

FOIA MARKER

This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

Folder Title:

Chron File - July 1994 #2 [3]

Staff Office-Individual:

Defense Policy-Bell, Robert

Original OA/ID Number:

265

Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:	Stack:
31	3	4	3	V

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001a. memo	For John Podesta from Anthony Lake. Subject: Military Nomination -- Rear Admiral William E. Newman. (1 page)	07/27/1994	b(6)
001b. letter	[William E. Newman] [Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	06/20/1994	b(6)
001c. letter	To Honorable Sam Nunn. [partial] (2 pages)	06/20/1994	b(2), b(6)
001d. memo	For the Deputy Secretary of Defense. [partial] (2 pages)	07/18/1994	b(2), b(6)
002a. memo	For Anthony Lake from Peter Feaver. Subject: Notification Procedures for the WHSR. Record ID: 9405309. (3 pages)	07/28/1994	P1/b(1)
002b. memo	For Peter Feaver from Jim Reed. (1 page)	07/26/1994	P1/b(1)
003. resume	[Transcript of Career Service for] Edwin Eugene Tenoso. (3 pages)	01/07/1993	b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 265

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - July 1994 #2 [3]

2016-0152-F

vz4602

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

6104

July 27, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RBB*
FROM: KEITH HAHN *KH*
SUBJECT: Military Nomination - Rear Admiral William E. Newman, U.S. Navy

Tab A forwards Secretary Perry's recommendation that the President nominate Rear Admiral (Lower Half) William E. Newman for promotion to RADM Upper Half. RADM Newman was selected for promotion by the FY93 board, but his promotion has been held up for over a year while a Navy Inspector General investigation reviewed several allegations of misconduct.

In view of the close scrutiny that military nominations have undergone by Congress in the recent past, we asked OSD to provide additional background on the review of the charges brought against RADM Newman. Tab B provides this background and strong endorsements from Secretary Dalton and ADM Boorda, as well as an accompanying note from John Deutch.

RECOMMENDATION

That you forward the memorandum at Tab I concurring in the nomination to John Podesta.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum to John Podesta
Tab A Military Nomination
Tab B Incoming Correspondence

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001a. memo	For John Podesta from Anthony Lake. Subject: Military Nomination -- Rear Admiral William E. Newman. (1 page)	07/27/1994	b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 265

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - July 1994 #2 [3]

2016-0152-F
vz4602

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

June 22, 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ALPHONSO MALDON, JR. *AMJ*
DEPUTY ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT AND
DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE

SUBJECT: Flag Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of Rear Admiral (lower half) William E. Newman, United States Navy, for appointment to the grade of rear admiral. This nomination is based on the results of the FY93 Navy rear admiral promotion board, which former Deputy Secretary of Defense Atwood approved on March 12, 1992. The former President forwarded the nomination to the 102d Congress on March 18, 1992. The 102d Congress did not act on Admiral Newman's nomination and returned it the Executive Branch on October 20, 1992. The Navy delayed submission to the 103rd Congress pending resolution of an investigation.

The nomination is submitted by the Deputy Secretary of Defense after considering adverse information pertaining to Admiral Newman, which has been provided by the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness) (TAB A). If you approve this nomination, the adverse information will be provided to the Senate Armed Services Committee.

This nomination has been staffed by the Secretary of the Navy and approved by the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-1000



20 JUN 1994


MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Flag Officer Nomination

On behalf of the Secretary of Defense, I recommend you nominate Rear Admiral (Lower Half) William E. Newman, United States Navy, for appointment to the grade of rear admiral. I base my nomination on the results of the FY93 Navy rear admiral promotion board, which former Deputy Secretary of Defense Atwood approved on March 12, 1992. The former President forwarded the nomination to the 102d Congress on March 18, 1992. The 102d Congress did not act on Admiral Newman's nomination and returned it to the Executive Branch on October 20, 1992. The Navy delayed submission to the 103rd Congress pending resolution of an investigation.

I have personally reviewed adverse information concerning Admiral Newman and will provide the information to the White House Military Office separately. Should you approve this nomination recommendation, I will provide the same information to the Senate Armed Services Committee.

This action will not cause the Navy to exceed the number of officers authorized to be serving in the grade of rear admiral.


John M. Deutch

Attachment

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001b. letter	[William E. Newman] [Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	06/20/1994	b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 265

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - July 1994 #2 [3]

2016-0152-F

vz4602

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

The White House

Washington

To the Senate of the United States:

I nominate the following-named rear admiral (lower half) in the line of the Navy for promotion to the permanent grade of rear admiral, pursuant to Title 10, United States Code, Section 624, subject to qualifications therefor as provided by law:

To be Rear Admiral

Unrestricted Line Officer

RADM(LH) William E. Newman, (b)(6) U.S. Navy

[001b]

MEMORANDUM FOR CORRESPONDENTS

No.

Secretary of Defense William J. Perry announced today that the President has nominated Rear Admiral (Lower Half) William E. Newman, United States Navy, for promotion to Rear Admiral.

Rear Admiral (Lower Half) Newman is currently assigned as Organization Transition Executive, AIR-03E, Naval Air Systems Command, Washington, DC.

-END-

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
--------------------------	---------------	------	-------------

001c. letter	To Honorable Sam Nunn. [partial] (2 pages)	06/20/1994	b(2), b(6)
--------------	--	------------	------------

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 265

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - July 1994 #2 [3]

2016-0152-F
vz4602

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.



PERSONNEL AND
READINESS

UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
4000 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-4000



Honorable Sam Nunn
Chairman
Committee on Armed Services
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Secretary of Defense recently recommended the President nominate Rear Admiral (Lower Half) William E. Newman, United States Navy, for appointment to the grade of rear admiral. The Secretary made that recommendation after considering potentially adverse information concerning Admiral Newman.

(b)(6), (b)(2)

[001c
]

(b)(6), (b)(2)



(b)(6), (b)(2)

(b)(6), (b)(2)

He was also counselled on the need to be more aware of perceptions and to avoid the appearance of a violation of the Standards of Conduct when combining official travel and personal leave plans.

We have carefully considered this information; it should not preclude favorable consideration of the nomination. The Department recommends proceeding with the nomination because the Secretary of the Navy and the Chief of Naval Operations are satisfied with the corrective action taken

(b)(6), (b)(2)

[001c]

(b)(6), (b)(2)

serious enough to tarnish an otherwise impeccable career.

Admiral Newman was not identified as "potentially implicated" by the Department of Defense or subsequently by the Department of the Navy for conduct related to the Tailhook '91 incident.

Sincerely,

Edwin Dorn

PERS-00F
23 Jun 1994

TRANSCRIPT OF NAVAL SERVICE FOR
REAR ADMIRAL (SELECTEE) WILLIAM EDWARD NEWMAN
U.S. NAVY

27 DEC 1939 Born in Chicago, Illinois
1 JUL 1957 Midshipman, U.S. Naval Academy
7 JUN 1961 Ensign
7 DEC 1962 Lieutenant (junior grade)
1 MAR 1965 Lieutenant
1 JUL 1969 Lieutenant Commander
1 JUL 1976 Commander
1 SEP 1982 Captain
1 JUL 1990 Rear Admiral (Lower Half)
Service continuous to date

ASSIGNMENTS AND DUTIES	FROM	TO
NABTC, Pensacola, FL (DUINS)	JUN 1961	MAY 1962
NAAS, Beeville, TX (DUINS)	MAY 1962	OCT 1962
Attack Squadron 125	OCT 1962	APR 1963
Attack Squadron 22	APR 1963	NOV 1965
Naval Test Pilot Project, Patuxent River, MD (DUINS)	NOV 1965	JAN 1966
Empire Test Pilot School, Farnborough, Hampshire, England (DUINS)	JAN 1966	DEC 1966
Weapons Systems Test Division, NATC, Patuxent River, MD (Ordnance Project Pilot)	DEC 1966	JAN 1969
Attack Squadron 44 (DUINS)	JAN 1969	APR 1969
Attack Squadron 83 (Pilot)	APR 1969	JUL 1971
Air Command and Staff College, Maxwell AFB, AL (DUINS)	JUL 1971	JUN 1972
Commander Carrier Group FOUR (Flag Secretary and Aide)	JUN 1972	DEC 1973
Attack Squadron 122 (Ops. Off.)	DEC 1973	APR 1975
Attack Squadron 195 (XO)	APR 1975	AUG 1976
CO, Attack Squadron 195	AUG 1976	OCT 1977
CO, Naval Flight Demonstration Squadron (Blue Angels)	OCT 1977	DEC 1979
Staff, Commander Naval Air Force, U.S. Pacific Fleet	DEC 1979	JUL 1980
Commander, Carrier Air Wing NINE	JUL 1980	OCT 1981
Office of CNO (Surface Weapons Program Coordinator) (OP-507)	OCT 1981	JUL 1983
Office of CNO (Head, Weapons Requirements Branch) (OP-507)	JUL 1983	FEB 1984
Surface Warfare Officers Schools Command, Newport, RI (DUINS)	FEB 1984	MAR 1984
Bureau of Naval Personnel (DUINS)	MAR 1984	AUG 1984
CO, USS WHITE PLAINS (AFS 4)	AUG 1984	NOV 1985
Headquarters, FIRST Naval District	NOV 1985	JAN 1986

TRANSCRIPT OF NAVAL SERVICE FOR
REAR ADMIRAL (SELECTEE) WILLIAM EDWARD NEWMAN
(CONT'D)

ASSIGNMENTS AND DUTIES (CONT'D)	FROM	TO
Defense Systems Management College, Ft. Belvoir, VA (DUINS)	JAN 1986	JUN 1986
Naval Air Systems Command Headquarters (Executive Director for Group Operations, Systems and Engineering Group)	JUN 1986	JUL 1987
Naval Air Systems Command Headquarters (Major Programs Manager, Defense Suppression Systems)	JUL 1987	MAR 1989
Naval Air Systems Command Headquarters (Program Director, Tactical Aircraft, PDA-10)	MAR 1989	OCT 1990
Commander, Naval Air Warfare Center, Weapons Division, Point Mugu, CA	NOV 1990	DEC 1993
Naval Air Systems Command (Organization Transition Executive, AIR-03TE)	DEC 1993	APR 1994
Naval Air Systems Command (Asst Commander for Systems & Engineering AIR-05)	APR 1994	TO DATE

