

FOIA MARKER

This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

Folder Title:

Chron File - February 1994 #1 [1]

Staff Office-Individual:

Defense Policy-Bell, Robert

Original OA/ID Number:

263

Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:	Stack:
31	3	4	1	V

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001a. letter	[Lawrence E. Boese] [Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	01/05/1994	b(6)
001b. letter	[Joseph W. Ralston] [Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	01/27/1994	b(6)
002. resume	Transcript of Naval Service for Vice Admiral Henry Goodman Chiles, Jr. [missing page 1] (2 pages)	01/00/1994	b(6)
003a. memo	For Anthony Lake from Anne Witkowsky. Subject: Letter from Senator Leahy on the 1980 Convention on Conventional Weapons. Record ID: 9310074. (2 pages)	02/03/1994	P1/b(1)
003b. memo	For the President from Anthony Lake and Susan Brophy. Subject: Letter from Santor Leahy on the 1980 Convention on Conventional Weapons. Record ID: 9310074. (2 pages)	02/03/1994	P1/b(1)
004. cable	re: Part I Significant Military Exercise Brief. [Black Sea Passex 94-2] (2 pages)	01/10/1994	P1/b(1)
005. cable	re: Part I Significant Military Exercise Brief. [Flexible Action 94] (2 pages)	01/21/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 263

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - February 1994 #1 [1]

2016-0152-F

vz4532

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

0818

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: 2/1/94 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: 2/6

SUBJECT: MILITARY NOMINATIONS -- 1. Capt. Anderson B. Holderby, Jr., USN
2. Lt. Gen. James E. Chambers, USAF

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	☐	☐	NUSSBAUM	☐	☐
McLARTY	☐	☐	QUINN	☐	☐
LADER	☐	☐	RASCO	☐	☐
ICKES	☐	☐	RUBIN	☐	☐
PANETTA	☐	☐	SEGAL	☐	☐
BAGGETT	☐	☐	SEIDMAN	☐	☐
EMANUEL	☐	☐	STEPHANOPOULOS	☐	☐
GEARAN	☐	☐	TYSON	☐	☐
GERGEN	☐	☐	VARNEY	☐	☐
GIBBONS	☐	☐	WATKINS	☐	☐
GRIFFIN	☐	☐	WILLIAMS	☐	☐
HALE	☐	☐	BOB BELL	☒	☐
HERMAN	☐	☐	CLERK	☐	☒
LAKE	☐	☐		☐	☐
LINDSEY	☐	☐		☐	☐
McGINTY	☐	☐		☐	☐
MYERS	☐	☐		☐	☐

REMARKS: Do you have any objections?

RESPONSE:

The National Security Council staff concurs in the attached military nominations.

JOHN D. PODESTA
Assistant to the President
and Staff Secretary
Ext. 2702

Anthony Lake

TIME STAMP

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT STAFFING DOCUMENT

SYSTEM LOG NUMBER:

0818

ACTION OFFICER:

~~Hahn~~

DUE:

6 FEB

☐ Prepare Memo For Lake/Berger

☒ Prepare Memo For Podesta

☐ Prepare Memo

☐ Appropriate Action

☐ Prepare Memo For Itoh

to

CONCURRENCES/COMMENTS*

PHONE* to action officer at ext.

Concur

FYI

Concur

FYI

Concur

FYI

☐ Andreassen

☐ Andricos

☐ Aoki

☐ Barth

☐ Beers

☒ Bell

☐ Burns

☐ Canas

☐ Clarke

☐ Clarke, P.

☐ Claussen

☐ Farrell

☐ Fauver

☐ Feinberg

☐ Forsythe

☐ Fry

☐ Gatl

☐ Gottemoeller

☐ Gross

☐ Hahn

☐ Harris

☐ Holl

☐ Hooker

☐ Hull

☐ Indyk

☐ Jett

☐ Jones

☐ Kelly

☐ Kristoff

☐ Kupchan

☐ Kyle

☐ Lowenkron

☐ Menan

☐ Merchant

☐ Morley

☐ O'Leary

☐ Owens-Kirkpatrick

☐ Poneman

☐ Primosch

☐ Rice

☐ Riedel

☐ Rosner

☐ Saeed

☐ Sanner

☐ Schwartz

☐ Sheehan

☐ Spalter

☐ Tenet

☐ Tilley

☐ Van Eron

☐ Waguespack

☐ Walker

☐ Walsh

☐ Wayne

☐ Wiedemann

☐ Wilson

☐ Witkowski

INFORMATION

☒ Itoh

☒ Lake

☒ Kenney

☒ Berger

☒ Soderberg

☒ Records Mgmt.

☐ Exec Sec Desk

COMMENTS

Logged By

[Signature]

Return to Records Mgmt.
379 OEOB

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 31, 1994 04 FEB 1 P5:54

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

JOHN A. CAUGHAN

DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE

SUBJECT:

Flag Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of Captain Anderson B. Holderby, Jr., for promotion to the grade of rear admiral (lower half) in the United States Navy.

This recommendation is based on a selection board that was approved by the Deputy Secretary of Defense, for the Secretary.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-1000



26 JAN 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Flag Officer Nomination

On behalf of the Secretary of Defense, I recommend you nominate Captain Anderson B. Holderby, Jr., for appointment to the grade of rear admiral (lower half) in the Chaplain Corps of the United States Navy.

This nomination is based on the results of a selection board I approved for the Secretary of Defense. It will not result in the Navy exceeding the authorized strength of flag officers on active duty.

William J. Perry

Attachment

The White House

Washington

To the Senate of the United States:

I NOMINATE
THE FOLLOWING-NAMED CAPTAIN IN THE CHAPLAIN CORPS OF THE NAVY
FOR PROMOTION TO THE PERMANENT GRADE OF REAR ADMIRAL (LOWER
HALF), PURSUANT TO TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 624,
SUBJECT TO QUALIFICATIONS THEREFORE AS PROVIDED BY LAW:

CHAPLAIN CORPS

TO BE REAR ADMIRAL (LOWER HALF)

CAPTAIN ANDERSON BYRON HOLDERBY, JR., 226-40-9991, U.S. NAVY

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 31, 1994 94 FEB 1 P5:54

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

~~JOHN A. GAUGHAN~~

DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE

SUBJECT:

General Officer Action

Attached is a recommendation from the Deputy Secretary of Defense requesting that you defer the mandatory retirement of Lieutenant General James E. Chambers, United States Air Force, from June 30, 1994, to November 30, 1994, under the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 637(b).

Your signature on the attached memorandum will constitute your deferral of General Chambers' retirement.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached memorandum.

Attachment



THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-1000



26 JAN 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: General Officer Nomination

On behalf of the Secretary of Defense, I recommend you defer the mandatory retirement of Lieutenant General James E. Chambers, United States Air Force, from June 30, 1994, to November 30, 1994, under the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 637(b). General Chambers, age 57, is serving as Director, Contingency Operations, Headquarters United States Air Forces in Europe. This extension will provide continuity needed for the planning and execution of NATO and United Nations combined air operations associated with Bosnia. Your signature on this memorandum will constitute your approval.

