

FOIA MARKER

This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

Folder Title:

Chron File - June 1994 #2 [7]

Staff Office-Individual:

Defense Policy-Bell, Robert

Original OA/ID Number:

264

Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:	Stack:
31	3	4	2	V

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. memo	Legislative Referral Memorandum LRM #I-3036. [CIA Act] [partial] (1 page)	06/20/1994	P3/b(3)
002a. memo	For Executive Secretary, National Security Council. Significant Military Exercise - NAUTICAL SWIMMER 94-3. (1 page)	06/06/1994	P1/b(1)
002b. cable	re: Part I Significant Military Exercise Brief. [Nautical Swimmer 94- 3] (1 page)	05/13/1994	P1/b(1)
003a. memo	Duplicate of 002a. (1 page)	06/06/1994	P1/b(1)
003b. cable	Duplicate of 002b. (1 page)	05/13/1994	P1/b(1)
004. cable	Duplicate of vz4587_001. (6 pages)	05/06/1994	P1/b(1)
005. cable	re: Part I Significant Military Exercise Brief (SMEB). [Cabanas 1994- I] (2 pages)	06/15/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 264

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - June 1994 #2 [7]

2016-0152-F

vz4589

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or
financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President
and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of
an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial
information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement
purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of
financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information
concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed
of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C.
2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

June 20, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

FROM:

ROBERT BELL *RAB*

SUBJECT:

Letter to Congressman Darden on C-130's

Background

Congressman Buddy Darden has met on past occasions with Mack McLarty and/or his staff to express his strong interest in having the Defense Department place orders for the procurement of the new "J" model of the vintage C-130 is manufactured by Lockheed in Congressman Darden's district. In January, the President wrote Governor Miller (who joined the Congressman in one White House meeting last year) informing him that then-Secretary Aspin had determined that there was no requirement to include C-130J procurements in the FY 1995 defense budget request (Tab B).

In recent weeks, Under Secretary of the Air Force Rudy DeLeon and John Hamre, the OSD Comptroller, have collaborated in an initiative under which the Air Force can take advantage of an offer from Lockheed to make available C-130J's at the same price the company would charge for C-130H models, which Congress directed last year be procured for the Air National Guard (see DeLeon memorandum at Tab C). In addition, Defense will review options to procure C-130J aircraft this year and may include a limited quantity of C-130J's in its FY 1996 budget, continuing through the FYDP.

Congressman Darden, who is aware of these developments, has asked Al Maldon if the President could send him a new letter "reversing" the negative news contained in the January letter to Governor Miller. The Congressman is particularly concerned that a Lockheed competitor in Europe has been using the President's January letter stating that there would be no DOD procurement of C-130J's to try to help sell its aircraft as an alternative to the C-130J. The proposed letter to Congressman Darden at Tab A has been coordinated with OSD and the Air Force.

Concurrence: *Sr.* *RAB* *RAB* *RAB (per telecons)*
 Jeremy Rosner, Al Maldon (WHMO), Gordon Adams (OMB)

RECOMMENDATION

That you forward the memorandum at Tab I to the President.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum to the President
 Tab A Proposed Letter to Congressman Darden
 Tab B January Presidential Letter to Congressman Darden
 Tab C Under Secretary of the Air Force Memorandum

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION

MEMORANDUM TO THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ANTHONY LAKE
SUSAN BROPHY

SUBJECT: Letter to Congressman Darden on C-130's

Purpose

To inform Congressman Buddy Darden of a recent Air Force decision to procure the new "J" model of the Lockheed C-130 transport.

Background

Congressman Buddy Darden has met on more than one occasion with Mack McLarty and/or his staff to express his strong interest in having the Defense Department place orders for the procurement of the new "J" model of the vintage C-130 Hercules intratheater transport aircraft. The C-130 is manufactured by Lockheed in Congressman Darden's district. In January, you wrote Governor Zell Miller (Tab B) informing him that then-Secretary Aspin had determined that there was no requirement to include C-130J procurements in the FY 1995 defense budget request.

In recent weeks, Under Secretary of the Air Force Rudy DeLeon and John Hamre, the OSD Comptroller, have collaborated in an initiative under which the Air Force can take advantage of an offer from Lockheed to make available C-130J's at the same price the company would charge for C-130H models, which Congress directed last year be procured for the Air National Guard (see DeLeon memorandum at Tab C). In addition, Defense this year will review options to procure C-130J aircraft and may include a limited quantity of C-130J's in its FY 1996 budget, continuing through the Future Years Defense Budget (FYDP).

Congressman Darden, who is aware of these developments, has asked if you could send him a letter "reversing" the negative news contained in your earlier letter to Governor Miller. The Congressman is particularly concerned that a Lockheed competitor in Europe has been using your January letter stating that there would be no DOD procurement of C-130J's to try to help sell its aircraft as an alternative to the C-130J. The proposed letter to Congressman Darden at Tab A has been coordinated with Secretary Perry's staff and the Air Force.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the letter at Tab A to Congressman Darden and send a copy to Governor Miller.

Attachments

Tab A	Proposed Letter to Congressman Darden
Tab B	January Presidential Letter to Congressman Darden
Tab C	Under Secretary of the Air Force Memorandum

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Dear Buddy:

As you know, I wrote Governor Miller a letter on January 28 informing him that then-Secretary Aspin had determined we could defer for now the procurement of new Lockheed C-130 "J" models that you had hoped would be included in my Fiscal Year 1995 defense budget request as part of a long-term modernization program for our tactical airlift capabilities.

Since I wrote that letter, I have been advised that the Air Force is now planning to procure two C-130J aircraft in Fiscal Year 1994. These aircraft could be used to participate in a flight test and certification program. As the Department of Defense reviews its 1996 program, it will consider the option of including procurement of some C-130J aircraft in its airlift program.

I am pleased to be able to relay news of these developments to you.

Sincerely,

The Honorable George W. Darden
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

January 28, 1994

The Honorable Zell B. Miller
Governor of Georgia
Atlanta, Georgia 30334-0900

Dear Zell:

Thank you for writing me concerning the impact of Secretary Aspin's budget recommendation on Lockheed and on Georgia's economy. Lockheed's Hercules has indeed been a critical component of our nation's tactical airlift capability for many years, and future modernization of this capability is an important consideration in our defense planning.

While I understand the importance of Lockheed to Georgia's economy as well as to our military, I also understand Secretary Aspin's need to make difficult choices among defense modernization programs within his budget to support our goal of deficit reduction. Since our C-130's have an average of 16 years of service life remaining, Secretary Aspin believes we can postpone for now this tactical airlift modernization and defer procurement of the new C-130J's.

I am proud of Lockheed's long and distinguished history of providing our nation with top-flight aircraft, including the C-130 and the F-22 now under development, and I am very grateful to you and to the people of Georgia who have worked to ensure a strong national defense. I ask for your continued support of our national security.

Sincerely,

Bill Clinton



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20330-1000

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY

10 MAY 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR COMPTROLLER OF THE DEPARTMENT OF
DEFENSE

FROM: SAF/US

SUBJECT: Air Force Plan for C-130J - INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

This is an update on USAF plans for modernizing theater airlift. In a manner similar to the FY92-93 procurement of C-130 H-model aircraft, the Air Force intends to procure a limited quantity of Lockheed C-130 J-models beginning in FY96. This "trickle modernization" will continue through the FYDP, with a likely buy rate increase in FY02. This will ensure the replacement of older model C-130s before the end of their useful service life.