MEDALS AND AWARDS

Legion of Merit
 Distinguished Flying Cross
 Meritorious Service Medal
 Air Medal with Numeral "12" for strike/flight awards and Numeral "2" for individual awards
 Navy Commendation Medal with Combat "V" and one Gold Star in lieu of second award
 Navy Achievement Medal
 Navy Unit Commendation
 Navy "E" Ribbon
 Navy Expeditionary Medal
 National Defense Service Medal with one Bronze Star
 Vietnam Service Medal with one Bronze Star
 Sea Service Deployment Ribbon with one Bronze Star
 Navy and Marine Corps Overseas Service Ribbon
 Republic of Vietnam Gallantry Cross Unit Citation
 Republic of Vietnam Campaign Medal
 Expert Pistol Shot Medal

SPECIAL QUALIFICATIONS

BS (Naval Science) U.S. Naval Academy, 1961
 Designated Naval Aviator (HTA): 10 October 1962
 Language Qualifications: German (Knowledge)
 Air Command and Staff College, 1972
 Graduate of Defense Systems Management College, 1986

TRANSCRIPT OF NAVAL SERVICE FOR
REAR ADMIRAL (SELECTEE) WILLIAM EDWARD NEWMAN
(CONT'D)

PERSONAL DATA

Wife: Judith Ann Morgan of Patuxent River, Maryland
Children: Elizabeth D. Newman (Daughter)
Born: 13 November 1969
Matthew W. Newman (Son)
Born: 1 October 1971
Joseph P. Newman (Son)
Born: 21 March 1978
David R. Newman (Son)
Born: 21 March 1978

SUMMARY OF JOINT DUTY ASSIGNMENTS

Waiver of joint tour requirement approved based upon scientific and technical qualifications for which joint requirements do not exist (Materiel Procurement).



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

20 July

NOTE FOR MR. BOB BELL

Keith asked that we take a second look at the nomination package for Admiral Newman.

The attached memo from Adm Boorda and SecNav was prepared per direction of Dr. Deutch. The note from the Deputy is self-explanatory.

Based on notes on our copies and discussions with Dr. Deutch, I can also confirm that this case was reviewed in detail by the Deputy before it was originally forwarded.

With Keith on leave, I took the liberty of sending this directly to you. Please let me know if there is anything more I can provide. Margie has been tracking this.

V/R

R. P. McAleen



DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

7/20/94

To Bob Bell, NSC

Here is the additional information
you request on the promotion of RADN Newman.
I personally reviewed the case and stand
behind our decision to recommend that
he be promoted.

Let me know if we can be of further
help.

Best

for



Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001d. memo	For the Deputy Secretary of Defense. [partial] (2 pages)	07/18/1994	b(2), b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 265

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - July 1994 #2 [3]

2016-0152-F
vz4602

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS
WASHINGTON, DC 20350-2000

IN REPLY, REFER TO

18 July 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Subj: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IN SUPPORT OF THE PROMOTION OF
RADM(L) WILLIAM E. NEWMAN, USN, (b)(6)

1. We have reviewed the nomination materials concerning RADM(L) William E. Newman, USN. We support his promotion to Rear Admiral.

2. Flag officers must be held to the highest standards of personal behavior. They also must be acutely sensitive to any appearance of impropriety. Sanctions for failing to meet this standard, however, must fit each situation. Removal from the O-8 promotion list is not the appropriate sanction for RADM Newman.

3. Our Hotline system has helped identify fraud, waste, and abuse in the Department of the Navy. Allegations of improprieties are promptly and efficiently investigated. Sanctions, however, must be based on facts, not allegations. Here, a thorough Inspector General investigation found that most of the allegations were unfounded. Some of these allegations were prompted by the conduct of other government employees that occurred without RADM Newman's knowledge, permission or acquiescence. Others were false, including the very serious charge that RADM Newman interfered improperly with an investigation into an aircraft mishap. The volume of unfounded allegations should not cloud ultimate resolution of this case. [001d]

(b)(6), (b)(2)

(b)(6), (b)(2)

Subj: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IN SUPPORT OF THE PROMOTION OF
RADM(L) WILLIAM E. NEWMAN, USN, (b)(6)

(b)(6), (b)(2)

[001d]

7.


(b)(6), (b)(2)

(b)(6), (b)(2)

(b)(6), (b)(2)

RADM Newman's activities do not warrant removal from a promotion list. This officer has a distinguished career and enormous potential for important future service. He was properly selected for promotion to O-8 and we support his nomination to that grade.


J. M. BOORDA
Admiral, U.S. Navy
Chief of Naval Operations


JOHN H. DALTON
Secretary of the Navy

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

6064

July 27, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RGB*

FROM: JIM SEATON *JS*

SUBJECT: Invitation for President to Attend Marine Band
Reunion at Andrews AFB Officers Club --
April 22, 1995

At Tab II is an invitation for the President to attend the Marine
Band reunion banquet on April 22, 1995.

Concurrence by: Kristie Kenney

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I recommending that the
President not attend the reunion.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature
Tab II Incoming Correspondence

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

MEMORANDUM FOR RICKI SEIDMAN

FROM: WILLIAM H. ITOH

SUBJECT: Invitation for President to Attend Marine Band
Reunion at Andrews AFB Officers Club --
April 22, 1995

The National Security Council Staff recommends that, in lieu of the President's attendance, we send a congratulatory letter to the band to be prepared one week prior to the reunion.

6064

8/1/99

ID # 071518

WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

IV095

☐ O - OUTGOING☐ H - INTERNAL☐ I - INCOMINGDate Correspondence
Received (YY/MM/DD) 1/1/

Name of Correspondent: James A. Erdman, II

☐ MI Mail Report

User Codes: (A) (B) (C)

Subject: Invites the President attend the first "The President's Own"
United States Marine Band Reunion in Washington, DC on
April 22, 1995

ROUTE TO:

ACTION

DISPOSITION

Office/Agency (Staff Name)

Action
CodeTracking
Date
YY/MM/DDType
of
Response

Code

Completion
Date
YY/MM/DD

SC WIND

ORIGINATOR

94107120

Referral Note:

✓ NS ITOH

A

94107122

Referral Note:

LA MALD

A

94107122

Referral Note:

ACTION CODES:

A - Appropriate Action
C - Comment/Recommendation
D - Draft Response
F - Furnish Fact Sheet
to be used as Enclosure

I - Info Copy Only/No Action Necessary
R - Direct Reply w/Copy
S - For Signature
X - Interim Reply

DISPOSITION CODES:

A - Answered
B - Non-Special Referral
C - Completed
S - Suspended

FOR OUTGOING CORRESPONDENCE:

Type of Response = Initials of Signer
Code = "A"
Completion Date = Date of Outgoing

Comments:

Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter.
Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEGB).
Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files.
Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.

SCANNED

071518

Lebanon Valley College of Pennsylvania

Sample

July 7, 1994

The Honorable William J. Clinton
President of the United States of America
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20500

JUL 15 1994

Dear Mr. President:


Please accept our invitation to attend the first-ever, "The President's Own" United States Marine Band Reunion, April 22, 1995, at the Andrews Air Force Base Officers Club, Washington, D.C.

The primary reason for our musical existence is to serve the President, the Congress of the United States, and the Commandant of the Marine Corps. Our musical tradition began at the personal request of President Thomas Jefferson and has continued to the present day, always maintaining the same professional excellence. "The President's Own" was established officially by an Act of Congress signed by President John Adams, July 11, 1798, making the Marine Band America's oldest professional musical organization.

Appearing at the White House more than 200 times a year, we have always felt a deep and personal connection with the history of our country, especially its Chief Executive and his family. Ninety percent of your musicians have served with the Marine Band for twenty years or more.

Mr. President, no greater reward could be bestowed upon us than if you, Mrs. Clinton and Chelsea would accept our invitation to be the guests of honor at the Saturday evening banquet on April 22, 1995, at 7:00 p.m.

Sincerely,


James A. Erdman, II, Program Chairman
Marine Band Reunion Committee

R.S.V.P.

Protocol Liaison:

Major Charles P. Erwin, Retired
Assistant Leader U.S. Marine Band
508 Holly Road
Piscataway Hills
Washington, D.C. 20002
(301) 292-7107

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002a. memo	For Anthony Lake from Peter Feaver. Subject: Notification Procedures for the WHSR. Record ID: 9405309. (3 pages)	07/28/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 265

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - July 1994 #2 [3]

2016-0152-F
vz4602

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002b. memo	For Peter Feaver from Jim Reed. (1 page)	07/26/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 265

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - July 1994 #2 [3]

2016-0152-F
vz4602

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

7/28/94

UNCLASSIFIED URGENT
FAX TRANSMITTAL SHEET

THE NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

FROM: Bob Bell

PHONE: (202) 395-3330

FAX: 395-1185

TO	FAX	PHONE
<u>Bill Hoehn (SASC)</u>	<u>228-3780</u>	

NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER SHEET 3

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: Bill, let's discuss.

Bob

UNCLASSIFIED

URGENT

MORE ABM TREATY COMPLIANCE ISSUES?
NOW IT'S SPACE-BASED SENSORS
Sidney N. Graybeal and Patricia A. McFate

Why do some people--members of the U.S. Government and outside experts--create problems where they don't exist? This perplexing phenomenon is manifesting itself in the questions being raised concerning the compliance of space-based sensors, particularly Brilliant Eyes, with the ABM Treaty.

In the section of the National Defense Authorization Act for FY 1995, the Senate noted that the Administration has yet to submit a compliance review of Brilliant Eyes. The Committee Report accompanying the Act contains a specific complaint: the Report notes that the Administration has found no compliance issues associated with the use of space-based optical data from defense support program (DSP) satellites, or their proposed follow-on systems, and it recalls the Congressional finding that the ground-launched surveillance and tracking system (GSTS) was compliant. The Committee then concludes that "the BE system appears to be analogous to these systems, relying on telescopic viewing of optical phenomena. Thus, it would appear that, if data from Brilliant Eyes satellites were transmitted, processed, and disseminated in similar fashion to data from existing optical systems, a determination of compliance should be straightforward." Finally, the Committee "insists" that the Administration reach a conclusion regarding the compliance of Brilliant Eyes.

