephen B. Silverman (CN=Stephen B. Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
Intern Weekly Report (Intern Weekly Report @ WHO [UNKNOWN])
Kris M Balderston (CN=Kris M Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
Anne E. McGuire (CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
Rosemary B. OShea (CN=Rosemary B. O'Shea/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
David S. Beaubaire (CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
Elizabeth M. Toohey (CN=Elizabeth M. Toohey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
Ronda H. Jackson (CN=Ronda H. Jackson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
Stefanie Sanford (CN=Stefanie Sanford/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
Kris M. Balderston (CN=Kris M. Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Carl E. Snoddy (CN=Carl E. Snoddy/OU=OA/O=EOP@EOP [OA])
Charles R. Marr (CN=Charles R. Marr/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Jeremy B. Rudd (CN=Jeremy B. Rudd/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
Lisa D. Branch (CN=Lisa D. Branch/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
Dawn M. Chirwa (CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Ophelia D. West (CN=Ophelia D. West/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Constance J. Bowers (CN=Constance J. Bowers/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Ashley L. Raines (CN=Ashley L. Raines/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
Joseph J. Minarik (CN=Joseph J. Minarik/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Ophelia D. West (CN=Ophelia D. West/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Debra A. Schiff (CN=Debra A. Schiff/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Ashley L. Raines (CN=Ashley L. Raines/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
Melissa Green (CN=Melissa Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Melissa Green (CN=Melissa Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Charles R. Marr (CN=Charles R. Marr/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Brooks E. Scoville (CN=Brooks E. Scoville/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Ophelia D. West (CN=Ophelia D. West/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Christopher D. Carroll (CN=Christopher D. Carroll/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
Dawn M. Chirwa (CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
William R. Kincaid (CN=William R. Kincaid/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Ophelia D. West (CN=Ophelia D. West/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Joseph J. Minarik (CN=Joseph J. Minarik/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Christopher D. Carroll (CN=Christopher D. Carroll/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
Jeremy B. Rudd (CN=Jeremy B. Rudd/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
Robert M. Shireman (CN=Robert M. Shireman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Robert N. Weiner (CN=Robert N. Weiner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lisa D. Branch (CN=Lisa D. Branch/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])

Peter R. Orszag (CN=Peter R. Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
 Ophelia D. West (CN=Ophelia D. West/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
 Dorothy Robyn (CN=Dorothy Robyn/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
 Ashley L. Raines (CN=Ashley L. Raines/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
 Debbie B Bengtson (CN=Debbie B Bengtson/O=OVP [OVP])
 Elizabeth Harrington (CN=Elizabeth Harrington/O=OVP [OVP])
 Thomas A. Shea (CN=Thomas A. Shea/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Albert Gore (CN=Albert Gore/O=OVP [OVP])
 Christine K. Baer (CN=Christine K. Baer/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Ron Klain (CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP [OVP])
 Debbie B Bengtson (CN=Debbie B Bengtson/O=OVP [OVP])
 Mary M. Overbey (CN=Mary M. Overbey/O=OVP [OVP])
 Craig T. Smith (CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Craig T. Smith (CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Debbie B Bengtson (CN=Debbie B Bengtson/O=OVP [OVP])
 Tracy B. LaBrecque (CN=Tracy B. LaBrecque/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 at gore-dc (at gore-dc@CCMAIL [UNKNOWN])
 Craig T. Smith (CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 4135 @ WHCA (4135 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])
 3149668 @ SkyTel (3149668 @ SkyTel [UNKNOWN])
 Gordon Adams (CN=Gordon Adams/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
 Wendy C. New (CN=Wendy C. New/O=OVP [OVP])
 2167994 @ SkyTel (2167994 @ SkyTel [UNKNOWN])
 Linda R. Cooper (CN=Linda R. Cooper/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
 Wendy Hartman (CN=Wendy Hartman/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])
 Michael J. Burton (CN=Michael J. Burton/O=OVP [OVP])
 Angelique Pirozzi (CN=Angelique Pirozzi/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Wendy Hartman (CN=Wendy Hartman/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])
 Ronda H. Jackson (CN=Ronda H. Jackson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Michael A. Saunders (CN=Michael A. Saunders/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
 Robert G. Damus (CN=Robert G. Damus/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
 Kathryn W. Jackson (CN=Kathryn W. Jackson/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
 Kathryn W. Jackson (CN=Kathryn W. Jackson/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
 Sarah B. Richardson (CN=Sarah B. Richardson/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
 James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Eileen M. Upperman (CN=Eileen M. Upperman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Eileen M. Upperman (CN=Eileen M. Upperman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 James C. Murr (CN=James C. Murr/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
 Sean P. Maloney (CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Sean P. Maloney (CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Erskine B. Bowles (CN=Erskine B. Bowles/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Eileen M. Upperman (CN=Eileen M. Upperman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Maria A. Westfried (CN=Maria A. Westfried/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Kyle M. Baker (CN=Kyle M. Baker/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 R. Scott Michaud (CN=R. Scott Michaud/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Tracy F. Sisser (CN=Tracy F. Sisser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Robert B. Johnson (CN=Robert B. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Tracy F. Sisser (CN=Tracy F. Sisser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Daniel W. Burkhardt (CN=Daniel W. Burkhardt/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Dawn M. Chirwa (CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Cheryl D. Mills (CN=Cheryl D. Mills/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Mickey Ibarra (CN=Mickey Ibarra/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Robert C. Houser (CN=Robert C. Houser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
R. Scott Michaud (CN=R. Scott Michaud/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Michelle Houston (CN=Michelle Houston/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Manuel A. Mendoza (CN=Manuel A. Mendoza/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
R. Scott Michaud (CN=R. Scott Michaud/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Joshua Silverman (CN=Joshua Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Michael Gill (Michael Gill@6-7044@fax @ EOP [UNKNOWN])
sally_aman (sally_aman @ clcdc [UNKNOWN])
Robert C. Houser (CN=Robert C. Houser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Robert C. Houser (CN=Robert C. Houser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Christopher Adams (CN=Christopher Adams/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
Rebecca A. Cameron (CN=Rebecca A. Cameron/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Laura K. Capps (CN=Laura K. Capps/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Anne E. McGuire (CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Janet E. Irwin (CN=Janet E. Irwin/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Dorian V. Weaver (CN=Dorian V. Weaver/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Stacey S. McArdle (CN=Stacey S. McArdle/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Dorian V. Weaver (CN=Dorian V. Weaver/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Carla B. Stone (CN=Carla B. Stone/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Eunice C. Hendrix (CN=Eunice C. Hendrix/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Sean P. Maloney (CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Mona G. Mohib (CN=Mona G. Mohib/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lori E. Abrams (CN=Lori E. Abrams/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Marilyn R. Jacanin (CN=Marilyn R. Jacanin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Rebecca A. Cameron (CN=Rebecca A. Cameron/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Carmen B. Fowler (CN=Carmen B. Fowler/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lisa J. Levin (CN=Lisa J. Levin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Nancy V. Hernreich (CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Nancy V. Hernreich (CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lori L. Anderson (CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lori L. Anderson (CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Mona G. Mohib (CN=Mona G. Mohib/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Robert D. Kyle (CN=Robert D. Kyle/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
Anna E. Cushing (CN=Anna E. Cushing/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
Records Management (Records Management [UNKNOWN])
Anna E. Cushing (CN=Anna E. Cushing/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

at:

19970821145812

ARMS Email System

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES READ RECEIPT)

CREATOR: R. Scott Michaud (CN=R. Scott Michaud/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-AUG-1997 15:13:31.00

SUBJECT: RECEIVED: Presidential Letter on Fast Track Authority

TEXT:

RETURN RECEIPT

Your Document:

RECEIVED: Presidential Letter on Fast Track Authority

was successfully delivered to:

4135 @ WHCA (4135 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])

Todd H. Dennett (CN=Todd H. Dennett/O=OVP [OVP])

Todd H. Dennett (CN=Todd H. Dennett/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

Heather M. Marabeti (CN=Heather M. Marabeti/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])

Matthew L. Bennett (CN=Matthew L. Bennett/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Michael B. Feldman (CN=Michael B. Feldman/O=OVP [OVP])

Moe Vela (CN=Moe Vela/O=OVP [OVP])

Michael B. Feldman (CN=Michael B. Feldman/O=OVP [OVP])

Michael J. Burton (CN=Michael J. Burton/O=OVP [OVP])

Simeona F. Pasquil (CN=Simeona F. Pasquil/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP [OVP])

Nathan B. Naylor (CN=Nathan B. Naylor/O=OVP [OVP])

Matthew L. Bennett (CN=Matthew L. Bennett/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Steven W. Adamske (CN=Steven W. Adamske/O=OVP [OVP])

Joe Keohan (CN=Joe Keohan/O=OVP [OVP])

Steven W. Adamske (CN=Steven W. Adamske/O=OVP [OVP])

Heather M. Marabeti (CN=Heather M. Marabeti/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Nathan B. Naylor (CN=Nathan B. Naylor/O=OVP [OVP])

Simeona F. Pasquil (CN=Simeona F. Pasquil/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

Lorraine A. Voles (CN=Lorraine A. Voles/O=OVP [OVP])

Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])

Michael B. Feldman (CN=Michael B. Feldman/O=OVP [OVP])

Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])

Michael B. Feldman (CN=Michael B. Feldman/O=OVP [OVP])

Ron Klain (CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP [OVP])

Karen E. Skelton (CN=Karen E. Skelton/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Matthew L. Bennett (CN=Matthew L. Bennett/O=OVP [OVP])
Moe Vela (CN=Moe Vela/O=OVP [OVP])
Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])
Kimberly M. Harold (CN=Kimberly M. Harold/O=OVP [OVP])
Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])
Joe Keohan (CN=Joe Keohan/O=OVP [OVP])
Ron Klain (CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP [OVP])
Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])
Todd H. Dennett (CN=Todd H. Dennett/O=OVP [OVP])
Nicholas R. Baldick (CN=Nicholas R. Baldick/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])
Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])
Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])
Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])
Virginia M. Terzano (CN=Virginia M. Terzano/O=OVP [OVP])
Virginia M. Terzano (CN=Virginia M. Terzano/O=OVP [OVP])
Caren L. Solomon (CN=Caren L. Solomon/O=OVP [OVP])
Jeffrey P. Morales (CN=Jeffrey P. Morales/O=OVP [OVP])
Jeffrey P. Morales (CN=Jeffrey P. Morales/O=OVP [OVP])
Jeffrey P. Morales (CN=Jeffrey P. Morales/O=OVP [OVP])
Fred DuVal (CN=Fred DuVal/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Eli G. Attie (CN=Eli G. Attie/O=OVP [OVP])
Jonathan H. Schnur (CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/O=OVP [OVP])
Wendy C. New (CN=Wendy C. New/O=OVP [OVP])
Roger V. Salazar (CN=Roger V. Salazar/O=OVP [OVP])
Jeffrey P. Morales (CN=Jeffrey P. Morales/O=OVP [OVP])
Linda L. Moore (CN=Linda L. Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Heather M. Marabeti (CN=Heather M. Marabeti/O=OVP [OVP])
Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP [OVP])
Beth A. Viola (CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])
Allison.sisk (Allison.sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ lngtwy @ eop @ inet [UNKNOWN])
Beth A. Viola (CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])
Peggy Cusack (CN=Peggy Cusack/O=OVP [OVP])
Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])
Beth A. Viola (CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])
Allison.sisk (Allison.sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ lngtwy @ eop @ inet [UNKNOWN])
Allison.sisk (Allison.sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ lngtwy @ eop @ inet [UNKNOWN])
Allison.sisk (Allison.sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ lngtwy @ eop @ inet [UNKNOWN])
Allison.Sisk (Allison.Sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ INET @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN])
Lisa A. Berg (CN=Lisa A. Berg/O=OVP [OVP])
Paul J. Cusack (CN=Paul J. Cusack/O=OVP [OVP])
Wendy Hartman (CN=Wendy Hartman/O=OVP [OVP])
4752 @ WHCA (4752 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])
4142 @ WHCA (4142 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])
Allison.Sisk (Allison.Sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ INET @ LNGTWY @ EOP [UNKNOWN])
Allison.Sisk (Allison.Sisk @ justice.usdoj.gov @ INET @ LNGTWY @ EOP [UNKNOWN])
Dan J. Taylor (CN=Dan J. Taylor/O=OVP [OVP])
Virginia M. Terzano (CN=Virginia M. Terzano/O=OVP [OVP])
Ansley Jones (CN=Ansley Jones/O=OVP [OVP])
Kimberly H Tilley (CN=Kimberly H Tilley/O=OVP [OVP])
Toby Donenfeld (CN=Toby Donenfeld/O=OVP [OVP])
Debbie B Bengtson (CN=Debbie B Bengtson/O=OVP [OVP])
Beth A. Viola (CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])

Donald H. Gips (CN=Donald H. Gips/O=OVP [OVP])
 Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
 Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
 Peter R. Orszag (CN=Peter R. Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
 Jeanne Lambrew (CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
 Jeanne Lambrew (CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
 Peter R. Orszag (CN=Peter R. Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
 4433 @ WHCA (4433 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])
 4614 @ WHCA (4614 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])
 4752 @ WHCA (4752 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])
 David S. Beaubaire (CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP@WHO [WHO])
 David S. Beaubaire (CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP@WHO [WHO])
 Records Management (Records Management [UNKNOWN])
 Laura Emmett (CN=Laura Emmett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Jeanne Lambrew (CN=Jeanne Lambrew/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
 Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
 John G. Stiver (CN=John G. Stiver/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
 Stephen B. Silverman (CN=Stephen B. Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
 Intern Weekly Report (Intern Weekly Report @ WHO [UNKNOWN])
 Kris M Balderston (CN=Kris M Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
 Anne E. McGuire (CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
 Rosemary B. OShea (CN=Rosemary B. O'Shea/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
 David S. Beaubaire (CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
 Elizabeth M. Toohey (CN=Elizabeth M. Toohey/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
 Ronda H. Jackson (CN=Ronda H. Jackson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
 Stefanie Sanford (CN=Stefanie Sanford/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ WHO [WHO])
 Kris M. Balderston (CN=Kris M. Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Carl E. Snoddy (CN=Carl E. Snoddy/OU=OA/O=EOP@EOP [OA])
 Charles R. Marr (CN=Charles R. Marr/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
 Jeremy B. Rudd (CN=Jeremy B. Rudd/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
 Lisa D. Branch (CN=Lisa D. Branch/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
 Dawn M. Chirwa (CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Ophelia D. West (CN=Ophelia D. West/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
 Constance J. Bowers (CN=Constance J. Bowers/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
 Ashley L. Raines (CN=Ashley L. Raines/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
 Joseph J. Minarik (CN=Joseph J. Minarik/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
 Ophelia D. West (CN=Ophelia D. West/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
 Debra A. Schiff (CN=Debra A. Schiff/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Ashley L. Raines (CN=Ashley L. Raines/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
 Melissa Green (CN=Melissa Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
 Melissa Green (CN=Melissa Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
 Charles R. Marr (CN=Charles R. Marr/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
 Brooks E. Scoville (CN=Brooks E. Scoville/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Ophelia D. West (CN=Ophelia D. West/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
 Christopher D. Carroll (CN=Christopher D. Carroll/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
 Dawn M. Chirwa (CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 William R. Kincaid (CN=William R. Kincaid/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
 Ophelia D. West (CN=Ophelia D. West/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
 Joseph J. Minarik (CN=Joseph J. Minarik/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
 Christopher D. Carroll (CN=Christopher D. Carroll/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
 Jeremy B. Rudd (CN=Jeremy B. Rudd/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
 Robert M. Shireman (CN=Robert M. Shireman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
 Robert N. Weiner (CN=Robert N. Weiner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Lisa D. Branch (CN=Lisa D. Branch/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])

Peter R. Orszag (CN=Peter R. Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Ophelia D. West (CN=Ophelia D. West/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Dorothy Robyn (CN=Dorothy Robyn/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Ashley L. Raines (CN=Ashley L. Raines/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
Debbie B Bengtson (CN=Debbie B Bengtson/O=OVP [OVP])
Elizabeth Harrington (CN=Elizabeth Harrington/O=OVP [OVP])
Thomas A. Shea (CN=Thomas A. Shea/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Albert Gore (CN=Albert Gore/O=OVP [OVP])
Christine K. Baer (CN=Christine K. Baer/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Ron Klain (CN=Ron Klain/O=OVP [OVP])
Debbie B Bengtson (CN=Debbie B Bengtson/O=OVP [OVP])
Mary M. Overbey (CN=Mary M. Overbey/O=OVP [OVP])
Craig T. Smith (CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Craig T. Smith (CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Debbie B Bengtson (CN=Debbie B Bengtson/O=OVP [OVP])
Tracy B. LaBrecque (CN=Tracy B. LaBrecque/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
at gore-dc (at gore-dc@CCMAIL [UNKNOWN])
Craig T. Smith (CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
4135 @ WHCA (4135 @ WHCA [UNKNOWN])
3149668 @ SkyTel (3149668 @ SkyTel [UNKNOWN])
Gordon Adams (CN=Gordon Adams/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Wendy C. New (CN=Wendy C. New/O=OVP [OVP])
2167994 @ SkyTel (2167994 @ SkyTel [UNKNOWN])
Linda R. Cooper (CN=Linda R. Cooper/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Wendy Hartman (CN=Wendy Hartman/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])
Michael J. Burton (CN=Michael J. Burton/O=OVP [OVP])
Angelique Pirozzi (CN=Angelique Pirozzi/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Wendy Hartman (CN=Wendy Hartman/O=OVP @ OVP [OVP])
Ronda H. Jackson (CN=Ronda H. Jackson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Michael A. Saunders (CN=Michael A. Saunders/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
Robert G. Damus (CN=Robert G. Damus/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Kathryn W. Jackson (CN=Kathryn W. Jackson/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
Kathryn W. Jackson (CN=Kathryn W. Jackson/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
Sarah B. Richardson (CN=Sarah B. Richardson/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Eileen M. Upperman (CN=Eileen M. Upperman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Eileen M. Upperman (CN=Eileen M. Upperman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
James C. Murr (CN=James C. Murr/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Sean P. Maloney (CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Sean P. Maloney (CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Erskine B. Bowles (CN=Erskine B. Bowles/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Eileen M. Upperman (CN=Eileen M. Upperman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Maria A. Westfried (CN=Maria A. Westfried/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Kyle M. Baker (CN=Kyle M. Baker/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
R. Scott Michaud (CN=R. Scott Michaud/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Tracy F. Sisser (CN=Tracy F. Sisser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Robert B. Johnson (CN=Robert B. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Tracy F. Sisser (CN=Tracy F. Sisser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Daniel W. Burkhardt (CN=Daniel W. Burkhardt/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Dawn M. Chirwa (CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Cheryl D. Mills (CN=Cheryl D. Mills/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Mickey Ibarra (CN=Mickey Ibarra/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Robert C. Houser (CN=Robert C. Houser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
R. Scott Michaud (CN=R. Scott Michaud/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Michelle Houston (CN=Michelle Houston/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Manuel A. Mendoza (CN=Manuel A. Mendoza/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
R. Scott Michaud (CN=R. Scott Michaud/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Joshua Silverman (CN=Joshua Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Michael Gill (Michael Gill@6-7044@fax @ EOP [UNKNOWN])
sally_aman (sally_aman @ clsdc [UNKNOWN])
Robert C. Houser (CN=Robert C. Houser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Robert C. Houser (CN=Robert C. Houser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Christopher Adams (CN=Christopher Adams/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
Rebecca A. Cameron (CN=Rebecca A. Cameron/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Laura K. Capps (CN=Laura K. Capps/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Anne E. McGuire (CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Janet E. Irwin (CN=Janet E. Irwin/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
Dorian V. Weaver (CN=Dorian V. Weaver/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Stacey S. McArdle (CN=Stacey S. McArdle/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Dorian V. Weaver (CN=Dorian V. Weaver/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Carla B. Stone (CN=Carla B. Stone/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Eunice C. Hendrix (CN=Eunice C. Hendrix/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Sean P. Maloney (CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Mona G. Mohib (CN=Mona G. Mohib/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lori E. Abrams (CN=Lori E. Abrams/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Marilyn R. Jacanin (CN=Marilyn R. Jacanin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Rebecca A. Cameron (CN=Rebecca A. Cameron/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Carmen B. Fowler (CN=Carmen B. Fowler/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lisa J. Levin (CN=Lisa J. Levin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Bruce N. Reed (CN=Bruce N. Reed/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Nancy V. Hernreich (CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Nancy V. Hernreich (CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lori L. Anderson (CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lori L. Anderson (CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Mona G. Mohib (CN=Mona G. Mohib/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Robert D. Kyle (CN=Robert D. Kyle/OU=OPD/O=EOP @ EOP [OPD])
Anna E. Cushing (CN=Anna E. Cushing/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
Records Management (Records Management [UNKNOWN])
Anna E. Cushing (CN=Anna E. Cushing/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Elizabeth R. Newman (CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
Maureen A. Hudson (CN=Maureen A. Hudson/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
Sarah S. Knight (CN=Sarah S. Knight/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Diane Ikemiyashiro (CN=Diane Ikemiyashiro/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Anna E. Cushing (CN=Anna E. Cushing/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lael Brainard (CN=Lael Brainard/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
Leanne I. Johnson (CN=Leanne I. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Daniel W. Burkhardt (CN=Daniel W. Burkhardt/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
R. Scott Michaud (CN=R. Scott Michaud/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Anna E. Cushing (CN=Anna E. Cushing/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lori E. Abrams (CN=Lori E. Abrams/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Michelle Houston (CN=Michelle Houston/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lori E. Abrams (CN=Lori E. Abrams/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Manuel A. Mendoza (CN=Manuel A. Mendoza/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Daniel W. Burkhardt (CN=Daniel W. Burkhardt/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Sarah S. Knight (CN=Sarah S. Knight/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
R. Scott Michaud (CN=R. Scott Michaud/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Daniel W. Burkhardt (CN=Daniel W. Burkhardt/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Sarah A. Bianchi (CN=Sarah A. Bianchi/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Sky Gallegos (CN=Sky Gallegos/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Christopher C. Jennings (CN=Christopher C. Jennings/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Janice H. Vranich (CN=Janice H. Vranich/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
James M. Reagan (CN=James M. Reagan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
John W. McGinnis Jr. (CN=John W. McGinnis Jr./OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
John W. McGinnis Jr. (CN=John W. McGinnis Jr./OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
Daniel K. Chang (CN=Daniel K. Chang/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
Lorraine L. Wytkind (CN=Lorraine L. Wytkind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Catherine A. Cornelius (CN=Catherine A. Cornelius/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Andrew Friendly (CN=Andrew Friendly/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Ann F. Lewis (CN=Ann F. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Aviva Steinberg (CN=Aviva Steinberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Michael V. Terrell (CN=Michael V. Terrell/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])
Robin J. Bachman (CN=Robin J. Bachman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Marjorie Tarmey (CN=Marjorie Tarmey/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Debra D. Bird (CN=Debra D. Bird/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Laura D. Schwartz (CN=Laura D. Schwartz/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Minyon Moore (CN=Minyon Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Anna C. White (CN=Anna C. White/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Katherine Hubbard (CN=Katherine Hubbard/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Karen E. Finney (CN=Karen E. Finney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
April K. Mellody (CN=April K. Mellody/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Joshua A. King (CN=Joshua A. King/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno (CN=Cynthia M. Jasso-Rotunno/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Jennifer D. Dudley (CN=Jennifer D. Dudley/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

Andrew J. Mayock (CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Laura A. Graham (CN=Laura A. Graham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Paul J. Weinstein Jr. (CN=Paul J. Weinstein Jr./OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Megan C. Moloney (CN=Megan C. Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Russell W. Horwitz (CN=Russell W. Horwitz/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Stefanie Sanford (CN=Stefanie Sanford/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Ann F. Walker (CN=Ann F. Walker/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Anne M. Edwards (CN=Anne M. Edwards/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Mary Morrison (CN=Mary Morrison/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Brenda B. Costello (CN=Brenda B. Costello/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Elizabeth Drye (CN=Elizabeth Drye/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Linda L. Moore (CN=Linda L. Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Nancy V. Hernreich (CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
David S. Beaubaire (CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Dan K. Rosenthal (CN=Dan K. Rosenthal/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Dan K. Rosenthal (CN=Dan K. Rosenthal/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Stephen B. Silverman (CN=Stephen B. Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Suzanne Dale (CN=Suzanne Dale/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Christopher J. Lavery (CN=Christopher J. Lavery/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Maria Echaveste (CN=Maria Echaveste/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
D. Stephen Goodin (CN=D. Stephen Goodin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Christopher Wayne (CN=Christopher Wayne/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Beth A. Viola (CN=Beth A. Viola/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])
Marilyn DiGiacobbe (CN=Marilyn DiGiacobbe/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Marilyn DiGiacobbe (CN=Marilyn DiGiacobbe/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Lori L. Anderson (CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Daniel Wexler (CN=Daniel Wexler/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Christa Robinson (CN=Christa Robinson/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Kirk T. Hanlin (CN=Kirk T. Hanlin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Simeona F. Pasquil (CN=Simeona F. Pasquil/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Karin Kullman (CN=Karin Kullman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Stefanie Sanford (CN=Stefanie Sanford/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Paul K. Engskov (CN=Paul K. Engskov/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Sarah J. Reber (CN=Sarah J. Reber/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
Darby E. Stott (CN=Darby E. Stott/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Jake Siewert (CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Elizabeth R. Newman (CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Jordan Tamagni (CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Jose Cerda III (CN=Jose Cerda III/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Craig T. Smith (CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Kevin S. Moran (CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Elena Kagan (CN=Elena Kagan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
Thomas D. Janenda (CN=Thomas D. Janenda/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Nicholas R. Baldick (CN=Nicholas R. Baldick/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Phu D. Huynh (CN=Phu D. Huynh/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Barbara A. Barclay (CN=Barbara A. Barclay/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Carolyn E. Cleveland (CN=Carolyn E. Cleveland/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Stephanie S. Streett (CN=Stephanie S. Streett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Shelley N. Fidler (CN=Shelley N. Fidler/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])
John Podesta (CN=John Podesta/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
Peter G. Umhofer (CN=Peter G. Umhofer/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])

Emily Bromberg (CN=Emily Bromberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Kris M Balderston (CN=Kris M Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Michael D. Malone (CN=Michael D. Malone/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
 Michael D. Malone (CN=Michael D. Malone/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
 Brenda B. Costello (CN=Brenda B. Costello/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Jill M. Blickstein (CN=Jill M. Blickstein/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
 Robert G. Damus (CN=Robert G. Damus/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
 Stacy E. Reynolds (CN=Stacy E. Reynolds/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Marie-Therese Dominguez (CN=Marie-Therese Dominguez/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Todd Stern (CN=Todd Stern/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Jason S. Goldberg (CN=Jason S. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Records Management (Records Management [UNKNOWN])
 Records Management (Records Management @ EOP [UNKNOWN])
 Records Management (Records Management [UNKNOWN])
 Laura K. Capps (CN=Laura K. Capps/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Jason S. Goldberg (CN=Jason S. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Brenda M. Anders (CN=Brenda M. Anders/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Brenda M. Anders (CN=Brenda M. Anders/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Peter G. Umhofer (CN=Peter G. Umhofer/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])
 Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Peter G. Umhofer (CN=Peter G. Umhofer/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])
 R. Scott Michaud (CN=R. Scott Michaud/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Michael A. Fitzpatrick (CN=Michael A. Fitzpatrick/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
 Leanne A. Shimabukuro (CN=Leanne A. Shimabukuro/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
 Fred DuVal (CN=Fred DuVal/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
 Nancy V. Hernreich (CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
 Records Management (Records Management [UNKNOWN])
 James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Nancy V. Hernreich (CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Nancy V. Hernreich (CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Nancy V. Hernreich (CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Nancy V. Hernreich (CN=Nancy V. Hernreich/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 Mona G. Mohib (CN=Mona G. Mohib/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 James A. Dorskind (CN=James A. Dorskind/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
 R. Scott Michaud (CN=R. Scott Michaud/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
 R. Scott Michaud (CN=R. Scott Michaud/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

at:

19970821151331

ARMS Email System

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Joseph D Eyer (CN=Joseph D Eyer/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-SEP-1997 16:53:49.00

SUBJECT: BRT Briefing

TO: Peter O'Keefe (CN=Peter O'Keefe/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Joseph D Eyer/OVP on 09/09/97 04:58 PM

Ellen L. Ochs

09/09/97 03:32 PM

To: Joseph D.Eyer/OVP

cc:

Subject: BRT Briefing

----- Forwarded by Ellen L. Ochs/OVP on 09/09/97 03:56 PM

Peter O'Keefe @ EOP

09/09/97 01:19 PM

To: Ellen L. Ochs/OVP, Cheryl M. Carter/WHO/EOP @ EOP

cc:

Subject: BRT Briefing

Ellen:

Let me know what you think.

