

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	To: David Beaubaire, from Lisa J. Levin, re: RE: FW: very very amusing--must read [partial] (2 pages)	07/20/1999	b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
WHO ((JFK, Jr))
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[07/20/1999 - 07/21/1999]

2017-0713-F

bg114

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lisa J. Levin (CN=Lisa J. Levin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JUL-1999 16:36:06.00

SUBJECT: RE: FW: very very amusing--must read

TO: "Beaubaire, David" <David.Beaubaire@warnerbros.com> ("Beaubaire, David" <David.Beaubaire@warnerbros.com> [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

interesting but sad more than anything. cant even imagine.

this is a good article also from today's post.

. Who Deserves No Blame

By Richard Cohen

Tuesday, July 20, 1999; Page A19

Now, before we bury the victim, we must blame him.

This is what is happening with John F. Kennedy Jr. We are being told by those who do not know and those who cannot know and those who cannot know yet, that Kennedy was reckless, irresponsible -- an inveterate risk-taker who flew out into the moonless night, inexperienced and not yet ready to fly over the water. His death -- and those of his wife and her sister -- are his own fault.

This is the latest Kennedy conspiracy theory at work. This is our latest attempt to make sense out of the senseless. We could not comprehend how a loser like Lee Harvey Oswald could take our golden president from us, and so we concocted explanations to account for the unaccountable. It was the Mafia. It was the Cubans. It was the CIA. In the end, if this thing can ever be said to end, it was one man with one rifle, uncannily accurate on that one day.

It was the same with Robert F. Kennedy. Here, too, some

sense had to be
made out of what happened. Sirhan Sirhan shot him, and
that is all there
was to it. But we had to do better. Once again, the Mob
was summoned
as explanation, the Cubans again -- the Soviets, the
Arabs. Please, help us
work this out.

So we talk now of a Kennedy Curse. There is no such
thing. There is,
though, a large family that we know all too well, a
family with 87 surviving
members. It is an active family, an achieving family,
and so its members
have taken some chances. But to die in war, as Joe
Kennedy did, was
hardly unique in the 1940s, and to crash-land in private
planes, as Ted
Kennedy did, is too often the fate of politicians
looking to shake one more
hand.

Every man who has a father has a problem. This is the
truth, and most men
know it. How do you measure up? Can you please him and
yourself at the
same time?

John F. Kennedy Jr. had a problem few of us can even
imagine. His father
was a myth -- that and a salacious whisper. You can look
at the sons of
really famous men and, often, you see wrecks. They can
never come out of
their father's shadow. They are pale and wan from being
unable to find
their own sun. Either that, or they rebel: This is me,
not him. Often, they
make fools of themselves.

Who knows how John Kennedy Jr. managed his problem? We
do know
that he seemed to be who he was -- no one else. We do
know that he
could have stayed a lawyer, ferried from one boring
meeting to another by
limo as he made oodles of money. He chose otherwise. He
chose to ride a
bike in Manhattan. He chose to use the subways. (God
knows what some
might have said had some nut attacked him on the subway.
He would have
been called reckless.) He chose to create a magazine. If

it failed, it would
do so very publicly. It would be his failure, not some
corporation's. He
named the magazine George, but it could be nothing other
than Kennedy.

On television the other day, Doris Kearns Goodwin quoted
Rose
Kennedy, the late matriarch, as saying that her dead
children -- John,
Bobby, Joe, Kathleen -- had lived the lives they had
chosen and, she was
sure, would do it all over again if they could. Who's to
know? John
Kennedy never saw his kids grow up. Neither did Bobby.
He died with
one, Rory, still in Ethel Kennedy's womb. A mother seeks
solace in such
thinking. It does not work for me, though. Some risks
really are
unacceptable. In this case, the Bessettes have lost two
children. You
cannot tell them that you win some and you lose some.
You cannot tell
them anything.

But neither can we tell ourselves we know how this
tragedy occurred. We
do not know if Kennedy was reckless or merely
inexperienced. We do not
know if he failed his wife and sister-in-law or the
plane failed them all. We
do not know if he tempted fate or if fate,
unaccountably, needed no
tempting at all.

Stop blaming John. It is enough that tragedy once again
punished the
Kennedys. It needs no help from the rest of us.

, Copyright 1999 The Washington Post Company

"Beaubaire, David" <David.Beaubaire@warnerbros.com>

07/20/99 03:48:14 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Lisa J. Levin/WHO/EOP

cc:

Subject: RE: FW: very very amusing--must read

yeah, that's him.

pretty sure he did know him, how well, can't answer. a friend of theirs is writing a book and it sent a note to lorenzo asking about about ethel and lorenzo and michael at Hyannis and all sorts of stuff, campaigning for Teddy and Joe. so my sense is that he was sort of like Michael's best friend growing up type of guy, (like billy pekin. roommates, housemates through prep school, college, post-college. he definitely was on the phone with the family this weekend. its just weird to ask, but intersting, thought you would agree.

-----Original Message-----

From: Lisa_J_Levin@who.eop.gov@~INTERNET

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 1999 12:43 PM

To: Beaubaire, David

Subject: RE: FW: very very amusing--must read

that's very sad. michael was the skiing accident last winter, right? and wasnt he also the one accused of sleeping with the babysitter? does it seem like lorenzo knew jfk jr. at all, or not really?

(Embedded

image moved "Beaubaire, David"

to file: <David.Beaubaire@warnerbros.com>

PIC19445.PCX) 07/20/99 03:36:27 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Lisa J. Levin/WHO/EOP

cc:

Subject: RE: FW: very very amusing--must read

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	To: David Beaubaire, from Lisa J. Levin, re: RE: FW: very very amusing--must read [partial] (2 pages)	07/20/1999	b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System [Email]
WHO ([JFK, Jr])
OA/Box Number: 500000

FOLDER TITLE:

[07/20/1999 - 07/21/1999]

2017-0713-F
bg114

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

learning more, but I guess not only were Michael Kennedy and Lorenzo friends/partner in rafting company they were high school friends, college roommates, and i don't know who was who's but I think Michael or Lorenzo was best man in each other's weddings. sad isn't that.

-----Original Message-----

From: Lisa_J_Levin@who.eop.gov@~INTERNET

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 1999 11:43 AM

To: Beaubaire, David

Subject: Re: FW: very very amusing--must read

(b)(6)

(Embedded

image moved "Beaubaire, David"

to file: <David.Beaubaire@warnerbros.com>

PIC19810.PCX) 07/20/99 02:37:46 PM

[001]

Record Type: Record

To: Lisa J. Levin/WHO/EOP

cc:

Subject: FW: very very amusing--must read

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

[001]

Check this out:

According to studies, your sexual identity is revealed by the first letter

of your first name... What do you think...???

A - You are not particularly romantic, but you are interested in action.

You

mean business. With you, what you see is what you get. You have no patience for

flirting and can't be bothered with someone who is trying to be coy, cute,

demure, and subtly enticing. You are an up-front person. When it comes to

sex,

it's action that counts, not obscure hints. Your mate's physical attractiveness

is important to you. You find the chase and challenge of the "hunt"

invigorating. You are passionate and sexual as well as being much more adventurous than you appear; however, you do not go around advertising these

qualities. Your physical needs are your primary concern.

B - You give off vibes of lazy sensuality. You enjoy being romanced, wined, and

dined. You are very happy to receive gifts as an expression of the affection of

your lover. You want to be pampered and know how to pamper your mate.

You

are

private in your expression of endearments, and particular when it comes to

lovemaking. You will hold off until everything meets with your approval.

You can

control your appetite and abstain from sex if need be. You require new sensations and experiences. You are willing to experiment.

C - You are a very social individual, and it is important to you to have a

relationship. You require closeness and togetherness. You must be able to

talk
to your sex partner before, during, and after. You want the object of
your
affection to be socially acceptable and good looking. You see your lover
as a
friend and companion. You are very sexual and sensual, needing someone
to
appreciate and almost worship you. When this cannot be achieved, you
have
the
ability to go for long periods without sexual activity. You are an
expert
at
controlling your desires and doing without.

D - Once you get it into your head that you want someone, you move full
steam
ahead in pursuit. You do not give up your quest easily. You are
nurturing
and
caring. If someone has a problem, this turns you on. You are highly
sexual,
passionate, loyal, and intense in your involvement's, sometimes
possessive
and
jealous. Sex to you is a pleasure to be enjoyed. You are stimulated by
the
eccentric and unusual, having a free and open attitude.

E - Your greatest need is to talk. If your date is not a good listener,
you have
trouble relating. A person must be intellectually stimulating or you are
not
interested sexually. You need a friend for a lover and a companion for a
bedmate. You hate disharmony and disruption, but you do enjoy a good
argument
once in a while it seems to stir things up. You flirt a lot, for the
challenge
is more important than the sexual act for you. But once you give your
heart
away, you are uncompromisingly loyal. When you don't have a good lover
to
fall
asleep with, you will fall asleep with a good book. (Sometimes, in fact,
you
prefer a good book.)

F - You are idealistic and romantic, putting your lover on a pedestal.
You
look
for the very best mate you can find. You are a flirt, yet once
committed,
you
are very loyal. You are sensuous, sexual, and privately passionate.
Publicly,
you can be showy, extravagant, and gallant. You are a born romantic.
Dramatic

love scenes are a favorite fantasy pastime. You can be a very generous lover.

G - You are fastidious, seeking perfection within yourself and your lover.

You respond to a lover who is your intellectual equal or superior, and one who can enhance your status. You are sensuous and know how to reach the peak of erotic stimulation, because you work at it meticulously. You can be extremely active sexually that is, when you find the time. Your duties and responsibilities take precedence over everything else. You may have difficulty getting emotionally close to a lover, but no trouble getting close sexually.

H - You seek a mate who can enhance your reputation and your earning ability.

You will be very generous to your lover once you have attained a commitment.

Your gifts are actually an investment in your partner. Before the commitment, though, you tend to be frugal in your spending and dating habits, and equally cautious in your sexual involvement's. You are a sensual and patient lover.

I - You have a great need to be loved, appreciated ... even worshipped.

You enjoy luxury, sensuality, and pleasures of the flesh. You look for lovers who know what they are doing. You are not interested in an amateur, unless that amateur wants a tutor. You are fussy and exacting about having your desires satisfied. You are willing to experiment and try new modes of sexual expression.

You bore easily and thus require sexual adventure and change. You are more sensual than sexual, but you are sometimes downright lustful.

J - You can be very romantic, attached to the glamour of love. Having a partner is of paramount importance to you. You are free in your expression of love and

are willing to take chances, try new sexual experiences and partners, provided it's all in good taste. Brains turn you on. You must feel that your partner is intellectually stimulating, otherwise you will find it difficult to sustain the relationship. You require loving, cuddling, wining, and dining to know

that
you're being appreciated.

K - You are the best in bed.

L - You are very romantic, idealistic, and somehow you believe that to
love
means to suffer. You wind up serving your mate or attracting people who
have
unusual troubles. You see yourself as your lover's savior. You are
sincere,
passionate, lustful, and dreamy. You can't help falling in love. You
fantasize
and get turned on by movies and magazines. You do not tell others of
this
secret
life, nor of your sexual fantasies.

M - You are emotional and intense. When involved in a relationship, you
throw
your entire being into it. Nothing stops you; there are no holds barred.
You are
all consuming and crave someone who is equally passionate and intense.
You
believe in total sexual freedom. You are willing to try anything and
everything.

Your supply of sexual energy is inexhaustible. You also enjoy mothering
your
mate.

N - You may appear innocent, unassuming, and shy; but we know that
appearances
can lie. When it comes to sex, you are no novice but something of a
skilled
technician. You can easily go to extremes, though, running the gamut
from
insatiability to boredom with the whole idea of sex. You can be highly
critical
of your mate, seeking perfection in both of you. It is not easy to find
someone
who can meet your standards. You have difficulty expressing emotions and
drawing
close to lovers.

O - You are very interested in sexual activities yet secretive and shy
about
your desires. You can re-channel much of your sexual energy into making
money
and/or seeking power. You can easily have extended periods of celibacy.
You are
a passionate, compassionate, sexual lover, requiring the same qualities
from
your mate. Sex is serious business; thus you demand intensity,
diversity,
and
are willing to try anything or anyone. Sometimes your passions turn to
possessiveness, which must be kept in check.

P - You are very conscious of social proprieties. You wouldn't think of
doing

anything that might harm your image or reputation. Appearances count. Therefore, you require a good looking partner. You also require an intelligent partner.

Oddly enough, you may view your partner as your enemy... a good fight stimulates those sex vibes. You are relatively free of sexual hangups. You are willing to experiment and try new ways of doing things. You are very social and sensual; you enjoy flirting and need a good deal of physical gratification.

Q - You require constant activity and stimulation. You have tremendous physical energy. It is not easy for a partner to keep up with you, sexually or otherwise.

You are an enthusiastic lover and tend to be attracted to people of other ethnic groups. You need romance, hearts and flowers, and lots of conversation to turn you on and keep you going.

R - You are a no-nonsense, action-oriented individual. You need someone who can keep pace with you and who is your intellectual equal the smarter the better.

You are turned on more quickly by a great mind than by a great body.

However, physical attractiveness is very important to you. You have to be proud of

your partner. You are privately very sexy, but you do not show this outwardly.

If your new lover is not all that great in bed, you are willing to serve as teacher. Sex is important; you can be a very demanding playmate.

S - You are secretive, self-contained, and shy. You are very sexy, sensual, and passionate, but you do not let on to this. Only in intimate privacy will this

part of your nature reveal itself. When it gets down to the nitty gritty, you

are an expert. You know all the little tricks of the trade, can play any role or any game, and take your love life very seriously. You don't fool around. You

have the patience to wait for the right person to come along.

T - You are very sensitive, private, and sexually passive; you like a partner who takes the lead. You get turned on by music, soft lights, and romantic thoughts. You fantasize and tend to fall in and out of love. When in love,

you
are romantic, idealistic, mushy, and extremely changeable. You enjoy
having your
senses and your feelings stimulated, titillated, and teased. You are a
great
flirt. You can make your relationships fit your dreams, all in your own
head.
U - You are enthusiastic and idealistic when in love. When not in love,
you are
in love with love, always looking for someone to adore. You see romance
as
a
challenge. You are a roamer and need adventure, excitement, and freedom.
You
deal in potential relationships. You enjoy giving gifts and enjoy seeing
your
mate looking good. Your sex drive is strong and you desire instant
gratification. You are willing to put your partner's pleasures above
your
own.

V - You are individualistic, and you need freedom, space, and
excitement.
You
wait until you know someone well before committing yourself. Knowing
someone
means psyching him out. You feel a need to get into his head to see what
makes
him tick. You are attracted to eccentric types. Often there is an age
difference
between you and your lover. You respond to danger, thrills, and
suspense.

The
gay scene turns you on, even though you yourself may not be a
participant.

W - You are very proud, determined, and you refuse to take no for an
answer when
pursuing love. Your ego is at stake. You are romantic, idealistic, and
often in
love with love itself, not seeing your partner as he or she really is.

You
feel
deeply and throw all of yourself into your relationships. Nothing is too
good
for your lover. You enjoy playing love games.

X - You need constant stimulation because you bore quickly. You can
handle
more
than one relationship at a time with ease. You can't shut off your mind.
You
talk while you make love. You can have the greatest love affairs, all by
yourself, in your own head.

Y - You are sexual, sensual, and very independent. If you can't have it
your
way, you will forgo the whole thing. You want to control your

relationships,
which doesn't always work out too well. You respond to physical
stimulation,
enjoy necking and spending hours just touching, feeling, and exploring.
However,
if you can spend your time making money, you will give up the pleasures
of
the
flesh for the moment. You need to prove to yourself and your partner
what a
great lover you are. You want feedback on your performance. You are an
open,
stimulating, romantic bedmate.
Z - For you, it is business before pleasure. If you are in any way
bothered by
career, business, or money concerns, you find it very hard to relax and
get into
the mood. You can be romantically idealistic to a fault and are capable
of
much
sensuality. But you never lose control of your emotions. You are very
careful
and cautious before you give your heart away and your body, for that
matter.
Once you make the commitment, though, you stick like glue.

<<attachment.html>>

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Mark A. Kitchens (CN=Mark A. Kitchens/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-JUL-1999 10:07:53.00

SUBJECT: CNN Larry King Live, July 19, 1999

TO: Michael K. Gehrke (CN=Michael K. Gehrke/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michele Ballantyne (CN=Michele Ballantyne/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ruby Shamir (CN=Ruby Shamir/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Aprill N. Springfield (CN=Aprill N. Springfield/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lindsey E. Huff (CN=Lindsey E. Huff/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven Reich (CN=Steven Reich/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kimberly S. Anderson (CN=Kimberly S. Anderson/OU=OA/O=EOP@EOP [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin J. Bachman (CN=Robin J. Bachman/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thomas D. Janenda (CN=Thomas D. Janenda/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Toby C. Graff (CN=Toby C. Graff/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael A. Hammer (CN=Michael A. Hammer/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Victoria L. Valentine (CN=Victoria L. Valentine/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rebecca L. Walldorff (CN=Rebecca L. Walldorff/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heather M. Riley (CN=Heather M. Riley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan M. Prince (CN=Jonathan M. Prince/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa J. Prober (CN=Melissa J. Prober/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steve Ricchetti (CN=Steve Ricchetti/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie B. Goldberg (CN=Julie B. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rochester M. Johnson (CN=Rochester M. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: June Shih (CN=June Shih/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason H. Schechter (CN=Jason H. Schechter/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alison Muscatine (CN=Alison Muscatine/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul K. Engskov (CN=Paul K. Engskov/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael Waldman (CN=Michael Waldman/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sara M. Latham (CN=Sara M. Latham/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: William A. Halter (CN=William A. Halter/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lisa J. Levin (CN=Lisa J. Levin/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark D. Neschis (CN=Mark D. Neschis/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Thurgood Marshall Jr (CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lowell A. Weiss (CN=Lowell A. Weiss/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia M. Payne (CN=Julia M. Payne/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beverly J. Barnes (CN=Beverly J. Barnes/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jordan Tamagni (CN=Jordan Tamagni/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Megan C. Moloney (CN=Megan C. Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brenda M. Anders (CN=Brenda M. Anders/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dominique L. Cano (CN=Dominique L. Cano/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Leslie Bernstein (CN=Leslie Bernstein/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anthony R. Bernal (CN=Anthony R. Bernal/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joseph P. Lockhart (CN=Joseph P. Lockhart/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Natalie S. Wozniak (CN=Natalie S. Wozniak/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dawn M. Chirwa (CN=Dawn M. Chirwa/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: David Vandivier (CN=David Vandivier/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jenni R. Engebretsen (CN=Jenni R. Engebretsen/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann C. Hertelendy (CN=Ann C. Hertelendy/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Erica S. Lepping (CN=Erica S. Lepping/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Saladbar 23 <saladbar23 (Saladbar 23 <saladbar23 @ hotmail.com> @ inet [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rajiv Y. Mody (CN=Rajiv Y. Mody/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sean P. O'Shea (CN=Sean P. O'Shea/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura J. Lewis (CN=Laura J. Lewis/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: James E. Kennedy (CN=James E. Kennedy/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Katharine Button (CN=Katharine Button/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alejandro G. Cabrera (CN=Alejandro G. Cabrera/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua S. Gottheimer (CN=Joshua S. Gottheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Clara J. Shin (CN=Clara J. Shin/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Edward F. Hughes (CN=Edward F. Hughes/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah E. Gegenheimer (CN=Sarah E. Gegenheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rachael E. Sullivan (CN=Rachael E. Sullivan/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey A. Shesol (CN=Jeffrey A. Shesol/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark A. Kitchens (CN=Mark A. Kitchens/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gordon Li (CN=Gordon Li/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Steven J. Naplan (CN=Steven J. Naplan/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Laura D. Schwartz (CN=Laura D. Schwartz/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Gene B. Sperling (CN=Gene B. Sperling/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne M. Edwards (CN=Anne M. Edwards/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa M. Murray (CN=Melissa M. Murray/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Eli G. Attie (CN=Eli G. Attie/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Peter A. Weissman (CN=Peter A. Weissman/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nanda Chitre (CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer Ferguson (CN=Jennifer Ferguson/OU=OMB/O=EOP@EOP [OMB])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jonathan A. Kaplan (CN=Jonathan A. Kaplan/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jeffrey M. Smith (CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP@EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman (CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard L. Siewert (CN=Richard L. Siewert/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: gamble-bennett@dol.gov (gamble-bennett@dol.gov @ inet [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dag Vega (CN=Dag Vega/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin M. Roland (CN=Robin M. Roland/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Melissa G. Green (CN=Melissa G. Green/OU=OPD/O=EOP@EOP [OPD])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Douglas B. Sosnik (CN=Douglas B. Sosnik/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Larry King Live

Aired July 19, 1999 - 9:00 p.m. ET

JFK Jr. 1960-1999: Friends Reflect on Lives Cut

Tragically

Short

LARRY KING, HOST: Tonight, John F. Kennedy Jr., his wife, Carolyn,

her sister Lauren are gone. We reflect on promising lives cut tragically short.

Joining us from Salt Lake City, John Perry Barlow, a good friend of John

Jr.'s. From Miami, Paul Wilmot, a friend of Carolyn Bessette Kennedy. In

Washington, former White House communications director

Don Baer, and
Carl Anthony, a contributing editor for "George"
magazine. In New York,
"New York Post" columnist Jack Newfield, and Kennedy
biographer Ed
Klein.

They're all next on LARRY KING LIVE.

Good evening and welcome to a special edition of LARRY
KING LIVE.

Before we meet our guests and talk to each of them
individually and then
have a panel discussion, we're going to go up to a Coast
Guard station at
Martha's Vineyard, Massachusetts where Frank Buckley is
standing by with
the latest, which is what, Frank?

FRANK BUCKLEY, CNN CORRESPONDENT: Well, Larry, we had a
couple of significant developments today. First of all,
no wreckage found.

The first development, divers for the first time went
into the waters to try to
check out one of these potential wreckage sites. It
turned out not to be
wreckage but rather it was a large boulder that was
under water.

We also found out tonight there are -- there were 10
potential wreckage
sites that were developed. So, that list is now down to
nine.

The other development we learned this evening at a
briefing by the NTSB
and the Coast Guard. We found a new rate of descent for
the airplane that
was piloted by John F. Kennedy Jr. Previously we had
been told that the
rate of descent was 1,400 feet per minute. Now we are
being told, based on
additional tracking information picked up by radar
sites, that that rate of
descent was 4,700 feet per minute: significantly faster
rate of descent.

KING: Which means what to the NTSB?

BUCKLEY: Well, it will give them just another piece of
the puzzle. You
know, we asked them to characterize -- or reporters
asked them to
characterize how significant that was. They have been

very firm in not
speculating and not drawing any conclusions yet. But it
will at least allow
them to see just another piece of the puzzle: how fast
was the plane going
down, what does that suggest. Does it suggest that the
plane was out of
control, that the pilot had lost control? Various
questions like that.

KING: And Frank, how long will they be doing this?

BUCKLEY: The investigation will go on until they have
all the answers. And
as far as the search is -- as far as the search, they'll
keep doing that until they
find the wreckage and until they find the bodies.
There's no talk at all of
putting an end to the search. My sense is that they'll
keep going until they
find everything.

KING: Is this done, by the way, with all cases of planes
down at sea?

BUCKLEY: Larry, that's a good question. I frankly don't
know the answer.
I do know that there are some 2,000 general aviation
accidents every year in
the United States. And so I believe only a small portion
of those take place
over water.

KING: Thanks very much, as always. Right on top of the
scene, our man
Mr. Buckley in Martha's Vineyard.

Now let's meet our panel. Each one has a very different
story to tell, so we'll
talk to each and then combine it into a panel grouping
of six. And we'll start
in Salt Lake City with John Perry Barlow, a very close
friend of John
Kennedy Jr. Twenty-two years, right? You met him when he
was 17?

JOHN PERRY BARLOW, FRIEND OF JOHN F. KENNEDY JR.:
That's right.

KING: What were the circumstances?

BARLOW: His mother -- his mother sent him out west. She
rather
unceremoniously kicked him out of the nest and dumped

him into the lap of a
Republican rancher from Wyoming that she didn't know.

(LAUGHTER)

KING: To do what?

BARLOW: Well, you know, to dig post holes and string
barbed wire and
run cows around and get his hands on real things, and
deal with, you know,
the sort of people that didn't even know what the Upper
East Side was.

KING: How much older were you, John?

BARLOW: Well, at that point it seemed like quite an age
difference. I'm 51
now. He was 38. So, you know, 13 years.

KING: Did you sort of get like older brotherly toward
him?

BARLOW: Well, I think, you know, it's been interesting.
Over the course of
time -- and our relationship has been a verb throughout.
I mean, I started out
as kind of a father figure and then became a brother.
And you know, I
would say in recent years he was as much a father to me
as I'd ever been to
him.

KING: How long were you -- how -- would you communicate
a lot? Talk a
lot? See each other often?

BARLOW: I would say it was a rare month in that 22-year
period where
we didn't at least talk on the phone, and more often
would see each other
face to face.

KING: And I understand this is a lot of tragedy for you.
Your mother just
died. And is that true, the funeral was Saturday and you
got an e-mail from
John on Friday?

BARLOW: Well, no. Actually, I came back from my mother's
funeral. And
this was poignant, because I'd spent a lot of time with
John after his mother
died and had been thinking a lot about him as mine went.

And I came back
from the funeral and found an e-mail that he had sent, I
would guess, almost
as he was leaving the office to go to the airport --
expressing his...

KING: A message of condolence?

BARLOW: Well, not only expressing his condolences, but
also I think in a
sense congratulations at having had the rare experience
of being able to be
there with one's mother as she died and seeing her out
of this world and --
and -- and inviting me to the Vineyard to spend time
with him and -- and
reflect, as -- as he and I had done together when his
mother died.

KING: We'll of course be coming back to you, John. But
quickly, what kind
of friend was he?

BARLOW: John was one of the greatest friends I've ever
had. And I think
he was in general an excellent friend. He was loyal and
-- and honest and
clear, and had an enormous heart. And you know, as his
character
developed into the -- into the burnished, fine thing
that it was over the course
of time, he only became a better friend.

KING: Are you still a Republican?

(LAUGHTER)

BARLOW: Yes, sort of.

KING: John Perry Barlow will remain with us. He'll be
part of the panel.
We'll come back and meet all the others, and then have a
group discussion
-- include your phone calls as well.

Billy Graham tomorrow night. You're watching LARRY KING
LIVE. We'll
be right back.

(BEGIN VIDEO CLIP)

GRANT STINCHFIELD, BESSETTE FAMILY FRIEND: Dr. and Mrs.
Richard Freeman and William J. Bessette would like to
thank the many

individuals and government agencies that have assisted
in the exhaustive
search for their beloved family members. Each of these
three young people
-- Lauren Bessette, Carolyn Bessette Kennedy and John F.
Kennedy Jr --
was the embodiment of love, accomplishment and passion
for life.

John and Carolyn were true soul mates, and we hope to
honor them in death
in the simple manner in which they chose to live their
lives. We take solace in
the thought that together they will comfort Lauren for
eternity.

We are especially appreciative of the privacy and
support provided us by
our friends and family and community. Nothing in life is
preparation for the
loss of a child.