The issue of the compliance of space-based sensors continues to be raised, both within the Government and in the arms control community outside the Government. Congress clearly stated its belief that space-based sensors are not prohibited by the Treaty in the Missile Defense Act of 1991, as modified by the FY 1993 DoD Authorization Act, when it indicated that there should be "optimum utilization of space-based sensors, including sensors capable of cueing ground-based antiballistic missile interceptors and providing initial targeting vectors, and other sensor systems that are not prohibited by the ABM Treaty."

While there are limits on ABM radars in the Treaty, the only constraints on non-ABM radars or sensors are placed on the deployment of early warning large phased-array radars (LPARs); they must be located along the periphery of the country and oriented outward. There are no limits on the amount and quality of data which may be transmitted to a battle management center, and there are no Treaty limits on battle management. Thus, data from BMEWS or PAVE PAWS radars can be transmitted to NORAD, just as data from LPARs can be transmitted to Moscow.

This position regarding LPAR data and its use was confirmed in the Bush Administration Compliance Report to Congress dated 19 January 1993. The Report stated that: "the USG now judges that the support of ABM systems by early warning radars providing precise

handover data will not constitute use of the early warning radars as ABM radars in violation of the ABM Treaty. Specifically, the USG will not consider as prohibited the handover of precise target state vectors by properly located and oriented early warning radars to ABM systems or ABM components." The Report concludes that "handover by an early warning radar to an ABM system or ABM component would not constitute 'testing in an ABM mode', nor giving of 'capability to counter strategic ballistic missiles'." (ABM Treaty Article VI (a) limits.) This position has apparently been accepted by the Clinton Administration.

If the foregoing conclusions on ground-based sensors are accepted, why do they not also apply to data from space-based sensors, as well as sea-based and air-based sensors? All these sensors can transmit data to a battle management center which can then be used to enhance the capabilities of either an ABM or an ATBM system, used respectively for national missile defense (NMD) and theater missile defense (TMD). Transmissions of data from these sensors to battle management centers are completely consistent with the ABM Treaty.

The ABM Treaty is verified by national technical means (NTM) alone. This was a key consideration during the Treaty negotiations: the United States could not monitor transmissions over land lines from LPARs to the Moscow ABM battle management center, whereas there were opportunities to monitor transmissions directly to ABM interceptors in flight.

The only way these sensors would violate the Treaty would be if they became ABM components, for example, if they substituted for an ABM radar by transmitting data directly to an ABM interceptor in flight. Such transmissions would be subject to detection by NTM. It is recognized that the transmission of these data via a battle management center to an interceptor requires only a few seconds; this fact was also recognized and accepted in 1972 in regard to data from LPARs.

It should be clear to those familiar with the ABM Treaty negotiations, Congressional actions, and the most recent Administration compliance reports that neither space-, air-, sea-, or land-based sensors, nor the quantity or quality of the data they transmit, are limited by the ABM Treaty unless the data are transmitted directly to an ABM interceptor while in flight. There are enough real ABM Treaty issues to be resolved and clarified. Why cause problems in areas where none exist?

27 July 1994

Sidney Graybeal was a negotiator of the ABM Treaty. Patricia McFate is Senior Scientist and Program Director of the Center for National Security Negotiations.

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

July 28, 1994

NOTE TO: CHARLES B. CURTIS
FROM: BOB BELL *RBell*
SUBJECT: Hydronuclear Experimentation

Per your note of July 25, 1994 re the Secretary's request for a PC meeting on the above subject, I have conveyed the message to Tony Lake.

Charles Curtis

586-7573


(faxed)

7/28/94

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

July 28, 1994

NOTE TO: CHARLES B. CURTIS
FROM: BOB BELL 
SUBJECT: Hydronuclear Experimentation

Per your note of July 25, 1994 re the Secretary's request for a PC meeting on the above subject, I have conveyed the message to Tony Lake.



The Under Secretary of Energy
Washington, DC 20585

NOTE TO: Bob Bell
FROM: Charles Curtis
DATE: July 25, 1994

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Charles B. Curtis", is written over the printed name "Charles Curtis".

In discussions this morning with the Secretary, she indicated her strong objection to the compromise proffered at the meeting on Friday with respect to hydronuclear experimentation. The Secretary has asked me to request that a principal's meeting be scheduled on this matter.

UNCLASSIFIED
FAX TRANSMITTAL SHEET

THE NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

FROM: Bob Bell

PHONE: (202) 395-3330

FAX: 202-395-1185

TO	FAX	PHONE
<u>Charles Curtis</u>	<u>586-7573</u>	

NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER SHEET 2

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: _____

UNCLASSIFIED

7/27/94

UNCLASSIFIED
FAX TRANSMITTAL SHEET

THE NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

FROM: Bob Bell

PHONE: (202) _____

FAX: _____

TO

FAX

PHONE

Brian Dailley

703-413-5801

NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER SHEET 2

SPECIAL
INSTRUCTIONS: _____

703
413 -
5819

UNCLASSIFIED

DRAFT MANGERS LANGUAGE FOR THE WARNER AMENDMENT

The Senate amendment contained in a provision (sec. ____), stating that when the President negotiates substantial modifications to the ABM Treaty, that the United States shall not be bound by those changes unless the agreement is entered into pursuant to constitutional treaty making powers which includes a requirement for advice and consent of the Senate.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes. The conferees note that there are a number of members in the Senate with a wide range of views in the Senate on what might constitute a "substantial modification" of the ABM Treaty triggering the requirement to resubmit a treaty to the Senate for further advise and consent. With one exception, the ABM Treaty itself, since it was first entered into force in 1972, has been ^{clarified on a number of occasions} ~~amended or modified extensively~~ without the Executive Branch resubmitting the Treaty for advice and consent. In light of this, the conferees believe that the Executive Branch should consult with the Senate and carefully ^{currently being} ~~agreements negotiated in the SCC~~ determine whether any ~~negotiated amendments to the ABM Treaty~~ meet the definition of a "substantial modification" to the Treaty requiring resubmittal to the Senate for appropriate advise and consent.

The one exception was the 1974 Protocol reducing the number of permitted sites from two to one. The clarifications were in the form of Agreed Statements negotiated in the Standing Consultative Commission (SCC).

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

28-Jul-1994 10:02 EDT

UNCLASSIFIED

MEMORANDUM FOR: SEE BELOW

FROM: Sandra L. Kelly
(KELLYS)

SUBJECT: Women in the Military Announcement

THE FOLLOWING IS FROM JIM SEATON, DEFENSE POLICY/ARMS CONTROL:

SUGGESTED Q'S AND A'S FOR "WOMEN IN THE MILITARY" ANNOUNCEMENT

(NOTE: DOD press release now scheduled to be issued Friday.)

Q: Does the President agree with the Army's new assignment policy for women?

A: Yes he does. This is clearly an improvement over previous policies and opens up thousands of new slots for women -- over 41,000 in the Army alone.

Q: Why should women be excluded from MLRS units?

A: Based on a reasonable interpretation of the "direct ground combat assignment rule" announced in January and MLRS employment tactics, this is a prudent decision (and one that then-Secretary Aspin anticipated last January). The MLRS has a range of approximately 20 miles, but that does not mean that the units stay 20 miles from the front. During the Gulf War, for instance, MLRS units launched their rockets from the front lines in order to reach deep behind Iraqi lines.

Q: Isn't this really a setback for women in the military?

A: On the contrary, this is truly an advance for women. The completion of the process begun by then-Secretary Aspin in April 1993 has resulted in opening up nearly 250,000 new positions for women in the military. Women are now eligible for assignment to over 83% of all positions, an increase of more than 15% over when this Administration took over. The President is committed to a strong military and enhanced opportunities for women within the armed forces. With a smaller military, it is incumbent that we open more career fields to women, both to promote our continued military effectiveness and to promote opportunities for women. These new policies do just that.

Distribution:

FOR: Natalie S. Wozniak
FOR: Calvin A. Mitchell
FOR: Tara D. Sonenshine
FOR: Thomas B. Ross
FOR: Jonathan H. Spalter
CC: Records
CC: Robert G. Bell

(WOZNIAK)
(MITCHELLC)
(SONENSHINE)
(ROSS)
(SPALTER)
(RECORDS)
(BELL)

Argie

#6144

Document No. 071890SS

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: 7/27/94 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: 8/1/94
MILITARY NOMINATION: MAJOR GENERAL EDWIN E. TENOSO, USAF
SUBJECT: _____

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	☐	☐	McGINTY	☐	☐
PANETTA	☐	☐	MYERS	☐	☐
McLARTY	☐	☐	QUINN	☐	☐
LADER	☐	☐	RASCO	☐	☐
ICKES	☐	☐	RUBIN	☐	☐
RIVLIN	☐	☐	SEGAL	☐	☐
BAGGETT	☐	☐	SEIDMAN	☐	☐
CUTLER	☐	☐	STEPHANOPOULOS	☐	☐
EMANUEL	☐	☐	TYSON	☐	☐
GEARAN	☐	☐	VARNEY	☐	☐
GERGEN	☐	☐	WILLIAMS	☐	☐
GIBBONS	☐	☐	<i>Bob Bell</i>	☒	☒
GRIFFIN	☐	☐	CLERK	☐	☒
HALE	☐	☐		☐	☐
HERMAN	☐	☐		☐	☐
LAKE	☐	☐		☐	☐
LINDSEY	☐	☐		☐	☐

REMARKS:

Do you have any objection?

RESPONSE:

The NSC Staff concurs in the attached military nomination.

ANTHONY LAKE
Assistant to the President
for National Security Affairs

JOHN D. PODESTA
Assistant to the President

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 21, 1994

94 JUL 26 P2:16

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

ALPHONSO MALDON, JR. *Amg*
DEPUTY ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT AND
DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE

SUBJECT:

General Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of Major General Edwin E. Tenoso, United States Air Force, for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general and a recommendation for his assignment as Vice Commander, Air Mobility Command.

