

FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an
administrative marker by the William J. Clinton
Presidential Library Staff.**

Collection/Record Group: Clinton Presidential Records
Subgroup/Office of Origin: Policy Development
Series/Staff Member: Jason Berman
Subseries:

OA/ID Number: 10603
FolderID:

Folder Title:
POTUS/Democratic House Member Meetings [Fast Track]

Stack:
S

Row:
100

Section:
2

Shelf:
3

Position:
1

Lee * Do an August trip w/ Caucus
need to address the issue of the losers

Jeffrey A. Forbes
07/30/97 10:22:22 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Victoria Radd/WHO/EOP, Robert D. Kyle/OPD/EOP

CC:

Subject: FastTrack Mtg.

here is where we are -- will let you know about the 6 pending -- only one no so far

----- Forwarded by Jeffrey A. Forbes/WHO/EOP on 07/29/97 10:18 PM -----

Michael W. Williams

07/30/97 09:59:15 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Jeffrey A. Forbes/WHO/EOP

CC:

Subject: FastTrack Mtg.

DATE: Thursday, July 31
TIME: 6:30 PM - 7:30 PM
LOCATION: Yellow Oval Room

***Please note, Members of Congress should enter the NW Gate at 6:15PM and park on the NW Drive.

MEMBERS PENDING (6):

Rep. John Murtha no
Rep. Joseph Kennedy no
Rep. Bob Menendez
Rep. Donald Payne
Rep. Julian Dixon
Rep. Lloyd Doggett no

MEMBERS CONFIRMED TO ATTEND (26):

✓ Rep. James Moran
✓ Rep. Vic Fazio
✓ Rep. Silvestre Reyes TX
✓ Rep. Charles Stenholm TX

✓ Matsui

PGTUS
Dem
House
members
mtg

Issue
* Can we do something about a NAFTA

Idane Smith

Political
Bell. Conyer

Whiston
Cannon
McCauley

Rep
BEP
Bordwell

26
a for-hoetis
a vote on NAFTA

- ☒ Rep. Peter Deutsch ✓
- ☒ Rep. Zoe Lofgren ✓
- ☒ Rep. Tom Barrett WI ✓
- ☒ Rep. Norm Dicks ✓
- ☒ Rep. Jay Johnson WI ✓
- ☒ Rep. Chris John LA ✓
- ☒ Rep. Adam Smith WA ✓ →
- ☒ Rep. David Minge MN ✓
- ☒ Rep. Nita Lowey ✓
- ☒ Rep. Bill Luther ✓
- ☒ Rep. Vic Synder ✓
- ☒ Rep. Lee Hamilton ✓
- ☒ Rep. Martin Meehan ✓
- ☒ Rep. Michael McIntyre NC ✓
- ☒ Rep. David Price ✓
- ☒ Rep. Floyd Flake ✓
- ☒ Rep. Bob Clement ✓
- ☒ Rep. Harold Ford Jr. ✓
- ☒ Rep. Ellen Tauscher ✓
- ☒ Rep. Ike Skelton ✓
- ☒ Rep. Bob Etheridge NC ✓
- ☒ Rep. Jane Harman ✓
- ☒ Rep. Carolyn McCarthy ✓

need to exhibit more sympathy

TO DO

1) Sectoral

2) Subsectoral; Asia
Chile; APEC

Africa

3) multilateral

MEMBERS INVITED BUT UNABLE TO ATTEND:

Rep. Martin Frost no

6 Briefing
30 M

EVENT: Congressional Fast Track Meeting
DATE: Thursday, July 31
TIME: 7:00 PM - 8:00 PM
LOCATION: Yellow Oval Room

Let us be
sure
C. F. T. S. are ok
Neeson

***Please note, Members of Congress should enter the NW Gate at 6:45PM and park on the NW Drive.

MEMBERS INVITED TO ATTEND:

Rep. David Price
Rep. Nita Lowey
Rep. Floyd Flake
Rep. James Moran
Rep. John Murtha
Rep. Martin Frost
Rep. Joseph Kennedy
Rep. Bob Menendez
✓ Rep. Vic Fazio
✓ Rep. Lee Hamilton
✓ Rep. Silvestre Reyes
Rep. Jane Harman
Rep. Peter Deutsch
Rep. Vic Synder
Rep. Adam Smith
Rep. Chris John
Rep. Bob Etheridge
Rep. Michael McIntyre
Rep. Carolyn McCarthy
Rep. Jay Johnson
Rep. Charles Stenholm
✓ Rep. Norm Dicks
Rep. Martin Meehan
✓ Rep. Bill Luther
✓ Rep. Zoe Lofgren
Rep. Donald Payne
Rep. Harold Ford Jr.
Rep. David Minge
Rep. Ike Skelton
✓ Rep. Bob Clement
✓ Rep. Julian Dixon
Rep. Tom Barrett
Rep. Lloyd Doggett
✓ Rep. Ellen Tauscher

JB
Hamilton
Tauscher

Any meeting
time?

who will fill?