(END VIDEO CLIP)

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

KING: We're back.

We've spoken with a very good friend of John Kennedy
Jr., now a very
good friend of Carolyn Bessette Kennedy, Paul Wilmot.
He's with us in
Miami.

Paul, you hired her, right?

PAUL WILMOT, FRIEND OF CAROLYN BESSETTE: Well, yes,
Larry. I was in charge of public relations for Calvin
Klein in New York, and
Carolyn had been working at the Calvin Klein store
outside of Boston after
she graduated from BU. And what happened was that she
wanted to move
to New York. And I interviewed her, and she walked into
my office, and I
saw those blue eyes and talked to her for about five
minutes and hired her
on the spot.

KING: And her job was?

WILMOT: She was in charge of what we call "personals" at
the company,
which was celebrity friends of the house, fashion

editors, movie stars and so
on, who were offered the privilege of buying clothes at
wholesale.

KING: I got very friend -- I know she got very friendly
not only with Calvin,
but Calvin -- I spoke to Calvin today, and he, of
course, is very broken up
over this. And I know his wife, also, is very close to
her, right?

WILMOT: Yes, she got close to Kelly, she got close to
Bianca Jagger,
Faye Dunaway and Doris Ducan (ph) -- such a strange
cross section of
people in terms of age and so on, and she was many times
a third their age,
and yet she became their confidant and their friend, and
she just had, you
know, such a wonderful poise and such great manners and
charm.

KING: Is that how she met John, by waiting on him?

WILMOT: No, actually, she was introduced to him at a
party. And I think,
actually, Kelly, Calvin's wife, introduced them at a
party.

KING: Did she tell you about meeting him?

WILMOT: Well, she didn't exactly. But when she started
going out with
him, we tried to keep it a secret. Any we didn't -- you
know, any girl at that
point that was going out with John Kennedy, her life
would change
completely and she'd sort of lose a lot of her private
life. So we kept it very
quiet. And actually they had quite a few months of sort
of going together
privately.

KING: Was she ever promoted from that post of taking
care of VIPs?

WILMOT: Oh, yes, absolutely. She was promoted to the
director of public
relations for Calvin's collection division, which is the
flagship of the company.
And she had a lot of very important responsibilities --
and this was before
she met John. She was in charge of the fashion shows
from the publicity

point of view, she dealt with all the high fashion editors and so on, and she develop a real profile for herself in the fashion community.

KING: You left the company, right?

WILMOT: I left the company and went into the magazine business, and we stayed close. We had dinner. And she became sort of a fixture in the fashion scene, throughout the world, really.

KING: When was the last time you spoke with her?

WILMOT: I saw her on Fifth Avenue about two months ago, and, you know, the warmth and -- you know, she was a very touch-feel sort of person. You know, she's grab your arm and, you know, in a very platonic way and she'd hold your hand at dinner or she'd grab your hand to make a point. And she was just so warm and so poised. I mean...

KING: We met her here the night John was on this program right before they got married. They took the train back to New York...

WILMOT: Yes.

KING: ... late that night. She was a regular girl, right? I mean, there were no airs about her.

WILMOT: Whatsoever. And she looked in your eyes and you had complete concentration from her when you spoke with her, right?

KING: Absolutely. Was she happily married?

WILMOT: I think blissfully. They had really been together for quite a while before they got married. It's soon to be three years. It would have been three years, their third anniversary. I mean, they were very comfortable in their own skins and very comfortable together, I mean, a loving couple.

KING: Don Baer is with us in Washington and Paul remains with us. We're

going to have a panel discussion.

Don is former White House communications director, left in 1997. And your close friendship here involves another family member, right? Kathleen Kennedy Townsend?

DON BAER, FORMER WHITE HOUSE COMMUNICATIONS DIRECTOR: Right. I'm...

KING: How does that go back?

BAER: Well, I'm very friendly with Kathleen through involvement at the White House and getting to know her over time. I knew John, too, and I don't want to hold myself out to be as close a friend as any of these folks who had the great loss, but I knew him pretty well through the White House, through friends we had in common and through Kathleen, frankly, also, with whom he was very close.

KING: Now, Kathleen, is she the oldest a granddaughter?

BAER: Kathleen is the oldest granddaughter of Joe and Rose Kennedy, of all those children.

KING: She's older than Joe?

BAER: Yes, she's older than Joe, and, in fact, I think, has always felt a very strong responsibility about watching out for the other grandchildren.

KING: Was there a tie -- a strong tie between her and John Jr.?

BAER: There was a good tie. I mean, I think they respected each other a great deal. I talked to each of them about the other over time at different times for no particular reason...

KING: And?

BAER: ... because we both -- we knew I was friends with -- they both respected one another, thought highly of the intelligence of the other. Frankly, they're very similar in a lot of respects. You

know Kathleen.

KING: Very well.

BAER: She's a very easy decent person to deal with and to talk with. And John...

KING: So was John.

BAER: ... John was very much that way. I mean, he was an ordinary guy in that regard.

KING: Were you surprised -- let's say when you first met him -- we were -- were you surprised at how -- I remember having breakfast with him in San

Diego at the Republican convention for about an hour, we were sitting there.

And he was just like -- a lot of people didn't recognize him. BAER: It's so funny, so many people who knew him remember meals with him. You know,

I remember having lunch with him at the Hay-Adams here in Washington and at some bistro up in New York, a really easy guy to talk to, no pretense, no airs, whatsoever, as has been said.

KING: And well within himself?

BAER: Absolutely. You know, he had that sense of irony without being cynical about it, which is something that people prized in him.

KING: As we go to break, here's a statement from the Kennedy family. It reads in part:

"We are filled with unspeakable grief and sadness by the loss of John and

Carolyn and of Lauren Bessette. John was a shining light in all our lives and

in the lives of the nation and the world that first came to know him when he

was a little boy. He was a devoted husband to Carolyn, a loving brother to

Caroline and an amazing uncle to her children, a close and dear friend to his

cousins, a beloved nephew to my sisters and me. He was the adored son of

two proud parents whom he now joins with God.

"We loved him deeply, and his loss leaves an enormous void in all of our lives."

We'll be right back.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

KING: We now join -- joining us is Carl Anthony here in Washington, contributing editor of "George" magazine. He's also a presidential historian.

He wrote "As We Remember Her: Jacqueline Kennedy Onassis in the Words of her Friends and Family" published two years ago.

Were you one of the "George" -- were you there right at the start?

CARL ANTHONY, CONTRIBUTING EDITOR, "GEORGE" MAGAZINE: No, about -- it was about seven, eight months into it that I

wrote my first piece for them. And, you know, being a contributing editor you're not there on staff, so I wasn't -- and being in Washington -- so I would see him, and I would have contact with him when I -- I got to do all the fun things, you know, go up to the parties and the diners and the things that they would...

KING: He was hands-on, though, wasn't he?

ANTHONY: Completely.

KING: Read every word you wrote?

ANTHONY: From the big picture to the small picture. We were just doing a piece -- a small piece last week, and a question came up with him, and he said, you know, got word, like, what about Mary Lincoln being insane?

Wasn't she insane? I'd say, no, no, she wasn't insane. But funny but also pointed, pointed specific, little tiny, tiny detail.

KING: And we have a little news here. You're doing a piece for "George" on Hillary, right?

ANTHONY: Right, right.

KING: You're going to interview her next week?

ANTHONY: Next week for "George" magazine. And we were...

KING: For which issue?

ANTHONY Well, I think they're still deciding whether it
will be the October
or November,...

KING: But it will be the feature piece?

ANTHONY: It will be the cover piece. And so when John --
I saw him in
May, and he said, so it looks like you got the
interview. He said, you know,
I came down to Washington last year and I went to talk
to her and tried to
get an interview, and I wouldn't -- she wouldn't give it
to me. I guess she
likes you better than I do. And he looked at me like
that, you know, with a
smile. And I said, well, you know, John, 98 percent of
the women in the
world would say yes to you. It's all right if one of
them says yes to me.

KING: What do you hear about the continuation of the
magazine?

ANTHONY: All systems go. People are working. I mean,
it's under very
difficult circumstances obviously. But they -- he has
assembled there an
incredible team. Unlike any other magazine offices I've
ever been in, it's --
it's entirely a collegial effort. It was all for one and
one for all. You know, we
would stay there late at night until they put to bed the
issue. And you know,
he was there every step of the way.

KING: So to your knowledge it goes on?

ANTHONY: Correct.

KING: Your piece with Hillary is unchanged. You're going
to meet with
her...

ANTHONY: Correct.

KING: ... interview her? And it will be in the fall issue cover story?

ANTHONY: Right.

KING: They rarely put politicians on the cover.

ANTHONY: I know that.

KING: But they will put her no doubt.

The magazine, I understand, is selling out everywhere, this current issue, based on the story. Not surprising?

ANTHONY: Yes, not surprising. You know, and I was in an odd position because I also write about -- had written about John and his family. So it was a slightly schizophrenic situation.

KING: In a minute, we'll talk with Jack Newfield and then Ed Klein, and then bring all of our people in with stories and your phone calls.

As we go to break, here's a shot of John -- the front of John Fitzgerald Kennedy Jr.'s apartment in New York in the TriBeCa section. This is a live picture as night has arrived in Manhattan. Constant -- people all day long leaving articles, flowers, mementos. That apartment is in Lower Manhattan and not a pretentious building at all.

We'll be right back. We'll talk with Jack Newfield and Ed Klein. Then our panel will go at it.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

KING: Joining us now in New York is Jack Newfield, one of the better writers in America, a columnist in the "New York Post." He wrote a terrific piece in Sunday's edition. They titled it "Good Night, Sweet Prince of a Noble Family." Was he a prince, in your mind, Jack?

JACK NEWFIELD, COLUMNIST, "NEW YORK POST": Yes, and certainly in more ways than one. And what mostly struck me about him is what -- how nice he is, how sweet he was, how normal he

was. As a tabloid
columnist, you get to meet a lot of pompous jerks in the
world.

KING: I know.

NEWFIELD: And he was just -- you just -- every time I
saw him I just
thought his mother raised him right.

KING: You wrote, "He could have coasted through life. He
could have just
been a playboy like a lot of spoiled European princes
and millionaires' kids.
He was never arrested. He never stained his family's
name. He didn't run
around with a posse of goon bodyguards." In other words,
he was a regular
guy.

NEWFIELD: He would -- I would run into him on the
subway. He would
be there alone taking the No. 1 train to the 50th Street
stop where we both
got off. He lived in a building without a doorman. He
was a New York guy.
You'd see him at the Knicks games. He and I shared an
avid interest in
boxing. I always saw him at the fights.

KING: You write also that a lot of Democrats were urging
him to get into
New York politics. Do you think he might have thought
along those lines
when he got into his 40s?

NEWFIELD: Possibly. My instinct was that he felt that
politics was too
brutal and bogus for him at this stage of his life, and
he hadn't earned it. He
told me he had been approached to run for mayor, to run
for governor, to
run for senator. But I think he wanted to prove he could
find his own way
and be his own man and accomplish something first in
journalism and
publishing before he thought about entering the pit of
politics.

KING: At the bottom of the hour, after we talk with Ed
Klein, when the
whole panel comes in, we'll ask Jack and others to
discuss this indomitable
spirit of this entire family and what keeps them going.

Here's Ed Klein, contributing editor at "Vanity Fair."
He wrote "All Too
Human: The Love Story of Jack and Jackie Kennedy";
author of "Just
Jackie," her private years.

Jackie's relationship with John Jr.: Was she overly
motherly?

ED KLEIN, KENNEDY BIOGRAPHER: She absolutely adored him,
Larry, but she also found him to be a handful. He was a
kid who had a kind
of mischievous quality, which she liked very much, but
it often got him into
scrapes with her.

KING: Like?

KLEIN: Well, for instance, when he was a teenager, he
would put
answering messages on his -- on his telephone answering
machine that she
found a little objectionable. And she talked to him
about that. When he
finally passed the bar exam, he couldn't become an
assistant D.A. until he
paid off 2,300 parking tickets that he had accumulated.

(LAUGHTER)

One time she let him use her house in Martha's Vineyard
for a party only to
discover when she arrived the next day that the kids had
practically trashed
the entire house. And so she exiled him to the silo
nearby where there was a
place for him to sleep.

KING: Would you say she was overly concerned, or was she
like any other
good mother?

KLEIN: Well, you know, she was very concerned over the
fact that he
didn't have a father. So she would invite people who
knew President
Kennedy to their home to give John Jr. and his sister,
Caroline, kind of
seminars on what their father had been like as
president. And in fact, even as
they were growing up, they always refer to him not as
"daddy" but as "the
president."

She was very concerned that they get a true sense of John Kennedy and his legacy.

KING: So therefore you're not surprised to learn that she would send him at age 17 to work for John Perry Barlow in Wyoming?

KLEIN: Not at all, because she wanted him to be toughened up in the best sense of the word. She once sent him off to India all by himself to roam the subcontinent for two or three months unaccompanied. He was, as Jack Newfield said, not a person who went around with bodyguards or an entourage. He was his own man.

KING: If we can be thankful for something, we can be thankful that she didn't have to experience this, correct?

KLEIN: Oh, I think...

KING: This would have been...

KLEIN: It's almost inconceivable to imagine what this would have done to her.

KING: We will take a break and come back, and the full panel will assemble. And we'll ask them all questions in varying degrees and try to include your phone calls as well.

Billy Graham will be with us tomorrow night. You're watching LARRY KING LIVE.

Don't go away.

(BEGIN VIDEO CLIP)

JOHN F. KENNEDY JR.: For if we believe that Jesus died and rose again, even so them also which sleep in Jesus will God bring with him. For this we say unto you by the word of the Lord that we which are live and remain unto the coming of the Lord shall not prevent them which are asleep. For the Lord himself shall descend from heaven with a shout,

with the voice of the
archangel and with the trump of God, and the dead in
Christ shall rise first.

Then we which are alive and remain shall be caught up
together with them in
the clouds to meet the Lord in the air and so shall we
ever be with the Lord.

Wherefore, comfort one another with these words.

This is the word of the Lord.

(END VIDEO CLIP)

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

KING: Welcome back to LARRY KING LIVE.

Our guests are, in Salt Lake City, John Perry Barlow, a
very close friend of
John Kennedy Jr.; in Miami, Paul Wilmot, a very close
friend of Carolyn
Bessette Kennedy; in Washington, Don Baer, the former
White House
communications director, a very close friend of Kathleen
Kennedy
Townsend, the lieutenant governor of Maryland; and Carl
Anthony,
contributing editor of "George" magazine, who's written
a book on Jackie as
well; and in New York, the wonderful columnist of "The
New York Post,"
Jack Newfield; and the contributing editor of "Vanity
Fair," has written to
books on Jackie Kennedy, Ed Klein.

John, we'll start with you.

And I guess it's the same question for everybody and we
can all jump. We'll
start with you. What do you make of this public feeling
toward -- toward
this boy? He -- he was -- he was 38 years old. That's
not halfway through
life yet, hadn't run for anything. He'd started a
magazine. Generally he was in
New York a lot, people didn't know him really. What do
you make of this?
BARLOW: Well, first of all he wasn't a boy. He really
was a man. And I'm
very gratified by it, because for years I assumed that
people didn't
appreciate who he really was, that -- that his virtual
image was a lot more
lightweight and superficial than -- than the person I

knew,...

KING: So you say we're seeing...

BARLOW: ... certainly less intelligent than -- than the man I knew.

KING: Don Baer, do you think we're also seeing the little boy in the Oval Office and the little boy saluting?

BAER: I think there's a measure of that. That's one of the totemic experiences for almost the entire culture here. But I will say something to follow up on what was just said. He dealt with his celebrity in life in a very dignified way. And I think we live in a very undignified age, but here was somebody in the glare of the spotlight who always stepped up to it in a very manful and dignified way. And I think people respect him for that.

KING: Maybe better than anyone. Paul, what do you make of this public grasp on him?

WILMOT: Well, what they became was the great power couple in New York of all time. I mean, I can't think of another couple that at a charity event, dinner or anything, that if they were in the room, all of a sudden it became an "A" room. And this was something...

KING: Yes, but New Orleans is weeping and so is Toledo.

WILMOT: Well, and around the world. Can you imagine what they could have done in terms of a charity or a cause if they'd gotten behind it? The magazine seemed to be turning the corner, doing much better. I mean, what we've been deprived of is the future that they could have accomplished together.

KING: Carl, what are we seeing here?

ANTHONY: Well, you know -- you know, the way he looked, the money and the power, all of that -- that only gets you so far. This guy had an

incandescence. And what it really came down to was a respect for everybody, whether he was meeting Tony Blair at the White House or the Korean fruit salesman on the corner from -- you know, he treated everybody with respect. And -- and he was a gentle person. You would see at these parties, my God, you know, the hot room and stuff -- he gave everybody time. He gave everyone respect.

KING: Jack, do you think that the world at large senses this, just from seeing all of these pictures and the clips of interviews and the like?

NEWFIELD: I don't know that, but I think there is this universal sense of a lost promise of another -- another Kennedy flower cut before there was a full bloom. And it repeats the histories, a lot of his father and his Uncle Robert because he had the decency and the self-knowledge to eventually do something big.

KING: Ed, are you surprised at this?

KLEIN: No, I'm not. But, you know, I have a slightly different take on this, I think. He has often been referred to as "America's prince." But I see him more as America's son. I think he's -- as he was the adored son of Jackie Kennedy, I think he became the adored son of the entire population of America and the world. There's something about him that people felt he was their son, and in being their son they watched him struggle to carve out his own adult identity. And we watched this happening over his 38 years and it was a fascinating process under that tremendous burden and shadow of this most powerful famous father of his and that beautiful mother of his.

KING: Don, did Kathleen Kennedy have sort of a -- she was a cousin -- a motherly feeling toward him as well? Was she, like, a caretaker?

BAER: I think so. I mean, she has some of that feeling

towards all of them.

You know, I'm told that on the day that John F. Kennedy was buried,

Robert Kennedy wrote to his oldest daughter, Kathleen, and said, take care

of your cousins, and especially take care of your brother Joe and your

cousin John. I think that's that relationship the Kennedys have to the males in

the family, but those were the two males that Bobby wanted her to take care of.

KING: We're going to take a break.

Carolyn Bessette Kennedy's former employer, Calvin Klein, has also issued a statement today, quote:

"Carolyn and John worked hard to keep their relationship as private and intimate as their circumstances would allow. I feel it's important to respect their efforts. My thoughts and sympathies are with their families."

We'll be back with more of LARRY KING LIVE and your phone calls after this.

(BEGIN VIDEO CLIP, SEPTEMBER 28, 1995)

KING: Has privacy been the toughest thing?

JOHN F. KENNEDY JR.: For me?

KING: I mean, when you go out, people follow you, right? I mean, you don't have a normal life.

JOHN F. KENNEDY JR.: I have a pretty normal life, surprisingly. I mean, every now and then, sort of strange things happen, but, I mean, up until the cavalcade of publicity for "George, " you know, I was a private citizen. I...

KING: You weren't easily recognized on the street?

JOHN F. KENNEDY JR.: No, but people, I think -- you are not in the business of really selling your personality, so I think people kind of understood that -- and you know, sure, people would ask

and stuff. People
are generally nice, and it's not a crazy situation.

(END VIDEO CLIP)

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

KING: We're back. Let's include a call or two and then
more panel
discussion. San Francisco, hello.

CALLER: Hello.

KING: Hi.

CALLER: Hi. Having lost a child myself, I was wondering,
does anybody
know how Carolyn's mother is doing, having lost two of
her children?

KING: Paul, would you know?

WILMOT: I haven't heard, Larry, no.

KING: The family did issue statement today. This would
-- I would guess,
John, would be the hardest thing of all, isn't it,
wouldn't you think? To lose a
child?

BARLOW: I can't think of anything worse. I mean, I have
tried over the last
several days to summon up the courage to call her mother
and grandmother,
and I just can't think of a thing to say.

KING: Jack, you wrote -- you wrote when you wrote Sunday
a little bit
about recklessness in the Kennedy family and
recklessness in John. What
kind of recklessness? Certainly he wasn't the reckless
kind of guy that you
worry about being arrested.

NEWFIELD: I think there was a degree of risk-taking in
almost all the
Kennedys. I think it's a factor in the incredible
achievement and
accomplishment by so many different family members. I
didn't think it was
very beyond the normal with -- with John. I think it was
more so instilled by
Ambassador Joe Kennedy in Bobby and Jack. And I think
Jack was very

reckless in certain ways. But I think -- I don't think
of John as excessively
reckless.

KING: No, but not -- but he was -- he was flying a
plane. Some people
might consider that risk-taking, just flying a plane,
taking it up at night.

NEWFIELD: That's certainly been discussed a lot in the
last three days, and
I don't know enough about it to -- to say anything
intelligent.

KING: John, do you have a thought on it?

BARLOW: Yes, I -- I do. I -- I draw an important
distinction between
recklessness and self-destructiveness. And also...

KING: I never meant self-destructive.

BARLOW: Well, I mean, you know, there is a certain kind
of risk-taking
that is about a death wish. And I never detected even
the faintest trace of a
death wish in John.

But he loved to dance on the edge, and his judgment was
always excellent.

On the other hand, one of the last conversations I had
with him -- I'm also a
pilot -- and I told him that he was right at that on it
in his -- in his piloting
career where he was -- he knew just enough to be
dangerous.

KING: What did he say?

BARLOW: He said, well, he would -- he would take that to
heart. And
actually, one of the things I talked to him about was
finding oneself in
instrument conditions that one wasn't prepared to be in.
And I have a terrible
feeling that may have been what happened.

KING: Did she -- did -- Paul, did she -- did Carolyn
ever talk to you about
flying?

WILMOT: She didn't. But you know, if he liked it, she
would have liked it. I
mean, they shared everything. They shared athletic, you

know, endeavors.

They loved to -- all the outdoors and everything. They lived a modern life.

You know, I can't imagine that the flying would have been a problem for her.

KING: Carl, did he ever discuss it at "George"?

ANTHONY: No, not I ever heard of.

KING: Of course, he flew the plane a lot for "George." I mean, he'd go to meetings...

ANTHONY: He'd go to meetings.

KING: ... and meet with salespeople, right?

ANTHONY: But I also -- I mean, I've talked to some other people, people who -- long-time representatives, people who worded with Senator

Kennedy, and they -- you know, I second that notion. He would never do anything stupid. It -- a risk in a calculated sense, but nothing but -- and especially with his wife and sister-in-law.

KING: Don, we may never know the story here, right? I mean...

BAER: We may never know.

KING: ... they're going to search and search, but we may never know.

BAER: And you've got to believe the authorities are going to do everything they possibly can to get to the bottom of it, but there are some things that are just unanswerable and that we can't know. Absolutely.

And that's going to be one of the hardest things, I think, for the whole country to come to terms with, is that this horrible tragedy happened and we don't have anything to explain it.

KING: In other words, Ed, we're not going to have...

KLEIN: Closure.

KING: ... a closure. We're not going to have finality,

right?

KLEIN: I think that's going to be a real problem if we don't. I think something is going to have to take place, some event, some ceremony, some opportunity for people to...

KING: To say good-bye.

KLEIN: ... to say good-bye to this wonderful man.

KING: Do you think that ought to happen quickly?

KLEIN: I do. Yes, I do.

KING: We will take a break. And as we go to break, we'll show you once again the apartment scene in TriBeCa. That's Lower Manhattan. This is a live shot. People gathering -- a warm, humid night in New York City in front of John Kennedy Jr.'s apartment, the late John Kennedy Jr.

We'll be back with more right after this.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

KING: Before we take another call, Don Baer wanted to say something about the Clintons in all of this.

BAER: Well, I know, Larry, they had a very special feeling for John and for his sister, Caroline, and of course, for Mrs. Kennedy. I think a lot of it had to do with the fact that, of course, John F. Kennedy is the president's most enduring hero, political hero. And I think Mrs. Kennedy spent a lot of time talking to Mrs. Clinton about how to raise children in the White House.

But I can remember even when "George" magazine first came out, John sent along a copy of the magazine to the president, standing with the president in the office, right outside of the Oval Office. And he's flipping through it and looking at it and smiling, and saying, I think I know what he's up to here, I know what he's trying to get done here.

And there was just a sense of kinship.

KING: By the way, is there a September issue pretty close to closing now?

There should be, right?

ANTHONY: Yes. As we speak, as we speak. KING: So there would have

been a piece by him, right? He wrote an editor's piece every week.

ANTHONY: Every month.

KING: Do you know what -- are they planning anything in it?

ANTHONY: I do not know. I do not know. I mean, they're working on it

right now, as we speak. So I do not know.

KING: Cambridge, Massachusetts, hello.

CALLER: Hi, Larry. I just was wondering, does the panel think that there

are any other Kennedys of John's generation that capture the charisma and

style of the Kennedy name in a way that will keep the Kennedys a viable political force in the new millennium?

KING: Who is the next rising Kennedy, Jack?

NEWFIELD: Well, I think part of the country's fascination with the

Kennedy story is that there are so many of them and the story never ends.

And I -- I think the next wave of Kennedys are going to be the women. I

think Rory Kennedy is a brilliant filmmaker. I saw this film "American

Hollow" about poverty in Appalachia. It blew me away. Caroline; Kerry

Kennedy Cuomo. And Kathleen is going to run for governor of Maryland.

I think the next chapter in this book of Job may be the finally the Kennedy...

KING: Well said. Would you agree with that, John?

BARLOW: You know, I think there's an important distinction to be drawn

here which hasn't been. And I think that John was in some ways less a

Kennedy than a Bouvier. I mean, he was, I think, more the son of his mother than the son of his father and was separate and distinct from -- from the rest of the Kennedys. So, I mean, I've always felt uncomfortable with conflating him into that -- into that mystique, because he had his own mystique.

KING: Well said.

ANTHONY: Yes, but -- and -- and at the bottom line, he really was his own person, because ultimately no matter how much our patients shape us, we make our own choices. And we owe him, I think, the respect of his own choices.

KING: Ed, do you think that Kathleen Kennedy Townsend's going to be a major national figure?

KLEIN: I think it's very likely. I also think we shouldn't rule out the possibility that Caroline Kennedy Schlossberg, now that her children are beginning to grow up and they're off in school all day long and that her brother's no longer with us, may decide to drop some of her reserve and step forward more than she has. And I think we're going to be seeing a lot more of her than we have up to now. KING: The most we saw was when she wrote that book, right?

KLEIN: that's right.

KING: A very good book.

KLEIN: Two -- she's written two books, as a matter of fact.

ANTHONY: About privacy.

KING: Yes, right.

KLEIN: That's right.

We'll come back with our remaining moments, get final thoughts from each of our guests on this edition of LARRY KING LIVE.

Don't go away.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

KING: John Barlow, how do we remember your friend?

BARLOW: Well, I hope we remember him most of all for his decency and

-- and the immensity of his heart. He was -- I think the thing that was most special about him, outside of his sense of humor, which was sly and ironic and marvelous, was that he was one of those rare alpha males of great intelligence that was also of great heart and the world is certainly poorer for the loss of one of these.

KING: Paul, how do we remember Carolyn?

WILMOT: Well, she was a great beauty and a wonderful wife, and I agree with Ed Klein. I think we need closure now. I think we need to heal this wound. We've all been deeply, deeply hurt, and we need to heal.