Pete

BUSINESSROUNDTABLE DINNER

Wednesday, September 10th, 6:30pm to 7:30pm

Sheraton Carlton Hotel

Briefing Prepared By: Cheri Carter and Peter O'Keefe, Office of Public
Liaison.

EVENT:

You are the keynote speaker at the Business Roundtable's Fall Policy
Committee Meeting. There will be approximately 60 CEOs from the nation's
largest publicly held, manufacturing companies in attendance. This is the
first time that you have addressed the Business Roundtable (BRT) although,
several of this evening's attendees were also present when you addressed
the Business Council in February of this year. THIS EVENT IS CLOSED PRESS.

LOGISTICS: (Most of this is still TBD)

You will arrive at the Sheraton Carlton and be met curbside by Don Fites,
CEO of Caterpillar Inc. and Chairman of the Business Roundtable, Sam

Maurey, President of the Business Roundtable and Pat Engman, Executive Director of the Business Roundtable.

You will proceed directly to the room where the dinner is being held.

Upon entering the room, you will shake hands with the CEOs, who will be standing at their table (8 tables) and then proceed to the stage.

Don Fites will introduce you.

You will make remarks, take Q&A and depart.

PROGRAM NOTES:

The Business Roundtable is an association of chief executive officers who examine and develop positions on public issues that affect the economy.

There membership primarily consists of CEOs from the nations largest publicly held, manufacturing companies. The members of the Policy Committee meet quarterly to form positions on issues for the BRT that are then voted on by the full membership. Policy Committee membership consists of the CEOs who Chair each committee as well as other BRT board members. There are 82 CEOs on the BRTs Policy Committee.

Note - As you know, the BRT actively lobbies for their policies. Prior to this evening ,s dinner, the members of their International Trade and Investment Task Force will have been promoting Fast Track authority for

the President while visiting members of Congress. In each of the past five years, President Clinton has addressed the BRT's annual meeting, which takes place in June. Each of the previous four Presidents has addressed the BRT as well.

ARMS Email System

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Christopher R. Ulrich (CN=Christopher R. Ulrich/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-SEP-1997 11:47:16.00

SUBJECT: Re: Briefing

TO: Peter OKeefe (CN=Peter O'Keefe/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Peter - I forwarded your briefing to Miguel Bustos who is doing the book for Wednesday 66772. Also looked at it and looks good but might make several changes. Hope this is helpful

Need to make this clearer

PROGRAM NOTES:

(Put this sentence in up under event - This is the first time that you have addressed the Business Roundtable (BRT) although, several of this evenings attendees were also present when you addressed the Business Council in February of this year.

(bullet and indent this) The Business Roundtable is an association of chief executive officers who examine and develop positions on public issues that affect the economy. There membership primarily consists of CEOs from the nations largest publicly held, manufacturing companies. The members of the Policy Committee meet quarterly to form positions on issues for the BRT that are then voted on by the full membership. Policy Committee membership consists of the CEOs who Chair each committee as well

as other BRT board members. There are 82 CEOs on the BRTs Policy Committee.

Note - As you know, the BRT actively lobbies for their policies. Prior to this evening ,s dinner, the members of their International Trade and Investment Task Force will have been promoting Fast Track authority for the President while visiting members of Congress. In each of the past five years, President Clinton has addressed the BRTs annual meeting, which takes place in June. Each of the previous four President ,s has addressed the BRT as well.

Peter O'Keefe @ EOP

09/09/97 11:18 AM

To: Christopher R. Ulrich/OVP

cc:

Subject: Briefing

Is what I sent up OK?

ARMS Email System
RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Michael J. Burton (CN=Michael J. Burton/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-OCT-1997 13:37:20.00

SUBJECT: WC: Nothing but Net for Gore

TO: Linda L. Moore (CN=Linda L. Moore/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Michael J. Burton/OVP on 10/30/97

01:21 PM -----

mburton @ mnsinc.com

10/27/97 11:21 PM

To: Michael J. Burton @ OVP@EOP

cc:

Subject: WC: Nothing but Net for Gore

>Sunday, October 26, 1997

>Waterloo Courier

>

>Nothing but Net for Gore

>

>Vice president helps out at 'electronic barn raising' in Dysart

>

>By ANN McGLYNN

>Courier Staff Writer

>-----

>

>DYSART -- Al Gore "connected" with B.J. Ruzicka and Jenni Johnson

>Saturday.

>

>The vice president, in khakis and a green work shirt, climbed a ladder

>and stuck his head through a hole in the ceiling to help them and

>several other volunteers wire the middle school here for Internet

>access.

>

>He called it an "electronic barn raising."

>

>"I'm impressed with these students ... who have taken the bull by the

>horns and gone like gangbusters," said Gore of the project to wire all

>Union Schools using student, teacher and community volunteers.

>

>Gore visited the middle school Saturday, dubbed as national NetDay, as

>part of a tour of the state. He spoke in Waterloo on tobacco issues and

>in Des Moines at the annual Jefferson-Jackson Day Dinner, a fund-raiser

>for the Democratic Party.

>

>Three NetDays have been held over the past year and a half to help

>school districts wire for the Internet, Gore said.

>

>He and NASA Administrator Daniel Goldin logged onto the Internet in the

>media center with student Justin Messer to ask Mars scientists questions

>live.

>

>He also spoke and asked questions of a small audience, including student

>Justin Johnson and Union staff member Hope Hicks, while a larger crowd
>watched over closed-circuit television in the auditorium.

>

>Every school in the country should be hooked up by 2000 and by then
>there should be a computer for every five students, Gore said.

>

>Teacher training is also key -- and that's where NASA comes in.

>

>"Connecting America is absolutely key," Goldin said. "But just a
>connection is not enough."

>

>NASA is training teachers and students across the country on how to use
>the Internet through programs with NASA scientists. Union School
>District will be part of that project this year.

>

>The school learned late last week it would be hosting the vice
>president. Volunteers from as far away as Waterloo came early Saturday
>to help wire the school.

>

>Shortly after noon, volunteers, wearing jeans and sweatshirts with Union
>insignia, started putting the final touches on things.

>

>Greg Reese, Union's technology director, placed a blue ladder for Gore
>under a hole in the ceiling and wondered out loud if Gore was afraid of
>heights. Ruzicka -- the student who led Gore through the wiring -- paced
>and began practicing his directions to help Gore through the event.

>

>Reese then reminded him the wiring was going to be just like they had
>done several times this summer and fall.

>

>"It's no different -- but do it a little bit nicer," Reese said. "Don't
>say 'Hey you.'"

>

>Local and national reporters -- one of whom asked if there are bagels in
>Iowa -- filed in shortly before Gore came into the classroom.

>

>Gore asked each volunteer's name before proceeding to his spot on the
>ladder. As the wire came through the wall from the other room, Gore
>started to take it below the ceiling. He was quickly told it needed to
>stay above the ceiling.

>

>"I knew that," he said as the volunteers and press corps laughed.

>

>When the wiring was pushed through the ceiling, Gore asked B.J. Ruzicka
>questions about Union's project. He then learned how to ready the wire
>for connection to a computer from Union High School student Jenni
>Johnson.

>

>"Sometimes students can do things better and faster than people older
>than they are," Gore said.

>

>The Union wiring project, headed by Reese, is part of a nationwide wave
>of volunteers working to hook schools up to the Internet. An estimated
>250,000 volunteers wired 50,000 schools in 1996, the White House said.

>
>New York City has wired 20 schools; Houston 55 this fall with plans for
>another 60 in the spring. Washington, D.C., schools called Saturday
>"Finish It," a chance to complete the work started on the last NetDay.

>
>Union's wiring project will be completed soon. It will cost the whole
>district \$25,000 with volunteer help, compared to \$30,000 per school
>without volunteer help, Reese said.

>

>

----- Forwarded by Michael J. Burton/OVP on 10/30/97

01:21 PM -----

mburton @ mnsinc.com

10/27/97 11:22 PM

To: Michael J. Burton @ OVP@EOP

cc:

Subject: Reuters: Gore Faces "Fast Track" Protest in Iowa

>Sunday October 26 2:34 PM EST

>

>Gore Faces "Fast Track" Protest in Iowa

>

>DES MOINES, Iowa (Reuters) - Union protesters staged a silent protest

>during a speech by U.S. Vice President Al Gore to oppose Clinton

>administration proposals for new "fast track" authority to negotiate

>trade deals.

>

>"We really want to send a message to the president that we're against

>fast track," said Jan Corderman, president of AFSCME Council 61, the

>largest union of state government workers.

>

>Several dozen union members held up signs Saturday saying "No Fast

>Track" when Gore, speaking at an Iowa Democratic party fund raiser,

>mentioned the trade proposal in his speech.

>

>"Vice President Gore is a very reasonable man ... I'm hoping he'll take

>our message back to President Clinton," Corderman said.

>

>Clinton is seeking "fast track" authority to strike trade treaties

>without fear Congress will seek significant changes. His primary goal is

>to expand the North American Free Trade Agreement to include Chile and

>to negotiate market-opening agreements with Asian and Latin American

>countries.

>

>Labor unions and environmental groups have started an aggressive

>campaign against the legislation, and Clinton has been unable to muster

>much Democratic support in the House. In Des Moines, many union workers

>refused to attend the fund-raising dinner, choosing instead to pass out

>leaflets to banquet-goers as they entered the hall.

>

>"We're just kind of tired of all those jobs going overseas," said Ed

>Kruse of Dubuque, Iowa.

>

>

----- Forwarded by Michael J. Burton/OVP on 10/30/97

01:21 PM -----

mburton@mnsinc.com

10/27/97 11:22 PM

To: Michael J. Burton @ OVP@EOP

cc:

Subject: WC: Teen smoking has vice president fuming, Waterloo crowd find

>Sunday, October 26, 1997

>Waterloo Courier

>

>Teen smoking has vice president fuming, Waterloo crowd finds out

>

>By ERIC STERN

>Courier Staff Writer

>

>WATERLOO -- Vice President Al Gore declared a war against teen smoking

>Saturday in Waterloo.

>

>"The way to solve this problem is to convince young people not to smoke

>cigarettes," Gore said at an anti-tobacco forum attended by students and

>local politicians at the Waterloo Recreation and Arts Center.

>

>More than 100 students, brought in from schools in the metro area and as
>far away as Cedar Rapids, attended the hastily put-together event. Gore
>had only announced he was coming to the area late in the week.

>

>Teen-agers told the vice president that kids smoke because of peer
>pressure, a desire to be "cool" or because an older sibling smoked.

>

>Others said it was hypocritical for parents who smoke to tell their kids
>not to smoke.

>

>While adult smoking is down, Gore said, teen smoking is on the rise.

>

>Everyday, 3,000 kids start smoking and 1,000 will die as a result, Gore
>said, quoting the Centers for Disease Control.

>

>"The tobacco companies are spending millions and millions of dollars on
>advertising specifically aimed at children," Gore said. "The companies
>that are making so much money off of this are spending billions of
>dollars in a very sophisticated way to get the exact opposite message to
>young people."

>

>U.S. Sen. Tom Harkin, D-Iowa, who attended the rally, agreed.

>

>"We're up against very powerful sources," said Harkin, a vocal tobacco
>opponent in Congress.

>

>Iowa Attorney General Tom Miller, who filed a state lawsuit against the

>tobacco industry, said the national multi-billion-dollar lawsuit is a

>step in the right direction.

>

>"Almost all smokers start as children," Miller said.

>

>As details of the settlement get ironed out, Gore pushed for federal

>drug administration authority to regulate tobacco, called for limits on

>tobacco marketing and demanded tobacco companies to help with other

>health issues like secondhand smoke.

>

>Gore also praised grassroots efforts by Iowa students who speak out

>against tobacco.

>

>"It's important for younger students to have positive role models in

>their teens," said 15-year-old Matt O'Brien, who attends East High.

>O'Brien participates in the Teens Against Tobacco Use program where high

>school students tell elementary school students about tobacco's

>potential problems.

>

>Jessie Thompson, an 18-year-old Metro High student from Cedar Rapids,

>works with the Youth Smoking Research Team, a similar program to

>Waterloo's TATU program, said students leading the program help out

>because middle school kids look up to high school kids.

>

>Waterloo Mayor John Rooff warned students that tobacco kills. "I watched

>both of my parents die of cancer because they smoked," Rooff said.

>"Don't do it, it's not cool."

>
>But one student said the vice president and other politicians telling
>teen-agers not to smoke only makes teen smokers want to light up.

>
>"They see an authority figure and sometimes it makes them want to
>(smoke)," said East High student Aaron McNally, 17.

>
>
----- Forwarded by Michael J. Burton/OVP on 10/30/97

01:21 PM -----

mburton @ mnsinc.com

10/27/97 11:23 PM

To: Michael J. Burton @ OVP@EOP

cc:

Subject: WC: Gore begins hunt for caucuses early with speech in Des Moines

>Sunday, October 26, 1997

>Waterloo Courier

>
>Gore begins hunt for caucuses early with speech in Des Moines

>
>By ERIC STERN

>Courier Staff Writer

>
>DES MOINES -- Vice President Al Gore told Iowa Democrats Saturday night
>that Republicans want to turn back the clock 50 years while the rest of

>the nation fell back an hour early Sunday for daylight-saving time.

>

>"We're proud to be the political party of America's future," Gore said.

>

>Gore drove home an anti-Republican theme at the highly partisan and

>energetic Iowa Democrat Party Jefferson-Jackson fund-raiser at Drake

>University here. About 1,000 attended the \$60 a plate dinner.

>

>Gore, widely considered the front-runner in the 2000 presidential

>sweepstakes that begin with the Iowa Caucuses, praised the nation's

>economy under a Democratic president -- inflation, unemployment and

>welfare rolls are down, he said, while new jobs, exports and household

>incomes are up.

>

>Gore said the 12-year Republican reign under Ronald Reagan and George

>Bush brought the country a \$350 billion budget deficit.

>

>Other jabs included U.S. Sen. Tom Harkin calling Speaker of the House

>Newt Gingrich the "El Nino of Capitol Hill" because he's full of hot air

>and has a drought of common sense.

>

>State Democrat Party Chairman Mike Peterson referred to the Iowa

>Republican platform as "pigs, prisons and poker."

>

>Gore said the Republican party suffers an identity crisis, "floundering

>and lacking in an agenda," quoting Republican presidential candidate

>Steve Forbes.

>

>"The right hand doesn't know what the far right hand is doing," he said.

>

>

>However, some Democrats feel their party is the one with the identity
>crisis.

>

>Throughout Gore's speech, about 100 union workers flashed "no fast
>track" signs. Earlier that night about 30 United Auto Workers members
>protested outside the Knapp Center at Drake against the proposed
>legislation that would give President Clinton faster negotiating ability
>for trade agreements. Labor leaders say workers would get short-changed
>from hasty trade pacts.

>

>Democrats aren't acting like Democrats, said State Rep. Bill Dotzler,
>D-Waterloo, a UAW activist who protested the speech outside and
>boycotted the dinner.

>

>The party should stand behind a core set of beliefs that includes
>workers rights, Dotzler said.

>

>Former Congressman Dave Nagle of Cedar Falls also drummed up support for
>a race against Charles Grassley's U.S. Senate seat next year, and fliers
>were circulated supporting U.S. District Attorney Steve Rapp of Waterloo
>for governor.

>

>

----- Forwarded by Michael J. Burton/OVP on 10/30/97

01:21 PM -----

mburton@mnsinc.com

10/27/97 11:23 PM

To: Michael J. Burton @ OVP@EOP

cc:

Subject: AP: Gore: Both Parties Fight Smoking

>Subject: AP: Gore: Both Parties Fight Smoking

>Date: Mon, 27 Oct 1997 08:20:12 -0600

>

>Gore: Both Parties Fight Smoking

>

>Saturday, October 25, 1997; 11:08 p.m. EDT

>

>WATERLOO, Iowa (AP) -- Vice President Al Gore said Saturday he's found

>"bipartisan commitment" to fighting smoking and called for a tougher

>approach in seeking damages from the tobacco industry.

>

>At a campaign-style town meeting, Gore carried the administration's

>anti-tobacco message in the strongest terms, arguing that the debate on

>smoking has shifted.

>

>"There's been a change," he said. "People who haven't talked about it

>in the past are talking about it now."

>

>Gore roamed the room talking with youngsters, local dignitaries and a
>bipartisan group of politicians willing to hammer the tobacco industry.

>

>“They (tobacco companies) have got to load up on new customers by
>getting young people hooked,” Gore said.

>

>Joining Gore at the meeting were Democratic U.S. Sen. Tom Harkin,
>Republican U.S. Sen. Charles Grassley, Democratic Attorney General Tom
>Miller and Waterloo Mayor John Rooff, a prominent Republican.

>

>“We’ve seen the emergence of a bipartisan commitment,” said Gore, as
>all took turns criticizing at the tobacco industry.

>

>Miller and other attorneys general have sued the industry and have
>negotiated an agreement that’s awaiting congressional approval.

>

>President Clinton has argued that the agreement must be toughened to
>assure that nicotine is regulated as a drug and to force tobacco
>companies to pay penalties if teen smoking doesn’t drop.

>

>Gore found an audience receptive to that view Saturday. But while he
>claimed progress, he noted 3,000 youngsters start smoking every day.

>

>“What we’ve done in the past hasn’t been enough to break through,” he
>said. “We have got to really crank up our effort. The addiction is very
>powerful.”

>

>Grassley had the same message.

>

>“We've got to stir the grassroots to do something about this,” said

>Grassley. He praised Gore who he said “set the stage for a grassroots

>movement.”

>

>Rooff delivered one of the most emotional moments of the day as he

>lectured youngsters against the dangers of smoking.

>

>“I watched both of my parents die from cancer because they smoked,” he

>said.

>

>Energized by the response, Gore strolled the room for an hour,

>encouraging students and parents to share their stories.

>

>Mark Wagner, a Black Hawk County sheriff's deputy, confessed he smokes

>about a pack of cigarettes a day.

>

>He gestured toward his two daughters, saying, “It would tear my heart

>out to see them smoking.”

>

>After hammering on tobacco, Gore traveled to Dysart where he donned

>khaki pants and a work shirt as he helped students string wire at a

>middle school that's being wired for access to the Internet.

>

>Bolstering the computer technology available to youngsters is a big

>administration goal.

>

> ``Volunteers across America are connecting schools to the information
>superhighway," Gore said. ``If you have computers in schools ... that
>can enhance an educational experience dramatically."

>

>Later, Gore took on a more partisan tone as he was the featured speaker
>at the Iowa Democratic Party's main fund-raising event, the
>Jefferson-Jackson Day Dinner.

>

>That event was already facing some controversy that underscored a
>political problem Gore faces as he begins what is expected to be a bid
>for the next Democratic presidential nomination.

>

>Clinton and Gore have pushed for expanded ``fast track" trade
>authority, but that has upset many in organized labor who warn that
>those trade deals will inevitably drive down wages.

>

>Many in the United Auto Workers Union were boycotting the fund-raising
>dinner in Des Moines to protest the administration's trade policy.

>

>About 50 union members displayed signs opposing the move outside the
>hall, and many inside were grumbling.

>

> ``Some people will help to remind him," said Mark Smith, head of the
>Iowa Federation of Labor.

>

>Gore, meanwhile, used the speech before about 1,200 people who paid \$60

>a head to toe off on Republicans and launch a spirited defense of the
>administration.

>

>``We're clearly moving in the right direction," Gore said. ``We have won
>back the confidence of America's middle class."

>

>At the same time Democrats have made progress with mainstream voters,
>Republicans have lurched to the right, Gore argued.

>

>``The Republicans are suffering from an identity crisis of historic
>proportion," Gore said.

>

>

----- Forwarded by Michael J. Burton/OVP on 10/30/97

01:21 PM -----

mburton @ mnsinc.com

10/27/97 11:24 PM

To: Michael J. Burton @ OVP@EOP

cc:

Subject: WP: Gore Plays on Iowa Stage for Second Time This Year

>Subject: WP: Gore Plays on Iowa Stage for Second Time This Year

>Date: Mon, 27 Oct 1997 08:10:29 -0600

>

>Gore Plays on Iowa Stage for Second Time This Year

>

>In Early Caucus State, Vice President's Roles Include Tobacco Fighter,
>Fund-Raiser
>
>By Ceci Connolly
>Washington Post Staff Writer
>Sunday, October 26, 1997; Page A07
>The Washington Post
>
>DES MOINES, Oct. 25-Outside the confines of Washington, Vice President
>Gore today had a chance to just be himself: computer geek, tobacco
>buster, fund-raiser.
>
>It was Gore's second trip of the year to the state that hosts some of
>the first presidential caucuses, and despite all the talk about
>important policy matters, the day-long visit was an early dress
>rehearsal, complete with costume changes, for his likely presidential
>bid in 2000.
>
>Although the next Election Day is far from the minds of most Americans,
>Iowans are already witnessing a steady stream of aspiring candidates.
>The state Republican Party is promising visits by at least 10 possible
>contenders between now and mid-December, while some in the state are
>joking that Democratic Rep. Richard A. Gephardt of Missouri has all but
>taken up residence here.
>
>So even threatening weather -- high winds that forced him to abandon Air
>Force Two and take to Interstate 80 -- could not dampen Gore's

>plow-ahead determination to join his likely opponents in the Iowa
>political dance.

>

>"I have been looking forward to Net day," Gore gushed to students and
>parents gathered at the Dysart Middle School to lay cable for Internet
>connections. Dressed in a green work shirt, khaki pants and hiking
>boots, Gore scaled a ladder and disappeared into an opening in the
>ceiling, where he funneled the cable wire into an adjacent room.

>

>"All right, bring it on, Julie," he called to a blue-jean clad teenager.

>

>Later, the vice president praised the group of volunteers gathered in
>the school library, describing their efforts as the modern day
>equivalent of an Iowa barn-raising.

>

>"I'm gonna tell the story of Dysart," he said. "What I've seen here
>today with students doing the jobs themselves is really inspiring."

>

>>From his anti-tobacco forum in Waterloo to a fund-raising dinner in Des
>Moines, today's visit was replete with the trappings of a campaign swing
>-- aides with walkie talkies hustling reporters in and out of vans,
>big-name escorts such as Sen. Tom Harkin (D-Iowa) and a genuine Iowa
>native to serve as Gore's local emissary.