B
Capps

7/29

26 ok

7 pending

* ~~Boucher~~
McDonald

84

Lee
Miller
180

B-List

Rep. Michael McNulty

✓ Rep. Rick Boucher

✓ Rep. George Brown

✓ Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald

Rep. Bud Cramer

Rep. Michael Doyle

not in NAFTA
no NAFTA
no NAFTA
no NAFTA
no



Jeffrey A. Forbes
07/21/97 05:36:50 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Victoria Radd/WHO/EOP

cc:

Subject:

Brownback is supportive of Fast Track as long as labor and environment stay out

*9 and on
House
Track
Soph*

AUGUST HELP LIST

The following are Members who may need Administration cover during the August recess:

A-List

<u>Nita Lowey</u>	Michael McIntyre
David Price	Adam Smith
Karen McCarthy	Vic Synder
Leonard Boswell	Diana DeGette
✓ <u>Vic Fazio</u>	John Tanner
Tom Sawyer	✓ Ellen Tauscher
* Steny Hoyer	Ken Bentsen
✓ Ron Kind	✓ Karen Thurman
Jay Johnson	John Baldacci
Jim Davis	David Minge
✓ Charles Stenholm	Loretta Sanchez
✓ David Skaggs	Max Sandlin
✓ Darlene Hooley	✓ Tim Roemer
✓ Earl Pomeroy	Cal Dooley
Nick Lampson	Marion Berry
Bob Etheridge	Carolyn McCarthy
Chris John	Jim Turner
Walter Capps	

let's wait on
those on Thursday
mtg.
review first mtg.

B-List

Bill Luther	Allen Boyd
Virgil Goode	James Maloney
Jane Harman	Barbara Kenelly
Robert Wexler	Bud Cramer
Bob Clement	Harold Ford Jr.
Carolyn Kilpatrick	Zoe Lofgren
Cynthia McKinney	George Brown
Ike Skelton	Steve Rothman
Peter Deutsch	Richard Neal
Ed Markey	Martin Meehan
Tom Barrett	Louise Slaughter
Ben Cardin (Will Seek Higher Office)	
Scott Baesler (Will Seek Higher Office)	
Charles Schumer (Will Seek Higher Office)	
Joseph Kennedy (Will Seek Higher Office)	
Ted Strickland	
Pete Visclosky	
Bob Menendez	
Lloyd Doggett	
John Spratt	

*17m 17g:
Need to get a bill
this session*

Recess

*The Caucus
issue*

MEETING WITH
SELECTED MEMBERS OF THE HOUSE

DATE: July 24, 1997
LOCATION: [To be added]
TIME: [to be added]
FROM: SAMUEL BERGER
DAN TARULLO
JOHN HILLEY

I. PURPOSE

{ To make our affirmative case for fast track to undecided Democratic House members, and to encourage them to keep an open mind during the August recess.

II. BACKGROUND

We continue to use the July-August period to organize our efforts for a more visible Congressional and public fast track effort in the fall. Efforts during this period include building the White House and agency team (Jay Berman is now fully on board), sharpening our message, building our coalition through outreach to business, agriculture, state/local officials and others, laying a foundation of support with the press and elites and developing a communications and legislative strategy for the fall.

We also need to ensure that we avoid attrition on the Hill during the August recess, when we expect our opponents to mount a significant communications and lobbying effort. To that end, [insert details of powder dry efforts].

This meeting is an important part of that effort. The purpose is to make the affirmative case for fast track and, at a minimum, persuade them to remain neutral until we set forth legislation (including a labor/environment approach) in the fall. We recommend your message be built around three themes:

1. Optimism/Future v. Pessimism/Past: This issue is at the center of our national debate about our future: whether we will meet the challenge to compete and win, or whether we will convince ourselves - against the evidence - that we should not move forward. Trade expansion has been a key element of a forward-looking economic strategy that has created 12 1/2 million jobs, brought core inflation to a 30-year low and created sustained economic growth.