In support of this initiative it would be useful for two of the eight C-130 H-models being procured for the ANG in FY94 to be diverted to the active Air Force as C-130 J-models. The pay back to the Guard would be two of the Air Force's H-models procured in FY93. Possessing these two early J-models would allow the Air Force to assess the utility of the J-model improvements prior to taking delivery of the FY96 procurement aircraft. Additionally, these airframes could be put to good use developing procedures and tactics for the J-model's reduced cockpit crew.

It is our understanding that C-130Js will be priced equivalent to comparably equipped C-130Hs.

I hope you find this information useful.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Rudy de Leon", is written over a horizontal line.

Rudy de Leon
Under Secretary of the Air Force

*Concurred
with**6/21/04
5:10p.*

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

NATIONAL SECURITY AND INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS
NATIONAL SECURITY DIVISION

Intelligence, Communication, Command, and Control Branch

Date:

6/21

Number of Attached Pages:

1

OUTGOING FAX TO:

Steve Jones

RECIPIENT'S PHONE:

RECIPIENT'S FAX NUMBER:

511/85

FROM:

☐ Arnold E. Donahue, Chief

Phone & Classified FAX

☐ Kevin Scheid

202-456-4800

☐ Tina Westby

Unclassified FAX

☒ Anthony Wu, PhD x 54803

202-456-0752

☐ Roberta Foster

COMMENTS:

*Please comment ASAP.**Thanks**Tony**OK**Bob*

PHOTOCOPY PRESERVATION

Department of Defense Appeal
FY 1995 Defense Authorization Bill

Appeal Subject: New Space Launch Vehicle

Appropriations: RDT&E, Air Force

Summary: The House bill directs the Secretary of Defense to initiate a new space launch program to replace or consolidate current medium and large expendable launch vehicles (ELVs). Flight tests shall be initiated no later than 1998 with an initial launch capability not later than July 1, 2002.

<u>Item</u>	<u>Request</u>	<u>Budget Authority</u> (Dollars in Millions)		
		<u>House</u>	<u>Senate</u>	<u>Appeal</u>
RDT&E, Air Force	-	+200.0	-	-

DOD position: The Defense Bottom-Up Review concluded that austere upgrades to current expendable launch vehicles were the most cost-effective means to meet DOD launch needs through the next decade. The House bill language would initiate a new development inconsistent with these findings. Further, funding for an unplanned development program would require substantial reductions to other higher priority programs.

The National Science and Technology Council is near completion of a national space launch strategy. The House bill language would severely limit Administration flexibility in the development of its strategy.

The Department urges exclusion of this provision and support of the President's budget.

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

June 21, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RB*FROM: PETER FEAVER *PF*SUBJECT: OMB Proposed Statement of Administration Policy
Re. S-2182 National Defense Authorization Act for
FY95

Tab II is the proposed Statement of Administration Policy on S-2182, National Defense Authorization Act for FY95. It objects to provisions in the bill that diverge from the President's budget.

Tab I is a letter confirming the earlier telephonic transmission of NSC staff concurrence, subject to the addition of the following section, to be inserted on page two before the paragraph beginning "Finally....":

"The Administration opposes the provisions that reestablish the Joint Committee for the Review of Counterproliferation Programs of the United States. The Administration is in the middle of an NSC-led review of the coordination of research and development in the nonproliferation, counterproliferation and arms control areas and the proposed Joint Committee could prejudice the outcome of that review."

Concurrences by: Richard Clarke *RC* Morton Halperin, Jeremy Rosner and Helen Walsh *HN* *LOS 6/22*

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign and forward the memorandum at Tab I confirming the concurrence, subject to changes as marked, that was transmitted to OMB by telephone on Tuesday 21 June 1994.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature
Tab II Incoming Correspondence

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

MEMORANDUM FOR RONALD K. PETERSON

FROM: WILLIAM H. ITOH

SUBJECT: OMB Proposed Statement of Administration Policy
Re. S-2182 National Defense Authorization Act for
FY95

This confirms the NSC staff concurrence, transmitted earlier by telephone, subject to the following change: insert the following section on page two before the paragraph beginning "Finally....":

"The Administration opposes the provisions that reestablish the Joint Committee for the Review of Counterproliferation Programs of the United States. The Administration is in the middle of an NSC-led review of the coordination of research and development in the nonproliferation, counterproliferation and arms control areas and the proposed Joint Committee could prejudge the outcome of that review."

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. memo	Legislative Referral Memorandum LRM #I-3036. [CIA Act] [partial] (1 page)	06/20/1994	P3/b(3)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 264

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - June 1994 #2 [7]

2016-0152-F

vz4589

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

URGENT
~~DATE~~

June 20, 1994

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

LRM 51-3036

TO: Legislative Liaison Officer -

ACDA - Barbara Starr - (202) 647-8478 - 234
AGRIC-CE - Vince Ancell (all testimony) - (202) 720-7095 - 230
AID - Robert M. Lester - (202) 647-8371 - 202
CIA - (b)(3) [001]
COMMERCE - Michael A. Levitt - (202) 454-3151 - 324
DEFENSE - Samuel T. Brick, Jr. - (703) 697-1305 - 325
EDUCATION - John Kristy - (202) 401-3670 - 207
ENERGY - Bob Rabban - (202) 586-6718 - 209
EPA - Thomas C. Roberts - (202) 260-5414 - 326
EX-IM - Ira Fishman - (202) 566-8806 - 236
FEMA - John P. Carey - (202) 646-4105 - 327
GSA - William R. Hatchford - (202) 501-0563 - 237
HHS - Frances White - (202) 690-7760 - 328
HUD - Edward J. Murphy, Jr. - (202) 708-1793 - 215
INTERIOR - Danny Consenstein - (202) 208-6706 - 329
JUSTICE - Sheila P. Anthony - (202) 514-2141 - 217
LABOR - Robert A. Shapiro - (202) 219-8201 - 330
NASA - Jeff Lawrence - (202) 358-1948 - 219
ONDCP - Babette Hankey - (202) 395-6739 - 257
NSC - William H. Itoh - (202) 395-3723 - 249
NEC - Sonyia Matthews - (202) 456-6722 - 429
OGE - Jane Ley - (202) 523-5377 - 261
OPM - James M. Woodruff - (202) 606-1424 - 331
OSTP - Rosalia D'Allessandro - (202) 456-6014 - 288
USTR - Fred Montgomery - (202) 395-3475 - 223
SBA - Kris Svedin - (202) 205-6702 - 315
STATE - Julia C. Norton - (202) 647-4463 - 225
TRANSPORTATION - Tom Herlihy - (202) 366-4687 - 226
TREASURY - Richard S. Carro - (202) 622-1146 - 228
VA - Robert Coy - (202) 273-6666 - 229

FROM: RONALD K. PETERSON (for) *Ronald K. Peterson*
Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

OMB CONTACT: MIKE GOAD (395-7301)
Secretary's line (for simple responses): 395-6194
5-5691

SUBJECT: OMB Proposed Statement of Administration
Policy RE: S 2182, National Defense
Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995

DEADLINE: 1:00 P.M., TUESDAY, June 21, 1994

COMMENTS: The Senate Armed Services Committee has filed the
report on S. 2182. THIS OFFICE WILL NOT MAKE THE BILL TEXT, OR
ANY PART OF THE TEXT, AVAILABLE TO YOUR AGENCY; YOUR AGENCY IS
RESPONSIBLE FOR PROCURING COPIES OF THE TEXT. DO NOT CALL THIS

Please be advised that, the bill Wednesday, June 2. If you do not consider the bill Wednesday, June 2. If you do not respond by the deadline, we will assume that your agency has no comment.

OMB requests the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

Please advise us if this item will affect direct spending or receipts for purposes of the the "Pay-As-You-Go" provisions of Title XIII of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990.