This action will not cause the Air Force to exceed the number of officers authorized to be serving in the grade of lieutenant general.

William J. Perry

Attachment

APPROVED: _____
President of the United States

DATE: _____

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: 2/1/94 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: 2/6 0819

SUBJECT: MILITARY NOMINATIONS -- 1. Maj. Gen. Lawrence E. Boese, USAF
2. Lt. Gen. Joseph W. Ralston, USAF

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	☐	☐	NUSSBAUM	☐	☐
McLARTY	☐	☐	QUINN	☐	☐
LADER	☐	☐	RASCO	☐	☐
ICKES	☐	☐	RUBIN	☐	☐
PANETTA	☐	☐	SEGAL	☐	☐
BAGGETT	☐	☐	SEIDMAN	☐	☐
EMANUEL	☐	☐	STEPHANOPOULOS	☐	☐
GEARAN	☐	☐	TYSON	☐	☐
GERGEN	☐	☐	VARNEY	☐	☐
GIBBONS	☐	☐	WATKINS	☐	☐
GRIFFIN	☐	☐	WILLIAMS	☐	☐
HALE	☐	☐	BOB BELL	☒	☐
HERMAN	☐	☐	CLERK	☐	☒
LAKE	☐	☐		☐	☐
LINDSEY	☐	☐		☐	☐
McGINTY	☐	☐		☐	☐
MYERS	☐	☐		☐	☐

REMARKS: Do you have any objections?

RESPONSE:

The National Security Council staff concurs in the attached military nominations.

JOHN D. PODESTA
Assistant to the President
and Staff Secretary
Ext. 2702

Anthony Lake

TIME STAMP

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT STAFFING DOCUMENT

SYSTEM LOG NUMBER

0819

ACTION OFFICER:

DUE:

6 FEB

- ☐ Prepare Memo For Lake/Berger
☒ Prepare Memo For Podesta
☐ Prepare Memo

- ☐ Appropriate Action
☐ Prepare Memo For Itoh
to

CONCURRENCES/COMMENTS*

PHONE* to action officer at ext.

Concur

FYI

Concur

FYI

Concur

FYI

- ☐ Andreasen
☐ Andricos
☐ Aoki
☐ Barth
☒ Beers
☐ Bell
☐ Burns
☐ Canas
☐ Clarke
☐ Clarke, P.
☐ Claussen
☐ Farrell
☐ Fauver
☐ Feinberg
☐ Forsythe
☐ Fry
☐ Gatl
☐ Gottemoeller
☐ Gross
☐ Hahn

- ☐ Harris
☐ Holl
☐ Hooker
☐ Hull
☐ Indyk
☐ Jett
☐ Jones
☐ Kelly
☐ Kristoff
☐ Kupchan
☐ Kyle
☐ Lowenkron
☐ Menan
☐ Merchant
☐ Morley
☐ O'Leary
☐ Owens-Kirkpatrick
☐ Poneman
☐ Primosch
☐ Rice

- ☐ Riedel
☐ Rosner
☐ Saeed
☐ Sanner
☐ Schwartz
☐ Sheehan
☐ Spalter
☐ Tenet
☐ Tilley
☐ Van Eron
☐ Waguespack
☐ Walker
☐ Walsh
☐ Wayne
☐ Wiedemann
☐ Wilson
☐ Witkowski

INFORMATION

☒ Itoh
☒ Lake

☒ Kenney
☒ Berger

☒ Soderberg
☒ Records Mgmt.

☐ Exec Sec Desk

COMMENTS

Logged By

Return to Records Mgmt.
379 OEGB

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

94 FEB 1 P5:54

January 28, 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

~~JOHN A. GAUGHAN~~

DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE

SUBJECT:

General Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of Major General Lawrence E. Boese, United States Air Force, for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general and a recommendation for his assignment as Commander, Alaskan Command, United States Pacific Command; Commander, Eleventh Air Force, Pacific Air Forces; and Commander Alaskan North American Aerospace Defense Command Region.

The Deputy Secretary of Defense has attached an evaluation of General Boese's performance in a joint duty assignment from the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

This nomination has been staffed by the Secretary of the Air Force and approved by the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-1000



27 JAN 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT


SUBJECT: General Officer Nomination

On behalf of the Secretary of Defense, I recommend you assign Major General Lawrence E. Boese, United States Air Force, as Commander, Alaskan Command, United States Pacific Command; Commander, Eleventh Air Force, Pacific Air Forces; and Commander, Alaskan North American Aerospace Defense Command Region, an authorized lieutenant general position, and nominate him for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general. General Boese, age 50, is currently serving as Director, Operations, Headquarters Air Combat Command. He will succeed Lieutenant General Joseph W. Ralston, United States Air Force, who is being nominated for another position.

In order to carry out the duties and responsibilities of the proposed assignment, a general officer must have demonstrated effective performance in senior leadership positions involving the employment of offensive and defensive tactical airpower. He must also be familiar with planning and execution of air operations in defense of the North American continent. General Boese meets these requirements.

This action will not cause the Air Force to exceed the number of officers authorized to be serving in the grade of lieutenant general.

As required by title 10, United States Code, section 601(d)(1), I have attached an evaluation of General Boese from the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff while assigned to a joint equivalent assignment.


William J. Perry

Attachment



CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20318-9999


CN-003-94
5 January 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Through: Deputy Secretary of Defense

Subject: General Officer Nomination

1. In accordance with the provisions of title 10, United States Code, and DOD Instruction 1320.4, the Secretary of the Air Force has recommended Major General Lawrence E. Boese for assignment as Commander, Alaskan Command (USPACOM)/Commander, Eleventh Air Force (PACAF)/Commander, Alaskan North American Aerospace Defense Command Region, and appointment to the grade of lieutenant general.
2. I have reviewed Major General Boese's performance in one joint equivalent assignment. As Chief, Asia Division, Director of International Programs, Deputy Chief of Staff for Programs and Resources, Headquarters, US Air Force, Major General Boese's matchless management of over \$4.4 billion of USAF foreign military sales programs for 16 Asian nations contributed significantly to the attainment of US foreign policy goals. He spearheaded actions necessary to introduce the first increment of F-16s into Pakistan. He personally formulated and implemented a USAF pilot training program for the Crown Prince of Thailand. Major General Boese's orchestration of these programs and a multitude of other complex milestones ensured total success of politically important programs. I am confident he is well qualified for this assignment and advancement.
3. I concur in the Secretary's nomination of Major General Boese for this assignment and appointment to the grade of lieutenant general and recommend you forward the enclosed nomination to the President for approval.