Protectionism

2. American Leadership: As we enter the 21st Century, we need to be in front of world trade opening efforts, not lagging behind and losing market opportunities. The world *is* watching. *Full r Japan -*
~~is~~ *Sub not waiting* If we don't act, others will.

3. Important Market Opening Opportunities: Fast track is the tool for eliminating foreign barriers, whether through sectoral agreements, continuing regional processes in Latin America ~~or~~ *of* Asia ~~or~~ *of* eliminating global barriers in the WTO. This is not a referendum on NAFTA, as some suggest. It is about a far different agenda: eliminating foreign barriers worldwide, which are much higher than ours.

Members are likely to be interested in our approach on labor and the environment. (We have separately sent you a decision memo on this subject.) However, we do not recommend you foreshadow our approach at this meeting; it likely would leak, and would make it even more difficult for Members to stay neutral during August. Instead, we suggest you reaffirm your commitment to these issues and indicate we will set forth an approach in the fall.

Have still only early Sept.

[Section on other Congressional figures, if appropriate.]

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 23, 1997

MEETING WITH
SELECTED MEMBERS OF THE HOUSE

DATE: July 24, 1997

LOCATION: Cabinet Room

TIME: 10:00 a.m. - 11:00 a.m.

FROM: SAMUEL BERGER *SB*
GENE SPERLING *GS*
DANIEL K. TARULLO *DT*
JOHN HILLEY *JH*

I. PURPOSE

To make our affirmative case for fast track to undecided Democratic House members, and to encourage them to keep an open mind during the August recess.

II. BACKGROUND

We continue to use the July-August period to organize our efforts for a more visible Congressional and public fast track effort in the fall. Efforts during this period include building the White House and agency team (Jay Berman is now fully on board), sharpening our message, building our coalition through outreach to business, agriculture, state/local officials and others, laying a foundation of support with the press and elites and developing a communications and legislative strategy for the fall.

We also need to ensure that we avoid attrition on the Hill during the August recess, when we expect our opponents to mount a significant communications and lobbying effort. To that end, Ambassador Barshefsky has met with approximately 170 Members of Congress over the past few months to solicit their opinions and gather information; Legislative Affairs at the White House, NSC Legislative Affairs, USTR and various Agencies have made staff level calls to all House offices; the Cabinet has been informed that they need to be available to participate in satellite interviews, press conference calls and in-state visits to approximately 35 supportive, yet undecided, member districts during the month of August; we have offered Cabinet members and Administration officials to various House and Senate Caucuses, Sub-caucuses and Committees.

This meeting is an important part of that effort. The purpose is to make the affirmative case for fast track and, at a minimum, persuade them to remain neutral until we set forth legislation (including a labor/environment approach) in the fall. We recommend your message be built around three themes:

1. Optimism/Future v. Pessimism/Past: This issue is at the center of our national debate about our future: whether we will meet the challenge to compete and win, or whether we will convince ourselves -- against the evidence -- that we should not move forward. Trade expansion has been a key element of a forward-looking economic strategy that has created 12.5 million jobs, brought core inflation to a 30-year low and created sustained economic growth.

2. American Leadership: As we enter the 21st Century, we need to be in front of world trade opening efforts, not lagging behind and losing market opportunities. The world is watching. If we don't act, others will.

3. Important Market Opening Opportunities: Fast track is the tool for eliminating foreign barriers, whether through sectoral agreements (e.g., medical equipment, environmental technology, agriculture), continuing regional processes in Latin America or Asia or eliminating global barriers in the WTO. This is not a referendum on NAFTA, as some suggest. It is about a far different agenda: eliminating foreign barriers worldwide, which are much higher than ours.

Members are likely to be interested in our approach on labor and the environment. (We have separately sent you a decision memo on this subject.) However, we do not recommend you foreshadow our approach at this meeting; it likely would leak and would make it even more difficult for Members to stay neutral during August. Instead, we suggest you reaffirm your commitment to these issues and indicate we will set forth an approach in September.

III. PARTICIPANTS

See Tab C.

IV. PRESS PLAN

None

Handwritten notes:

- ① listen only re new ideas
- ② know it's a tough vote
- ③ not NAFTA or other trade agent; is my authority
- ④ no L + env

Other handwritten notes:

- 3
- L + env
- Cough
- trade w/ lawyers
- C - way
- On pot'l = 2 parts: then 5 is hard to come down

Diagram: A box with a star inside, connected by a line to the list of handwritten notes.