KING: Would they have been great parents, Paul?

WILMOT: Unbelievable. Can you imagine the children, how they would have been brought up and how they would have looked, too?

KING: Don, how do we remember this -- part of this never-ending saga?

BAER: I think we remember him as that little boy with the salute who grew up to be a good and decent person and he died too young.

KING: Carl?

ANTHONY: Well, I think, oddly, he was born an icon. From the day he entered the world, people knew him. And he earned his humanity, I think, in people's minds and people's hearts.

KING: So he grew way beyond that -- that little boy.

ANTHONY: That little boy.

KING: Jack.

NEWFIELD: I would say his goodness and his courage in
creating his own
path.

KING: In other words, that -- that he -- he was born
with this and he took it
his own way, right?

NEWFIELD: Yes.

KING: Because this could have been -- some could have
said you're born
with a curse when you're born this way, too, because
you're born with a lot
of heavy baggage sometimes.

NEWFIELD: He -- he dealt with that. He -- he -- he never
became harsh,
he never became bitter. He was always optimistic and
curious.

KING: Ed?

KLEIN: I think we'll remember him, Larry, the way we
remember Marilyn
Monroe, forever young, forever beautiful, forever
lovable, and as a -- as a
icon who will never die.

KING: John, we discussed -- you discussed him as a
friend. You discussed
loyalty and all of those -- those factors. What will
you, you personally, as of
all of this panel knew him the closest, miss the most?

BARLOW: Oh, gosh. I'll just miss him. I mean, it was
such a joy to be his
friend. It's going to be very hard to live in a world
where I don't have that
friendship.

KING: He almost -- he was -- you even dealt with -- you
raised your
children dealing with thoughts of him, right?

BARLOW: Oh, absolutely. I mean, as much with Caroline as
with John. I
mean, I apprenticed my three teenaged daughters to
Caroline because I felt
like she -- she knew more about being a beautiful, smart
woman than
anybody else I'd ever met, and I didn't know much except
vicariously, so I

-- I turned them over to him.

KING: And, Paul, what will you miss most about Carolyn?

WILMOT: Without a doubt her sense of humor and her great style, and that'll always be remembered.

KING: We should have known her better, shouldn't we?

WILMOT: Absolutely. She's 33 years old.

KING: That's very, very young. I -- I thank you all very much. This is a very difficult thing to do. And when we get word about a service -- and I guess one will be planned -- we will of course let you know, and I guess it will be extensively covered. This is a story that does not seem to go away. We thank John Perry Barlow, Paul Wilmot, Don Baer, Carl Anthony, Jack Newfield and Ed Klein. I'm Larry King.

Tomorrow night, among our guests will be the Reverend Billy Graham -- might be able to help a lot of people.

Thank you for joining us. For all of our guests here in Washington and around the world, good night.

END

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 17:14:13.00

SUBJECT: Re: JFK Tour of WH

TO: Julia M. Payne (CN=Julia M. Payne/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

what is this? i have to call back knoller and am not sure if this indicates another visit to the wh.

----- Forwarded by Julie E. Mason/WHO/EOP on 07/21/99

05:13 PM -----

From: Julia M. Payne on 07/21/99 04:55:03 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Nanda Chitre/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

bcc:

Subject: Re: JFK Tour of WH

The Oval Office visit was in 1994 following a School-to-Work Council Meeting

Nanda Chitre

07/21/99 04:24:47 PM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

cc:

Subject: JFK Tour of WH

JFK Jr. attended the March 5, 1998 HBO screening "From the Earth to the Moon" w/Tom Hanks. That's when potus and hrc gave him and carolyn the tour of the residence. The tour is referenced in Mrs. Clinton column today.

Message Sent

To:

Erica S. Lepping/WHO/EOP@EOP

Jennifer M. Palmieri/WHO/EOP@EOP

Barry J. Toiv/WHO/EOP@EOP
Nanda Chitre/WHO/EOP@EOP
Julia M. Payne/WHO/EOP@EOP
Jason H. Schechter/WHO/EOP@EOP
Richard L. Siewert/WHO/EOP@EOP
Heather M. Riley/WHO/EOP@EOP
Elizabeth R. Newman/WHO/EOP@EOP
Sarah E. Gegenheimer/WHO/EOP@EOP
Julie B. Goldberg/WHO/EOP@EOP
Mark D. Neschis/WHO/EOP@EOP
Dag Vega/WHO/EOP@EOP
Brenda M. Anders/WHO/EOP@EOP
Megan C. Moloney/WHO/EOP@EOP
Beverly J. Barnes/WHO/EOP@EOP
Victoria L. Valentine/WHO/EOP@EOP
Dorinda A. Salcido/WHO/EOP@EOP
Anne M. Edwards/WHO/EOP@EOP
Karen C. Burchard/WHO/EOP@EOP
Mark A. Kitchens/WHO/EOP@EOP
Jenni R. Engebretsen/WHO/EOP@EOP
Julie E. Mason/WHO/EOP@EOP
SUNTUM_M@A1@CD@VAXGTWY @ VAXGTWY
OLCOTT_E@A1 @ CD @ VAXGTWY
Joshua S. Gottheimer/WHO/EOP@EOP
Mark J. Bernstein/WHO/EOP@EOP
Patrick E. Briggs/WHO/EOP@EOP
Denver R. Peacock/WHO/EOP@EOP
Susan L. Hazard/WHO/EOP@EOP
CROWLEY_P@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY
Michael A. Hammer/NSC/EOP@EOP
LEAVY_D@A1@CD@VAXGTWY@EOP
Lindsey E. Huff/NSC/EOP@EOP
WOZNIAK_N@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY
Nathan B. Naylor/OVP@OVP
Toby C. Graff/WHO/EOP@EOP
Sharon K. Gill/WHO/EOP@EOP
Sharon Farmer/WHO/EOP@EOP
Ralph Alswang/WHO/EOP@EOP
Key C. German/WHO/EOP@EOP

Message Copied

To:

erica s. lepping/who/eop@eop
jennifer m. palmieri/who/eop@eop
barry j. toiv/who/eop@eop
nanda chitre/who/eop@eop
jason h. schechter/who/eop@eop
richard l. siewert/who/eop@eop
heather m. riley/who/eop@eop
elizabeth r. newman/who/eop@eop
sarah e. gegenheimer/who/eop@eop

julie b. goldberg/who/eop@eop
mark d. neschis/who/eop@eop
dag vega/who/eop@eop
brenda m. anders/who/eop@eop
megan c. moloney/who/eop@eop
beverly j. barnes/who/eop@eop
victoria l. valentine/who/eop@eop
dorinda a. salcido/who/eop@eop
anne m. edwards/who/eop@eop
karen c. burchard/who/eop@eop
mark a. kitchens/who/eop@eop
jenni r. engebretsen/who/eop@eop
julie e. mason/who/eop@eop
suntum_m@a1@cd@vaxgtwy
olcott_e@a1 @ cd @ vaxgtwy
joshua s. gottheimer/who/eop@eop
mark j. bernstein/who/eop@eop
patrick e. briggs/who/eop@eop
denver r. peacock/who/eop@eop
susan l. hazard/who/eop@eop
crowley_p@a1 @ cd @ lngtwy
michael a. hammer/nsc/eop@eop
leavy_d@a1@cd@vaxgtwy@eop
lindsey e. huff/nsc/eop@eop
wozniak_n@a1 @ cd @ lngtwy
nathan b. naylor/ovp@ovp
toby c. graff/who/eop@eop
sharon k. gill/who/eop@eop
sharon farmer/who/eop@eop
ralph alswang/who/eop@eop
key c. german/who/eop@eop

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Victoria L. Valentine (CN=Victoria L. Valentine/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 17:46:17.00

SUBJECT: JFK Jr./Pres at WH

Broadcasting Corporation of China@13018814466@fax (Broadcasting Corporation of China@13018814466@fax [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

Chinese Television System@6628865@fax (Chinese Television System@6628865@fax [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

China Television Company@3933605@fax (China Television Company@3933605@fax [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TVBS@4087576@fax (TVBS@4087576@fax [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

Taiwan Television@4528692@fax (Taiwan Television@4528692@fax [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

Fuji Television Network Inc.@3470724@fax (Fuji Television Network Inc.@3470724@fax [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

Japan Broadcasting Corp.@8284571@fax (Japan Broadcasting Corp.@8284571@fax [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

Nippon Television Network@6380308@fax (Nippon Television Network@6380308@fax [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

Tokyo Broadcasting System Inc.@3933809@fax (Tokyo Broadcasting System Inc.@3933809@fax [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TV Asahi@3476558@fax (TV Asahi@3476558@fax [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TV Tokyo Ch.12@6380443@fax (TV Tokyo Ch.12@6380443@fax [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

Far Eastern Economic Review@7280624@fax (Far Eastern Economic Review@7280624@fax [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

Weekly Gendai@1-703-5254249@fax (Weekly Gendai@1-703-5254249@fax [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

Christian Broadcasting System@7376821@fax (Christian Broadcasting System@7376821@fax [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

Korean Broadcasting System@6627347@fax (Korean Broadcasting System@6627347@fax [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

MBC Radio-TV@3471611@fax (MBC Radio-TV@3471611@fax [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

Seoul Broadcasting System@6621261@fax (Seoul Broadcasting System@6621261@fax [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

Business Times@13015727233@fax (Business Times@13015727233@fax [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

Front Line@13013403338@fax (Front Line@13013403338@fax [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

Outlook@8570619@fax (Outlook@8570619@fax [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

Probe India@17032640041@fax (Probe India@17032640041@fax [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

Gatra@4832742@fax (Gatra@4832742@fax [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

info@asianfortune.com (info@asianfortune.com @ inet [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

pmneditor@aol.com (pmneditor@aol.com @ inet [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
THE WHITE HOUSE

Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate
Release
21, 1999

July

PHOTO RELEASE

President Clinton Tours the White House with John F. Kennedy, Jr. and
Carolyn Bessette Kennedy
The Blue Room, The White House
March 5, 1998

PHOTO CREDIT: Ralph Alswang, The White House

###

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====
ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Unable to convert ARMS_EXT:[ATTACH.D6]ARMS27944830L.236 to ASCII,
The following is a HEX DUMP:

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====



Automated Records Management System
Hex-Dump Conversion

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Julie B. Goldberg (CN=Julie B. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 17:03:23.00

SUBJECT: Statement by the Press Secretary: John F. Kennedy, Jr.

TO: wdtimes@mindspring.com (wdtimes@mindspring.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: dleinwand@gns.gannett.com (dleinwand@gns.gannett.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: laura_maggi@yahoo.com (laura_maggi@yahoo.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: zanadume@aol.com (zanadume@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jmulligan@belo-dc.com (jmulligan@belo-dc.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: kenf@coxnews.com (kenf@coxnews.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jrosen@mcclatchydc.com (jrosen@mcclatchydc.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: eunicem@coxnews.com (eunicem@coxnews.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: cahulse@nytimes.com (cahulse@nytimes.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: phardin@media-general.com (phardin@media-general.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: nabrup@aol.com (nabrup@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Macdonald@courant.com (Macdonald@courant.com [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: bhouse@gns.gannett.com (bhouse@gns.gannett.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jenda@krwashington.com (jenda@krwashington.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: BrettL@pressroom.com (BrettL@pressroom.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jmachace@gns.gannett.com (jmachace@gns.gannett.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: zelda.wallace@cox.com (zelda.wallace@cox.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jsoteropoulos@tampatrib.com (jsoteropoulos@tampatrib.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jpacker@nationalpress.com (jpacker@nationalpress.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: cweiser@gns.gannett.com (cweiser@gns.gannett.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Stevep7012@aol.com (Stevep7012@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: cleonnig@krwashington.com (cleonnig@krwashington.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: adamnag@nytimes.com (adamnag@nytimes.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: robert.cohen@pressroom.com (robert.cohen@pressroom.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: bobdeans@coxnews.com (bobdeans@coxnews.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: adair@sptimes.com (adair@sptimes.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: wgibson@tribune.com (wgibson@tribune.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mdavis@krwashington.com (mdavis@krwashington.com [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ssobek@gns.gannett.com (ssobek@gns.gannett.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ekelly@gns.gannett.com (ekelly@gns.gannett.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: finelc@yahoo.com (finelc@yahoo.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: lwheeler@gns.gannett.com (lwheeler@gns.gannett.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: gklein@media-general.com (gklein@media-general.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mainedc@aol.com (mainedc@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: dpace@ap.org (dpace@ap.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Paul_J_Kane@hotmail.com (Paul_J_Kane@hotmail.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jhoeffel@media-general.com (jhoeffel@media-general.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: aemory256@aol.com (aemory256@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: remez@courant.com (remez@courant.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: lightman@courant.com (lightman@courant.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mdpreston@usa.net (mdpreston@usa.net [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: deborin@aol.com (deborin@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: maddoxj@shns.com (maddoxj@shns.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: robert.gavin@newhouse.com (robert.gavin@newhouse.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: firstleff@aol.com (firstleff@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: larryl@coxnews.com (larryl@coxnews.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: dkalb@gns.gannett.com (dkalb@gns.gannett.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jzremski@erols.com (jzremski@erols.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: cmondics@krwashington.com (cmondics@krwashington.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: a10hoops@pressroom.com (a10hoops@pressroom.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: scott.orr@pressroom.com (scott.orr@pressroom.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: scales@nws.globe.com (scales@nws.globe.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: fdavies@krwashington.com (fdavies@krwashington.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: tlytle@tribune.com (tlytle@tribune.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: lbaldor@aol.com (lbaldor@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
THE WHITE HOUSE

Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate
Release
21, 1999

July

STATEMENT BY THE PRESS SECRETARY

President Clinton and First Lady Hillary Rodham Clinton will travel to New York City on Friday, July 23, 1999 to attend the memorial service for John F. Kennedy, Jr. and Carolyn Bessette Kennedy.

###

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Wendy C. New (CN=Wendy C. New/O=OVP [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 16:58:38.00

SUBJECT: Arrangements for JFK, Jr., his wife & sister-in-law

TO: Clay Reed (CN=Clay Reed/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Paul J. Cusack (CN=Paul J. Cusack/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Patricia A. McHugh (CN=Patricia A. McHugh/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

fyi

----- Forwarded by Wendy C. New/OVP on 07/21/99 04:52 PM

Patricia A. McHugh

07/21/99 04:52 PM

To: Thurgood Marshall Jr/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc: Wendy C. New/OVP@OVP, Paul J. Cusack/OVP@OVP, Aimee M.

Malnati/OVP@OVP, Vanessa M. Flindt/OVP@OVP

Subject: Arrangements for JFK, Jr., his wife & sister-in-law

We were wondering if flowers from the VP & MEG would be appropriate.

Could you give us your advice, along with details, as available. Since

I'll be traveling tomorrow & Friday, could you or someone on your staff be in touch w/Wendy and/or Paul. Many thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jena V. Roscoe (CN=Jena V. Roscoe/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 17:24:12.00

SUBJECT: President to attend Memorial Service for JFK, Jr. and Carolyn Bessette

TO: missdc (missdc @ blackgeeks.net [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: carruthers (carruthers @ ssd0.nrl.navy.mil [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jbemley (jbemley @ american.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: NTAMFJI (NTAMFJI @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: nbpcinfo (nbpcinfo @ blackstarcom.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: aprille.j.ericssonjackson (aprille.j.ericssonjackson @ gsfc.nasa.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: editor4icr@aol.com (editor4icr@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: natlpbconv (natlpbconv @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: NCPastorJ@aol.com (NCPastorJ@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: vander2201 (vander2201 @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: emeka (emeka @ sirius.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Alfour007 (Alfour007 @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ferok (ferok @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: spitts1 (spitts1 @ pdq.net [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mkdorsey (mkdorsey @ umcc@ais.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: btjealous (btjealous @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Simone.Green (Simone.Green @ mailcity.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jtnruthie (jtnruthie @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mclaurin (mclaurin @ dnc.democrats.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: osborne (osborne @ gwu.edu [OA])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: osboj (osboj @ seas.gwu.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: essencesmr@aol.com (essencesmr@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MILDRED (MILDRED @ EROLS.com [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: aharris2 (aharris2 @ richmond.k12.va.us [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: golcl01 (golcl01 @ cai.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Andrea.T.Osborne (Andrea.T.Osborne @ ccmil.irs.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: rosafrica1 (rosafrica1 @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: bprather (bprather @ naswdc.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: amickle (amickle @ stwnet.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: majoracarter (majoracarter @ usa.net [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: dorsey (dorsey @ frontiernet.net [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: theludovic (theludovic @ hotmail.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: dscej (dscej @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: socejp (socejp @ igc.apc.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: donedwards (donedwards @ igc.apc.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: gailshields (gailshields @ igc.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: bbryant (bbryant @ umich.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: dorseym@umich.edu (dorseym@umich.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: rbullard (rbullard @ cau.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: nabse (nabse @ nabse.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MQuidley (MQuidley @ UNCF.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: qlawson@nabse.org (qlawson@nabse.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: march@ucla.edu (march@ucla.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: gerry.grimm@deafweb.org (gerry.grimm@deafweb.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: couthen61@aol.com (couthen61@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: najeeali@aol.com (najeeali@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: krishna.toolsie (krishna.toolsie @ usccr.sprint.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: bannistr (bannistr @ seiu.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: rwomack (rwomack @ aflcio.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: gfranklin (gfranklin @ toledodiocese.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: bcarroll (bcarroll @ nccbuscc.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: VWashing (VWashing @ archbalt.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: rmcccloud (rmcccloud @ fwdioc.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: hstanley (hstanley @ archbalt.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elenore.A.Williams.CJXK@StateFarm.com (Elenore.A.Williams.CJXK@StateFarm.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: dnelson@aafla.org (dnelson@aafla.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Chris.Dawson@worldafrican.com (Chris.Dawson@worldafrican.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: najlbg (najlbg @ earthlink.net [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: adevans (adevans @ lucent.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: nbuf (nbuf @ nbuf.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: NBASLH (NBASLH @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: kmoore@mail.xula.edu (kmoore@mail.xula.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: zenaida.mendez@mail.house.gov (zenaida.mendez@mail.house.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: oaa@oanet.org (oaa@oanet.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: edorsey@cldc.howard.edu (edorsey@cldc.howard.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: rmvieira@cldc.howard.edu (rmvieira@cldc.howard.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: IAA51997@aol.com (IAA51997@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: SShields (SShields @ ngltf.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: DRHolmesMD (DRHolmesMD @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: SteveByBay (SteveByBay @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: RJohn0403 (RJohn0403 @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: KER340 (KER340 @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: foggybend7 (foggybend7 @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: warfield (warfield @ nlc.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: blfinc (blfinc @ gte.net [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mfjblanco@hotmail.com (mfjblanco@hotmail.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: smithfd@aol.com (smithfd@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: TEXTLBO@aol.com (TEXTLBO@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: rtsimms@bkb.com (rtsimms@bkb.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: orgneq@idt.net (orgneq@idt.net [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: fasecnav@aol.com (fasecnav@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: nmsdc1@aol.com (nmsdc1@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: oica@aol.com (oica@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: SAMCNAMC@AOL.COM (SAMCNAMC@AOL.COM [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: AMAC1@ix.netcom.com (AMAC1@ix.netcom.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: beconference@blackenterprise.com (beconference@blackenterprise.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mclark@erols.com (mclark@erols.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: aviator@obap.org (aviator@obap.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: m.a.chapman (m.a.chapman @ larc.nasa.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: trimble (trimble @ scs.howard.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: george.carruthers (george.carruthers @ nrl.navy.mil [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: BBracey (BBracey @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: NBDPA (NBDPA @ IX.NETCOM.COM [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: JROTHINC@AOL.COM (JROTHINC@AOL.COM [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: nbca (nbca @ shreve.net [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mharrison (mharrison @ umc-gbcs.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: PetrosPMBC (PetrosPMBC @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: fame (fame @ famechurch.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: brathwaite-paul (brathwaite-paul @ dol.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ta_shunna_t_marshall (ta_shunna_t_marshall @ ccmil.census.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: zina.pierre (zina.pierre @ SBA.GOV [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: sofiaq (sofiaq @ ibo.nyc.ny.us [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: osahar (osahar @ bellatlantic.net [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: cyork (cyork @ sag.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: whitaker (whitaker @ smtp.democrats.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: lacy (lacy @ wjh.harvard.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: osbornes (osbornes @ sec.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mangrummw (mangrummw @juno.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: MOSBORNE (MOSBORNE @ EMAIL.USPS.GOV [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: BETTY.PEARSON (BETTY.PEARSON @ JUSTICE.USDOJ.GOV [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: brobr02 (brobr02 @ cai.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: dariusosborne (dariusosborne @ hotmail.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: harrisrl (harrisrl @ ci.richmond.va.us [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: kth181 (kth181 @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: smickle (smickle @ rbhnr.usda.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: roscoead.jfk (roscoead.jfk @ navair.navy.mil [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: nhill (nhill @ aprihq.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: alyttle (alyttle @ law.tulane.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: scldfnawllins (scldfnawllins @ igc.apc.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: bepo (bepo @ earthlink.net [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mcorbin (mcorbin @ cpcug.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: gailshields (gailshields @juno.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mkdorsey (mkdorsey @ umcc.ais.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: vmiller (vmiller @ nrdc.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: aabe (aabe @ erols.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: frank_hawkins (frank_hawkins @ pvamu.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: chalkinc (chalkinc @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: eve@marcassoc.com (eve@marcassoc.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: BOZOMAN23@webtv.net (BOZOMAN23@webtv.net [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: coutheAl@msd.edu (coutheAl@msd.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: NBASLH@aol.com (NBASLH@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ctaylor@nbcidi.org (ctaylor@nbcidi.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: simone.green (simone.green @ mailcity.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: henderson (henderson @ civilrights.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mat (Mat @ archlou.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Bmitchel (Bmitchel @ archbalt.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: dpv (dpv @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: nbcc (nbcc @ archbalt.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: alma@mindspring.com (alma@mindspring.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: oasisop@worldnet.att.net (oasisop@worldnet.att.net [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: tigerbplanner@hotmail.com (tigerbplanner@hotmail.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: JLOEB@BREAKAWAY.ORG (JLOEB@BREAKAWAY.ORG [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: NAAHP (NAAHP @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: enhicks (enhicks @ compuserve.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: chance (chance @ clark.net [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: NCBMP (NCBMP @ compuserve.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: clairen@iadb.org (clairen@iadb.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: rasprilla@hotmail.com (rasprilla@hotmail.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mfranklin@oaanet.org (mfranklin@oaanet.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: edorsey@bschool.howard.edu (edorsey@bschool.howard.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: IAAS1997@aol.com (IAAS1997@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anthonymc (Anthonymc @ helix.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael (Michael @ usi-online.com [ONDCP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brencraw (Brencraw @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: venusMag1 (venusMag1 @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Debra.Rose (Debra.Rose @ ual.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Boykink (Boykink @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ewilliams (ewilliams @ jointcenter.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: REMEMBERAFRICA@YAHOO.COM (REMEMBERAFRICA@YAHOO.COM [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ics@icsdc.org (ics@icsdc.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: hopela@aol.com (hopela@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: msimms@jointcenter.org (msimms@jointcenter.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: one119@idt.net (one119@idt.net [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ALFI@acbankers.org (ALFI@acbankers.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: chaunaci@nbmbaa.org (chaunaci@nbmbaa.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: namad-dc@msn.com (namad-dc@msn.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: naub340@aol.com (naub340@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: pwib@aol.com (pwib@aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: namdntl@earthlink.net (namdntl@earthlink.net [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard.Hayes@SBA.GOV (Richard.Hayes@SBA.GOV [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: tesspooner (tesspooner @ aol.com [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
THE WHITE HOUSE

Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
July 21, 1999

STATEMENT BY THE PRESS SECRETARY

President Clinton and First Lady Hillary Rodham Clinton will travel to New York City on Friday, July 23, 1999 to attend the memorial service for John F. Kennedy, Jr. and Carolyn Bessette Kennedy.

###

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Nanda Chitre (CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 16:55:28.00

SUBJECT: HEADS UP on JFK Photo/Service

TO: Ralph Alswang (CN=Ralph Alswang/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sharon K. Gill (CN=Sharon K. Gill/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nathan B. Naylor (CN=Nathan B. Naylor/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Lindsey E. Huff (CN=Lindsey E. Huff/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael A. Hammer (CN=Michael A. Hammer/OU=NSC/O=EOP@EOP [NSC])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Susan L. Hazard (CN=Susan L. Hazard/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patrick E. Briggs (CN=Patrick E. Briggs/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua S. Gottheimer (CN=Joshua S. Gottheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: SUNTUM_M@A1@CD@VAXGTWY (SUNTUM_M@A1@CD@VAXGTWY @ VAXGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jenni R. Engebretsen (CN=Jenni R. Engebretsen/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Karen C. Burchard (CN=Karen C. Burchard/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dorinda A. Salcido (CN=Dorinda A. Salcido/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Beverly J. Barnes (CN=Beverly J. Barnes/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brenda M. Anders (CN=Brenda M. Anders/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark D. Neschis (CN=Mark D. Neschis/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sarah E. Gegenheimer (CN=Sarah E. Gegenheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heather M. Riley (CN=Heather M. Riley/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jason H. Schechter (CN=Jason H. Schechter/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nanda Chitre (CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri (CN=Jennifer M. Palmieri/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Key C. German (CN=Key C. German/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Sharon Farmer (CN=Sharon Farmer/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Toby C. Graff (CN=Toby C. Graff/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: WOZNIAK_N@A1 (WOZNIAK_N@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: LEAVY_D@A1@CD@VAXGTWY@EOP (LEAVY_D@A1@CD@VAXGTWY@EOP [UNKNOWN])
(NSC)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: CROWLEY_P@A1 (CROWLEY_P@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (NSC)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Denver R. Peacock (CN=Denver R. Peacock/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark J. Bernstein (CN=Mark J. Bernstein/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: OLCOTT_E@A1 (OLCOTT_E@A1 @ CD @ VAXGTWY [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie E. Mason (CN=Julie E. Mason/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Mark A. Kitchens (CN=Mark A. Kitchens/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Anne M. Edwards (CN=Anne M. Edwards/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Victoria L. Valentine (CN=Victoria L. Valentine/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Megan C. Moloney (CN=Megan C. Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dag Vega (CN=Dag Vega/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julie B. Goldberg (CN=Julie B. Goldberg/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman (CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Richard L. Siewert (CN=Richard L. Siewert/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Julia M. Payne (CN=Julia M. Payne/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Erica S. Lepping (CN=Erica S. Lepping/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
Statement on potus/hrc attending serive friday coming

Photo release (disks being given to wires only) of POTUS and JFK Jr. and
Carolyn touring house on March 5, 1998 are on their way

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: June G. Turner (CN=June G. Turner/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 15:59:45.00

SUBJECT: Re: Friday

TO: Carolyn T. Wu (CN=Carolyn T. Wu/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I think Friday is going to be very Iffy.....especially if there is a service for JFK, Jr.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thurgood Marshall Jr (CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 18:57:47.00

SUBJECT: Re: Arrangements for JFK, Jr., his wife & sister-in-law

TO: Patricia A. McHugh (CN=Patricia A. McHugh/O=OVP@OVP [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Kris M Balderston (CN=Kris M Balderston/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: vanessa m. flindt (CN=vanessa m. flindt/O=ovp@ovp [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: paul j. cusack (CN=paul j. cusack/O=ovp@ovp [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Anne W. Bovaird (CN=Anne W. Bovaird/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: aimee m. malnati (CN=aimee m. malnati/O=ovp@ovp [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: wendy c. new (CN=wendy c. new/O=ovp@ovp [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

I just checked in again with the Kennedy team in NYC and do not yet have a response. I am, however, convinced that one is coming reasonably soon.

