

FOIA MARKER

This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

Folder Title:

Chron File - August 1994 #3 [5]

Staff Office-Individual:

Defense Policy-Bell, Robert

Original OA/ID Number:

266

Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:	Stack:
31	3	5	1	V

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001a. cable	Duplicate of vz4618_001a. (1 page)	08/10/1994	P1/b(1)
001b. cable	Duplicate of vz4618_001a. (1 page)	08/10/1994	P1/b(1)
001c. cable	Duplicate of vz4618_001a. (1 page)	08/10/1994	P1/b(1)
002a. letter	[Ronald R. Fogleman] [Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	08/19/1994	b(6)
002b. letter	[Stanley R. Arthur] [Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	08/19/1994	b(6)
002c. letter	[Merrill A. McPeak] [Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	08/20/1994	b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 266

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - August 1994 #3 [4]

2016-0152-F

vz4622

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or
financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President
and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of
an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial
information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement
purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of
financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information
concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed
of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C.
2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

UNCLASSIFIED
NSC/RMO PROFILE

RECORD ID: 9406328
RECEIVED: 04 AUG 94 15

TO: TRAFICANT, JAMES A

FROM: PRESIDENT

DOC DATE: 19 AUG 94
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: DEFENSE POLICY

CO

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: PRES REPLY TO REP TRAFICANT RE DOD DECISION NOT TO AWARD DEFENSE
FINANCE & ACCOUNTING SERVICE

ACTION: FOR DISPATCH

DUE DATE: 08 AUG 94 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: HAHN

LOGREF:

FILES: WH

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION
WH STRIPPING DESK

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO
BELL
DANVERS
HAHN
NSC CHRON

COMMENTS:

DISPATCHED BY

DATE

BY HAND

W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSDRS

CLOSED BY: NSJDA

DOC 4 OF 4

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED
ACTION DATA SUMMARY REPORT

RECORD ID: 9406328

DOC ACTION OFFICER

001 HAHN
002 LAKE
003 PRESIDENT
004
004 WH STRIPPING DESK

CAO ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED

Z 94080416 PREPARE MEMO FOR LAKE
Z 94081211 FOR SIGNATURE
Z 94082412 FOR SIGNATURE
X 94082412 PRESIDENT SGD LTR
X 94082412 FOR DISPATCH

DISPATCH DATA SUMMARY REPORT

DOC DATE DISPATCH FOR ACTION

003 940819
003 940819
004 940819 TRAFICANT, JAMES A
004 940819 WH STRIPPING DESK

DISPATCH FOR INFO

VICE PRESIDENT
WH CHIEF OF STAFF

UNCLASSIFIED

National Security Council
The White House

PROOFED BY: _____ LOG # 6328
URGENT NOT PROOFED: _____ SYSTEM PRS NSC INT
BYPASSED WW DESK: _____ DOCLOG _____ A/O _____

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
Reed	<u>1</u>	<u>JWR</u>	<u>Review</u>
Sens			
Itoh			
Soderberg			
Berger	<u>2</u>	<u>copy</u>	
Lake			
Situation Room			
West Wing Desk	<u>5</u>	<u>8/24</u>	<u>D</u>
NSC Secretariat		<u>copy</u>	
<u>Bell</u>	<u>3</u>		
<u>Jones</u>	<u>4</u>		

A = Action I = Information D = Dispatch R = Retain N = No Further Action

cc: _____

COMMENTS: File? 8-23-94

Exec Sec Office has diskette _____

National Security Council
The White House

12:40 PM

PROOFED BY: _____ LOG # 6328
URGENT NOT PROOFED: _____ SYSTEM PRS NSC INT
BYPASSED WW DESK: _____ DOCLOG BP A/O _____

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
Reed	<u>1</u>	<u>JWR</u>	
Sens			
Itoh			
Soderberg	<u>2</u>	<u>has seen</u>	
Berger		<u>Natl Sec Advisor</u>	
Lake	<u>3</u>	<u>has seen</u>	
Situation Room			
West Wing Desk	<u>4</u>		<u>D</u>
NSC Secretariat			

A = Action I = Information D = Dispatch R = Retain N = No Further Action

cc: _____

COMMENTS:

Exec Sec Office has diskette yes

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

ID 9406328

REFERRAL

DATE: 24 AUG 94

MEMORANDUM FOR: WH STRIPPING DESK

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION:

TO: TRAFICANT, JAMES A

SOURCE: PRESIDENT

DATE: 19 AUG 94


SUBJ: PRES REPLY TO REP TRAFICANT RE DOD DECISION NOT TO AWARD DEFENSE
FINANCE & ACCOUNTING SERVICE

REQUIRED ACTION: FOR DISPATCH

DUE DATE: 24 AUG 94

COMMENT:

FOR


JOHN W. FICKLIN

NSC RECORDS MANAGEMENT OFFICE

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

August 23, 1994

Dear Jim:

Thank you for your August 2 letter concerning your efforts to expand Federal jobs in Youngstown and other parts of your district. I know you and your constituents were keenly disappointed that Youngstown was not selected as a site for a Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) center, but I am committed to doing everything I can to promote economic revitalization in your area.

We really are trying to help, Jim, and will continue to do so. I have asked George to continue to work with you, and through you, on these matters.

Sincerely,

Bill Clinton

*I'll keep working
at this*

The Honorable James A. Traficant, Jr.
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

LAKE
GS + Brophy
copied

8/23

THE PRESIDENT HAS BEEN

8/23

6328

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

August 19, 1994

94 AUG 19 P1:11

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ANTHONY LAKE
GEORGE STEPHANOPOULOS (GS)
SUSAN BROPHY SB

SUBJECT: Reply to Letter from Representative Traficant

Purpose

To respond to a letter from Congressman Jim Traficant (D-OH) complaining about the Defense Department's decision not to award a Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) center to Youngstown, Ohio, or otherwise expand DOD jobs in his district.

Background

In May, DoD made its long awaited announcement of which cities would host DFAS facilities. Ohio fared very well, winning three facilities (Cleveland, Columbus and Newark AFB). However, Youngstown was not on the list. This was a bitter disappointment for a city that lost 17% of its population in the 1980's and suffers from an unemployment rate approaching 20%. As the Congressman notes, we have made some progress in expanding the Air Force Reserve activities at Youngstown Municipal Airport but not as many Federal jobs have been created as he wants.

The proposed response at Tab A reassures Congressman Traficant that we are genuinely trying to help and notes that George will continue to be our point of contact for this effort.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the letter at Tab A.

Attachments

- Tab A Proposed Reply to Congressman Traficant
- Tab B Incoming Correspondence from Congressman Traficant

Can't we
get him the
FAR grant?

cc: Vice President
Chief of Staff

JAMES A. TRAFICANT, JR.
17TH DISTRICT, OHIO

COMMITTEES
COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS
AND TRANSPORTATION
CHAIRMAN, SUBCOMMITTEE ON
PUBLIC BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS
SUBCOMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
SUBCOMMITTEE ON SURFACE TRANSPORTATION
COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE, SPACE,
AND TECHNOLOGY
SUBCOMMITTEE ON SPACE

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515-3517

August 2, 1994

6328
2446 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515
(202) 225-5261

125 MARKET STREET
YOUNGSTOWN, OH 44503
(216) 743-1914

5555 YOUNGSTOWN-WARREN ROAD
SUITE 2685
NILES, OH 44446
(216) 852-6649
P 3-36
109 WEST 3RD STREET
EAST LIVERPOOL, OH 43920
(216) 385-5921

The Honorable William J. Clinton
President of the United States
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear President Clinton:

I have been working closely with George Stephanopoulos on a number of initiatives to bring federal jobs to my Congressional District in the wake of the Pentagon's decision earlier this year not to locate a defense finance accounting service (DFAS) facility in my district.

As you know, during the 1992 campaign you made a public promise to me at a rally in my district that if we had the best proposal, we'd get a DFAS facility. I worked hard on your behalf in the 1992 campaign -- not just in Northeast Ohio, but in Western Pennsylvania as well. I don't want to re-hash what transpired, but the bottom line is that we upheld our end of the bargain and we got nothing.

While I appreciate the time George has taken to work with me, and the fact that some progress has been made in expanding the Air Force Reserve base in my district, it falls far short of the thousands of federal jobs that DFAS would have created. You continue to take a lot of heat in my district over the loss of DFAS. I can't even get the Federal Aviation Administration to approve a modest grant request that was made by my airport for an air cargo demand study. The study would be the first step towards the establishment of an international air cargo jetport. This is a project your Administration should support.

The people of my district are loyal Democrats who strongly supported you in 1992. In light of the DFAS decision they feel abandoned and ignored. These are hard working people who believed in you. Your Administration intervened at the last minute and got a DFAS training center for Massachusetts. Why couldn't you do the same for my area?

I will continue to work with George, but I want you to know that I will not rest until the promise you made to me in 1992 is fulfilled. I owe that much to my constituents.

Please know, Mr. President, that I applaud you for your vision and tenacity in pushing key issues like health care reform, welfare reform, and reinventing government. I know that you want to fulfill all your campaign promises, and I want to work with you to ensure that you do.

Respectfully,


James A. Traficant, Jr.
Member of Congress

JAT/pm

I EXPECT THE WHITE HOUSE TO
WORK WITH ME, AND THROUGH ME,
THROUGH THE VA

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 3, 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM ITOH

FROM: SUSAN BROPHY *SB*
LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS

SUBJECT: CONGRESSIONAL MAIL

Attached are copies of letters sent to the White House from several members of Congress.