V. SEQUENCE

We recommend you open with brief remarks (attached), introduce Jay Berman and Vicki Radd, then open the floor for discussion.

Attachments

Points to be Made
Q's and A's

Hill Participants

Representative Robert Matsui
Representative Cal Dooley
Representative Nita Lowey
Representative Vic Fazio
Representative Robert Menendez
Representative E.B. Johnson
Representative Charles Rangel
Representative Tom Sawyer
Representative Ron Kind
Representative Wm. Jefferson
Representative Ben Cardin
Representative Jim Davis
Representative Charles Stenholm
Representative Howard Berman
Representative Earl Blumenauer
Representative David Skaggs
Representative Ed Markey
Representative Tim Roemer
Representative Barbara Kennelly
Representative Karen Thurman
Representative Nick Lampson
Representative Richard Neal
Representative Solomon Ortiz
Representative Anna Eshoo
Representative Steny Hoyer
Representative Earl Pomeroy
Representative Karen McCarthy
Representative Leonard Boswell
Representative Jim McDermott
Representative Floyd Flake
Representative Darlene Hooley
Representative David Price

Administration Participants

The President
Vice President
Secretary Rubin
Secretary Glickman
Secretary Dailey
Secretary Herman
Administrator Browner
Ambassador Barshefsky
Under Secretary Eizenstat
Erskine Bowles
Sandy Berger
Daniel K. Tarullo
Gene Sperling
John Hilley
Mack McLarty
Jay Berman
Vicki Radd
Susan Brophy
Bob Kyle
Bill Danvers
John Podesta/Sylvia Mathews

POINTS TO BE MADE FOR MEETING ON
FAST TRACK

Introduction

- I want to talk with you about why it is in our nation's interest to renew fast track trade negotiating authority. Before I begin, let me introduce Jay Berman and Vicki Radd, who will be leading our effort. Their appointment underscores the high priority I attach to this issue. It is one of the most important votes you will cast this year. In fact, when we look back, I think it will be one of the most important decisions we will make this decade.

Optimism/Future v. Pessimism/Past

- This issue is at the heart of our effort to define America's future. It tests whether we will confidently meet the challenge to compete and win in the global economy, or whether we will convince ourselves -- against the evidence -- that we should not move forward. As President, I have tried to adopt a forward-looking economic strategy that guides our country into the 21st Century. And it is clear to me that international trade is central to that strategy:
 - Over the next decade, the global economy is expected to grow at three times the rate of the U.S. economy. Over the past four years, one-quarter of our economic growth came from trade. In a world where over 96 percent of the world's consumers live outside the U.S., we must export to sustain growth at home.

Our strategy has made the American economy the envy of the world. We are perfectly positioned to seize these trade opportunities.

- We have enjoyed the longest period of sustained growth of all of our G-7 partners -- seven years as of last March. We have created 12 million net new jobs, more than all of the other G-7 countries combined. The unemployment rate was 5% in June, the lowest in over 23 years.
- We are once again the world's largest exporter, the largest producer of semiconductors, the largest producer of automobiles. Independent studies have found America to be the most competitive economy in the world for the past five years. No country in the world is better positioned to compete.

Global Leadership

- To continue that record, I need the authority to continue opening markets. Without it, America will not maintain its leadership position in world trade and a critical part of our economic expansion will stall. We must be in front of market opening efforts, not lagging behind and losing opportunities.
- Let's not kid ourselves -- if we don't act, others will.
 - Since 1992, in Latin America and Asia alone, other countries have reached more than 20 preferential trade agreements without us.
 - Today every major economy in this hemisphere has a preferential trade deal with Chile, except the United States. Chile has an 11 percent across-the-board tariff that these countries are eliminating for their products. That means every time an American company competes to sell to Chile, it will face an immediate 11 percent cost disadvantage compared to its Canadian competitor. Canada's Northern Telecom won a \$180 million telecommunications contract over three U.S. companies in part because it could avoid paying \$20 million worth of tariffs.
 - The EU, China and others are on the move. The EU has begun a process to reach a free trade agreement with Brazil, Argentina and other MERCOSUR countries. President Chirac has declared "the future of the region rests with Europe, not the United States." China has targeted Mexico, Argentina, Brazil, Chile and Venezuela as "strategic priorities" in Latin America.