Patricia A. McHugh@OVP

07/21/99 04:52:04 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Thurgood Marshall Jr/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc: Wendy C. New/OVP@OVP, Paul J. Cusack/OVP@OVP, Aimee M. Malnati/OVP@OVP, Vanessa M. Flindt/OVP@OVP

Subject: Arrangements for JFK, Jr., his wife & sister-in-law

We were wondering if flowers from the VP & MEG would be appropriate.

Could you give us your advice, along with details, as available. Since

I'll be traveling tomorrow & Friday, could you or someone on your staff be

in touch w/Wendy and/or Paul. Many thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: Julia M. Payne@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 16:57:00.00

SUBJECT: Re: JFK Tour of WH

TO: leavy_d (leavy_d@a1@cd) (NSC)
READ:21-JUL-1999 18:53:14.00

TO: Nanda Chitre (Nanda Chitre@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

CC: suntum_m (suntum_m@a1@cd) (WHO)
READ:21-JUL-1999 18:01:15.39

CC: olcott_e (olcott_e@a1@cd) (WHO)
READ:21-JUL-1999 16:59:57.46

CC: crowley_p (crowley_p@a1@cd) (NSC)
READ:NOT READ

CC: wozniak_n (wozniak_n@a1@cd) (NSC)
READ:NOT READ

CC: erica s. lepping (erica s. lepping@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

CC: jennifer m. palmieri (jennifer m. palmieri@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

CC: barry j. toiv (barry j. toiv@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

CC: nanda chitre (nanda chitre@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

CC: jason h. schechter (jason h. schechter@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

CC: richard l. siewert (richard l. siewert@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

CC: heather m. riley (heather m. riley@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

CC: elizabeth r. newman (elizabeth r. newman@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

CC: sarah e. gegenheimer (sarah e. gegenheimer@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

CC: julie b. goldberg READ:NOT READ	(julie b. goldberg@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
CC: mark d. neschis READ:NOT READ	(mark d. neschis@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
CC: dag vega READ:NOT READ	(dag vega@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
CC: brenda m. anders READ:NOT READ	(brenda m. anders@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
CC: megan c. moloney READ:NOT READ	(megan c. moloney@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
CC: beverly j. barnes READ:NOT READ	(beverly j. barnes@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
CC: victoria l. valentine READ:NOT READ	(victoria l. valentine@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
CC: dorinda a. salcido READ:NOT READ	(dorinda a. salcido@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
CC: anne m. edwards READ:NOT READ	(anne m. edwards@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
CC: karen c. burchard READ:NOT READ	(karen c. burchard@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
CC: mark a. kitchens READ:NOT READ	(mark a. kitchens@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
CC: jenni r. engebretsen READ:NOT READ	(jenni r. engebretsen@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
CC: julie e. mason READ:NOT READ	(julie e. mason@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
CC: joshua s. gottheimer READ:NOT READ	(joshua s. gottheimer@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
CC: mark j. bernstein READ:NOT READ	(mark j. bernstein@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
CC: patrick e. briggs READ:NOT READ	(patrick e. briggs@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
CC: denver r. peacock READ:NOT READ	(denver r. peacock@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
CC: susan l. hazard READ:NOT READ	(susan l. hazard@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

CC: michael a. hammer (michael a. hammer@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

CC: leavy_d (leavy_d@a1@cd@vaxgtwy@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX) (NSC)
READ:21-JUL-1999 18:53:14.00

CC: lindsey e. huff (lindsey e. huff@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

CC: nathan b. naylor (nathan b. naylor@ovp@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

CC: toby c. graff (toby c. graff@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

CC: sharon k. gill (sharon k. gill@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

CC: sharon farmer (sharon farmer@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

CC: ralph alswang (ralph alswang@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

CC: key c. german (key c. german@eop@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:
Message Creation Date was at 21-JUL-1999 16:55:00

The Oval Office visit was in 1994 following a School-to-Work Council Meeting

Nanda Chitre
07/21/99 04:24:47 PM
Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message
cc:
Subject: JFK Tour of WH

JFK Jr. attended the March 5, 1998 HBO screening "From the Earth to the Moon"
w/Tom Hanks. That's when potus and hrc gave him and carolyn the tour of the
residence. The tour is referenced in Mrs. Clinton column today.

Message Sent To:
Erica S. Lepping/WHO/EOP@EOP

Jennifer M. Palmieri/WHO/EOP@EOP
Barry J. Toiv/WHO/EOP@EOP
Nanda Chitre/WHO/EOP@EOP
Julia M. Payne/WHO/EOP@EOP
Jason H. Schechter/WHO/EOP@EOP
Richard L. Siewert/WHO/EOP@EOP
Heather M. Riley/WHO/EOP@EOP
Elizabeth R. Newman/WHO/EOP@EOP
Sarah E. Gegenheimer/WHO/EOP@EOP
Julie B. Goldberg/WHO/EOP@EOP
Mark D. Neschis/WHO/EOP@EOP
Dag Vega/WHO/EOP@EOP
Brenda M. Anders/WHO/EOP@EOP
Megan C. Moloney/WHO/EOP@EOP
Beverly J. Barnes/WHO/EOP@EOP
Victoria L. Valentine/WHO/EOP@EOP
Dorinda A. Salcido/WHO/EOP@EOP
Anne M. Edwards/WHO/EOP@EOP
Karen C. Burchard/WHO/EOP@EOP
Mark A. Kitchens/WHO/EOP@EOP
Jenni R. Engebretsen/WHO/EOP@EOP
Julie E. Mason/WHO/EOP@EOP
SUNTUM_M@A1@CD@VAXGTWY @ VAXGTWY
OLCOTT_E@A1 @ CD @ VAXGTWY
Joshua S. Gottheimer/WHO/EOP@EOP
Mark J. Bernstein/WHO/EOP@EOP
Patrick E. Briggs/WHO/EOP@EOP
Denver R. Peacock/WHO/EOP@EOP
Susan L. Hazard/WHO/EOP@EOP
CROWLEY_P@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY
Michael A. Hammer/NSC/EOP@EOP
LEAVY_D@A1@CD@VAXGTWY@EOP
Lindsey E. Huff/NSC/EOP@EOP
WOZNIAK_N@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY
Nathan B. Naylor/OVP@OVP
Toby C. Graff/WHO/EOP@EOP
Sharon K. Gill/WHO/EOP@EOP
Sharon Farmer/WHO/EOP@EOP
Ralph Alswang/WHO/EOP@EOP
Key C. German/WHO/EOP@EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: Nanda Chitre@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 16:28:00.00

SUBJECT: JFK Tour of WH

TO: SUNTUM_M (SUNTUM_M@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:21-JUL-1999 18:01:10.33

TO: OLCOTT_E (OLCOTT_E@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:NOT READ

TO: CROWLEY_P (CROWLEY_P@A1@CD) (NSC)
READ:NOT READ

TO: WOZNIAK_N (WOZNIAK_N@A1@CD) (NSC)
READ:NOT READ

TO: LEAVY_D (LEAVY_D@A1@CD) (NSC)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Erica S. Lepping (Erica S. Lepping@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri (Jennifer M. Palmieri@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Barry J. Toiv (Barry J. Toiv@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Nanda Chitre (Nanda Chitre@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Julia M. Payne (Julia M. Payne@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jason H. Schechter (Jason H. Schechter@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Richard L. Siewert (Richard L. Siewert@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Heather M. Riley (Heather M. Riley@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman (Elizabeth R. Newman@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Sarah E. Gegenheimer (Sarah E. Gegenheimer@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Julie B. Goldberg READ:NOT READ	(Julie B. Goldberg@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Mark D. Neschis READ:NOT READ	(Mark D. Neschis@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Dag Vega READ:NOT READ	(Dag Vega@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Brenda M. Anders READ:NOT READ	(Brenda M. Anders@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Megan C. Moloney READ:NOT READ	(Megan C. Moloney@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Beverly J. Barnes READ:NOT READ	(Beverly J. Barnes@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Victoria L. Valentine READ:NOT READ	(Victoria L. Valentine@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Dorinda A. Salcido READ:NOT READ	(Dorinda A. Salcido@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Anne M. Edwards READ:NOT READ	(Anne M. Edwards@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Karen C. Burchard READ:NOT READ	(Karen C. Burchard@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Mark A. Kitchens READ:NOT READ	(Mark A. Kitchens@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Jenni R. Engebretsen READ:NOT READ	(Jenni R. Engebretsen@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Julie E. Mason READ:NOT READ	(Julie E. Mason@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Joshua S. Gottheimer READ:NOT READ	(Joshua S. Gottheimer@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Mark J. Bernstein READ:NOT READ	(Mark J. Bernstein@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Patrick E. Briggs READ:NOT READ	(Patrick E. Briggs@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Denver R. Peacock READ:NOT READ	(Denver R. Peacock@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Susan L. Hazard READ:NOT READ	(Susan L. Hazard@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Michael A. Hammer (Michael A. Hammer@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: LEAVY_D (LEAVY_D@A1@CD@VAXGTWY@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX) (NSC)
READ:21-JUL-1999 18:53:01.70

TO: Lindsey E. Huff (Lindsey E. Huff@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Nathan B. Naylor (Nathan B. Naylor@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Toby C. Graff (Toby C. Graff@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Sharon K. Gill (Sharon K. Gill@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Sharon Farmer (Sharon Farmer@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Ralph Alswang (Ralph Alswang@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Key C. German (Key C. German@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TEXT:
Message Creation Date was at 21-JUL-1999 16:24:00

JFK Jr. attended the March 5, 1998 HBO screening "From the Earth to the Moon"
w/Tom Hanks. That's when potus and hrc gave him and carolyn the tour of the
residence. The tour is referenced in Mrs. Clinton column today.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jeffrey A. Shesol (CN=Jeffrey A. Shesol/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 17:55:19.00

SUBJECT: Re: JFK Tour of WH

TO: Joshua S. Gottheimer (CN=Joshua S. Gottheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Subj:

The Onion

Date:

Wed, 21 Jul 1999 12:31:02 PM Eastern Daylight Time

From:

Rob Shesol <RShesol@hcp.ie>

To:

"Jeff Shesol (E-mail)" <Shesol@aol.com>

Sent on:

Internet Mail Service (5.0.1459.74)

Lyndon Johnson Jr. Sworn In As George Editor
HYANNISPORT, MA--At 4:11 a.m. EST Saturday, Lyndon Baines
Johnson Jr.
was sworn in as editor-in-chief of George magazine following
the death
of John F. Kennedy Jr. in a plane crash. "At this tragic time
in our
magazine's history, let us look forward, remembering always
the legacy
of my predecessor," said the 51-year-old Johnson, previously
George's
assistant editor, after being hastily sworn in by Chief
Justice William
Rehnquist on a New York-bound DC-10 jet. "Let us now begin a
process of
healing, followed by a time of renewal at over 60 percent off
the
regular cover price." The somber ceremony was witnessed by an
estimated
15 George staffers and subscribers.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Thurgood Marshall Jr (CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 18:38:14.00

SUBJECT: Re: photo

TO: Barry J. Toiv (CN=Barry J. Toiv/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Thanks for the update. Either one worked for me.

Barry J. Toiv

07/21/99 06:28:36 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Thurgood Marshall Jr/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc:

Subject: photo

FYI, we opted to put out the photo of the three of them rather than the one of JFK Jr looking at the JFK portrait.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: earlybird-alert@njdc.com (earlybird-alert@njdc.com [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 08:29:30.00

SUBJECT: EARLYBIRDS: Kennedy Plane, Remains Found

TO: Leslie Bernstein (CN=Leslie Bernstein/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Today's Earlybirds are now available on

National Journal's Cloakroom:

<http://www.cloakroom.com/members/news/earlybird/>

This morning's headlines at 8:30 a.m.:

Hotline Earlybird: Kennedy plane found. Plus, the latest Hill action and WH 2000 polls.

<http://cloakroom.com/members/news/earlybird/hotline.htm>

Greenwire Earlybird: "Green" bullets, Kosovo damage assessments and calls for a business-oriented global warming summit.

<http://cloakroom.com/members/news/earlybird/greenwire/>

Pundit Watch: PBS fund raising, Dem politics and the death of JFK Jr.

<http://cloakroom.com/members/news/earlybird/pundits.htm>

TV Track: Network news and talk-show lineups

<http://cloakroom.com/members/news/earlybird/tvnews.htm>

Today on Cloakroom:

-- On The Trail: Is Michael Forbes A Headache For The DSCC?

<http://cloakroom.com/members/buzz/trail.htm>

-- Poll Track: The Latest Surveys On Campaign 2000

<http://cloakroom.com/members/polltrack/>

To stop receiving this E-mail Alert:

Reply to this message and include the word "unsubscribe" (without quotes)
in the BODY of the message.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Anne W. Bovaird (CN=Anne W. Bovaird/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 18:39:31.00

SUBJECT: Re: Arrangements for JFK, Jr., his wife & sister-in-law

TO: Thurgood Marshall Jr (CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Has this been taken care of? What is the answer?

Thurgood Marshall Jr

07/21/99 04:57:04 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Patricia A. McHugh/OVP@OVP

cc: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

bcc:

Subject: Re: Arrangements for JFK, Jr., his wife & sister-in-law

The Kennedy Team in NYC should be getting back to us by 6:00 with answers to several questions, including preferences RE condolence notes (NSC is getting calls, among others), donations, flowers, etc.

Pls check with us if you or your colleagues have not heard anything from us by 6:15.

Patricia A. McHugh@OVP

07/21/99 04:52:04 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Thurgood Marshall Jr/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc: Wendy C. New/OVP@OVP, Paul J. Cusack/OVP@OVP, Aimee M.

Malnati/OVP@OVP, Vanessa M. Flindt/OVP@OVP

Subject: Arrangements for JFK, Jr., his wife & sister-in-law

We were wondering if flowers from the VP & MEG would be appropriate. Could you give us your advice, along with details, as available. Since

I'll be traveling tomorrow & Friday, could you or someone on your staff be in touch w/Wendy and/or Paul. Many thanks.

Message Copied

To:

wendy c. new/ovp@ovp

paul j. cusack/ovp@ovp

aimee m. malnati/ovp@ovp

vanessa m. flindt/ovp@ovp

Anne W. Bovaird/WHO/EOP@EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Nanda Chitre (CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 17:25:52.00

SUBJECT: Re: JFK Jr. Space Question

TO: Jefferson Hofgard (CN=Jefferson Hofgard/OU=OSTP/O=EOP@EOP [OSTP])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
great - thanks.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: "Gerstein, Josh" <Josh.Gerstein@abc.com> ("Gerstein, Josh" <Josh.Gerstein@abc.com> [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 14:46:59.00

SUBJECT: AP Kills Story

TO: Richard L. Siewert (CN=Richard L. Siewert/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

a later update attributes the false report to a "military source"

67547 PM-Kennedy, WITHHOLD AP GENERAL NEWS URGENT 36 07/21 2:17 PM

PM-Kennedy, WITHHOLD, a0642,0036
(0662a-----au014NY)

WITHHOLD

AQUINNAH, Mass. - Withhold PM-Kennedy, 10th Ld, a0642. A government agency says John F. Kennedy Jr.'s body has NOT been brought on board the Navy ship.

The AP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: MaryEllen C. McGuire (CN=MaryEllen C. McGuire/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 17:10:30.00

SUBJECT: HRC column 7/21/99

TO: Constance M. Colabatistto (CN=Constance M. Colabatistto/OU=OA/O=EOP@EOP [OA])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

for colum section of HRC website

----- Forwarded by MaryEllen C. McGuire/WHO/EOP on
07/21/99 05:10 PM -----

Jennifer H. Smith

07/21/99 09:42:59 AM

Record Type: Record

To: MaryEllen C. McGuire/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc:

Subject: HRC column 7/21/99

Can you forward this along to the website? Thanks!

----- Forwarded by Jennifer H. Smith/WHO/EOP on 07/21/99
09:42 AM -----

Noa A. Meyer

07/21/99 08:40:49 AM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

cc:

Subject: HRC column 7/21/99

TALKING IT OVER

BY HILLARY RODHAM CLINTON

RELEASE: WEDNESDAY, JULY 21, 1999, AND THEREAFTER

Let me share with you some of the words John F. Kennedy Jr. said the last time he was at the White House. It was March of 1998, and he had come to Washington for a screening of Tom Hanks' 12-hour HBO special, "From the Earth to the Moon," about the history of the Apollo space program.

"I'm honored to be here at the White House," he said, "just a few yards from where President Kennedy made the decision to send Americans to the moon and return them safely back to the U.S. before the decade of the 1960s was over. In hindsight, the choice looked obvious, but at the time, it was, in a very real sense, President Kennedy's alone, made against the opposition of conservatives who thought the priority should be warheads, and liberals who called the project 'Moondoggle' and believed the money should be better spent on social services ...

"But today, there is an American flag on a windless plain on the moon, because the challenge to explore space stirred something deep and indelible in the American character. And while all the dire predictions never came true, and the technological payoff can be found in everything -- from computers to freeze-dried foods to scratch-proof sunglasses -- we are richer as a nation with the vivid memory of that first step on the moon."

Kennedy went on to call the space program his father's "proudest legacy." This week, we celebrate that legacy by marking the 30th anniversary of Apollo 11, which landed a man on the moon for the first time, and we salute Col. Eileen Collins, the first woman to command a space shuttle mission. Today, every NASA astronaut recognizes and remembers President Kennedy's legacy. So, it was with special sadness this weekend that Apollo 11 astronaut Buzz Aldrin spoke at the Kennedy Space Center after hearing the shocking news that John Kennedy's airplane had disappeared over Martha's Vineyard. "Our hearts," he said, "go out to the family that has started so much, achieved so much, suffered and sacrificed so much, and now has even further uncertainty."

Indeed, the hearts of the entire nation go out to the Kennedy family, as well as to the family of Carolyn Bessette Kennedy and her sister, Lauren. For just as we have carried the picture of Neil Armstrong and Buzz Aldrin walking on the moon, so, too, have we carried the picture of John Kennedy Jr., just 3 years old, saluting the cordon that carried his father's coffin into St. Matthew's Cathedral that bleak November day, 36 years ago.

As an adult, John's public persona was tinged with glamour and adventure. But those who knew him well knew a man who carried his father's and mother's legacy with grace -- a man who lived his life according to the Biblical edict so often repeated by his grandmother Rose: "And from everyone who has been given much shall much be required." His own legacy surely will include a strong devotion to public service and the rights of the underprivileged, the underrepresented and the underserved. I met John and his wife, Carolyn Bessette Kennedy, many times, but I'll never forget that final visit to the White House for the HBO screening. Everyone was moved by John's words about his father's contribution to the space program.

But what I remember most came after all the other guests had gone home, when we asked John and Carolyn whether they would like a tour of the White House. John, as it turned out, had not been there since 1963, and had trouble sorting out what he remembered from what he had seen only in pictures. We showed him the room where he slept, and we walked

around the grounds where he and his sister played. In the Oval Office, he saw the President's desk -- the same desk under which he was caught by a photographer playing hide-and-seek as a toddler -- and the garden named in honor of his mother, Jacqueline Kennedy.

The John Kennedy I met at the White House was elegant and eloquent -- a man who had lost so much as a child, but who went on to live a life filled with love, adventure, accomplishment and, as he said, "relative normalcy."

From the Special Olympics to the Peace Corps, from laws that have raised the minimum wage and extended health insurance to more working families, to promises that have sent men -- and now women -- into space, the Kennedy family for decades has inspired us, led us and moved our nation forward. My prayers -- and the prayers of the entire nation -- are with them today.

To find out more about Hillary Rodham Clinton and read her past columns, visit the Creators Syndicate web page at www.creators.com. COPYRIGHT 1999 CREATORS SYNDICATE, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Message Sent

To:

Melissa J. Prober/WHO/EOP@EOP
Cheryl D. Mills/WHO/EOP@EOP
Victoria L. Valentine/WHO/EOP@EOP
Whitney R. Williams/WHO/EOP@EOP
willieg@afroam.org
Jennifer H. Smith/WHO/EOP@EOP
Leela deSouza/WHO/EOP@EOP
Eric W. Woodard/WHO/EOP@EOP
Jennifer M. Luray/WHO/EOP@EOP
Kelley L. O'Dell/WHO/EOP@EOP
Leela deSouza/WHO/EOP@EOP
Kathleen W. Casey/WHO/EOP@EOP
Lowell A. Weiss/WHO/EOP@EOP
Jeffrey A. Shesol/WHO/EOP@EOP
Jordan Tamagni/WHO/EOP@EOP
Toby C. Graff/WHO/EOP@EOP
MaryEllen C. McGuire/WHO/EOP@EOP
Joshua S. Gottheimer/WHO/EOP@EOP
Shirley S. Sagawa/WHO/EOP@EOP
Eugenie Bisulco/WHO/EOP@EOP
omary@fas.harvard.edu
Heather M. Riley/WHO/EOP@EOP
Maureen T. Shea/WHO/EOP@EOP
Margaret L. Buford/WHO/EOP@EOP
Katherine D. Kincaid/WHO/EOP@EOP
Laura E. Schiller/WHO/EOP@EOP
Christine N. Macy/WHO/EOP@EOP
Laura D. Schwartz/WHO/EOP@EOP
Huma M. Abedin/WHO/EOP@EOP

Ellen M. Lovell/WHO/EOP@EOP
Kim B. Widdess/WHO/EOP@EOP
Marsha E. Berry/WHO/EOP@EOP
Patricia Solis-Doyle/WHO/EOP@EOP
Evan Ryan/WHO/EOP@EOP
Nicole R. Rabner/WHO/EOP@EOP
June Shih/WHO/EOP@EOP
Brenda B. Costello/WHO/EOP@EOP
Alice J. Pushkar/WHO/EOP@EOP
Jody J. Kaplan/WHO/EOP@EOP
Capricia P. Marshall/WHO/EOP@EOP
Eric P. Hothem/WHO/EOP@EOP
Ann A. McCoy/WHO/EOP@EOP
Mildred C. Alston/WHO/EOP@EOP
Pamela Cicetti/OPD/EOP@EOP
Kelly Craighead/WHO/EOP@EOP
Noa A. Meyer/WHO/EOP@EOP
Wendy Arends/WHO/EOP@EOP
Katharine Button/WHO/EOP@EOP
Barry J. Toiv/WHO/EOP@EOP
Anne M. Edwards/WHO/EOP@EOP
Brenda M. Anders/WHO/EOP@EOP
Joseph P. Lockhart/WHO/EOP@EOP
Megan C. Moloney/WHO/EOP@EOP
WOZNIAK_N@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY
Elizabeth R. Newman/WHO/EOP@EOP
Julia M. Payne/WHO/EOP@EOP
CROWLEY_P@A1 @ CD @ LNGTWY
Mark D. Neschis/WHO/EOP@EOP
Nanda Chitre/WHO/EOP@EOP
Beverly J. Barnes/WHO/EOP@EOP
Mark A. Kitchens/WHO/EOP@EOP
Jason H. Schechter/WHO/EOP@EOP
Julie E. Mason/WHO/EOP@EOP
SUNTUM_M@A1 @ CD @ VAXGTWY
Dorinda A. Salcido/WHO/EOP@EOP
Dag Vega/WHO/EOP@EOP
Key C. German/WHO/EOP@EOP
Margaret M. Whillock/WHO/EOP@EOP
Virginia L. Cearley/WHO/EOP@EOP
lissam@ix.netcom.com

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Joshua S. Gottheimer (CN=Joshua S. Gottheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 14:22:12.00

SUBJECT: revised statement

TO: Jeffrey A. Shesol (CN=Jeffrey A. Shesol/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Joshua S. Gottheimer/WHO/EOP on
07/21/99 02:22 PM -----

Joshua S. Gottheimer
07/17/99 08:58:07 PM
Record Type: Record

To: mawaldman@aol.com @ inet
cc:
Subject: revised statement

Draft 07/17/99 8pm
Shesol/ Waldman

PRESIDENT WILLIAM J. CLINTON
STATEMENT ON THE DEATH OF JOHN F. KENNEDY, JR.
CAMP DAVID, MARYLAND
July 18, 1999

Hillary and I feel deep sorrow about the loss of John Kennedy, Jr., his wife, Carolyn, and her sister, Lauren. Our hearts and prayers are with the Bessettes) and with the Kennedys, a family that has suffered much, and lost much, but has given even more to our country.

America has lost a favorite son. As a small child, at a trying time for our nation, John Kennedy, Jr. was a symbol of our grief and our hope for the future. Then we watched with pride as John grew from boyhood into the man we have known. He had his father ,s name, his mother ,s independent spirit, and carried on his family ,s legacy of public service. But John also lent his own voice, his own ideas and energy, to move our country forward. He carried the burdens of history and the weight of expectations with strength, grace, and good humor as he made a career in law enforcement, and founded a publication that engaged a new generation of Americans in public life.

Hillary, Chelsea and I were fortunate to call John and Carolyn our friends. We will miss them. And I know I will think often, in the days and years ahead, of a recent visit John made to the White House. Beneath

the portrait of President Kennedy, John stood for a moment) in silence, alone in his thoughts. I know that anytime I look at that portrait now, I will remember not only the father, but the son who was making his way in the world, staying true to the high ideals of a great American family.

The salute he gave his father is marked indelibly in our national memory. Now we salute him, the man he was, and the promise that has been lost. But those whose lives were cut far too short still inspire us to live every moment fully for the American promise.

I join all Americans in prayer for the Kennedy and Bessette families, wishing them the strength of their faith in the days ahead.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Nanda Chitre@EOP@LNGTWY@LNGTWY (Nanda Chitre@EOP@LNGTWY@LNGTWY [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 17:02:04.00

SUBJECT: HEADS UP on JFK Photo/Service

TO: Philip J. Crowley@eop (Philip J. Crowley@eop [NSC])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Message Creation Date was at 21-JUL-1999 16:55:00

Statement on potus/hrc attending serive friday coming

Photo release (disks being given to wires only) of POTUS and JFK Jr. and Carolyn touring house on March 5, 1998 are on their way

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: "Anastasi, Pat" <Pat.Anastasi@MSNBC.COM> ("Anastasi, Pat" <Pat.Anastasi@MSNBC.COM> [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 17:55:59.00

SUBJECT: RE: Photo Release: JFK Jr., Carolyn Bessette Kennedy Tour

TO: Mark A. Kitchens (CN=Mark A. Kitchens/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Thanks... who's Ralph Alswang?

-----Original Message-----

From: Mark_A._Kitchens@who.eop.gov
[mailto:Mark_A._Kitchens@who.eop.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 1999 5:44 PM
Subject: Photo Release: JFK Jr., Carolyn Bessette
Kennedy Tour

<< File: JPEG File Interchange >>

(See attached file: P60900-26a JFK JR - RALPH ALSWANG.JPG)

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Anne Whitworth (CN=Anne Whitworth/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 16:58:39.00

SUBJECT: Re: JFK Jr.