>

>"Traveling with me is a native of Blackhawk County," Gore said in, not
>surprisingly, Blackhawk County. And Lynn Cutler isn't just any Iowa
>native, he noted; she works in the White House. Left unspoken was the

>fact Cutler has been a player in Iowa presidential politics for more
>than 25 years and will likely help him navigate the political shoals as
>he woos other influential activists.

>
>As further evidence Gore is shifting into campaign mode, he opened the
>tobacco event by acknowledging a long list of lesser-known officeholders
>in the audience. Gripping a cue card, he greeted two mayors, the
>attorney general, the county supervisors, an official with the American
>Cancer Society, an assistant energy secretary, the head of NASA, Harkin,
>Sen. Charles E. Grassley (R) and several wives.

>
>This was the second of four tobacco forums Gore is hosting this fall as
>part of the administration's push for legislation that would reduce
>teenage smoking and devote money paid by tobacco companies for health
>care and prevention. With a microphone clipped on his suit lapel, he
>told about 110 people in Waterloo that past efforts to curtail youth
>smoking "have not been enough to break through."

>
>Missing from today's session was a fake black lung Gore sometimes uses
>as a prop. Instead, he came armed with a new statistic to dramatize the
>toll smoking has taken on the country. Recounting last year's crash of
>TWA Flight 800, which killed 230 people, Gore said: "Imagine that four
>of those planes crashed in the same day. . . . Four of 'em every day.
>Every single day!"

>
>Gore blamed the tobacco companies. "Since they're losing three or four
>jumbo jets full of customers every day, they gotta load up on new

>customers by getting these children hooked."

>

>The Republican Congress has been slow to embrace the idea of

>anti-tobacco legislation, but Gore may have scored a quiet victory today

>with the presence of Grassley, who asked the vice president to send a

>message to youngsters like his own grandson Patrick who was seated in

>the audience.

>

>"Smoking's bad, Patrick," Gore said in a deep baritone.

>

>But the real purpose of the trip was Gore's keynote address at the Des

>Moines Jefferson-Jackson Day Dinner, an annual fund-raiser for the state

>Democratic Party. The dinner, with two private fund-raising receptions

>before it, was expected to raise about \$72,000. Before the dinner, Gore

>attended two receptions for Democrats who had contributed earlier to the

>party.

>

>

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879)
id <01IPBHTVCRXS00ILY8@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for burton_m@a1.eop.gov; Mon,
27 Oct 1997 23:20:47 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)
by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IPBHTSQ78G00O8CX@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for
burton_m@a1.eop.gov; Mon, 27 Oct 1997 23:20:43 -0500 (EST)

Received: from mail1.mnsinc.com ([206.55.3.18])

by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)

with ESMTP id <01IPBHT3B53S000Q47@STORM.EOP.GOV> for burton_m@a1.eop.gov; Mon,

27 Oct 1997 23:20:10 -0500 (EST)

Received: from burton.monumental.com (burton.mnsinc.com [206.55.25.55])
by mail1.mnsinc.com (8.8.5/8.7.3) with SMTP id XAA13740 for
<burton_m@a1.eop.gov>; Mon, 27 Oct 1997 23:20:06 -0500 (EST)
X-Sender: mburton@mnsinc.com
X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Light Version 3.0.1 (32)

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

===== ATTACHMENT 2 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879)
id <01IPBHW2IDS000ILY8@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for burton_m@a1.eop.gov; Mon,
27 Oct 1997 23:22:33 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)
by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IPBHW0H8OG00QUAN@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for
burton_m@a1.eop.gov; Mon, 27 Oct 1997 23:22:30 -0500 (EST)

Received: from mail1.mnsinc.com ([206.55.3.18])
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.1-7 #6879)
with ESMTP id <01IPBHV BZZR8000Q47@STORM.EOP.GOV> for burton_m@a1.eop.gov; Mon,

27 Oct 1997 23:21:58 -0500 (EST)

Received: from burton.monumental.com (burton.mnsinc.com [206.55.25.55])
by mail1.mnsinc.com (8.8.5/8.7.3) with SMTP id XAA14030 for
<burton_m@a1.eop.gov>; Mon, 27 Oct 1997 23:21:53 -0500 (EST)

X-Sender: mburton@mnsinc.com

X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Light Version 3.0.1 (32)

===== END ATTACHMENT 2 =====

===== ATTACHMENT 3 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879)
id <01IPBHTVCRXS000ILY8@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for burton_m@a1.eop.gov; Mon,
27 Oct 1997 23:20:48 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)
by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IPBHTSQ78G00O8CX@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for
burton_m@a1.eop.gov; Mon, 27 Oct 1997 23:20:45 -0500 (EST)

Received: from mail1.mnsinc.com ([206.55.3.18])
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.1-7 #6879)
with ESMTP id <01IPBHTQ0BII000Q47@STORM.EOP.GOV> for burton_m@a1.eop.gov; Mon,

27 Oct 1997 23:20:40 -0500 (EST)

Received: from burton.monumental.com (burton.mnsinc.com [206.55.25.55])
by mail1.mnsinc.com (8.8.5/8.7.3) with SMTP id XAA13851 for
<burton_m@a1.eop.gov>; Mon, 27 Oct 1997 23:20:36 -0500 (EST)

X-Sender: mburton@mnsinc.com

X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Light Version 3.0.1 (32)

===== END ATTACHMENT 3 =====

===== ATTACHMENT 4 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879)
id <01IPBHVCZXJK00RBTv@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for burton_m@al.eop.gov; Mon,
27 Oct 1997 23:21:59 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)
by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IPBHVAXZI800P332@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for
burton_m@al.eop.gov; Mon, 27 Oct 1997 23:21:56 -0500 (EST)

Received: from mail1.mnsinc.com ([206.55.3.18])
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)
with ESMTP id <01IPBHULMGP2000Q47@STORM.EOP.GOV> for burton_m@al.eop.gov; Mon,

27 Oct 1997 23:21:23 -0500 (EST)

Received: from burton.monumental.com (burton.mnsinc.com [206.55.25.55])
by mail1.mnsinc.com (8.8.5/8.7.3) with SMTP id XAA13949 for
<burton_m@al.eop.gov>; Mon, 27 Oct 1997 23:21:17 -0500 (EST)

X-Sender: mburton@mnsinc.com

X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Light Version 3.0.1 (32)

===== END ATTACHMENT 4 =====

===== ATTACHMENT 5 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879)
id <01IPBHSL99GG00RBTv@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for burton_m@al.eop.gov; Mon,
27 Oct 1997 23:19:48 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)
by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IPBHSJ8NNK00SS6Z@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for
burton_m@al.eop.gov; Mon, 27 Oct 1997 23:19:44 -0500 (EST)

Received: from mail1.mnsinc.com ([206.55.3.18])
by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.1-7 #6879)
with ESMTP id <01IPBHSFAAUE000Q47@STORM.EOP.GOV> for burton_m@al.eop.gov; Mon,

27 Oct 1997 23:19:38 -0500 (EST)

Received: from burton.monumental.com (burton.mnsinc.com [206.55.25.55])
by mail1.mnsinc.com (8.8.5/8.7.3) with SMTP id XAA13614 for
<burton_m@al.eop.gov>; Mon, 27 Oct 1997 23:19:34 -0500 (EST)

X-Sender: mburton@mnsinc.com

X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Light Version 3.0.1 (32)

===== END ATTACHMENT 5 =====

===== ATTACHMENT 6 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from conversion.pmdf.eop.gov by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDF V5.0-4 #6879)
id <01IPBHSL99GG00RBTv@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for burton_m@al.eop.gov; Mon,
27 Oct 1997 23:19:46 -0500 (EST)

Received: from storm.eop.gov (storm.eop.gov)

by PMDF.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.0-4 #6879) id <01IPBHSJ8NNK00SS6Z@PMDf.EOP.GOV> for
burton_m@a1.eop.gov; Mon, 27 Oct 1997 23:19:42 -0500 (EST)

Received: from mail1.mnsinc.com ([206.55.3.18])

by STORM.EOP.GOV (PMDf V5.1-7 #6879)

with ESMTP id <01IPBHRTBO9Q000Q47@STORM.EOP.GOV> for burton_m@a1.eop.gov; Mon,

27 Oct 1997 23:19:09 -0500 (EST)

Received: from burton.monumental.com (burton.mnsinc.com [206.55.25.55])

by mail1.mnsinc.com (8.8.5/8.7.3) with SMTP id XAA13508 for

<burton_m@a1.eop.gov>; Mon, 27 Oct 1997 23:19:03 -0500 (EST)

X-Sender: mburton@mnsinc.com

X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Light Version 3.0.1 (32)

===== END ATTACHMENT 6 =====

ARMS Email System

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Heidi Kukis (CN=Heidi Kukis/O=OVP [OVP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:31-OCT-1997 12:41:40.00

SUBJECT: VP Radio Address on Fast Track -- as delivered

TO: Joshua Silverman (CN=Joshua Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP @ EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Heidi Kukis/OVP on 10/31/97 11:23 AM

Eli G. Attie

10/31/97 11:11 AM

To: Roger V. Salazar/OVP, Heidi Kukis/OVP, Lorraine A. Voles/OVP,
Virginia M. Terzano/OVP, Jonathan Spalter/OVP, Andrei H. Cherny/OVP,
Thomas M. Rosshirt/OVP, Ron Klain/OVP

cc:

Subject: VP Radio Address on Fast Track -- as delivered

VICE PRESIDENT AL GORE

RADIO ADDRESS ON FAST TRACK

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 31, 1997

Good morning -- this is Vice President Al Gore. This week, our nation faces a stark and important choice: whether to move forward with the economic strategy that has given America the strongest economy in a generation -- or whether instead to turn away from what we must do to meet

the challenges and opportunities of the 21st Century.

Congress will decide whether to give President Clinton the power to open foreign markets that are closed to us today -- to tear down barriers to our products, and create new jobs for our people. This is the same "fast track" authority every President of either party has been given, for more than two decades. And this President knows how to use it. He knows how to strike tough and fair trade deals. He knows how to protect labor rights and the environment.

Expanding trade is a key part of our enormously successful economic strategy -- balancing the budget, investing in the future, and opening new markets for our goods and services. Because of that strategy, we have more than 13 million new jobs now. Less than 5% unemployment. And yesterday, we learned that the economy has grown at 4% over the past year. Fully half that growth comes from exports. To keep on creating high-wage jobs, we must reach the billions of consumers who live outside our borders.

Critics oppose giving the President this power. But this much we know for sure: turning our backs on the world won't create a single new job, won't close down a single sweatshop, won't clean up a single toxic waste site. If we want every American to win in the new global economy, we must lead the world, not hide from it.

America must be the leader in bringing democracy and free markets to other nations. For that means stronger democratic partners, more

willing to work with us on challenges like international crime, drug trafficking, and environmental degradation. A vote against Presidential trading authority is a vote against American leadership in the world -- and a vote for pessimism and retreat.

For decades, America has been unafraid to lead -- and that spirit has crossed the lines of party and politics. Now, President Carter, President Bush, and President Ford have all written to President Clinton, supporting his call for continued authority to negotiate trade agreements. These distinguished public servants have one thing in common: they know that our national interest demands our world economic leadership. The President and I are grateful for their support. And we are also pleased that former secretaries of State, Treasury, and Commerce, and former U.S. Trade Representatives of both parties have also joined our call.

This week, America faces a crucial choice -- and Congress faces a critical vote. Will we turn our backs on the world economy, in a vain struggle to turn back the clock? Or will we move into the future boldly, and continue the economic strategy that has brought us new jobs, new hope, new leadership around the world? That is our choice -- and this is our chance -- because it is our future. Together, we must seize it.

Thank you for listening.

ARMS Email System

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: JDorskind (JDorskind@doc.gov [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 6-MAR-1998 00:20:11.00

SUBJECT: Hot Issues for Westport, Cincy, San Jose, Boston, NYC

TO: Jon P. Jennings (CN=Jon P. Jennings/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: AMcNamee1 (AMcNamee1@doc.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: hubbard_k (hubbard_K@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: UnknownName (UnknownName@doc.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

March 5, 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR THURGOOD MARSHALL, JR.

FROM: Jim Dorskind

Director, Executive Secretariat

SUBJECT: Hot Issues for Westport, CT, Cincinnati, OH,

San

Jose, CA,

Boston, MA, and New York, NY

Westport, CT

The Economy: The local economy in Connecticut from Bridgeport to the New York State line (Fairfield County) is very positive, finally emerging from

a long recession. Housing starts are way up, unemployment is way down. In fact, many Fairfield County firms are reporting difficulties in finding enough employees to hire. Construction of office space in Fairfield County is expanding once again. This economic upsurge is underlined by the fact that the State of Connecticut will experience a large budget surplus this year. For the first time ever, more people work in Fairfield County than commute from that area into New York City. In fact, firms from Manhattan are relocating into Fairfield County. For example, Swiss Bank is currently building it's North American headquarters in Stamford and will move some 1,000 jobs from New York City.

Cincinnati, OH

None identified.

San Jose, CA

None identified.

Boston, MA

Labor Shortage: Massachusetts unemployment in December 1997 was 3.8 percent, (3.0 percent in the Greater Boston area). The labor shortage now influences virtually every sector; high technology to services to manufacturing. The paucity of employable workers has made training and retraining programs a necessity. Further, it has given rise to concerns that companies may begin to abandon Massachusetts in favor of locations

with more available workers. One private forecasting group is already predicting a drop in the state's GDP growth to 3.4 percent from 1997's 4.4 percent.

Fast Track: Fast track authority and expanding free trade continue to be topics of discussion. Larry Liebenow, CEO of Quaker Fabrics, Fall River, MA, was recently quoted in the press saying that if the company "had similar access to the rest of Latin America as we do to Mexico, we would increase sales 15 percent, adding close to 300 people."

New York, New York

Currently, no issues.

Jim Dorskind

Director, Executive Secretariat

Office of the Secretary, U.S. Department of Commerce

Ph: 202-482-3934 Fax: 202-482-4576 jdorskind@doc.gov

ARMS Email System

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: JDorskind (JDorskind@doc.gov [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 3-APR-1998 20:12:05.00

SUBJECT: Hot Issues for Chicago and Kansas City

TO: Jon P. Jennings (CN=Jon P. Jennings/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: JDoyle (JDoyle@doc.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Jon -- This is almost not worth sending, but it's all we've been able to

get. Use it as you like. I'll see you at Bibb's going-away soiree.

jd

MEMORANDUM FOR THURGOOD MARSHALL, JR.

FROM: James A. Dorskind
Director, Executive Secretariat

SUBJECT: Hot Issues for Chicago, IL and Kansas City,
MO

Chicago, IL

Helms-Burton Act: The Chicago Chamber of Commerce, and most businesses in Chicago, are against the trade restrictions imposed by the Helms-Burton Act and support Administrative initiatives that lead to freer and fairer trade practices in Cuba and worldwide.

Fast Track Authority: The Chicago Chamber of Commerce, Governor Edgar and businesses throughout the State of Illinois support the restoration of Fast Track negotiating authority.

Kansas City, MO

None identified.

Jim Dorskind

Director, Executive Secretariat

Office of the Secretary, U.S. Department of Commerce

Ph: 202-482-3934 Fax: 202-482-4576 jdorskind@doc.gov

ARMS Email System

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: SUNTUM_M (SUNTUM_M@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-APR-1998 20:08:06.00

SUBJECT: 1998-4-21 McCurry briefing

TO: "/R=3\$=/C=US/ADMD=WESTERN UNION/O=ATT.COM/DD.ELN=62955104/"@mrx.eop.gov (
"/R=3\$=/C=US/ADMD=WESTERN UNION/O=ATT.COM/DD.ELN=62955104/"@mrx.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: "/R=OPUS/R=MRP/PR-L=AVUOEEOB/PR-U=TDIXON/FFN=Timothy Dixon/"@mr.eop.gov (
"/R=OPUS/R=MRP/PR-L=AVUOEEOB/PR-U=TDIXON/FFN=Timothy Dixon/"@mr.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrei H. Cherny ("Andrei H. Cherny"@lgate4.eop.gov [@LNGATE])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Angelina Walker ("Angelina Walker"@lgate4.eop.gov [@LNGATE])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eli G. Attie ("Eli G. Attie"@lgate4.eop.gov [@LNGATE])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jim Kohlenberger ("Jim Kohlenberger"@lgate4.eop.gov [@LNGATE])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jodi R. Sakol ("Jodi R. Sakol"@lgate4.eop.gov [@LNGATE])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph W. Cerrell ("Joseph W. Cerrell"@lgate4.eop.gov [@LNGATE])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: 62955104@eln.attmail.com (62955104@eln.attmail.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: backup (backup@wilson.ai.mit.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: BARTHLOW_T (BARTHLOW_T@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Adam W. Goldberg (CN=Adam W. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alexander L. Boyle (CN=Alexander L. Boyle/OU=PIR/O=EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Allison J. King (CN=Allison J. King/OU=PIR/O=EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Amy W. Tobe (CN=Amy W. Tobe/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew J. Mayock (CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne E. McGuire (CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne M. Edwards (CN=Anne M. Edwards/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Antony J. Blinken (CN=Antony J. Blinken/OU=NSC/O=EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aviva Steinberg (CN=Aviva Steinberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara D. Woolley (CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ben A. Freeland (CN=Ben A. Freeland/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beverly J. Barnes (CN=Beverly J. Barnes/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bradley M. Campbell (CN=Bradley M. Campbell/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brenda M. Anders (CN=Brenda M. Anders/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian A. Barreto (CN=Brian A. Barreto/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian D. Smith (CN=Brian D. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brooke E. McManus (CN=Brooke E. McManus/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brooks E. Scoville (CN=Brooks E. Scoville/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carmen B. Fowler (CN=Carmen B. Fowler/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carole A. Parmelee (CN=Carole A. Parmelee/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Catherine T. Kitchen (CN=Catherine T. Kitchen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cecilia E. Rouse (CN=Cecilia E. Rouse/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles F. Ruff (CN=Charles F. Ruff/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cheryl D. Mills (CN=Cheryl D. Mills/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christine N. Macy (CN=Christine N. Macy/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher J. Lavery (CN=Christopher J. Lavery/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Craig T. Smith (CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dag Vega (CN=Dag Vega/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel W. Burkhardt (CN=Daniel W. Burkhardt/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Darby E. Stott (CN=Darby E. Stott/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dario J. Gomez (CN=Dario J. Gomez/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David E. Kalbaugh (CN=David E. Kalbaugh/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David K. Chai (CN=David K. Chai/OU=PIR/O=EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David S. Beaubaire (CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David T. Johnson (CN=David T. Johnson/OU=NSC/O=EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Debra S. Wood (CN=Debra S. Wood/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diane A. Stumpf (CN=Diane A. Stumpf/OU=ONDCP/O=EOP [ONDCP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diane Ikemiyashiro (CN=Diane Ikemiyashiro/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donald Goldberg (CN=Donald Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorian V. Weaver (CN=Dorian V. Weaver/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorinda A. Salcido (CN=Dorinda A. Salcido/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Doris O. Matsui (CN=Doris O. Matsui/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas B. Sosnik (CN=Douglas B. Sosnik/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas R. Matties (CN=Douglas R. Matties/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisa Millsap (CN=Elisa Millsap/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisabeth Steele (CN=Elisabeth Steele/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth A. Castellani (CN=Elizabeth A. Castellani/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman (CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elliot J. Diringer (CN=Elliot J. Diringer/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Estela Mendoza (CN=Estela Mendoza/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Evan Ryan (CN=Evan Ryan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: G. Timothy Saunders (CN=G. Timothy Saunders/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Glen M. Weiner (CN=Glen M. Weiner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Grace A. Garcia (CN=Grace A. Garcia/OU=PIR/O=EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jake Siewert (CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James M. Teague (CN=James M. Teague/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason H. Schechter (CN=Jason H. Schechter/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason S. Goldberg (CN=Jason S. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeannetta P. Allen (CN=Jeannetta P. Allen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey A. Shesol (CN=Jeffrey A. Shesol/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer Ferguson (CN=Jennifer Ferguson/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jessica L. Gibson (CN=Jessica L. Gibson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jon P. Jennings (CN=Jon P. Jennings/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan A. Kaplan (CN=Jonathan A. Kaplan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan H. Schnur (CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Murchinson (CN=Jonathan Murchinson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Orszag (CN=Jonathan Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jordan Tamagni (CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart (CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua Silverman (CN=Joshua Silverman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judithanne V. Scourfield (CN=Judithanne V. Scourfield/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia M. Payne (CN=Julia M. Payne/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julianne B. Corbett (CN=Julianne B. Corbett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie A. Fernandes (CN=Julie A. Fernandes/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: June Shih (CN=June Shih/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen C. Fahle (CN=Karen C. Fahle/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen L. Barbuschak (CN=Karen L. Barbuschak/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katharine Button (CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kevin S. Moran (CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kyle M. Baker (CN=Kyle M. Baker/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lanny A. Breuer (CN=Lanny A. Breuer/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura D. Schwartz (CN=Laura D. Schwartz/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura K. Capps (CN=Laura K. Capps/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura S. Marcus (CN=Laura S. Marcus/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne I. Johnson (CN=Leanne I. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Ricci (CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa J. Levin (CN=Lisa J. Levin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lori E. Abrams (CN=Lori E. Abrams/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lori L. Anderson (CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lowell A. Weiss (CN=Lowell A. Weiss/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria E. Soto (CN=Maria E. Soto/OU=PIR/O=EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark A. Kitchens (CN=Mark A. Kitchens/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark D. Neschis (CN=Mark D. Neschis/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark H. Bartholomew (CN=Mark H. Bartholomew/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark J. Bernstein (CN=Mark J. Bernstein/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marsha E. Berry (CN=Marsha E. Berry/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha Foley (CN=Martha Foley/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maureen A. Hudson (CN=Maureen A. Hudson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Megan C. Moloney (CN=Megan C. Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melinda L. Dupont (CN=Melinda L. Dupont/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa M. Murray (CN=Melissa M. Murray/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael A. OMary (CN=Michael A. O'Mary/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael J. Sullivan (CN=Michael J. Sullivan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael V. Terrell (CN=Michael V. Terrell/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michele Jolin (CN=Michele Jolin/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nanda Chitre (CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nelson Reyneri (CN=Nelson Reyneri/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patrick Aylward (CN=Patrick Aylward/OU=PIR/O=EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patrick E. Briggs (CN=Patrick E. Briggs/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter A. Weissman (CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter D. Greenberger (CN=Peter D. Greenberger/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter O'Keefe (CN=Peter O'Keefe/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter R. Orszag (CN=Peter R. Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter Rundlet (CN=Peter Rundlet/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: R. Scott Michaud (CN=R. Scott Michaud/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel (CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Renee C. Riley (CN=Renee C. Riley/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard L. Hayes (CN=Richard L. Hayes/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard Socarides (CN=Richard Socarides/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert M. Shireman (CN=Robert M. Shireman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert S. Weiner (CN=Robert S. Weiner/OU=ONDCP/O=EOP [ONDCP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin J. Bachman (CN=Robin J. Bachman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruby Shamir (CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Russell W. Horwitz (CN=Russell W. Horwitz/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally P. Paxton (CN=Sally P. Paxton/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah S. Knight (CN=Sarah S. Knight/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sean P. Maloney (CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Serena C. Torrey (CN=Serena C. Torrey/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sharolyn A. Rosier (CN=Sharolyn A. Rosier/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven A. Cohen (CN=Steven A. Cohen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven J. Naplan (CN=Steven J. Naplan/OU=NSC/O=EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Suzanne Dale (CN=Suzanne Dale/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tamara Monosoff (CN=Tamara Monosoff/OU=PIR/O=EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tanya E. Martin (CN=Tanya E. Martin/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Terri J. Tingen (CN=Terri J. Tingen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas D. Janenda (CN=Thomas D. Janenda/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thurgood Marshall Jr (CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd Stern (CN=Todd Stern/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracy F. Sisser (CN=Tracy F. Sisser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia Apuzzo (CN=Virginia Apuzzo/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia N. Rustique (CN=Virginia N. Rustique/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wayne C. Johnson (CN=Wayne C. Johnson/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William H. White Jr. (CN=William H. White Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Woyneab M. Wondwossen (CN=Woyneab M. Wondwossen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: CROWLEY_P (CROWLEY_P@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: CUTLER_L (CUTLER_L@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: DICKEY_L (DICKEY_L@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: gerhardt_k (GERHARDT_K@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: GRAY_W (GRAY_W@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: GRIBBEN_J (GRIBBEN_J@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: INFOMGT (INFOMGT@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: julie_green (julie_green@ed.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MALONE_M (MALONE_M@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: meglynn (meglynn@usia.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MOFFETT_J (MOFFETT_J@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: NAPLAN_S (NAPLAN_S@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: newsdesk (newsdesk@usnewswire.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: princej (princej@panet.us-state.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: SMITH_BD (SMITH_BD@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: SUNTUM_M (SUNTUM_M@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: TCSmith (TCSmith@dol.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: usia01 (usia01@access.digex.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: usnwire (usnwire@access.digex.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: wh-outbox-distr (wh-outbox-distr@clinton.ai.mit.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: WOZNIAK_N (WOZNIAK_N@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

THE WHITE HOUSE

Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release

April 21, 1998

PRESS BRIEFING

BY MIKE MCCURRY

The Briefing Room

2:18 P.M. EDT

MR. MCCURRY: Hello, everybody. A couple of announcements to start. Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. First, the schedule of the President and the Vice President's commencement addresses for this year. By tradition, the President always does a military academy, a private institution and a public institution. The President will deliver the commencement address May 22 at the United States Naval Academy in Annapolis. On June 5th, he will address commencement exercises at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in Cambridge, Massachusetts. On June 13th, he will speak at the Portland State University commencement exercises in Portland, Oregon.