Significant Market Opportunities

- The potential gains are great, if we seize them. If you give me the authority, we will attack foreign trade barriers on a number of fronts:
 - Sectoral Agreements: We would negotiate agreements in sectors where the U.S. is most competitive. The recent Information Technology Agreement, for example, eliminated tariffs and unshackled \$500 billion of trade in semiconductors, computers, telecommunications equipment and software, amounting to a \$5 billion tax cut in tariffs on American exports. With fast track authority, I can tear down more barriers in areas like medical equipment and environmental technology, where America leads the world.

- Latin America/Caribbean: This area was the fastest growing market for U.S. exports in 1996. If trends continue, Latin America and the Caribbean will exceed the EU as a destination for U.S. exports by 2000 and exceed Japan and the EU combined by 2010. We remain committed to the Free Trade Area of the Americas process. Chile would be our first step in this process.
- Asia: Contains the fastest growing economies in the world, with nearly 3 billion people. Forecasters expect real growth of 6-7% annually for the next 15 years. In APEC, we are working to reach agreements in key sectors that could open these markets to America's most competitive products. Without fast track, that process will come to a halt.
- Africa: Working with Congress, I recently launched an Africa trade initiative. Africa's nations are joining the march toward freedom and open markets - and we should encourage it. Sub-Saharan Africa alone has 700 million people, but we supply only 7 percent of Africa's imports. We want to explore negotiations with South Africa when it is ready.
- Global: Over 50 years of persistent American leadership has helped bring down global tariffs from an average of 40 percent at the end of World War II to about 5 percent today, leading to a 90-fold increase in global trade. Upcoming WTO talks on agriculture can help us cut barriers and subsidies in the \$526 billion global farm market. We can eliminate barriers to services trade, a \$1.2 trillion global market, where U.S. firms had a surplus of \$74 billion in 1996.

Conclusion

- We face a critical choice. We can recognize that the American economy is the model for the world, continue to open foreign markets and seize the initiative. Or we can convince ourselves that we are on the wrong track, that we cannot succeed and that we should not move forward. Years from now, we will either proudly recognize that we saw our opportunities and we took them. Or we will have become paralyzed by indecision, squandered our strong competitive advantage and let others seize the initiative. The choice is that clear -- and that important. I ask you to support me on this critical issue.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
FAST TRACK MEETING

NAFTA

Q: NAFTA is relevant to fast track. Critics argue that NAFTA has cost us over 400,000 jobs. Our trade surplus has turned into a deficit. If NAFTA was a failure, why should we extend it to the rest of the hemisphere and beyond?

A: The critics are wrong. The best information available indicates that NAFTA has had a positive effect on jobs, creating 90,000 to 160,000 jobs in trade with Mexico alone. The trade deficit with Mexico was caused by Mexico's peso crisis and the recession, not NAFTA.

If anything, the trade deficit would have increased further if it were not for NAFTA. When Mexico had its last financial crisis in 1981-82, it imposed 100 percent duties on American products, U.S. exports fell in half and it took 7 years for us to recover to 1981 levels. This time, Mexico continued to reduce its tariffs as required by NAFTA (even though it raised on them on our competitors). U.S. exports recovered to pre-recession levels in 18 months, protecting the 750,000 jobs dependent on those exports. A Federal Reserve of Dallas study concluded U.S. export growth was 7 percent higher than it would have been absent NAFTA.

Q: What will you do about labor/environment in future trade agreements?

A: I share your concerns about labor and environmental issues. We must remain committed to promoting worker rights and ensuring that economic growth is not at the expense of the environment. In the fall, I will set forth a proposal addressing these concerns. In the meantime, I ask you to keep an open mind.

But failing to engage these countries will not improve their worker rights or the environment. These countries will advance economically, whether or not we negotiate trade agreements with them -- and other countries won't be as concerned about their labor or environmental protection. By leading the world, we have a far better opportunity to promote our values than by disengaging.

Q: NAFTA was supposed to have improved labor/environment conditions on the border, but it hasn't. In fact, the problem is worse.

A: The problems along the border existed before NAFTA and they won't be solved overnight. But NAFTA has given us better

progress and cooperation than we would have had without it. There are clear signs of improvement:

- From 1993 to 1996, Mexico increased its budget for enforcement of labor laws by 250 percent.
- The overall rate of occupational injuries and illnesses fell from 47 per thousand in 1994 to 33 per 1000 in 1996.
- Mexico has seen the number of environmental violations dropping; with a 72 percent reduction in serious violations in the maquiladora industry from 1993 to 1996; and a 43 percent increase in the number of maquiladora facilities in complete compliance.
- The NAFTA institutions financing border cleanup projects have certified 16 projects, including infrastructure projects costing nearly \$230 million.