CC:

J. Schuhart
S. Dotson
P. Vickers
A. Donahue
B. Damus
J. Palmieri
M. Cook
J. Brown
A. Stigile
B. Sasser
VA-Branch
H. Meyers
R. Adkins
C. Helser
R. Fairweather
B. Civiak
D. Childs
B. Rideout
S. Swain
C. Dennis
C. Walden, DPC
G. Simon, OVP
M. Gentile, OEP

J. D.

If your response to this request for views is simple (e.g., concur/no comment) we prefer that you respond by faxing us this response sheet. If the response is simple and you prefer to call, please call the branch-wide line shown below (NOT the analyst's line) to leave a message with a secretary.

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

TO: MIKE GOAD
Office of Management and Budget
Fax Number: (202) 395-5691
Analyst/Attorney's Direct Number: (202) 395-7301
Branch-Wide Line (to reach secretary): (202) 395-6194

FROM:		(Date)
		(Name)
		(Agency)
		(Telephone)

**SUBJECT: OMB Proposed Statement of Administration
Policy RE: S 2182, National Defense
Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995**

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

Concur _____

No objection _____

No comment _____

See proposed edits on pages _____

Other: _____

FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this response sheet

June 20, 1994
(Senate)

S. 2182 - National Defense Authorization Act
for Fiscal Year 1995
(Nunn (D) GA)

The Administration supports S. 2182, although it has serious reservations regarding certain provisions. The Administration will work with Congress to resolve these and other issues that the Administration may identify during its review of the bill.

S. 2182 provides for many of the Administration's key national security programs, including: (1) the proposed C-17 airlifter settlement between the United States and McDonnell Douglas; (2) the CVN-76 and other shipbuilding programs, and the B-2 Bomber, F/A-18 and E/F, F-22, V-22, and E-8A Joint Stars aircraft programs; (3) defense conversion; (4) payments to the United Nations for peacekeeping activities; (5) Cooperative Threat Reductions (Nunn-Lugar); (6) military-to-military contacts; (7) environmental restoration programs; and (8) NATO infrastructure.

The bill, however, contains several provisions that are inconsistent with the President's request. Specifically, these provisions would:

- Reduce, by \$387 million, the requested level for C-17 procurement, resulting in underfunding of spare and support equipment.
- Authorize only 17 F/A-18 C/D aircraft, instead of the 24 requested.
- Authorize more than \$1.5 billion for unrequested items, including bomber industrial base preservation, National Guard and Reserve equipment, M1A2 tank upgrades, additional JSTARS airframes, Army tactical missiles (Javelin, Hellfire, and Stinger), U.S.M.C. prepositioning ships, precision guided munitions, SR-71 surveillance aircraft limited reactivation, and other programs.

- Authorize \$601 million from the National Defense Sealift Fund for LHD-1 amphibious assault ship, reducing procurement of needed sealift ships.

Moreover, the Administration, concerned with the costs of the following provisions, urges the Senate to make these provisions consistent with the President's request. These provisions would:

- Authorize a 2.6 percent pay raise for military personnel beginning January 1, 1995. The President's budget requested a 1.6 percent pay raise for civilian and military personnel. The 2.6 percent pay raise for military personnel would cost an additional \$0.4 billion in FY 1995 and an additional \$2.8 billion during FYs 1995-1999.
- Authorize unrequested military construction projects for the active forces and unrequested National Guard and Reserve equipment and military construction projects.
- Prevent closure of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS), as recommended by the National Performance Review. Failure to close the USUHS would increase Department of Defense spending by \$286 million between FY 1995 and 1995 and by over \$60 million annually thereafter.
- Authorize Reserve end strengths of 9,290 more than requested. The potential annual cost of this addition is over \$100 million.

The requirement to fund these programs is inconsistent with the Armed Services Committee's concern about the adequacy of funding for national defense programs in the coming year.

In addition, the Administration would oppose amendments that would fund entitlement programs with discretionary funds. This would undermine the principle that new mandatory spending should be offset by reductions to existing mandatory spending and would establish an undesirable precedent for future funding of entitlement programs.

Finally, the Administration opposes the transfer of responsibility for tritium production from the Department of Energy to the Department of Defense. This function should remain with the Department of Energy, where the expertise is resident.

Pay-As-You-Go Scoring

S. 2182 would reduce [], and is, therefore, subject to the pay-as-you-go requirement of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990. OMB's preliminary scoring estimates of this bill are presented in the table below.

Pay-As-You-Go Estimates
(\$ millions)

<u>1995</u>	<u>1996</u>	<u>1997</u>	<u>1998</u>	<u>1999</u>	<u>1995-1999</u>
-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	------------------

* * * * *

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~
NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

4510

June 21, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH:

ROBERT BELL *RB*

FROM:

KEITH HAHN *KH*

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise NAUTICAL SWIMMER
94-3

Attached at Tab I is a proposed memorandum to the Executive Secretary, Department of Defense, concurring in military exercise NAUTICAL SWIMMER 94-3. At Tab II Defense recommends approval and State concurs.

NAUTICAL SWIMMER 94-3 is a trilateral surface fleet training exercise between U.S. naval forces, Royal Saudi Arabia naval forces and Bahraini Amiri naval forces designed to improve readiness and interoperability. It is scheduled to take place in the central Arabian Gulf and in the territorial airspace of Saudi Arabia and Bahrain July 2-6. It will involve one U.S. frigate and one U.S. P-3C maritime patrol aircraft.

Due to concerns of exacerbating Qatar-Bahraini tensions, State will prepare contingency guidance if Qatar inquires about the exercise. CENTCOM informs us that as of June 20, Saudi Arabia had not yet invited Bahrain to participate, so the exercise may involve only Saudi Arabia and thus raise fewer political sensitivities.

The critical cancellation date is June 24, 1994.

Concurrences by: *In draft* Ellen Laipson and Morton Halperin *W*

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I concurring in the exercise.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature
Tab II Incoming Correspondence

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006

By *VL* NARA, Date *10/3/06*

7016-0152-7

~~SECRET~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~SECRET~~

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

MEMORANDUM FOR COL. ROBERT P. MCALEER
Executive Secretary
Department of Defense

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise NAUTICAL SWIMMER
94-3

The National Security Council staff concurs in military exercise
NAUTICAL SWIMMER 94-3.

William H. Itoh
Executive Secretary

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002a. memo	For Executive Secretary, National Security Council. Significant Military Exercise - NAUTICAL SWIMMER 94-3. (1 page)	06/06/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 264

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - June 1994 #2 [7]

2016-0152-F

vz4589

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002b. cable	re: Part I Significant Military Exercise Brief. [Nautical Swimmer 94-3] (1 page)	05/13/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 264

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - June 1994 #2 [7]

2016-0152-F

vz4589

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

TO: MCALEER, R

FROM: ITOH

DOC DATE: 21 JUN 94
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: MILITARY EXERCISES

SAUDI ARABIA

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: SIGNIFICANT MILITARY EXERCISE NAUTICAL SWIMMER 94-3

ACTION: REED SGD MEMO

DUE DATE: 10 JUN 94 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: HAHN

LOGREF:

FILES: PA

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL
EXECSEC
HAHN
HALPERIN
LAIPSON

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006

By 12 NARA, Date 10/3/2016

2016-0152 -f

COMMENTS:

DISPATCHED BY _____ DATE _____ BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSGP

CLOSED BY: NSGP

DOC 3 OF 3

~~SECRET~~

RECORD ID: 9404510

ACTION DATA SUMMARY REPORT

DOC ACTION OFFICER

CAO ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED

001 HAHN
002 ITOH
003

Z 94060715 PREPARE MEMO FOR LAKE
Z 94062120 FOR SIGNATURE
X 94062120 REED SGD MEMO

DISPATCH DATA SUMMARY REPORT

DOC DATE DISPATCH FOR ACTION

DISPATCH FOR INFO

003 940621 MCALEER, R

~~SECRET~~

National Security Council
The White House



PROOFED BY: _____

LOG # 4510

URGENT NOT PROOFED: _____

SYSTEM PR3 NSC INT

BYPASSED WW DESK: _____

DOCLOG 143 A/O _____

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
Reed	<u>1</u>	<u>JWZ</u>	
Kenney			
Itoh			
Soderberg			
Berger			
Lake			
Situation Room			
West Wing Desk	<u>2</u>	<u>PA 6/21</u>	<u>D</u>
NSC Secretariat	<u>3</u>		<u>D</u>

A = Action I = Information D = Dispatch R = Retain N = No Further Action


cc: VP McLarty Other _____

Should be seen by: _____
(Date/Time)

COMMENTS:

Military Exercises
ccd: 6/24

DISPATCH INSTRUCTIONS:



NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON D.C. 20506

June 21, 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR COL. ROBERT P. MCALEER
Executive Secretary
Department of Defense

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise NAUTICAL SWIMMER
94-3

The National Security Council staff concurs in military exercise
NAUTICAL SWIMMER 94-3.

James W. Reed, Col, USA
for William H. Itoh
Executive Secretary

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
003a. memo	Duplicate of 002a. (1 page)	06/06/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 264

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - June 1994 #2 [7]

2016-0152-F

vz4589

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
003b. cable	Duplicate of 002b. (1 page)	05/13/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 264

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - June 1994 #2 [7]

2016-0152-F

vz4589

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

TIME OF TRANSMISSION

TIME OF RECEIPT

WHITE HOUSE SITUATION ROOM

PRECEDENCE: IMMEDIATE
PRIORITY
ROUTINE

RELEASER: _____

DTG: _____

UNCLASSIFIED

MESSAGE NO. _____ CLASSIFICATION _____ PAGES 1

FROM WILLIAM H. ITOH (202) 456-2585 West Wing Desk
(NAME) (PHONE NUMBER) (ROOM NO.)

MESSAGE DESCRIPTION SIGNIFICANT MILITARY EXERCISE

NSC LOG # 4510

<u>TO (AGENCY)</u>	<u>DELIVER TO</u>	<u>DEPT/ROOM NO.</u>	<u>PHONE NUMBER</u>
<u>DOD</u>	<u>EXECUTIVE SECRETARY</u>	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

REMARKS:

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

4510

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

June 21, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH:

ROBERT BELL *PGB*

FROM:

KEITH HAHN *KH*

SUBJECT:

Significant Military Exercise NAUTICAL SWIMMER
94-3

Attached at Tab I is a proposed memorandum to the Executive Secretary, Department of Defense, concurring in military exercise NAUTICAL SWIMMER 94-3. At Tab II Defense recommends approval and State concurs.

NAUTICAL SWIMMER 94-3 is a trilateral surface fleet training exercise between U.S. naval forces, Royal Saudi Arabia naval forces and Bahraini Amiri naval forces designed to improve readiness and interoperability. It is scheduled to take place in the central Arabian Gulf and in the territorial airspace of Saudi Arabia and Bahrain July 2-6. It will involve one U.S. frigate and one U.S. P-3C maritime patrol aircraft.

Due to concerns of exacerbating Qatar-Bahraini tensions, State will prepare contingency guidance if Qatar inquires about the exercise. CENTCOM informs us that as of June 20, Saudi Arabia had not yet invited Bahrain to participate, so the exercise may involve only Saudi Arabia and thus raise fewer political sensitivities.

The critical cancellation date is June 24, 1994.

Concurrences by:

In draft
Ellen Laipson and Morton Halperin *rt*

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I concurring in the exercise.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature
Tab II Incoming Correspondence

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006

By *VR* NARA, Date *10/3/2016*

2016-092-F

~~SECRET~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~SECRET~~

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

June 22, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH:

ROBERT BELL *RHB*

FROM:

PETER FEAVER *PF*

SUBJECT:

Defense Proposed Amendments Re. S-2182 National
Defense Authorization Act for FY 1995

Tab II is a proposed amendment to S-2182, prepared by the Department of Defense. The amendment would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to grant a class-action waiver to prevent reductions in the retired pay of 3700 Air Force retirees and 400 survivors. The Secretaries of the Army and Navy already have such authority, which is designed to hold retirees harmless from the Comptroller General's 1989 ruling concerning the calculation of years-in-grade for the purposes of retirement pay.

Tab I is a memorandum confirming that the NSC staff transmitted concurrence by telephone in order to meet the OMB suspense.

Concurrences by:

Richard Clarke, Morton Halperin, Helen Walsh *HW*
and Jeremy Rosner *JR*

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign and forward the memorandum at Tab I confirming the NSC staff concurrence that was transmitted by telephone on 21 June.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature
Tab II Incoming Correspondence

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

MEMORANDUM FOR RONALD K. PETERSON

FROM: WILLIAM H. ITOH

SUBJECT: Defense Proposed Amendments Re. S-2182 National
Defense Authorization Act for FY95

This confirms the NSC staff concurrence, transmitted earlier by telephone, in the proposed amendments.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
Washington, D.C. 20503

4902
URGENT

June 21, 1994

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

LRM #I-3051

TO: Legislative Liaison Officer -

NSC - William H. Itoh - (202)395-3723 - 249
OPM - James N. Woodruff - (202)606-1424 - 331
TRANSPORTATION - Tom Harlin - (202)366-4687 - 226

FROM:

RONALD K. PETERSON (for) *Ronald K. Peterson*
Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

OMB CONTACT: MIKE GOAD (395-7301)
Secretary's line (for simple responses): 395-6194

SUBJECT: Defense Proposed Amendment(s) RE: S 2182,
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal
Year 1995

DEADLINE: 4:00 P.M., TODAY, TUESDAY, June 21, 1994

COMMENTS: The Department of Defense proposes to transmit the attached amendment for consideration during the debate on the bill. Please respond by the deadline; if you do not, we may assume that your agency has no comment.

OMB requests the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

Please advise us if this item will affect direct spending or receipts for purposes of the the "Pay-As-You-Go" provisions of Title XIII of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990.

CC:

P. Vickers (M.J.
Siolari)
B. Rideout
A. Stigile

Spencer

If your response to this request for views is simple (e.g., concur/no comment) we prefer that you respond by faxing us this response sheet. If the response is simple and you prefer to call, please call the branch-wide line shown below (NOT the analyst's line) to leave a message with a secretary.

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRN number shown above, and the subject shown below.

TO: MIKE GOAD
Office of Management and Budget
Fax Number: (202) 395-5691
Analyst/Attorney's Direct Number: (202) 395-7301
Branch-Wide Line (to reach secretary): (202) 395-6194

FROM:		(Date)
		(Name)
		(Agency)
		(Telephone)

SUBJECT: Defense Proposed Amendment(s) REX S 2182,
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal
Year 1995

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

Concur	
No objection	
No comment	
See proposed edits on pages	
Other:	
FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this response sheet	



SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON

The Honorable Dan Coats
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510-1403

Dear Senator Coats:

I am writing to request that you introduce and support the attached amendment to U.S.C. 1401a(f), better known as the Tower Amendment. The amendment would allow me to grant a class-action waiver and so prevent reductions in the retired pay of 3700 Air Force retirees and 400 survivors. These reductions are now scheduled to begin on 1 October 1994.