JOHN M. SHALIKASHVILI
Chairman
of the Joint Chiefs of Staff

Enclosure

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
--------------------------	---------------	------	-------------

001a. letter	[Lawrence E. Boese] [Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	01/05/1994	b(6)
--------------	--	------------	------

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 263

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - February 1994 #1 [1]

2016-0152-F
vz4532

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

The White House

Washington

To the Senate of the United States:

I nominate:

The following named officer for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under Title 10, United States Code, Section 601:

To be Lieutenant General

Major General Lawrence E. Boese, (b)(6) [001a]
United States Air Force

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

94 FEB 1 P5:54

January 28, 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:


JOHN A. GAUGHAN
DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE

SUBJECT:

General Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of Lieutenant General Joseph W. Ralston, United States Air Force, for reappointment to the grade of lieutenant general and a recommendation for his assignment as Deputy Chief of Staff, Plans and Operations, Headquarters, United States Air Force.

This nomination has been staffed by the Secretary of the Air Force and approved by the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-1000



27 JAN 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: General Officer Nomination

On behalf of the Secretary of Defense, I recommend you assign Lieutenant General Joseph W. Ralston, United States Air Force, as Deputy Chief of Staff, Plans and Operations, Headquarters, United States Air Force, an authorized lieutenant general position, and nominate him for reappointment to the grade of lieutenant general. General Ralston, age 50, is currently serving as Commander, Alaskan Command, United States Pacific Command; Commander, Eleventh Air Force, Pacific Air Forces; and Commander, Alaskan North American Aerospace Defense Command Region. He will succeed Lieutenant General Buster C. Glosson, United States Air Force, who is retiring.

In order to carry out the duties and responsibilities of the proposed assignment, a general officer must have demonstrated effective performance in senior leadership positions involving planning and employment of Air Forces worldwide. He must also possess a thorough knowledge of joint service activities and operations. General Ralston meets these requirements.

This action will not cause the Air Force to exceed the number of officers authorized to be serving in the grade of lieutenant general.


William J. Perry

Attachment

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001b. letter	[Joseph W. Ralston] [Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	01/27/1994	b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 263

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - February 1994 #1 [1]

2016-0152-F
vz4532

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

The White House

Washington

To the Senate of the United States:

I nominate:

The following named officer for reappointment to the grade of lieutenant general while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under Title 10, United States Code, Section 601:

To be Lieutenant General

Lieutenant General Joseph W. Ralston, (b)(6) [001b]
United States Air Force

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: 2/1/94 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: 2/3/94

SUBJECT: MILITARY NOMINATION: VICE ADMIRAL HENRY G. CHILES, JR., USN

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	☐	☐	NUSSBAUM	☐	☐
McLARTY	☐	☐	QUINN	☐	☐
LADER	☐	☐	RASCO	☐	☐
ICKES	☐	☐	RUBIN	☐	☐
PANETTA	☐	☐	SEGAL	☐	☐
BAGGETT	☐	☐	SEIDMAN	☐	☐
EMANUEL	☐	☐	STEPHANOPOULOS	☐	☐
GEARAN	☐	☐	TYSON	☐	☐
GERGEN	☐	☐	VARNEY	☐	☐
GIBBONS	☐	☐	WATKINS	☐	☐
GRIFFIN	☐	☐	WILLIAMS	☒	☐
HALE	☐	☐	BELL	☒	☐
HERMAN	☐	☐	CLERK	☐	☒
LAKE	☐	☐		☐	☐
LINDSEY	☐	☐		☐	☐
McGINTY	☐	☐		☐	☐
MYERS	☐	☐		☐	☐

REMARKS:

Do you have any objection? Need quick turnaround on this.

RESPONSE:

The National Security Council staff concurs with the attached military nomination.

Anthony Lake


JOHN D. PODESTA
Assistant to the President
and Staff Secretary
Ext. 2702

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

January 27, 1994

94 FEB 1 P3:02

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:  **JOHN A. CAUGHAN**
DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE

SUBJECT: Flag Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of Vice Admiral Henry G. Chiles, Jr., United States Navy, for appointment to the grade of admiral and a recommendation for his assignment as Commander in Chief, United States Strategic Command.

As required by title 10, United States Code, section 601(d)(1), the Secretary of Defense has attached an evaluation of Admiral Chiles' performance in joint duty assignments from the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

The Secretary of Defense recommends that you defer the mandatory retirement of Admiral Chiles, under the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 637(b)(2).

Per title 10, United States Code, section 525(c), the Secretary additionally recommends that you reallocate a four-star entitlement from the Army to the Navy and a three-star entitlement from the Navy to the Army. Your signature on the attached memorandum will signify your approval of the deferral of mandatory retirement, and the reallocation of the three-and four star entitlements.

This nomination has been staffed by the Secretaries of the Navy and Army, and was approved by the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000

25 JAN 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: General Officer Nomination

I recommend you assign Vice Admiral Henry G. Chiles, Jr., United States Navy, as Commander in Chief, United States Strategic Command, a position of importance and responsibility previously designated to carry the grade of admiral, and nominate him for appointment to the grade of admiral. Admiral Chiles, age 56, currently serves as Deputy Commander in Chief, United States Strategic Command. He will succeed General George L. Butler, United States Air Force, who is retiring.

In order to carry out the duties and responsibilities of the proposed assignment, a flag officer must have demonstrated highly effective performance in senior leadership positions. He must be able to provide offensive strategic support to theater combatant commanders; provide worldwide reconnaissance, aerial refueling, and C3I for strategic force employment and nonstrategic operations, and provide aircraft, as directed by higher authority for conventional support to theater combatant commanders engaged in regional operations. He must be designated as a joint specialist, and must have completed a joint tour as a flag officer. Admiral Chiles meets these requirements.

As required by title 10, United States Code, section 601(d)(1), I have attached an evaluation of Admiral Chiles from the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff while assigned to joint duty assignments.

Admiral Chiles' mandatory retirement is July 1, 1995. I recommend you defer his mandatory retirement while serving in this position under the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 637(b)(2).

Per title 10, United States Code, section 525(c), you can reallocate a limited number of three- and four-star entitlements among the Services. To support Admiral Chiles' appointment to the grade of admiral, I recommend you reallocate a four-star entitlement from the Army to the Navy and a three-star entitlement from the Navy to the Army. This reallocation will terminate on December 31, 1994.

Your signature on this memorandum will signify your approval of the recommended nomination, the deferral of mandatory retirement, and the reallocation of the three- and four-star entitlements.

Attachment

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J. H. Aspin". The signature is stylized with a large, looped initial "J" and a distinct "H".

APPROVED X

President of the United States

DATE _____



CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20318-9999

CN-313-93

13 January 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE


Through: Deputy Secretary of Defense

Subject: Flag Officer Nomination

1. In accordance with the provisions of title 10, United States Code, and DOD Instruction 1320.4, the Secretary of the Navy has recommended Vice Admiral Henry G. Chiles, Jr., for assignment as Commander in Chief, US Strategic Command; appointment to the grade of admiral; deferral of his mandatory retirement date; and reallocation of a four-star entitlement.