Q: Opponents have successfully made this a referendum on NAFTA. How do I refute that?

A: I think NAFTA's been good for this country. But no matter what you think, this is not about NAFTA. It's about the global trading agenda I outlined.

- Our competitors would like nothing better than to have us rehash the NAFTA debate for four years, while they seize new market opportunities.
- Inaction will simply let foreign barriers stand. When we reach these trade agreements, we give up very little, while other countries give up far more. When the Uruguay Round is phased in, our tariffs will average only 2.8 percent. Other countries are far higher: India (31 percent); Thailand and Turkey (26 percent); Chile and Indonesia (11 percent). Becoming mired in a debate about NAFTA won't level the playing field.

Q: NAFTA has diverted investment from the U.S. to Mexico. It was an investment agreement, not a trade agreement.

A: No. U.S. foreign direct investment in Mexico averaged \$3.1 billion in 1994-96, little changed from the \$2.5 billion the year before NAFTA took effect. The \$3.1 billion was less than 0.3 percent of gross private fixed investment in the U.S. in 1996. The Big 3 invested \$39 billion in the U.S. economy in the last three years, only \$3 billion in Mexico.

Q: U.S. companies use the threat of relocation to Mexico to jawbone down the wages of America's workers. That will just happen over and over again if we reach more free trade agreements.

A: The fact is that NAFTA makes it more attractive to stay in the United States. Before NAFTA, U.S. exports faced an average 10 percent tariff when sending U.S.-made goods to Mexico. They had restrictions that basically forced us to locate car production down there if we wanted to sell in their market. NAFTA eliminates all of this -- and will eliminate only a 2 percent average tariff on products coming here.

If we let other countries reach trade agreements, while we sit on the sidelines, it will make it even more attractive for U.S. companies to move offshore. If Brazil has eliminated all tariffs it faces in this hemisphere -- and we still face high tariffs -- U.S. companies have one more incentive to move offshore. If we want to keep jobs here, we should eliminate trade barriers for U.S.-made products.

Trade Generally

Q: Why should we reach trade agreements with low wage countries? How can U.S. workers compete against foreign workers earning a fraction of U.S. wages?

A: The fact is that U.S. workers do compete successfully with low wage countries. In the last 10 years, U.S. exports to low-wage countries have risen 240 percent, while exports to high wage countries are up just 140 percent and domestic sales by 75 percent. Exports to low wage countries now account for 42 percent of total U.S. exports. And these are good jobs. Wages for export-related jobs pay 13-16% more than the national average.

Competition from low wage countries does create competitive pressures in industries where skills and productivity are lower, but most such jobs don't compete with imports. In fact, imports from non-oil developing countries account for only 4 percent of U.S. spending and has grown only 1.5 percent during the past decade.

For those workers who are affected, we need to maintain our commitment to education and worker retraining. That's why I have made them a priority. It must be part of a complete strategy to prepare us for global competition.

Q: The U.S. trade deficit has hurt the U.S. economy and cost American jobs.

A: It's hard to argue that the trade deficit is devastating the U.S. economy. We are growing at a steady pace of about 3 percent

per year over the past five years, unemployment is at a 23 year low, income levels are rising and exports are at record levels.

But one way to attack the deficit is to break down foreign barriers - and I can't do that unless I have fast track authority.

POTUS
meeting
House
Members



Jeffrey A. Forbes
07/24/97 11:17:54 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Susan A. Brophy/WHO/EOP, Michael W. Williams/WHO/EOP

cc:

Subject:

Since Thurs eve could be the F-T mtg -- I suggest we choose from the the following for invite:

A

✓ Murtha
✓ Moran
Frost
J. Kennedy
Menendez
✓ Fazio
Lowey
Jefferson
Flake
Ortiz
Hastings
Snyder
A. Smith
✓ Berry
John
DeGette
Tanner
Clement
✓ Dicks
~~Farr~~
M Meehan
Tauscher
Etheridge
McIntyre
Milender-McDonald
Boyd
J. Johnson
✓ Hamilton
C. McCarthy
Fattah

B (in no order)

Duetsch

Goode
Sandlin
Skelton
B. Thompson
Payne
Luther
J. Maloney
C. Maloney
LaFalce
C. Kilpatrick
W. Capps
H. Ford
Doggett
Sherman
C. Edwards
Baldacci
J. Harman
T. Holden
Minge
Pickett
Towns
R. Scott
Serrano
Stokes
J. Turner
mcNulty
McKinney
Lofgren
R. Hall
T. Hall
Foglietta
Filner
Dixon
coyne
Cramer
Clayton
G. Brown
Boucher
Barrett
Ackerman
Lantos
Schumer

Let me know what you think!