TO: Timothy W. Emrich (CN=Timothy W. Emrich/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

ugh.

----- Forwarded by Anne Whitworth/WHO/EOP on 07/21/99
04:58 PM -----

Nanda Chitre
07/21/99 04:57:32 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Anne Whitworth/WHO/EOP@EOP
cc:
bcc:
Subject: Re: JFK Jr.

thanks - Ellen is out today.

Anne Whitworth
07/21/99 04:45:37 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Nanda Chitre/WHO/EOP@EOP
cc:
Subject: JFK Jr.

Nanda- I am still trying to figure this out. thanks. annie
----- Forwarded by Anne Whitworth/WHO/EOP on 07/21/99
04:45 PM -----

Timothy W. Emrich 07/21/99 04:43:27 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Ellen W. McCathran/WHO/EOP@EOP
cc: Heather L. Davis/WHO/EOP@EOP, Anne Whitworth/WHO/EOP@EOP

Subject: JFK Jr.

Ellen-

Is there anything public you can share with us? It would be practically impossible for us to determine this from our schedules (especially without a specific month or day on which to look!).

Tim

----- Forwarded by Timothy W. Emrich/WHO/EOP on 07/21/99
04:41 PM -----

Anne Whitworth

07/21/99 04:34:23 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Timothy W. Emrich/WHO/EOP@EOP, Heather L. Davis/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc:

Subject: JFK Jr.

Nanda Chitre in the press office just came to me about some info. that they need to find out. She said that at the president's statement he mentioned that jfk jr. had come to the white house to see potus in the oval in 1994 while he was on a school to work council that potus had appointed him to. She wanted to know the date of this but I told her that it was unlikely that we would be able to find it. what do you all think? how could she find this out?

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jena V. Roscoe (CN=Jena V. Roscoe/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 17:25:16.00

SUBJECT: President to attend Memorial Service for JFK, Jr. & Carolyn Bessette Kennedy

TO: johannas (johannas @ earthlink.net [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: hshelton (hshelton @ naacpnet.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: wspriggs (wspriggs @ nul.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jbryant (jbryant @ naacpnet.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: sbergeron@nul.org (sbergeron@nul.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: hprice (hprice @ nul.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
THE WHITE HOUSE

Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate
Release
1999

July 21,

STATEMENT BY THE PRESS SECRETARY

President Clinton and First Lady Hillary Rodham Clinton will travel to New York City on Friday, July 23, 1999 to attend the memorial service for John F. Kennedy, Jr. and Carolyn Bessette Kennedy.

###

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Anne W. Bovaird (CN=Anne W. Bovaird/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 09:57:26.00

SUBJECT: STATEMENT BY LARRABEE JUST READ ON CNN

TO: Thurgood Marshall Jr (CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Statement confirmed finding of fuselage, not body. Other "high level officials", however, confirmed to CNN that JFK Jr.'s body found.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: USIA_Media_Reaction@notesmail1.usia.gov (USIA_Media_Reaction@notesmail1.usia.gov [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 14:48:23.00

SUBJECT: Daily Digest 7/21: MIDEAST PEACE: CLINTON-BARAK TALKS-'POSITIVE STEP' BUT 'CURTAIN OF AMBIGUITY' HANGS

TO: shodgson@ibb.gov (shodgson@ibb.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: RJOHNSON@IBB.GOV (RJOHNSON@IBB.GOV [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: pbaker@fundforpeace.org (pbaker@fundforpeace.org [OMB])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: NAIKEN@usia.gov (NAIKEN@usia.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mirelle@worldnet.att.net (mirelle@worldnet.att.net [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mrichards@brook.edu (mrichards@brook.edu [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: paopi2@hq.c5.army.mil (paopi2@hq.c5.army.mil [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: schwartz_l@A1.EOP.GOV (schwartz_l@A1.EOP.GOV [UNKNOWN]) (WHO)
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jsalan@arab-aai.org (jsalan@arab-aai.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jppeelen@usia.gov (jppeelen@usia.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ifaskianos@email.cfr.org (ifaskianos@email.cfr.org [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: tiina@usis-tallinn.usislib.ee (tiina@usis-tallinn.usislib.ee [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman (CN=Elizabeth R. Newman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: robinsond@osdpo.secdef.osd.mil (robinsond@osdpo.secdef.osd.mil [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: walitskys@panet.us-state.gov (walitskys@panet.us-state.gov [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: berstein@hq.nato.int (berstein@hq.nato.int [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: rebekka_bonner@foreign-rel.senate.gov (rebekka_bonner@foreign-rel.senate.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ewing_p@A1.eop.gov (ewing_p@A1.eop.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: malex@opic.gov (malex@opic.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: mercedes_D.Sprouse@NEB.VOA.gov (mercedes_D.Sprouse@NEB.VOA.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: maryalice.hayward@hq.doe.gov (maryalice.hayward@hq.doe.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: LOVELILL@ibb.gov (LOVELILL@ibb.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: infousis@usia.gov (infousis@usia.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: jkidd@usia.gov (jkidd@usia.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ivana_d._kuhar@seur.voa.gov (ivana_d._kuhar@seur.voa.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: ilija_arezina@seur.voa.gov (ilija_arezina@seur.voa.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: elizabeth.o'malley@em.doe.gov (elizabeth.o'malley@em.doe.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TO: dziegler@usia.gov (dziegler@usia.gov [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

The just concluded talks between Israel's Prime Minister Ehud Barak and President Clinton, which showcased an agreement to reach a comprehensive peace settlement on all tracks within a 15-month framework, were celebrated in most European media outlets, but prompted considerable editorial debate throughout the Middle East, where the talks were portrayed as "insufficient" at best. Most Arab observers remained largely circumspect, doubting whether Israel, still seeking concessions, would deliver on the final status talks. Balking at the "highly positive" reviews of the visit, many pointed to Mr. Barak's "vagueness," "contradictions" and "redlines" as anathema to the final status talks. A Tel Aviv daily suggested the visit "left a heavy curtain of ambiguity," while a Cairo paper, capturing a common Arab take, complained that Mr. Barak "increased our confusion."

Summing up another view on the U.S.' taking a "diminished" role while increasing military aid, a Saudi writer commented: "The irony is that Israel wants the U.S. as an ally, but rejects it as a partner." Regional highlights follow:

MIDDLE EAST: Israeli press focused primarily on the visit's impact on U.S.-Israeli relations and the dynamics between the two leaders, offering behind-the-scenes commentary such as Tel Aviv's pluralist Yediot's observations that "President Clinton did not...understand just how Barak is planning to live up to all his promises," and that the president and his advisers "were stunned" by the "astronomical sums" Mr. Barak had requested. Most analysts attributed Mr. Barak's success in handling the U.S. to alleged "U.S. interests" in the Middle East, and President Clinton's "personal desire" to be a "peacemaker." One writer noted that while Mr. Barak wanted a reduced U.S. involvement, "he has not yet tasted the last of America's sumptuous meals." Most Arab writers were disappointed that Mr. Barak had, in their view, replaced Netanyahu's four "No's" with four "redlines," had persuaded the U.S. to take a "back seat" role of mediator, had failed to address the "core" problem of the Palestinians, and had received a "reward" from the U.S. in the form of military aid without any apparent quid pro quo for peace. Some were cynical that the reason the U.S. accepted a marginalized role was in essence to "qualify Clinton" for the Nobel Peace Prize. Sharing a common feeling of resentment toward the U.S.-Israeli relationship and renewed military backing for the IDF, a writer in Beirut dismissed the Clinton-Barak statement as "a command to the Arabs to subjugate." Others portrayed Mr. Barak as more "cunning" or "elusive" than his much derided predecessor, Mr. Netanyahu. A Cairo daily warned that Mr. Barak's "unilateral logic" could jeopardize the "hopes for reviving a climate of peace." A sense of impatience and frustration also percolated throughout the commentary. Even the few writers who praised the visit, notably the influential, elite Jordan Times, cautioned that "delivery on peace must take place soon, before this general sense of optimism dissipates."

EUROPE/ELSEWHERE: The majority of analysts applauded the Barak visit, shared the view of London's liberal Guardian and conservative Times that the talks had given the peace process a new "momentum" and were encouraged that the "winds of change are blowing." Most pundits were convinced of Barak's "intention to relaunch the peace process," and agreed with a Stockholm daily that "peace in the Mideast is a real possibility. But it cannot be promised."

EDITOR: Irene Marr

This survey is based on 61 reports from 22 countries, July 16-21. The following editorial excerpts are grouped by region in reverse chronological order.

MIDDLE EAST

ISRAEL: "Beyond The Euphoria"

The independent Jerusalem Post editorialized (7/21): "A Clinton administration eager to cap its legacy with a major Middle East peace-brokering task needs to look past the euphoria and prepare for tough negotiations. U.S. pressure on Israel for concessions will have to be

balanced by similar pressure for concessions by the Arab side. Confidence-building measures and concessions given along the way to the final agreement will need to be reversible. Only if all the parties involved understand this will the positive momentum and expectations generated by Barak's U.S. visit be possibly translated into real, implementable peace agreements."

"Fifteen Months Are More Than Enough"

Independent Haaretz wrote in its lead editorial (7/21): "President Clinton characterized the relations between Israel and the United States as having reached 'new heights of partnership.'... Clinton hastened to back up his word by an increase in military aid to Israel.... The 15-month timeframe the prime minister announced for the peace process has drawn criticism from opposition leaders.... But let's not forget that the peace process began eight years ago in Madrid, and continued through talks in Washington, the Oslo agreements with the Palestinians and negotiations with Syria. Fifteen more months are...more than enough."

"Americans Baffled By Barak"

Washington correspondent Orly Azolai-Katz remarked in mass-circulation, pluralist Yediot (7/21): "Now that the stardust begins to settle and American officials are starting to take stock of Barak's visit, they discover that what the Israeli prime minister left behind him was essentially a heavy curtain of ambiguity. U.S. officials said that in the 15 hours or so that he spent with Barak, President Clinton did not manage to really understand just how Barak is planning to live up to all his promises.... The Americans took note of the fact that Barak was careful not to draw his redlines, nor describe the future borders with Syria or the boundaries of a Palestinian state. State Department officials noted with a sense of unease that Barak was trying to push them out of the process.... They say in the White House that...Barak did a great salesmanship job...but it remains to be seen whether he also has the courage to make peace."

"The Americans Will Pay"

Washington correspondent Orly Azolai-Katz wrote in mass-circulation, pluralist Yediot (7/20): "What President Clinton kept to himself but his assistants leaked out was that he never met such an pretentious, perhaps even megalomaniac prime minister.... What particularly stunned the president and his advisers was the amount Barak said he needed from the Americans to enable him to make peace.... Barak asked astronomical sums for moving military bases, plants and settlements, as well as for defense expenditures...meant to turn the IDF into a new army.... "Barak was told that peace will first and foremost benefit him and his people and not the Americans and that he had...better come down to earth.... The Americans also told him that the role of 'facilitators' he has set for them is not really to their liking.... The ones to really panic were Martin Indyk and Dennis Ross."

"Operation Happiness"

Analyst Yoel Marcus observed in independent Haaretz (7/20): "The White House decided to go out of its way to please Barak because it suited the U.S. interest to push the Middle East away from war and toward peace, as well as President Clinton's personal desire to conclude his second term of office as a peacemaker."

"No Free Meals"

Washington correspondent Orly Azolay-Katz wrote in mass-circulation, pluralist Yediot (7/18): "Ehud Barak may feel pleased with himself. His strategy is working. The Americans told him they are prepared to give Israel absolutely everything for the sake of peace agreements.... The Americans are going to bankroll the Israeli military and civilian pullout from the Golan Heights, as well as provide sophisticated early warning installations.... Barak may want reduced American involvement, but for the U.S. Israel remains an American colony.... Peace may serve Barak's personal interests but it certainly improves Bill Clinton's legacy and this is what the president is about right now.... Barak has not yet tasted the last of America's sumptuous meals. He had better remember, however, that America is not used to giving free meals."

"American Unfairness"

Nationalist Hatzofe said (7/18): "President Clinton has been looking down upon Israel, treating us with condescension.... It follows that Barak's attempt to minimize American involvement makes a lot of sense. This is all the more true considering the fact that recently, U.S. involvement has been decidedly pro-Arab. Exploiting the image they have been projecting of being 'Israel's best friend,' the Americans have gone entirely over to the Palestinian side."

WEST BANK: "American Assertive Role Is Essential"

Independent, moderate Al-Quds commented (7/20): "The fulfillment of Israeli promises and agreements would undoubtedly lead to breaking new horizons in American-Israeli relations and at all levels. Each step on the development track of Israeli-American relations is also good for the development of the peace process. However, final status agreement issues are complex and therefore need an assertive American and international role."

"Wye Later"

In a front-page editorial, semi-official Al-Hayyat Al-Jadida opined (7/19): "The repetition of Barak's four No's in Washington on...Jerusalem, the '67 borders, the Jordan River [water] and the settlements, in addition to his attempts to integrate the Wye agreement into the final status talks, is no more than an Israeli maneuver to waste more time and extract further Palestinian concessions. These [Israeli] actions will not help in reaching a comprehensive and just settlement; on the contrary, they will lead to the total destruction of the whole peace process."

"Our Experience With Deadlines Does Not Bode For Optimism"

In its lead editorial, independent, moderate Al-Quds opined (7/18): "The Clinton administration is dealing with Barak on the basis that he would deliver on his promises and work on reviving the peace process at the various tracks, but despite the significance of the new time framework...our experience with previous [Israeli-set] deadlines does not bode for optimism."

"Israel Trying To Marginalize American Role"

Hani Habib, a leading columnist at independent, pro-Palestinian Authority Al-Ayyam commented (7/18):

"The U.S.-Israeli summit was concluded away from protocol norms as Prime Minister Barak was welcomed as a friend and ally following a long rupture of relations between the two countries during the regime of the ex-premier Netanyahu. The Israeli premier is trying to marginalize the American role in the Middle East, and we believe that the American side will accept this marginalized role in return for a deal that will qualify Clinton to be awarded the Nobel Peace Prize on parallel footing with Rabin, Arafat and Peres. All this, of course, will have to take place at the expense of the Palestinians, who have previously paid for similar [deals]."

EGYPT: "Hopes For Reviving Peace Could Disappear Quickly"

Pro-government Al Ahram published this editorial (7/21): "In his press conference in Washington, Barak wanted Israel to be the only party talking in the peace negotiations, while others would only fulfill its demands. Barak wants to get everything before talking about the land which means that he wants to impose unacceptable conditions on the Arab party, or else there will not be any peace talks. The Israeli prime minister was expected to receive the Arab's welcome of him with a new logic that would break the freeze in the talks. Truly the issues of security and co-existence in peace with its Arab neighbors are important to Israel, but a cultured person should realize that balance should govern the Arab-Israeli talks. Security for all and co-existence depend on the return of Arab rights, especially the land occupied since 1967. It is illogical that Barak surprises us by merging an interim agreement with a final status one, while he knows the final status issues are broad and serious. The hopes for reviving a climate of peace can disappear rapidly if Barak persists with his unilateral logic."

"Barak's Redlines Contradict Basics Of Peace"

Pro-government Al Akhbar published this view (7/20): "There is a clear contradiction between Barak's announcement about his wish to reach final peace with Palestinians and Syria in fifteen months and his renewed confirmation before President Clinton about Israel's redlines that cannot be crossed. Levy said Barak told the American president that he insists on four redlines on the Palestinian track: maintaining Jerusalem as the eternal, unified capital of Israel, refusal to return to the 1967 borders, refusal to allow an Arab army east of Jordan river and keeping Israeli settlements. These redlines are not enough to destroy the peace process

outright, but Barak told NBC that he totally rejects the return of Palestinian refugees. Barak increased our confusion when he promised at the White House that he will follow in the steps of Begin and Rabin. We say to Mr. Barak that he is not honest in this promise, simply because Begin's peace deal with Egypt was based on land for peace. But you, Mr. Barak, while claiming to follow in Begin's steps, place redlines that contradict all the basics of the Madrid Conference. We do not understand this. We see that Barak's redlines are the same as Netanyahu's No's and more. We deceive ourselves if we imagine that the new prime minister will return our usurped rights on a silver platter. Israel is not to blame for that, but it is us, Arabs, who do not show enough insistence on our rights and readiness to give anything for them. We believe Barak is more cunning than Netanyahu and an Arab summit is due."

"No Different From His Predecessor"

Mona Yassin judged in Islamist-leaning, opposition Al Shaab (7/20): "Barak ended his visit to Washington achieving all his objectives. He was able to convince Americans that he is working for peace, but did not move his No's a single inch. Strangely, he was able to convince the U.S. administration to return to the so-called role of honest mediator. More strangely, the U.S. administration welcomed this retreat.... Barak's proposals showed that he is no different [from his predecessor]. He proposed a partial withdrawal from Golan conditional on security arrangements with Lebanon. He spoke about implementing Wye, while promising to keep settlements in the Palestinian territories. He also has nothing new on the Palestinian state. Despite all this, Clinton still states that his meeting with Barak was highly positive and shows Barak's sincere wish to reach peace!"

"The Palestinian Issue Should Be Core Issue"

Ahmed Nafie opined in pro-government Al Ahram (7/16): "In order to reach 'a historic reconciliation with Arab neighbors,' there should be clear progress on the Palestinian, Syrian and Lebanese tracks based upon the Madrid formula. The Palestinian issue should be considered the core issue. This is done by implementing Wye and stopping settlements. More than any time before, the United States should amend its policy, which has come to lack logic and clear international legitimacy.... Closing all these gaps primarily depends on the U.S. position."

JORDAN: "Barak's Deal"

Sultan Hattab had this op-ed in semi-government, influential Al-Ray (7/20): "The problem that the Arab and Palestinian negotiators are going to face is Barak's new language, attitude and his ability to restore Israel's relations with the international community, particularly with the U.S. administration. The Arabs got used to Netanyahu's methods of winning conflicts. Barak, on the other hand...is using a new language instead of action. The Arabs are not even trying to find out whether this new language is genuine or whether it is just more promises to be broken and time to be wasted. Barak is scheming a trap by linking the interim period with the final status talks in order to avoid certain specific requirements stated in the interim agreements."

"Barak Is Not A Savior"

Asad Azouni wrote in independent, mass-appeal Al-Arab Al-Yawm (7/20): "Once again, the Arabs are committing the same fatal mistake...depending on Israeli elections to provide the magical solution to the problem. This is evident in the fact that General Ehud Barak...is considered the savior and the one who will hand over the occupied...territories on a golden platter. In reality, this general is far from what the Arabs have wished for."

"In Order To Take The Opportunity For Peace"

Center-left, influential Al-Dustur editorialized (7/19): "The capitals of the region are witnessing an active motion of contacts and consultations aimed at resuming the peace process and implementing the signed accords amidst a growing atmosphere of optimism and hope for a breakthrough in the peace process.... This positive atmosphere must be translated into action on the ground, in the sense that Israel would implement the Wye River accord in deed and not in words, would implement the rest of the interim accords and would prepare for the final status negotiations.... According to many statements, establishing peace is possible within a few months. This is on condition that Israel truly has genuine intentions to do so and to abide by the requirements and the commitments."

"Historic Opportunity"

The centrist, influential-among-the-elite English-language Jordan Times (7/18) editorialized: "Israeli Prime Minister Barak's trip to Washington for talks with President Clinton on the state of the peace process has been hailed as offering a new window of opportunity for peace in the Middle East.... The picture that is emerging is very positive, and that's why delivery on peace must take place soon, before this general sense of optimism dissipates."

LEBANON: "(Either) Peace With Israel Or Confrontation With U.S.!"

Talal Salman front-paged this editorial in Arab nationalist As-Safir (7/21): "Were it not for essential decencies and 'protocol' imposed as to relations between countries, we could have read the joined Barak/Clinton statement as introducing a love poem between two lovers.... There are no guarantees, no mediation, no sponsorship of the peace process....

"There is only a command to the Arabs to subjugate.... Can we imagine what kind of peace will be generated from this special relationship between the regional and the universal dominants? What kind of peace can we look for under the umbrella of a renewed American guarantee to Israeli military superiority? It is an effort to make the Arabs accept peace just because they cannot go to war. They have no choice!... Rejecting it means direct confrontation with the United States. Farewell to Madrid's conference, guarantees, pledges, and the role of a sponsor and fair mediator!... Israel today is not a U.S. protectorate. It is the United States itself.... The joint Israeli/American statement is not a declaration of intentions. It is a declaration of war!"

"The Comprehensive Peace (Military) General"

Arab nationalist As-Safir front-paged a Talal Salman editorial asserting (7/20): "The first decision taken by 'General' Barak was to leave his defensive posture...behind and come forward with a plan impossible to reject. Barak believes that now is the right time for him to start his comprehensive strategic attack under the banner 'comprehensive solution.' Barak's timing is great. He is coming at a time when the Arabs are not united, when Palestine as a cause is totally absented, when the UN and the Security Council are the least effective, when Russia is dead, when China is not concerned with the Middle East, and when Europe left the leadership of the world to the United States.... In Barak's view, the following factors are also necessary for his comprehensive peace: reinforcing his military capability and his economy, and minimizing the American role.... This is what the 'general' of peace will present the Arabs."

"Arab Leaders Should Present Secretary Albright With A United Position"

Emil Khuri argued in mainstream An-Nahar (7/20): "Barak wants to take everything from Syria and Lebanon before he discusses the geographic settlement...the kind of peace Barak wants can only be taken by force.... Answers for the following questions also are needed: Will negotiations be resumed on all tracks at the same time or can each progress irrespective of the other? Will the agreement on the Lebanese track be linked to the Syrian track? What will the U.S. role be? Will Secretary Albright visit the region to discuss the Clinton/Barak Plan and take the suggestions of the Arab leaders or is the plan unchangeable? The Arabs should unite and manifest to Secretary Albright a united position. Continuous divisions among Arabs will impose the peace of surrender."

"Deciding Lebanon's Fate"

Ghassan Tweini, owner of mainstream An-Nahar, editorialized (7/19): "The peace negotiations will decide Lebanon's fate.... Barak seems more elusive and much smarter than his predecessors.... We have to engage in some rapid coordination with Damascus. We should not let Clinton and Barak prepare to confront us while we do nothing."
"America Is Fair!"

Nabil Khuri wrote in mainstream An-Nahar (7/16): "Barak went to Washington to ask President Clinton to 'minimize' his country's role in the peace process, not activate it as was expected. This demand contradicts completely the Arab demand that the U.S. take a lead role. Perhaps what Barak is asking for may put things right because countries concerned with peace understand the complications.... The United States should play the role of mediator...hopefully a fair one. We, the Arabs always asked for fairness from the United States. Until now we were not successful!"

SYRIA: "Barak's Visit And Premature Rewards"

Chief Editor Mohamed Khair Al-Wadi opined in government-owned Tishreen (7/21):

"Clinton-Barak statements in the press conference represent a positive

step; but they are insufficient to revive talks on the Syrian track....
Peace is not achieved by hopes and nice words, but by concrete actions and steps. The surprising thing is that Barak got a reward [more U.S. arms] even before taking a single step towards reviving the peace process. Washington justified this measure by saying that maintaining Israel's supremacy over the Arabs is necessary for peace. At the same time the Arabs are prohibited from obtaining arms under the claim that such action exacerbates tension in the region. Is this a double standard? Washington's reward to Barak is quite disappointing and unjustified; it does not help to restore trust in the U.S. role."

"Reviving The Peace Process"

Ali Qasem wrote in government-owned Al-Thawra (7/21): "Is the Barak government ready to accept international participation other than by the United States in the peace efforts? The surprise was not in Barak's refusal of international partners, but in his desire to diminish the U.S. role, limiting it to that of a mediator.... This action has a negative implication; it reflects Barak's desire to evade his commitment to the Madrid principles that calls for a U.S. and Russian sponsorship of the peace process.... Barak's statement on diminishing the U.S. role marks the decline of the optimism that prevailed since Barak's success in the Israeli elections."

"Washington's Responsibility"

Government-owned Tishreen ran this editorial (7/20): "What characterized the Clinton-Barak talks is their emphasis on achieving peace in the Middle East. There is no doubt about the U.S. administration's desire to further the peace process. It will be the most important achievement that President Clinton could be proud of, as it will go down in history.... It is Washington's responsibility to take serious steps to eliminate problems with the peace process; it caused such problems by its continued support of Israel."

"Principles Of Peace Are Inseparable"

Ali Qasem commented in government-owned Al-Thawra (7/20): "Barak's statements in Washington, which reiterate his rejection of certain principles in the peace process, have spoiled the atmosphere of optimism.... He did not hesitate confusing optimism with caution by expressing his desire to push the peace process forward while reiterating positions that contradict this desire.... Barak has to settle this contradiction; the U.S. administration explored Barak's intentions during lengthy talks with President Clinton and his secretary of state, but they must state openly Barak's real options."

"Disorder In The Balance Of Powers"

Government-owned Tishreen said (7/18): "On the sidelines of his visit to Washington, Barak is currently arranging for a U.S. shipment of F-16 fighters to Israel.... Involvement in the peace process does not require armaments but sincere intentions and readiness to translate these intentions into deeds."

"Few Days For The Test"

Amid Khouli editorialized in government-owned Al-Thawra (7/17): "[Barak's] insistence on distancing America from her role as a partner in the peace process is ambiguous.... America is capable of extracting a specific and obvious political decision from Barak on peace based on the American initiative.... We hope that President Clinton will assume his personal role utilizing America's cosmopolitan weight to make Barak fulfill his promises; by this he will be able to cement the U.S. role and restore its credibility."

"Affairs Judged On Results"

Majed Mouawwad commented in government-owned Al-Thawra (7/16): "Hopes are pinned on Barak's readiness to take any obvious and frank stand on his commitment to peace; but he is still hesitant in spite of his positive statements. In this case, it is insufficient for America to hope for a breakthrough, rather she should assume her role as a full partner in the peace process.... Such a role is still rejected by Israel; on the eve of his visit to the United States, Barak called for America to limit her role and to not interfere with the security arrangements. Will America accept diminishing her role [in the peace process] as Israel has requested?"

SAUDI ARABIA: "Minimizing The U.S. Role"

Jeddah-based, conservative Al-Madina opined (7/20): "The ultimate objective of Barak's visit seems to be to minimize the U.S. role in the peace process. This is an objective which makes us skeptical of any Israeli leader who pursues it, since there will be no peace with Israel without an active and effective American role.... The irony is that Israel wants the United States as an ally, but rejects it as a partner. The Israelis think that it is their right to dictate U.S. foreign policy towards the Middle East. First indications, however, show that the U.S. administration will not accept the demand to reduce its role to that of a facilitator only, because this is an irresponsible demand whose purpose is unacceptable--to delay peace for another quarter of a century."

"Comprehensive Peace...Fears And Hopes"

Jeddah-based, conservative Al-Madina carried this editorial (7/19): "Barak has committed himself to accomplish peace within 15 months.... Real peace means to withdraw from the Golan Heights, pull out from Southern Lebanon, and implement the Wye River agreement, in order to engage in final status (negotiations) to include the two most important issues; Jerusalem and the return of the refugees. Is Barak ready to address these issues positively? if the answer is yes, then he will achieve an agreement within the time frame he mentioned. If the answer is no, (prospects for) realizing peace will be very remote."