The Vice President will give two commencement addresses, one on May 9th at South Carolina State University in Orange, South Carolina, and one on May 14th at NYU up in New York City -- New York University.

We'll have more to say on this at a future date, or else, actually, there will be a press release by the institution involved. But the President will be receiving an honorary doctor of philosophy degree from Hebrew University of Jerusalem on Monday --

Q Will he go there to get it?

MR. MCCURRY: -- on Monday, April 27th, in connection with the 50th anniversary of celebrations for the state of Israel. That will be here at the White House. And I believe that the American friends of the Hebrew University have got a press release that they're going to put out that will provide additional information on that. We've been getting some press calls on that, so I did want to confirm it.

Second, budget news.

Q Can I ask a question on this? May 22 is the referendum date in Ireland. Will the President be back then from Europe?

MR. MCCURRY: The President's current schedule would have him back here in the United States well before that date.

Q Has the idea for a stopover in Ireland after the G-7 or G-8 -- has that gone away now?

MR. MCCURRY: It's a subject of conversations that we are continuing to have with parties and with the two governments that sponsored the Stormont talks, the Republic of Ireland and the United Kingdom. I expect Deputy National Security Advisor Jim Steinberg to be over in that neighborhood beginning at the end of this week. He's

going for a Sherpa's meeting for the Summit of the Eight, and while there he will take the opportunity to consult with both governments and with the parties. I expect him to drop by Belfast and Dublin as

well. I don't imagine we'll make any decision about whether or not to add any stops on the President's European trip until Mr. Steinberg returns.

Q So the idea is technically still alive?

MR. MCCURRY: I think the idea is one that the President will continue to explore with his advisors and we will continue to explore with the parties and with other governments.

Q When does he come back -- Steinberg?

MR. MCCURRY: Sometime after the weekend, sometime in the middle of next week. The middle of next week.

Q But he wouldn't go unless everybody really wanted him to?

MR. MCCURRY: He would go if it would serve the interest of the peace process and the peace agreement that's been reached in Northern Ireland.

Q He does this from time to time --

MR. MCCURRY: No, that's the President. Mr. Steinberg has been -- he, Mr. Steinberg, has been over there before and has participated -- has taken the opportunity of some of the preparatory work for the Birmingham Summit of the Eight to consult with the parties.

Q What is the exact date for the European date -- 12 through 18?

MR. MCCURRY: Have we announced that for sure? The 12th through the 19th; 12th starting in Germany, going on to the Summit of the Eight over that weekend, and then concluding Monday, the 18th, with the US-EU Summit in London, given that the United Kingdom is currently the chair of the EU, holding the presidency of the EU.

Q Have you ruled out any other -- for a while, there was some -- Russia as floating around, golfing in Scotland. All those things are off the table?

MR. MCCURRY: Haven't heard of any additional stops beyond those that we've already detailed for you.

Q Just back on Northern Ireland, you heard what David Trimble had to say about it this weekend, and obviously he's an important --

MR. MCCURRY: There are a number of parties that have a number of views about the utility of the President visiting either Ulster or the Republic of Ireland, and we will consult closely with the governments and the parties involved. There's clearly not a unanimous view on that subject.

Q Well, in the absence of a unanimous view, he probably wouldn't go, would he?

MR. MCCURRY: We would probably consult with the parties and make a reasoned assessment and a good decision.

Q Beyond the Berlin airlift, what kind of agenda is there in Germany?

MR. MCCURRY: I'm not at a point where I can detail the itinerary for that trip.

Q Budget news?

MR. MCCURRY: Budget news.

Q Do you have the exact dates of the China trip? Do you have the exact dates of the China trip?

MR. MCCURRY: This is about the travel for the press,

making their arrangements.

MR. LOCKHART: The travel channel.

MR. MCCURRY: Say again, Wolf? What was that?

Q The China trip. Do you know the dates of the China trip?

MR. MCCURRY: It's the end of June, coming back I think July 4th weekend. But that's tentative and you ought to regard that for planning purposes.

Q Some guess how long that trip is? Is it two weeks or less?

MR. MCCURRY: I don't believe it's two weeks long. We haven't put the dates of that out.

Q More like one?

MR. MCCURRY: More like one.

Q Is the President going to see Wang Dan?

MR. MCCURRY: Wang Dan is in the United States now and will be received by appropriate authorities at some point.

Q Have you got any budget news?

MR. MCCURRY: Yes, Mr. Broder. Stunningly, over the last 12 months the federal government has run a double-digit surplus, \$19.3 billion from April of last year through March of this year. Those are interesting numbers because they point to the possibility of a federal budget surplus for the current fiscal year, which would be truly a historic achievement. The deficit so far for this year is \$41 billion lower than last year at this point. These numbers, provided to us courtesy of the United States Treasury Department. They will know more about the overall picture for the entire fiscal year obviously when they look at the next month's set of figures, because April being a month in which tax receipts accrue to the U.S. Treasury, they will know more at the end of this month.

Q Is this good news?

MR. MCCURRY: Of course. Good, steady, strong fiscal discipline that has kept this economy moving in the right direction and is good news in and of itself, but the fact that we might achieve a federal budget surplus for the first time in a generation is excellent news. Way excellent. Sam, way excellent.

Q What do you say to those who argue that it would even be better if the government weren't spending so much money?

MR. MCCURRY: Well, we would agree, because we are strongly in favor of fiscal discipline and continuing to move in the course of balanced budgets and surpluses as far as the eye can see.

Q Mike, last time you gave us a readout like this, you said, well, it's not a surplus because we haven't gotten to the end of the year yet. Is it a surplus now?

MR. MCCURRY: Well, if you look at the 12-month period from the end of -- from April last year through March of this year, it is a surplus. But that's a rolling calculation, and the one that's more significant is what you -- how you tote up the balance sheet at the end of the fiscal year.

Q Well, can you really have a surplus when you have a \$5.5 trillion national debt?

MR. MCCURRY: You can have an annual budget surplus, of course, you can.

Q Mike, Frank Raines said last night that the annual budget surplus at the end of the fiscal year will be greater than \$18 billion. Are you revising that number now in light of this number?

MR. MCCURRY: I am not in a position to revise it with any specificity, although we don't have any reason to quibble with the esteemed Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

Q It doesn't change as a result of this --

MR. MCCURRY: It doesn't change as a result of this.

They won't be in any good position to make estimations on the fiscal year deficit until after they look at the April numbers.

Q Mike, Speaker Gingrich said just a few minutes ago that the House will pass a tobacco bill this year and it will return any increase in tax revenue to the taxpayers in terms of tax cuts for health insurance. Is that a concept that the President could buy?

MR. MCCURRY: That's not exactly what he said, Scott. He said that they want to give a tax cut to Americans that they could use for whatever purpose they might want to use it for; presumably, some would buy health insurance, others might use it for other purposes. But the President favors --

Q But he specifically mentioned tax cuts for health insurance.

MR. MCCURRY: He said that they could spend it on anything they could spend it on, I think, which is how you -- that's the kind of tax cut they want. What we want is a good piece of comprehensive public health legislation that's now moving forward despite the isolated position that they House Republican leadership put themselves in today. I also heard Mr. DeLay say you're not going

to stop kids from smoking, and we just strongly disagree. We think there's a bipartisan majority in Congress that wants to discourage kids from smoking and we know -- all the studies show us that the way you can do that is through the kind of comprehensive approach that the President has been leading and the Congress has been looking carefully at. Senator McCain is here right now meeting with Mr. Bowles and they're working on that kind of legislation. And, unfortunately, it doesn't look like the House Republicans want to be a part of that.

But we want to see a comprehensive legislation that will do the things that we know will discourage kids from taking up smoking -- increasing price, which is a very important element of discouraging tobacco use; increasing the penalties on the companies; restricting advertising; and then also developing ways in which we can discourage kids from smoking. Restricting access to tobacco products, and ultimately giving jurisdiction for the regulation of cigarettes to the Federal Drug Administration.

Q If I could follow up on that for just a moment,

Mike --

Q But Mr. DeLay got downright nasty by just saying that the President wanted to stick needles in kids' arms.

MR. MCCURRY: He's -- they are in a world of hurt up there, and you could tell it today, because they elected to side with

the tobacco industry and mimic the slogans of the industry that has now declared war on this comprehensive approach to protecting the public health of America's kids. And they're going to find that's a very uncomfortable position to be in. We intend to raise the cost of being in that position, as you saw today.

Q Mike, how does the rhetoric you just used help anyone get to bipartisan legislation?

MR. MCCURRY: Well, it helps us to do what the President is doing right now, to sit down and talk with Senator McCain, work on the kind of comprehensive approach that Senator McCain was able to get through the Senate committee. That's clearly the vehicle and the approach that's going to move through Congress with the kinds of strengthening elements that we favor, and with the kinds of discussions that we're having with the leaders.

If the House Republican leadership doesn't want to be a part of that, they're going to miss an opportunity to be on a truly historic side of a bipartisan bill that's going to get support.

Q How does that help with the House?

MR. MCCURRY: Say again?

Q Senator McCain is not your problem; how does that help you with the House?

MR. MCCURRY: Well, I think the House Republican leadership is going to find themselves may be at odds with the majority of the members of the House. And that's certainly what we hope.

Q Well, would the President veto a bill that raised taxes on cigarettes and expanded FDA regulation?

MR. MCCURRY: The President is looking forward to signing a bill that offers the kind of comprehensive approach that I just outlined.

Q But would he veto something that was less than that?

MR. MCCURRY: We're in the position of talking the kind of bill we're going to sign, not the kind of bill that would have to be vetoed.

Q Mike, the President's bill earmarks that money. He has decided how he'd like to spend that money. Now Speaker Gingrich says a bill is possible if the money is given back to the taxpayers.

MR. MCCURRY: Well, that's exactly what we're going to do with it. Remember, we're going to give it back to the American people in the forms of protections for kids, the kinds of support for

families, for public health programs that we've talked about for the kind of approach that we've outlined in the comprehensive tobacco legislation that's now under discussion. That is literally giving it back to the American people in the form that will help protect America's kids and will represent good public health policy.

Q So the President will not support a direct tax rebate?

MR. MCCURRY: That's not part of the McCain legislation and not part of the legislation that's moving through Congress, as I understand it.

Q Bruce Reed and others have said the President has general principles, but we're willing to have a debate about what you do with the revenue. So what has Gingrich done that puts him substantively at odds with the President? I mean, you're using all this really harsh rhetoric, but where is the actual policy difference with the President and the Speaker?

MR. MCCURRY: The Speaker didn't outline any significant policy position today. What he outlined was a political position. His political position now is in line with the tobacco industry which has announced that it's going to fight this legislation.

Q Frank Raines over the weekend said, we are open to how the money that would be raised from tobacco would be spent on tax

cuts, new programs, whatever. Are you saying you're not open to the possibility --

MR. MCCURRY: No. I'm saying that that's -- that's what we're working on. What I'm saying is that the House Republican leadership adopted the posture today of being outside that discussion. They don't, apparently, want to be a part of that discussion.

Q Well, they just said they're going to pass a piece of tobacco legislation that raises taxes on tobacco --

MR. MCCURRY: They said they're going to raise price. That's not the same as a comprehensive approach to developing a public health program that will protect America's kids. We know what we need to do. The experts are clear, the studies are clear. We know what we need to do to discourage kids from smoking, and we don't adopt the attitude of Congressman DeLay and the other Republican leaders that spoke today that there's just nothing you can do about it and there's no way to stop kids from smoking. We just strongly disagree.

Q That's one of the President's principal elements, is raising price.

MR. MCCURRY: Raising price and the other things that I identified for you that go back to what the President's articulated

principles are and that are reflected in the McCain bill. You wire those things together.

Q And we're asking you to say where is the difference between what Gingrich has said in terms of his principles and what the President has said.

MR. MCCURRY: The only thing I heard the Speaker say is that they would favor a price increase on tobacco. They have said nothing about curbing restrictions on access; they said nothing about advertising; they said nothing about FDA jurisdiction; they said nothing about the kind of penalties that would be imposed on the industry if they failed to meet the kind of targets --

Q He did talk about advertising -- excuse me -- he read a long column, the purpose of it, I take it, was to show it wasn't necessarily advertising, but it was Hollywood.

MR. MCCURRY: I think the purpose was to pay tribute to the First Lady who has been outspoken about some of the issues the Speaker cares about, too, which we're grateful for his acknowledgement of her leadership role.

Q -- it was Hollywood and other factors.

Q -- FDA regulation -- you're already doing it yourself, it's in the courts.

MR. MCCURRY: And the President said much the same, too.

Q Why do you need Congress for the FDA regulation, you've already done it yourselves and it's in the courts now. Why do you need Congress?

MR. MCCURRY: Because as we've always said, it's far better -- we can do it through regulation, but that clearly now would be challenged by the industry in the courts --

Q So would Congress action on this --

MR. MCCURRY: Well, an act of Congress would have a different effect and could -- the challenge to an act of Congress would be different than a challenge to federal regulations.

Q You're saying it's preferable, but it's not the only way to do this.

MR. MCCURRY: We have always said, even at the point that we were promulgating the regulation, that we would prefer to have legislation.

Q Would it be possible to work with Speaker Gingrich on this issue given the differences that you're --

MR. MCCURRY: I suspect it will be because I think that we have created more heat that he will face as a result of this issue, and I think at some point he's going to want to get back to where we believe a majority of the Congress is and he will want to be back on the side of historic legislation that protects America's kids from tobacco addiction.

Q Was there something in particular that sparked this new, more critical tone by the White House?

MR. MCCURRY: Yes, the announcement by the industry that they were pulling out of any positive discussion about how to do --

Q For Gingrich.

MR. MCCURRY: Well, Gingrich clearly wanted to align himself with the industry that's been so supportive of so many of his members.

Q No, it's you want to align him with the industry. He has said he is going to pass a tough teen smoking bill --

MR. MCCURRY: You look at what the industry representatives have been saying the last couple of days and put that next to what the Speaker has been saying and you won't see much difference in what they're saying.

Q But the industry opposes the stripped-down House bill. If what you're saying is correct, they would be supporting --

MR. MCCURRY: The industry opposes the McCain legislation which is the vehicle now moving through Congress which is the same legislation that the Speaker and others announced their strong opposition to today.

Q They also opposed the notion that DeLay and Archer have come up with, the stripped-down --

MR. MCCURRY: To my knowledge, they've not been very clear about what exactly they want to do other than to say they want to increase price.

Q One thing some Republicans say is that raising the price of cigarettes amounts to a regressive tax because low-income people are disproportionately likely to smoke, and that's one reason some Republicans say you need to give some tax break if you're going to raise the price of cigarettes. Does the White House think there's some merit in that argument?

MR. MCCURRY: There may be some economic merit to that argument, but the benefits of the legislation and the comprehensive approach that would be structured as a result would be progressive. The flip side would be true because the benefits then would accrue to

those who would otherwise begin to smoke.

Q Mike, how many times have we heard the President get up and say, look, Washington needs to get passed the sort of polluted environment of debate and people need to have policy arguments rather than questioning each other's motives, and yet just because the Speaker has a somewhat different emphasis --

MR. MCCURRY: We're not questioning his motive.

Q The Vice President called him Joe Camel --

MR. MCCURRY: I think there was strong reaction to what the Speaker said last night and then again repeated today, which is that Joe Camel is not part of the problem, it is part of the problem. Journal of the American Medical Association just made it clear that of all the factors that encourage kids to smoke, the kind of concentrated advertising that we've seen from the industry has been the single most significant factor in encouraging young people to smoke.

Q That's why Mr. Gingrich read the First Lady's column.

MR. MCCURRY: Mr. Gingrich is just wrong about that.

Q I mean, that's why he read the column, apparently,

to try to say that, look, here is the First Lady even saying
Hollywood's influence is a very large part of it.

MR. MCCURRY: Hollywood clearly is an influence, but of
all of the influences that lead young people to smoke, as the Journal
just reported, advertising is the single most important -- 34 percent
of kids who take up smoking cite advertising like the Joe Camel
advertising as being one of the inducements that led them to take up
the habit.

Q Mike, the Speaker has said today, no liability
protection for the tobacco companies. Is that where the President
is?

MR. MCCURRY: There's no change in our view on that, and
you've heard it often.

Q So you support the cap in the McCain bill, or not?

MR. MCCURRY: We've said that we prefer legislation that
does not address any caps on liability, but if they were included as
part of a comprehensive approach, we would take a look at those
provisions as part of a comprehensive approach. And if it met our
public health objectives, we surely would want -- the McCain
legislation, with the caps they have, and we've said some things
specifically about those caps, is not necessarily a deal-breaker.

Q The President addressed a little bit about the fact that cigar smoking -- but don't you think still even to have a cigar in his mouth and chew it sends a negative message to everyone?

MR. MCCURRY: He acknowledged as much earlier today and that's why he tries not to do it in public. (Laughter.)

Q Tries not to do it in public, right.

Q When was the last time we saw him --

MR. MCCURRY: If any of you want to talk to Senator McCain, you can -- I think that would be more newsworthy than what you're going to get here.

Q What about the Republican argument that the plan would blow up the bureaucracy -- lead a return to big government?

MR. MCCURRY: That's just not true. I've outlined for you that this is not about big government, it's about smart government. It's about the federal -- Food and Drug Administration having the authority to regulate cigarettes as a delivery device for the addictive drug nicotine, it's about putting penalties on the industry for past practices and to create opportunities to correct those practices in the future by protecting kids, it's about restricting access to products, it's about restricting the kind of advertising that leads kids to take up this habit. That's not big

government, that's just smart, sensible protections for the public health. And we believe in government that protects public health.

Q Mike, getting back to my original question, is the President possibly thinking about even stopping --

MR. MCCURRY: He talks about that with his family from time to time, yes.

Q Why did McCain come in? Was he trying to coordinate strategy?

MR. MCCURRY: We will be working throughout this week with those who are advancing the legislative prospects of a settlement on the Hill, and Senator McCain has been a leader in that effort, having achieved passage in the committee of his bill. And we will work with others who are interested in seeing if we can't get a comprehensive approach adopted by Congress.

Q Mike, do you see any realistic chance of this education infrastructure bill getting passed?

MR. MCCURRY: It doesn't -- we certainly hope so and we will continue to press the case for it, and we think there is strong public support for the measure. It's pretty clear what the vote count looks like in the Senate, but we don't necessarily think that's the end of the story. I think this is an issue upon which the

American public feels strongly, they're interested in the quality of schools and the type of facilities that are available for young people to learn in, and we think there will be strong public support and we can build strong public support for the kind of approach that Democrats are going to be fighting for in the Senate today.

If it takes arguing this in the election in order to build the sufficient public support to pass these kinds of improvements for our schools, we would welcome that debate.

Q In the leadership meeting today, did the President have any kind of outlook on what is possible within the next five weeks?

MR. MCCURRY: They did. They had, in addition to education, which you heard them discuss, they talked about the prospects for the supplemental appropriations bill, which is so necessary to help those who have been victims of some of the natural disasters that have occurred recently. They talked about the highway bill, they talked about the prospects for expansion of NATO, they talked generally about the budget.

Q Will any of these go through?

MR. MCCURRY: We think many of them will. We certainly hope all -- in some fashion, we hope all of them can and all of them

should, and many of them we think will. We talked about the tobacco bill, we talked about the Patient Bill of Rights, we talked about a minimum wage increase, talked about child care, talked about getting a discharge petition in the House for campaign finance reform, so there was good, productive discussion that shows you the range of opportunities that are there if Congress would just get on with its business and start addressing some of these issues.

Q Will all these be issues in the November election?

MR. MCCURRY: We would prefer to see them as legislation that we sign down here with representatives of both parties.

Q Did anything come out of the meeting this morning that you mentioned where the highway bill is going to be discussed, and what are Clinton's biggest problems?

MR. MCCURRY: The biggest problems, I mean, I'd refer you to our most recent statement of administration policy from the beginning of April because it outlined in substantive detail all of our concerns. But the principal concerns are those that the President identified that the overall price tag for that bill, which would crowd out necessary investments in other kinds of infrastructure. The President made a good point today -- investing in schools and campuses, that's a form of infrastructure as well, important to learning and that has got -- it's enormously important, both for economic reasons and for just the simple value of having

better environments for kids to learn in. And that's an infrastructure investment itself. And you crowd out some of that if you pour all of the money into concrete for highways.

They talked about some of the specific restrictions in the bills and the earmarking, but there's clearly going to have to be a lot more work done on that bill. And the President cited, of course, the failure to act on the DUI provision that we think should be a substantial feature of a highway bill.

Q Mike, was there any discussion of the fact that Congress keeps referring to the fact that we don't have time to do that this year, we don't have time to -- they're going out of there --- only meeting between Tuesday afternoon and Thursday, and then they just go home and vacation for them every week.

MR. MCCURRY: Sarah, we have made the point that they have a limited quantity of time that they will be at work and that's why they need to get on with business and start passing some of these.

Q They'd have a lot more time at work if they would do it.

MR. MCCURRY: We're reluctant to be overly critical of members who want to be back in their districts listening to their citizens, because we think they will get a good dose of public opinion, which is in favor of many of these things that we've talked

about. We just want them to come back here and work when they are working on passing some of this very critical legislation.

Q Is that one reason why the President is traveling so much, he wants to make this budget come from the people on the Congress?

MR. MCCURRY: Well, in part, we want to build support from the President's priorities and initiatives, but also because it's a good way to remind people what the costs are of not acting on some of this legislation.

Q The U.N. Commission on Human Rights in Geneva today projected a U.S. proposal to maintain special scrutiny on Cuba. Does the White House plan to somehow appeal that decision or in any way push for more scrutiny?

MR. MCCURRY: Well, we are obviously disappointed with the outcome of today's vote, and we continue to believe that it's important to promote respect for human rights for all the people of Cuba. And we're going to continue our efforts to draw attention to the shortcomings of the Castro regime in Cuba and the denial of fundamental freedoms and basic human rights to the citizens of Cuba. And we're also going to continue to work in the international community to build pressure on the Cuban regime so that they will consider changing their policies and their practices. And the specific vote, while we regret the outcome, we will have to live

with, and we'll see what opportunities arise in the international community and in international discussions of human rights issues to advance our concerns.

Q How strongly does the White House feel about allowing Secret Service agents and officers to testify if subpoenaed in connection with Ken Starr's investigation?

MR. MCCURRY: The President, as the one who is protected, along with his family, believes that is an issue that ought to be dealt with by the Secret Service, by the Treasury Department, with the Justice Department acting as the attorney for the Secret Service. We take no position on that issue.

Q But he is the one who is most involved and most concerned, it would seem to a lot of people, with the outcome of that.

MR. MCCURRY: The President believes for his sake and for the sake of future Presidents that this ought to be dealt with by the Treasury Department, the Secret Service, and argued by the Justice Department. And we've taken no position whatsoever on the matter.

Q But all those people work for him.

MR. MCCURRY: We've taken no position on the matter, not

been part of the discussions that the Treasury Department has had with the Justice Department about the case that they will take.

Q -- trust the Secret Service and the people who protect him?

MR. MCCURRY: He believes that he is very well protected, and he has enormous respect for the law enforcement officers, the agents, and the uniformed division that do an excellent job protecting him and his family.

Q Yes, but would he want to be spied on by people protecting him?

MR. MCCURRY: I think the President, whatever his views on that issue, I think he thinks it's appropriate for that issue to be dealt with by the Justice Department acting on behalf of the Treasury Department.

Q Mike, are you saying that only career Secret Service and career Treasury Department officials are making this decision and not political appointees of the President?

MR. MCCURRY: I don't know who is making the decisions, on what case -- what positions they are arguing in court. It's my understanding from the Counsel's Office here that no one here has

participated in the deliberation, nor have we seen the documents that have been filed under seal in court.

Q Congressman Archer today has sent a letter to Clinton asking that he support this idea of a bipartisan Social Security commission that his committee is going to vote on tomorrow. Do you have a response?

MR. MCCURRY: My response is already underway. We are hosting, through the efforts being made by the AARP and the Concord Coalition, a vibrant and good and healthy national debate on the future of Social Security. And that, I think, is a place where we will arrive at consensus on how to deal with long-term issues.

By the way, you should note that the Medicare actuary has announced today that because of some of the changes we've made in the structure of the Medicare program, the long-term actuarial deficit for the Medicare program is now half of what it was as a result of the changes made in the program and is part of the Balanced Budget Act.

Q Archer's office says that the President's process is an effort to make a partisan issue out of Social Security and that setting up this bipartisan commission is an effort to make it truly bipartisan.

MR. MCCURRY: Well, I think the President's goal -- as

it was clear from the recent forum -- is to create a bipartisan national consensus on how to proceed, and he has done everything he can to avoid making this a partisan discussion.

Q Mike, the President's Justice Department has filed a brief in the Burlington Industries case that says that there needn't be a show of tangible job detriment to prove sex harassment, a position that seems to be the opposite of that taken by the President's own lawyer in the Paula Jones case. Can you tell us whether the President supports the brief filed by the Solicitor General?

MR. MCCURRY: Your question is inaccurate. The action related to the President is immaterial because there is no consistency in the fact patterns in the two cases. But the Solicitor General has taken those views. I'm not aware that there was any discussion with the White House prior to the filing in that case, but I'm not aware that there is any opposition to the filing made by the Justice Department.