The Secretary of Defense has attached an evaluation of General Tenoso's performance in joint duty assignments from the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

This nomination was staffed by the Secretary of the Air Force and approved by the Secretary of Defense.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000

14 JUL 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: General Officer Nomination

I recommend you assign Major General Edwin E. Tenoso, United States Air Force, as Vice Commander, Air Mobility Command, a position previously designated to carry the grade of lieutenant general. I further recommend you nominate General Tenoso for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general. General Tenoso, age 53, currently serves as the Director, Operations, Deputy Chief of Staff, Plans and Operations, Headquarters United States Air Force. He will succeed Lieutenant General John E. Jackson, Jr., United States Air Force, who is retiring.

In order to carry out the duties and responsibilities of the proposed assignment, a general officer must have demonstrated highly effective performance in a number of senior leadership positions involving the planning, programming and establishment of policy and procedures for the integrated employment of military forces in support of the warfighting capability of the United States. General Tenoso meets these requirements.

As required by Title 10, United States Code, Section 601(d)(1), I have attached an evaluation of General Tenoso from the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff while assigned to two joint duty assignments.

This action will not cause the Air Force to exceed the number of officers authorized to be serving in the grade of lieutenant general.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "William J. Perry", is located to the right of the main text.

Attachment



CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF


WASHINGTON, D.C. 20318-9999

**CN-320-91
10 June 1994**

**MEMORANDUM FOR: Secretary of Defense
Deputy Secretary of Defense**

Subject: General Officer Nomination

1. In accordance with the provisions of title 10, United States Code, and DOD Instruction 1320.4, the Secretary of the Air Force has recommended Major General Edwin E. Tenoso for assignment as Vice Commander, Air Mobility Command, and appointment to the grade of lieutenant general.
2. I have reviewed Major General Tenoso's performance in two joint assignments. As Forward Air Controller, 0-1E/F/G, 5th Special Forces Group, 19th Tactical Airlift Support Squadron, Pacific Air Forces, Bien Hoa Air Base, Vietnam, his broad knowledge and ability to employ tactical air power during numerous operations against heavily defended strongholds earned him the deepest respect of both American and Vietnamese ground commanders. As the Director, Operations and Logistics, J-3/4, Headquarters, US Transportation Command, he was in charge of day-to-day transportation operations and relief efforts worldwide. He did a magnificent job in Somalia, overcoming considerable obstacles to establish a joint mobility system that moved tons of cargo to an austere destination. I am confident he is well qualified for this assignment and advancement.
3. I concur in the Secretary's nomination of Major General Tenoso for this assignment and appointment to the grade of lieutenant general and recommend you forward the enclosed nomination to the President for approval.


JOHN M. SHALIKASHVILI
Chairman
of the Joint Chiefs of Staff

Enclosure

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
--------------------------	---------------	------	-------------

003. resume	[Transcript of Career Service for] Edwin Eugene Tenoso. (3 pages)	01/07/1993	b(6)
-------------	---	------------	------

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 265

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - July 1994 #2 [3]

2016-0152-F
vz4602

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

IMMEDIATE RELEASE

No.

(703) 697-5131 (media)

(703) 697-3189 (copies)

(703) 697-5737 (public/industry)

GENERAL OFFICER ANNOUNCEMENT

Secretary of Defense William J. Perry announced today that the President has nominated Major General Edwin E. Tenoso, United States Air Force, for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general with assignment as Vice Commander, Air Mobility Command, Scott Air Force Base, Illinois. Major General Tenoso is currently assigned as the Director, Operations, Deputy Chief of Staff, Plans and Operations, Pentagon, Washington DC.

Major General Tenoso was born August 22, 1940, in Escondido, California.

-END-

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

5948

July 27, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RGB*

FROM: JIM SEATON *JBS*

SUBJECT: Invitation to President and Mrs. Clinton to 50th
Commemoration of VJ Day

At Tab II is a request for the President's attendance at the VJ Day 50th Anniversary Commemoration aboard the USS MISSOURI in Bremerton, Washington, on September 2, 1995. We are currently recommending the President attend the Punchbowl National Cemetery International VJ Day Ceremony in Hawaii on that date.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I recommending against the President's attendance at the USS MISSOURI VJ Day Commemoration.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature
Tab II Incoming Correspondence

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

MEMORANDUM FOR RICKI SEIDMAN

FROM: WILLIAM H. ITOH

SUBJECT: Invitation to President and Mrs. Clinton to 50th
Commemoration of VJ Day

The National Security Council Staff recommends the President decline this invitation as he will likely participate in VJ Day activities in Hawaii on that date.

Staff
5948

Scheduling Advice Memorandum

FROM: RICKI SEIDMAN

TO:

JOAN BAGGETT
LLOYD CUTLER
RAHM EMANUEL
JOHN EMERSON
MARK GEARAN
DAVID GERGEN
JACK GIBBONS
PAT GRIFFIN
MARCIA HALE
KAREN HANCOX
ALEXIS HERMAN
NANCY HERNREICH
HAROLD ICKES
WILL ITOH
PHIL LADER
ANTHONY LAKE
BRUCE LINDSEY

AL MALDON
KATIE MCGINTY
MACK MCLARTY
LEON PANETTA
JOHN PODESTA
JACK QUINN
CAROL RASCO
BOB RUBIN
ELI SEGAL
PATTI SOLIS
GEORGE STEPHANOPOLOUS
ANN STOCK
CHRISTINE VARNEY
MELANNE VERVEER
DANNY WEXLER
MAGGIE WILLIAMS
TONY WILSON

RE: 50th Commemoration of VJ Day^{on} U.S.S. Missouri

The Scheduling Office is considering the attached invitation.
Please advise us:

- ☐ POTUS should attend.
☒ POTUS should/need not attend.
☐ POTUS should/need not attend but should send a representative.

☒ If you think POTUS should attend please submit a proposal ASAP.

Your Additional Comments:

The NSC Staff recommends against.

William H. Itoh
Executive Secretary

PLEASE RETURN THIS MEMO TO ANNA WINDERBAUM IN ROOM 185.5 BY 8/2/97

Schedule
9/2/98



071062

3930 Burwell Street • Bremerton, Washington 98312

Nelson
President

Russell, Admiral USN (Ret)
Vice President

Webber, VADM USN (Ret)
Vice President

Roger Bacon, VADM USN (Ret)
Vice President

Roger Home, RADM USN (Ret)
Vice President

Mary Ann Harris, CDR NC USN (Ret)
Vice President

Moore, USMC
Treasurer

Eddie Schroeder
Secretary

Members:

Pastor John Eichmann

Bob Hawk

Krabler

Lent

Mahan

Sharon McAfee

Paul Robinson

Helen Ross

Sauter

Mel Schmuck

Jim Walker

July 1, 1994

The Honorable William C. Clinton
President of the United States
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington, DC. 20500

Dear President Clinton:

On behalf of the U.S.S. Missouri Commemorative Committee, and Admiral James S. Russell, USN (Ret.) I wish to extend an invitation to yourself and Mrs. Clinton, to be present for the 50th Commemoration of V J Day on board the U.S.S. Missouri, September 2, 1995 in Bremerton, Washington.

This Commemoration is in honor of Veterans living and the dead of all Nations, the unsung heroes on the home front who kept our nation together and to educate future generations.

I have met with Lt. General Kicklighter, USA (Ret). Executive Director, 50th Anniversary of World War II Commemorative Committee and his Staff. This Commemoration has been placed on the official schedule of events for 1995.

Sir, we understand how difficult and demanding your schedule is, however your presence would ensure the success of this project and the completion of the healing process between nations.

If I can provide any additional information please feel free to contact me at 206-524-6148. We anticipate your positive response.

Sincerely,


David J. Moore

Treasurer, U.S.S. Missouri Commemorative Committee

cc: Veterans Affairs
ID# 071066

THE WHITE HOUSE
CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

IV095

INCOMING

DATE RECEIVED: JULY 19, 1994

NAME OF CORRESPONDENT: MR. DAVID J. MOORE

SUBJECT: INVITES THE PRESIDENT AND MRS. CLINTON TO THE
50TH COMMEMORATION OF VJ DAY ON BOARD THE
U.S.S. MISSOURI ON SEP 2 95 IN BREMERTON,
WASHINGTON

ROUTE TO: OFFICE/AGENCY	(STAFF NAME)	ACTION		DISPOSITION	
		ACT CODE	DATE YY/MM/DD	TYPE RESP	C COMPLETED D YY/MM/DD
ANNA WINDERBAUM		ORG	94/07/19		1/1
✓ NS ITOH		A	94/07/22		1/1

COMMENTS: IV 950902 950902 WA BREMERTON

ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENTS: MEDIA:L INDIVIDUAL CODES: _____
MI MAIL USER CODES: (A) _____ (B) _____ (C) _____

*ACTION CODES: *DISPOSITION *OUTGOING *
* * *CORRESPONDENCE: *
*A-APPROPRIATE ACTION *A-ANSWERED *TYPE RESP=INITIALS *
*C-COMMENT/RECOM *B-NON-SPEC-REFERRAL * OF SIGNER *
*D-DRAFT RESPONSE *C-COMPLETED * CODE = A *
*F-FURNISH FACT SHEET *S-SUSPENDED *COMPLETED = DATE OF *
I-INFO COPY/NO ACT NEC OUTGOING *
*R-DIRECT REPLY W/COPY * * *
*S-FOR-SIGNATURE * * *
*X-INTERIM REPLY * * *

REFER QUESTIONS AND ROUTING UPDATES TO CENTRAL REFERENCE
(ROOM 75, OEOB) EXT-2590
KEEP THIS WORKSHEET ATTACHED TO THE ORIGINAL INCOMING
LETTER AT ALL TIMES AND SEND COMPLETED RECORD TO RECORDS
MANAGEMENT.

SCANNED

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

July 27, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR NANCY SODERBERG

THROUGH: JOCELYN G. BREELAND

FROM: ROBERT BELL *RGB*

SUBJECT: Travel Request for Keith Hahn -- September 12-20, 1994 -- Moscow and Stockholm

Request travel for Keith Hahn to attend the Major Maritime Powers conference in Moscow and bilateral Law of the Sea talks with Sweden in Stockholm September 12-20. This is the third Major Maritime Powers conference -- Keith has been a member of the U.S. delegation at the previous two. The agendas for this conference and subsequent bilateral discussions with the Swedes are attached at Tab III.