2. I have reviewed Vice Admiral Chiles' performance in three joint duty assignments. As Special Assistant to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Naval Reactors for Education and Training Matters, Department of Energy, he effectively evaluated periodic reports and continually guided commanding officers of all nuclear-powered ships to ensure a high level of training and readiness and to correct any operational deficiencies. As Commander, Submarine Group Eight, and Commander, Allied Submarines, Mediterranean, Vice Admiral Chiles spearheaded efforts to improve allied forces' submarine capabilities through various bilateral programs. He maximized training opportunities with other countries by providing over 70 exercise days with Spanish, British, French, Italian, Turkish, German, the Netherlands, and Moroccan forces. He subsequently became the Commander, Submarine Force, US Atlantic Fleet, and Commander, Submarine Allied Forces, Atlantic. With the consolidation of strategic forces under USSTRATCOM, he served as the primary adviser to USCINCPAC as well as operational commander of ballistic missile submarines in the Atlantic. Vice Admiral Chiles' previous experience and current duty as Deputy Commander in Chief and Chief of Staff, US Strategic Command, make him well qualified for this recommended assignment and advancement.

3. I concur in the Secretary's nomination of Vice Admiral Chiles for this assignment, appointment to the grade of admiral, deferral of his mandatory retirement date while serving in this position, and reallocation of a four-star entitlement from the Army to the Navy and a three-star entitlement from the Navy to the Army. I recommend you forward the enclosed information to the President recommending approval of these actions.



JOHN M. SHALIKASHVILI
Chairman
of the Joint Chiefs of Staff

Enclosure

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002. resume	Transcript of Naval Service for Vice Admiral Henry Goodman Chiles, Jr. [missing page 1] (2 pages)	01/00/1994	b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 263

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - February 1994 #1 [1]

2016-0152-F
vz4532

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

INSIDE VIEW

Clinton Puts ABM on Target

Theater Missile Defense Tests Split Supporters

By DNEY GRAYBEAL
PATRICIA McFATE

Supporters of the Anti-Ballistic Missile (A) treaty, having fought the good fight to save the treaty from the "broad interpretation" and other misguided efforts of the Ronald Reagan and George W. Bush governments to emasculate its provisions, are now becoming involved in the current battle.

interpretation of the treaty, has advocated continued efforts to strengthen the treaty during the recently completed five-year review and has withdrawn some of the more outlandish amendments tabled at the Standing Consultative Commission under Reagan and Bush.

The commission is the body charged with implementing the ABM treaty.

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

February 1st

Tony:

A very supportive op-ed from Sid Graybeal, a former ABM treaty negotiator and former U.S. SCC Commissioner.


Bob Bell

involving a variety of theater ballistic missiles, ranging from crude Scud missiles and North Korean Nodongs to more advanced Chinese M-9 and CSS-2 ballistic missiles.

In the time that it will take to field an effective defense against these missiles — five to 10 years — the more capable of these delivery systems could further proliferate. These threats will not disappear regardless of our best non-proliferation efforts.

The Clinton government, recognizing the need to provide effective theater missile defense against these threats, has assigned top priority to achieving an effective missile defense system.

The United States is not alone in recognizing this need: acquiring effective theater missile defense systems is a high priority in Russia, Israel, South Korea, Japan and several European countries.

The United States should be in a position to either provide or assist in the development of such systems.

To achieve its goal, the administration has proposed negotiations in the Standing Consultative Commission to achieve agreed-upon clarifications — not amendments or unilateral interpretations — of the ABM Treaty.

This should help in the development of effective missile defense systems and permit other republics of the former Soviet Union to join the treaty.

This willingness to seek the consent of our treaty partners rather than promulgate a unilateral approach should appeal to all those who stand for the rule of law

in international relations.

Some have questioned the administration's adoption of the demonstrated criterion for judging capabilities of ballistic missile defense systems.

The ABM treaty is verified by national technical means [such as satellites] alone. While simulations or computer projections are useful for design engineers and program managers, the capabilities derived through use of these simulations cannot be verified by national technical means.

Thus, utilizing simulations or computer projections to determine system capabilities would result in a dual standard: The United States would tie its hands without the ability to verify the other party's simulations of capabilities.

No military commander will accept for operational use a system whose capabilities have not been demonstrated by actual flight testing. Adoption of the demonstrated approach — perhaps buttressed by confidence-building measures — would be completely consistent with the intent of the treaty and its verification.

Supporters of the ABM treaty should not force Clinton and the Congress to make a choice between the treaty and an effective theater missile defense.

Most responsible citizens would choose an effective missile defense to protect the lives of U.S. men and women deployed overseas.

Forcing a choice is not necessary. The Clinton government has shown us how to meet both national security requirements. Let's not derail the train.

Handic Revived R.2 Production

INSIDE VIEW

Clinton Puts ABM on Target

Theater Missile Defense Tests Split Supporters

By SIDNEY GRAYBEAL
and PATRICIA McFATE

Supporters of the Anti-Ballistic Missile (ABM) treaty, having fought the good fight to save the treaty from the "broad interpretation" and other misguided efforts of the Ronald Reagan and George Bush governments to emasculate its provisions, are now becoming involved in the wrong battle. This one could result in the destruction of the treaty they are trying so hard to protect.

The ABM treaty has been neglected for 12 years. During this period, some urged abandoning it while others tried to adopt and implement a unilateral interpretation that truly would have eviscerated the treaty.

In contrast, the Clinton government has reaffirmed the narrow or correct in-

terpretation of the treaty, has advocated continued efforts to strengthen the treaty during the recently completed five-year review and has withdrawn some of the more outlandish amendments tabled at the Standing Consultative Commission under Reagan and Bush.

The commission is the body charged with implementing the ABM treaty.

Clinton also has sought a clarification of the treaty, which will help in the development of an effective theater missile defense system necessary to meet the real and emerging theater ballistic missile threats faced by the United States, Russia, and some U.S. allies.

Why is the last step a necessity rather than a blow to the treaty, as some of the treaty's proponents are claiming?

Today, more than 15 developing nations have ballistic missiles, several more have programs in place to develop them, and many of these countries are also acquiring weapons of mass destruction that can be delivered by these missiles.

U.S. forces deployed overseas and some U.S. allies could be facing threats

involving a variety of theater ballistic missiles, ranging from crude Scud missiles and North Korean Nodongs to more advanced Chinese M-9 and CSS-2 ballistic missiles.

In the time that it will take to field an effective defense against these missiles — five to 10 years — the more capable of these delivery systems could further proliferate. These threats will not disappear regardless of our best non-proliferation efforts.

The Clinton government, recognizing the need to provide effective theater missile defense against these threats, has assigned top priority to achieving an effective missile defense system.

The United States is not alone in recognizing this need: acquiring effective theater missile defense systems is a high priority in Russia, Israel, South Korea, Japan and several European countries.

The United States should be in a position to either provide or assist in the development of such systems.

To achieve its goal, the administration has proposed negotiations in the Standing Consultative Commission to achieve agreed-upon clarifications — not amendments or unilateral interpretations — of the ABM Treaty.

This should help in the development of effective missile defense systems and permit other republics of the former Soviet Union to join the treaty.