Q Just to follow up on Susan, does the White House have any problem with what Archer is doing?

MR. MCCURRY: With?

Q The commission, setting up the commission.

MR. MCCURRY: He has set up that one be set up, but I think that it's far more useful to proceed with the kind of conversation the President has now generated and to proceed with the three remaining national forums we have, with the White House conference on Social Security that will occur later this year. That's the venue in which we believe we're going to arrive at long-term solutions for Social Security.

Q Couldn't you have both of those, Mike? If you had a commission, you'd have to stop those other things

MR. MCCURRY: No, but it's clear that you're going to get a lot farther down the road -- the President believes we'll get a lot farther down the road if we pursue some of the discussions that we already have underway.

Q Mike, it's been reported that two lawyers representing the victims of PanAm 103 have reached agreement with lawyers representing the two Libyans accused of the bombing, to set up a mechanism by which a Scottish judge using Scottish law would try the two of them in the Netherlands. Is that a kind of system that would be acceptable to the United States?

MR. MCCURRY: It would not be. The facts remain for us unchanged that Libya is obliged under Security Council resolutions to deliver the two Lockerbie suspects for trial in either the United

States or the United Kingdom. The British family member who visited Libya is certainly entitled to his views, but our understanding is that they are not shared by the overwhelming majority of PanAm 103 family members, who themselves believe it's very important to follow the requirements of the relevant U.N. Security Council resolution.

The Security Council reaffirmed as recently as March 6 that Libya must surrender those two suspects to either U.S. or U.K. authorities for trial and pay the appropriate compensation and renounce and cease its support for international terrorism. Those have been our views, those will remain our views, and they are unchanged.

Q What's the President going to do at Harper's Ferry?

MR. MCCURRY: He's going to celebrate the great out-of-doors and the significant contribution made by the environmental movement to the protection of this planet in the years since the original Earth Day, the anniversary of which is celebrated tomorrow in Harper's Ferry.

Q Does he have any specific things he's going to propose or talk about?

MR. MCCURRY: He's got -- there will be a shred of news associated with his journey to the great outdoors tomorrow.

Q Does it have to do with clean water or --

MR. MCCURRY: It would have to do with whatever they talk about tomorrow.

Q Mike, can you confirm a report that the President is considering holding a full-dress news conference in the next couple weeks?

MR. MCCURRY: Sure.

Q It's true?

MR. MCCURRY: Do I know whether it will happen or not? I don't.

Q Was your announcement about Medicare meant to say that the date by which Medicare goes into the red is now pushed back?

MR. MCCURRY: No. It means that the way in which they calculate the actuarial deficit, the rate that they use related to the payroll tax has been cut in half. The actuarial deficit is based on a projection of the payroll tax that's needed to pay for Medicare benefits. In this case, the actuarial deficit is projected to decline from 4.32 percent of taxable payroll to 2.24 percent.

Q But still runs out of money in --

MR. MCCURRY: That's over 75 years. No, this is not a short-term -- this is the actuarial deficit rate that is used to calculate the long-term cost of the program over 75-year actuarial deficit for Medicare.

Q At the press conference the President is considering, would he answer questions about the Monica Lewinsky --

MR. MCCURRY: He would no doubt be asked them, but I doubt he would answer them much beyond what he's already said.

Q Mike, I was a little unclear. In terms of that Justice Department brief in the Burlington case -- which says you needn't prove tangible job detriment to prove sex harassment -- does he support that position? You said, no objection, but that's not the same as supporting it.

MR. MCCURRY: We didn't participate in the deliberations that led the Solicitor to file that opinion, but there is no objection to it, and I haven't talked to the President personally about whether he is personally supportive of it. And I'd want to do that, given his interest in constitutional law.

Q Could you do that then, Mike?

MR. MCCURRY: I'll see if he has anything he wants to

pass on.

Q General Abacha is apparently running unopposed in Nigeria in the fall. Does that represent a --

MR. MCCURRY: He's not even running. He's asking for a referendum, and that in no way constitutes a fair, open, and democratic election. And the United States government strongly objects to that form and is disappointed by the lack of democratic procedures in Nigeria.

Q Is an oil embargo going to be considered if he goes ahead with this plan?

MR. MCCURRY: I'm not in a position to speculate on that.

Q What difference would you say it made that the President did not have fast track authority last week in Chile?

MR. MCCURRY: Well, that no doubt was addressed on the trip. I think it would have been better to have been in a position with the other leaders of the democratic nations of the hemisphere to have advanced that. But we've made it clear that we're going to continue to press for free and open trade, and that we can continue to promote free and open trade agreements, even absent fast track authority.

THE PRESS: Thank you.

END

2:54 P.M. EDT

#490-04/21

ARMS Email System

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: James A. Dorskind ("James A. Dorskind" <JDorskind@doc.gov> [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:30-APR-1998 11:45:52.00

SUBJECT: Chicago "hot" issues --

TO: Jon P. Jennings (CN=Jon P. Jennings/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: edl (edl%ExSec%OSEC@doc.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jim Doyle (Jim Doyle <JDoyle@doc.gov> [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Stephen Nolet (Stephen Nolet <SNolet@doc.gov> [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

These aren't that "hot," but they may be helpful. The International Trade Administration supplied this information, which really just sets forth the views of the Chicagoland Chamber of Commerce on a variety of issues, as well as the views of the governor on renewal of fast track authority. I assume you know most or all of this. I haven't given up getting something more directly related to DoC, particularly EDA or MBDA, but I wanted to get this to you now; Calif. stuff will follow in a separate email in a few minutes. jd

EDUCATION - Chamber supports accountability, deregulation and innovation, quality based foundation level funding, capital and technology investment and funding reforms applied uniformly to all taxpayers.

INTERNATIONAL "OPEN SKIES" AGREEMENTS - Chamber supports bilateral aviation agreements that will result in increased access to O'Hare Airport by

international airlines and domestic carriers servicing foreign cities.

HELMS-BURTON ACT - Chamber supports federal initiatives which lead to freer and fairer trade practices worldwide.

FAST TRACK AUTHORITY - The Chamber and Governor Edgar and the State of Illinois support the restoration of Fast Track negotiating authority.

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT - Chamber is initiating a proposal to require the Illinois EPA to produce economic impact statements for all new and reauthorized legislative and regulatory proposals.

FEDERAL CLEAN AIR REQUIREMENTS - Chamber supports the spirit of the environmental laws that govern the nation, state and city, but these regulations must be balanced with social and economic concerns. Chamber supports maintenance of the current NAAQS standard for Particulate Matter and Ozone and specific scientific study on human health and PM.

ILLINOIS INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION ACT -Chambers supports legislation pending in the Illinois State Legislature which encourages arbitration of international commercial disputes and provides comprehensive, easy-to-follow arbitration procedures.

RENEWAL OF CHINA'S MOST FAVORED NATION STATUS -Chamber supports the renewal of China's MFN status.

Jim Dorskind

Director, Executive Secretariat

Office of the Secretary, U.S. Department of Commerce

Ph: 202-482-3934 Fax: 202-482-4576 jdorskind@doc.gov

ARMS Email System

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: James A. Dorskind ("James A. Dorskind" <JDorskind@doc.gov> [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 5-MAY-1998 22:58:24.00

SUBJECT: DoC Hot Issues for Detroit; Boston; Delaware; and Orangeburg

TO: Jon P. Jennings (CN=Jon P. Jennings/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: edl (edl%ExSec%OSEC@doc.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jim Doyle (Jim Doyle <JDoyle@doc.gov> [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Stephen Nolet (Stephen Nolet <SNolet@doc.gov> [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

May 5, 1998

MEMORANDUM TO THURGOOD MARSHALL, JR.

FROM: Jim Dorskind

Executive Secretary

SUBJECT: DoC Hot Issues for Detroit, MI, Boston, MA,

Delaware, and Orangeburg, SC

Detroit, Michigan

Detroit to Receive AWIPS: The Advanced Weather Interactive Processing

System (AWIPS) will be deployed in Detroit in August 1998.

Rising Cost of Palladium: The latest problems in Russia are of concern to

the Big 3 automakers. The turmoil has driven up the cost of palladium, a precious metal used in pollution control. This will cause a price increase in automobiles and lead to a decrease in production, affecting workers and consumers.

Automakers Concerned with SUV Regulations: The Big 3 automakers are concerned about the controversy involving Sport Utility Vehicles, as they believe it may lead to more government regulation in the areas of fuel consumption and safety.

Detroit Concerned about Asian Crisis: All industries are very concerned over the Asian crisis, as it has affected sales of all categories of goods.

Chrysler has had to cut back production due to cancellations of sales to many areas.

Significant Minority Business Sold: A major Hispanic-owned company, Munoz Machine Products, was recently sold, raising fears of more deterioration in minority business ownership in Detroit. Generally, there is a feeling by many in Detroit of a lack of interest in the development of the local empowerment zone, and there is concern among some that minorities are not supporting the development of Detroit.

Boston, Massachusetts

Massachusetts Labor Shortage: Massachusetts unemployment in March 1998 was at 3.3% (3% in the Greater Boston Area). The shortage of skilled labor now influences virtually every sector: high technology to services to

manufacturing. The scarcity of employable workers has made training/retraining programs, including public/private partnerships, a necessity. Among the most dire predictions is that Massachusetts GDP growth will slow to 3.4% from 1997's 4.4%, as companies abandon the state in favor of locations where there is a larger pool of available workers.

Boston Concerned about Asia Crisis: The effects of the economic upheaval in Asian markets is becoming evident in the Boston area.

Boston Business Backs Free Trade: Renewal of fast track authority and the expansion of free trade continue to be hot topics in the business community. Larry Liebenow, CEO of Quaker Fabrics of Fall River, MA, said that if the company "had similar access to the rest of Latin America as we do in Mexico, we would increase sales 15%, adding close to 300 people."

Marcos Rosenbaum, President of ConeXion International, Ltd., of Brookline, MA, was quoted as saying "It is easier for U.S. companies to try to get projects in Latin American countries than in Asian countries."

Lowell Brownfields to Receive Funds: Lowell, Massachusetts, has been designated one of 10 brownfields sites to receive federal funds. (A "brownfield", as defined by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), is an abandoned, idled, or underutilized industrial or commercial facility where expansion or redevelopment is complicated by real or perceived environmental contamination.) Lowell has recently received funds through two Department of Transportation programs for the redevelopment of abandoned industrial properties. Massachusetts has approximately 7000 brownfield sites.

Delaware

MBOC Renewal: The Wilmington, Delaware Minority Business Opportunity Committee (MBOC) was scheduled for renewal, effective October 1, 1997. The City submitted an application for renewal of the award; however, a major restructuring of the way in which the Minority Business Development Agency (MBDA) awards these grants has resulted in a delay. We expect final action on the award to be completed within the next 30 days. Both Sen. Joseph Biden and Mayor James Sills have been advised of the status.

Orangeburg, South Carolina

Census Dress Rehearsal: South Carolina is a dress rehearsal state for the 2000 Census.

Orangeburg to Benefit from AWIPS: Advanced Weather Interactive Processing Systems (AWIPS) will be deployed in Charleston, Columbia, and Greenville/Spartanburg, SC, weather offices in October 1998. These systems will provide data for weather forecasting and warnings in the Orangeburg area.

Jim Dorskind

Director, Executive Secretariat

Office of the Secretary, U.S. Department of Commerce

Ph: 202-482-3934 Fax: 202-482-4576 jdorskind@doc.gov

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	From USIA_Media_Reaction to Many Recipients Re: Daily Digest 5/20: Clinton at U.S.-EU Summit [partial] [10 USC 424] (1 page)	05/20/1998	P3/b(3)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Tape Restoration Project [Email]
WHO ([fast track...])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[08/21/1997 - 07/18/1998]

2019-0155-F
jn659

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

ARMS Email System

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: USIA_Media_Reaction (USIA_Media_Reaction@notes1.usia.gov [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 20-MAY-1998 16:34:18.00

SUBJECT: Daily Digest 5/20: CLINTON AT U.S.-EU SUMMIT

TO: [REDACTED] (b)(3)

[001]

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: anderson_l (anderson_l@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: ckoppell (Ckoppell@usaid.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: clibrary (clibrary@cfr.org [UNKNOWN])

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: foleyj (foleyj@panet.us-state.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: gbeasl01 (gbeasl01@emerald.tufts.edu [UNKNOWN])

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: gibney (gibney@ceip.org [UNKNOWN])

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: glynn (glynn@usia.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: herman (herman%windhoek@mhs.cstat.co.za [UNKNOWN])

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: ica (ica@usia.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: JCARPER (JCARPER@USIS.MALINET.ML [UNKNOWN])

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: jcheverie (jcheverie@guvax.georgetown.edu [UNKNOWN])

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: JGibson (JGibson@pagate.pa.osd.mil [UNKNOWN])

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: K_Haley (K_Haley@cc.colorado.edu [UNKNOWN])

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: kandern (kandern@csis.org [UNKNOWN])

READ: UNKNOWN

TO: Kemi_M. Southey-Cole (Kemi_M._Southey-Cole@AFR.VOA.GOV [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: kris.kolluri (kris.kolluri@mail.house.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lehman_k (Lehman_k@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: libacq (libacq@rand.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lou_Lantner (Lou_Lantner@dir.voa.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mconnell (mconnell@pagate.pa.osd.mil [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mike_Haltzel (MIKE_HALTZEL@FOREIGN-REL.SENATE.GOV [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: napsnet (napsnet@nautilus.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: rodriguezl (rodriguezl@panet.us-state.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: siegel (siegel@panet.us-state.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

Lori L. Anderson (CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

As President Clinton wrapped up his visit to Europe with stops at the U.S.-EU summit in London and the celebration of the 50th anniversary of the WTO and the GATT in Geneva, commentators mulled over two important international trade and economic issues: U.S. sanctions policy and the challenges posed by the increasing globalization of the world's economy. A majority of analysts in Europe voiced approval for the U.S. commitment at the U.S.-EU summit to waive sanctions against European companies that trade with Iran, Libya and Cuba. Elsewhere, others expressed concern about the pace of trade liberalization, and found fault with the proposals Mr. Clinton put forward in his address to the WTO. Notably, the number of

commentaries on this most recent presidential trip to Europe has been relatively low, with coverage of the G-8 summit and other gatherings overshadowed by media interest in the unrest in Indonesia and India's underground nuclear tests. Following are editorial highlights:

U.S.-EU DEAL: Analysts hailed the U.S.-EU deal to "head off" American sanctions over trade with Iran, Libya and Cuba as a step toward removing a "serious irritant in the transatlantic relationship." Opinion diverged, however, on what this step means for the U.S. Some saw it as an admission by Washington of the failure of its sanctions policy, and a "climbdown" by the administration from its stated policies to curtail activities of terrorist-sponsoring states and prevent acts of expropriation of foreign assets by governments such as Cuba. A considerable number of commentators, on the other hand, saw it as a pragmatic move on the part of Washington. "Competitors of U.S. exporters have always been ready to jump in and make a killing," pointed out one Parisian observer. Still others contended that there were no "winners or losers," noting that the EU also agreed to the "dismantling of...transatlantic trade barriers in a dozen sectors." Pundits did agree that, as a tool of foreign policy, sanctions are ineffective. "Sanctions bolster nationalist sentiment and encourage protectionist policies which result in the strengthening of military and repressive regimes," Milan's provocative, liberal *Il Foglio* observed. Interestingly, only Moscow papers did not welcome the U.S.-EU deal, lamenting that it "promises Russia nothing good." "Russian companies will lose their advantage in the fight for fuel markets in southern lands.... With those barriers lifted, Western investments will pour into that region," fretted reformist *Noviye Izvestia*. A number of editorialists in

Russia and elsewhere were quick to point out that Mr. Clinton may have traded "one controversy for another" because certain members of Congress and the U.S. public are "adamant about using sanctions." "The new controversy may become more of a headache," intoned one French daily. WTO SPEECH: Papers Switzerland, Italy, Brazil and Peru reported on President Clinton's appearance at the Palais des Nations, saying he gave the anniversary celebration of the WTO/GATT "his all.... During 20 intense minutes...he launched an appeal for new sector negotiations, asked for reform in the way the WTO runs, and called on Congress to support him in the renewal...[of] fast track [authority.]" In Brazil, writers highlighted the many differences between the U.S. and Brazil in their approach to trade liberalization, saying that the two nations "collided head-on" over international trade issues.

This survey is based on 23 reports from 11 countries, May 19-20.

EDITOR: Diana McCaffrey

EUROPE

BRITAIN: "EU Welcomes Sanctions Deal--But U.S. Firms Will Lose Out"

The independent Financial Times commented (5/19): "European companies and parliaments broadly welcomed the U.S.-EU deal to head off American sanctions over trade with Iran, Libya and Cuba.... The Clinton administration's main argument is that neither the Helms-Burton Act, nor the Iran-Libya Act, was intended to impose sanctions for sanctions' sake. The aim of both was to curtail activities of terrorist-sponsoring states and prevent acts of expropriation of foreign assets by governments such as

Cuba.... But the Clinton administration will have a hard time convincing politicians and the American public that the agreement does not represent a climbdown by Washington, as well as a setback for American business interests."

"Clinton Must Be Adept In Dealing With Congress"

In another editorial, independent Financial Times judged (5/19):

"America's extraterritorial legislation had become a serious irritant in the transatlantic relationship. The deal announced yesterday lays out the principles for the end of extraterritoriality. But crucially, the deal has to survive domestic American reaction, which could be very hostile.... Extraterritorial sanctions have soured the U.S.-EU relationship for too long, and must be removed as soon as possible. To achieve this, Mr. Clinton will have to be as adept in dealing Congress as he has been in negotiating with the EU. This deal is too important to be allowed to fail."

FRANCE: "The Failure Of Sanctions"

Jean-Jacques Mevel in right-of-center Le Figaro (5/20), "President Clinton has traded one controversy for another. Because Congress is adamant about using sanctions, the new controversy may become more of a headache.... In the past unilateral economic sanctions have failed because competitors of U.S. exporters have always been ready to jump in and make a killing. India, which is to be punished by Washington because of its nuclear tests, will be one more example confirming this rule...since Europe and Russia did

not agree to the sanctions.... In addition, the United States is taking a diplomatic risk: It may have broadened the gap with New Delhi just when it was attempting to get closer to this rising economic power.... The example of Iran also speaks for itself.... The Geneva agreement looks like an admission of failure from Washington.... In absolving Total, Clinton will not only have to deal with Congress, but also with American oil companies."

"A Surprise Agreement"

Pierre De Gasquet opined in right-of-center Les Echos (5/19): "After a disappointing G-8, the EU-U.S. summit ended in London with a surprise agreement about economic sanctions under the Helms-Burton legislation.... The agreement puts an end to several years of conflict between the United States and a number of European nations over the issue of extraterritoriality."

GERMANY: "Not Coming To An Agreement"

Left-of-center Frankfurter Rundschau told its readers (5/19): "Now, it looks as though progress has been made. On the sidelines of the Birmingham Summit, the Clinton administration agreed to exempt European companies from these sanctions [ILSA and Helms-Burton]. This is a positive development which shows two things. First, laws which are tainted by ideology get overtaken by reality. Second, it is difficult to reverse your point of view once it has been made public. That is why Clinton's offer at best could be called face-saving. It is no solution. A round of talks can only

be held with all members of the WTO. But for everybody else, except the Europeans, the sanctions are still in place."

ITALY: "Europe Should Not Waste U.S. Openness"

Stefano Silvestri in leading business daily Il Sole-24 Ore (5/20): "Europe and America never disagreed on the need to obtain radical changes in the policy of the (rogue) states. The disagreement was only on how to achieve those changes.... Now the Americans have decided to give credit to the European approach. Therefore, it is up to us to demonstrate that they have not made a mistake and to disavow those (like Senator D'Amato) who believe that Europeans are only interested in getting lucrative contracts, without paying attention to the consequences.... It is evident that this new American openness should not be wasted and its expectations disappointed. The Europeans must now provide the facts to prove that they are serious about pursuing common goals with the United States."

"Fair Sanctions"

Provocative, classical liberal Il Foglio observed (5/20): "After the disappointing G-8 summit in Birmingham, America and Europe reached in London a preliminary agreement on sanctions against Cuba, Iran and Libya.... It is becoming evident that sanctions are an instrument of pressure to use only in extreme cases and with maximum determination and strength. When adopted indiscriminately, sanctions bolster nationalist sentiment and encourage protectionist policies which result in the strengthening of military and repressive regimes. On the contrary, trade,

cooperation and investments forestall the threats of terror and war in the much longer run, undermining the very basis of domestic consensus for authoritarian and military regimes." The editorial then clearly spells out that: "The United States did not repeal the Helms-Burton and D'Amato bills. This is up to Congress to do, and it is unlikely that it will ever happen."

"Differences At WTO"

A report from Geneva in leading business daily *Il Sole-24 Ore* noted (5/19): "While the United States is proposing itself as the 'guardian' of future trade negotiations, Clinton's speech touches on the sore point of the differences which still divide the two sides of the Atlantic and which are surfacing even in these days of celebrations.... Clinton confirmed that the Americans intend to proceed in the future with negotiations by sectors, dealing with one problem at a time.... But the European Union insists in asking for a comprehensive round that will put together different sectors and different issues.... The difference between the two approaches is not only in form but also in substance and concerns mainly agriculture."

"Compromise At U.S.-EU Summit--Without Winners Or Losers"

Maurizio Molinari commented on the front page of Turin's centrist, influential *La Stampa* (5/19): "The agreement is a compromise without winners or losers, but it will have important repercussions on international relations.... Clinton and the U.S. Congress pocket the assurance of increased European cooperation in the fight against terrorism

and the race to weapons of mass destruction.... The initiative taken by Clinton is very clear: America is distancing itself from the policy of sanctions against 'rogue states.' In Washington this means that there is already a new policy in the drawer of the Oval Office desk, perhaps more attentive to the novelties coming from the Iran of reformist Khatami, from the Cuba which embraced the Pole and from the Libya of a Qadhafi who has just joined the crusade against fundamentalism."

"A Positive Choice At U.S.-EU Gathering"

A commentary by Domenico Siniscalco in leading business *Il Sole-24 Ore* held (5/19): "Yesterday's agreement is a courageous initiative especially on the part of the Americans. The U.S. political and economic sectors, which for some time have criticized the logic of sanctions, have scored an important point. And it is a positive response to the pressures which have come from Europe for some time.

"It is not by coincidence that the decision falls on the 50th anniversary of the GATT, along with other agreements for the elimination of trade barriers."

"Clinton Gets Something In Return At EU"

Alberto Pasolini Zanelli filed from London for leading rightist opposition *Il Giornale* (5/18): "Is this a capitulation on the part of America? No, and certainly not a complete one. Clinton, in fact, has pocketed something in return and has very likely obtained rather binding promises that there

will be more. The European Union, in fact, has accepted the 'dismantling of...transatlantic trade barriers in a dozen sectors, among which is the agricultural sector which of such vital interest for the United States. This is not yet the creation of a Euro-American 'free trade zone'...but it is an important step forward.... By giving up their 'philosophical' confrontation over economic sanctions as an instrument of international politics, Americans and Europeans will have a more free hand from now on in taking common measures...to curb the escalation of the nuclear race in southern Asia. Or at least that's what Clinton is hoping for after distributing carrots and putting aside, but not throwing away, the stick."

RUSSIA: "Bad News From London At U.S.-EU Summit"

Sergei Guly commented in reformist *Noviye Izvestia* (5/20) on the U.S.-EU summit outcome: "News from London promises Russia nothing good. Up to Now, Russian companies have had an advantage in a fight for fuel markets in southern lands, the Western competitors weakened by legal constraints. With those barriers lifted, Western investments will pour into that region. Our only hope now is the U.S. Congress with its strong sentiment against the London accord."

"Compromise, Not Concessions"

Aleksandr Shumilin commented in reformist, business-oriented *Kommersant Daily* (5/19): "Up against united Europe, the Clinton administration obviously quivered. But the London meeting was about U.S.-EU compromise, not Clinton's concessions."

"EU Secures U.S.'s Concessions"

Reformist Russkiy Telegraf had these remarks (5/19) by Yelena Visens:

"Washington's concession is serious, albeit partial and limited to President Clinton's term of office. It also enables the president to kill two birds with one stone: Not to fall out with the Europeans completely and save face in a tiff with Congress over the Helms-Burton and d'Amato acts."

BELGIUM: "Washington Closes Its Eyes On Gas Contract With Iran"

In conservative Catholic La Libre Belgique Christophe Lamfalussy observed (5/19): "The Europeans and the Americans have probably put an end on Monday to two years of sometimes bitter discussion on the D'Amato and Helms-Burton acts. At the same time, they have adopted a 'Transatlantic Economic Partnership' which will be negotiated over the next few months. The TEP is a softened version of the NTM rejected by France."

SPAIN: "No To Helms-Burton"

Barcelona's centrist La Vanguardia noted (5/20): "The European Union and the United States have taken steps to resolve their lengthy dispute regarding investments in Cuba, Libya and Iran.... The root of the problem lay with the fact that the statutes sought to impose sanctions beyond U.S. borders by punishing third parties--Europe, among others--for doing business with these three countries."

"Clinton never viewed these laws with enthusiasm...and relations between the United States and Europe were harmed because Europe decided to haul the United States--the champion of free trade--before the World Trade Organization for having violated international free trade law. Whether due to their extraterritorial nature or because the matter was to be taken before the WTO, Washington has now decided not to apply these laws to Europe...which is good news for relations between the two great allies."