This trip will incur no cost to the NSC.

RECOMMENDATION

That you approve the requested travel and authorize Will Itoh to sign the Travel Authorization Form at Tab I and the memorandum to State at Tab II.

Approve _____

Disapprove _____

Attachments

Tab I Travel Authorization Form
Tab II Itoh Memorandum to Grossman
Tab III State Cables

DATE 7/27/94

NSC STAFF TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION

1. TRAVELER'S NAME: KEITH D. HAHN, CAPTAIN, USN
2. PURPOSE/EVENT (Include Dates): To represent the NSC at the Major Maritime Powers conference in Moscow and bilateral Law of the Sea talks with Sweden in Stockholm Sep 12-20, 1994.
3. ITINERARY (Please Attach Copy of Proposed Itinerary): To Moscow, Stockholm and return to Washington, DC
4. DEPARTURE DATE 9/12/94 RETURN DATE 9/20/94
DEPARTURE TIME 2:45pm RETURN TIME 2:50pm
5. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION:
GOVT. AIR _____ COMMERCIAL AIR X POV _____ RAIL _____
OTHER _____
6. ESTIMATED EXPENSES:
TRANSPORTATION _____ PER DIEM _____ OTHER _____
TOTAL _____
7. WHO PAYS EXPENSES: NSC _____ OTHER X
IF NOT NSC, DESCRIBE SOURCE AND ARRANGEMENTS: U.S. Navy
8. WILL FAMILY MEMBER ACCOMPANY YOU: YES _____ NO X
IF SO, WHO PAYS FOR FAMILY MEMBER (If Travel Not Paid By Traveler, Describe Source & Arrangements): _____
9. TRAVEL ADVANCE REQUESTED: \$ NO
10. REMARKS (Use This Space to Indicate Any Additional Items You Would Like to Appear on Your Travel Orders): _____
11. TRAVELER'S SIGNATURE: K. D. Hahn
12. APPROVALS: Senior Director Robert G. Biele
Director of Administration _____
Executive Secretary _____

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

20876

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. MARC GROSSMAN
Executive Secretary
Department of State

SUBJECT: NSC Staff Foreign Travel

NSC Staff Member: Captain Keith D. Hahn, USN
Director for Defense Policy
and Arms Control

Purpose of Travel: To represent the National Security Council Staff at the Major Maritime Powers conference in Moscow and bilateral Law of the Sea talks with Sweden in Stockholm September 12-20, 1994.

Itinerary:

<u>Date</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>Country</u>	<u>Major Event/Meeting</u>
Sep 12-16	Moscow	Russia	Major Maritime Powers Conference
Sep 17-20	Stockholm	Sweden	Law of the Sea Convention

William H. Itoh
Executive Secretary

UNCLASSIFIED

Department of State

OU 601 6
TELEGRAM

PAGE 01 STATE 196199 211716Z
ORIGIN OES-01

SHIP/700

STATE 196199 211716Z
WE WILL CIRCULATE A REVISED PAPER ON THIS SUBJECT
(IN SEPT)

INFO LOC-00 AMAD-01 CINE-00 SPEC-00 COME-00 OARY-00 BOEE-00
NOTE-00 EAP-00 ED-00 EUR-00 FMC-02 H-01 TEDE-00
INR-00 JUSE-00 L-00 AOS-00 NSAE-00 NICE-00 OMB-01
PA-02 PH-00 PDS-01 P-01 SHP-00 SP-00 SSO-00
SS-00 STR-01 TISE-00 T-00 USIE-00 SHIS-00 NISC-02
PHB-00 DRL-00 G-00 /6340

D. IMO ISSUES:

- MANDATORY SHIP ROUTING
- MANDATORY SHIP REPORTING
- COORDINATION OF LOS ASPECTS IN IMO ISSUES (SOLAS, STANDARDS OF TRAINING AND WATCHKEEPING ETC):
- AND MARPOL AMENDMENTS
- PARTICULARLY SENSITIVE SEA AREAS

TALBOTT

DRAFTED BY: OES/OA/MLP: KERRITH
APPROVED BY: EUR/ISCA: LEHAPPER
OES/G: BACOLSON
L/OES: JARDACH
EUR/ISCA: JAHYSHAN
COD/REPOB: JOLIVER
COAST GUARD: VRAABE
OES/OA/MLP: NOWALKER

-----SF1727 211732Z /30

P 211716Z JUL 94
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW PRIORITY
INFO AMEMBASSY BOMB PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY LONDON PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY PARIS PRIORITY
COAST GUARD WASHDC PRIORITY
SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY 2291
AMEMBASSY TOKYO PRIORITY

UNCLAS STATE 196199

E.O. 12356: N/A
TAGS: PHSA PDS EMT RS
SUBJECT: MARITIME POWERS MEETING; AGENDA
REF: (U) 94 MOSCOW 1972; (U) 94 STATE 183764

1. THIS IS AN ACTION CABLE. SEE PARA. 3.

2. IN REFTEL A, EMBASSY REPORTED THAT OSTROVSKIY (DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF MFA'S LEGAL DEPARTMENT) HAD REQUESTED OUR VIEWS ON SUBJECTS TO BE INCLUDED ON THE AGENDA FOR THE SEPTEMBER MARITIME POWERS MEETING. BELOW WE SET OUT SEVERAL ITEMS WHICH WE WOULD LIKE INCLUDED ON THE AGENDA. WE MAY REQUEST THAT ADDITIONAL ITEMS BE PLACED ON THE AGENDA ONCE WE SEE WHAT THE OTHER PARTICIPANTS SUGGEST AND DEPENDING ON WHAT OCCURS BETWEEN NOW AND THE MEETINGS.

3. ACTION REQUESTED: EMBASSY IS REQUESTED TO SUBMIT THE ITEMS LISTED IN PARA. 4 TO MR. OSTROVSKIY. INDICATE THAT THESE ARE OUR PRELIMINARY VIEWS AND THAT WE MAY OFFER ADDITIONAL SUBJECTS ONCE WE SEE WHAT OTHERS SUGGEST.

4. PROPOSED MARITIME POWERS AGENDA ITEMS

A. LAW OF THE SEA CONVENTION

--COORDINATION OF VIEWS ON DECLARATIONS AND OBJECTIONS

B. COOPERATIVE FREEDOM OF NAVIGATION PROGRAMS (PROTESTS AND CHALLENGES OF EXCESSIVE CLAIMS)

--CANADA'S CLAIM TO HIGH SEAS FISHERIES

--CANADA'S IMPOSITION OF A FEE FOR FISHING VESSELS TRANSITING THE TERRITORIAL SEA

--IRAN'S MARITIME LAW

--STRAIT OF MALACCA ("FUEL FEES")

C. STATUS OF IN IPS MILITARY AIRCRAFT (THE

TED9547
ORIGIN OES-09

INFO	LOG-00	ACDA-17	ACDE-00	AMAD-01	CG-00	CIAE-00	SMEC-00
	COME-00	OASY-00	DOEE-00	DOTE-00	EB-01	EUR-01	FMC-02
	H-01	TEDE-00	INR-00	JUSE-00	L-01	ADS-00	NSAE-00
	NSCE-00	OMB-01	PA-02	PM-00	PRS-01	P-01	SNP-00
	SP-00	SSO-00	SS-00	STR-01	TRSE-00	T-00	USIE-00
	SNIS-00	NISC-02	PMB-00	DRL-09	G-00	/050R	

DRAFTED BY: OES/OA/MLP: RWSMITH
APPROVED BY: EUR/NE: JFTEFFT
OES/O: DACOLSON
L/OES: JAROACH
OES/OA/MLP: MOWALKER
DOD/REPOPA: JOLIVER

-----5F0D03 211534Z /38

P 211534Z JUL 94
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM PRIORITY
INFO SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY 3290
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

UNCLAS STATE 195164

E.O. 12356: N/A

TAGS: PHSA PBTS EWWT SW

SUBJECT: PROPOSED BILATERAL LAW OF THE SEA TALKS WITH SWEDEN

1. THIS IS AN ACTION CABLE, SEE PARA. 4.

2. AT SEVERAL LAW OF THE SEA RELATED MEETINGS GOS MFA LEGAL DEPARTMENT OFFICIAL MARIE JACOBSSON HAS SUGGESTED TO MR. ASHLEY ROACH (DEPARTMENT'S OFFICE OF THE LEGAL ADVISER) THAT AT A MUTUALLY CONVENIENT TIME OFFICIALS OF OUR TWO COUNTRIES SHOULD MEET TO DISCUSS VARIOUS MARITIME ISSUES. THE USG WILL BE SENDING A DELEGATION TO MOSCOW SEPT. 15-16 TO PARTICIPATE IN THE THIRD ANNUAL MAJOR MARITIME POWERS MEETINGS AND WOULD LIKE TO COORDINATE BILATERAL TALKS WITH SWEDEN AFTER THESE MEETINGS.

3. WE PROPOSE SENDING A TEAM OF 4 PEOPLE- MR. ROACH AND DR. ROBERT SMITH (OFFICE OF OCEAN AFFAIRS), BOTH FROM STATE; CAPT. KEITH HAHN, DIRECTOR OF DEFENSE POLICY AND ARMS CONTROL AT THE NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL; AND, CDR. BRUCE DAVIDSON FROM THE OFFICE OF THE UNDERSECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR POLICY - TO MEET WITH MS. JACOBSSON AND OTHER GOS OFFICIALS FOR ONE DAY, MONDAY SEPT. 19. EMBASSY IS WELCOME TO PARTICIPATE IN THESE MEETINGS. WE ANTICIPATE THAT SOME OF THE ISSUES THAT THE GOS WOULD WANT TO DISCUSS ARE BALTIC RELATED: MARITIME CLAIMS OF THE BALTIC STATES, PARTICULARLY THE CLAIMS BY ESTONIA, LITHUANIA, AND LATVIA, IN ADDITION TO QUESTIONS OF SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY OF PUBLIC VESSELS.