This willingness to seek the consent of our treaty partners rather than promulgate a unilateral approach should appeal to all those who stand for the rule of law

in international relations.

Some have questioned the administration's adoption of the demonstrated criterion for judging capabilities of ballistic missile defense systems.

The ABM treaty is verified by national technical means [such as satellites] alone. While simulations or computer projections are useful for design engineers and program managers, the capabilities derived through use of these nations cannot be verified by national technical means.

Thus, utilizing simulations or computer projections to determine system capabilities would result in a dual standard: The United States would tie its hands without the ability to verify the other ty's simulations of capabilities.

No military commander will for operational use a system whose capabilities have not been demonstrated by actual flight testing. Adoption of the strated approach — perhaps buttressed by confidence-building measures — would be completely consistent with the intent of the treaty and its verification.

Supporters of the ABM treaty not force Clinton and the Congress to make a choice between the treaty effective theater missile defense.

Most responsible citizens would choose an effective missile defense to protect the lives of U.S. men and women deployed overseas.

Forcing a choice is not necessary. The Clinton government has shown us how to meet both national security requirements. Let's not derail the train.

Sidney Graybeal was a negotiator of the ABM treaty. Patricia McFate is senior scientist and program director of the Center for National Security Negotiations.

Clinton's Strategic Vision Demands Revived R-2 Production

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

February 1, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: JEREMY ROSNER *jr*FROM: MIKE ANDRICOS *ma*

SUBJECT: Background on national security issues for the President's meeting with the Congressional Leadership on February 2

The President is meeting with the Congressional Leadership at 10:00AM Wednesday, February 2. The central issues to be discussed at this meeting are the budget, health care and the crime bill.

The NSC staff has provided background points and Q's and A's on selected national security issues. The attached memo for your signature forwards these materials to the President.

Concurrences by: Bob Bell, Dan Poneman, Nick Burns, John Beyrle, Martin Indyk, Kent Weidemann, Richard Clarke, Sean Darragh, Mac DeShazer

RECOMMENDATION*in draft*

That you forward the memo and attachment (Tab A) to the President.

Attachments

Tab I Memo to the President
Tab A Background Points

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

INFORMATION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ANTHONY LAKE
SUSAN BROPHY

SUBJECT: Background on national security issues for your
meeting with the Congressional Leadership on
February 2

Attached are background points and Q's and A's on selected
national security issues which you may want to review prior to
your meeting with the Congressional Leadership on Wednesday
morning.

Attachment
Tab A

cc: Vice President
Chief of Staff

DEFENSE BUDGET

- Q: In your State of the Union speech you said "The budget I send to Congress draws the line against further defense cuts." With all respect, isn't that rather disingenuous? Isn't the Defense Department facing up to a \$50 billion shortfall due to pay and inflation changes that were not anticipated when the Bottom Up Review was approved?
- A: No, there is no \$50 billion shortfall. Before we made final decisions on the FY 1995 budget, we received new inflation estimates that reduced the projected shortfall to just over \$30 billion. Of this amount, some \$11 billion was associated with Congress' decision to approve a military pay raise for FY 1994. I have decided that Defense will not have to absorb the costs associated with that decision and have therefore increased the defense topline by \$11.4 billion over the next five years. For FY 1995, we have not compensated Defense (or any other department or agency of government) for the slightly higher than anticipated inflation. However, we have made no decision as to whether to compensate Defense for about \$20 billion in currently projected inflation increases in FY 1996-1999. I want to stress, though, that the decision we took with regard to inflation offsets in FY 1995 is without prejudice to whatever decisions we make on inflation compensation in these outyears. I will keep a close watch on our inflation estimates to ensure that our defense budgets continue to protect the readiness and quality of our Armed Forces. I will also ask you to approve emergency supplemental requests in cases where our Armed Forces are asked to take on unanticipated peacekeeping or peace enforcement operations -- as I did Monday when I submitted the \$1.2 billion Somalia supplemental. In short, I will do my part to ensure we have drawn the line on defense cuts. As I said last week, I hope Congress without regard to party will support that position.

PEACEKEEPING

- Q: What are the Administration's plans on peacekeeping policy? What do you think of the criticisms of peacekeeping and the UN that Senator Dole just put forward?
- A: We have just reached completion of our inter-agency review of peacekeeping policy, funding and management. I will be sending senior members of my foreign policy team to the Hill during the coming two weeks to brief the relevant committees and members.
- Peacekeeping can be an important and useful way to advance US interests. The peacekeeping effort in Cambodia is a good example. However, as I said at the UN in September, the UN is overextended on peacekeeping right now; we need to ask new questions before deciding to vote for or participate in UN operations; and we need changes in the management of peacekeeping -- both at the UN and in our government.
- Senator Dole made some valid points. For example, he argues we should pay a lower assessment rate for peacekeeping operations than the current rate of 31.7%. That's a point I made at the UN in September. But I cannot support several of Senator Dole's specific proposals -- for example, some would unduly restrict my prerogatives as Command-in-Chief. I want to work with Congress to ensure we make the right changes in peacekeeping policy.

ASIA

CHINA

Q: How do you assess the state of U.S.-China relations, particularly China's steps to meet the conditions of your Executive Order on human rights, trade and nonproliferation?

A: The Executive Order sets forth a number of realistic conditions to spur human rights progress in China. Since I signed the order, there have been some positive human rights developments in China: a number of important prisoner releases, PRC-ICRC discussions on Red Cross access to Chinese prisons and other actions.

-- We welcome these steps but more remains to be done. In all high level meetings with the Chinese, we are stressing that China must make the progress specified in the Executive Order if MFN is to be renewed.

-- The Administration is also pursuing resolutely all legislative and executive means to secure Chinese compliance with trade and nonproliferation commitments.

-- Our efforts were reflected in the successful negotiation of a textile agreement.

-- On nonproliferation, just last week we discussed with the Chinese precisely what is required for a credible assurance that China henceforth will comply with MTCR guidelines.

-- This would include cessation of missile technology transfers to Pakistan or elsewhere.

-- The Chinese are currently studying these conditions and we hope for a response soon.

VIETNAM

Q: Have the Administration's conditions for lifting the embargo been met by Vietnam? When will you take the next step on Vietnam?

A: I want to reiterate that I consider obtaining the fullest possible accounting for our POW/MIAs the top priority in our Vietnam policy and that it will continue to be our top priority.

-- I believe making it the centerpiece of our policy has led to tangible progress, particularly in the four areas I

identified last July.

- Since July we have repatriated 39 sets of remains
 - more than in all of 1992. Our total for 1993 was 67 sets of remains, the third highest annual figure since the war.
 - Just since July we have been able to confirm the deaths of 19 men on the last-known-alive discrepancy list, reducing the list to 73 men from the original 196.
 - The first-ever trilateral field investigation along the Vietnam-Laos border was in December and located remains and crash sites for future excavation.
- These results make 1993 one of the most productive years for POW/MIA accounting since the end of the war. At this juncture, we want to ensure Vietnam's continued cooperation, and are determined to craft a policy which achieves that goal.