Since these letters address national security issues, I would appreciate your assistance in drafting a response. The letters are as follows:

- 1) Dave McCurdy (D-OR), concerning Haiti;
- 2) Jim Traficant (D-OH), concerning the possible construction of a DFAS center in his district;
- 3) Bill Goodling (R-PA), concerning Haiti;
- 4) Dan Glickman (D-KS), concerning Haiti;;
- 5) Tom Lantos (D-CA), concerning Taiwan; and
- 6) Bill Roth (R-DE), concerning Haiti.

Thank you for your assistance. If you have any questions, please feel free to call LeeAnn Inadomi at x7500.

Enclosure

August 10, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

FROM:

ROBERT G. BELL *RGB*

SUBJECT:

Reply to Letter from Representative Traficant

Congressman Jim Traficant (D-OH) has written the President an angry letter complaining about the Defense Department's decision earlier this year not to award Youngstown, Ohio, a Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) center and the Administration's failure to date to compensate his district for this loss (Tab B).

Since the letter mentions that George Stephanopoulos has been working on the compensation issue, I have consulted with him on this reply (Tab A). George recommends a brief letter reaffirming our commitment to try to help.

Concurrence: *very* Bill Danvers

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum to the President at Tab I.

Approve _____ Disapprove _____

Attachment

Tab I Memorandum to the President
Tab A Letter to Congressman Traficant
Tab B Incoming Correspondence from Congressman Traficant

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
NSC/RMO PROFILE

RECORD ID: 9406778
RECEIVED: 22 AUG 94 11

TO: MCALEER, R

FROM: ITOH

DOC DATE: 23 AUG 94
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: MILITARY EXERCISES

INDIA

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: SIGNIFICANT MILITARY EXERCISE FLASH IROQUOIS 94 - 2

ACTION: REED SGD MEMO

DUE DATE: 25 AUG 94 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: SEATON

LOGREF:

FILES: WH

NSCP:

CODES:

DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL
EXECSEC
LAIPSON
SEATON

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006

By 82 NARA, Date 1/3/2007

2016-0158-F

COMMENTS:

DISPATCHED BY EDS

DATE 8/23

BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSJDA

CLOSED BY: NSDRS

DOC 3 OF 3

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
ACTION DATA SUMMARY REPORT

RECORD ID: 9406778

DOC ACTION OFFICER

001 HAHN
001
001 SEATON
002 ITOH
003

CAO ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED

Z 94082211 PREPARE MEMO FOR ITOH
Z 94082317 ACTION TRANSFERRED
Z 94082317 PREPARE MEMO FOR ITOH
Z 94082317 FOR SIGNATURE
X 94082318 REED SGD MEMO

DISPATCH DATA SUMMARY REPORT

DOC DATE DISPATCH FOR ACTION

DISPATCH FOR INFO

003 940823 MCALEER, R

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~
NSC/RHO PROFILE

RECORD ID: 9406778
RECEIVED: 22 AUG 94 11

TO: ITOH

FROM: MCALEER, R

DOC DATE: 18 AUG 94
SOURCE REF: 71763

KEYWORDS: MILITARY EXERCISES

INDIA

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: SIGNIFICANT MILITARY EXERCISE FLASH IROQUOIS 94 - 2 IN INDIA ON 6 -
27 SEP

ACTION: PREPARE MEMO FOR ITOH

DUE DATE: 25 AUG 94 STATUS: S

STAFF OFFICER: HAHN

LOGREF:

FILES: WH

NSCP:

CODES:

DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION

FOR ACTION

HAHN

FOR CONCURRENCE

LAIPSON

FOR INFO

INDYK

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By RL NARA, Date 1/3/07

246-952-7

URGENT

COMMENTS: PLEASE NOTE CRITICAL CANCELLATION DATE 23 AUG

DISPATCHED BY _____ DATE _____ BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSJDA

CLOSED BY:

DOC 1 OF 1

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~


NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

August 23, 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR COL. ROBERT P. MCALEER
Executive Secretary
Department of Defense

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise FLASH IROQUOIS 94-2

The National Security Council staff concurs in military exercise
FLASH IROQUOIS 94-2.

 , CA, USA
William H. Itoh
Executive Secretary

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

6778

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

August 22, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RB*

FROM: JIM SEATON *JS*

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise FLASH IROQUOIS 94-2

Attached at Tab I is a proposed memorandum to the Executive Secretary, Department of Defense, concurring in the military exercise FLASH IROQUOIS 94-2. At Tab II Defense recommends approval and State concurs.

FLASH IROQUOIS 94-2 is a routine small-scale bilateral U.S./Indian Special Operations Forces training exercise scheduled for September 6-27. It involves two U.S. C-130 aircraft, 72 U.S. Air Force and Navy personnel and an undetermined number of Indian Marine Commandos training in the vicinity of Bombay, India. The exercise reinforces military-to-military contacts in the South Asia region and is the first time for U.S. Navy SEALs to train with Indian Special Forces in combat swimmer operations, maritime infiltration and weapons/demolition training.

The critical cancellation date is August 23, 1994.

Concurrence by: Ellen Laipson *EL*

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I concurring in the exercise.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature

Tab II Incoming Correspondence

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006

By *12* NARA, Date *1/3/07*

716-0192-E

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



CONFIDENTIAL

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301

18 AUG 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR EXECUTIVE SECRETARY, NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise - FLASH IROQUOIS 94-2

The Department of Defense recommends NSC concurrence of the attached Significant Military Exercise Brief, FLASH IROQUOIS 94-2. The Department of State concurs with this recommendation.

Please note the critical cancellation date for Exercise FLASH IROQUOIS 94-2 is 23 August 1994. Should you have any questions or comments, our action officer for significant military activities is Col Linda Olsen, (703)697-5454.

Robert P. McAleer
Robert P. McAleer
Executive Secretary

Attachment:
As stated

This memo is UNCLASSIFIED without its attachment.

CONFIDENTIAL

SEC DEF CONTR NO. **X71763**

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
--------------------------	---------------	------	-------------

001a. cable	Duplicate of vz4618_001a. (1 page)	08/10/1994	P1/b(1)
-------------	------------------------------------	------------	---------

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 266

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - August 1994 #3 [4]

2016-0152-F
vz4622

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
--------------------------	---------------	------	-------------

001b. cable	Duplicate of vz4618_001a. (1 page)	08/10/1994	P1/b(1)
-------------	------------------------------------	------------	---------

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 266

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - August 1994 #3 [4]

2016-0152-F

vz4622

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001c. cable	Duplicate of vz4618_001a. (1 page)	08/10/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 266

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - August 1994 #3 [4]

2016-0152-F

vz4622

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

National Security Council
The White House

PROOFED BY: _____

LOG # 6778

URGENT NOT PROOFED: _____

SYSTEM PRS NSC INT

BYPASSED WW DESK: _____

DOCLOG PA A/O _____

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
Reed	<u>1</u>	<u>JWR</u>	
Sens			
Itoh			
Soderberg			
Berger			
Lake			
Situation Room			
West Wing Desk	<u>2</u>	<u>PA 8/23</u>	<u>D</u>
NSC Secretariat	<u>3</u>	<u>PA 8/23</u>	<u>D</u>

A = Action I = Information D = Dispatch R = Retain N = No Further Action

cc: _____


COMMENTS:

ccd Aug 23

Exec Sec Office has diskette _____

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

August 25, 1994



ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH:

ROBERT BELL *RB*

FROM:

JIM SEATON *JS*

SUBJECT:

Significant Military Exercise PEACEKEEPER 94

Attached at Tab I is a proposed memorandum to the Executive Secretary, Department of Defense, concurring in the military exercise PEACEKEEPER 94. At Tab II Defense recommends approval and State concurs.

PEACEKEEPER 94 is U.S./Russian peacekeeping field training exercise scheduled for September 8-18 in Totskoye, Russia. Approximately 300 American and 500 Russian troops are involved. This exercise was originally scheduled for early July, but pressure from the Russian DUMA forced its postponement.

Totskoye was the site of above-ground nuclear testing in the 1950s; however, a July 1994 radiological survey by the European Radiological Assessment Monitoring Team certified that the area meets federal safety standards for the general public.

The critical cancellation date is August 26, 1994.

Concurrences by:

in draft *in draft*
Nicholas Burns, Rose Gottemoeller

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I concurring in the exercise.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature
Tab II Incoming Correspondence

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

MEMORANDUM FOR COL. ROBERT P. MCALEER
Executive Secretary
Department of Defense

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise PEACEKEEPER 94

The National Security Council staff concurs in military exercise
PEACEKEEPER 94.

William H. Itoh
Executive Secretary

6791



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301


22 AUG 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR EXECUTIVE SECRETARY, NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise - PEACEKEEPER 94

The Department of Defense recommends NSC approval of the attached Significant Military Exercise Brief, Exercise PEACEKEEPER 94. The Department of State concurs with this recommendation.

Please note the critical cancellation date has been changed from 1 September to 26 August 1994. Should you have any questions or comments, our action officer for significant military activities is Col Linda Olsen, (703)697-5454.