4. ACTION REQUESTED: DEPARTMENT REQUESTS COUNTRY CLEARANCE FOR THIS DELEGATION TO COME TO STOCKHOLM FOR THESE TALKS. IF EMBASSY AGREES WITH PROPOSING THESE TALKS, WE ASK THAT EMBASSY CONTACT MS. JACOBSSON (786-6000) AND ASK IF SHE AND OTHERS OF THE GOS (INCLUDING RADM FRANK ROSENIUS) WOULD BE INTERESTED IN HAVING INFORMAL LAW OF THE SEA TALKS ON SEPT. 19. INFORM HER THAT SEVERAL USG OFFICIALS, INCLUDING MR. ROACH, WILL BE IN THE REGION AT THAT TIME. SHOULD THIS DAY BE CONVENIENT, WE REQUEST THAT HOTEL RESERVATIONS BE MADE FOR FOUR SINGLES AT A CONVENIENTLY LOCATED HOTEL BEGINNING SATURDAY SEPTEMBER 17 AND DEPARTING TUESDAY SEPTEMBER 20. WE WOULD BE INTERESTED TO KNOW THE TOPICS MS. JACOBSEN WOULD LIKE TO COVER IN THESE TALKS. YOU MAY INFORM HER OF THE COMPOSITION OF THE US TEAM AND THAT WE ARE ALL QUITE FAMILIAR WITH LAW OF THE SEA ISSUES.

5. PLEASE SEND RESPONDING CABLE ATTN: OES/OA AND L/OES.
TALBOTT

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

6092

July 27, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RBB*
FROM: JIM SEATON *JBS*
SUBJECT: Women in the Military

As reported in the press this morning, the Secretary of the Army and the Army Chief of Staff have reached agreement on a new assignment policy for women in the Army, and their recommendations are now being reviewed by OSD and the JCS. A press release may be issued by Secretary Perry as soon as tomorrow. This will complete a process begun by then-Secretary Aspin in April 1993 intended to expand opportunities for women in the military. Attached is a proposed summary of overall changes and Army-specific actions.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign and forward the memorandum at Tab I to the President.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum to the President

THE WHITE HOUSE

6 0 9 2

WASHINGTON

INFORMATION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ANTHONY LAKE

SUBJECT: Women in the Military

Purpose

To inform you of decisions expected to be announced tomorrow by Secretary Perry concerning new opportunities for women in Army assignments.

Background

Secretary Perry is expected to make final decisions tomorrow that will complete a process intended to open more positions in the military to women and to ensure that assignment policies are generally applied across the board between the Services. With the announcement later this week that the Army has reached agreement on assignment of women to billets, women will be eligible for nearly 250,000 more military than when you took office, including 41,000 more positions in the Army. Overall, women will now be eligible for assignment to over 83% of all positions within the military, an increase of more than 15% since you took office.

In April 1993 then-Secretary Aspin established a committee to review existing policies governing assignment of occupational specialties to women in the military. In January 1994, as a result of the committee's actions, he replaced the six year-old "risk rule" with the "direct ground combat assignment rule."

Under the new rule, Service members may be assigned to all positions for which they are qualified, except that women shall be excluded from assignment to units below the brigade level whose primary mission is to engage in direct combat on the ground. "Direct ground combat" is defined as engaging an enemy on the ground with individual- or crew-served weapons, while being exposed to hostile fire and to a high probability of direct physical contact with the hostile force's personnel. Direct

cc: Vice President
Chief of Staff

ground combat takes place well forward on the battlefield while locating and closing with the enemy to defeat them by fire, maneuver or shock effect.

With the new definition as guidance, the Services were directed to expand opportunities for women and ensure that no positions previously open to women were closed. The following restrictions were permitted on assignment of women: (1) where the Service Secretary attests that the costs of appropriate berthing and privacy arrangements are prohibitive; (2) where units and positions are doctrinally required to physically collocate and remain with direct ground combat units that are closed to women; (3) where units are engaged in long-range reconnaissance operations and Special Operations Forces missions; and (4) where job-related physical requirements would necessarily exclude the vast majority of women Service members.

The one aspect of the Army's plan that will receive the most criticism is the decision to maintain the prohibition against assigning women to Multiple-Launch Rocket System (MLRS) units. The number of potential MLRS billets is extremely small, but this issue has assumed symbolic importance for some advocacy groups. Although then-Secretary Aspin stated in January that it was unlikely the prohibition on MLRS assignments would be reversed, these groups will be disappointed. My staff has consulted with George Stephanopoulos, and we believe the decision not to include women in MLRS units is prudent, based on MLRS employment tactics and a reasonable interpretation of the "direct ground combat assignment rule." The MLRS has a range of approximately 20 miles, but that does not mean that the units stay 20 miles from the front. During the Gulf War, for instance, MLRS units launched their rockets from the front lines in order to reach deep behind Iraqi lines.

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

6092

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

July 27, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RBB*
FROM: JIM SEATON *JS*
SUBJECT: Women in the Military

As reported in the press this morning, the Secretary of the Army and the Army Chief of Staff have reached agreement on a new assignment policy for women in the Army, and their recommendations are now being reviewed by OSD and the JCS. A press release may be issued by Secretary Perry as soon as tomorrow. This will complete a process begun by then-Secretary Aspin in April 1993 intended to expand opportunities for women in the military. Attached is a proposed summary of overall changes and Army-specific actions.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign and forward the memorandum at Tab I to the President.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum to the President

THE WHITE HOUSE

6 0 9 2

WASHINGTON

INFORMATION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ANTHONY LAKE

SUBJECT: Women in the Military

Purpose

To inform you of decisions expected to be announced tomorrow by Secretary Perry concerning new opportunities for women in Army assignments.

Background

Secretary Perry is expected to make final decisions tomorrow that will complete a process intended to open more positions in the military to women and to ensure that assignment policies are generally applied across the board between the Services. With the announcement later this week that the Army has reached agreement on assignment of women to billets, women will be eligible for nearly 250,000 more military than when you took office, including 41,000 more positions in the Army. Overall, women will now be eligible for assignment to over 83% of all positions within the military, an increase of more than 15% since you took office.

In April 1993 then-Secretary Aspin established a committee to review existing policies governing assignment of occupational specialties to women in the military. In January 1994, as a result of the committee's actions, he replaced the six year-old "risk rule" with the "direct ground combat assignment rule."

Under the new rule, Service members may be assigned to all positions for which they are qualified, except that women shall be excluded from assignment to units below the brigade level whose primary mission is to engage in direct combat on the ground. "Direct ground combat" is defined as engaging an enemy on the ground with individual- or crew-served weapons, while being exposed to hostile fire and to a high probability of direct physical contact with the hostile force's personnel. Direct

cc: Vice President
Chief of Staff

ground combat takes place well forward on the battlefield while locating and closing with the enemy to defeat them by fire, maneuver or shock effect.

With the new definition as guidance, the Services were directed to expand opportunities for women and ensure that no positions previously open to women were closed. The following restrictions were permitted on assignment of women: (1) where the Service Secretary attests that the costs of appropriate berthing and privacy arrangements are prohibitive; (2) where units and positions are doctrinally required to physically collocate and remain with direct ground combat units that are closed to women; (3) where units are engaged in long-range reconnaissance operations and Special Operations Forces missions; and (4) where job-related physical requirements would necessarily exclude the vast majority of women Service members.

The one aspect of the Army's plan that will receive the most criticism is the decision to maintain the prohibition against assigning women to Multiple-Launch Rocket System (MLRS) units. The number of potential MLRS billets is extremely small, but this issue has assumed symbolic importance for some advocacy groups. Although then-Secretary Aspin stated in January that it was unlikely the prohibition on MLRS assignments would be reversed, these groups will be disappointed. My staff has consulted with George Stephanopoulos, and we believe the decision not to include women in MLRS units is prudent, based on MLRS employment tactics and a reasonable interpretation of the "direct ground combat assignment rule." The MLRS has a range of approximately 20 miles, but that does not mean that the units stay 20 miles from the front. During the Gulf War, for instance, MLRS units launched their rockets from the front lines in order to reach deep behind Iraqi lines.

TO: OPPENHEIMER, ERNEST

FROM: LAKE

DOC DATE: 27 JUL 94
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: JAPAN
ECONOMICS

DEFENSE POLICY
MP

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: LAKE LTR RE RELATIONS W/ JAPAN

ACTION: LAKE SGD LTR

DUE DATE: 09 JUL 94 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: ROTH

LOGREF:

FILES: WH

NSCP:

CODES:

DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL
NSC CHRON
ROTH
WALSH

COMMENTS:

DISPATCHED BY MBN

DATE 7/27/94

BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSDRS

CLOSED BY: NSMBN

DOC 3 OF 3

UNCLASSIFIED
ACTION DATA SUMMARY REPORT

RECORD ID: 9405407

DOC ACTION OFFICER

001 ROTH
002 LAKE
003

CAO ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED

Z 94070608 PREPARE MEMO FOR LAKE
Z 94072518 FOR SIGNATURE
X 94072719 LAKE SGD LTR

DISPATCH DATA SUMMARY REPORT

DOC DATE DISPATCH FOR ACTION

DISPATCH FOR INFO

003 940727 OPPENHEIMER, ERNEST J

UNCLASSIFIED

TO: LAKE

FROM: OPPENHEIMER, ERNEST

DOC DATE: 30 JUN 94
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: JAPAN
ECONOMICS

DEFENSE POLICY
MP

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: RPT RE RELATIONS W/ JAPAN

ACTION: PREPARE MEMO FOR LAKE

DUE DATE: 09 JUL 94 STATUS: S

STAFF OFFICER: ROTH

LOGREF:

FILES: WH

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION
ROTH

FOR CONCURRENCE
BELL
FAUVER
HAHN
KRISTOFF
WALSH

FOR INFO
DANVERS
JONES

COMMENTS:

DISPATCHED BY _____ DATE _____ BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSDRS

CLOSED BY:

DOC 1 OF 1

National Security Council
The White House

rec'd 7/25
8:30 PM

PROOFED BY: 48K

LOG # 5407

URGENT NOT PROOFED: _____

SYSTEM PRS NSC INT

BYPASSED WW DESK: _____

DOCLOG 48K AO _____

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
Reed	<u>1</u>	<u>JWR</u>	
Kenney			
Itoh			
Soderberg	<u>2</u>	<u>copy</u>	
Berger			
Lake	<u>3</u>	<u>Natl Sec Advisor</u> <u>has seen</u>	
Situation Room			
West Wing Desk	<u>4</u>	<u>JP 7/20</u>	<u>PD</u>
NSC Secretariat	<u>5</u>		

A = Action I = Information D = Dispatch R = Retain N = No Further Action

cc: VP McLarty Other _____

Should be seen by: _____
(Date/Time)

COMMENTS:

DISPATCH INSTRUCTIONS:

Ent Sec Officer has a check

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

July 27, 1994

Dear Mr. Oppenheimer:

Thank you for your recent letter and a copy of your article on Japan's defense spending. I've taken the liberty of sharing your article with my staff.