MIDDLE EAST

ISRAEL-PLO

Q: Why aren't we more involved in getting Israel and the PLO to reach agreement on implementing the Declaration of Principles?

A: From September 13 on, I have strongly supported the Israeli-PLO Declaration of Principles:

- We organized the Donors' Conference which pledged \$5 billion over 5 years for Palestinian economic reconstruction and development.
- We promoted a private sector initiative, spearheaded by Arab-American and American Jewish business people, to promote private investment in the Palestinian economy.
- The Secretary of State has been in constant touch with both sides, facilitating their negotiations and urging them to reach prompt closure. Our consistent message to them has been: we need prompt and effective implementation of the agreement to change the situation on the ground and provide real reasons for hope for both Palestinians and Israelis.
- The negotiations are making good progress and I believe that the parties are close to concluding an agreement although it's not over until it's over.
- We will not involve ourselves in the negotiations themselves unless both sides request it. This negotiation is spelling out the arrangements for day-to-day interaction between Israelis and Palestinians. To be enduring, these arrangements cannot be imposed; they must be painstakingly negotiated between the parties themselves.

SYRIA-U.S.

Q: Has Syria fulfilled its commitment on Syrian Jews?

A: Yes. As of last Friday, only 50 Syrian Jews were still awaiting exit visas. All others who sought them have now received permission to leave and they are beginning to arrive in New York in significant numbers.

Q: Did you make any commitment to Asad in Geneva to improve bilateral relations or take Syria off the terrorism list?

A: We made no commitments. We agreed in Geneva to establish a mechanism to deal with the issues that affect our bilateral

relations. The issues on our side include terrorism, narcotics and human rights. If Syria wants to be taken off the terrorism list it has to cease its support for organizations like Hizbollah, the PKK and Ahmed Jibril.

U.S.-ISRAEL

Q: You said in Geneva that you expected Israel to respond to Asad's words about normal peaceful relations. Is the ball in Israel's court? Are you pressuring Israel?

A: Prime Minister Rabin was one of the first foreign leaders to visit me in the White House. On that visit in March 1993 he told me of his intention to take risks for peace and I told him that my role was to minimize those risks. That is how I conceive of the relationship with Israel. It's certainly not one of pressuring Israel. It's a matter of getting behind Israel, of providing it with a safety net as its government takes these difficult decisions for peace.

AFRICA

SOMALIA

Q: What happened in Mogadishu Monday morning?

A: A U.S. liaison office convoy of two Chevrolet suburbans escorted by 22 Marines and three Humvees was attacked on AFGOI Circle opposite the Journalist Hotel at approximately 1100 local time today.

-- The convoy was fired on from the left side of the road as it approached the circle. The Marines returned fire. there were no U.S. casualties. The Marines believe that two Somalis were killed. Our vehicles were hit at least three times.

Q: Was the SNA responsible for the attack?

A: At this time, we do not know who was responsible for the attack on the U.S. convoy.

Q: Were any U.S. officials in the convoy? Where was it going? To do what?

A: The convoy was taking two USLO officers to meet Somalia National Alliance (SNA) representatives at the residence of the Ethiopian mediators near AFGOI Circle in Mogadishu. The group was going there to register a complaint regarding increasing violence on AFGOI Road.

Q: What is the status of U.S. diplomatic efforts in Somalia?

A: We remain engaged in encouraging Somalis themselves to seek solutions to the political crises in their country. We view the political reconciliation talks which took place recently in Mogadishu under the auspices of the Imam of Herab, a traditional Somali leader, as a positive development.

Q: When is the last time we saw Aideed? Where is he?

A: Assistant Secretary George Moose met with Aideed two weeks ago in Nairobi.

-- To our knowledge, Aideed is still outside Somalia, meeting in East Africa with Somalis and representatives of other government.

Q: What is the status of humanitarian relief efforts?

A: Although the famine has virtually ended, pockets of suffering exist in areas where the previous harvest was poor. In addition, there are still some one million displaced persons and urban indigents who require continued

relief assistance.

Q: What is Ambassador Oakley's status? Where is he? What is he doing?

A: Ambassador Robert Oakley continues to be our special envoy. He is attending the donors meeting in Nairobi this week, which is discussing targeting rehabilitation aid to secure areas of Somalia.

Q: How is Monday's fighting consistent with the withdrawal?

A: The withdrawal is proceeding as scheduled and will not be affected by Monday's attack. U.S. Forces fired in self-defense while carrying out escort protection duties which remain part of the mission laid out in my speech of October 7, that is:

- provide force protection,
- keep open and secure the key lines of communication for the movement of relief aid,
- keep the pressure on those who originally cut off relief supplies and
- help the Somalis move toward political reconciliation.

SOUTH AFRICA

Q: Is the transition process stalled?

A: We still remain hopeful that members of both Chief Buthelezi's Inkatha Freedom Party and the Afrikaner right wing parties will be able to rejoin the majority of South Africans, black and white, who are committed to the peaceful transformation of South Africa through the April 27 elections. We are, of course, disturbed by talk of violence by certain factions of the Freedom Alliance and are using our influence in support of full participation in the democratic process. (If asked: We welcome the Pan Africanist Congress' recent renunciation of violence, which now makes it eligible to receive U.S. assistance, previously prohibited under the Manzullo Amendment.)

LIBERIA

Q: Is the peace process stalled in Liberia?

A: We are still hopeful that peace will come to Liberia. Under the July 26, 1993 Cotonou Peace Accords, the Liberian factions agreed to install a transitional government composed of representatives of each of three factions at the

same time as they commenced disarmament. However, disarmament has been delayed while arrangements were made to deploy UN observers and additional peacekeepers from Africa. The UN observers and new African peacekeepers are nearly fully deployed, but disagreements over disarmament and seating the transitional government remain.

- We contributed recently \$30.83 million to support the additional troops and are pressing the parties to recommit to the peace process. We have already contributed \$300 million in humanitarian assistance to Liberia since the civil war started in 1985.

SUDAN

Q: What are we doing to help end the suffering in Sudan?

A: Although our relations with the Khartoum Government are poor following Sudan's being placed on the Terrorist List last year, we continue to seek a peaceful solution to the conflict and to review the possibility of sending an envoy.

- Recently, we issued a statement in support of the efforts of regional African leaders to bring the Khartoum Government together with Southern factions and end the decades-long conflict in Sudan. We believe there is no military solution to the conflict in Sudan, and that the people of that country have already suffered too much. Our humanitarian assistance amounts to almost \$100 million a year and, through our Special Coordinator, Ambassador Burroughs, we are pushing to make bilateral and multilateral humanitarian assistance efforts more effective.

KOREA

Q: What's going on with North Korea?

A: We understand that North Korea is still holding discussions with the IAEA in Vienna.

-- We urge North Korea to agree promptly with the IAEA on the inspections necessary for continuity of safeguards.