Robert P. McAleer
Executive Secretary

Attachment:
As stated

UNCLASSIFIED

JOINT STAFF INFO SERVICE CENTER

PRIORITY

ZYUW RUSNMHS0127 2071207

P 261313Z JUL 94

FM USCINCEUR VAHINGEN GE//ECJ37//

TO JOINT STAFF WASHINGTON DC//J7-JETD//

INFO JOINT STAFF WASHINGTON DC//J3/J4/J5/LA//

SECDEF WASHINGTON DC//USDP:SP/EP//

SECDEF WASHINGTON DC//ASD:ISP/ASD:PA/FMRA//

SECDEF WASHINGTON DC//DUSD:SR//

SECSTATE WASHINGTON DC//PM-ISO//

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY BONN

USDAO MOSCOW RU

USDAO BONN GE

CINCUSAREUR HEIDELBERG GE//AEAGC//

HQ USAF RAMSTEIN AB GE//DOOE//

CINCUSNAVEUR LONDON UK//N313/N3//

USCINCCOM NORFOLK VA//J3/J7//

USCINCTrans SCOTT AFB IL//AMC/MSC/MTMC//

CNO WASHINGTON DC//N3/N5//

HQDA WASHINGTON DC//DCSOPS/DCSLOG//

HQ USAF WASHINGTON DC//XOOE//

USCINCEUR VAHINGEN GE//ECJ37/ECJ3-EX/ECJ5//

UNCLAS

SECTION 001 OF 002

EXER/PEACEKEEPER 94//

MSGID/GENADMIN/USCINCEUR/ECJ37//

SUBJ/PART I SIGNIFICANT MILITARY EXERCISE BRIEF (SMEB) (U//

REF/A/JCS MSG/181140Z JUN 93/IMPLEMENTATION OF CJCS DECISION ON
PEACEKEEPING DOCTRINE AND TRAINING/B/MOU BETWEEN U.S. DOD AND
RUSSIAN FEDERATION MOD ON COOPERATION IN DEFENSE AND MILITARY
RELATIONS/8 SEP 93/C/PROTOCOL BETWEEN CDR 31D AND CDR 27GMRD ON
COMBINED PEACEKEEPING TRAINING AND EXERCISES/9 SEP93/D/JCS
MSG/121231Z JUL 94/US-RUSSIAN COMBINED PEACEKEEPING TRAINING
EXERCISE --NEW DATES//

POC/MCKENZIE/LTC(P)/PRIPHN:(DSN) 430-4153/-VAHINGEN GE//
RMKS/1. EXERCISE NAME: U.S.-RUSSIAN PEACEKEEPING EXERCISE
1894/PEACEKEEPER 94//

2. GEOGRAPHIC AREA : TOTSKOYE, RUSSIA

3. DATES: 08-18 SEP 94

4. CRITICAL CANCELLATION DATE: 26 Aug 94

5. TYPE: BILATERAL FIELD TRAINING EXERCISE (FTX)

6. PURPOSE: DEPLOY AND EXERCISE A REINFORCED COMPANY FROM THE
U.S. THIRD INFANTRY DIVISION (31D) IN COORDINATION WITH A
REINFORCED MOTORIZED RIFLE COMPANY AND OTHER FORCES FROM THE 27TH
GUARDS MOTORIZED RIFLE DIVISION (27GMRD) IN A U.S.-RUSSIAN
COOPERATIVE EFFORT TO IMPROVE PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS. EXERCISE
OBJECTIVES INCLUDE:

A. EXERCISE COMMANDERS AND STAFF IN CONTROLLING, ORGANIZING
AND SUPPORTING A MULTINATIONAL PEACEKEEPING OPERATION.

JOINT STAFF V1

ACTION J7:JETD(3)

(U,6,8,F)

INFO

CMAS(1) NMCC:CWO(1) J3(3) J3:JODEUR-J(1)

J3:JODWHEM-J(1) NIDS(1) J4(5) J4:LPEILD3-J(1)

J4:SWEDENG-J(1) J7(6) J5(1) J5:STRAT-J(1)

QUAL CONTROL(1) J5:ORGN-J(1) J7:JECG-J(1)

SHAPE LNO(1) J5:NUC-J(1) J5:NAC-J(1) J5:CACCON-J(1)

J5:CACMIS-J(1) J6E-J(1) J5:RRB-J(1) J6U-J(1)

J1:PPPD-J(1) J5:ASIA-J(1) J6:NSA-J(1) J5:POLICY-J(1)

J5:WARFARE-J(1) J5:WTCNP-J(1) J5:WTCCBW-J(1)

J36:READY-J(1)

+NATS PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC

+NEACP

SECDEF V2

ACTION

(U,8,F)

INFO

SECDEF-N(1) DOTE(2) SECDEF-C(1)

USDP:FILE(1) ASD:LA(1) USDP:TWP(1) USDP:IP(2)

ATSD:PA(1) GC(1) USDP:ISP(1) USDP:AP(2)

USDP:DASDEUR(1) USDP:DSAA(1) USDP:CH(1) USDP:SR(1)

USDP:PK/PE(1) USDP:FMRA(1) USDP:FSUNA(1) USDP:NAC(1)

USDP:NIA(1) USDP:SCC(1) USDP:EP(1) USDP:EUR POL(1)

USDP:RUE(1) USDP:NATOPOL(1) USDP:ISA(1) USDP:NA(1)

+USDP:DTSA

+USDP:CCC

+SAFE

B. EXAMINE AND EVALUATE THE COMPATIBILITY OF U.S. AND RUSSIAN
UNITS TO CONDUCT COMBINED PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS.

C. EXERCISE UNITS ACCORDING TO AN AGREED UPON TACTICS,
TECHNIQUES AND PROCEDURES (TTP) GUIDE WITHIN A PEACEKEEPING
SCENARIO.

7. OPLAN EXERCISED: LIVEX

8. POLITICAL IMPLICATIONS:

A. US COMMITMENTS TO ALLIES: NONE

B. DATE COMMITMENTS MADE TO ALLIES: NOT APPLICABLE

C. OTHER POLITICAL IMPLICATIONS:

(1) PEACEKEEPER 94 WAS ORIGINALLY SCHEDULED TO OCCUR 4-17
JULY 94 IN ACCORDANCE WITH AGREEMENTS FORMALIZED IN REFS A
THROUGH C. DUE TO RUSSIAN POLITICAL SENSITIVITIES AND PRESSURE
FROM THE DUMA, THE RUSSIAN MINISTRY OF DEFENSE (MOD), IN MAY 94,
FORMALLY POSTPONED PEACEKEEPER 94. AT THAT TIME THE RUSSIANS
OFFERED NO NEW DATES FOR THE EXERCISE. IN JUNE 94, THE RUSSIAN
MOD AND THE U.S. SECDEF AGREED TO RESCHEDULE THE EXERCISE FOR 8-
18 SEPTEMBER 94 IN TOTSKOYE, RUSSIA. POLITICAL SENSITIVITIES IN
THE DUMA STILL EXIST AND COULD POSSIBLY AFFECT THE RESCHEDULED
EXERCISE. TO PRECLUDE THIS, THE RUSSIAN MOD AND MINISTRY OF
FOREIGN AFFAIRS (MFA) WILL PROVIDE DETAILED BRIEFINGS TO THE DUMA
ON THE SCOPE, OBJECTIVES, AND PURPOSE OF PEACEKEEPER 94.

(2) THE TOTSKOYE AREA WAS THE SITE OF ABOVE-GROUND NUCLEAR
TESTING IN THE 1950'S. HOWEVER, THE RUSSIAN MILITARY MAINTAINS
THAT THE DESIGNATED EXERCISE AREA IS COMPLETELY SAFE. IN
RESPONSE TO CONGRESSIONAL CONCERNS, A RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY WILL BE
CONDUCTED BY THE EUROPEAN RADIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT MONITORING TEAM
(E-RAMT) DURING THE FINAL COORDINATION VISIT TO TOTSKOYE, 27-30
JULY 94 TO ENSURE THAT RADIATION LEVELS IN THE EXERCISE AREA
COMPLY WITH FEDERAL SAFETY STANDARDS FOR THE GENERAL PUBLIC.

(3) AT PRESENT, NO STATUS OF FORCES AGREEMENT (SOFA) WITH
THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED NOR ARE THE RUSSIANS
WILLING TO EXCHANGE DIPLOMATIC NOTES. THESE ARE THE PREFERRED
VEHICLES TO PROTECT U.S. FORCES DURING THEIR TIME IN RUSSIA.
WITHOUT EITHER A SOFA OR EXCHANGE OF DIP NOTES, OUR ONLY
ALTERNATIVE IS TO TREAT THE EXERCISE AS A "PORT VISIT". ANY
PROBLEMS OR ISSUES WOULD BE HANDLED BY AMEMBASSY PERSONNEL AT THE
TIME THEY OCCUR.

(4) ALTHOUGH THE EXERCISE IS BI-LATERAL BETWEEN THE U.S.
AND THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION, TWO FACTORS ARE SIGNIFICANT WITH
REGARDS TO GERMANY. FIRST, 31D IS DEPLOYING FROM BASES IN
GERMANY AND SIGNIFICANT U.S./RUSSIAN CONTACT HAS BEEN AND WILL BE
DONE IN GERMANY. SECONDLY, THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION WILL PROVIDE
NAVIGATORS/TRANSLATORS FOR EACH U.S. AIRCRAFT DURING EXERCISE
DEPLOYMENT AND REDEPLOYMENT. THESE RUSSIAN PERSONNEL WILL SPEND
SIGNIFICANT TIME BOTH ON THE GROUND AND IN THE AIR OVER GERMANY
AND GERMAN APPROVAL IS REQUIRED.