I regret that my schedule prevents me from meeting with you, but I appreciate your taking the time to write.

Sincerely,



Anthony Lake
Assistant to the President
for National Security Affairs

Mr. Ernest J. Oppenheimer, Ph.D.
40 Central Park South, 9D
New York, NY 10019

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

5407

July 20, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

FROM: STANLEY ROTH *SR*

SUBJECT: Report Re: Relations with Japan

Attached at Tab A is a letter to Mr. Oppenheimer who sent you his article "A Mature Partnership with Japan and a letter on the same topic published in the New York Times concerning Japan's defense spending.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the letter to Mr. Oppenheimer at Tab A.

Attachments

Tab A Letter to Mr. Ernest Oppenheimer

Tab B Letter from Mr. Ernest Oppenheimer

5407 Staff
ERNEST J. OPPENHEIMER, PH.D.

June 30, 1994

Mr. Anthony Lake
National Security Adviser
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Lake:

Enclosed you will find my article "A Mature Partnership With Japan" and a letter on that topic published in The New York Times on June 25. My studies indicate that in relation to defense and trade we have allowed Japan to enrich itself at the expense of the American people on a massive scale. Defense and trade are closely linked because Japan has relied on our defense umbrella as a form of free financing with which to improve its competitive position in world markets to the detriment of the U.S. Our most vital interests, including millions of quality jobs and the financial solvency of our government, have been adversely affected by these policies.

The primary blame for this predicament should be placed on our own policy makers, particularly in the foreign policy and defense areas, who were operating on the fallacious assumption that our financial resources are unlimited and that allowing Japan to exploit us would make it easier to get their cooperation on geopolitical issues.

The Japanese are an intelligent and realistic people. They know that they need our defense umbrella for their security and access to our markets for their economic prosperity. It should not be too difficult to make them understand that an exploitative approach giving them unfair advantages will have adverse consequences on their relations with the American people. Once we straighten out our own thinking and policies, the Japanese will cooperate in finding appropriate solutions to our problems. This thesis is developed in my latest book entitled Budget Therapy. The Table of Contents and biographical data from that book are enclosed.

I received the doctor of philosophy degree in international relations from the University of Chicago. East Asia was my area of specialization. I would welcome the opportunity to share my independent judgment with you and the Clinton administration.

Best regards,



Ernest J. Oppenheimer

THE NEW YORK TIMES

EDITORIALS/LETTERS SATURDAY, JUNE 25, 1994

Japan Should Pay for Its Own Defense

To the Editor:

A misleading impression is given that the United States holds a weak hand in negotiating with Japan in "A Giant Restrained" (Business Day, June 8). Japan is totally dependent on the United States for its external security. Moreover, Japan relies heavily on exports to United States markets, while the United States would benefit from fewer imports and more exports to Japan.

At the end of the Second World War the United States mandated that Japan devote its energies to peaceful development. To facilitate this policy, the United States opened its markets to Japan without requiring free access to Japanese markets. Moreover, the United States took care of Japan's external defense at the expense of United States taxpayers.

These policies have treated Japan like the 51st state of the Union without requiring it to abide by the rules applicable to the other states. What should have been a temporary arrangement after the war's devastation became institutionalized into history's biggest foreign aid program, enriching Japan at an annual rate estimated at \$100 billion to \$150 billion at United States expense.

During the period 1981-92 the United States spent \$3 trillion on defense, or \$12,000 per capita. In the same period, Japan spent less than \$2,000 per capita. The \$10,000 per capita difference gave the 120 million Japanese a \$1.2 trillion advantage.

They used this free financing to build up their economy and to penetrate international markets previously served by United States companies. A substantial part of the \$3 trillion Federal debt accumulated during the Reagan-Bush era and about \$500 billion in United States trade deficits with Japan were caused by these policies.

The best interests of both countries would be served by establishing a mature partnership, one that involves equality in status and cost sharing in trade and defense. The Japanese should open their markets to United States goods and services on the same basis on which they are allowed access to United States markets. And the Japanese should also compensate the United States for defense services.

ERNEST J. OPPENHEIMER
New York, June 14, 1994

Copyright 1994 by Ernest J. Oppenheimer

A MATURE PARTNERSHIP WITH JAPAN

Ernest J. Oppenheimer, Ph.D.

The author's book Budget Therapy, a work-in-progress, was used in the preparation of this article.

This article is an unpublished manuscript protected by U.S. copyright. It may not be copied or publicly distributed without the written permission of the author. For additional information, please contact the author at the following address:

Ernest J. Oppenheimer
40 Central Park South
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 759-8454

At the end of the Second World War the United States government mandated that Japan should devote its energies to peaceful economic development and minimize its defense-related expenditures. To help implement these policies, the United States opened its markets to Japan without requiring free access to Japanese markets for American companies. Japan restricted its annual defense spending to a maximum of one percent of its gross domestic product and relied on the United States to take care of its external security at the expense of American taxpayers. In effect, in relation to trade and defense, these policies treated Japan as if it were the fifty-first state of the union without requiring abidance by the rules and obligations applicable to the other states. What should have been a temporary arrangement to help Japan get back on its feet after the devastation of the war has become institutionalized into the equivalent of the biggest foreign aid program in history.

To place this matter into perspective, let us assume that the state of New York had been given special treatment like Japan. During the period 1981-1992 the United States spent \$3 trillion on defense, or \$12,000 per capita. In the same period, the contribution by the 18 million residents of New York would have been only \$2,000 per capita, or \$10,000 less than other Americans. As a result, New York would have been enriched by \$180 billion at the expense of the other forty-nine states. Let us further assume that while New York had full access to markets in the United States, it had imposed a variety of restrictions on its markets by the other states. This policy would have enabled New York to accumulate large trade surpluses. To maximize this advantage, the government officials and business leaders of New York would have targeted these financial gains to achieve a dominant position in industries they deemed particularly desirable. This objective would have been facilitated if New

York had its own currency. The "New York dollar" would have soared in value against the U.S. dollar, which would have made it relatively inexpensive to acquire properties in the industries selected for penetration. Overall, these policies would have resulted in the massive transfer of wealth to New York from the rest of the nation.

Needless to say, the United States government would not have allowed such a special arrangement for New York. However, very similar advantages on a much vaster scale were bestowed on our Japanese allies. They relied on the United States to take care of their external security and limited their defense spending to less than \$2,000 per capita during the period 1981-1992. The resulting financial advantages to the 120 million Japanese aggregated \$1.2 trillion. They used these resources to build up their economy and to gain significant advantages in the international marketplace. Meanwhile, they maintained effective barriers to imports from the United States and other nations. These policies enabled them to generate huge trade surpluses. The value of the yen soared, which made it possible for them to acquire U.S. assets at bargain prices in terms of their currency. This pattern of enriching the Japanese at the expense of the American people is continuing at an annual rate estimated at \$100 billion.

The Reagan, Bush and Clinton administrations have focused solely on reducing the lopsided Japanese trade surpluses with the United States, which have averaged about \$50 billion annually in recent years. Thus far, they have not made much headway; the 1993 trade gap with Japan reached a record \$60 billion. Even if Japan removed all barriers, she would still have a large trade surplus with the United States. To cope with this problem and to create the foundation for a mature partnership with Japan, the negotiations should be expanded to include a fair sharing of free

world security costs.

If Japan were to embark on a program to build up its own military power to safeguard its security and global interests, it would have to spend enormous sums, probably aggregating more than \$100 billion annually, for years to come. Such a policy would jeopardize Japan's prosperity and undermine her good relations with the rest of the world, including the United States.

Fortunately, there is a better option, one that would be far less costly to Japan while helping the United States solve its financial and economic problems. This positive solution could be achieved if Japan agreed to compensate the United States on an equitable basis in return for a long-range security arrangement. While the exact terms would have to be negotiated, the basic objective should be that the United States and Japan should contribute similar amounts in relation to their gross domestic product (GDP) to free world defense costs. For example, if Japan paid the United States \$50 billion a year, its overall defense spending would total about three percent of its GDP, while that of the United States would be about four percent of its GDP. Other affluent beneficiaries of the United States defense umbrella should contribute enough to bring everyone into parity at about three percent of GDP.

The proposed arrangement would be to the mutual benefit of Japan and the United States. Their relationship would become more equal and wholesome. True partnership would replace the legacy of lingering distrust and inequality that resulted from a war which took place five decades ago. The new environment would release creative forces that would strengthen the bonds between the Japanese and American peoples, which would have positive political, economic, cultural and psychological consequences for

About the Author

The author's background has combined academic training in the social sciences with practical experience in the investment banking business. He did graduate work at the University of Chicago, where he received the Master of Arts degree in the social sciences and the Doctor of Philosophy degree in international relations. During the past twenty years he has done research on public policy issues and has produced eight books.

His knowledge of international relations has proved to be invaluable in understanding the budget predicament. The excessive U.S. contribution to the defense of the free world has been the largest single cause of budget deficits, foreign trade imbalances, the loss of productive assets and quality jobs to foreigners and the transformation of the U.S. from the world's leading creditor to its biggest debtor. This fundamentally flawed policy must be corrected if the U.S. is to balance its budget and restore soundness to its financial and economic affairs.