"Transatlantic Agreement"

Liberal El Pais told its readers (5/20): "In addition to their egregious nature, the extraterritorial laws of the United States that penalize foreign investors in Cuba, Iran and Libya had become a stumbling block in further developing transatlantic relations, something Clinton had realized for some time.... Yesterday, in the U.S.-EU summit meeting in London, Bill Clinton, Tony Blair and the head of the European Commission, Jacques Santerre, took another step towards the resolution of this dispute. The agreement reached was only in principle, as there are substantial matters yet to be worked out. Among them, although the statutes accord the president ample discretion in their application, their derogation can only be accomplished by congressional action.... With this agreement Clinton achieved two objectives: further softening U.S. policy toward Cuba and further liberalizing world trade.... His latter speech at the WTO meeting was attended by none other than Fidel Castro, who applauded Clinton's remarks."

SWITZERLAND: "WTO Needs To Be More Transparent"

Francoise Weilhammer and Patrick Oberli wrote in new daily Le Temps (5/19):

"Bill Clinton may have only breezed through Geneva yesterday, but his speech will long be mentioned when WTO history is recounted. Monday evening, the president offered his strong support for a multilateral trading system, which Tuesday celebrates its 50th anniversary at the WTO's second ministerial here. Speaking in the Palais des Nations' assembly hall, Bill Clinton gave the event his all. During 20 intense minutes, one issue after another, he launched an appeal for new 'sector' negotiations, asked for reform in the way the WTO runs, and called on Congress to support him in 'the renewal of negotiating authority,' better known as 'fast track.'

"For the WTO itself, by far the most important of Clinton's points was the call to start 'sector' negotiations--a proposal that won't please the European Union which, like Switzerland, prefers talks in the largest possible sectors so as to leave room for creating a system of reciprocal compensation. Not a chance of meekly enduring endless talks: 'We must examine must new type of process is best adapted to the new economy'--and ask the assembly to take note of the speech with which the business world is evolving. It is no longer possible, as it was during the Uruguay Round, to take seven years to find solutions to economic problems. Because in the meantime, new trade blocs have considerable time to spring up again.'

"On a roll, Clinton launched a vibrant appeal to delegations to lend their support to the U.S. proposal on electronic commerce. The proposal aims to set up a working group in the next two years, as well as preserve the

status quo on customs duties. Several minutes before the president's speech, only a dozen member states--of the 132 in the WTO--seemed resistant to this idea. While Clinton is determined to aggressively pursue open markets, he also has a social strategy. 'Free trade is the best way to improve the lifestyle of a maximum of people worldwide.' He also asked the WTO to integrate a social and environmental clause into its negotiations, and added: 'The WTO needs to be more transparent, to become a forum. Currently, all the working sessions take place behind closed doors. That is why I propose that in these sessions we integrate groups of consumers, environmental protectionists, unions, and businesses. We must do more to improve the environment and the working conditions of employees.'

"Just to prove that his words were more than good intentions, the White House resident expressed his strong desire for high-level talks to get under way between the WTO and the International Labor Organization--two entities that until now have tended to act independently. On the same train of thought, Clinton offered his support for the European Union, which is seeking to set up a meeting of its business and environmental ministers. The president also used the occasion to reiterate his aim to host the third WTO ministerial in 1999--an invitation which, according to diplomatic sources consulted before the speech, the delegations have already accepted."

TURKEY: "A Correct Step Backward"

Sami Kohen outlined this view in mass-appeal Milliyet (5/20): "The U.S. administration has decided to ignore the D'Amato and Helms-Burton Acts which lays sanctions on countries and companies that do business with Iran, Libya and Cuba. The issue was discussed at the U.S.-EU summit, and the Clinton administration saw that such a 'sanctions policy' imposed on friendly countries would prove to have quite the opposite effect than that which was desired. Sanctions do not have a crushing effect on Iran's economy, remaining less than effective in isolating it politically. Furthermore, the United States is undertaking persistent conscious efforts to improve relations with Iran after Khatami came to power, with positive responses from Tehran. Washington had reacted strongly to the Turkish-Iranian natural gas agreement under the coalition headed by the Islamist Welfare Party two years ago, warning Ankara that Turkey might be indirectly considered within the D'Amato act. Turkey responded by saying that the natural gas would come from Turkmenistan, and that we would construct only part of the pipeline laying within our borders. Turkey might take comfort after the easing of American sanctions, freely improving its economic ties with Iran."

LATIN AMERICA

BRAZIL: "Profound Differences Between U.S. And Brazil"

Liberal Folha de Sao Paulo's correspondent reporting on the WTO ministerial meeting (5/20) said that "Brazil and the United States collided head-on during the last 24 hours due to interests and priorities related to

international trade. If President Fernando Henrique Cardoso's speech, delivered yesterday on the 50th anniversary of the international trade system had not been prepared in Brazil, it would seem a direct and frontal response to the speech delivered by his American colleague Bill Clinton. It is not even necessary to interpret each sentence to note the profound differences. Brazil puts its interests on the negotiating table and has no reason to follow other countries' interests, affirmed President Fernando Henrique to Brazilian journalists covering the event. At least in relation to the United States, the interests of each party is in opposite directions."

"Fernando Henrique Rejects Clinton's Proposal At WTO Meeting"

Center-right O Estado de Sao Paulo's correspondent reported (5/20) that "Fernando Henrique Cardoso and Bill Clinton made clear that Brazil and the United States have very different plans for the next millennium. In a harsh speech lasting an hour, Fernando Henrique yesterday rejected the proposals made on the previous day by Clinton--many of them considered protectionist. Without the support of Congress to negotiate trade agreements--that may cause unemployment in less competitive sectors of the American economy--Clinton asked for changes in the WTO's rules. Fernando Henrique's reaction was different: He demonstrated concern about the U.S. attempt to directly link trade to environmental protection and to the defense of workers rights."

"Message Sent To The Wrong Address"

Center-right O Estado de Sao Paulo's lead editorial (5/20) commented on President Clinton's reaction to the rioting demonstrators in Geneva "by proposing that the WTO provide businessmen, workers, environmentalists and consumers a forum where they can express their opinions on the world trade liberalization process. President Clinton has sent his message to the wrong address. The WTO--that is just a place where decisions made by national governments are reviewed--is not the right place for one to try to give a human face to globalization. The right place is inside each country and at the core of each government, especially that of the United States, which is the champion of the free market ideology and motor of the process currently leading to the transformation of economic structures, at a pace so rapid that a significant portion of the human society cannot catch up. The consequences of such dissonance...are summed up in the paradox of unprecedented economic growth followed by the worsening of social conditions in significant segments of the population; among which are those who are responsible for this burst of growth and have become astonished by unemployment or the reduction of family income."

"Questions At WTO On Globalization And Its Directions"

Center-right O Estado de Sao Paulo (5/19) held: "The G-8 summit ended in Birmingham in a climate of the customary meeting of schoolmates.... Many questions are left for the 132 countries represented at the WTO to answer. All of them involving, as a last resource, globalization and its directions. The first question is if globalization would not be transforming itself into an ideology, by showing only its positive aspects

in a very simple manner. The promises of a generalized and equally distributed well-being, resulting from scientific and technological developments were not carried out. And the first evidence of this failure is unemployment. The Birmingham leaders' agenda was affected before they arrived at the WTO meeting by the protestors in Geneva, who could see with their very eyes, the growing distance between the two worlds, both domestic and international."

PERU: "Clinton At WTO"

Pro-government Expreso commented (5/19): "The president of the United States began his speech to the World Trade Organization in Geneva yesterday by saying that the GATT, which celebrates its 50th anniversary, was 'the dream of a generation that took note of the bitter lessons of protectionism, depression and war.' With this diagnosis, which accurately summarizes the 20th century tragedy, Clinton went on to say that globalization's success will depend on the future of information transparency and the growing access of societies to economic decisions.... Afterwards, he proposed that business, unions and consumers reach agreement on labor conditions, energy and environment. But these agreements, which might impose conditions on poor countries that they cannot meet, would be a type of protectionism for developed economies to protect themselves from the emergence of new nations. He also advised that in order for countries to accept 'the risk of free trade,' globalization should benefit workers and that commerce should increase equality of life, not decrease it. Global liberalization is the way to distribute wealth to lower classes. There will be no way to reduce poverty without efficient global capital

distribution. That is why the WTO director proposed the free trade of
exported goods by less developed countries, which have quotas and tariffs
in the United States and Europe, in order to increase their participation
in global trade. Clinton outlines an aggressive strategy to open markets
in all regions of the world. He also called for studying the possibility
of reducing tariff barriers without expecting clarification of every detail
in all sectors before resolving matters in any one sector. Developed
countries should take the initiative in order to gain moral authority....
But Europe does not want to negotiate any one sector before negotiating a
general accord. So, it is more clear now where the first obstacle for the
liberalization of the global economy lies."

##

- att1.unk===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

WPC
2BPV`Courier 10cpi|x?xxx,kx6X@8;X@ 3'
3' 3' X` hp x X XX 3'
3' 3' 3'

XX Xp x (##Xx\ P6QyXP#
X` hp x (##%O
ddx
XxX<O
X{ -X` hp x (# #:x2PkCXP#

FOREIGN MEDIA REACTION

X{ #:,2PkCP#DAILY DIGEST #XX\ P6QyP#USIA #:Z2PkCP#U.S. INFORMATION AGENCY,
WA
SHINGTON DC 20547 OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND MEDIA REACTIONOO
XX X` hp x (##%XP\ P6QyP#Bill Richey, Branch Chief Media Reaction, (202) 619
6511 Ann Pincus, Director Archived at:
www.usia.gov/products/me
dreac.htm

X` hp x (##Xn\ P6Qy&P#Wednesday, May 20, 1998
X` hp x (##%

CLINTON AT U.S.EU SUMMIT AND WTO/GATT 50TH ANNIVERSARY

As President Clinton wrapped up his visit to Europe with stops at the U.S.EU summit in London and the celebration of the 50th anniversary of the WTO and the GATT in Geneva, commentators mulled over two important international trade and economic issues: U.S. sanctions policy and the challenges posed by the increasing globalization of the world's economy. A majority of analysts in Europe voiced approval for the U.S. commitment at the U.S.EU summit to waive sanctions against European companies that trade with Iran, Libya and Cuba. Elsewhere, others expressed concern about the pace of trade liberalization, and found fault with the proposals Mr. Clinton put forward in his address to the WTO. Notably, the number of commentaries on this most recent presidential trip to Europe has been relatively low, with coverage of the G8 summit and other gatherings overshadowed by media interest in the unrest in Indonesia and India's underground nuclear tests. Following are editorial highlights:

U.S.EU DEAL: Analysts hailed the U.S.EU deal to "head off" American sanctions over trade with Iran, Libya and Cuba as a step toward removing a "serious irritant in the transatlantic relationship." Opinion diverged, however, on what this step means for the U.S. Some saw it as an admission by Washington of the failure of its sanctions policy, and a "climbdown" by the administration from its stated policies to curtail activities of terroristsponsoring states and prevent acts of expropriation of foreign assets by governments such as Cuba. A considerable number of commentators, on the other hand, saw it as a pragmatic move on the part of Washington. "Competitors of U.S. exporters have always been ready to jump in and make a killing," pointed out one Parisian observer. Still others contended that there were no "winners or losers," noting that the EU also agreed to the "dismantling of...transatlantic trade barriers in a dozen sectors." Pundits did agree that, as a tool of foreign policy, sanctions are ineffect

ective. "Sanctions bolster nationalist sentiment and encourage protectionist policies which result in the strengthening of military and repressive regimes,

" Milan's provocative, liberal Il Foglio observed.

Interestingly, only Moscow

papers did not welcome the U.S.EU deal, lamenting

that it "promises Russia noth

ing good." "Russian companies will lose their

advantage in the fight for fuel

markets in southern lands.... With those

barriers lifted, Western investments

will pour into that region," fretted

reformist Noviy Izvestia. A number of ed

itorialists in Russia and elsewhere

were quick to point out that Mr. Clinton ma

y have traded "one controversy for

another" because certain members of Congress

and the U.S. public are "adamant

about using sanctions." "The new controversy

may become more of a headache,"

intoned one French daily. WTO SPEECH: Papers

Switzerland, Italy, Brazil and

Peru reported on President Clinton's appearance

at the Palais des Nations,

saying he gave the anniversary celebration of the WT

O/GATT "his all....

During 20 intense minutes...he launched an appeal for new

sector

negotiations, asked for reform in the way the WTO runs, and called on

Congress to support him in the renewal...[of] fast track [authority.]" In

Braz

il, writers highlighted the many differences between the U.S. and Brazil

in th

eir approach to trade liberalization, saying that the two nations

"collided hea

don" over international trade issues.

X hp x (#This survey is based on 23 reports from 11 countries, May 1920.

EDITOR: Diana McCaffrey

EUROPE

BRITAIN: "EU Welcomes Sanctions Deal But U.S. Firms Will Lose Out"

The independent Financial Times commented (5/19): "European companies and par

liaments broadly welcomed the U.S.EU deal to head off American sanctions

over t

rade with Iran, Libya and Cuba.... The Clinton administration's main

argument

is that neither the HelmsBurton Act, nor the IranLibya Act, was

intended to im

pose sanctions for sanctions' sake. The aim of both was to

curtail activities

of terroristsponsoring states and prevent acts of expropriation of foreign assets by governments such as Cuba.... But the Clinton administration will have a hard time convincing politicians and the American public that the agreement does not represent a climbdown by Washington, as well as a setback for American business interests."

"Clinton Must Be Adept In Dealing With Congress"

In another editorial, independent Financial Times judged (5/19): "America's extraterritorial legislation had become a serious irritant in the transatlantic relationship. The deal announced yesterday lays out the principles for the end of extraterritoriality. But crucially, the deal has to survive domestic American reaction, which could be very hostile.... Extraterritorial sanctions have soured the U.S.EU relationship for too long, and must be removed as soon as possible. To achieve this, Mr. Clinton will have to be as adept in dealing Congress as he has been in negotiating with the EU. This deal is too important to be allowed to fail."

FRANCE: "The Failure Of Sanctions"

X' hp x (#

JeanJacques Mevel in rightofcenter Le Figaro (5/20), "President Clinton has traded one controversy for another. Because Congress is adamant about using sanctions, the new controversy may become more of a headache.... In the past unilateral economic sanctions have failed because competitors of U.S. exporters have always been ready to jump in and make a killing. India, which is to be punished by Washington because of its nuclear tests, will be one more example confirming this rule...since Europe and Russia did not agree to the sanctions.... In addition, the United States is taking a diplomatic risk: It may have broadened the gap with New Delhi just when it was attempting to get closer to this rising economic power.... The example of Iran also speaks for itself.... The Geneva agreement looks like an admission of failure from Washington.... In absolute

g Total, Clinton will not only have to deal with Congress, but also with American oil companies."

X' hp x (#

"A Surprise Agreement"

Pierre De Gasquet opined in rightofcenter Les Echos (5/19): "After a disappointing G8, the EUU.S. summit ended in London with a surprise agreement about economic sanctions under the HelmsBurton legislation.... The agreement puts an end to several years of conflict between the United States and a number of European nations over the issue of extraterritoriality."

GERMANY: "Not Coming To An Agreement"

Leftofcenter Frankfurter Rundschau told its readers (5/19): "Now, it looks as though progress has been made. On the sidelines of the Birmingham Summit, the Clinton administration agreed to exempt European companies from these sanctions [ILSA and HelmsBurton]. This is a positive development which shows two things. First, laws which are tainted by ideology get overtaken by reality. Second, it is difficult to reverse your point of view once it has been made public. That is why Clinton's offer at best could be called facesaving. It is no solution. A round of talks can only be held with all members of the WTO. But for everybody else, except the Europeans, the sanctions are still in place."

ITALY: "Europe Should Not Waste U.S. Openness"

X' hp x (#

Stefano Silvestri in leading business daily Il Sole24 Ore (5/20): "Europe and

America never disagreed on the need to obtain radical changes in the policy of the (rogue) states. The disagreement was only on how to achieve those changes.... Now the Americans have decided to give credit to the European approach

. Therefore, it is up to us to demonstrate that they have not made a mistake

and to disavow those (like Senator D'Amato) who believe that Europeans are only interested in getting lucrative contracts, without paying attention to the

consequences.... It is evident that this new American openness should not be wasted and its expectations disappointed. The Europeans must now provide the facts to prove that they are serious about pursuing common goals with the United States."

X` hp x (#
"Fair Sanctions"

Provocative, classical liberal Il Foglio observed (5/20): "After the disappointing G8 summit in Birmingham, America and Europe reached in London a preliminary agreement on sanctions against Cuba, Iran and Libya.... It is becoming evident that sanctions are an instrument of pressure to use only in extreme cases and with maximum determination and strength. When adopted indiscriminately, sanctions bolster nationalist sentiment and encourage protectionist policies which result in the strengthening of military and repressive regimes. On the contrary, trade, cooperation and investments forestall the threats of terror and war in the much longer run, undermining the very basis of domestic consensus for authoritarian and military regimes." The editorial then clearly spells out that: "The United States did not repeal the Helms-Burton and D'Amato bills. This is up to Congress to do, and it is unlikely that it will ever happen."

X` hp x (# "Differences At WTO"

X` hp x (#

A report from Geneva in leading business daily Il Sole24 Ore noted (5/19):

"While

while the United States is proposing itself as the 'guardian' of future trade negotiations, Clinton's speech touches on the sore point of the differences which still divide the two sides of the Atlantic and which are surfacing even in these days of celebrations.... Clinton confirmed that the Americans intend to proceed in the future with negotiations by sectors, dealing with one problem at a time.... But the European Union insists in asking for a comprehensive round that will put together different sectors and different issues.... The difference between the two approaches is not

only in form but also in substance
and concerns mainly agriculture."

X` hp x (#

X` hp x (# "Compromise At U.S.EU Summit Without Winners Or Losers"

Maurizio Molinari commented on the front page of Turin's centrist,
influential

La Stampa (5/19): "The agreement is a compromise without winners
or losers, b

ut it will have important repercussions on international
relations.... Clinton

and the U.S. Congress pocket the assurance of
increased European cooperation i

n the fight against terrorism and the race to
weapons of mass destruction.... T

he initiative taken by Clinton is very

clear: America is distancing itself fro

m the policy of sanctions against

'rogue states.' In Washington this means tha

t there is already a new policy

in the drawer of the Oval Office desk, perhaps

more attentive to the

novelties coming from the Iran of reformist Khatami, from

the Cuba which

embraced the Pole and from the Libya of a Qadhafi who has just

joined the

crusade against fundamentalism."

"A Positive Choice At U.S.EU Gathering"

A commentary by Domenico Siniscalco in leading business Il Sole24 Ore held
(5/

19): "Yesterday's agreement is a courageous initiative especially on the
part

of the Americans. The U.S. political and economic sectors, which for
some time

have criticized the logic of sanctions, have scored an important
point. And i

t is a positive response to the pressures which have come from

Europe for some

time.

"It is not by coincidence that the decision falls on the 50th anniversary of
th

e GATT, along with other agreements for the elimination of trade
barriers."

"Clinton Gets Something In Return At EU"

Alberto Pasolini Zanelli filed from London for leading rightist opposition Il
Giornale (5/18): "Is this a capitulation on the part of America? No, and

certa

inly not a complete one. Clinton, in fact, has pocketed something in
return an

d has very likely obtained rather binding promises that there will be more. Th

e European Union, in fact, has accepted the 'dismantling of'...transatlantic tr

ade barriers in a dozen sectors, among which is the agricultural sector which o

f such vital interest for the United States. This is not yet the creation of a

EuroAmerican 'free trade zone'...but it is an important step forward.... By g

iving up their 'philosophical' confrontation over economic sanctions as an inst

ument of international politics, Americans and Europeans will have a more free

hand from now on in taking common measures...to curb the escalation of the nuc

lear race in southern Asia. Or

at least that's what Clinton is hoping for afte r distributing carrots and

putting aside, but not throwing away, the stick."

RUSSIA: "Bad News From London At U.S.EU Summit"

X' hp x (#Sergei Guly commented in reformist Noviy Izvestia (5/20) on the U.S. EU

summit outcome: "News from London promises Russia nothing good. Up to Now,

Russian companies have had an advantage in a fight for fuel markets in souther

n lands, the Western competitors weakened by legal constraints. With those bar

riers lifted, Western investments will pour into that region. Our only hope no

w is the U.S. Congress with its strong sentiment against the London accord."

"Compromise, Not Concessions"

X' hp x (#

Aleksandr Shumilin commented in reformist, businessoriented Kommersant Daily (

5/19): "Up against united Europe, the Clinton administration obviously quivered

. But the London meeting was about U.S.EU compromise, not Clinton's concession

s."

"EU Secures U.S.'s Concessions"

Reformist Russkiy Telegraf had these remarks (5/19) by Yelena Visens:

"Washing

ton's concession is serious, albeit partial and limited to President Clinton's

term of office. It also enables the president to kill two birds with one stone

: Not to fall out with the Europeans completely and save face
in a tiff with Congress over the Helms-Burton and d'Amato acts."

BELGIUM: "Washington Closes Its Eyes On Gas Contract With Iran"

In conservative Catholic La Libre Belgique Christophe Lamfalussy observed (5/19): "The Europeans and the Americans have probably put an end on Monday to two years of sometimes bitter discussion on the D'Amato and Helms-Burton acts. At the same time, they have adopted a 'Transatlantic Economic Partnership' which will be negotiated over the next few months. The TEP is a softened version of the NTM rejected by France."

X' hp x (#

X' hp x (# SPAIN: "No To Helms-Burton"

Barcelona's centrist La Vanguardia noted (5/20): "The European Union and the United States have taken steps to resolve their lengthy dispute regarding investments in Cuba, Libya and Iran.... The root of the problem lay with the fact that the statutes sought to impose sanctions beyond U.S. borders by punishing third parties in Europe, among others for doing business with these three countries."

"Clinton never viewed these laws with enthusiasm...and relations between the United States and Europe were harmed because Europe decided to haul the United States the champion of free trade before the World Trade Organization for having violated international free trade law. Whether due to their extraterritorial nature or because the matter was to be taken before the WTO, Washington has now decided not to apply these laws to Europe...which is good news for relations between the two great allies."

"Transatlantic Agreement"

Liberal El Pais told its readers (5/20): "In addition to their egregious nature, the extraterritorial laws of the United States that penalize foreign investment

stors in Cuba, Iran and Libya had become a stumbling block in further
 developin
 g transatlantic relations, something Clinton had realized for some
 time.... Ye
 sterday, in the U.S.EU summit meeting in London, Bill Clinton,
 Tony Blair and t
 he head of the European Commission, Jacques Santerre, took
 another step towards
 the resolution of this dispute. The agreement reached
 was only in principle,
 as there are substantial matters yet to be worked out.
 Among them, although t
 he statutes accord the president ample discretion in
 their application, their d
 erogation can only be accomplished by congressional
 action.... With this agre
 ement Clinton achieved two objectives: further
 softening U.S. policy toward Cu
 ba and further liberalizing world trade....
 His latter speech at the WTO meeti
 ng was attended by none other than Fidel
 Castro, who applauded Clinton's remark
 s."
 X` hp x (#
 SWITZERLAND: "WTO Needs To Be More Transparent"
 X` hp x (#
 Francoise Weilhammer and Patrick Oberli wrote in new daily Le Temps (5/19):
 "B
 ill Clinton may have only breezed through Geneva yesterday, but his speech
 wil
 l long be mentioned when WTO history is recounted. Monday evening, the
 presid
 ent offered his strong support for a multilateral trading system,
 which Tuesda
 y celebrates its 50th anniversary at the WTO's second
 ministerial here. Speak
 ing in the Palais des Nations' assembly hall, Bill
 Clinton gave the event his
 all. During 20 intense minutes, one issue after
 another, he launched an appea
 l for new 'sector' negotiations, asked for
 reform in the way the WTO runs, and
 called on Congress to support him in
 'the renewal of negotiating authority,'
 better known as 'fast track.'

"For the WTO itself, by far the most important of Clinton's points was the
 cal
 l to start 'sector' negotiationsa proposal that won't please the
 European Unio
 n which, like Switzerland, prefers talks in the largest
 possible sectors so as
 to leave room for creating a system of reciprocal
 compensation. Not a chance

of meekly enduring endless talks: 'We must examine must new type of process is best adapted to the new economy' and ask the assembly to take note of the speech with which the business world is evolving. It is no longer possible, as it was during the Uruguay Round, to take seven years to find solutions to economic problems. Because in the meantime, new trade blocs have considerable time to spring up again.'

"On a roll, Clinton launched a vibrant appeal to delegations to lend their support to the U.S. proposal on electronic commerce. The proposal aims to set up a working group in the next two years, as well as preserve the status quo on customs duties. Several minutes before the president's speech, only a dozen member states of the 132 in the WTO seemed resistant to this idea. While Clinton is determined to aggressively pursue open markets, he also has a social strategy. 'Free trade is the best way to improve the lifestyle of a maximum of people worldwide.' He also asked the WTO to integrate a social and environmental clause into its negotiations, and added: 'The WTO needs to be more transparent, to become a forum. Currently, all the working sessions take place behind closed doors. That is why I propose that in these sessions we integrate groups of consumers, environmental protectionists, unions, and businesses. We must do more to improve the environment and the working conditions of employees.'

"Just to prove that his words were more than good intentions, the White House resident expressed his strong desire for high-level talks to get underway between the WTO and the International Labor Organization two entities that until now have tended to act independently. On the same train of thought, Clinton offered his support for the European Union, which is seeking to set up a meeting of its business and environmental ministers. The president also used the occasion to reiterate his aim to host the third WTO

ministerial in 1999an invitation
which, according to diplomatic sources
consulted before the speech, the deleg
ations have already accepted."