The Tower Amendment was enacted in 1975 and permitted retired pay to be computed based on an earlier hypothetical date, if that was better for the retiree. The law was designed to ensure that personnel who remained on active duty were not penalized during a period when increases in retired pay were larger than raises for those who remained in the service.

In 1989 the Comptroller General (CG) ruled that certain rules used by the Services to calculate pay under the Tower Amendment were incorrect. The rules relate to the time retirees must remain in grade before retiring at that grade. Applying the new rules required by the CG decision would result in reducing the retired pay of a large group of aging Air Force veterans through no fault of their own. The reductions would range from a few dollars to several hundred dollars a month.

Before coming to you, the Air Force has explored all options to avoid these unjust reductions. At this point there are two alternatives. The first is the legislative waiver I am requesting. The second alternative would involve a case by case processing of individual claims. We are prepared to go this route if we cannot secure a legislative solution, but it will entail a great deal of confusion and anger on the part of thousands of retirees and their families. We can avoid that, and countless congressional inquiries, through the legislative waiver. I should note that this would also put us on the same footing as the Army and Navy which avoided this problem with administrative action back in 1990.

I sincerely regret the lateness of this request, but I believe I share with you an interest in avoiding the needless disruption of the lives of thousands of Air Force retirees. I have attached a draft amendment that would create the needed authority, and a draft floor statement that would insure clarity on this complex issue in the legislative record. I have also sent a similar letter to Senator Shelby.

Sincerely,

Attachments:

1. Amendment
- ~~2. Floor Statement~~

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY _____

**WAIVER OF TIME-IN-GRADE REQUIREMENT
FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING RETIRED PAY
UNDER THE TOWER AMENDMENT**

**10 U.S.C. 1401a (f) be amended by adding the
following sentence at the end.**

**"The Secretary of a military department may
retroactively waive administrative time-in-grade
requirements for purposes of computing retired pay,
under this subsection, of members or former members
(individually or as a group) who initially became entitled
to monthly retired pay on or after January 1, 1971."**

"Foster Box" Talking Points

6-22

- The amendment offered by Senator Simon would [establish] [express the sense of the Senate with regard to] the so-called "Foster Box" as the demarcation between theater missile defenses that are not limited by the ABM Treaty and strategic missile defenses that are limited by the ABM Treaty.
- I strongly oppose this amendment, for the following reasons:
 - o First, I do not agree that the "Foster Box" represented a "common understanding" in 1972 between the parties to the ABM Treaty, or the Executive and Congress.
 - Mr. Foster's statement in a hearing was represented by him as a "personal" view and as such did not purport to be an "authoritative" representation of the Executive Branch position, with the significance we have come to attach to such testimony in light of the ABM broad-versus-narrow interpretation debate of the late 1980's.
 - Accordingly, there was no "common understanding" on this question between the Senate and the Executive Branch, as that phrase has come to be understood in the context of the Byrd-Biden condition on treaty interpretation that was attached to the Resolution of Ratification on the INF Treaty.
 - o Second, I should also point out for the record that even if we were to accept the view that a "common understanding" was reached in 1972, Congress has already enacted statutes that would meet the requirements of the Byrd-Biden Amendment for superseding or changing understandings reached during treaty ratification proceedings:
 - In Section 217(3) of the National Defense Authorization Act for FY 1988 and 1989, Congress stated: "any [TMD] system developed under this subsection shall be designed to be no less capable than the SA-X-12 system of the Soviet Union."
 - Those of my colleagues familiar with the SA-X-12 understand that it is more capable than systems permitted under the so-called Foster Box.
 - Moreover, the Senate in 1987 approved the INF Treaty, which defines the minimum range of a strategic ballistic missile as 5,500 km -- a range threshold significantly higher than Dr. Foster's personal view in 1972 as to what would and would not constitute a strategic missile.

- o Third, we should clearly recognize that the standard associated with the Foster Box would prohibit us from conducting realistic testing of current U.S. TMD programs, like Patriot, and ban realistic testing of high-priority future TMD programs such as THAAD.
 - Under the Foster Box, for example, we could not even test TMD systems against targets simulating Iraq's modified SCUD missiles or North Korea's No Dong I.
 - Indeed, I would advise Senators to avail themselves of classified briefings on capabilities demonstrated in past U.S. and Soviet TMD tests, and on the current and projected theater ballistic missile threat, before imposing such a constraint.
- o Finally, the Administration has been in intensive negotiations since last November with Russia, Ukraine and Belarus in the ABM Treaty's Standing Consultative Commission, or SCC, to put an end to decades of confusion and controversy in this area and definitively demarcate theater from strategic defenses.
 - In short, the Administration is doing exactly what we in Congress have asked them to do -- enter into a good-faith negotiation on this issue with our ABM Treaty partners, rather than proclaim a unilateral interpretation.
 - For Congress to legislate a demarcation standard while that negotiation is proceeding would completely undercut the Administration's negotiating position at a critical time in these talks.

UNCLASSIFIED

FAX TRANSMITTAL SHEET

THE NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

NONPROLIFERATION AND EXPORT CONTROLS

OFFICE

FROM: ANDREASEN

PHONE: (202)-395-

FAX: (202) 395-1185

TO

FAX

PHONE

CHAVEZ

NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER SHEET 2

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: REVISED PG. 2 FOR POSTER BOX PTS.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

FAX TRANSMITTAL SHEET

THE NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

NONPROLIFERATION AND EXPORT CONTROLS

OFFICE

FROM: ANDREASEN

PHONE: (202)-395- 5865

FAX: (202) 395-1185

TO

FAX

PHONE

SHELTON

703-693-1002

MATHIAS

202-0291

NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER SHEET 3

SPECIAL

INSTRUCTIONS: _____

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

FAX TRANSMITTAL SHEET

THE NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

NONPROLIFERATION AND EXPORT CONTROLS

OFFICE

FROM: ANDREASEN

PHONE: (202)-395-5865

FAX: (202) 395-1185

TO

FAX

PHONE

CHAVEZ

228-3780

NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER SHEET 3

SPECIAL
INSTRUCTIONS: AS PROMISED BY BELL

UNCLASSIFIED

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

June 22, 1994

Neal:

Re my read-ahead on B-2 item for
Berger-Deutch lunch:

Contrary to the personal assurance I
had from Sandy Stewart that this letter
would not go until the White House had
reviewed it, Deutch sent it to Levin
yesterday. I am told Feinstein is
very angry and is calling McLarty and
that Nunn is mad too.

Gordon Adams says Deutch had assured
him personally a few days ago that no
more letters would go to the Hill
without review. OMB only saw this
letter this morning when I sent it
to Gordon.

I strongly recommend Sandy raise this
process failure with John.

RGB
Bob

**THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-1000

22 June 1994



Honorable Carl Levin
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Levin:

This is in response to your recent inquiry about the Department's possible interest in additional B-2 aircraft beyond the 20 currently authorized. Based on a careful analysis of the industrial base, warfighting, and budgetary implications of an enlarged B-2 fleet the Department cannot support further purchases of B-2 aircraft or actions that would contribute to that end.

The Department has taken the necessary steps to deal with B-2 industrial base and programmatic issues. As you know, the Department is committed to completing successfully the 20 B-2 program agreed to in 1992 by the Congress and President Bush and endorsed by President Clinton. Even in these difficult budgetary times we have included nearly \$900 million in our fiscal year 1995 request to produce an aircraft with superior military capabilities, as well as to provide us with a wealth of manufacturing technology and experience that our defense industry will draw on in our development and procurement of other systems, even after the B-2 line closes down.

Working with the Congress the Department has been making every effort to stabilize the program from both a management and financial perspective. The Department's certification of the sound management of the program -- as required by the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 -- was a major step in settling outstanding issues in this regard. Therefore, the introduction of additional funding uncertainty in the future of the B-2 program would be an unfortunate return to a period that we have put behind us.