In response to the harmful impact on the U.S. economy of the oil price escalations during the 1970's, the author started to do independent research on this problem. His studies led him to the conclusion that the energy future of the U.S. was no longer with oil but with natural gas. He wrote a book on U.S. petroleum resources and followed up with two comprehensive works on natural gas. He also wrote about energy policy and has championed a substantial gasoline tax increase since 1984. His thorough understanding of these topics has enabled him to propose innovative policies, including the restructuring of the U.S. petroleum industry to shift its emphasis from oil to natural gas. Such a policy, which would include gasoline tax increases by the natural gas producing states

and by the federal government, would revitalize the domestic petroleum, automobile and construction industries, stimulate large-scale private sector investments and job creation, improve the environment and strengthen the finances of the federal government and the states.

It is noteworthy that the author's approach is based on the premise that we must find solutions to major unsolved national problems in order to balance the federal budget. This orientation has the advantage of simultaneously improving the economy and achieving other desirable objectives while restoring soundness to the government's financial affairs. It is in marked contrast to the policies which have been pursued by the government, which tend to focus on symptom treatments and politically motivated measures that have failed to deal realistically with the challenges confronting the nation.

During his many years of research on budget-related issues, it became increasingly evident to the author that the government's inability to deal realistically with its predicament had similarities to the disorders afflicting patients suffering from psychopathology. This recognition led him to explore the possibility of applying psychotherapeutic procedures to the budget problem. It is hoped that Budget Therapy will contribute to a better understanding of the malaise and will help pave the way for a satisfactory cure.

Books by Ernest J. Oppenheimer, Ph.D.

- (1) Budget Therapy.
- (2) Balancing the Federal Budget.
- (3) Natural Gas, The Best Energy Choice.
- (4) Gasoline Tax Advantages.
- (5) Solving the U.S. Energy Problem.
- (6) Natural Gas, The New Energy Leader.
- (7) A Realistic Approach to U.S. Energy Independence.
- (8) The Inflation Swindle.

both nations and for the rest of the world. Within that framework, the trade problem could be resolved in a satisfactory manner. A mature partnership between the United States and Japan could become the cornerstone for the prosperity and security of both nations.

About the author. Dr. Oppenheimer has spent the past twenty years doing independent research on public policy issues and has produced eight books. His latest work-in-progress, entitled Budget Therapy, was used in the preparation of this article. His background combined academic training in the social sciences with practical experience in the investment banking business. He received the doctor of philosophy degree in international relations from the University of Chicago.

CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	10
Therapy Sessions	
1 Deficits and Politics	13
2 Democratic Versus Republican Deficits	21
3 Symptom Treatments	30
4 How 1993 Differs From 1933	38
5 Unfunded Defense Spending Enriches Allies at U.S. Expense	46
6 Sharing Free World Defense Costs	54
7 More Discussions on Defense Cost Sharing	62
8 The Case for a Gasoline Tax Increase	78
10 The Dilemma of the U.S. Petroleum Industry	92
11 Restructuring From Oil to Natural Gas	100
12 Budget Lessons From President Eisenhower	111
13 Budget Lesson From President Truman	119
14 Another Examination of Defense Cost Sharing	129
15 Budget Deficits and the Federal Reserve	136
16 Budget Deficits and Inflation	145
17 Obsolete Policies Obstruct Budget Realism	153
18 The Government's Precarious Balance Sheet	164
19 Balancing the Budget	171
20 Transforming Enemies Into Friends	184
21 An Unusual Opportunity for Friendship With Russia	195
An Innovative Approach to Problem Solving	204
Positive Role Models	213
An Open Letter to President Clinton	217
About the Author	225

Copyright 1994 by Ernest J. Oppenheimer

BUDGET THERAPY

Ernest J. Oppenheimer, Ph.D.

This unpublished manuscript is protected by U.S. copyright. No part of this manuscript may be reproduced in any form without the written permission of the author. For additional information, please contact the author at the following address:

Ernest J. Oppenheimer
40 Central Park South
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 759-8454

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

July 27, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RCB*FROM: JIM SEATON *JBS*SUBJECT: Invitation to President and Mrs. Clinton to 50th
Commemoration of VJ Day

At Tab II is a request for the President's attendance at the VJ Day 50th Anniversary Commemoration aboard the USS MISSOURI in Bremerton, Washington, on September 2, 1995. We are currently recommending the President attend the Punchbowl National Cemetery International VJ Day Ceremony in Hawaii on that date.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I recommending against the President's attendance at the USS MISSOURI VJ Day Commemoration.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature
Tab II Incoming Correspondence

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

MEMORANDUM FOR RICKI SEIDMAN

FROM: WILLIAM H. ITOH

SUBJECT: Invitation to President and Mrs. Clinton to 50th
Commemoration of VJ Day

The National Security Council Staff recommends the President decline this invitation as he will likely participate in VJ Day activities in Hawaii on that date.

Scheduling Advice Memorandum

FROM: RICKI SEDDMAN

TO:

JOAN BAGGETT
LLOYD CUTLER
RAHM EMANUEL
JOHN EMERSON
MARK GEARAN
DAVID GERGEN
JACK GIBBONS
PAT GRIFFIN
MARCIA HALE
KAREN HANCOX
ALEXIS HERMAN
NANCY HERNREICH
HAROLD ICKES
WILL ITOH
PHIL LADER
ANTHONY LAKE
BRUCE LINDSEY

AL MALDON
KATIE MCGINTY
MACK MCLARTY
LEON PANETTA
JOHN PODESTA
JACK QUINN
CAROL RASCO
BOB RUBIN
ELI SEGAL
PATTI SOLIS
GEORGE STEPHANOPOLOUS
ANN STOCK
CHRISTINE VARNEY
MELANNE VERVEER
DANNY WEXLER
MAGGIE WILLIAMS
TONY WILSON

RE: 50th Commemoration of VJ Day^{on} U.S.S. Mission:

The Scheduling Office is considering the attached invitation.
Please advise us:

 POTUS should attend.
X POTUS should/need not attend.
POTUS should/need not attend but should send a representative.

X If you think POTUS should attend please submit a proposal ASAP.

Your Additional Comments:

The NSC Staff recommends against.

William H. Itoh
Executive Secretary

PLEASE RETURN THIS MEMO TO ANNA WINDERBAUM IN ROOM 185.5 BY 7/2/97

Schedule
9/2/98

071066



3930 Burwell Street • Bremerton, Washington 98312

Gene Nelson
President

James Russell, Admiral USN (Ret)
Vice President

James Webber, VADM USN (Ret)
Vice President

Roger Bacon, VADM USN (Ret)
Vice President

Roger Horne, RADM USN (Ret)
Vice President

Mary Ann Harris, CDR NC USN (Ret)
Vice President

David Moore, USMC
Treasurer

Eddie Schroeder
Secretary

Board Members:

Pastor John Eichmann

Bob Hawk

Bill Krabler

Will Lent

Bill Mahan

Sharon McAfee

Paul Robinson

Helen Ross

Bob Sauter

Mel Schmuck

Jim Walker

July 1, 1994

The Honorable William C. Clinton
President of the United States
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington, DC. 20500

Dear President Clinton:

On behalf of the U.S.S. Missouri Commemorative Committee, and Admiral James S. Russell, USN (Ret.) I wish to extend an invitation to yourself and Mrs. Clinton, to be present for the 50th Commemoration of V J Day on board the U.S.S. Missouri, September 2, 1995 in Bremerton, Washington.


This Commemoration is in honor of Veterans living and the dead of all Nations, the unsung heroes on the home front who kept our nation together and to educate future generations.

I have met with Lt. General Kicklighter, USA (Ret), Executive Director, 50th Anniversary of World War II Commemorative Committee and his Staff. This Commemoration has been placed on the official schedule of events for 1995.

Sir, we understand how difficult and demanding your schedule is, however your presence would ensure the success of this project and the completion of the healing process between nations.

If I can provide any additional information please feel free to contact me at 206-524-6148. We anticipate your positive response.

Sincerely,


David J. Moore

Treasurer, U.S.S. Missouri Commemorative Committee

cc - Veterans Affairs
ID# 071066

THE WHITE HOUSE
CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

INCOMING

IV095

DATE RECEIVED: JULY 19, 1994

NAME OF CORRESPONDENT: MR. DAVID J. MOORE

SUBJECT: INVITES THE PRESIDENT AND MRS. CLINTON TO THE
50TH COMMEMORATION OF VJ DAY ON BOARD THE
U.S.S. MISSOURI ON SEP 2 95 IN BREMERTON,
WASHINGTON

ROUTE TO: OFFICE/AGENCY	(STAFF NAME)	ACTION		DISPOSITION	
		ACT CODE	DATE YY/MM/DD	TYPE RESP	C COMPLETED D YY/MM/DD
ANNA WINDERBAUM		ORG	94/07/19		/ /
✓ NS ITOH	REFERRAL NOTE: <i>CJ</i>	A	94/07/22		/ /
	REFERRAL NOTE:		/ /		/ /
	REFERRAL NOTE:		/ /		/ /
	REFERRAL NOTE:		/ /		/ /
	REFERRAL NOTE:		/ /		/ /

COMMENTS: IV 950902 950902 WA BREMERTON

ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENTS: MEDIA:L INDIVIDUAL CODES: _____
MI MAIL USER CODES: (A) _____ (B) _____ (C) _____

 *ACTION CODES: *DISPOSITION *OUTGOING *
 * * *CORRESPONDENCE: *
 *A-APPROPRIATE ACTION *A-ANSWERED *TYPE RESP=INITIALS *
 *C-COMMENT/RECOM *B-NON-SPEC-REFERRAL * OF SIGNER *
 *D-DRAFT RESPONSE *C-COMPLETED * CODE = A *
 *F-FURNISH FACT SHEET *S-SUSPENDED *COMPLETED = DATE OF *
 I-INFO COPY/NO ACT NEC * OUTGOING *
 *R-DIRECT REPLY W/COPY * * * *
 *S-FOR-SIGNATURE * * *
 *X-INTERIM REPLY * * *

REFER QUESTIONS AND ROUTING UPDATES TO CENTRAL REFERENCE
(ROOM 75, OEOB) EXT-2590
KEEP THIS WORKSHEET ATTACHED TO THE ORIGINAL INCOMING
LETTER AT ALL TIMES AND SEND COMPLETED RECORD TO RECORDS
MANAGEMENT.

SCANNED