X' hp x (#

TURKEY: "A Correct Step Backward"

X' hp x (#

Sami Kohen outlined this view in massappeal Milliyet (5/20): "The U.S.
admini
stration has decided to ignore the D'Amato and HelmsBurton Acts which
lays sanc
tions on countries and companies that do business with Iran, Libya
and Cuba. T
he issue was discussed at the U.S.EU summit, and the Clinton
administration saw
that such a 'sanctions policy' imposed on friendly
countries would prove to ha
ve quite the opposite effect than that which was
desired. Sanctions do not hav
e a crushing effect on Iran's economy,
remaining less than effective in isolati
ng it politically. Furthermore, the
United States is undertaking persistent co
nscious efforts to improve
relations with Iran after Khatami came to power, wit
h positive responses from
Tehran. Washington had reacted strongly to the Turki
shIranian natural gas
agreement under the coalition headed by the Islamist Welf
are Party two years
ago, warning Ankara that Turkey might be indirectly conside
red within the
D'Amato act. Turkey responded by saying that the natural gas wo
uld come from
Turkmenistan, and that we would construct only part of the pipeli
ne laying
within our borders. Turkey might take comfort after the easing of Am
erican
sanctions, freely improving its economic ties with Iran."

LATIN AMERICA

X' hp x (# BRAZIL: "Profound Differences Between U.S. And Brazil"

Liberal Folha de Sao Paulo's correspondent reporting on the WTO ministerial
me
eting (5/20) said that "Brazil and the United States collided headon
during the
last 24 hours due to interests and priorities related to
international trade.
If President Fernando Henrique Cardoso's speech,
delivered yesterday on the 50
th anniversary of the international trade system
had not been prepared in Brazi

l, it would seem a direct and frontal response to the speech delivered by his American colleague Bill Clinton. It is not even necessary to interpret each sentence to note the profound differences. Brazil puts its interests on the negotiating table and has no reason to follow other countries' interests, affirmed President Fernando Henrique to Brazilian journalists covering the event. At least in relation to the United States, the interests of each party is in opposite directions."

"Fernando Henrique Rejects Clinton's Proposal At WTO Meeting"

Centerright O Estado de Sao Paulo's correspondent reported (5/20) that "Fernando Henrique Cardoso and Bill Clinton made clear that Brazil and the United States have very different plans for the next millennium. In a harsh speech lasting an hour, Fernando Henrique yesterday rejected the proposals made on the previous day by Clinton many of them considered protectionist. Without the support of Congress to negotiate trade agreements that may cause unemployment in less competitive sectors of the American economy Clinton asked for changes in the WTO's rules. Fernando Henrique's reaction was different: He demonstrated concern about the U.S. attempt to directly link trade to environmental protection and to the defense of workers rights."

"Message Sent To The Wrong Address"

Centerright O Estado de Sao Paulo's lead editorial (5/20) commented on President Clinton's reaction to the rioting demonstrators in Geneva "by proposing that the WTO provide businessmen, workers, environmentalists and consumers a forum where they can express their opinions on the world trade liberalization process. President Clinton has sent his message to the wrong address. The WTO that is just a place where decisions made by national governments are reviewed is not the right place for one to try to give a

human face to globalization. The right place is inside each country and at the core of each government, especially that of the United States, which is the champion of the free market ideology and motor of the process currently leading to the transformation of economic structures, at a pace so rapid that a significant portion of the human society cannot catch up. The consequences of such dissonance...are summed up in the paradox of unprecedented economic growth followed by the worsening of social conditions in significant segments of the population; among which are those who are responsible for this burst of growth and have become astonished by unemployment or the reduction of family income."

"Questions At WTO On Globalization And Its Directions"

X' hp x (#

Centerright O Estado de Sao Paulo (5/19) held: "The G8 summit ended in Birmingham

in a climate of the customary meeting of schoolmates.... Many questions

are left for the 132 countries represented at the WTO to answer.

All of them

involving, as a last resource, globalization and its directions.

The first question

is if globalization would not be transforming itself into an ideology, by

showing only its positive aspects in a very simple manner.

The promises of a

generalized and equally distributed wellbeing, resulting from scientific and technological

developments were not carried out. And the

first evidence of this

failure is unemployment. The Birmingham leaders'

agenda was affected before they

arrived at the WTO meeting by the protestors

in Geneva, who could see with

their very eyes, the growing distance between

the two worlds, both domestic and

international."

PERU: "Clinton At WTO"

Progovernment Expreso commented (5/19): "The president of the United States began

his speech to the World Trade Organization in Geneva yesterday by saying that

the GATT, which celebrates its 50th anniversary, was 'the dream of a generation'

tion that took note of the bitter lessons of protectionism, depression and war.

' With this diagnosis, which accurately summarizes the 20th century tragedy, Clinton

went on to say that globalization's success will depend on the future of

information transparency and the growing access of societies to economic decis

ions.... Afterwards, he proposed that business,

unions and consumers reach agre

ement on labor conditions, energy and

environment. But these agreements, which

might impose conditions on poor

countries that they cannot meet, would be a ty

pe of protectionism for

developed economies to protect themselves from the emer

gence of new nations.

He also advised that in order for countries to accept 't

he risk of free

trade,' globalization should benefit workers and that commerce

should

increase equality of life, not decrease it. Global liberalization is th

e way

to distribute wealth to lower classes. There will be no way to reduce

po

verty without efficient global capital distribution. That is why the WTO

direc

tor proposed the free trade of exported goods by less developed

countries, whic

h have quotas and tariffs in the United States and Europe, in

order to increase

their participation in global trade. Clinton outlines an

aggressive strategy

to open markets in all regions of the world. He also

called for studying the p

ossibility of reducing tariff barriers without

expecting clarification of every

detail in all sectors before resolving

matters in any one sector. Developed co

untries should take the initiative in

order to gain moral authority.... But Eur

ope does not want to negotiate any

one sector before negotiating a general acco

rd. So, it is more clear now

where the first obstacle for the liberalization o

f the global economy lies."

X` hp x (###

#Xx\ P6QyXP#

ARMS Email System

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: James A. Dorskind ("James A. Dorskind" <JDorskind@doc.gov> [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 9-JUN-1998 22:02:46.00

SUBJECT: DoC Hot Issues for Los Angeles, etc.

TO: johnj (johnj%ExSec%OSEC@doc.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Lisa J. Levin (CN=Lisa J. Levin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: edl (edl%ExSec%OSEC@doc.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jim Doyle (Jim Doyle <JDoyle@doc.gov> [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Stephen Nolet (Stephen Nolet <SNolet@doc.gov> [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

June 9, 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR THURGOOD MARSHALL, JR.

FROM: Jim Dorskind
Executive Secretary
Department of Commerce

SUBJECT: DoC Hot Issues for Los Angeles, San Diego,
Monterey,
and San Jose, CA; Seattle, WA; and
Portland, OR

Los Angeles, CA

NTIA Sponsors Internet Summit: On June 11-12, 1998, in Los Angeles, the Department of Commerce's National Telecommunications and Information Administration will co-sponsor a conference entitled "Digital Media Content for Children and Teens," which will bring together representatives from the Administration and non-profit and private sectors to identify concrete action that will enrich on-line learning. The conference is co-sponsored by EC2 at the University of Southern California. Vice President Gore will address the conference live via satellite from Monterey.

The conference is the third in a series of Internet Summits that have raised public awareness about the various and complex issues relating to children, new media, and the Internet. These issues include equitable access, privacy and safety, quality content, and marketing and advertising. The results of the conference will be incorporated into an upcoming report from Commerce Secretary William Daley to President Clinton on electronic commerce and other on-line issues.

Los Angeles to Receive AWIPS: An Advanced Weather Interactive Processing System (AWIPS) will be installed in Los Angeles by March 1999.

San Diego, CA, Area

San Diego to Receive AWIPS: An Advanced Weather Interactive Processing System (AWIPS) will be installed in San Diego by March 1999.

Asian Financial Crisis: The economy of the cross-border (San Diego-Tijuana) region is linked to Asia through the flow of goods, services, and capital. There has been a trend of increasing investment from Taiwan and interest from other Southeast Asian countries in San Diego and northern Baja California. A major issue for the San Diego area is whether there will be abundant opportunities for U.S. suppliers once the NAFTA Rule of Origin becomes fully effective on January 1, 2001, and to what degree the Asian financial crisis will affect the purchasing activities of the Asian manufacturers along the border.

Southern California

Pacific Lumber: The National Marine Fisheries Service/Southwest Region reached an agreement with the Pacific Lumber Company on principles for protecting coho salmon. The environmental community has expressed concerns that these measures are not sufficiently protective and that standards similar to those applied to federally owned forest lands should be applied to private lands, which may affect the state's portion of the funds for the purchase of headwaters.

Essential Fish Habitat: There is a "panic" among the public over the designation of essential fish habitat and critical habitat as they relate primarily to salmon in northern California. Many members of the public remain concerned that their lands will be taken from them, even though the National Marine Fisheries Service/Southwest Region has explained in

numerous public meetings (and continues to explain) that the critical habitat designation is only a mechanism to improve coordination of federal efforts to conserve salmon, and it does not apply prohibitions on use of private land. With respect to essential fish habitat, we continue to emphasize that this is not a regulatory measure.

California Sea Lions: There has been a significant increase in the number of California sea lions "hauling out" onto docks and local marinas in central and southern California. These animals are interacting with the general public and causing damage to privately owned vessels. Recently, one of these animals bit a nine-year old girl trying to feed them. They are also stealing bait from various bait receivers that occupy the marinas.

In addition, there has also been an increase in the number of California sea lion pups born on the mainland. Concerned private citizens often pick up the pups and deliver them to rehab centers, unknowingly separating the pups from their mothers. Once these young animals are brought in, they become bonded to their human caretakers and usually cannot be released into the wild. (See also the additional sea lion item under the "California Coast" heading, below.)

California at High Risk for Summer Fires: The heavy rains from El Niño resulted in growth of more vegetation, especially in southern California. We expect that the area will experience a normal dry summer season, which will result in the drying of this new growth providing extensive potential fuel for wildfires. Accordingly, there is heightened concern for a serious wildfire season from mid-summer into fall.

California Coast

Sea Lion Mortalities: Approximately 72 sea lions have been brought into The Marine Mammal Center in Sausalito, California, over the past two weeks. These animals were suffering from grand mal seizures, disorientation, diarrhea, and vomiting. Of those, about 50% have died. The exact number of dead animals that have washed ashore remains unknown. The strandings began in mid May 1998 in San Luis Obispo, but seizing sea lions have since been found on several beaches in Santa Cruz and Monterey counties.

Unlike previous strandings this year of young, undernourished sea lions, these California sea lions appear in otherwise good condition and are predominantly adult females, although lesions were noted in brain tissues and are symptomatic of exposure to the marine biotoxin domoic acid produced by a harmful alga now at bloom levels in the region. State agencies, in partnership with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's National Marine Fisheries Service and National Ocean Service, have mounted an investigation into the cause of the deaths. Marine biologists have collected serum, urine, and tissue samples for analysis for diseases, anthropogenic contaminants, plankton, and biotoxins.

San Jose, California Area

Asian Financial Crisis: San Jose technology exporters are concerned about flagging Asian economies. Many firms have shown significant drop-off in

their export revenues to the region. Japan has been the largest export market for metro San Jose firms in recent years, and there is obvious concern that the Asian crisis will spread to Japan. The Commerce Department is planning a July 23 "Asia Crisis" seminar to provide some information about government assistance for those exporting to the region and opportunities for exports.

India/Pakistan: San Jose firms are concerned about the effect that India and Pakistan's recent nuclear tests will have on business between U.S. firms and those in India and Pakistan. There is general relief that the US Government's response so far has been measured and that most U.S. exports to private entities there will continue. San Jose firms want to know as soon as possible what the final US response to the situation will be. There is a severe shortage of engineering and technical staff in Silicon Valley, and San Jose area hi-tech exporters would also oppose any move that would lead to the deportation of their Indian and Pakistani engineers. In part, to provide area exporters with more information on this topic, the San Jose Export Assistance Center is hosting a specialist from the Commercial Service in India for a visit to Silicon Valley later this month.

China: San Jose area exporters continue to be concerned about linking U.S. human rights policies with U.S. business in China. In the minds of many San Jose area exporters, the annual review of China's MFN status serves to hurt long-term U.S.-China business interests and relationships. Because of the obvious potential of the Chinese market, and skepticism as to the effectiveness of linking U.S. policies and U.S. business interests, most companies prefer that U.S. business not be used as a bargaining tool with

China.

Visa Issues: With an overall unemployment rate of only 2.5%, many Silicon Valley firms report finding it increasingly hard to find enough qualified engineers and technical staff, and they are generally in favor of raising the limit on the number of foreign technical experts who can work in the United States. In addition, area exporters want to be able to contact the consular sections at U.S. Embassies overseas to expedite visas for their overseas buyers and partners who need to come here for technical training or to review/test equipment they wish to purchase from American exporters.

Encryption: Silicon Valley software makers continue to be troubled by U.S. encryption control policy. Given the ability of their foreign competitors to export encryption technology similar to theirs, they are generally in favor of lowering or eliminating export controls on such technology. San Jose firms are also reluctant to embrace U.S. policies such as "key management," especially given current doubts as to whether that policy will continue past the end of calendar year 1998.

Electronic Commerce: San Jose firms are using electronic commerce to increase their exposure and their bottom-line profits both domestically and overseas. They are concerned, however, about taxation of internet commerce and foreign government treatment of e-commerce. The San Jose Export Assistance Center and the San Francisco Export Assistance Center are both planning to hold "how-to" seminars to educate small companies on how they can increase their exports through e-commerce. In June and August seminars,

we will bring in industry and government experts to offer advice and guidance to area firms wishing to set up their own e-commerce web sites.

Fast Track: As the nation's number one export region, metropolitan San Jose is home to companies that do not shy away from global competition. San Jose firms largely recognize the benefits of agreements such as NAFTA and the GATT, and they are supportive of the Administration's efforts to lower foreign tariffs and promote free trade. Area exporters support granting the President fast-track authority and are concerned that without it, we will be left out, particularly as Chile negotiates free trade with Canada and Mercosur, etc.

Monterey, CA Area

National Oceans Conference, June 11-12: Organized by the Monterey Bay Ocean Consortium (MBOC), this conference will commence in Monterey with the participation of President Clinton, Vice President Gore, and Secretary of Commerce William M. Daley.

MBOC, which consists of some two dozen public and private research institutions, including some federal research facilities located in Monterey Bay, has written a letter to President Clinton urging that the Administration strongly consider three national initiatives and one regional pilot initiative, all of which MBOC recommends be announced at the conference. Specifically, MBOC has requested an inventory of America's ocean fisheries, wildlife, and habitats; that the Administration ensure

that regional fishery management councils and the National Marine Fisheries Service fully comply with the requirements of the Sustainable Fisheries Act;

the creation of an ocean conservation investment fund; and the creation of a national pilot project on regional ocean sustainability.

Santa Cruz Groundbreaking: A groundbreaking ceremony will be held on June 10 in Santa Cruz for a new state-of-the art National Fisheries Service research facility that is expected to provide top quality scientific expertise, not only for the Monterey Bay area and the West Coast, but also for the Nation. Congressman Sam Farr, Commerce Under Secretary for Oceans and Atmosphere Dr. James Baker, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Assistant Administrator for Fisheries Rollie Schmitten, and University of Southern California at Santa Cruz Chancellor Greenwood will officiate.

Highway One Reopening: On Wednesday, June 10, 1998, a ribbon-cutting ceremony to celebrate the reopening of Highway 1 is scheduled to take place at Pfeiffer Big Sur State Park, the site of the original opening of Highway 1, nearly four months after construction crews began the effort of putting back together the storm-strewn pieces of the broken highway. Monterey County, alone, is estimated to have suffered tourism industry losses of at least \$40 million as a result of the Highway 1 shutdown.

San Francisco, CA

San Francisco to Receive AWIPS: An Advanced Weather Interactive Processing

System (AWIPS) will be installed in San Francisco by October 1998.

Seattle, WA, Area

Seattle to Receive AWIPS: An Advanced Weather Interactive Processing System (AWIPS) will be installed in Seattle by August 1998.

NOAA and EPA Protecting Fish Habitat: The Duwamish Waterway in Seattle has high levels of PCBs contaminating the river and sediments. The site is a priority for the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) because the Duwamish corridor is the primary fresh water inflow to Elliott Bay and is utilized by juvenile salmonids migrating from the Green River watershed. The Duwamish estuary is also an important habitat for more than 50 fish species, including chum, chinook, and coho salmon and steelhead trout, and the river supports recreational, subsistence, and commercial fishing. In March, NOAA specifically requested that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) perform a preliminary assessment as described in the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) to determine the nature and extent of contamination within the Duwamish River and to take a comprehensive approach to the remedial actions necessary to protect this important marine habitat. EPA responded immediately and positively to NOAA's petition and is planning to conduct a comprehensive sediment investigation within the lower Duwamish River later this summer. NOAA will aid in this investigation by providing vessel and personnel support.

Initiative to Ban Affirmative Action: On May 27, 1998, the Boeing Company,

of Seattle, pledged \$50,000 to defeat Initiative 200 on the November 3, 1998, ballot. The measure seeks to roll back state and local government affirmative action. The initiative would effectively ban affirmative action for minorities and women in state and local public employment, public education, state college admissions, and public contracting. In 1997, Boeing granted \$959 million in contracts to minority businesses and \$605 million worth of contracts to businesses owned by women. On May 16, 1998, the NAACP announced that it had pledged \$50,000 to help mobilize opposition to the initiative.

Portland, Oregon Area

NOAA and EPA Protecting the Willamette River and Oregon Coast: A 5.5 mile section of sediments within the lower Willamette River is being investigated jointly by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the State of Oregon. This section of the river is referred to as the Portland Harbor and borders the city of Portland. It is heavily industrialized and also the site of historic contamination. The lower Willamette River and Falls provide a significant migratory corridor, nursery habitat, and adult forage area for two runs of chinook, two runs of steelhead, and individual runs of coho and sockeye salmon. Steelhead within the study area are currently listed as threatened under the Endangered Species Act of 1973. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is currently reviewing the EPA study data and providing risk to resources information to both EPA and the State of Oregon in an effort to assess the nature and extent of contamination and provide remedial recommendations.

Contamination in Coos Bay: Four shipyards within the Coos Bay estuary are

being investigated by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the State of Oregon to determine the nature and extent of nearshore sediment contamination. The Coos Bay estuary is an important commercial shellfish area, as well as anadromous fish corridor.

Jim Dorskind

Director, Executive Secretariat

Office of the Secretary, U.S. Department of Commerce

Ph: 202-482-3934 Fax: 202-482-4576 jdorskind@doc.gov

ARMS Email System

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (TRP NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: SUNTUM_M (SUNTUM_M@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:18-JUL-1998 14:42:34.00

SUBJECT: 1998-7-18 briefing by Glickman and Atwood

TO: "/R=3\$=/C=US/ADMD=WESTERN UNION/O=ATT.COM/DD.ELN=62955104/"@mrx.eop.gov ("/R=3\$=/C=US/ADMD=WESTERN UNION/O=ATT.COM/DD.ELN=62955104/"@mrx.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: "/R=OPUS/R=MRP/PR-L=AVUOEEOB/PR-U=TDIXON/FFN=Timothy Dixon/"@mr.eop.gov ("/R=OPUS/R=MRP/PR-L=AVUOEEOB/PR-U=TDIXON/FFN=Timothy Dixon/"@mr.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alejandro G. Cabrera ("Alejandro G. Cabrera"@lmgate4.eop.gov [@LNGATE])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrei H. Cherny ("Andrei H. Cherny"@lmgate4.eop.gov [@LNGATE])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Angelina Walker ("Angelina Walker"@lmgate4.eop.gov [@LNGATE])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian A. Reich ("Brian A. Reich"@lmgate4.eop.gov [@LNGATE])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher S. Lehane ("Christopher S. Lehane"@lmgate4.eop.gov [@LNGATE])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eli G. Attie ("Eli G. Attie"@lmgate4.eop.gov [@LNGATE])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jim Kohlenberger ("Jim Kohlenberger"@lmgate4.eop.gov [@LNGATE])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jodi R. Sakol ("Jodi R. Sakol"@lmgate4.eop.gov [@LNGATE])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph W. Cerrell ("Joseph W. Cerrell"@lmgate4.eop.gov [@LNGATE])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lawrence J. Haas ("Lawrence J. Haas"@lmgate4.eop.gov [@LNGATE])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nathan B. Naylor ("Nathan B. Naylor"@lmgate4.eop.gov [@LNGATE])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patricia M. Ewing ("Patricia M. Ewing"@lmgate4.eop.gov [@LNGATE])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: 62955104@eln.attmail.com (62955104@eln.attmail.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: backup (backup@wilson.ai.mit.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: BARTHOLOW_T (BARTHOLOW_T@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: BUDIG_N (BUDIG_N@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: cmbeach (cmbeach@msn.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Adam W. Goldberg (CN=Adam W. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alexander L. Boyle (CN=Alexander L. Boyle/OU=PIR/O=EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Allison J. King (CN=Allison J. King/OU=PIR/O=EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Amy W. Tobe (CN=Amy W. Tobe/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrew J. Mayock (CN=Andrew J. Mayock/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne E. McGuire (CN=Anne E. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne M. Edwards (CN=Anne M. Edwards/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Antony J. Blinken (CN=Antony J. Blinken/OU=NSC/O=EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Arkadi M. Gerney (CN=Arkadi M. Gerney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aviva Steinberg (CN=Aviva Steinberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara D. Woolley (CN=Barbara D. Woolley/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barbara Semedo (CN=Barbara Semedo/OU=PIR/O=EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beverly J. Barnes (CN=Beverly J. Barnes/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bradley M. Campbell (CN=Bradley M. Campbell/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brenda M. Anders (CN=Brenda M. Anders/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian A. Barreto (CN=Brian A. Barreto/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian D. Smith (CN=Brian D. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brooks E. Scoville (CN=Brooks E. Scoville/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carmen B. Fowler (CN=Carmen B. Fowler/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Carole A. Parmelee (CN=Carole A. Parmelee/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Catherine T. Kitchen (CN=Catherine T. Kitchen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cecilia E. Rouse (CN=Cecilia E. Rouse/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Chandler G. Spaulding (CN=Chandler G. Spaulding/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles F. Ruff (CN=Charles F. Ruff/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Cheryl D. Mills (CN=Cheryl D. Mills/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christine N. Macy (CN=Christine N. Macy/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Christopher J. Lavery (CN=Christopher J. Lavery/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Craig T. Smith (CN=Craig T. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dag Vega (CN=Dag Vega/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Daniel W. Burkhardt (CN=Daniel W. Burkhardt/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Darby E. Stott (CN=Darby E. Stott/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dario J. Gomez (CN=Dario J. Gomez/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David E. Kalbaugh (CN=David E. Kalbaugh/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David K. Chai (CN=David K. Chai/OU=PIR/O=EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David S. Beaubaire (CN=David S. Beaubaire/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David T. Johnson (CN=David T. Johnson/OU=NSC/O=EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dawn L. Smalls (CN=Dawn L. Smalls/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Debra S. Wood (CN=Debra S. Wood/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Delia A. Cohen (CN=Delia A. Cohen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diane A. Stumpf (CN=Diane A. Stumpf/OU=ONDCP/O=EOP [ONDCP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Diane Ikemiyashiro (CN=Diane Ikemiyashiro/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dominique L. Cano (CN=Dominique L. Cano/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Donald Goldberg (CN=Donald Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorian V. Weaver (CN=Dorian V. Weaver/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorinda A. Salcido (CN=Dorinda A. Salcido/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Doris O. Matsui (CN=Doris O. Matsui/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas B. Sosnik (CN=Douglas B. Sosnik/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas R. Matties (CN=Douglas R. Matties/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eleanor S. Parker (CN=Eleanor S. Parker/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisa Millsap (CN=Elisa Millsap/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elisabeth Steele (CN=Elisabeth Steele/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth A. Castellani (CN=Elizabeth A. Castellani/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman (CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elliot J. Diringer (CN=Elliot J. Diringer/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Emory L. Mayfield (CN=Emory L. Mayfield/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Estela Mendoza (CN=Estela Mendoza/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Evan Ryan (CN=Evan Ryan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: G. Timothy Saunders (CN=G. Timothy Saunders/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Glen M. Weiner (CN=Glen M. Weiner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Grace A. Garcia (CN=Grace A. Garcia/OU=PIR/O=EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jake Siewert (CN=Jake Siewert/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James M. Teague (CN=James M. Teague/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason H. Schechter (CN=Jason H. Schechter/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeannetta P. Allen (CN=Jeannetta P. Allen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey A. Shesol (CN=Jeffrey A. Shesol/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer Ferguson (CN=Jennifer Ferguson/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jessica L. Gibson (CN=Jessica L. Gibson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: John A. Gribben (CN=John A. Gribben/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jon P. Jennings (CN=Jon P. Jennings/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan A. Kaplan (CN=Jonathan A. Kaplan/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan E. Smith (CN=Jonathan E. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan H. Schnur (CN=Jonathan H. Schnur/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Murchinson (CN=Jonathan Murchinson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan Orszag (CN=Jonathan Orszag/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jordan Tamagni (CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph C. Fanaroff (CN=Joseph C. Fanaroff/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart (CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Judithanne V. Scourfield (CN=Judithanne V. Scourfield/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia M. Payne (CN=Julia M. Payne/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julianne B. Corbett (CN=Julianne B. Corbett/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie A. Fernandes (CN=Julie A. Fernandes/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: June Shih (CN=June Shih/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen C. Fahle (CN=Karen C. Fahle/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen L. Barbuschak (CN=Karen L. Barbuschak/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katharine Button (CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kevin S. Moran (CN=Kevin S. Moran/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kris M Balderston (CN=Kris M Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kyle M. Baker (CN=Kyle M. Baker/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lanny A. Breuer (CN=Lanny A. Breuer/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura D. Schwartz (CN=Laura D. Schwartz/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura K. Capps (CN=Laura K. Capps/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura S. Marcus (CN=Laura S. Marcus/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leanne I. Johnson (CN=Leanne I. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Linda Ricci (CN=Linda Ricci/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa J. Levin (CN=Lisa J. Levin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lori E. Abrams (CN=Lori E. Abrams/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lori L. Anderson (CN=Lori L. Anderson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lowell A. Weiss (CN=Lowell A. Weiss/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maria E. Soto (CN=Maria E. Soto/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark A. Kitchens (CN=Mark A. Kitchens/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark D. Neschis (CN=Mark D. Neschis/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark H. Bartholomew (CN=Mark H. Bartholomew/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark J. Bernstein (CN=Mark J. Bernstein/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marsha E. Berry (CN=Marsha E. Berry/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Martha Foley (CN=Martha Foley/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mary L. Smith (CN=Mary L. Smith/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matthew W. Pitcher (CN=Matthew W. Pitcher/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maureen A. Hudson (CN=Maureen A. Hudson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Maya Seiden (CN=Maya Seiden/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Megan C. Moloney (CN=Megan C. Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa M. Murray (CN=Melissa M. Murray/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael A. OMary (CN=Michael A. O'Mary/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael D. Malone (CN=Michael D. Malone/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael J. Sullivan (CN=Michael J. Sullivan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael V. Terrell (CN=Michael V. Terrell/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michele Jolin (CN=Michele Jolin/OU=CEA/O=EOP [CEA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michelle Crisci (CN=Michelle Crisci/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mindy E. Myers (CN=Mindy E. Myers/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nanda Chitre (CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Neera Tanden (CN=Neera Tanden/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nelson Reyneri (CN=Nelson Reyneri/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nicole R. Rabner (CN=Nicole R. Rabner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patrick Aylward (CN=Patrick Aylward/OU=PIR/O=EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patrick E. Briggs (CN=Patrick E. Briggs/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul E. Begala (CN=Paul E. Begala/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter A. Weissman (CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter D. Greenberger (CN=Peter D. Greenberger/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter O'Keefe (CN=Peter O'Keefe/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter Rundlet (CN=Peter Rundlet/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Phillip Caplan (CN=Phillip Caplan/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: R. Scott Michaud (CN=R. Scott Michaud/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rahm I. Emanuel (CN=Rahm I. Emanuel/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rajiv Y. Mody (CN=Rajiv Y. Mody/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Renee C. Riley (CN=Renee C. Riley/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Reuben L. Musgrave Jr. (CN=Reuben L. Musgrave Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard Socarides (CN=Richard Socarides/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert M. Shireman (CN=Robert M. Shireman/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robert S. Weiner (CN=Robert S. Weiner/OU=ONDCP/O=EOP [ONDCP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin J. Bachman (CN=Robin J. Bachman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin M. Roland (CN=Robin M. Roland/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruby Shamir (CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sally P. Paxton (CN=Sally P. Paxton/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sandra L. Tijerina (CN=Sandra L. Tijerina/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sanjay Gupta (CN=Sanjay Gupta/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah S. Knight (CN=Sarah S. Knight/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sean P. Maloney (CN=Sean P. Maloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Serena C. Torrey (CN=Serena C. Torrey/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Shanna P. Singh (CN=Shanna P. Singh/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stephanie J. Minato (CN=Stephanie J. Minato/OU=PIR/O=EOP [PIR])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven A. Cohen (CN=Steven A. Cohen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven J. Naplan (CN=Steven J. Naplan/OU=NSC/O=EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sylvia M. Mathews (CN=Sylvia M. Mathews/OU=OMB/O=EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tanya E. Martin (CN=Tanya E. Martin/OU=OPD/O=EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas D. Janenda (CN=Thomas D. Janenda/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thurgood Marshall Jr (CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Todd Stern (CN=Todd Stern/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Tracy F. Sisser (CN=Tracy F. Sisser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia Apuzzo (CN=Virginia Apuzzo/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Virginia N. Rustique (CN=Virginia N. Rustique/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Wayne C. Johnson (CN=Wayne C. Johnson/OU=OA/O=EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William H. White Jr. (CN=William H. White Jr./OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Woyneab M. Wondwossen (CN=Woyneab M. Wondwossen/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: CROWLEY_P (CROWLEY_P@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: CUTLER_L (CUTLER_L@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: DICKY_L (DICKY_L@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: GRAY_W (GRAY_W@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: INFOMGT (INFOMGT@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: julie_green (julie_green@ed.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: klasky_helaine (klasky_helaine@ustr.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: meglynn (meglynn@usia.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MOFFETT_J (MOFFETT_J@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: NAPLAN_S (NAPLAN_S@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: newsdesk (newsdesk@usnewswire.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: OLCOTT_E (OLCOTT_E@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: RUBIN_E (RUBIN_E@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: rubins (rubins@lisbowpoa.usl-state.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: SMITH_BD (SMITH_BD@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: SUNTUM_M (SUNTUM_M@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: TCSmith (TCSmith@dol.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: tingen-terri (tingen-terri@dol.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: tingen-terrie (tingen-terrie@dol.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: usia01 (usia01@access.digex.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: usnwire (usnwire@access.digex.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: wh-outbox-distr (wh-outbox-distr@clinton.ai.mit.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: WOZNIAK_N (WOZNIAK_N@a1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