The Department has continuously examined the role of the B-2 from a warfighting perspective within the context of our ongoing analysis of the bomber force. No requirement has emerged from this analysis to change the recommendation in the Bottom Up Review for 20 B-2 aircraft.

Finally, absent an unlikely budget windfall for Defense or a radical shift in our budget priorities, we simply can't afford additional B-2 aircraft. The billions of dollars that would be needed to sustain such an effort are not affordable. Funds for additional aircraft would have to be taken from higher priority Defense needs that support the readiness and modernization of our forces and a viable support infrastructure.

When fielded, the authorized force of 20 B-2s will make a substantial contribution to our nation's defense. The Department's careful stewardship of this program -- working in harmony with the Congress -- ensures that essential industrial base tasks will be completed.

I trust this letter responds to your questions and stand ready to provide further details if needed.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John M. Deutch". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "J" and a stylized "D".

John M. Deutch

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

22-Jun-1994 16:17 EDT

UNCLASSIFIED

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Neal S. Wolin

(WOLIN)

FROM:

Robert G. Bell
(BELL)

SUBJECT:

RE: Berger lunch -- 23 June

I would resubmit my item on the \$150 million B-2 production base funding. (Although the money is not in our request and thus the natural assumption is that we will support the Levin/Leahy amendment to the bill to delete the funds, Steve Richetti tells me John Emerson is running the trap lines in the West Wing (including with George S.) to see how strongly the California angle on this is cutting.)

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

15-Jun-1994 11:46 EDT

UNCLASSIFIED

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Neal S. Wolin

(WOLIN)

FROM:

Robert G. Bell
(BELL)

SUBJECT:

RE: Berger-Deutch-Talbott-Fuerth lunch -- 16 June

One item: Future of the B-2 Bomber. A big ticket issue in the defense budget and a big ticket issue for California. In campaign, candidate Clinton supported B-2, but said 20 was enough. Our FY94 and FY95 budget requests did not provide for more than 20, nor did they preserve the industrial base required to maintain an option for more than 20. The House (especially Dellums-Kasich) are adamant that 20 was the deal and a deal is a deal." However, the California delegation, Northrop and some parts of the Air Force (especially General Loh) have been campaigning for more than 20 (40 is the number most frequently mentioned). Their argument is that tens of thousands of jobs are at risk, the B-2 is our only remaining bomber production line, that each B-2 beyond 20 could be bought for "only" \$595M - vice \$838M for each of the first 20), and that we don't have enough bombers to make the BUR whole). The immediate forcing event is the SASC mark-up last week, in which the Committee put in \$150M to preserve AN OPTION for one year to sustain B-2 production beyond 20 (the last bomber is now slated to come off the line in 1998). What position we as an administration take on this \$150M add-on is one of the most important defense issues we will have to address this summer. Sandy should solicit Deutch's (and Leon's) views.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
NSC/RMO PROFILE

RECORD ID: 9404748
RECEIVED: 14 JUN 94 17

TO: MCALEER, R

FROM: ITOH

DOC DATE: 22 JUN 94
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: MILITARY EXERCISES
SOUTH AMERICA

CARIBBEAN

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: SIGNIFICANT MILITARY EXERCISE UNITS 35-94

ACTION: KENNEY SGD MEMO

DUE DATE: 17 JUN 94 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: HAHN

LOGREF:

FILES: PA

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL
EXECSEC
HAHN
NSC CHRON

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006

By 12 NARA, Date 6/2/2006

7016-0K52-F

COMMENTS:

DISPATCHED BY

DATE

BY HAND

W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSMBN

CLOSED BY: NSJDA

DOC 3 OF 3

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

ACTION DATA SUMMARY REPORT

DOC ACTION OFFICERCAO ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED

001 HAHN
002 LAKE
002
003

Z 94061417 PREPARE MEMO FOR ITOH
Z 94061719 FOR DECISION
X 94062309 LAKE APPROVED RECOM
X 94062309 KENNEY SGD MEMO

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

June 22, 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR COL. ROBERT P. MCALEER
Executive Secretary
Department of Defense

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise UNITAS 35-94

The National Security Council staff concurs in military exercise
UNITAS 35-94.



William H. Itoh
Executive Secretary

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

4748

June 16, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RB*FROM: KEITH HAHN *KK*

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise UNITAS 35-94

Attached at Tab I is a proposed memorandum to the Executive Secretary, Department of Defense, concurring in military exercise UNITAS 35-94. At Tab II Defense recommends approval and State concurs.

UNITAS 35-94 is the major annual U.S. exercise with South American countries. It consists of joint and combined operations involving naval, coast guard, marine corps and air forces of the U.S., Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Paraguay, Peru, Uruguay and Venezuela. France and the Netherlands are scheduled to participate in U.S.-hosted operations near Puerto Rico under existing U.S./French and U.S./Dutch bilateral agreements. Spain is scheduled to participate in other phases of the exercise at the invitation of Brazil, Uruguay and Argentina.

Multilateral operations have been scheduled in seven of the ten phases of UNITAS. We encourage multinational participation (as opposed to bilateral participation between U.S. and host navy forces) in all phases of UNITAS. However, the decision to allow participation of non-U.S. naval forces rests with the host navy, who is responsible for extending invitations to participate to other national naval forces.

Concurrences by: Richard Feinberg *RF* and Morton Halperin *MH*RECOMMENDATION

That you have Will Itoh sign the memorandum at Tab I concurring in the exercise.

Approve *R* Disapprove _____

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature
Tab II Incoming Correspondence~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Declassify on: OADR

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By *W* NARA, Date *10/2/2006**206-0152-E*

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

4748



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301


13 JUN 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR EXECUTIVE SECRETARY, NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise - UNITAS 35-94

The Department of Defense recommends NSC approval of the attached Significant Military Exercise Brief, Exercise UNITAS 35-94. The Department of State concurs with this recommendation.

Please note the critical cancellation date is 1 July 1994. Should you have any questions or comments, our action officer is Lt Col Stan Hoppe, (703) 614-2616.


Robert P. McAleer
Executive Secretary

Attachments:
As stated

This memo is UNCLASSIFIED when separated from its attachment.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

See Def Com Nr. **X71246**

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
004, cable	Duplicate of vz4587_001. (6 pages)	05/06/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 264

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - June 1994 #2 [7]

2016-0152-F

vz4589

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

UNC .ASS F ED

JOINT STAFF
INFO SERVICE CENTER

ROUTINE

ZYUW RUCBSGG3950 1321504

R 121504Z MAY 94 ZYB

FM CINCUSACOM NORFOLK VA//J71//

TO JOINT STAFF WASHINGTON DC//J7//

INFO JOINT STAFF WASHINGTON DC//J3/J4/J5//

SECDEF WASHINGTON DC//USDP:SP/EP/ASD:PA//

CINCLANTFLT NORFOLK VA//N3/N3A/N33/N334//

USCOMSOLANT

USINT HAVANA CU

UNCLAS //NO5000//

EXER/UNITAS 35-94//

MSGID/GENADMIN/CINCUSACOM//J7//

SUBJ/CORRECTION TO SIGNIFICANT MILITARY EXERCISE BRIEF (SMEB) FOR

UNITAS 35-94//

REF/A/MSG/COMSOLANT/281530ZAPR94/PASEP//

REF/B/MSG/CINCUSACOM/101344ZMAY94//

NARR/REF A IS USCOMSOLANT SMEB FOR UNITAS 35-94/REF B IS CINCUSACOM
CONCURRENCE TO REF A//

POC/LTC J.H. LOWE/ARNG/J712H/PRPHN DSN 438-5908/COMM 804-445-5906//

RMKS/1. AMEND REF A PARAGRAPH 4 TO READ: (U) CRITICAL CANCELLATION

DATE: 01 JULY 94.// BT

JOINT STAFF V1

ACTION J7(6)