9. POLITICO-MILITARY SCENARIO SUMMARY: NONE.

10. SUMMARY OF KEY EXERCISE EVENTS:

07 SEP: AIRLIFT COORDINATION ELEMENT DEPLOYS

08 SEP: ADVANCE PARTY DEPLOYS

10-11 SEP: MAIN BODY (31D) DEPLOYS

12 SEP: ESTABLISH LODGEMENT

13-15 SEP: CONDUCT PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS TRAINING

16-17 SEP: POST-EXERCISE ACTIVITIES

17-18 SEP: REDEPLOY

11. DIRECTING HEADQUARTERS: HQ USEUCOM

12. PARTICIPATING COMMANDS, HEADQUARTERS, AND FORCES:

A. U.S.: USEUCOM (2 PERSONNEL)

USAREUR (12 PERSONNEL)

V CORPS (7 PERSONNEL)

3RD INFANTRY DIVISION (249 PERSONNEL)

TRADOC (1 PERSON)

USTRANSCOM

AIR MOBILITY COMMAND (43 PERSONNEL).

B. RUSSIAN FEDERATION: 27TH GMRD (249 PERSONNEL)

EXERCISE SUPPORT STAFF (ESTIMATED
250 PERSONNEL).

C. TOTAL: 806 PERSONNEL

13. SCOPE OF ANTICIPATED PARTICIPATION:

A. OTHER UNIFIED AND SPECIFIED COMMANDS: USTRANSCOM

B. UNASSIGNED FORCES OF THE U.S. MILITARY SERVICES: NONE

C. ALLIED NATIONS: NONE

D. NEUTRAL AND NACC NATIONS: RUSSIAN FEDERATION

E. OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES OR DEPARTMENTS: DEPARTMENT OF
STATE (AMERICAN EMBASSIES MOSCOW AND BONN; USDAOs MOSCOW AND

MCN=94207/04826

TOR=94207/1221Z

TAD=94207/1221Z

CDSN=MAK434

PAGE 1 OF 2
261313Z JUL 94

UNCLASSIFIED

Chron

Document No. _____

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

6914

DATE: 8/25/94 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: ASAP

SUBJECT: MILITARY NOMINATIONS: GENERAL RONALD FOGLEMAN, ADMIRAL STANLEY R. ARTHUR, GENERAL ROBERT L. RUTHERFORD, GENERAL MERRILL A. McPEAK

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	☐	☐	McGINTY	☐	☐
PANETTA	☐	☐	MYERS	☐	☐
McLARTY	☐	☐	QUINN	☐	☐
LADER	☐	☐	RASCO	☐	☐
ICKES	☐	☐	RUBIN	☐	☐
RIVLIN	☐	☐	SEGAL	☐	☐
BAGGETT	☐	☐	SEIDMAN	☐	☐
CUTLER	☐	☐	STEPHANOPOULOS	☐	☐
EMANUEL	☐	☐	TYSON	☐	☐
GEARAN	☐	☐	VARNEY	☐	☐
GERGEN	☐	☐	WILLIAMS	☐	☐
GIBBONS	☐	☐	_____	☐	☐
GRIFFIN	☐	☐	_____	☐	☐
HALE	☐	☐	_____	☐	☐
HERMAN	☐	☐	_____	☐	☐
LAKE	☐	☐	_____	☐	☐
LINDSEY	☐	☐	_____	☐	☐

REMARKS:

RESPONSE:

The National Security Council staff concurs in the attached military nominations.

JOHN D. PODESTA
Assistant to the President
and Staff Secretary
Ext. 2702

Anthony Lake

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 22, 1994

94 AUG 23 All : 25

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

THROUGH: THE EXECUTIVE CLERK *7th*
FROM: ALPHONSO MALDON, JR. *Amg*
DEPUTY ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT AND
DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE
SUBJECT: General Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of General Ronald R. Fogleman, United States Air Force, for reappointment to the grade of general and a recommendation for his assignment as Chief of Staff of the Air Force under the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 8033.

The Secretary of Defense recommends that you defer the mandatory retirement of General Fogleman for a period of four months, under the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 637(b)(2). Your signature on the attached memorandum will signify your approval of the deferral.

As required by title 10, United States Code, Section 601(2)(d)(2), the Secretary of Defense has certified General Fogleman's qualifications to carry out effectively the duties and responsibilities of this position.

This nomination was staffed by the Secretary of the Air Force and approved by the Secretary of Defense.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000

19 AUG 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: General Officer Nomination

I recommend the appointment of General Ronald R. Fogleman, United States Air Force, as Chief of Staff under the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 8033, and his reappointment to the grade of general. General Fogleman, age 52, is currently serving as Commander-in-Chief, United States Transportation Command and Commander, Air Mobility Command. He will succeed General Merrill A. McPeak, who is retiring.

Additionally, I recommend you defer General Fogleman's mandatory retirement date for a period of four months under the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 637(b)(2). This will allow him to serve a full four year term as Chief of Staff, United States Air Force. Your signature on this memorandum will constitute your having approved the deferment.

In order to carry out the duties and responsibilities of the proposed assignment, a general officer must have demonstrated highly effective performance in a number of senior Air Force leadership positions. He should have a solid understanding of Planning, Programming and Budgeting System (PPBS) and proven experience in the policies and processes related to the development and integrated worldwide employment of air power. He must also have significant experience in the joint arena including at least one joint assignment as a general officer. General Fogleman meets these requirements.

The above action will not result in the Air Force exceeding the number of officers authorized to serve in the grade of general.

Attachment

APPROVED: _____
President of the United States

DATE: _____

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002a. letter	[Ronald R. Fogleman] [Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	08/19/1994	b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 266

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - August 1994 #3 [4]

2016-0152-F

vz4622

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

The White House

Washington

To the Senate of the United States:

I nominate:

The following named officer for reappointment to the grade indicated while serving in a position of importance and responsibility designated by the President under the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 601, and to be appointed as Chief of Staff, United States Air Force under the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, section 8033:

To be General

To be Chief of Staff, United States Air Force

General Ronald R. Fogleman, (b)(6)
United States Air Force

[002a]

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 22, 1994

94 AUG 23 All : 25

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

THROUGH: THE EXECUTIVE CLERK *TL for*
FROM: ALPHONSO MALDON, JR. *Amg*
DEPUTY ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT AND
DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE
SUBJECT: Flag Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of Admiral Stanley R. Arthur, United States Navy, for appointment to the grade of admiral on the retired list.

This nomination has been staffed by the Secretary of the Navy and approved by the Secretary of Defense.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000

18 AUG 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: General Officer Nomination

I recommend you nominate Admiral Stanley R. Arthur, United States Navy, for appointment to the grade of admiral on the retired list. Admiral Arthur, age 58, currently serves as Vice Chief of Naval Operations, United States Navy.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, likely of William J. Perry, is located to the right of the recommendation text.

Attachment

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002b. letter	[Stanley R. Arthur] [Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	08/19/1994	b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 266

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - August 1994 #3 [4]

2016-0152-F

vz4622

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

The White House

Washington

To the Senate of the United States:

I nominate:

The following named officer to be placed on the retired list in the grade indicated under the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1370:

To be Admiral

Admiral Stanley R. Arthur, U. S. Navy, (b)(6)

[002b]

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 24, 1994

94 AUG 24 P3:33

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

THROUGH: THE EXECUTIVE CLERK *TK for Mark's sign for*
FROM: ALPHONSO MALDON, JR. *Mark's sign for*
DEPUTY ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT AND
DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE
SUBJECT: General Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of General Robert L. Rutherford, United States Air Force, for reappointment to the grade of general and a recommendation for his assignment as Commander in Chief, United States Transportation Command/Commander, Air Mobility Command.

The Secretary of Defense recommends that you defer the mandatory retirement of General Rutherford for a period of three months, under the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 637(b)(2). Your signature on the attached memorandum will signify your approval of the deferral.

As required by title 10, United States Code, Section 601(2)(d)(2), the Secretary of Defense has certified General Rutherford's qualifications to carry out effectively the duties and responsibilities of this position.

This nomination was staffed by the Secretary of the Air Force and approved by the Secretary of Defense.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000

22 AUG 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Flag Officer Nomination

I recommend the appointment of General Robert L. Rutherford, United States Air Force, as Commander in Chief, United States Transportation Command/Commander, Air Mobility Command, an authorized general position, and reappointment to the grade of general. General Rutherford, who is 55 years old, is currently serving as Commander, Pacific Air Forces, Air Component Commander for United States Pacific Command; and Executive Director, Pacific Air Combat Operations Staff. He will succeed General Ronald R. Fogleman, United States Air Force, who is being reassigned.

I also recommend you defer General Rutherford's mandatory retirement date for a period of three months to November 1, 1996. This will permit General Rutherford to complete a full two year joint duty tour. Your signature on this memorandum will constitute your approval of this deferment.

In order to carry out the duties and responsibilities of the proposed assignment, a general officer must have demonstrated highly effective performance in senior leadership positions involving the planning, programming and establishment of policy and procedures for the integrated employment of military forces in support of the warfighting capability. General Rutherford meets these requirements.

This action will not result in the Air Force exceeding the number of officers authorized to be serving in the grade of general.