Forbes



Jeffrey A. Forbes
07/24/97 11:17:54 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Susan A. Brophy/WHO/EOP, Michael W. Williams/WHO/EOP

cc:

Subject:

Since Thurs eve could be the F-T mtg -- I suggest we choose from the the following for invite:

A

Murtha
Moran
Frost
J. Kennedy
Menendez
Fazio
Lowey
Jefferson
Flake
Ortiz
Hastings
Snyder
A. Smith
Berry
John
DeGette
Tanner
Clement
Dicks
Farr
M Meehan
Tauscher
Etheridge
McIntyre
Milender-McDonald
Boyd
J. Johnson
Hamilton
C. McCarthy
Fattah

B (in no order)

Duetsch

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 17, 1997

MEMORANDUM FOR JAY BERMAN

FROM: Susan Brophy
 Jeff Forbes
 Mike Williams

SUBJECT: Congressional Fast Track Meeting

The President is scheduled to meet with a group of Members on Wednesday, July 23, to discuss fast track authorization. The following is a list of those Members who we feel would benefit from a visit to the White House:

First round of Invites

✓ Charles Rangel
 ✓ Robert Matsui
 ✓ Howard Berman
 ✓ Earl Blumenauer
 ✓ Ben Cardin
 ✓ Jim Davis
 ✓ Cal Dooley
 ✓ Nita Lowey
 ✓ Anna Eshoo
 ✓ Vic Fazio
 ✓ Martin Frost
 ✓ Steny Hoyer
 ✓ William Jefferson
 ✓ Barbara Kennelly
 ✓ Ed Markey
 ✓ Karen McCarthy

✓ Jim Moran
 ✓ John Murtha
 ✓ Richard Neal
 ✓ Solomon Ortiz
 ✓ Nancy Pelosi
 ✓ David Skaggs
 ✓ Charles Stenholm
 ✓ Karen Thurman
 ✓ Robert Menendez
 ✓ E.B. Johnson
 ✓ Earl Pomeroy
 ✓ Darlene Hooley
 ✓ Joseph Kennedy
 ✓ Ron Kind
 ✓ David Price
 ✓ Tom Sawyer

Second Round of Invites

✓ Allen Boyd
 ✓ Robert Etheridge
 ✓ Chris John
 ✓ Michael McIntyre
 ✓ Richard Neal
 ✓ Adam Smith
 ✓ Vic Synder
 ✓ Nick Lampson

✓ Leonard Boswell
 ✓ Chet Edwards
 ✓ Jim McDermott
 ✓ Juanita Millender-McDonald
 ✓ Diana DeGette
 ✓ Floyd Flake
 ✓ Alcee Hastings

10 a.m.

Fazio - Frost
 Rangel - Hamilton
 Hops ->
 Coenen
 Boswell

Jim
 Coenen
 Clement ->
 Tannen
 Ganscon

V.P.
 Tannen
 Ganscon

Condit
 Deutsch
 Tauscher

will
 a note
 note

Thursday's Congressional Meeting- Fast Track

Members

- ✓ 1. EBB
- ✓ 2. Rubin
- ✓ 3. Barshefsky
- ✓ 4. Daley
- ✓ 5. Herman
- ✓ 6. Glickman → *Shumaker*
- ✓ 7. Browner
- ✓ 8. Eizenstadt
- ✓ 9. McLarty

Staff

- 1. Berman
- 2. Radd
- 3. Berger
- 4. Tarullo
- 5. Sperling
- 6. Hilley
- 7. Brophy
- 8. Kyle
- 9. USTR Staff
- 10. Klain
- 11. Podesta/ Matthews

EVENT: Congressional Fast Track Meeting
DATE: Thursday, July 24
TIME: 10:00 AM - 11:00 AM
LOCATION: Yellow Oval Room

***Please note, Members of Congress should enter the NW Gate at 9:45 and park on the NW Drive.

MEMBERS PENDING:

- ✓ Rep. Richard Neal
- ✓ Rep. Solomon Ortiz
- ✓ Rep. Anna Eshoo
- Rep. Joseph Kennedy
- ✓ Rep. David Price
- ✓ Rep. Karen McCarthy
- ✓ Rep. Leonard Boswell
- ✓ Rep. Jim McDermott
- Rep. Floyd Flake

4-w tip
H 137
==

MEMBERS CONFIRMED TO ATTEND:

- ✓ Rep. Robert Matsui
- ✓ Rep. Cal Dooley
- ✓ Rep. Nita Lowey
- ✓ Rep. Vic Fazio
- ✓ Rep. Robert Menéndez
- ✓ Rep. E.B. Johnson
- ✓ Rep. Steny Hoyer
- ✓ Rep. Tom Sawyer
- ✓ Rep. Ron Kind
- ✓ Rep. William Jefferson
- ✓ Rep. Ben Cardin
- ✓ Rep. Jim Davis
- ✓ Rep. Charles Stenholm
- ✓ Rep. Howard Berman
- ✓ Rep. Earl Blumenauer
- ✓ Rep. David Skaggs
- ✓ Rep. Ed Markey
- ✓ Rep. Tim Roemer
- ✓ Rep. Barbara Kennelly
- ✓ Rep. Karen Thurman
- ✓ Rep. Charles Rangel
- ✓ Rep. Darlene Hooley
- ✓ Rep. Earl Pomeroy
- ✓ Rep. Nick Lampson

MEMBERS INVITED BUT UNABLE TO ATTEND:

Rep. Jim Moran

Rep. John Murtha

Rep. Martin Frost

EVENT: Congressional Fast Track Meeting
DATE: Thursday, July 24
TIME: 10:00 AM - 11:00 AM
LOCATION: Yellow Oval Room

***Please note, Members of Congress should enter the NW Gate at 9:45 and park on the NW Drive.

MEMBERS CONFIRMED TO ATTEND:

- ✓ Rep. Robert Matsui
- ✓ Rep. Cal Dooley
- ✓ Rep. Nita Lowey*
- ✓ Rep. E.B. Johnson
- ✓ Rep. Steny Hoyer
- ✓ Rep. Tom Sawyer
- ✓ Rep. Ron Kind
- ✓ Rep. William Jefferson*
- ✓ Rep. Ben Cardin
- ✓ Rep. Jim Davis
- ✓ Rep. Charles Stenholm
- ✓ Rep. Howard Berman
- ✓ Rep. Earl Blumenauer
- ✓ Rep. David Skaggs
- ✓ Rep. Ed Markey
- ✓ Rep. Tim Roemer
- ✓ Rep. Barbara Kennelly
- ✓ Rep. Karen Thurman
- ✓ Rep. Charles Rangel
- ✓ Rep. Darlene Hooley
- ✓ Rep. Earl Pomeroy
- ✓ Rep. Nick Lampson
- ✓ Rep. Richard Neal
- ✓ Rep. Anna Eshoo
- ✓ Rep. David Price
- ✓ Rep. Floyd Flake*
- ✓ Rep. Leonard Boswell
- ✓ Rep. Karen McCarthy
- ✓ Rep. Solomon Ortiz*
- ✓ Rep. Jim McDermott

MEMBERS INVITED BUT UNABLE TO ATTEND:

- ✓ Rep. Jim Moran
- ✓ Rep. John Murtha
- ✓ Rep. Martin Frost
- ✓ Rep. Joseph Kennedy
- Rep. Robert Menendez

see
Farrio
Hamilton

ADMINISTRATION PARTICIPANTS:

The President
The Vice President
Secretary Rubin
Secretary Glickman
Secretary Daly
Secretary Herman
Ambassador Barshefsky
Administrator Browner
Under Secretary Eizenstat

$$\begin{array}{r}
 52 \\
 40 \\
 \hline
 00 \\
 00 \\
 208 \\
 \hline
 208 \\
 208 \\
 \hline
 208 \\
 208 \\
 \hline
 208000 \\
 208000 \\
 \hline
 249188
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r}
 2080 \overline{) 30000} \\
 \underline{2080} \\
 200
 \end{array}$$

Week of September 8

24918

- Committee will take action quickly
- Early October House vote
- Gott has said he will take up the bill in late October

Need to get information to Members early
Week of July 28.

Should bill introduced be closer to where we
think we will end up or somewhere far to the left.

- Send the bill you want - Hamilton
- Demo that we are against ST has been out for
No matter what you do.
- Hamilton