-- We remain willing to discuss a thorough and broad approach to this issue in a third round of talks, once North Korea takes the necessary steps to ensure that safeguards continuity is maintained.

Q: Why have you softened your position?

A: We haven't. Our goals remain a nonnuclear peninsula and a strong nonproliferation regime. Our means remain full NPT membership, full IAEA inspections -- including access to the disputed sites, and full implementation of the North-South denuclearization agreement. We've built a strong international consensus supporting this approach.

-- Our commitment to the security of the ROK remains unshakable.

-- As I agreed with President Kim in November, we remain willing to resolve this matter through negotiations, but cannot have a third round of talks with the North if they reject IAEA inspections or refuse to talk with the South.

-- We have made it clear that if the North breaks continuity of safeguards the matter will return to the UN Security Council.

Q: But haven't you agreed to a one-shot inspection?

A: No. But we have made it clear that without another inspection soon, we would expect the IAEA to conclude that continuity has been broken. Obviously the IAEA would need further inspections to avoid finding a future breach in continuity of safeguards.

Q: What about Team Spirit?

A: There has been no change in our position. We will make a recommendation to the South based on the security situation on the peninsula, which is based in part on how the North proceeds on the nuclear question. Preparations for the exercise continue.

Q: What is the state of play on the PATRIOT deployment?

A: As you know, we received a request for the PATRIOT from General Luck, the commander of our forces in South Korea. I haven't made a final decision on actual deployment.

-- I know the North has complained about this, but we have said from the outset that we would do whatever is necessary to support our security commitment to South Korea and to ensure that our military forces there can carry out their mission of deterring aggression.

-- I would emphasize that this would be a purely defensive deployment. North Korea faces no threat from this system.

INTERNATIONAL ECONOMICS

JAPAN FRAMEWORK

- Q: You are slated to meet Prime Minister Hosokawa next week (February 11), but there has been no progress on the key outstanding issue: the Framework negotiations. Will you back down from your Framework demands, or are you heading for a failed summit?
- A: I spoke with Prime Minister Hosokawa on Sunday to congratulate him on his political reform success and to urge him to work with me now to resolve our outstanding economic problems.
- Over the past 10 years, my predecessors have negotiated over 30 trade agreements with Japan. Nonetheless, major parts of the Japanese market remain closed to competitive U.S. goods and services.
 - Japan imports less than half the manufactured goods as other advanced countries its size (3.1% vs. 6.6% of GNP). While other G-7 countries import an average of 25% of the telecommunications equipment, Japan imports only 5%.
 - The Framework is fundamentally about market access. We seek objective criteria to measure Japan's progress towards greater openness. This is not intended to manage trade, but to unmanage and expand trade.
 - I sent Mickey Kantor and Bo Cutter to Tokyo earlier this week to engage Japan's political leaders. We have a lot to do before February 11, but I am confident that we can reach agreements if there is sufficient political will.
 - That being said, I firmly believe that no agreements would be better than bad agreements.

TRIP TO RUSSIA

- My visit to Russia helped us move forward in several areas. We are planning a separate meeting with you to discuss our Russia policy in detail, so I would just mention a few key points.
- Trilateral nuclear agreement with Ukraine and Russia was a tremendous accomplishment, and an act of great political courage by President Kravchuk. It reduces the nuclear threat and strengthens Ukraine economically. Support for the deal in the Rada is growing. We are working with Ukraine to help them put a long-term economic reform plan into place.
- Secured Yeltsin's endorsement of Partnership for Peace -- pledged to be "active participant". It is vital to the success of Partnership that Russia be in on the ground floor.
- Yeltsin also agreed to a key compromise on the last outstanding issue in the Latvian troop withdrawal negotiations. We have been working with the Latvian delegation in town this week to try to bring this across the finish line.
- I am concerned about some recent Russian statements on foreign policy. I have made clear in State of the Union Address and elsewhere that we accept no Russian sphere of influence. That's one reason I'm pressing so hard now on Baltic troop withdrawal.
- On economics, we continue to receive strong assurances from Yeltsin and Chernomyrdin that they are committed to continue the main direction of reform. There seems to be no question that the pace will slow down, though -- departure of Gaydar and Fyodorov were not encouraging developments, but it was not a fatal blow, either.
- We need to continue our "bottom up" bilateral assistance effort, to help privatization, development of energy sector, etc. But "top-down" macroeconomic assistance through IFIs must be tied to specific performance on inflation, subsidies, etc.
- We have told the Russians that decisions they make over the coming months will determine extent of continued multilateral assistance.

TO: BACCHUS, JIM

FROM: PRESIDENT

DOC DATE: 03 FEB 94
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: SOMALIA
PEACEKEEPING

MEDIA

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: ARTICLE IN NEW YORK TIME ABOUT REP BACCHUS

ACTION: PRESIDENT SGD LTR

DUE DATE: 13 DEC 93 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: HAHN

LOGREF:

FILES: WH

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL
HAHN
NSC CHRON

COMMENTS:

DISPATCHED BY _____ DATE _____ BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSGP

CLOSED BY: NSJDA

DOC 4 OF 4

DOC ACTION OFFICER

CAO ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED

001 PRESIDENT
002 LAKE
003 PRESIDENT
004

Z 94013120 FOR INFORMATION
Z 94013120 FWD TO PRESIDENT FOR SIG
Z 94020309 FOR SIGNATURE
X 94020409 PRESIDENT SGD LTR

DISPATCH DATA SUMMARY REPORT

DOC DATE DISPATCH FOR ACTION

DISPATCH FOR INFO

004 940203 BACCHUS, JIM

National Security Council
The White House

PROOFED BY: _____ LOG # 9673 ADD-ON
URGENT NOT PROOFED: _____ SYSTEM PRS NSC INT
BYPASSED WW DESK: _____ DOCLOG HA A/O 01

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
DepExecSec	<u>6</u>	<u>Wah</u>	
ExecSec			
Staff Director			
D/APNSA			
APNSA			
Situation Room			
West Wing Desk	<u>7</u>	<u>B 2/4</u>	<u>A</u>
NSC Secretariat	<u>8</u>		<u>D</u>

A = Action I = Information D = Dispatch R = Retain N = No Further Action

cc: VP McLarty Other _____
Should be seen by: _____ (Date/Time)

COMMENTS:

DATE: Feb 2, 1994

DISPATCH INSTRUCTIONS:

National Security Council
The White House

PROOFED BY: HA LOG # 9673 ADD-ON
URGENT NOT PROOFED: _____ SYSTEM PRS NSC INT
BYPASSED WW DESK: _____ DOCLOG HA A/O 01

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
DepExecSec	<u>1</u>	<u>Wah</u>	
ExecSec			
Staff Director	<u>2</u>	<u>W</u>	
D/APNSA	<u>3</u>	<u>W</u>	
APNSA	<u>4</u>		
Situation Room			
West Wing Desk	<u>5</u>	<u>HA 2/1</u>	<u>D</u> TO <u>620P</u>
NSC Secretariat			

A = Action I = Information D = Dispatch R = Retain N = No Further Action

cc: VP McLarty Other _____
Should be seen by: _____ (Date/Time)

COMMENTS:

FOR MACK'S APPROVAL

DISPATCH INSTRUCTIONS:

Exec Sec

MR. McLARTY HAS

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

February 3, 1994

Dear Jim:

I read the December 7 New York Times article on your meetings with your constituents with particular interest. I cannot begin to express my admiration for your strong support for NAFTA and my legislative program notwithstanding the political demographics highlighted in the article.