William J. Perry

Attachment

APPROVED: _____

President of the United States

DATE: _____

The White House

Washington

To the Senate of the United States:

I nominate:

The following named officer for reappointment to the grade of general while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under Title 10, United States Code, Section 601:

To be General

General Robert L. Rutherford, 454-52-7491
United States Air Force

X

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 22, 1949 **94 AUG 23 P4:28**

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

THROUGH: THE EXECUTIVE CLERK *JK for*

FROM: ALPHONSO MALDON, JR. *Amg*
DEPUTY ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT AND
DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE

SUBJECT: General Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of General Merrill A. McPeak, United States Air Force, for appointment to the grade of general on the retired list.

This recommendation has been staffed by the Secretary of the Air Force and approved by the Secretary of Defense.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000

20 AUG 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: General Officer Nomination

I recommend you nominate General Merrill A. McPeak, United States Air Force, for appointment to the grade of general on the retired list. General McPeak, age 58, currently serves as Chief of Staff, United States Air Force.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "William J. Perry", is located to the right of the main text.

Attachment

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
--------------------------	---------------	------	-------------

002c. letter	[Merrill A. McPeak] [Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	08/20/1994	b(6)
--------------	--	------------	------

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 266

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - August 1994 #3 [4]

2016-0152-F
vz4622

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

The White House

Washington

To the Senate of the United States:

I nominate:

The following named officer for appointment to the grade of general on the retired list pursuant to the provisions to Title 10, United States Code, Section 1370:

To be General

General Merrill A. McPeak, (b)(6)
United States Air Force

[002c]

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

August 28, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: ROBERT G. BELL *RB*

FROM: STEVEN P. ANDREASEN *SPA*

SUBJECT: Letter from 22 Representatives Regarding ABM/TMD Demarcation

Representative Livingston and 21 other Representatives have written the President regarding the negotiation in the Standing Consultative Commission (SCC) to clearly demarcate strategic (ABM) from theater (TMD) ballistic missile defense systems. Specifically, they are concerned that our ABM/TMD demarcation initiative will essentially foreclose present and future options to provide "wide-area/regional" defenses against shorter-range missiles.

The response from the President notes our objectives in pursuing these negotiations and the positive progress we have made towards achieving our objectives. The President also notes that he would be pleased to make available an Administration official familiar with the talks to provide the Members an update on the negotiations while at the same time preserving the SCC's rule of confidentiality.

Concurrences by: *PA*
Danvers

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum to the President at Tab I.

Approve _____ Disapprove _____

Attachment

Tab I Memorandum to the President
Tab A Letters to Representatives
Tab B Letter from Representatives

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ANTHONY LAKE
SUSAN BROPHY

SUBJECT: Letter from 22 Representatives Regarding ABM/TMD Demarcation

Purpose

To respond to a letter from 22 Representatives regarding ABM/TMD demarcation.

Background

Representative Livingston and 21 other Representatives have written you regarding the negotiation in the Standing Consultative Commission (SCC) to clearly demarcate strategic (ABM) from theater (TMD) ballistic missile defense systems. Specifically, they are concerned that our ABM/TMD demarcation initiative will essentially foreclose present and future options to provide "wide-area/regional" defenses against shorter-range missiles.

The response from you notes our objectives in pursuing these negotiations and the positive progress we have made towards achieving our objectives. You also note your willingness to make available an Administration official familiar with the talks to provide the Members an update on the negotiations while at the same time preserving the SCC's rule of confidentiality.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the letters to the Representatives at Tab A.

Approve _____ Disapprove _____

Attachments

Tab A Letters to Representatives
Tab B Letter from Representatives

cc: Vice President
Chief of Staff

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Dear Representative Livingston:

Our objective in these negotiations is to ensure that the ABM Treaty is updated to allow the United States to test and deploy advanced TMD's to counter the current and projected threat to U.S. forces, friends and allies from the proliferation of theater missile systems with ranges up to 3,500 kilometers. To accomplish this, the United States is seeking an agreement among our ABM Treaty partners regarding the distinction between TMD systems not limited by the Treaty and strategic ballistic missile defenses limited by the Treaty. Without such an agreement, many of the advanced TMD programs that you refer to in your letter could be subject to endless disputes over their compliance with the ABM Treaty. Such a situation could undermine support for these programs in the Congress and lead the Russians to question our commitment to continued compliance with the ABM Treaty, jeopardizing Russian ratification and full implementation of the START agreements.

Although there are still a number of substantive issues to be resolved, I am encouraged by the progress we have made on this issue over the course of the last ten months in the SCC. All of the states participating in the SCC agree on the need to ensure that the Treaty's provisions allow the development, testing and deployment of advanced theater missile defense systems that will give us the ability to address the longer range theater missile threat while at the same time preserving the integrity of the ABM Treaty. As to the specific points raised in your letter regarding the U.S. negotiating position, I would

be pleased to arrange for an Administration official familiar with these talks to provide you with an update on the negotiations consistent with SCC rules of confidentiality.

We appreciate knowing your views on this question and I look forward to working closely with Congress to strengthen both the ABM Treaty and our theater missile defenses.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Bob Livingston
House of Representatives
Washington, D. C. 20515-1801



6627

PLEASE REPLY TO:
DIRECTOR OF
DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, DC 20315-1801
(202) 225-2011
FAX (202) 225-0111
DISTRICT OFFICE
BETTER
111 WYOMING DRIVE
SUITE 700
METairie, LA 70002
(504) 885-2770
FAX (504) 885-2771
HARRISON OFFICE
300 East Thibodaux
HARRISON, LA 70422
(504) 842-0011
FAX (504) 842-0011
CH
HARRISON 704-842-0011

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives AUG 15 P2: 45

Washington, DC 20515-1801

August 11, 1994

The Honorable Bill Clinton
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

Reports in the press indicate that your Administration has embarked upon an effort to negotiate major changes in the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty. Specifically, we understand that you have authorized an initiative that would greatly expand the scope of the Treaty's present limitations by introducing constraints on the United States' ability to provide effective defenses against missile attacks on our forces overseas and our allies - a threat that is, as your know, growing daily thanks to the accelerating proliferation of shorter-range ballistic missiles.

Frankly, we are very concerned by such an initiative. Your Administration has repeatedly stressed the importance of developing and fielding theater missile defenses (TMD) as part of a comprehensive "counter proliferation" approach. For example, in a May 1994 response to a Congressional mandate for a study of "Nonproliferation and Counterproliferation Activities and Programs," an interagency report identified wide-area/regional defenses - particularly those with boost-phase intercept capability - as being among the highest priority shortfalls in U.S. operational capabilities. In a cover letter signed by Deputy Secretary of Defense John Deutch, this was one of fourteen areas highlighted as having "the greatest potential for making a contribution to our proliferation technology efforts."

We agree with this analysis. It is, therefore, very distressing that your Administration would be seeking to negotiate new limitations that would essentially foreclose present and future options to provide such "wide-area/regional" defenses against shorter-range missiles.

We would appreciate knowing if it is true, as we have been informed that your Administration has already agreed to prohibit the deployment of space-based TMD interceptors capable of performing this function; that you are now proposing constraints that would effectively preclude very promising sea-based and airborne systems (like the Navy's AEGIS-based "Upper Tier" program and the Air Force's air-launched boost phase interceptor, respectively); and that velocity limitations on the Army's Theater High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) program are being proposed that would undesirably limit its initial capability and/or growth options?

We believe such limitations would be utterly inconsistent with the national security interests of the United States. We strongly support language added by the Senate in its consideration of the FY1995 Defense Authorization bill would require its advice and consent to any "substantial changes" to the ABM Treaty. And we would expect to strongly support efforts to defeat any amendments effecting "substantial changes" along these lines should they be submitted.

Sincerely,

Robert Livingston

Don Kyl

The Honorable Bill Clinton
August 11, 1994

<u>Donald Baker</u>	<u>Carroll Ballenger</u>
<u>John L. Bunker</u>	<u>J. J. Boston</u>
<u>Dick Amey</u>	<u>Ray Baskin</u>
<u>Jack Zing</u>	<u>Joe Bunker</u>
<u>Lincoln Hunter</u>	<u>Art Hancock</u>
<u>Rosemary Bartlett</u>	<u>Stallman</u>
<u>Michael B. Bass</u>	<u>John B. Baskin</u>
<u>T. Hutchinson</u>	<u>1. Baskin</u>
<u>Ginny Dunn</u>	<u>James Baskin</u>
<u>B. Baskin</u>	<u>Ray Baskin</u>

The Honorable Bill Clinton
August 11, 1994

The Honorable Bob Livingston
The Honorable Jon Kyle
The Honorable Cass Ballenger
The Honorable John Linder
The Honorable Tim Saxton
The Honorable Dick Armey
The Honorable Ron Packard
The Honorable Dick Zimmer
The Honorable James Sensenbrenner
The Honorable Duncan Hunter
The Honorable Mel Hancock
The Honorable Roscoe Bartlett
The Honorable Sonny Callahan
The Honorable Lincoln Diaz-Balart
The Honorable Ileana Ros-Lehtinen
The Honorable Y. Tim Hutchinson
The Honorable Bill Paxon
The Honorable Jennifer Dunn
The Honorable Larry Combest
The Honorable C. W. Bill Young
The Honorable Doug Bereuter