"Future Of Middle East Peace...Requires Commitment Of U.S."

London-based, internationally circulated, Al-Sharq Al Awsat declared

(7/18): "We can assert that U.S. President Bill Clinton is exerting every possible effort to get the Middle East peace process back onto its previous track.... Barak cannot help President Clinton realize this goal if he continues to view the United States as a mere party whose primary task is to facilitate the peace process. The future of the Middle East region requires security, economic and political commitments on the part of the United States in order to make peace and to achieve economic development."

BAHRAIN: "Another Mistake"

Riyadh-based, moderate ALI-Jazira ran this (7/19): "Barak's says that Jerusalem will remain the undivided capital of Israel forever. With this statement, Barak has destroyed all agreements concluded between Israel and the Palestinians.... Another mistake...(was) when Barak officially requested the United states to take a seat in the back row when peace negotiations resume, a means of marginalizing (the U.S.) role as a sponsor for these negotiations, a matter which justifies pessimism."
"Two Points Make Us Skeptical About Barak"

According to Abdul Malik Salman in semi-independent Arabic daily Akbar Al-Khalij (7/17):

"There are two points that make us skeptical about Barak's intentions toward the Middle East peace process. First, his attempt to convince the Palestinians to give up the implementation of the Wye agreement, and the second, he demanded that Clinton's and the American administration's role be more limited and restricted in the negotiations between Israel and the Arab sides. The danger regarding this particular point is that we detect a desire to maneuver, aside from the possibility of American interference Barak is preparing himself for a series of negotiations that will make him appear to the world as a peace loving person and will give him enough space to maneuver."

EUROPE

BRITAIN: "Pointers To Peace"

The liberal Guardian ran this lead editorial (7/21): "Across the Middle East, the sky brightens. The hunt is on again in earnest for a 'peace of the brave' between Israel and its Arab neighbors.... The gathering momentum is the product of the practical concerns of key players and a happy conjunction of events, rather than any loftier vision of reconciliation.... But who will complain if it is converging self-interest rather than altruism or vision that finally does the trick? There are problems enough in any eventuality. In this unheroic, nitty-gritty business, there will be no outright winners--but, just possibly, a collective victory."

"Peace And Paradox"

The conservative Times asserted (7/21): "Ehud Barak has succeeded in giving welcome momentum to the Middle East peace process. This climatic change has obliged all actors across the Middle East to reconsider their options. The outlook is too complicated to permit excessive expectations."

There is little doubt that Mr. Barak is interested in reaching an understanding with Syria, has reason to believe that Mr. Asad has incentives of his own to conclude a treaty, and wants the Clinton administration to facilitate negotiations.... (But) the credible possibility that Israel and Syria might finally talk seriously is not being received with utter rapture elsewhere in the region. Palestinians fear that such an outcome would only strengthen Mr. Barak's hand in their own set of discussions."

"Syria Orders Cease-Fire With Israel"

The conservative Times front-paged this lead report (7/20): "Syria has ordered dissident Palestinian and militant Muslim groups to end decades of armed struggle against Israel in the most dramatic sign yet that Damascus intends to make peace with the Jewish state. The decision was last night welcomed in Washington, where the optimistic tone during Ehud Barak's visit has produced a feeling among Arab leaders that the winds of change are blowing in the Middle East.... (But) like so many initiatives before, this one will be fraught with difficulties. Last night Hizballah rejected any notion of a compromise. And radical Palestinian groups denied that they had been told to lay down their arms."

"Mood In The Middle East Suddenly Upbeat"

The independent Financial Times editorialized (7/19): "The mood in the Middle East is suddenly upbeat. Ehud Barak is being feted in Washington,

where he claims that he could reach a comprehensive peace with the Palestinians, Syria and the Lebanon within the 18 months that President Clinton has left in the White House. This presents a challenge for Mr. Clinton to rise to. He would end his checkered presidency on a high note if he could help bring lasting peace. But a settlement must be one that is truly comprehensive, providing a fair deal for the Palestinians.... A bigger risk to the peace process is that Mr. Clinton will duck the issue because of Al Gore's presidential campaign and his wife Hillary's bid for the Senate. Having offended some Jewish voters last year...Mrs. Clinton has now swung the other way."

FRANCE: "Palestinians Under Strong Pressure"

Mehdi Benchelah remarked from Jerusalem for right-of-center Le Figaro (7/21): "A partial referee is better than none at all.... The reduction of the role of the United States in the peace process reached by the Israeli prime minister in Washington is not good news for the Palestinians.... The time of crises between President Clinton and the former Israeli prime minister seems to be definitely gone. Ehud Barak's visit obviously revives the honeymoon between the United States and the Hebrew state."

"Barak, The Man In A Hurry"

Left-of-center Le Monde ran this editorial (7/21): "To be a success, the peace negotiation requires a common will to achieve peace and the capability to impose concessions on one's own side. These two conditions

seem to be gathered again in the Middle East, in addition to one crucial element: that the main sponsor, the United States, shows a strong desire for peace.... Nothing could please President Clinton as much as to finish brilliantly with a diplomatic success in a region where he has invested himself so much."

"Countdown To Peace In The Middle East"

Patrick Sabatier argued from Washington in left-of-center Liberation (7/20): "Ehud Barak's first visit to Washington since his election has been almost totally overshadowed by John F. Kennedy Jr.'s death.... Yet, he certainly accomplished the mission he had assigned himself: Put back on track close and friendly relations between the United States and Israel, after three years of drift caused by the tense relations between his predecessor Benjamin Netanyahu and Bill Clinton.... Barak knows that Clinton, in this final phase of his term, has no greater ambition than to consolidate his reputation of 'peacemaker' by sealing a global peace agreement in the Middle East before leaving the White House."

GERMANY: "Harmony As A Force For New Momentum"

Left-of-center Frankfurter Rundschau carried this editorial (7/21): "It is of secondary importance whether there is good 'chemistry' between Premier Barak and President Clinton. Much more important are joint interests which can be translated into a new momentum in the peace process. The fact that they coincide with the wishes of Syria's leader Hafiz al-Asad could create a new momentum for the lasting talks about peace in the Middle East. This is why Bill Clinton can really hope that the signing of another peace accord can be orchestrated in front of the White House during his remaining term.... We should not expect nor wish that the United States will be satisfied with the role of an extra in the peace process. Even Barak sometimes needs someone who corrects him. For instance, some presidential pressure may be necessary when it comes to the quick implementation of the Wye Plantation agreement."

"The Second Chance"

Centrist General-Anzeiger of Bonn had this editorial (7/21): "Bill Clinton wants to enter the history books as the maker of permanent peace between Israel and its neighbors. Thanks to Ehud Barak, he now has a second chance.... Now the United States again plays the role it always wanted to play in the Middle East: to act as mediator. No more, no less.... Resistance [to peace] is still great but Clinton, Barak, Arafat, and Assad seem to be willing to overcome all resistance. The Palestinians will get their state, and Syria will get back the biggest part of the Golan Heights. In return, state-tolerated terrorism against Israel must come to an end."

"It Is Getting Serious"

Erik-Michael Bader front-paged this editorial in right-of-center

Frankfurter Allgemeine (7/20): "The 15 months, which Israel's Premier Barak set for a revival and the completion of the peace process with the Palestinians and Syrians...is a painfully brief period of time in view of the things that need to be resolved.... The points which Ehud Barak said in Washington were not negotiable for Israel show how difficult the upcoming main leg of the peace process will be. Among these points is Israel's adherence to an undivided Jerusalem only under Israel's sovereignty, and Israel's sovereignty over the majority of Israeli settlements on the West Bank and in the Gaza Strip. But this would exceed the limit of things which the Palestinians would be willing to accept."

"Barak's Limited Scope Of Action"

Right-of-center Saechsische Zeitung of Dresden judged (7/20): "There is no doubt that the political atmosphere in the Middle East has profoundly changed since the Israeli parliamentary elections. This is the most important precondition for a revival of the Middle East peace process.... Since Barak has taken office, there has been new movement again. However, Barak's scope of action is not unlimited; if so, he would have not have drawn a 'red line' which he will never cross in future talks. But how can negotiations be conducted successfully if basic questions are labeled right from the onset as 'unnegotiable?'"

ITALY: "Syria Is Close"

A commentary in provocative, classical liberal Il Foglio held (7/21): "During their long visit to Washington, the Israelis have not hidden the priority they place on agreements with Syria.... Both as far as the Golan Heights and the security zone in southern Lebanon are concerned, no idealistic issues linked to the biblical tradition are at stake, nor are there insurmountable problems. The United States will be called upon to play the role of guarantor and peacekeeper in the exchange process--peace for land--in the three-party dialogue among Syria, Lebanon and Israel. This is, paradoxically, just the opposite of the Israeli request to the United States to take a step back from its role as direct mediator between Ramallah and Jerusalem."

"Days Of American Irritation With Israel Are Over"

Umberto De Giovannangeli had this analysis in pro-DS (leading government party) L'Unita (7/20): "Peace next year, perhaps signed in Jerusalem. This is the declared goal of Bill Clinton and Ehud Barak. The latter's official visit to the United States ends at the White House with an official 'new U.S.-Israeli pact' as was outlined in the joint press conference.... The U.S. president looks to his interlocutor with satisfaction: The days of American irritation towards Netanyahu's Israel are only a memory at this point."

RUSSIA: "Clinton's Monument To Himself"

Vladimir Kozlovsky remarked from New York in reformist Vremya-MN (7/21): "Such hopes were pinned on Barak's visit in Washington that it was simply doomed to success. Most American presidents during their second term think mostly about their legacy and this applies the more so to Bill Clinton who

does not want Monica Lewinsky to be his only one. The Middle East settlement is an ideal intangible monument that Clinton can still erect to himself before his term expires."

BELGIUM: "Trust Between Jerusalem And Washington Essential"

Tel Aviv correspondent Salomon Bouman in independent Catholic De Standaard opined (7/20): "Barak is going to carry out the Wye Plantation accord. Clinton insists strongly on that.

"That means that Arafat will get large areas on the West Bank in his hands again. Barak was able to form his heterogeneous coalition thanks to the promise that the pending peace accords will be subject to a referendum. For an unambiguous 'Yes' to the questions in the referendum, the restoration of the intimate relationship of trust between Jerusalem and Washington is essential. Backed by Clinton, Barak is going to try to make the Middle East move towards peace."

FINLAND: "Middle East Moves To Seek Settlement With No Outsiders"

Leading independent Helsingin Sanomat observed (7/18): "The need for outside mediation or outright pressure in the Middle East has always been an indication of trouble and bad atmosphere. On many occasions outside interference has been necessary and sometimes produced good results. Especially the United States has been of great help, when issues such as prestige, mistrust or a lack of realism would have blocked progress otherwise. But direct contacts are the best.... Clearly, Barak believes that they are. Even though the atmosphere has improved, Barak's negotiations with Syria and the Palestinians will not be easy."

THE NETHERLANDS: "Barak's Peace Course"

Influential, liberal De Volkskrant's editorial observed (7/21): "Israeli Prime Minister Barak does not intend to waste time in his reviving the Middle East peace process.... Washington was very pleased with his message that he hopes to reach a breakthrough within 15 months. President Clinton invested a lot of energy in promoting the peace process.... In order to increase chances for successful peace negotiations, the suspicion among the Palestinians will have to be addressed. It would be good for Barak to not just speak well intentioned words but also to make concrete gestures to gain confidence."

NORWAY: "Time For Courage And Wisdom"

Independent tabloid Dagbladet (7/21) commented: "In Israel's neighboring countries, even in Syria, it appears, the Arabs are setting the sweetest cakes on the table in honor of Barak.... Soon we will see if Barak has the courage and wisdom that can measure up to the Rabin ideal, if he can create peace with his neighbors without losing the support of his people. And it is in no way certain that Israel and the United States have coinciding views on how this will develop. Perhaps therefore Barak has already asked the United States to stop being the driving force and take on a more withdrawn role as the organizer in the future."

"Barak And Clinton"

Conservative Aftenposten (7/19) commented: "It is too early to presume quick and decisive progress in the Middle East issue after the visit which Israel's new prime minister, Ehud Barak, paid to Washington.... But now there is at least a sensible dialogue between Jerusalem and Washington that has been re-established, as well as between Jerusalem and several Arab capitals. It is promising, and without the change in government would not have happened."

SPAIN: "Barak: Disposed Towards Peace"

Barcelona's centrist La Vanguardia observed (7/21): "The Israeli leader has made clear his intention to relaunch the peace process simultaneously with the Syrians and Lebanese as well as with the Palestinians. In concrete terms, this portends an eventual agreement to return the Golan Heights, captured by Israel in 1967, to Syria. All this represents a difficult challenge, but it has already produced certain salutary effects. Both Arafat and the Syrian government have indicated a willingness to mediate with the most radical Palestinian groups opposed to peace with Israel. A new opportunity for peace is at hand."

SWEDEN: "Ready For Peace?"

The conservative Svenska Dagbladet held (7/21): "Is the Mideast finally ready for peace?... The new Israeli prime minister embodies this hope. He has in a short time managed to infuse new life into the Mideast peace process.... Developments in the last few weeks indicate that the psychology in the Mideast speaks in favor of peace. For different reasons all involved politicians--Bill Clinton, Ehud Barak, President Asad and the Palestinian leader Arafat--also are unusually keen to make compromises with no further delay. In addition they have an exceptionally strong domestic support, which gives room to negotiate with little political risk. Today peace in the Mideast is a real possibility. But it cannot be promised."

EAST ASIA

CHINA: "Peace Process To Be Completed Within 15 Months"

Kwon Hyuk-bum observed in moderate Hankook Ilbo (7/20): "Among many obstacles to be overcome before the Middle East peace process succeeds, easing Arabs' skepticism remains the toughest challenge facing Israel and the United States. It may well be that the Arabs have become even more unsure, particularly of the closeness between these two allies, in the wake of the recent Barak-Clinton summit. They are already condemning Israel's prospective purchase of 50 F-16s from the United States."

HONG KONG: "Soldier Offers Peace"

The independent South China Morning Post noted in its editorial (7/17): "The assurance by the new Israeli prime minister, Ehud Barak, to President Bill Clinton that he is prepared to move quickly for a broad peace might be greeted as simply the latest in a long line of expressions of goodwill by incoming Israeli governments which then find that domestic politics make it

increasingly hard to deliver on initial optimism. But other factors give reason to believe that the peace process may have a good chance of advancing.... If he can maintain the momentum and, with U.S. and Syrian backing, turn it into a process beneficial to all sides, the former army chief may go down as the ex-soldier who brought peace to one of the world's most troubled regions."

SOUTH ASIA

PAKISTAN: "New Hope Of Peace In Middle East"

An editorial in Islamabad's rightist English-language Pakistan Observer noted (7/21): The Israeli prime minister continues his talks with the U.S. president to give an agreed shape to his peace plans.... In the meantime, the Israeli army is also reported to be preparing for a long overdue withdrawal from the West Bank under the Wye River accord signed with the Palestinian Authority. Amid these positive signs, it has to be borne in mind that the grievances of the Palestinians have been the core problem in the Middle East and these will have to be properly redressed in any peace settlement in the region."

"Barak's Peace Initiative"

Nadeem Shahid asked in the center-right national Nation (7/19): "Will Barak be able to resolve the disputes which have generated hatred and violence in the region for decade? For one thing, extremist elements have a strong presence on both sides and they can make it difficult for their leaders to adopt a give-and-take policy in the talks which is a must for a peaceful settlement of issues between nations.... But at least he is different from his predecessor, and perhaps he will be able to make a difference as far as the Middle East peace process is concerned."

##

- daily.wp5===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

WPC

2BPV' Courier 10cpi|x?xxx,kx6X@8;X@ 3'

3' 3' X' hp x X XX 3'
3' 3' 3'

XX Xp x (##Xx\ P6QyXP#

X' hp x (##%O

ddx

XxX<O

X{ -X' hp x (# #:x2PkCXP#

FOREIGN MEDIA REACTION

X{ #:,2PkCP#DAILY DIGEST #XX\ P6QyP#USIA #:Z2PkCP#U.S. INFORMATION AGENCY,
WA

SHINGTON DC 20547 OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND MEDIA REACTIONOO

XX X' hp x (##%XP\ P6QyP#Bill Richey, Branch Chief Media Reaction, (202) 619
6511 Ann Pincus, Director

Archived at: www.usia.gov/products/medreac.htm

X` hp x (##Xn\ P6Qy&P#Wednesday, July 21, 1999

X` hp x (#%

MIDEAST PEACE: CLINTONBARAK TALKS'POSITIVE STEP' BUT 'CURTAIN OF AMBIGUITY'

HA

NGS

X` hp x (#The just concluded talks between Israel's Prime Minister Ehud Barak and

President Clinton, which showcased an agreement to reach a comprehensive peace settlement on all tracks within a 15-month framework, were celebrated in m

ost European media outlets, but prompted considerable editorial debate throughout the Middle East, where the talks were portrayed as "insufficient" at best.

Most Arab observers remained largely circumspect, doubting whether Israel, still

seeking concessions, would deliver on the final status talks.

Balking at the

"highly positive" reviews of the visit, many pointed to Mr.

Barak's "vagueness

," "contradictions" and "redlines" as anathema to the final status talks. A Te

l Aviv daily suggested the visit "left a heavy curtain of ambiguity," while a C

airo paper, capturing a common Arab take, complained that Mr. Barak "increased

our confusion." Summing up another view on the

U.S.' taking a "diminished" rol

e while increasing military aid, a Saudi

writer commented: "The irony is that I

srael wants the U.S. as an ally, but

rejects it as a partner." Regional highli

ghts follow:

MIDDLE EAST: Israeli press focused primarily on the visit's impact on U.S.Israe

li relations and the dynamics between the two leaders, offering behindthescenes

commentary such as Tel Aviv's pluralist Yediot's

observations that "President

Clinton did not...understand just how Barak is

planning to live up to all his p

romises," and that the president and his

advisers "were stunned" by the "astron

omical sums" Mr. Barak had requested.

Most analysts attributed Mr. Barak's suc

cess in handling the U.S. to alleged

"U.S. interests" in the Middle East, and P

resident Clinton's "personal

desire" to be a "peacemaker." One writer noted th

at while Mr. Barak wanted a reduced U.S. involvement, "he has not yet tasted the last of America's sumptuous meals." Most Arab writers were disappointed that Mr. Barak had, in their view, replaced Netanyahu's four "No's" with four "red lines," had persuaded the U.S. to take a "back seat" role of mediator, had failed to address the "core" problem of the Palestinians, and had received a "reward" from the U.S. in the form of military aid without any apparent quid pro quo for peace. Some were cynical that the reason the U.S. accepted a marginalized role was in essence to "qualify Clinton" for the Nobel Peace Prize. Sharing a common feeling of resentment toward the U.S.-Israeli relationship and renewed military backing for the IDF, a writer in Beirut dismissed the Clinton-Barak statement as "a command to the Arabs to subjugate." Others portrayed Mr. Barak as more "cunning" or "elusive" than his much derided predecessor, Mr. Netanyahu. A Cairo daily warned that Mr. Barak's "unilateral logic" could jeopardize the hopes for reviving a climate of peace." A sense of impatience and frustration also percolated throughout the commentary. Even the few writers who praised the visit, notably the influential, elite Jordan Times, cautioned that "delivery of peace must take place soon, before this general sense of optimism dissipates."

EUROPE/ELSEWHERE: The majority of analysts applauded the Barak visit, shared the view of London's liberal Guardian and conservative Times that the talks had given the peace process a new "momentum" and were encouraged that the "winds of change are blowing." Most pundits were convinced of Barak's "intention to relaunch the peace process," and agreed with a Stockholm daily that "peace in the Middle East is a real possibility. But it cannot be promised."

EDITOR: Irene Marr

This survey is based on 61 reports from 22 countries, July 1991. The following editorial excerpts are grouped by region in reverse chronological order.

MIDDLE EAST

ISRAEL: "Beyond The Euphoria"

The independent Jerusalem Post editorialized (7/21): "A Clinton administration eager to cap its legacy with a major Middle East peacebrokering task needs to look past the euphoria and prepare for tough negotiations. U.S. pressure on Israel for concessions will have to be balanced by similar pressure for concessions by the Arab side. Confidencebuilding measures and concessions given along the way to the final agreement will need to be reversible. Only if all the parties involved understand this will the positive momentum and expectations generated by Barak's U.S. visit be possibly translated into real, implementable peace

agreements."

X` hp x (#

X` hp x (# "Fifteen Months Are More Than Enough"

Independent Haaretz wrote in its lead editorial (7/21): "President Clinton characterized the relations between Israel and the United States as having reached 'new heights of partnership.'... Clinton hastened to back up his word by an increase in military aid to Israel.... The 15month timeframe the prime minister announced for the peace process has drawn criticism from opposition leaders....

But let's not forget that the peace process began eight years ago in Madrid, and continued through talks in Washington, the Oslo agreements with the Palestinians and negotiations with Syria. Fifteen more months are...more than enough.
"

"Americans Baffled By Barak"

Washington correspondent Orly AzolaiKatz remarked in masscirculation, pluralis t Yediot (7/21): "Now that the stardust begins to settle and American officials are starting to take stock of Barak's visit, they discover that what the Israeli prime minister left behind him was essentially a heavy curtain of ambiguity.

U.S. officials said that in the 15 hours or so that he spent with Barak, President Clinton did not manage to really understand just how Barak is planning to live up to all his promises.... The Americans took note of the fact that Barak was careful not to draw his redlines, nor describe the future borders with Syria or the boundaries of a Palestinian state. State Department officials noted with a sense of unease that Barak was trying to push them out of the process.. They say in the White House that...Barak did a great salesmanship job...but it remains to be seen whether he also has the courage to make peace."

X' hp x (#

"The Americans Will Pay"

X' hp x (#

Washington correspondent Orly AzulaiKatz wrote in masscirculation, pluralist Y

ediot (7/20): "What President Clinton kept to himself but his assistants leaked

out was that he never met such an pretentious, perhaps even megalomaniac prime

minister.... What particularly stunned the president and his advisers was the

amount Barak said he needed from the Americans to enable him to make peace....

Barak asked astronomical sums for moving military bases, plants and settlements

as well as for defense expenditures...meant to turn the IDF into a new army

....

"Barak was told that peace will first and foremost benefit him and his people and

not the Americans and that he had...better come down to earth.... The Americans

also told him that the role of 'facilitators' he has set for them is not really

to their liking.... The ones to really panic were Martin Indyk and Dennis Ross."

"Operation Happiness"

Analyst Yoel Marcus observed in independent Haaretz (7/20): "The White House decided to go out of its way to please Barak because it suited the U.S. interest

to push the Middle East away from war and toward peace, as well as President Clinton's personal desire to conclude his second term of office as a peacemaker."

"No Free Meals"

Washington correspondent Orly Azoulay wrote in mass circulation, pluralist Yediot (7/18): "Ehud Barak may feel pleased with himself. His strategy is working. The Americans told him they are prepared to give Israel absolutely everything for the sake of peace agreements.... The Americans are going to bankroll the Israeli military and civilian pullout from the Golan Heights, as well as provide sophisticated early warning installations.... Barak may want reduced American involvement, but for the U.S. Israel remains an American colony.... Peace may serve Barak's personal interests but it certainly improves Bill Clinton's legacy and this is what the president is about right now.... Barak has not yet tasted the last of America's sumptuous meals. He had better remember, however, that America is not used to giving free meals."

"American Unfairness"

Nationalist Hatzofe said (7/18): "President Clinton has been looking down upon Israel, treating us with condescension.... It follows that Barak's attempt to minimize American involvement makes a lot of sense. This is all the more true considering the fact that recently, U.S. involvement has been decidedly proArab. Exploiting the image they have been projecting of being 'Israel's best friend,' the Americans have gone entirely over to the Palestinian side."

WEST BANK: "American Assertive Role Is Essential"

Independent, moderate AlQuds commented (7/20): "The fulfillment of Israeli promises and agreements would undoubtedly lead to breaking new horizons in American-Israeli relations and at all levels. Each step on the development track of I

sraeli American relations is also good for the development of the peace process.

However, final status agreement issues are complex and therefore need an assertive American and international role."

"Wye Later"

In a frontpage editorial, semiofficial AlHayyat AlJadida opined (7/19):

"The repetition of Barak's four No's in Washington on...Jerusalem, the '67 borders, the Jordan River [water] and the settlements, in addition to his attempts to integrate the Wye agreement into the final status talks, is no more than an Israeli maneuver to waste more time and extract further Palestinian concessions. These [Israeli] actions will not help in reaching a comprehensive and just settlement; on the contrary, they will lead to the total destruction of the whole peace process."

"Our Experience With Deadlines Does Not Bode For Optimism"

In its lead editorial, independent, moderate AlQuds opined (7/18): "The

Clinton administration is dealing with Barak on the basis that he would deliver on his promises and work on reviving the peace process at the various tracks, but despite the significance of the new time framework...our experience with previous [Israeli] deadlines does not bode for optimism."

"Israel Trying To Marginalize American Role"

Hani Habib, a leading columnist at independent, proPalestinian Authority AlAyyam commented (7/18):

"The U.S.-Israeli summit was concluded away from protocol norms as Prime Minister

Barak was welcomed as a friend and ally following a long rupture of relations

between the two countries during the regime of the expremier Netanyahu. The

Israeli premier is trying to marginalize the American role in the Middle East,

and we believe that the American side will accept this marginalized role in return

for a deal that will qualify Clinton to be awarded the Nobel Peace Prize

on parallel footing with Rabin, Arafat and Peres. All this, of course, will have to take place at the expense of the Palestinians, who have previously paid for or similar [deals]."

EGYPT: "Hopes For Reviving Peace Could Disappear Quickly"

Progovernment Al Ahram published this editorial (7/21): "In his press conference in Washington, Barak wanted Israel to be the only party talking in the peace negotiations, while others would only fulfill its demands. Barak wants to get everything before talking about the land which means that he wants to impose unacceptable conditions on the Arab party, or else there will not be any peace talks. The Israeli prime minister was expected to receive the Arab's welcome offer from him with a new logic that would break the freeze in the talks. Truly the issues of security and coexistence in peace with its Arab neighbors are important to Israel, but a cultured person should realize that balance should govern the Arab-Israeli talks. Security for all and coexistence depend on the return of Arab rights, especially the land occupied since 1967. It is illogical that Barak surprises us by merging an interim agreement with a final status one, while he knows the final status issues are broad and serious. The hopes for reviving a climate of peace can disappear rapidly if Barak persists with his unilateral logic."