(U,7,8,F)

27

INFO CMAS(1) NMCC:CWO(1) J6A-J(1) J3(3) NIDS(1) J4(5)
J4:SMEDENG-J(1) J5(1) QUAL CONTROL(1) J7:JECG-J(1)
J6E-J(1) J5:AFRICA-J(1) J7-JETD(3)

+NACEMEAF

+NEACP

SECDEF V2

ACTION

(U,8,F)

10

INFO SECDEF-N(1) SECDEF-C(1) USDP:FILE(1)
USDAT:TWP(1) USDAT:CI(1) ATSD:PA(1) USDP-CH(1)
USDP:PPEAP(1) NIA(1) USDP:EP(1)

+USDP:CCC

+SAFE

MCN=94133/05939

TOR=94133/1303Z

TAD=94133/1336Z

CDSN=MAU783

PAGE 1 OF 1
121504Z MAY 94

JNC .ASS F ED

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

June 23, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RB*
FROM: KEITH HAHN *KH*: *RB*
SUBJECT: Letter to Senator Nunn RE: SEAWOLF Submarine

Senator McCain will most likely soon table an amendment that would prohibit funding for the third, and last, SEAWOLF class submarine. This submarine, SSN-23, is needed to maintain the shipbuilding industrial base until the "new" class of nuclear attack submarines (known as the NSSN or the "Centurion" class) is ready to begin construction at the end of this decade.

Senator McCain argues that the SEAWOLF was designed for an open-ocean Soviet submarine threat that no longer exists. He further argues that the price of SSN-23 makes it an unaffordable program regardless of industrial base issues. The Bottom Up Review confirmed, however, that it would be less economical and more risky to shut down and then restart a submarine construction industrial base in four or five years. These considerations justify our support of funding the SSN-23 as the best way to "bridge" the gap until production of the NSSN begins.

In draft
Concurrences by: Gordon Adams (OMB) and Jeremy Rosner *for J-3*

RECOMMENDATION

That you forward the memorandum at Tab I to the President.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for the President
Tab A Letter to Senator Nunn

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

June 27, 1994

94 JUN 27 P6:38

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

ANTHONY LARA
SUSAN BROPHY

SUBJECT: Letter to Senator Nunn RE: SEAWOLF Submarine

Purpose

To express to Senator Nunn, who is managing the Senate floor debate on the FY 1995 Defense Authorization Bill this week and next week, your support for funding the third, and last, SEAWOLF class submarine (SSN-23).

Background

Senator McCain will most likely table an amendment to the FY 1995 Defense Authorization Bill that would prohibit funding for the construction of any SEAWOLF class submarines beyond the two currently authorized -- SSN-21 and SSN-22. Long lead funding for the third submarine of this class, SSN-23, is included in this year's Administration budget proposal to maintain the industrial base until the follow-on or "new" SSN class (NSSN) is ready to begin construction at the end of this decade.

Opponents of the SEAWOLF submarine argue that it was designed for an open-ocean Soviet threat that no longer exists. Furthermore, they argue that we cannot afford the cost of SSN-23 in the current budgetary environment. However, the Bottom Up Review confirmed that the best way to maintain critical industrial skills until the NSSN begins production four or five years from now is to build the third SEAWOLF.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the letter at Tab A indicating your support for SSN-23.

Attachment

Tab A Letter to Senator Nunn

cc: Vice President
Chief of Staff

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

June 29, 1994

Dear Mr. Chairman:

I understand that an amendment may be offered during Senate consideration of the FY 1995 Defense Authorization Bill that would seriously damage our critical submarine industrial capabilities. This amendment would prohibit the authorization of funds for construction of the third, and final, SEAWOLF submarine.

Your assistance in ensuring that the Senate understands that I strongly oppose any such amendment would be important to me. The United States simply cannot afford to lose our technical edge in submarine construction and the individual skills that have been developed over decades. As validated by the Bottom Up Review, authorizing SSN-23 in FY 1996 is the most economical and low risk option for preserving the submarine industrial base until the new nuclear attack submarine (NSSN) begins construction later this decade.

I look forward to working with you on this crucial national security program.

Sincerely,



The Honorable Sam Nunn
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

June 23, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH:

ROBERT BELL *RB*

FROM:

KEITH HAHN *KH*

SUBJECT:

Letter to Senator Nunn RE: SEAWOLF Submarine

Senator McCain will most likely soon table an amendment that would prohibit funding for the third, and last, SEAWOLF class submarine. This submarine, SSN-23, is needed to maintain the shipbuilding industrial base until the "new" class of nuclear attack submarines (known as the NSSN or the "Centurion" class) is ready to begin construction at the end of this decade.

Senator McCain argues that the SEAWOLF was designed for an open-ocean Soviet submarine threat that no longer exists. He further argues that the price of SSN-23 makes it an unaffordable program regardless of industrial base issues. The Bottom Up Review confirmed, however, that it would be less economical and more risky to shut down and then restart a submarine construction industrial base in four or five years. These considerations justify our support of funding the SSN-23 as the best way to "bridge" the gap until production of the NSSN begins.

Concurrences by:

In draft
Gordon Adams (OMB) and Jeremy Rosner *hcr*RECOMMENDATION

That you forward the memorandum at Tab I to the President.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for the President

Tab A Letter to Senator Nunn

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ANTHONY LAKE
SUSAN BROPHY

SUBJECT: Letter to Senator Nunn RE: SEAWOLF Submarine

Purpose

To express to Senator Nunn, who is managing the Senate floor debate on the FY 1995 Defense Authorization Bill this week and next week, your support for funding the third, and last, SEAWOLF class submarine (SSN-23).

Background

Senator McCain will most likely table an amendment to the FY 1995 Defense Authorization Bill that would prohibit funding for the construction of any SEAWOLF class submarines beyond the two currently authorized -- SSN-21 and SSN-22. Long lead funding for the third submarine of this class, SSN-23, is included in this year's Administration budget proposal to maintain the industrial base until the follow-on or "new" SSN class (NSSN) is ready to begin construction at the end of this decade.

Opponents of the SEAWOLF submarine argue that it was designed for an open-ocean Soviet threat that no longer exists. Furthermore, they argue that we cannot afford the cost of SSN-23 in the current budgetary environment. However, the Bottom Up Review confirmed that the best way to maintain critical industrial skills until the NSSN begins production four or five years from now is to build the third SEAWOLF.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the letter at Tab A indicating your support for SSN-23.

Attachment
Tab A Letter to Senator Nunn

cc: Vice President
Chief of Staff

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Dear Mr. Chairman:

I understand that an amendment may be offered during Senate consideration of the FY 1995 Defense Authorization Bill that would seriously damage our critical submarine industrial capabilities. This amendment would prohibit the authorization of funds for construction of the third, and final, SEAWOLF submarine.

I would appreciate your assistance in ensuring that the Senate understands that I would be strongly opposed to any such amendment. The United States simply cannot afford to lose our technical edge in submarine construction and the individual skills that have been developed over decades. As validated by the Bottom Up Review, authorizing SSN-23 in FY 1996 is the most economical and low risk option for preserving the submarine industrial base until the new nuclear attack submarine (NSSN) begins construction later this decade.