THE WHITE HOUSE

Office of the Press Secretary

(Little Rock, Arkansas)

For Immediate Release

July 18, 1998

PRESS BRIEFING BY
SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE DAN GLICKMAN
AND ADMINISTRATOR OF AID BRIAN ATWOOD

Washington, D.C.

8:05 A.M. CDT

MS. WEISS TOBE: Good morning. The President in his radio address this morning will make several important announcements about problems facing problems in many parts of this county. Secretary of Agriculture Dan Glickman and Brian Atwood, Administrator for the Agency for International Development, are here to brief you on these announcements and answer any question you may have.

As you know, the radio address is embargoed until 10:06 a.m. and it will be piped into the briefing room.

SECRETARY GLICKMAN: Good morning, everybody. Following my few remarks I'm going to ask Brian Atwood, the Administrator of AID, to make some remarks. And we also have with us today Chris Goldthwait, who is the General Manager of the Commodity Credit Corporation, and Under Secretary of Agriculture Gus Schumacher, as well.

Let me just say that the President is actively engaged in the whole farm crisis issue and is taking executive action to strengthen the crisis afflicting certain parts of the farm economy. And he understands that while the general economy is good, and, in fact, it's the strongest in over 30 years, there are portions of the economy, particularly the farm economy, that are troubled right now. And in that context, when the President signed the 1996 Farm Bill he specifically stated that it did not provide an adequate safety net, particularly when times were bad and when prices began to decline. And this administration continues to advocate a comprehensive approach to helping farmers through this crisis. And this initiative that we're talking today is one part of that plan to try to help the farm situation.

The President's new program which he will be announcing today

is a bold initiative to respond directly to one of the primary weaknesses in the farm economy, which is large surpluses, price depressing surpluses, which largely are as the result of weaker exports and an international economy which is not as strong as we would like.

Starting next week, USDA will begin buying wheat that then will be used for foreign food donations, opening new export channels for American farmers. This will be done under the authority that I have under the CCC, or the Commodity Credit Corporation, Charter Act, and it will not require any additional appropriations nor congressional action.

We estimate, combined with USDA as well as AID, that about 2.5 million tons of wheat, a little more than 82.5 million bushels of wheat are worth approximately \$250 million will be used. However, USDA figures on the current wheat surplus, when measured as the stocks-to-use ratio for this year compared to the last 10 years -- that tells you how much wheat we actually have compared to what we will use -- suggests that substantially more than 2.5 million tons might eventually be used. But the first tranche, the first goal, is 2.5 million tons. If other

commodities begin to show similar surpluses, the program will be used for those surpluses as well.

The price effects on this action may vary, but our analysis of historic trends suggest moving about 2.5 million tons, or 82.5 million bushels, of wheat from the market would boost the price of wheat somewhere between 10 and 13 cents a bushel and would probably have some impact on the price of corn as well.

We intend to stage the purchases strategically to sustain and to maximize the price effect, and we intend to begin this process immediately, next week. The first five recipient countries -- and Brian Atwood will talk about that -- will include Ethiopia, Eritrea, Indonesia, North Korea, and Sudan -- countries that currently cannot make commercial purchases from the U.S. And I want to repeat, these purchases are being made for those countries that cannot purchase wheat commercially from the United States. As further assessments are included, other countries may also be included.

Today's announcement is a first step, but the President strongly believes that we must do more to deal with this farm safety net. As you know, the President has strongly endorsed the language offered by Senator Conrad in the Senate which would add approximately \$5 million for disaster related

emergency spending, building on crop insurance and other programs that we have. And I'm pleased to note that the Speaker yesterday indicated positive words for this particular announcement. We are also looking at other things in terms of crop insurance, in terms of our own programs to deal with a variety of problems.

I also point out that last year we recommended that deficiency payments, or actually market transition payments, that farmers would get be advanced, and we also recommended that on April 4 of this year that they be advanced. We're pleased that the Speaker has announced that he would move legislation to advance those payments. I would point out that is no new money, however. That is money that was going to be paid out anyway in December and January of this year, and what the Speaker has proposed, which we support, is that farmers will be given the option of moving that up about 45 days, until after the end of September, so into this next fiscal year anyway. And that may be helpful for some farmers at their option. But that is not a fundamental answer to the problem of getting price up and dealing with the disasters that farmers have to face today.

So we welcome those steps, the steps that we're talking about today. We'll begin to have much more profound effect on the farm economy and we'll also bridge the gap where the surpluses can go to help hungry people, needy people around the world as well.

So I would like to call on my colleague, Brian Atwood, the Administrator of AID, for his comments in this regard.

MR. ATWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.

Let me just say that for those of us in the humanitarian relief and emergency food business, this has been one of the worst years on record. We've had the El Nino drought; we've had a larger number of conflicts than usual; we've had the Asian financial crisis that has caused, in the case of Indonesia, the economy to virtually collapse. And as we reach the end of the fiscal year here, most of our Title II resources that we use for emergency food have been depleted.

So we have a confluence of factors here that I think are very favorable from our part of view in order to respond to the world's needy. We have here a food surplus that is driving food commodity prices down, and overseas, we have serious starvation and famine and a real need for the food that the American farmers can produce.

Let me just give you some examples. In his radio address, the President will refer to Indonesia and Sudan in particular. In Indonesia, we have a country of about 200 million people; by the end of this year we will see approximately 95

million people fall into extreme poverty. That means they'll be making less than a dollar a day, they will be unemployed, they will not be able to purchase food.

Indonesia has a requirement for food purchases outside its own country of 4 million metric tons, so they're not able to purchase that food, and so they have a very dire need for the kind of food that the Secretary has been discussing.

In Sudan, we have 1.2 million people that are currently at serious risk of dying. We have -- you've already seen television photographs of people dying in the Bahr al Ghazala area of Sudan right now. We have a serious problem of getting food to them. We, however, now, have coincidence of a cease-fire that has been called by both sides in the war in Sudan. So we have an opportunity now to get food into that region and the rest of Sudan. There are nine other countries, mostly in Africa, that have very serious shortages of food, and this food and, perhaps even more that might be forthcoming later, will be of real use to the people that are suffering from famine and the like.

So this is, again, a very good confluence of interest here. We can both help the American farmer and in the tradition of the United States, provide humanitarian relief to the needy and the developing world. Thank you.

SECRETARY GLICKMAN: Open up for any questions that anybody has.

Q Mr. Secretary, under what program, CCC program, will this all fall under?

MR. SECRETARY: Well, it operates under the CCC Charter Act, which was enacted in 1948, and it gives the CCC broad authority to engage in the buying and selling and transporting of farm commodities and products relating to farm commodities and related facilities. It's very broad authority. And we have very great flexible authority in order to operate under that act. And over the years it has been used to carry out a number of programs. In fact, the Export Enhancement Program and the Payment In Kind program were first authorized under the CCC Charter Act, and they were then separately authorized and appropriated as individual type programs. But we believe that the authorities are clearly there.

And what has happened to make this more realistic now is that in 1986 and 1987, the surpluses and the stocks-to-use ratios were in much different circumstances than they are right now. That is, in '86 and in '87, we were talking about very small stocks-to-use ratios and very low carry-overs. But we have had a combination of extensive production both at home and around the world -- dramatic enhancement of production. And then coupled with this continuing extraordinary hunger that continues

to exist.

And one of the things that people constantly ask me about, both in farm country and other areas, is why can't you get this grain, these surpluses, to those hungry people. This is largely the statute to do it, and we do have a confluence now of large surpluses and the wherewithal to get it to hungry people. And working cooperatively with AID and the other agencies of government, the President just says, marry these two things; get this done as quickly as possible. It will help farm programs, it will help farm prices to some extent; but it will also, perhaps, resolve some international social problems.

Q I notice the President does not mention seeking authority from Congress for fast track this year, and he talks about IMF and so on. But can you explain why he's going to submit it?

SECRETARY GLICKMAN: The President strongly supports fast track, but the most pressing problem right now, short-term, is IMF funding assistance, because that will make the difference in the very short-term in terms of commodity sales overseas.

We want fast track authority to enter into trade agreements. I worked very hard on this in this room to try to get the votes before. And we obviously continue to want that.

But from a priority perspective, from a more emergency perspective, the IMF assistance is number one on the list. And we just provided some assistance to Russia, as you know, out of the reserve fund. Not much money is left in that fund to deal with problems dealing with international and country-wide economic and currency problems.

So IMF is number one in terms of its significance to American farmers and American businesspeople in the very short-term. And I think the President recognizes that there are a certain number of things that Congress can probably end up doing this year before adjournment. And he has not backed away from his commitment from fast track, but he is, I think, more concerned about the emergency nature of getting IMF assistance finished right away.

Q How will you determine which farmers you'll buy the wheat from?

SECRETARY GLICKMAN: Chris Goldthwait is our CCC General Manager, he'll probably tell you a little more about the logistics.

MR. GOLDTHWAIT: Many, many of our food aid programs rely on open public tenders that are held by the Farm Service Agency out of their Kansas City office, so --

SECRETARY GLICKMAN: That's part of USDA's operation.

MR. GOLDTHWAIT: So they will simply issue a tender, and they will specify the qualities of wheat that they are seeking. And those that own the wheat will bid to fill the tender.

Q Is it your expectation what type of wheat that's going to be? Do you have any idea?

MR. GOLDTHWAIT: I suspect that it will be a variety of classes of wheat, depending on the needs of the needy countries that are targeted. There will be some soft wheat, undoubtedly, involved, but there could also be hard wheats.

Q Will it be big farmers or small farms where it will come from?

MR. GOLDTHWAIT: The wheat will not be purchased directly from farmers, but be purchased from the grain elevators and the companies that are the conduits to the farmers.

Q Do you have a date set yet for the first tender?

MR. GOLDTHWAIT: We don't have a date for the first

tender, but we think the tendering could begin within a week to 10 days' time.

Q And then how long would it take? How long to move out this 2.5 million tons?

MR. GOLDTHWAIT: The initial shipments could come as soon as 60 days from now. They will continue for some time, but might be completed in three to four months.

SECRETARY GLICKMAN: We want to move this as quickly as possible.

Q Mr. Atwood said that there was a need in the country of Indonesia alone for 4 million tons of grain per year, but you've said you've just announced a program that's going to release 2.5 million tons to five countries. Couldn't you make the argument that this is just a minuscule effort to help the farmers and a minuscule effort to help needy countries?

SECRETARY GLICKMAN: Well, I'll let Mr. Atwood talk more about Indonesia, but first start by talking about that 2.5 million tons is a lot of grain logistically to move in humanitarian circles around the world. You have port facilities, You have shipping, You have countries that often don't have infrastructure to deal with it. As I said, I'd like to increase this amount if we can, but this is the first tranche.

The second thing is that we have said that we're not going to interfere with normal, viable, commercial sales. So some of these countries may be in a position to purchase part of their needs commercially. And we have several programs in the U.S. using normal credit type of operations to do that. Remember, this is just humanitarian assistance. But again, if we find the need continues to be as great as we think it is, and we have the surpluses available of either wheat or other commodities, we will use them.

MR. ATWOOD: Exactly the point, that in a normal year, when Indonesia's economy is functioning appropriately, with -- they've been experiencing a lot of growth in recent years, they purchase about 4 million metric tons outside. They're going to have a serious shortfall. They won't be able to purchase that.

And as I mentioned before, 95 million people living in extreme poverty will not be able to buy that commercial wheat or farm products of various types -- rice is another major commodity there. So we're talking about their inability to purchase that amount of food. And we've got to figure out what that shortfall is and help them, along with other countries, obviously.

Q Given the fact that the North Korea food

shortage is still very acute, is it likely that North Korea will get a sizable donation from this program?

MR. ATWOOD: We really have not made a determination on that yet. As I mentioned before, there are nine countries that are on the list for consideration to receive wheat from this 2.5 million metric tons. And we're looking very seriously at North Korea and a number of countries.

Q Are there more than those countries --

MR. ATWOOD: Yes, they are.

Q Just as a follow up to that, can you explain a little bit about the criteria in which you used to select those countries and whether or not there was any concern about North Korea's nuclear proliferation policy -- if any of the politics of that particular country came into play or if that did not?

MR. ATWOOD: It did not. We looked very, very seriously at the humanitarian crisis in these countries. Obviously, we have a lot of interest in all of these countries, and North Korea is not a country with which we have very close international relations.

But, nonetheless, we looked at the humanitarian

need. We look at the degree of starvation in these countries.

In the case of North Korea, we've responded mainly because their agricultural system has virtually collapsed. They are, however, on the verge of a new harvest, and so we have to really analyze what the need will be. So we haven't made a determination yet with respect to North Korea.

Q Mr. Secretary, you've been around the country more than some of us in Washington and you're going next week to Carolina to look at the situation. How bad is it out there? We heard about the different areas, but how bad is it really?

SECRETARY GLICKMAN: Some parts are very bad. Obviously, the Dakotas gets the most attention because of the extreme nature of the variety of crop disaster problems, from cold to wet, to test to drought. And that's also in western Minnesota. But we're seeing some of the most extraordinary hot-dry conditions in a generation in west Texas and in the Southwest. And then I'm going d own to the Southeast to take a look at the conditions in South Carolina. But I've talked with Commissioner Irvine of Georgia, and I was in Florida myself outside of Daytona Beach.

On the other hand, there are parts of this country that the weather is exceptionally good. My own state of Kansas, by and large, has had very good weather, had some of the highest wheat yields in history. So far, except for perhaps too much

rain, the Central Midwest has been pretty good. But as often happens, disaster is rather ad hoc, and it has hit people in rather unusual and extreme ways. And as opposed to previous years -- this is important to recognize -- up until 1994, Congress would generally respond to crop disasters annually through what was called Ad Hoc Disaster Assistance. A lot of people began to rely on those ad hoc payments as a disaster would come up.

In the mid-'90s, we replaced that program -- '94 -- with a crop insurance, an all-risk crop insurance program that, quite frankly, is not working as well as I would like to see it work. Like a lot of insurance, it tends to work well if you never have a claim. But if you have a claim or repeated claims, it tends to work to your prejudice, particularly if you don't have production history.

We're working on this ourselves, internally, but one of the other things the President instructed me -- if you read his letter to Senator Daschle -- he instructed me to augment our ways to improve the operation of the crop insurance program to make sure it worked satisfactorily in an all-risk type capacity.

And what we have particularly seen in the areas like the Dakotas and the Texas High Plains is repeated disasters, and where repeated disasters occur, that tends to reduce payment rates under the current crop insurance program.

Q In Texas, as you know, Governor Bush yesterday, I think, announced he wants to declare the whole state a disaster area in order to partake in various agriculture programs. Will this speed your processes along, or how will that affect --

SECRETARY GLICKMAN: Well, we get these disaster requests county by county. Texas has an awful lot of counties.

And it may help if it's done on a larger area. I can't -- this money that we're talking about that's in a Senate bill, obviously, will help. As you understand, most disaster declarations do not trigger anything except low interest loans and related things. They don't trigger in the old ad hoc disaster assistance. They do trigger in both some USDA and SBA authorities.

But maybe doing it statewide might accelerate the process. I have instructed our folks to move these disaster applications as quickly as possible. I mean, I don't want any delays to take place. But a lot of folks are finding it rather depressing to note that other than crop insurance, there really is no disaster program out there that is statutorily authorized anymore, and that crop insurance under law must be run in an actuarially sound way. So we basically have to run the crop insurance program as if we were running, in a sense, a commercial insurance program -- with some exceptions.

And I think that's where a lot of farmers are finding it unsuitable, certainly compared to what we had in decades passed. And that is one of the things the President has asked me to look at, is what changes can we make in the crop insurance program to make it more suitable.

Q Secretary Glickman, do you expect to go beyond grains into beef or --

SECRETARY GLICKMAN: Well, we will see what the country's needs are. There's been some discussion that wheat flour may be used in some areas. We have the authority to purchase what, Chris --

MR. GOLDTHWAIT: Any commodity in surplus, but we would focus on the tradition food aid commodities.

SECRETARY GLICKMAN: Any commodities in surplus -- as he said, we focus on traditional food aid commodities because they are obviously there, easier logistically to move, to transport, the infrastructure is there. I do know that flour has been one of the items that we have heard that some folks may find that they need.

Q Also, you were around during the last farm crisis in the '80s. How do you compare what's happening today with what was going on then?

SECRETARY GLICKMAN: Well, I've been around about three of them, actually. I was there in the '70s, and I would say that we've had -- one of the things we've had is we've had two fairly good years in agriculture in '96 and '97, So we have not had the dramatic reduction on land values. And by and large, the credit situation today is better than it was back in the 1980s. But saying that, you've had a precipitous fall in prices, a very rapid fall in grain prices, and you do not have a loan program, the commodity loan program acting as any kind of a floor on price today as it once did.

So I think it creates a potential vulnerability out there which could be as great as the previous crises. As of to date, it is particularly bad if you live in areas that have been hit by a disaster, however. That is why it is so imperative that Congress pass the disaster assistance -- the indemnity assistance that Senator Conrad and others have pushed in the Senate bill. That is something that must be done to save thousands of family farmers in the very short-term.

And I think the action the President is taking today will provide some longer-term bullishness and stability to the market price. But we have a lot more to do, to sit down and, hopefully, in a bipartisan effort -- work together with Congress ,
to find creative, positive ways to strengthen the basic farm

economy that's out there, both from a trade and export situation as well as from a domestic price situation.

And as I've said many times before, as the President said when he signed the 1996 Farm Bill, he said there are some very good things in this Farm Bill -- the planting flexibility provisions, the rural development provisions. But it did not provide much of a safety net when prices went way down. And ironically, a lot of money was paid out at a time when prices were high.

And so I think the President's words are coming back to be quite prescient, and we're just going to do what we can to work together with the Congress to see if there are some structural things we can do with this farm bill to ease the situation over the long-term. But these steps we're talking about will do quite a bit of good over the short-term.

Q The NAACP recently met with Mr. Gore and said that black farmers were suffering disproportionately more from the crisis. Does this initiative in any way address that?

SECRETARY GLICKMAN: Not directly. But what it will do is, of course, a lot of minority farmers have been hit by disasters in the same way that non-minority farmers have been hit. I was down there, I addressed the NAACP, myself, during

that meeting. And, of course, we've seen a larger, percentage-wise, loss of minority farmers than non-minority farmers. But I would say that all farmers, all family-sized farmers had great difficulty over the last 20 or 30 years, particularly in coping with volatile prices and crop disasters. And that's one of the reasons, particularly for smaller and more vulnerable farmers, that we have a crop insurance and a risk management system that's suitable for them.

Q Just to follow up, I think both the House Ag appropriations bill and the Senate appropriations bill increase the window of time of the statute of limitations so that people who feel that they have been discriminated against or wrote letters, what have you, can pursue a case in your civil rights office.

SECRETARY GLICKMAN: Correct.

Q And when you spoke, though, at the meeting in Atlanta, were you not saying that that gives everyone a chance, who feels that they have a complaint, but they need to have some proof before they can receive any sort of settlement?

MR. GLICKMAN: During the 1980s, the Reagan administration disbanded its Civil Rights Enforcement Office. And for many years, claims, complaints were lost in the bowels of the Department of Agriculture and elsewhere. And the Justice

Department has determined that there is a two-year statute of limitations that applies under the statute, which allows the government to provide affirmative cash recovery for people who've been discriminated against. And that is not waivable.

So the administration has strongly supported an effort to give people an additional two years to file their cases -- that's basically waiving the statute of limitations. It is in both the Senate and the House appropriations bill. And because of that, my believe is it will be in law. However, that is not an automatic determination of liability. People who file will still have to document their cases. And there will be a process by which they will either be accepted or rejected, as we have done previously.

Thank you.

END

8:45 A.M. CDT