**THE WHITE HOUSE
CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET**

INCOMING

DATE RECEIVED: AUGUST 18, 1994

NAME OF CORRESPONDENT: THE HONORABLE BOB LIVINGSTON

SUBJECT: EXPRESSES CONCERN REGARDING PRESIDENT'S
EFFORT TO NEGOTIATE MAJOR CHANGES IN THE FY
72 ANTI-BALLISTIC MISSILE TREATY

ROUTE TO: OFFICE/AGENCY	(STAFF NAME)	ACTION		DISPOSITION	
		ACT CODE	DATE YY/MM/DD	TYPE RESP	C COMPLETED D YY/MM/DD
PATRICK GRIFFIN		ORG	94/08/18		C 94/08/18
WILLIAM ITOH		RSA	94/08/18		
	REFERRAL NOTE:				
	REFERRAL NOTE:				
	REFERRAL NOTE:				
	REFERRAL NOTE:				
	REFERRAL NOTE:				

COMMENTS: ADDITIONAL SIGNEES

ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENTS: 21 MEDIA:L INDIVIDUAL CODES: 1240

MAIL USER CODES: (A) R_LA (B) (C)

```

*****
*ACTION CODES:          *DISPOSITION          *OUTGOING          *
*                      *                      *CORRESPONDENCE:  *
*A-APPROPRIATE ACTION  *A-ANSWERED          *TYPE RESP=INITIALS *
*C-COMMENT/RECOM       *B-NON-SPEC-REFERRAL  *          OF SIGNER *
*D-DRAFT RESPONSE      *C-COMPLETED        *          CODE = A   *
*F-FURNISH FACT SHEET  *S-SUSPENDED        *COMPLETED = DATE OF *
*I-INFO COPY/NO ACT NEC*                      *          OUTGOING  *
*R-DIRECT REPLY W/COPY *                      *                      *
*S-FOR-SIGNATURE       *                      *                      *
*X-INTERIM REPLY       *                      *                      *
*****

```

REFER QUESTIONS AND ROUTING UPDATES TO CENTRAL REFERENCE
(ROOM 75, OEOB) EXT-2590
KEEP THIS WORKSHEET ATTACHED TO THE ORIGINAL INCOMING
LETTER AT ALL TIMES AND SEND COMPLETED RECORD TO RECORDS
MANAGEMENT.

SCANNED



Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515-1801

August 11, 1994

AUG 15 P2:45

The Honorable Bill Clinton
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

Reports in the press indicate that your Administration has embarked upon an effort to negotiate major changes in the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty. Specifically, we understand that you have authorized an initiative that would greatly expand the scope of the Treaty's present limitations by introducing constraints on the United States' ability to provide effective defenses against missile attacks on our forces overseas and our allies - a threat that is, as your know, growing daily thanks to the accelerating proliferation of shorter-range ballistic missiles.

Frankly, we are very concerned by such an initiative. Your Administration has repeatedly stressed the importance of developing and fielding theater missile defenses (TMD) as part of a comprehensive "counter proliferation" approach. For example, in a May 1994 response to a Congressional mandate for a study of "Nonproliferation and Counterproliferation Activities and Programs," an interagency report identified wide-area/regional defenses - particularly those with boost-phase intercept capability - as being among the highest priority shortfalls in U.S. operational capabilities. In a cover letter signed by Deputy Secretary of Defense John Deutch, this was one of fourteen areas highlighted as having "the greatest potential for making a contribution to our proliferation technology efforts."

We agree with this analysis. It is, therefore, very distressing that your Administration would be seeking to negotiate new limitations that would essentially foreclose present and future options to provide such "wide-area/regional" defenses against shorter-range missiles.

We would appreciate knowing if it is true, as we have been informed that your Administration has already agreed to prohibit the deployment of space-based TMD interceptors capable of performing this function; that you are now proposing constraints that would effectively preclude very promising sea-based and airborne systems (like the Navy's ABGIS-based "Upper Tier" program and the Air Force's air-launched boost phase interceptor, respectively); and that velocity limitations on the Army's Theater High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) program are being proposed that would undesirably limit its initial capability and/or growth options?

We believe such limitations would be utterly inconsistent with the national security interests of the United States. We strongly support language added by the Senate in its consideration of the FY1995 Defense Authorization bill would require its advice and consent to any "substantial changes" to the ABM Treaty. And we would expect to strongly support efforts to defeat any amendments effecting "substantial changes" along these lines should they be submitted.

Sincerely,

Bob Livingston

Don Kyl

The Honorable Bill Clinton
August 11, 1994

~~Donald Baker~~
John Linder
Dick Arnes
Jack Zingales
Carmen Hunter
Raeon Bartlett
Rick De Gas
T. Hutchinson
Jennifer Dunn
Beeley

Caro Ballinger
Jim Spector
Roy Bakard
Jim Dunn
McFarland
L. G. L. L.
John P. L. L.
1. L. L.
James C. L. L.
Amy B. L. L.

The Honorable Bill Clinton
August 11, 1994

The Honorable Bob Livingston
The Honorable Jon Kyle
The Honorable Cass Ballenger
The Honorable John Linder
The Honorable Jim Saxton
The Honorable Dick Arney
The Honorable Ron Packard
The Honorable Dick Zimmer
The Honorable James Sensenbrenner
The Honorable Duncan Hunter
The Honorable Mel Hancock
The Honorable Roscoe Bartlett
The Honorable Sonny Callahan
The Honorable Lincoln Diaz-Balart
The Honorable Ileana Ros-Lehtinen
The Honorable Y. Tim Hutchinson
The Honorable Bill Paxon
The Honorable Jennifer Dunn
The Honorable Larry Combest
The Honorable C. W. Bill Young
The Honorable Doug Bereuter

The Honorable Bill Clinton
August 11, 1994

The Honorable Bob Livingston
The Honorable Jon Kyle
The Honorable Cass Ballenger
The Honorable John Linder
The Honorable Jim Saxton
The Honorable Dick Armey
The Honorable Ron Packard
The Honorable Dick Zimmer
The Honorable James Sensenbrenner
The Honorable Duncan Hunter
The Honorable Mel Hancock
The Honorable Roscoe Bartlett
The Honorable Sonny Callahan
The Honorable Lincoln Diaz-Balart
The Honorable Ileana Ros-Lehtinen
The Honorable Y. Tim Hutchinson
The Honorable Bill Paxon
The Honorable Jennifer Dunn
The Honorable Larry Combest
The Honorable C. W. Bill Young
The Honorable Doug Bereuter

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

August 28, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: ROBERT G. BELL *RG B*

FROM: STEVEN P. ANDREASEN *SPA*

SUBJECT: Letter from 22 Representatives Regarding ABM/TMD Demarcation

Representative Livingston and 21 other Representatives have written the President regarding the negotiation in the Standing Consultative Commission (SCC) to clearly demarcate strategic (ABM) from theater (TMD) ballistic missile defense systems. Specifically, they are concerned that our ABM/TMD demarcation initiative will essentially foreclose present and future options to provide "wide-area/regional" defenses against shorter-range missiles.

The response from the President notes our objectives in pursuing these negotiations and the positive progress we have made towards achieving our objectives. The President also notes that he would be pleased to make available an Administration official familiar with the talks to provide the Members an update on the negotiations while at the same time preserving the SCC's rule of confidentiality.

Concurrences by:

PAH
Danvers

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum to the President at Tab I.

Approve _____ Disapprove _____

Attachment

Tab I Memorandum to the President
Tab A Letters to Representatives
Tab B Letter from Representatives

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ANTHONY LAKE
SUSAN BROPHY

SUBJECT: Letter from 22 Representatives Regarding ABM/TMD Demarcation

Purpose

To respond to a letter from 22 Representatives regarding ABM/TMD demarcation.

Background

Representative Livingston and 21 other Representatives have written you regarding the negotiation in the Standing Consultative Commission (SCC) to clearly demarcate strategic (ABM) from theater (TMD) ballistic missile defense systems. Specifically, they are concerned that our ABM/TMD demarcation initiative will essentially foreclose present and future options to provide "wide-area/regional" defenses against shorter-range missiles.

The response from you notes our objectives in pursuing these negotiations and the positive progress we have made towards achieving our objectives. You also note your willingness to make available an Administration official familiar with the talks to provide the Members an update on the negotiations while at the same time preserving the SCC's rule of confidentiality.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the letters to the Representatives at Tab A.

Approve _____ Disapprove _____

Attachments

Tab A Letters to Representatives
Tab B Letter from Representatives

cc: Vice President
Chief of Staff

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Dear Representative Livingston:

Our objective in these negotiations is to ensure that the ABM Treaty is updated to allow the United States to test and deploy advanced TMD's to counter the current and projected threat to U.S. forces, friends and allies from the proliferation of theater missile systems with ranges up to 3,500 kilometers. To accomplish this, the United States is seeking an agreement among our ABM Treaty partners regarding the distinction between TMD systems not limited by the Treaty and strategic ballistic missile defenses limited by the Treaty. Without such an agreement, many of the advanced TMD programs that you refer to in your letter could be subject to endless disputes over their compliance with the ABM Treaty. Such a situation could undermine support for these programs in the Congress and lead the Russians to question our commitment to continued compliance with the ABM Treaty, jeopardizing Russian ratification and full implementation of the START agreements.