I look forward to working with you on this crucial national security program.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Sam Nunn
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

June 23, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RB*FROM: KEITH HAHN *KH*

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise CABANAS 1994-I

Attached at Tab I is a proposed memorandum to the Executive Secretary, Department of Defense, concurring in military exercise CABANAS 1994-I. At Tab II Defense recommends approval and State concurs.

CABANAS 1994-I is designed to exercise the joint special operations portions of contingency plan 0300. It involves special operations forces (supported by conventional units) conducting a field training exercise in Puerto Rico July 5-12.

The critical cancellation date is June 30, 1994.

Concurrences by: *EB* Earle C. Blakeman and *M* Morton Halperin

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I concurring in the exercise.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature

Tab II Incoming Correspondence

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

MEMORANDUM FOR COL. ROBERT P. MCALEER
Executive Secretary
Department of Defense

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise CABANAS 1994-I

The National Security Council staff concurs in military exercise CABANAS 1994-I.

William H. Itoh
Executive Secretary

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
005. cable	re: Part I Significant Military Exercise Brief (SMEB). [Cabanas 1994-I] (2 pages)	06/15/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 264

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - June 1994 #2 [7]

2016-0152-F
vz4589

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]



~~SECRET~~

5042

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301


22 JUN 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR EXECUTIVE SECRETARY, NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise - CABANAS 1994-I

The Department of Defense recommends NSC approval of the attached Significant Military Exercise Brief, Exercise CABANAS 1994-I. The Department of State concurs with this recommendation.

Please note the critical cancellation date is 30 June 1994. Should you have any questions or comments, our action officer is Lt Col Stan Hoppe, (703) 614-2616.


Robert P. McAleer
Executive Secretary

Attachments:
As stated

UPON REMOVAL OF ATTACHMENT(S)
DOCUMENT BECOMES UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

SEC DEF CONTR No. X71329

Chron

068541

Document No. 068542

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

5104

DATE: 06/23/94

ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY:

6/28

1. MAJGEN THEODORE G. STROUP, JR., USA

SUBJECT: MILITARY NOMINATIONS: 2. VICE ADM MICHAEL P. KALLERES, USN

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	☐	☐	MYERS	☐	☐
McLARTY	☐	☐	QUINN	☐	☐
LADER	☐	☐	RASCO	☐	☐
ICKES	☐	☐	RUBIN	☐	☐
PANETTA	☐	☐	SEGAL	☐	☐
BAGGETT	☐	☐	SEIDMAN	☐	☐
CUTLER	☐	☐	STEPHANOPOULOS	☐	☐
EMANUEL	☐	☐	TYSON	☐	☐
GEARAN	☐	☐	VARNEY	☐	☐
GERGEN	☐	☐	WATKINS	☐	☐
GIBBONS	☐	☐	WILLIAMS	☐	☐
GRIFFIN	☐	☐	BELL	☐	☐
HALE	☐	☐	CLERK	☐	☒
HERMAN	☐	☐		☐	☐
LAKE	☐	☐		☐	☐
LINDSEY	☐	☐		☐	☐
McGINTY	☐	☐		☐	☐

REMARKS:

Do you have any objections?

RESPONSE:

The National Security Council staff concurs in the attached military nominations.

Anthony Lake

JOHN D. PODESTA
 Assistant to the President
 and Staff Secretary
 Ext. 2702

TIME STAMP

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT STAFFING DOCUMENT

SYSTEM LOG NUMBER:

5104

ACTION OFFICER:

HahnDUE: TUES 28 JUN☐ Prepare Memo For Lake/Berger☐ Appropriate Action☒ Prepare Memo For Podesta☐ Prepare Memo For Itoh☐ Prepare Memo _____

to _____

CONCURRENCES/COMMENTS*

PHONE* to action officer at ext. _____

Concur

FYI

Concur

FYI

Concur

FYI

☐☐ Andreasen☐☐ Hahn☐☐ Reed☐☐ Andricos☐☐ Hammonds☐☐ Rice☐☐ Aoki☐☐ Harris☐☐ Rossin☒☒ Beers☐☐ Holl☐☐ Rosner☐☐ Bell☐☐ Indyk☐☐ Satterfield☐☐ Beyrle☐☐ Jones☐☐ Schifter☐☐ Burns☐☐ Kreczko☐☐ Schwartz☐☐ Canas☐☐ Kristoff☐☐ Steinberg☐☐ Clarke☐☐ Kyle☐☐ Tenet☐☐ Claussen☐☐ Laipson☐☐ Van Eron☐☐ Danvers☐☐ Leary☐☐ Waddell☐☐ Darragh☐☐ LeBourgeois☐☐ Wales☐☐ DeShazer☐☐ Liu☐☐ Walker☐☐ Fauver☐☐ Manzanares☐☐ Walsh☐☐ Feaver☐☐ Menan☐☐ Whyman☐☐ Feinberg☐☐ Mislock☐☐ Wiedemann☐☐ Forsythe☐☐ Mitchell☐☐ Wilson☐☐ Fried☐☐ Murase☐☐ Witkowski☐☐ Froman☐☐ Owens-Kirkpatrick☐☐ _____☐☐ Genton☐☐ Poneman☐☐ _____☐☐ Gottemoeller☐☐ Punke☐☐ _____

INFORMATION

☒ Itoh☒ Kenney☒ Soderberg☒ Exec Sec Desk☒ Lake☒ Berger☒ Wolin☒ Records Mgmt.

COMMENTS

Logged By JBReturn to Records Mgmt.
379 OEOB

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

June 21, 1994 JUN 22 P4: 49

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ALPHONSO MALDON, JR. *Amg*
DEPUTY ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT AND
DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE

SUBJECT: General Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of Major General Theodore G. Stroup, Jr., United States Army, for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general and a recommendation for his assignment as Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel, United States Army.

General Stroup's appointment to general officer grade was based on his scientific/technical qualifications. He is exempt from joint duty requirements under the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 619a(b)(2).

This nomination was staffed by the Secretary of the Army and approved by the Secretary of Defense.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000

16 JUN 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: General Officer Nomination

I recommend you assign Major General Theodore G. Stroup, Jr., United States Army, as Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel, United States Army, a position previously designated to carry the grade of lieutenant general, and nominate him for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general. General Stroup, age 54, currently serves as Director, Program Analysis and Evaluation, Office of the Chief of Staff, United States Army. He will succeed Lieutenant General Thomas P. Carney, United States Army, who is retiring.

In order to carry out the duties and responsibilities of the proposed assignment, a general officer must have demonstrated highly effective performance in senior leadership positions. He must have extensive experience in the plans, policies, and programs for the management of military and civilian personnel. He must also be familiar with procurement, distribution, sustainment, and separation of military and civilian members of the Active and Reserve Components of the Army. Major General Stroup meets these qualifications.

General Stroup's appointment to general officer grade was based on his scientific/technical qualifications. He is exempt from joint duty requirements under the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 619a(b)(2).

This action will not cause the Army to exceed the number of officers authorized to be serving in the grade of lieutenant general.

A handwritten signature in black ink, which appears to read "William J. Perry", is located in the lower right area of the page.

Attachment

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

June 21, 1994

94 JUN 22 P4: 49

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ALPHONSO MALDON, JR. *Amg*
DEPUTY ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT AND
DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE

SUBJECT: Flag Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of Vice Admiral Michael P. Kalleres, United States Navy, for appointment to the grade of vice admiral on the retired list.

This nomination has been staffed by the Secretary of the Navy and approved by the Secretary of Defense.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

16 JUN 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Flag Officer Nomination

I recommend you nominate Vice Admiral Michael P. Kalleres, United States Navy, for appointment to the grade of vice admiral on the retired list. Admiral Kalleres, age 54, currently serves as Commander, Military Sealift Command.

William J. Perry

Attachment