"Barak's Redlines Contradict Basics Of Peace"

Progovernment Al Akhbar published this view (7/20): "There is a clear contradiction between Barak's announcement about his wish to reach final peace with Palestinians and Syria in fifteen months and his renewed confirmation before President Clinton about Israel's redlines that cannot be crossed. Levy said Barak told the American president that he insists on four redlines on the Palestinian track: maintaining Jerusalem as the eternal, unified capital of Israel, refusal to return to the 1967 borders, refusal to allow an Arab army east of Jordan river."

ver and keeping Israeli settlements.
These redlines are not enough to destroy
the peace process outright, but
Barak told NBC that he totally rejects the return of Palestinian refugees.
Barak increased our confusion when he promised at the White House that he will follow in the steps of Begin and Rabin. We say to Mr. Barak that he is not honest in this promise, simply because Begin's peace deal with Egypt was based on land for peace. But you, Mr. Barak, while claiming to follow in Begin's steps, place redlines that contradict all the basics of the Madrid Conference. We do not understand this. We see that Barak's redlines are the same as Netanyahu's No's and more. We deceive ourselves if we imagine that the new prime minister will return our usurped rights on a silver platter. Israel is not to blame for that, but it is us, Arabs, who do not show enough insistence on our rights and readiness to give anything for them. We believe Barak is more cunning than Netanyahu and an Arab summit is due."

"No Different From His Predecessor"

Mona Yassin judged in Islamistleaning, opposition Al Shaab (7/20): "Barak ended his visit to Washington achieving all his objectives. He was able to convince Americans that he is working for peace, but did not move his No's a single inch. Strangely, he was able to convince the U.S. administration to return to the so-called role of honest mediator. More strangely, the U.S. administration welcomed this retreat.... Barak's proposals showed that he is no different [from his predecessor]. He proposed a partial withdrawal from Golan conditional on security arrangements with Lebanon. He spoke about implementing Wye, while promising to keep settlements in the Palestinian territories. He also has nothing new on the Palestinian state. Despite all this, Clinton still states that his meeting with Barak was highly positive and shows Barak's sincere wish to reach peace!"

"The Palestinian Issue Should Be Core Issue"

Ahmed Nafie opined in progovernment Al Ahram (7/16): "In order to reach 'a his toric reconciliation with Arab neighbors,' there should be clear progress on th e Palestinian, Syrian and Lebanese tracks based upon the Madrid formula. The P alestinian issue should be considered the core issue. This is done by implemen ting Wye and stopping settlements. More than any time before, the United State s should amend its policy, which has come to lack logic and clear internationa l legitimacy.... Closing all these gaps primarily depends on the U.S. position ."

JORDAN: "Barak's Deal"

Sultan Hattab had this oped in semigovernment, influential AlRay (7/20): "The problem that the Arab and Palestinian negotiators are going to face is Barak' s new language, attitude and his ability to restore Israel's relations with the international community, particularly with the U.S. administration. The Arab s got used to Netanyahu's methods of winning conflicts. Barak, on the other ha nd...is using a new language instead of action. The Arabs are not even trying to find out whether this new language is genuine or whether it is just more pro mises to be broken and time to be wasted. Barak is scheming a trap by linking the interim period with the final status talks in order to avoid certain specif ic requirements stated in the interim agreements."

"Barak Is Not A Savior"

Asad Azouni wrote in independent, massappeal AlArab AlYawm (7/20): "Onceagai n, the Arabs are committing the same fatal mistake...depending on Israeli elect ions to provide the magical solution to the problem. This is evident in the fa ct that General Ehud Barak...is considered the savior and the one who will hand over the occupied...territories on a golden platter. In reality, this general is far from what the Arabs have wished for."

"In Order To Take The Opportunity For Peace"

Centerleft, influential AIDustur editorialized (7/19): "The capitals of the region are witnessing an active motion of contacts and consultations aimed at resuming the peace process and implementing the signed accords amidst a growing atmosphere of optimism and hope for a breakthrough in the peace process.... This positive atmosphere must be translated into action on the ground, in the sense that Israel would implement the Wye River accord in deed and not in words, would implement the rest of the interim accords and would prepare for the final status negotiations.... According to many statements, establishing peace is possible within a few months. This is on condition that Israel truly has genuine intentions to do so and to abide by the requirements and the commitments."

"Historic Opportunity"

The centrist, influential among the elite English language Jordan Times (7/18) editorialized: "Israeli Prime Minister Barak's trip to Washington for talks with President Clinton on the state of the peace process has been hailed as offering a new window of opportunity for peace in the Middle East.... The picture that is emerging is very positive, and that's why delivery on peace must take place soon, before this general sense of optimism dissipates."

LEBANON: "(Either) Peace With Israel Or Confrontation With U.S.!"

Talal Salman frontpaged this editorial in Arab nationalist AsSafir (7/21): "Where it not for essential deficiencies and 'protocol' imposed as to relations between our countries, we could have read the joined Barak/Clinton statement as introducing a love poem between two lovers.... There are no guarantees, no mediation, no sponsorship of the peace process....

"There is only a command to the Arabs to subjugate.... Can we imagine what kind of peace will be generated from this special relationship between the

regiona
l and the universal dominants? What kind of peace can we look for
under the um
brella of a renewed American guarantee to Israeli military
superiority? It is
an effort to make the Arabs accept peace just because
they cannot go to war. T
hey have no choice!... Rejecting it means direct
confrontation with the United
States. Farewell to Madrid's conference,
guarantees, pledges, and the role of
a sponsor and fair mediator!... Israel
today is not a U.S. protectorate. It
is the United States itself.... The
joint Israeli/American statement is not a d
eclaration of intentions. It is a
declaration of war!"

"The Comprehensive Peace (Military) General"

Arab nationalist AsSafir frontpaged a Talal Salman editorial asserting
(7/20):

"The first decision taken by 'General' Barak was to leave his
defensive posture
...behind and come forward with a plan impossible to reject.
Barak believes th
at now is the right time for him to start his comprehensive
strategic attack un
der the banner 'comprehensive solution.' Barak's timing
is great. He is comin
g at a time when the Arabs are not united, when
Palestine as a cause is totally
absented, when the UN and the Security
Council are the least effective, when R
ussia is dead, when China is not
concerned with the Middle East, and when Europ
e left the leadership of the
world to the United States.... In Barak's view, t
he following factors are
also necessary for his comprehensive peace: reinforcin
g his military
capability and his economy, and minimizing the American role....
This is what
the 'general' of peace will present the Arabs."

"Arab Leaders Should Present Secretary Albright With A United Position"

Emil Khuri argued in mainstream AnNahar (7/20): "Barak wants to take
everythin
g from Syria and Lebanon before he discusses the geographic
settlement...the ki
nd of peace Barak wants can only be taken by force....
Answers for the followi
ng questions also are needed: Will negotiations be

resumed on all tracks at the same time or can each progress irrespective of the other? Will the agreement on the Lebanese track be linked to the Syrian track? What will the U.S. role be? Will Secretary Albright visit the region to discuss the Clinton/Barak Plan and take the suggestions of the Arab leaders or is the plan unchangeable? The Arabs should unite and manifest to Secretary Albright a united position. Continuous divisions among Arabs will impose the peace of surrender."

X X' hp x (#
XX X' hp x (# "Deciding Lebanon's Fate"

Ghassan Tweini, owner of mainstream AnNahar, editorialized (7/19): "The peace negotiations will decide Lebanon's fate.... Barak seems more elusive and much smarter than his predecessors.... We have to engage in some rapid coordination with Damascus. We should not let Clinton and Barak prepare to confront us while we do nothing."

"America Is Fair!"

Nabil Khuri wrote in mainstream AnNahar (7/16): "Barak went to Washington to ask President Clinton to 'minimize' his country's role in the peace process, not activate it as was expected. This demand contradicts completely the Arab demand that the U.S. take a lead role. Perhaps what Barak is asking for may put things right because countries concerned with peace understand the complications.. .. The United States should play the role of mediator...hopefully a fair one. We, the Arabs always asked for fairness from the United States. Until now we were not successful!"

SYRIA: "Barak's Visit And Premature Rewards"

Chief Editor Mohamed Khair AlWadi opined in government-owned Tishreen (7/21):

"Clinton-Barak statements in the press conference represent a positive step; but they are insufficient to revive talks on the Syrian track.... Peace is not achieved."

hieved by hopes and nice words, but by concrete actions and steps. The surpris
ing thing is that Barak got a reward [more U.S. arms] even before taking a sing
le step towards reviving the peace process. Washington justified this measure
by saying that maintaining Israel's supremacy over the Arabs is necessary for
peace. At the same time the Arabs are prohibited from obtaining arms under the
claim that such action exacerbates tension in the region. Is this a double st
andard? Washington's reward to Barak is quite disappointing and unjustified; i
t does not help to restore trust in the U.S. role."

"Reviving The Peace Process"

Ali Qasem wrote in governmentowned AlThawra (7/21): "Is the Barak
government
ready to accept international participation other than by the United States in
the peace efforts? The surprise was not in Barak's refusal of international pa
rtners, but in his desire to diminish the U.S. role, limiting it to that of a
mediator.... This action has a negative implication; it reflects Barak's desi
re to evade his commitment to the Madrid principles that calls for a U.S. and
Russian sponsorship of the peace process.... Barak's statement on diminishing
the U.S. role marks the decline of the optimism that prevailed since Barak's su
ccess in the Israeli elections."

"Washington's Responsibility"

Governmentowned Tishreen ran this editorial (7/20): "What characterized the
ClintonBarak talks is their emphasis on achieving peace in the Middle East.
The
re is no doubt about the U.S. administration's desire to further the peace
proc
ess. It will be the most important achievement that President Clinton
could be
proud of, as it will go down in history.... It is Washington's responsibilit
y to take serious steps to eliminate problems with the peace
process; it caused
such problems by its continued support of Israel."

"Principles Of Peace Are Inseparable"

Ali Qasem commented in governmentowned AlThawra (7/20): "Barak's statements in Washington, which reiterate his rejection of certain principles in the peace process, have spoiled the atmosphere of optimism.... He did not hesitate confusing optimism with caution by expressing his desire to push the peace process forward while reiterating positions that contradict this desire.... Barak has tried to settle this contradiction; the U.S. administration explored Barak's intentions during lengthy talks with President Clinton and his secretary of state, but they must state openly Barak's real options."

"Disorder In The Balance Of Powers"

Governmentowned Tishreen said (7/18): "On the sidelines of his visit to Washington, Barak is currently arranging for a U.S. shipment of F16 fighters to Israel.... Involvement in the peace process does not require armaments but since intentions and readiness to translate these intentions into deeds."

"Few Days For The Test"

Amid Khouli editorialized in governmentowned AlThawra (7/17): "[Barak's] insistence on distancing America from her role as a partner in the peace process is ambiguous.... America is capable of extracting a specific and obvious political decision from Barak on peace based on the American initiative.... We hope that President Clinton will assume his personal role utilizing America's cosmopolitan weight to make Barak fulfill his promises; by this he will be able to cement the U.S. role and restore its credibility."

"Affairs Judged On Results"

Majed Mouawwad commented in governmentowned AlThawra (7/16): "Hopes are pinned on Barak's readiness to take any obvious and frank stand on his commitment to peace; but he is still hesitant in spite of his positive

statements. In this case, it is insufficient for America to hope for a breakthrough, rather she should assume her role as a full partner in the peace process.... Such a role is still rejected by Israel; on the eve of his visit to the United States, Barak called for America to limit her role and to not interfere with the security arrangements. Will America accept diminishing her role [in the peace process] as Israel has requested?"

SAUDI ARABIA: "Minimizing The U.S. Role"

X' hp x (#

Jeddahbased, conservative AlMadina opined (7/20): "The ultimate objective of Barak's visit seems to be to minimize the U.S. role in the peace process. This is an objective which makes us skeptical of any Israeli leader who pursues it, since there will be no peace with Israel without an active and effective American role.... The irony is that Israel wants the United States as an ally, but rejects it as a partner. The Israelis think that it is their right to dictate U.S. foreign policy towards the Middle East. First indications, however, show that the U.S. administration will not accept the demand to reduce its role to that of a facilitator only, because this is an irresponsible demand whose purpose is unacceptable to delay peace for another quarter of a century."

"Comprehensive Peace...Fears And Hopes"

X' hp x (#

Jeddahbased, conservative AlMadina carried this editorial (7/19): "Barak has committed himself to accomplish peace within 15 months.... Real peace means to withdraw from the Golan Heights, pull out from Southern Lebanon, and implement the Wye River agreement, in order to engage in final status (negotiations) to include the two most important issues; Jerusalem and the return of the refugees.

Is Barak ready to address these issues positively? If the answer is yes, then he will achieve an agreement within the time frame he mentioned. If the answer is no, (prospects for) realizing peace will be very remote."

"Future Of Middle East Peace...Requires Commitment Of U.S."

Londonbased, internationally circulated, AlSharq Al Awsat declared (7/18):

"We can assert that U.S. President Bill Clinton is exerting every possible effort to get the Middle East peace process back onto its previous track.... Barak cannot help President Clinton realize this goal if he continues to view the United States as a mere party whose primary task is to facilitate the peace process.

The future of the Middle East region requires security, economic and political commitments on the part of the United States in order to make peace and to achieve economic development."

BAHRAIN: "Another Mistake"

Riyadhbased, moderate AlJazira ran this (7/19): "Barak's says that Jerusalem will remain the undivided capital of Israel forever. With this statement, Barak has destroyed all agreements concluded between Israel and the Palestinians...

. Another mistake...(was) when Barak officially requested the United States to take a seat in the back row when peace negotiations resume, a means of marginalizing (the U.S.) role as a sponsor for these negotiations, a matter which justifies pessimism."

"Two Points Make Us Skeptical About Barak"

According to Abdul Malik Salman in semiindependent Arabic daily Akbar AlKhalij (7/17):

"There are two points that make us skeptical about Barak's intentions toward the Middle East peace process. First, his attempt to convince the Palestinians to give up the implementation of the Wye agreement, and the second, he demanded that Clinton's and the American administration's role be more limited and restricted in the negotiations between Israel and the Arab sides. The danger regarding this particular point is that we detect a desire to maneuver, aside from the

e possibility of American interference Barak is preparing himself for a series of negotiations that will make him appear to the world as a peace loving person and will give him enough space to maneuver."

EUROPE

BRITAIN: "Pointers To Peace"

The liberal Guardian ran this lead editorial (7/21): "Across the Middle East, the sky brightens. The hunt is on again in earnest for a 'peace of the brave' between Israel and its Arab neighbors.... The gathering momentum is the product of the practical concerns of key players and a happy conjunction of events, rather than any loftier vision of reconciliation.... But who will complain if it is converging selfinterest rather than altruism or vision that finally does the trick? There are problems enough in any eventuality. In this unheroic, nittygritty business, there will be no outright winnersbut, just possibly, a collective victory."

"Peace And Paradox"

The conservative Times asserted (7/21): "Ehud Barak has succeeded in giving welcome momentum to the Middle East peace process. This climatic change has obliged all actors across the Middle East to reconsider their options. The outlook is too complicated to permit excessive expectations. There is little doubt that Mr. Barak is interested in reaching an understanding with Syria, has reason to believe that Mr. Asad has incentives of his own to conclude a treaty, and wants the Clinton administration to facilitate negotiations.... (But) the credible possibility that Israel and Syria might finally talk seriously is not being received with utter rapture elsewhere in the region. Palestinians fear that such an outcome would only strengthen Mr. Barak's hand in their own set of discussions."

"Syria Orders CeaseFire With Israel"

The conservative Times frontpaged this lead report (7/20): "Syria has ordered dissident Palestinian and militant Muslim groups to end decades of armed struggle against Israel in the most dramatic sign yet that Damascus intends to make peace with the Jewish state. The decision was last night welcomed in Washington, where the optimistic tone during Ehud Barak's visit has produced a feeling among Arab leaders that the winds of change are blowing in the Middle East.... (But) like so many initiatives before, this one will be fraught with difficulties. Last night Hizballah rejected any notion of a compromise. And radical Palestinian groups denied that they had been told to lay down their arms."

"Mood In The Middle East Suddenly Upbeat"

The independent Financial Times editorialized (7/19): "The mood in the Middle East is suddenly upbeat. Ehud Barak is being feted in Washington, where he claims that he could reach a comprehensive peace with the Palestinians, Syria and the Lebanon within the 18 months that President Clinton has left in the White House. This presents a challenge for Mr. Clinton to rise to. He would end his checkered presidency on a high note if he could help bring lasting peace. But a settlement must be one that is truly comprehensive, providing a fair deal for the Palestinians.... A bigger risk to the peace process is that Mr. Clinton will duck the issue because of Al Gore's presidential campaign and his wife Hillary's bid for the Senate. Having offended some Jewish voters last year...Mr. Clinton has now swung the other way."

FRANCE: "Palestinians Under Strong Pressure"
X' hp x (#

Mehdi Benchelah remarked from Jerusalem for rightofcenter Le Figaro (7/21):
"

A partial referee is better than none at all.... The reduction of the role of the United States in the peace process reached by the Israeli prime minister in Washington is not good news for the Palestinians.... The time of

crises between President Clinton and the former Israeli prime minister seems to be definitely gone. Ehud Barak's visit obviously revives the honeymoon between the United States and the Hebrew state."

X' hp x (#

"Barak, The Man In A Hurry"

Leftofcenter Le Monde ran this editorial (7/21): "To be a success, the peace negotiation requires a common will to achieve peace and the capability to impose concessions on one's own side. These two conditions seem to be gathered again in the Middle East, in addition to one crucial element: that the main sponsor, the United States, shows a strong desire for peace.... Nothing could please President Clinton as much as to finish brilliantly with a diplomatic success in a region where he has invested himself so much."

"Countdown To Peace In The Middle East"

Patrick Sabatier argued from Washington in leftofcenter Liberation (7/20): "Ehud Barak's first visit to Washington since his election has been almost totally overshadowed by John F. Kennedy Jr.'s death.... Yet, he certainly accomplished the mission he had assigned himself: Put back on track close and friendly relations between the United States and Israel, after three years of drift caused by the tense relations between his predecessor Benjamin Netanyahu and Bill Clinton.... Barak knows that Clinton, in this final phase of his term, has no greater ambition than to consolidate his reputation of 'peacemaker' by sealing a global peace agreement in the Middle East before leaving the White House."

GERMANY: "Harmony As A Force For New Momentum"

Leftofcenter Frankfurter Rundschau carried this editorial (7/21): "It is of secondary importance whether there is good 'chemistry' between Premier Barak and President Clinton. Much more important are joint interests which can be translated into a new momentum in the peace process. The fact that they coincide with

th the wishes of Syria's leader Hafiz alAsad could create a new momentum for the lasting talks about peace in the Middle East. This is why Bill Clinton can really hope that the signing of another peace accord can be orchestrated in front of the White House during his remaining term.... We should not expect nor wish that the United States will be satisfied with the role of an extra in the peace process. Even Barak sometimes needs someone who corrects him. For instance, some presidential pressure may be necessary when it comes to the quick implementation of the Wye Plantation agreement."

"The Second Chance"

Centrist GeneralAnzeiger of Bonn had this editorial (7/21): "Bill Clinton wants to enter the history books as the maker of permanent peace between Israel and its neighbors. Thanks to Ehud Barak, he now has a second chance.... Now the United States again plays the role it always wanted to play in the Middle East: to act as mediator. No more, no less.... Resistance [to peace] is still great but Clinton, Barak, Arafat, and Assad seem to be willing to overcome all resistance. The Palestinians will get their state, and Syria will get back the biggest part of the Golan Heights. In return, state-tolerated terrorism against Israel must come to an end."

"It Is Getting Serious"

ErikMichael Bader front-paged this editorial in right-of-center Frankfurter Allgemeine (7/20): "The 15 months, which Israel's Premier Barak set for a revival and the completion of the peace process with the Palestinians and Syrians...is a painfully brief period of time in view of the things that need to be resolved. ... The points which Ehud Barak said in Washington were not negotiable for Israel show how difficult the upcoming main leg of the peace process will be. Amos

ng these points is Israel's adherence to an undivided Jerusalem only under Israel's sovereignty, and Israel's sovereignty over the majority of Israeli settlements on the West Bank and in the Gaza Strip. But this would exceed the limit of things which the Palestinians would be willing to accept."

"Barak's Limited Scope Of Action"

Rightofcenter Saechsische Zeitung of Dresden judged (7/20): "There is no doubt that the political atmosphere in the Middle East has profoundly changed since the Israeli parliamentary elections. This is the most important precondition for a revival of the Middle East peace process.... Since Barak has taken office, there has been new movement again. However, Barak's scope of action is not unlimited; if so, he would have not have drawn a 'red line' which he will never cross in future talks. But how can negotiations be conducted successfully if basic questions are labeled right from the onset as 'unnegotiable?'"

ITALY: "Syria Is Close"

A commentary in provocative, classical liberal Il Foglio held (7/21): "During their long visit to Washington, the Israelis have not hidden the priority they place on agreements with Syria.... Both as far as the Golan Heights and the security zone in southern Lebanon are concerned, no idealistic issues linked to the biblical tradition are at stake, nor are there insurmountable problems. The United States will be called upon to play the role of guarantor and peacekeeper in the exchange process for land in the three-party dialogue among Syria, Lebanon and Israel. This is, paradoxically, just the opposite of the Israeli request to the United States to take a step back from its role as direct mediator between Ramallah and Jerusalem."

"Days Of American Irritation With Israel Are Over"

Umberto De Giovannangeli had this analysis in proDS (leading government

party)

L'Unita (7/20): "Peace next year, perhaps signed in Jerusalem. This is the declared goal of Bill Clinton and Ehud Barak. The latter's official visit to the United States ends at the White House with an official 'new U.S.-Israeli pact' as was outlined in the joint press conference.... The U.S. president looks to his interlocutor with satisfaction: The days of American irritation towards Netanyahu's Israel are only a memory at this point."

RUSSIA: "Clinton's Monument To Himself"

Vladimir Kozlovsky remarked from New York in reformist VremyaMN (7/21): "Such hopes were pinned on Barak's visit in Washington that it was simply doomed to success. Most American presidents during their second term think mostly about their legacy and this applies the more so to Bill Clinton who does not want Monica Lewinsky to be his only one. The Middle East settlement is an ideal intangible monument that Clinton can still erect to himself before his term expires."

BELGIUM: "Trust Between Jerusalem And Washington Essential"

X X' hp x (#Tel Aviv correspondent Salomon Bouman in independent Catholic De Standaard opined (7/20): "Barak is going to carry out the Wye Plantation accord. Clinton insists strongly on that."

X

X "That means that Arafat will get large areas on the West Bank in his hands again. Barak was able to form his heterogeneous coalition thanks to the promise that the pending peace accords will be subject to a referendum. For an unambiguous 'Yes' to the questions in the referendum, the restoration of the intimate relationship of trust between Jerusalem and Washington is essential. Backed by Clinton, Barak is going to try to make the Middle East move towards peace."

XX X' hp x (#

FINLAND: "Middle East Moves To Seek Settlement With No Outsiders"

Leading independent Helsingin Sanomat observed (7/18): "The need for outside
m

mediation or outright pressure in the Middle East has always been an indication of trouble and bad atmosphere. On many occasions outside interference has been necessary and sometimes produced good results. Especially the United States has been of great help, when issues such as prestige, mistrust or a lack of realism would have blocked progress otherwise. But direct contacts are the best...

. Clearly, Barak believes that they are. Even though the atmosphere has improved, Barak's negotiations with Syria and the Palestinians will not be easy."

X X' hp x (#

XX X' hp x (# THE NETHERLANDS: "Barak's Peace Course"

Influential, liberal De Volkskrant's editorial observed (7/21): "Israeli Prime Minister Barak does not intend to waste time in his reviving the Middle East peace process.... Washington was very pleased with his message that he hopes to reach a breakthrough within 15 months. President Clinton invested a lot of energy in promoting the peace process.... In order to increase chances for successful peace negotiations, the suspicion among the Palestinians will have to be addressed. It would be good for Barak to not just speak well intentioned words but also to make concrete gestures to gain confidence."

NORWAY: "Time For Courage And Wisdom"

Independent tabloid Dagbladet (7/21) commented: "In Israel's neighboring countries, even in Syria, it appears, the Arabs are setting the sweetest cakes on the table in honor of Barak.... Soon we will see if Barak has the courage and wisdom that can measure up to the Rabin ideal, if he can create peace with his neighbors without losing the support of his people. And it is in no way certain that Israel and the United States have coinciding views on how this will develop. Perhaps therefore Barak has already asked the United States to stop being the driving force and take on a more withdrawn role as the organizer in the future."

"Barak And Clinton"

Conservative Aftenposten (7/19) commented: "It is too early to presume quick and decisive progress in the Middle East issue after the visit which Israel's new prime minister, Ehud Barak, paid to Washington.... But now there is at least a sensible dialogue between Jerusalem and Washington that has been reestablished, as well as between Jerusalem and several Arab capitals. It is promising, and without the change in government would not have happened."

SPAIN: "Barak: Disposed Towards Peace"

Barcelona's centrist La Vanguardia observed (7/21): "The Israeli leader has made clear his intention to relaunch the peace process simultaneously with the Syrians and Lebanese as well as with the Palestinians. In concrete terms, this portends an eventual agreement to return the Golan Heights, captured by Israel in 1967, to Syria. All this represents a difficult challenge, but it has already produced certain salutary effects. Both Arafat and the Syrian government have indicated a willingness to mediate with the most radical Palestinian groups opposed to peace with Israel. A new opportunity for peace is at hand."

SWEDEN: "Ready For Peace?"

The conservative Svenska Dagbladet held (7/21): "Is the Mideast finally ready for peace?... The new Israeli prime minister embodies this hope. He has in a short time managed to infuse new life into the Mideast peace process.... Developments in the last few weeks indicate that the psychology in the Mideast speaks in favor of peace. For different reasons all involved politicians Bill Clinton, Ehud Barak, President Asad and the Palestinian leader Arafat also are unusually keen to make compromises with no further delay. In addition they have an exceptionally strong domestic support, which gives room to negotiate with little political risk. Today peace in the Mideast is a real possibility. But it cannot

ot be promised."

EAST ASIA

CHINA: "Peace Process To Be Completed Within 15 Months"

Kwon Hyukbum observed in moderate Hankook Ilbo (7/20): "Among many obstacles to be overcome before the Middle East peace process succeeds, easing Arabs' skepticism remains the toughest challenge facing Israel and the United States. It may well be that the Arabs have become even more unsure, particularly of the closeness between these two allies, in the wake of the recent BarakClinton summit. They are already condemning Israel's prospective purchase of 50 F16s from the United States."

HONG KONG: "Soldier Offers Peace"

The independent South China Morning Post noted in its editorial (7/17): "The assurance by the new Israeli prime minister, Ehud Barak, to President Bill Clinton that he is prepared to move quickly for a broad peace might be greeted as simply the latest in a long line of expressions of goodwill by incoming Israeli governments which then find that domestic politics make it increasingly hard to deliver on initial optimism. But other factors give reason to believe that the peace process may have a good chance of advancing.... If he can maintain the momentum and, with U.S. and Syrian backing, turn it into a process beneficial to all sides, the former army chief may go down as the exsoldier who brought peace to one of the world's most troubled regions."

SOUTH ASIA

PAKISTAN: "New Hope Of Peace In Middle East"

An editorial in Islamabad's rightist Englishlanguage Pakistan Observer noted (7/21): The Israeli prime minister continues his talks with the U.S. president to give an agreed shape to his peace plans.... In the meantime, the Israeli army is also reported to be preparing for a long overdue withdrawal from the West

Bank under the Wye River accord signed with the
Palestinian Authority. Amid t
hese positive signs, it has to be borne in mind
that the grievances of the Pale
stinians have been the core problem in the
Middle East and these will have to b
e properly redressed in any peace
settlement in the region."