Although there are still a number of substantive issues to be resolved, I am encouraged by the progress we have made on this issue over the course of the last ten months in the SCC. All of the states participating in the SCC agree on the need to ensure that the Treaty's provisions allow the development, testing and deployment of advanced theater missile defense systems that will give us the ability to address the longer range theater missile threat while at the same time preserving the integrity of the ABM Treaty. As to the specific points raised in your letter regarding the U.S. negotiating position, I would

be pleased to arrange for an Administration official familiar with these talks to provide you with an update on the negotiations consistent with SCC rules of confidentiality.

We appreciate knowing your views on this question and I look forward to working closely with Congress to strengthen both the ABM Treaty and our theater missile defenses.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Bob Livingston
House of Representatives
Washington, D. C. 20515-1801

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives AUG 15 P2: 45

Washington, DC 20515-1801

August 11, 1994

The Honorable Bill Clinton
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

Reports in the press indicate that your Administration has embarked upon an effort to negotiate major changes in the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty. Specifically, we understand that you have authorized an initiative that would greatly expand the scope of the Treaty's present limitations by introducing constraints on the United States' ability to provide effective defenses against missile attacks on our forces overseas and our allies - a threat that is, as your know, growing daily thanks to the accelerating proliferation of shorter-range ballistic missiles.

Frankly, we are very concerned by such an initiative. Your Administration has repeatedly stressed the importance of developing and fielding theater missile defenses (TMD) as part of a comprehensive "counter proliferation" approach. For example, in a May 1994 response to a Congressional mandate for a study of "Nonproliferation and Counterproliferation Activities and Programs," an interagency report identified wide-area/regional defenses - particularly those with boost-phase intercept capability - as being among the highest priority shortfalls in U.S. operational capabilities. In a cover letter signed by Deputy Secretary of Defense John Deutch, this was one of fourteen areas highlighted as having "the greatest potential for making a contribution to our proliferation technology efforts."

We agree with this analysis. It is, therefore, very distressing that your Administration would be seeking to negotiate new limitations that would essentially foreclose present and future options to provide such "wide-area/regional" defenses against shorter-range missiles.

We would appreciate knowing if it is true, as we have been informed that your Administration has already agreed to prohibit the deployment of space-based TMD interceptors capable of performing this function; that your are now proposing constraints that would effectively preclude very promising sea-based and airborne systems (like the Navy's AEGIS-based "Upper Tier" program and the Air Force's air-launched boost phase interceptor, respectively); and that velocity limitations on the Army's Theater High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) program are being proposed that would undesirably limit its initial capability and/or growth options?

We believe such limitations would be utterly inconsistent with the national security interests of the United States. We strongly support language added by the Senate in its consideration of the FY1995 Defense Authorization bill would require its advice and consent to any "substantial changes" to the ABM Treaty. And we would expect to strongly support efforts to defeat any amendments effecting "substantial changes" along these lines should they be submitted.

Sincerely,

Bob Livingston *Don Kyl*

The Honorable Bill Clinton
August 11, 1994

Donald Baker

John Linder

Dick Ames

Jack Zing

Uncle Hunter

Ronan Bartlett

Michael Burt

T. Hutchinson

Gennifer Dunn

Bear

Car Ballenger

J. Boston

Roy Barker

John Baker

Her Harrel

Stallman

John P. Kettner

121

James Cord

Don Bruster

The Honorable Bill Clinton
August 11, 1994

The Honorable Bob Livingston
The Honorable Jon Kyle
The Honorable Cass Ballenger
The Honorable John Linder
The Honorable Tim Saxton
The Honorable Dick Arney
The Honorable Ron Packard
The Honorable Dick Zimmer
The Honorable James Sensenbrenner
The Honorable Duncan Hunter
The Honorable Mel Hancock
The Honorable Roscoe Bartlett
The Honorable Sonny Callahan
The Honorable Lincoln Diaz-Balart
The Honorable Ileana Ros-Lehtinen
The Honorable Y. Tim Hutchinson
The Honorable Bill Paxon
The Honorable Jennifer Dunn
The Honorable Larry Combest
The Honorable C. W. Bill Young
The Honorable Doug Bereuter

**THE WHITE HOUSE
CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET**

INCOMING

DATE RECEIVED: AUGUST 18, 1994

NAME OF CORRESPONDENT: THE HONORABLE BOB LIVINGSTON

SUBJECT: EXPRESSES CONCERN REGARDING PRESIDENT'S
EFFORT TO NEGOTIATE MAJOR CHANGES IN THE FY
72 ANTI-BALLISTIC MISSILE TREATY

ROUTE TO: OFFICE/AGENCY	(STAFF NAME)	ACTION		DISPOSITION	
		ACT CODE	DATE YY/MM/DD	TYPE RESP	C COMPLETED D YY/MM/DD
PATRICK GRIFFIN		ORG	94/08/18		C 94/08/18
WILLIAM ITOH		RSA	94/08/18		
	REFERRAL NOTE:				
	REFERRAL NOTE:				
	REFERRAL NOTE:				
	REFERRAL NOTE:				
	REFERRAL NOTE:				

COMMENTS: ADDITIONAL SIGNEES

ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENTS: 21 MEDIA:L INDIVIDUAL CODES: 1240 _____
 _____ MAIL USER CODES: (A) R_LA _____ (B) _____ (C) _____

```

*****
*ACTION CODES:          *DISPOSITION          *OUTGOING          *
*                        *                      *CORRESPONDENCE:   *
*A-APPROPRIATE ACTION  *A-ANSWERED          *TYPE RESP=INITIALS *
*C-COMMENT/RECOM       *B-NON-SPEC-REFERRAL *OF SIGNER         *
*D-DRAFT RESPONSE      *C-COMPLETED        *CODE = A          *
*F-FURNISH FACT SHEET  *S-SUSPENDED        *COMPLETED = DATE OF *
*I-INFO COPY/NO ACT NEC*                      *OUTGOING          *
*R-DIRECT REPLY W/COPY *                      *                   *
*S-FOR-SIGNATURE       *                      *                   *
*X-INTERIM REPLY       *                      *                   *
*****

```

REFER QUESTIONS AND ROUTING UPDATES TO CENTRAL REFERENCE
(ROOM 75, OEOB) EXT-2590
KEEP THIS WORKSHEET ATTACHED TO THE ORIGINAL INCOMING
LETTER AT ALL TIMES AND SEND COMPLETED RECORD TO RECORDS
MANAGEMENT.

SCANNED



Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

Washington, DC 20515-1801

August 11, 1994

AUG 15 P2: 45

The Honorable Bill Clinton
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

Reports in the press indicate that your Administration has embarked upon an effort to negotiate major changes in the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty. Specifically, we understand that you have authorized an initiative that would greatly expand the scope of the Treaty's present limitations by introducing constraints on the United States' ability to provide effective defenses against missile attacks on our forces overseas and our allies - a threat that is, as your know, growing daily thanks to the accelerating proliferation of shorter-range ballistic missiles.

Frankly, we are very concerned by such an initiative. Your Administration has repeatedly stressed the importance of developing and fielding theater missile defenses (TMD) as part of a comprehensive "counter proliferation" approach. For example, in a May 1994 response to a Congressional mandate for a study of "Nonproliferation and Counterproliferation Activities and Programs," an interagency report identified wide-area/regional defenses - particularly those with boost-phase intercept capability - as being among the highest priority shortfalls in U.S. operational capabilities. In a cover letter signed by Deputy Secretary of Defense John Deutch, this was one of fourteen areas highlighted as having "the greatest potential for making a contribution to our proliferation technology efforts."

We agree with this analysis. It is, therefore, very distressing that your Administration would be seeking to negotiate new limitations that would essentially foreclose present and future options to provide such "wide-area/regional" defenses against shorter-range missiles.

We would appreciate knowing if it is true, as we have been informed that your Administration has already agreed to prohibit the deployment of space-based TMD interceptors capable of performing this function; that you are now proposing constraints that would effectively preclude very promising sea-based and airborne systems (like the Navy's ABGIS-based "Upper Tier" program and the Air Force's air-launched boost phase interceptor, respectively); and that velocity limitations on the Army's Theater High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) program are being proposed that would undesirably limit its initial capability and/or growth options?

We believe such limitations would be utterly inconsistent with the national security interests of the United States. We strongly support language added by the Senate in its consideration of the FY1995 Defense Authorization bill would require its advice and consent to any "substantial changes" to the ABM Treaty. And we would expect to strongly support efforts to defeat any amendments effecting "substantial changes" along these lines should they be submitted.

Sincerely,

Bob Livingston

Don Kyl

The Honorable Bill Clinton
August 11, 1994

~~Donald Baker~~

John Linder

Dick Arnes

Jack Zing

Emory Hunter

Roscoe Bartlett

Richie B. Basso

T. Hutchinson

Ginny Dunn

Beefer

Carroll Ballinger

J. J. Foster

Ray Barker

John Linder

Her Hancock

Stallman

John L. Pittman

1. S. L.

James Condit

Angie Bruner

The Honorable Bill Clinton
August 11, 1994

The Honorable Bob Livingston
The Honorable Jon Kyle
The Honorable Cass Ballenger
The Honorable John Linder
The Honorable Jim Saxton
The Honorable Dick Arney
The Honorable Ron Packard
The Honorable Dick Zimmer
The Honorable James Sensenbrenner
The Honorable Duncan Hunter
The Honorable Mel Hancock
The Honorable Roscoe Bartlett
The Honorable Sonny Callahan
The Honorable Lincoln Diaz-Balart
The Honorable Ileana Ros-Lehtinen
The Honorable Y. Tim Hutchinson
The Honorable Bill Paxon
The Honorable Jennifer Dunn
The Honorable Larry Combest
The Honorable C. W. Bill Young
The Honorable Doug Bereuter

The Honorable Bill Clinton
August 11, 1994

The Honorable Bob Livingston
The Honorable Jon Kyle
The Honorable Cass Ballenger
The Honorable John Linder
The Honorable Jim Saxton
The Honorable Dick Armey
The Honorable Ron Packard
The Honorable Dick Zimmer
The Honorable James Sensenbrenner
The Honorable Duncan Hunter
The Honorable Mel Hancock
The Honorable Roscoe Bartlett
The Honorable Sonny Callahan
The Honorable Lincoln Diaz-Balart
The Honorable Ileana Ros-Lehtinen
The Honorable Y. Tim Hutchinson
The Honorable Bill Paxon
The Honorable Jennifer Dunn
The Honorable Larry Combest
The Honorable C. W. Bill Young
The Honorable Doug Bereuter

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

August 28, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: ROBERT G. BELL *RGB*

FROM: STEVEN P. ANDREASEN *SA*

SUBJECT: Letter from Senator Lautenberg Regarding the CTB Negotiations

Senator Lautenberg has written the President urging expeditious completion of a responsible and verifiable Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT).

The response from the President thanks the Senator for his support and reports on our objectives for the third round of negotiations currently underway in Geneva.

Concurrences by: *SA*
Danvers

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum to the President at Tab I.

Approve _____ Disapprove _____

Attachment

Tab I Memorandum to the President
Tab A Letter to Senator Lautenberg
Tab B Letter from Senator Lautenberg

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ANTHONY LAKE
SUSAN BROPHY

SUBJECT: Letter from Senator Lautenberg Regarding the CTB Negotiations

Purpose

To respond to a letter from Senator Lautenberg on the Comprehensive Test Ban (CTB) negotiations.

Background

Senator Lautenberg has written you urging expeditious completion of a responsible and verifiable CTB Treaty.

The response from you thanks the Senator for his support and reports on our objectives for the third round of negotiations currently underway in Geneva.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the response to Senator Lautenberg at Tab A.

Approve _____ Disapprove _____

Attachments

Tab A Letter to Senator Lautenberg
Tab B Letter from Senator Lautenberg

cc: Vice President
Chief of Staff

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Dear Frank:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Comprehensive Test Ban (CTB) negotiations. My Administration is committed to completing a CTB Treaty at the earliest possible time while maintaining a safe and reliable U.S. nuclear deterrent into the next century. Since the opening of formal negotiations in the Geneva Conference on Disarmament (CD) in January 1994, we have made important progress toward achieving a CTB Treaty and I expect the pace of the negotiations to accelerate during the third round of talks currently underway.

During this round, we are working with the Chairman of the Nuclear Test Ban's Ad Hoc Committee and CD member states to develop a draft treaty text. Our goal is to have such a text in hand when the third round concludes on September 7. The United States is also prepared to continue to work on the treaty text in the "intersessional" period between September 7, 1994 and the opening of the CD in January, 1995, and we are discussing with the Chairman of the Ad Hoc Committee and CD member states an appropriate schedule for this work.

I look forward to continuing to work closely with Congress on this issue.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Frank R. Lautenberg
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

FRANK R. LAUTENBERG
NEW JERSEY

6669

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-3002

COMMITTEE
APPROPRIATIONS
BUDGET

ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS

SMALL BUSINESS

HELSINKI COMMISSION

94 AUG 15 All: 40

August 12, 1994

The President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

As an advocate of the current U.S. moratorium on underground nuclear tests, I believe that expeditious completion of a responsible and verifiable Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT) is in our national interest. It is important for the success of the Nuclear Nonproliferation Treaty (NPT) renewal conference, scheduled for April 1995.

With the third round of CTBT negotiations underway in Geneva, I hope a treaty document can be negotiated in the near future. There is no question that U.S. initiative will be decisive in reaching an historic non-proliferation agreement in a timely manner.

Mr. President, your leadership has been instrumental in making progress thus far, and I urge you to continue to advocate for swift conclusion of the talks. I believe that an agreement before next spring is certainly possible. This third round of negotiations represent the best opportunity to narrow the differences on the myriad of issues under discussion.

Furthermore, it is my understanding that the U.S. negotiating team will advocate a continuation of CTBT talks beyond the third round's scheduled end-date of September 7, 1994. I support this effort and urge you to seek consensus from other nations as a way of demonstrating American commitment to an aggressive timeline.

Mr. President, we have the opportunity to achieve a Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty in the near future, and I ask that U.S. efforts be redoubled now underway in Geneva.

Sincerely,



REPLY TO:

□ 506 HART SENATE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-3002
(202) 224-4744

□ ONE GATEWAY CENTER SUITE 1001
NEWARK, NJ 07102-5311
(201) 848-3630

□ BARRINGTON COMMONS
208 WHITE HORSE PILE
SUITE 18-18
BARRINGTON, NJ
(800) 757-5353 /-1322

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 15, 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM ITOH

FROM: SUSAN BROPHY *SB*
LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS

SUBJECT: CONGRESSIONAL MAIL

Attached are copies of letters sent to the White House from several members of Congress.

Since these letters address national security issues, I would appreciate your assistance in drafting a response. The letters are as follows:

- 1) Frank Lautenberg (D-NJ), concerning nuclear weapons;
and
- 2) Bill Goodling (R-PA), concerning Haiti.

Thank you for your assistance. If you have any questions, please feel free to call LeeAnn Inadomi at x7500.

Enclosure

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-3002

34 AUG 15 11:40

COMMITTEE
APPROPRIATIONS

BUDGET

ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS

SMALL BUSINESS

HELSINKI COMMISSION

August 12, 1994

The President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

As an advocate of the current U.S. moratorium on underground nuclear tests, I believe that expeditious completion of a responsible and verifiable Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT) is in our national interest. It is important for the success of the Nuclear Nonproliferation Treaty (NPT) renewal conference, scheduled for April 1995.

With the third round of CTBT negotiations underway in Geneva, I hope a treaty document can be negotiated in the near future. There is no question that U.S. initiative will be decisive in reaching an historic non-proliferation agreement in a timely manner.

Mr. President, your leadership has been instrumental in making progress thus far, and I urge you to continue to advocate for swift conclusion of the talks. I believe that an agreement before next spring is certainly possible. This third round of negotiations represent the best opportunity to narrow the differences on the myriad of issues under discussion.

Furthermore, it is my understanding that the U.S. negotiating team will advocate a continuation of CTBT talks beyond the third round's scheduled end-date of September 7, 1994. I support this effort and urge you to seek consensus from other nations as a way of demonstrating American commitment to an aggressive timeline.

Mr. President, we have the opportunity to achieve a Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty in the near future, and I ask that U.S. efforts be redoubled now underway in Geneva.

Sincerely,



REPLY TO:

□ 506 HART SENATE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-3002
(202) 224-4744

□ ONE GATEWAY CENTER SUITE 1001
NEWARK, NJ 07102-5311
(201) 845-3030

□ BARRINGTON COMMONS
208 WHITE HORSE PIER
SUITE 18-19
BARRINGTON, NJ 08007-1922
(609) 767-5353

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

THE WHITE HOUSE
CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

INCOMING

DATE RECEIVED: AUGUST 17, 1994

NAME OF CORRESPONDENT: THE HONORABLE FRANK R. LAUTENBERG

SUBJECT: EXPRESSES CONCERN REGARDING THE COMPLETION OF
A RESPONSIBLE AND VERIFIABLE COMPREHENSIVE
TEST BAN TREATY (CTBT)

ROUTE TO: OFFICE/AGENCY	(STAFF NAME)	ACTION		DISPOSITION	
		ACT CODE	DATE YY/MM/DD	TYPE RESP	C COMPLETED D YY/MM/DD
PATRICK GRIFFIN		ORG	94/08/18		C 94/08/18
WILLIAM ITOH	REFERRAL NOTE:	RSA	94/08/19		
	REFERRAL NOTE:				
	REFERRAL NOTE:				
	REFERRAL NOTE:				
	REFERRAL NOTE:				

COMMENTS:

ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENTS: MEDIA:L INDIVIDUAL CODES: 1210 _____
 _____ MAIL USER CODES: (A) D_NJ _____ (B) _____ (C) _____

 *ACTION CODES: *DISPOSITION *OUTGOING *
 * * *CORRESPONDENCE: *
 *A-APPROPRIATE ACTION *A-ANSWERED *TYPE RESP=INITIALS *
 *C-COMMENT/RECOM *B-NON-SPEC-REFERRAL * OF SIGNER *
 *D-DRAFT RESPONSE *C-COMPLETED * CODE = A *
 *F-FURNISH FACT SHEET *S-SUSPENDED *COMPLETED = DATE OF *
 I-INFO COPY/NO ACT NEC OUTGOING *
 *R-DIRECT REPLY W/COPY * * *
 *S-FOR-SIGNATURE * * *
 *X-INTERIM REPLY * * *

REFER QUESTIONS AND ROUTING UPDATES TO CENTRAL REFERENCE
 (ROOM 75,OEOP) EXT-2590
 KEEP THIS WORKSHEET ATTACHED TO THE ORIGINAL INCOMING
 LETTER AT ALL TIMES AND SEND COMPLETED RECORD TO RECORDS
 MANAGEMENT.

SCANNED