"Barak's Peace Initiative"

Nadeem Shahid asked in the centerright national Nation (7/19): "Will Barak be
a
ble to resolve the disputes which have generated hatred and violence in the
reg
ion for decade? For one thing, extremist elements have a strong presence
on b
oth sides and they can make it difficult for their leaders to adopt a
giveandt
ake policy in the talks which is a must for a peaceful settlement
of issues be
tween nations.... But at least he is different from his
predecessor, and perh
aps he will be able to make a difference as far as the
Middle East peace proce
ss is concerned."

##

X' hp x (%%#Xx\ P6QyXP#

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====

**Clinton Presidential Records
Automated Records Management System
[EMAIL] and Tape Restoration Project [Email]**

This is not a presidential record. This is used as an administrative marker by the William J. Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

This marker identifies a responsive email, already made available within another collection.

Collection: 2011-1067-F

Bucket: WHO

Creation Date: 1999-07-21

Subject: Talk Daily -- 7/21/99

Creator: Megan C. Moloney CN=Megan C.
Moloney/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO]

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Jefferson Hofgard (CN=Jefferson Hofgard/OU=OSTP/O=EOP [OSTP])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 17:16:02.00

SUBJECT: JFK Jr. Space Question

TO: Nanda Chitre (CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Jeffrey M. Smith (CN=Jeffrey M. Smith/OU=OSTP/O=EOP@EOP [OSTP])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Jeff Smith asked me to get back w/ you on whether POTUS ever appointed JFK Jr. to any space commission.. We know of no such appointment neither does NASA. I think it is a garble (maybe labor commission or something else?).

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Lisa J. Levin (CN=Lisa J. Levin/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 16:19:30.00

SUBJECT: RE:

TO: "Beaubaire, David" <David.Beaubaire@warnerbros.com> ("Beaubaire, David"
<David.Beaubaire@warnerbros.com> [UNKNOWN])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
Bessette Bodies Spotted In JFK Jr. Wreckage-NTSB

BOSTON (Reuters) - The bodies of John F. Kennedy Jr.'s
wife Carolyn
Bessette Kennedy and her sister Lauren have been located,
the National
Transportation and Safety Board said Wednesday.

Earlier searchers found the remains of Kennedy and the
wreckage of the
single-engine plane in which the three perished.

``A second dive is underway to recover the bodies for
positive identification,"
according to a joint statement by the search team
leaders.

The three bodies were located during a 45-minute dive.
Sen. Edward
Kennedy and his two sons had been brought to the Navy ship that has served
as a base for the
searchers, the USS Grasp, to be briefed on recovery operations.

Carolyn, 33, a publicist with fashion-model looks, and Lauren, 35, an
investment banker, left a small
airport in New Jersey with Kennedy at the controls of a Piper Saratoga.
They were headed first for
Martha's Vineyard and then to Hyannisport for a wedding.

Their plane crashed a few miles off the coast of Martha's Vineyard Friday
night.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (EXTERNAL MAIL)

CREATOR: Nanda Chitre@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 16:57:00.00

SUBJECT: HEADS UP on JFK Photo/Service

TO: SUNTUM_M (SUNTUM_M@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:21-JUL-1999 18:01:29.50

TO: OLCOTT_E (OLCOTT_E@A1@CD) (WHO)
READ:21-JUL-1999 17:00:06.08

TO: CROWLEY_P (CROWLEY_P@A1@CD) (NSC)
READ:NOT READ

TO: WOZNIAK_N (WOZNIAK_N@A1@CD) (NSC)
READ:NOT READ

TO: LEAVY_D (LEAVY_D@A1@CD) (NSC)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Erica S. Lepping (Erica S. Lepping@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jennifer M. Palmieri (Jennifer M. Palmieri@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Barry J. Toiv (Barry J. Toiv@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Nanda Chitre (Nanda Chitre@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Julia M. Payne (Julia M. Payne@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Jason H. Schechter (Jason H. Schechter@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Richard L. Siewert (Richard L. Siewert@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Heather M. Riley (Heather M. Riley@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Elizabeth R. Newman (Elizabeth R. Newman@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Sarah E. Gegenheimer (Sarah E. Gegenheimer@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
READ:NOT READ

TO: Julie B. Goldberg READ:NOT READ	(Julie B. Goldberg@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Mark D. Neschis READ:NOT READ	(Mark D. Neschis@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Dag Vega READ:NOT READ	(Dag Vega@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Brenda M. Anders READ:NOT READ	(Brenda M. Anders@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Megan C. Moloney READ:NOT READ	(Megan C. Moloney@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Beverly J. Barnes READ:NOT READ	(Beverly J. Barnes@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Victoria L. Valentine READ:NOT READ	(Victoria L. Valentine@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Dorinda A. Salcido READ:NOT READ	(Dorinda A. Salcido@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Anne M. Edwards READ:NOT READ	(Anne M. Edwards@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Karen C. Burchard READ:NOT READ	(Karen C. Burchard@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Mark A. Kitchens READ:NOT READ	(Mark A. Kitchens@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Jenni R. Engebretsen READ:NOT READ	(Jenni R. Engebretsen@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Julie E. Mason READ:NOT READ	(Julie E. Mason@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Joshua S. Gottheimer READ:NOT READ	(Joshua S. Gottheimer@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Mark J. Bernstein READ:NOT READ	(Mark J. Bernstein@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Patrick E. Briggs READ:NOT READ	(Patrick E. Briggs@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Denver R. Peacock READ:NOT READ	(Denver R. Peacock@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)
TO: Susan L. Hazard READ:NOT READ	(Susan L. Hazard@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Michael A. Hammer
READ:NOT READ

(Michael A. Hammer@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: LEAVY_D
READ:NOT READ

(LEAVY_D@A1@CD@VAXGTWY@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX) (NSC)

TO: Lindsey E. Huff
READ:NOT READ

(Lindsey E. Huff@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Nathan B. Naylor
READ:NOT READ

(Nathan B. Naylor@OVP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Toby C. Graff
READ:NOT READ

(Toby C. Graff@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Sharon K. Gill
READ:NOT READ

(Sharon K. Gill@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Sharon Farmer
READ:NOT READ

(Sharon Farmer@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Ralph Alswang
READ:NOT READ

(Ralph Alswang@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TO: Key C. German
READ:NOT READ

(Key C. German@EOP@LNGTWY@EOPMRX)

TEXT:

Message Creation Date was at 21-JUL-1999 16:55:00

Statement on potus/hrc attending serive friday coming

Photo release (disks being given to wires only) of POTUS and JFK Jr. and
Carolyn touring house on March 5, 1998 are on their way

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: hotline-alert@njdc.com (hotline-alert@njdc.com [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 11:36:52.00

SUBJECT: HOTLINE's Up!

TO: Sean B. Marcus (CN=Sean B. Marcus/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Rochester M. Johnson (CN=Rochester M. Johnson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Robin J. Bachman (CN=Robin J. Bachman/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Patrick W. Henning (CN=Patrick W. Henning/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Nanda Chitre (CN=Nanda Chitre/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Michael K. Gehrke (CN=Michael K. Gehrke/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Matthew W. Pitcher (CN=Matthew W. Pitcher/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Marty J. Hoffmann (CN=Marty J. Hoffmann/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Kristina L. Gordon (CN=Kristina L. Gordon/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Joshua S. Gottheimer (CN=Joshua S. Gottheimer/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Heather M. Riley (CN=Heather M. Riley/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Dominique L. Cano (CN=Dominique L. Cano/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Charles J. Payson (CN=Charles J. Payson/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Brian D. Smith (CN=Brian D. Smith/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Ann C. Hertelendy (CN=Ann C. Hertelendy/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TO: Adam J. White (CN=Adam J. White/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])
READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Today's HOTLINE is now available on National Journal's Cloakroom:
<http://cloakroom.com/pubs/hotline/>

TOP STORY: I Yield To Senator Jerry Springer (D-OH)?

WHAT'S HOT:

- * JFK JR.: Body Found This A.M.
- * People: Gordon Gekko Attacks Firearms, Sam Malone Protects Beaches

Add The Earlybird Alert To Your Daily E-Mail Service
<http://cloakroom.com/members/news/earlybird/alert.htm>

Today On Cloakroom:

-- On The Trail: Is Michael Forbes A Headache For The DSCC?
<http://cloakroom.com/members/buzz/trail.htm>

-- Poll Track: The Latest Surveys On Campaign 2000
<http://cloakroom.com/members/polltrack/>

To stop receiving these E-mail Alerts:

Reply to this message and include the word "unsubscribe" (without quotes)
in the BODY of the message.

The Hotline is published daily by National Journal Group Inc. For more
information about National Journal Group's publications, go to
www.nationaljournal.com.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Aimee M. Malnati (CN=Aimee M. Malnati/O=OVP [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 19:04:39.00

SUBJECT: Re: Arrangements for JFK, Jr., his wife & sister-in-law

TO: Thurgood Marshall Jr (CN=Thurgood Marshall Jr/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Goody, Thanks for keeping us posted.

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Elijah M. Levitt (CN=Elijah M. Levitt/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 15:25:08.00

SUBJECT: WHCCTF Green News, 7/21/99

TO: Angela C. Mizeur (CN=Angela C. Mizeur/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

----- Forwarded by Elijah M. Levitt/CEQ/EOP on 07/21/99

03:24 PM -----

Elijah M. Levitt

07/21/99 12:55:13 PM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

cc:

Subject: WHCCTF Green News, 7/21/99

The Business Roundtable, which represents executives of
more than 200 of the largest U.S. companies,
said a
national summit should be held on how business
and
government can help along the development and
use of
energy-efficient devices to curb global
warming, the Wall
Street Journal reports. (see the morning news
clips)

The Ethanol Candidate

Friday, July 16, 1999; Page A22, The Washington Post

THE REPUBLICANS of Iowa are getting ready to vote in
next month's
straw poll, and George W. Bush is getting ready to
celebrate the first of
what he hopes will be many victories on his way to the
White House. It is
worth pausing to consider what he is doing to win. Never
mind, for the
moment, the grand sums that Mr. Bush is planning to
spend on entertaining
the voters at the straw poll. Consider that on a
campaign swing through

Iowa recently, he declared his support for federal subsidies on ethanol.

In the grand scale of presidential issues, the \$700 million a year that

Washington spends on ethanol barely registers. Nonetheless, this is

something of a character issue. Subsidies for ethanol, an alcohol distilled from corn that is added to gasoline, are indefensible on policy grounds.

They were introduced in the 1970s partly to reduce America's dependence

on foreign oil and partly to reduce pollution. But a study by the General

Accounting Office concluded in 1997 that the subsidies barely achieve

either of these things. Mr. Bush tells Iowa's corn farmers that ethanol is

"good for the air quality of America." Actually, it may make the air more toxic.

Iowa, whose caucuses mark the traditional start of the presidential race,

produces a quarter of America's ethanol. Archer Daniels Midland Co., the

leading manufacturer involved, gives copiously to politicians. Ethanol

presents candidates with a choice. They can denounce subsidies and

demonstrate the stuff to stand up for good policy. Or they can support

subsidies, demonstrating the opposite. You might have thought that, given

his commanding lead in the polls, Mr. Bush of all people could afford to

take the virtuous route. But no.

On the Democratic side, both Al Gore and Bill Bradley support ethanol

subsidies. Among Republicans, Elizabeth Dole does the same, and Lamar

Alexander actually wants to boost production. But both Steve Forbes and

Sen. John McCain have shown the character to denounce this species of

corporate welfare. It is a good place for Mr. Bush and others to follow.

, Copyright 1999 The Washington Post Company

JFK's Name Invoked in Push for Nuclear Test Ban

By TYLER MARSHALL, LA Times Staff Writer

WASHINGTON--President Clinton and several key senators on Tuesday launched a concerted campaign to ratify a global treaty banning nuclear tests, invoking the legacy of John F. Kennedy, one of the initial supporters of such an international pact.

"Nuclear experts affirm that we can maintain a safe and reliable deterrent without nuclear tests," Clinton told a small gathering in the White House Rose Garden. "The question now is whether we will adopt or whether we will lose a verifiable treaty that will bar other nations from testing nuclear weapons."

At a news conference in the Capitol later in the day, Sen. Joseph R. Biden Jr. of Delaware, the ranking Democrat on the Foreign Relations Committee, condemned efforts by a small group of Senate Republican leaders to block a full floor debate on the treaty. He called the action "counterintuitive, irresponsible and against the wishes of the American people and the willing of a majority of U.S. senators."

"It is stupid," Biden concluded.

In his brief White House remarks, Clinton made three direct references to the former president, including Kennedy's commitment to arms control. Senate Minority Leader Tom Daschle (D-S.D.) also quoted Kennedy's 1961 plea for a test ban treaty.

Both White House officials and senators participating in the news conference denied any attempt to capitalize on the wave of public sympathy in the wake of the Kennedy family's latest tragedy, the fatal crash last week of a small plane piloted by John F. Kennedy Jr.

But arms control specialists who have worked for months to secure a high-profile commitment for early ratification admitted that the Kennedy connection probably would help them.

Kennedy negotiated a treaty banning atmospheric nuclear testing with

Soviet Premier

Nikita Khrushchev in 1963.

Although listed as a major White House priority at the start of the

year, efforts to win ratification of the test ban treaty have been

persistently sidelined by other developments, including the war against

Yugoslavia. As time went on, some arms control specialists

interpreted Clinton's silence as a decision to withhold his personal

backing--a development that probably would have doomed any

chance of Senate approval.

The United States was one of the original sponsors of the 1996

Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty, and Clinton was the first world

leader to sign the ban. However, resistance from conservative Senate

Republicans, including powerful Foreign Relations Committee

Chairman Jesse Helms (R-N.C.), has blocked ratification.

Helms has refused to open committee hearings on the accord until

the White House submits two other treaties to the Senate first--the

Kyoto Protocol that limits greenhouse gas emissions, and

modifications to another treaty linked to deployment of a national

missile defense system.

Senate Majority Leader Trent Lott (R-Miss.) could maneuver the

treaty around Helms' committee and take it directly to the Senate

floor for debate, but so far he has refused to do so.

Senate action is considered extremely important, even though only 18

of the 44 nations designated as nuclear-capable states have so far

ratified the ban. Arms control specialists are convinced ratification by

the United States would quickly bring many of the remaining

countries on board, including nuclear giants Russia and China. It also

might persuade the newest members of the nuclear club, India and

Pakistan, to sign and ratify.

Reserved

Published: Wednesday, July 21, 1999

EPA sues wastewater treatment plant

Metro Council chairman calls suit unwarranted

DENNIS LIEN - St. Paul Pioneer STAFF WRITER

The Metro Wastewater Treatment Plant in St. Paul violates federal clean air statutes when it uses emergency smokestacks to relieve pressure from its incinerators, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency charged Tuesday.

In a lawsuit filed in U.S. District Court in St. Paul, the federal agency also cited violations at two of the plant's six incinerators in 1995 and 1996, and said dampers on the emergency stacks regularly leak, allowing untreated particulates into the air.

The head of the Metropolitan Council, which runs the plant, called the lawsuit unwarranted and said the emissions represent no threat to the public health.

"The only way we can comply with the lawsuit is to shut down the plant and dump 250 million gallons of raw sewage into the river, and we're not going to do that," Chairman Ted Mondale said. "To me, this is a little overboard. It's not sensible."

The lawsuit follows two years of unresolved negotiations between the council and the EPA. Mondale estimated that if the EPA prevails, the Metro Council could be hit with \$30 million in fines for past violations and \$10 million a year until a new technology, the result of a \$400 million plant upgrade, is in place in about four years.

Mary McAuliffe, an attorney for EPA's Region 5 office, disagreed with Mondale's assertion that public safety isn't being compromised by the emissions. "That is absolutely incorrect," she said.

James Lofton, a U.S. Justice Department attorney, said the council could correct the problems with better maintenance, operating practices and equipment repairs. He also said the plant could reduce use of the emergency stacks.

“Our lawsuit alleges the use of the emergency stacks is a practice that is not a true emergency but is a preventable occurrence,” Lofton said.

The stacks, which release untreated air emissions from incinerated wastewater solids, are used when pressure in pollution-control equipment increases to levels that would cause injury to staff or would seriously damage equipment, according to the council. Last year, the relief stacks were used less than one-eighth of 1 percent of the time and represent a minuscule amount of emissions, it said.

Mondale called the lawsuit a waste of taxpayer money, criticized the EPA for what he called selective enforcement, termed EPA's request for retroactive damages “unconscionable,” and accused it of bringing up issues that were not part of the earlier talks.

He also contended the plant has been more aggressive in reducing pollution than other plants operating similar technology. “We kind of think we should be patted on the back because we're ahead of other regions,” he said.

The metro plant is the largest of the region's nine treatment plants and treats more than 80 percent of the region's wastewater.

The Metropolitan Council is the regional planning organization in the seven-county metropolitan area and also oversees development, runs the regional bus system and plans regional parks.

Dennis Lien can be reached at dlien@pioneerpress.com
or at
(651) 228-5588.

Triumph and peril of migrating birds

By Robert Braile, Boston Globe Correspondent, 07/18/99

Each May, nature performs an act of magic on
Delaware Bay. Female
horseshoe crabs crawl from the water with males in
tow. They lay
billions of eggs, which the males fertilize. At
precisely that moment,
migratory red knots arrive to eat some eggs, refueling
to complete their
hemispheric journey across thousands of miles from their
wintering grounds
in Argentina to their breeding grounds in the Arctic.

It is a perfectly timed sequence that has evolved over
millions of years,
beginning well before humans walked the earth. Yet it is
a dance in peril,
thanks to a mere century of crab harvests and habitat
loss. And like so much
else about migratory birds so eloquently illuminated by
Scott Weidensaul in
"Living on the Wind" and Kenneth P. Able in
"Gatherings of Angels," it
challenges our ignorance of, and carelessness toward,
nature and does so
with wonder and humility.

"What I cannot see, no matter how closely I look, is
what drives this small
creature, barely heavier than air, to make the journeys
that it must make,"
Weidensaul writes of another migratory bird, a redstart,
near his
Pennsylvania home. He marvels at the symmetry of its
"proper, somehow,
almost preordained" colors.

"I may have seen this same redstart in an acacia forest
in Jamaica, among the
ruins of a Maya city in Belize, or in a half-dozen other
places in the tropics,"
Weidensaul continues. "I can only imagine what has
happened to it in its life
- what near-brushes with predators it has escaped, what
storms have tried
to rake it from the sky, what females have taken it as a
mate, what dynasties
of redstarts it has founded. What thousands of miles

have passed beneath its
stubby wings, which seem so ill suited to the task but
which have carried it
back here again, to this mountain, this stream, this
willow thicket. Its secrets
are locked in that tiny packet of brain and muscle and
instinct, a few feet
away but separated by an immense, uncrossable distance.
It knows, and I
do not. And there seems to be a proper symmetry in that,
too."

There is magic in migratory birds, those 5 billion or so
that breed in one part
of the planet and winter in another. They may be
blackburnian warblers,
which somehow manage to cross the treacherous Gulf of
Mexico each year
to winter in Latin America. Or sandhill cranes, which
have "staged" every
spring for the last million years on Nebraska's Platte
River en route from
Venezuela to the Arctic. Or broad-winged hawks, which
coordinate their
journey from Canada to South America with the late
summer light, which
creates the warm air thermals they rely on to soar the
distance. Unknown to
most of us, these birds crisscross the planet, in some
cases no larger and
heavier than a tennis ball, traveling thousands of miles
nonstop in mere days,
one of nature's most remarkable feats.

And in different but complementary ways, Weidensaul and
Able bring that
magic to life. Weidensaul, a federally licensed bird
bander, Philadelphia
Inquirer columnist, and author of several books,
seamlessly weaves science
and journalism to document his own six-year journey to
some of the world's
migratory bird hotspots. From Nebraska to Nova Scotia,
Alaska to
Argentina, he takes up key characteristics and issues of
the birds that inhabit
these places. Able, a professor of biology at the State
University of New
York at Albany, covers much of the same ground, but via
a series of more
purely scientific pieces lucidly written by nine
migratory bird specialists,
including Able himself.

In both books, a cautious but unmistakable environmental plea to save these birds builds, as the discussion of their physical and behavioral features invariably gives way to the threats they face. Those sandhill cranes on the Platte, for instance, are falling victim to the effects that dams and diversions have had on the river, constricting its flow and allowing shoreline forests to encroach on the sandbars preferred by the cranes. Tropical deforestation in Belize and other countries is shrinking the wintering habitats for warblers and other neotropical migrants, while development in the cheniers of Louisiana and elsewhere along the northern coast of the Gulf of Mexico is diminishing a critical stopover point for the birds. Climate change may shift the brief growing season of alpine meadows in the Rocky Mountains, disrupting the migration from Mexico to Alaska of rufous hummingbirds, which depend on alpine flowers for sustenance.

While migratory bird conservation "has been brought to the forefront," Able writes, "Still, over the growing number of decades during which I have been paying attention to migratory birds, the trends are not encouraging. I see more habitat being lost to five-acre house lots, to shopping malls, to road building, to cancerous and ill-advised coastal development, to clearcutting. I see ever more habitat degraded by fragmentation, overgrazing, poor forestry, and agricultural practices - and, for grassland and shrubland birds, by the inexorable ticking of plant succession. And despite some progress in cleaning up toxins in the environment, pervasive pollutants still appear to be having insidious effects on birds and other wildlife."

The greatest threat, Able writes, is population growth. "Unless something dramatic can be done to curb the explosion of our own population, I find it difficult to be anything but pessimistic about the long-term future of migratory birds or about biodiversity in general," he writes.

Still, what distinguishes these books from so many others on the environment these days is that such pleas are kept subordinate to, and are allowed to emanate from, the majesty of nature itself, specifically in this instance the remarkable migratory abilities of the birds. The white-rumped sandpiper, for instance, "an ounce and a half package of bone, feather, and protein," as Brian Harrington, of the Manomet Center of Conservation Sciences, put it in the Able collection, migrates from the very tip of South America to the very tip of North America, an unimaginable feat. In such journeys, battling weather, predation, distance, and so many other threats, these birds become their own greatest advocates, if humanity would only take a moment to recognize, with humility, their wonders. It is that authorial understatement that resonates.

"Just as important, for me at least, is that without these birds, the world would be a very bleak place," Able writes, discussing his reasons for saving the birds. "To imagine a world in which the warblers, thrushes, and tanagers do not return to our forests in spring, where clouds of migratory shorebirds do not wheel and dart across coastal mud flats, or in which the great clamor of cranes cannot be heard in the heartland of North America, is intolerably sad. Surely we want our children and theirs to be able to experience the natural wonders that we can still enjoy. Not just because they have economic value or provide a compound that can cure a disease, but because they are a big part of what makes life worth living and because it is the right thing to do."

Robert Braille is a Globe correspondent who covers the environment.

This story ran on page K02 of the Boston Globe on 07/18/99.
, Copyright 1999 Globe Newspaper Company.

OZONE LAYER: The Montreal Protocol announced on July 19 it will provide

\$67 million in international aid to eliminate 5,700 tons of harmful emissions through 155 projects in 36 developing countries (Agence France Presse, July 19). Greenwire

Senate Environment and Public Works Committee

Endangered Species

Fisheries, Wildlife and Drinking Water Subcommittee

hearing

(second of two) on the science of habitat

conservation plans

(HCP) under the Endangered Species Act.

Witnesses: Donald Barry, assistant secretary, Fish,

Wildlife and

Parks, Interior Department; Monica Medina, general

counsel,

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration;

Lorin Hicks,

director, fish and wildlife resources, Plum Creek

Timber

Company, Seattle, WA; Steven Courtney, Sustainable Ecosystems Inc., Portland, OR; Mike O'Connell, The

Nature

Conservancy, Mission Viejo, CA; Laura Hood,

Defenders of

Wildlife; Gregory Thomas, president, Natural

Heritage Institute,

San Francisco, CA

Location: 406 Dirksen Senate Office Building. 9:30

a.m.

Contact: 202-224-6176 <http://www.senate.gov/~epw>

Revised

Message Sent

To:

Janet Anderson/WHCCTF/EOP@EOP

Julie M. Anderson/WHCCTF/EOP@EOP

Roger S. Ballentine/WHO/EOP@EOP

Elliot J. Diringer/CEQ/EOP@EOP

Shelley N. Fidler/WHCCTF/EOP@EOP

John D. Gibson/WHCCTF/EOP@EOP

Lisa M. Kountoupes/WHO/EOP@EOP

Elijah M. Levitt/CEQ/EOP@EOP

Lily E. Lowery/WHCCTF/EOP@EOP

Jeffrey Odefey/CEQ/EOP@EOP

David B. Sandalow/CEQ/EOP@EOP

Jefferson B. Seabright/WHCCTF/EOP@EOP

Ellen J. Sutherland/CEQ/EOP@EOP

Mary A. Washington/WHCCTF/EOP@EOP

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Tracy F. Sisser (CN=Tracy F. Sisser/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

CREATION DATE/TIME:21-JUL-1999 17:53:00.00

SUBJECT: fyi - potus remarks on Coast Guard efforts re: JFK Jr.

TO: Charlene C. Cozart (CN=Charlene C. Cozart/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Robert C. Houser (CN=Robert C. Houser/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Gertrude A. Roddick (CN=Gertrude A. Roddick/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

CC: Debra D. Alexander (CN=Debra D. Alexander/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we have received -- I have received no official word, personal word from the family what burial arrangements they want. Until they make a statement about it, I just don't feel that I can say anything.

Secondly, I will say that until just a couple of days ago the recovery efforts -- the rescue, then the recovery efforts that were undertaken were consistent with what would have been done in any other case. Because the Coast Guard felt that they had the capacity to succeed in this if they had a few more days, and because of the role of the Kennedy family in our national lives, and because of the enormous losses that they have sustained in our lifetimes, I thought it was appropriate to give them a few more days. And if anyone believes that was wrong, the Coast Guard is not at fault; I am. It was because I thought it was the right thing to do under the circumstances.

Now, you asked about -- John Kennedy had actually not been back to the White House since his father was killed, until I became President. First, he was on an advisory committee that made a report to me and he came back to the Oval Office, where he saw the desk that he took the famous picture in, coming through the gate, for the first time since he was a little boy.

And then last year -- maybe you would have a better memory than I would, but it seems to me it was last May, when we had the event at the White House celebrating the series that HBO did on the Apollo program. Do you remember they did a series on the space program that was done after the movie came out? And Tom Hanks came, a lot of people came. And he was invited because of his father's role in starting the space program. And he and Carolyn came. And afterward I asked them if they would like to go upstairs, and he said he would. So I took him upstairs and showed him the residence, which he'd not seen since he was a tiny boy.

And I showed him some of my -- the memorabilia that I had from his father's service. I have a picture of his father speaking to the Irish Parliament, and a number of other things which he thought were very interesting. And we took a -- we had a very nice evening. And I sent him the pictures from it. And then, in return, he sent me a signed copy of his favorite picture of his father, which is now upstairs. It's John Kennedy campaigning in Virginia, in Charlottesville in 1960. It's quite a lovely picture -- interesting picture.

But it was a nice night. I think that he really wanted to kind of come to terms with all of it. And I think he and Carolyn, they were delightful young people, and they had a great time here that night. And Hillary and I loved having them here. It was quite a great night.