The article mentioned that one of your constituents, Mr. Richard B. Rivers, was concerned about the living conditions of his son who is serving with the Army in Somalia. Following his conversation with you, Robert Bell, my Special Assistant for Defense Policy, spoke at length by phone with Mr. Rivers. During the course of their conversation, Mr. Rivers informed Mr. Bell that his son had recently reported that he was now receiving adequate food and shelter. In addition, I asked the Secretary of Defense to look discreetly into the food and lodging concerns that Mr. Rivers had raised with you.

Mr. Rivers' son, Sergeant First Class Frank J. Rivers, is serving with D Company, 3rd Battalion, 15th Infantry Regiment, of the 24th Infantry Division which deployed to Somalia in October and is currently attached to the U.S. armored battalion task force in Mogadishu. He is serving as the acting Platoon Leader of a mechanized infantry platoon, a position normally held by a Second Lieutenant. He is performing his duties very well and his chain of command has noted his strong tactical, technical and leadership skills.


Mr. Rivers can be assured that we are providing all the members of our Armed Forces with adequate rest and provisions. Sergeant Rivers' ration schedule provides for a hot breakfast, a combat ration ("Meal Ready to Eat" or MRE) for lunch and a hot dinner. Operational requirements may cause a unit to be temporarily issued more MREs than hot meals, but this is the exception. As our logistical support structure in Somalia has improved over time, we have been able to increase the proportion of hot meals prepared with fresh ingredients.

Likewise, the billeting accommodations for our troops have improved over time. Sergeant Rivers' unit initially relied upon lean-tos constructed with tarps for shelter and canvas cots. Over time, they have moved into large canvas tents with wood

frames, flooring and electricity. As with the ration schedule, operational needs may require soldiers to temporarily endure more austere sleeping arrangements. Our service members in Somalia currently have access to air conditioned dining facilities, athletic facilities and hot showers.

Please convey to Mr. Rivers my sincere appreciation for his and his son's service in the defense of our great Nation. And, again, thank you for your steadfast support. As I said in my State of the Union speech we have replaced drift and deadlock with renewal and reform, and have given the American people the most successful teamwork between a President and a Congress in 30 years.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Bill Clinton". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned below the word "Sincerely,".

The Honorable James Bacchus
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN

2 3 54

94 FEB 3 09:45

February 3, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

ANTHONY LARA

SUSAN BROPHY

SUBJECT:

Article in the New York Times about Representative BacchusPurpose

To advise Representative Jim Bacchus of Florida about steps that the Army has taken to improve living conditions for U.S. service members assigned to Somalia.

Background

At Tab B you asked that we help Representative Jim Bacchus on an issue that was raised by one of his constituents, Mr. Richard Rivers, regarding the living conditions his son, Sergeant Frank Rivers, was encountering in Somalia. Robert Bell, of my staff, called Congressman Bacchus for further details and spoke at length by phone with Mr. Rivers. During the conversation, Mr. Rivers reported that his son had recently advised him that he was now receiving adequate food and shelter. In addition, we asked the Department of Defense to look discreetly into the complaints that were in the New York Times article. The letter to Representative Bacchus at Tab A describes the steps that have been taken to improve food and accommodations for our Armed Forces in Somalia and thanks him for his outstanding support.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the letter to Representative Bacchus at Tab A.

Attachments

Tab A Letter to Representative Jim Bacchus
Tab B "On Congress's Home Front, Faith That All Politics Is Indeed Local," New York Times, December 7, 1993

cc: Vice President
Chief of Staff

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

9673

December 9, 1993

93 DEC 9 PM: 48

INFORMATION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ANTHONY LAKH

SUBJECT: Article in the New York Times

Most U.S. forces in Somalia get a hot pre-packed meal in the morning, an MRE (pre-packed meal) in the afternoon, and a hot freshly prepared meal in the evening. It is very unusual, and usually against U.S. Army policy, to sleep in an armored vehicle. There are ample tents available.

Attachment
Tab A New York Times Article

Then Pentagon
should check out
follow up RIVERS
BACHUS

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

January 26, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *rab*

FROM: KEITH HAHN *KH*

SUBJECT: Article in the New York Times

On a copy of a December 7 New York Times article about Representative Jim Bacchus, the President asked us to look into a report that one of the Congressman's constituents, Mr. Richard Rivers, was concerned about the support his son was receiving from the Army while assigned to peacekeeping operations in Somalia. Bob called the Congressman for further details and spoke at length with Mr. Rivers. Mr. Rivers said he appreciated the call and reported that his son, Sergeant Frank Rivers, was now receiving adequate food and shelter. The letter at Tab A discusses the measures that have been taken to improve the living conditions of U.S. military personnel in Somalia.

in draft *in draft*
Concurrences by: Jeremy Rosner and Richard Clarke

RECOMMENDATION

That you forward the memorandum at Tab I to the President.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for the President
Tab A Letter to Representative Bacchus
Tab B "On Congress's Home Front, Faith That All Politics
Is Indeed Local," New York Times, December 7, 1993

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
--------------------------	---------------	------	-------------

003a. memo	For Anthony Lake from Anne Witkowsky. Subject: Letter from Senator Leahy on the 1980 Convention on Conventional Weapons. Record ID: 9310074. (2 pages)	02/03/1994	P1/b(1)
------------	---	------------	---------

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 263

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - February 1994 #1 [1]

2016-0152-F

vz4532

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
003b. memo	For the President from Anthony Lake and Susan Brophy. Subject: Letter from Santor Leahy on the 1980 Convention on Conventional Weapons. Record ID: 9310074. (2 pages)	02/03/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 263

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - February 1994 #1 [1]

2016-0152-F
vz4532

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or
financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President
and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of
an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial
information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement
purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of
financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information
concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed
of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C.
2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Thank you for your recent letter urging me to submit the Convention on Conventional Weapons to the Senate for its advice and consent. In your letter, you also asked for an explanation of the Administration's decision to abstain on a resolution in the U.N. General Assembly relating to the Convention.

As you know, I have especially welcomed your keen interest in addressing the horrific problem of global landmine proliferation. The Administration has enjoyed working closely with you to take action on this problem. I want to personally thank you for introducing in the First Committee of the United Nations the resolution calling for a moratorium on the export of anti-personnel landmines. The unanimous vote of the General Assembly is striking evidence of the tremendous international response to U.S. leadership in this area.

I fully share your concerns regarding the terrible toll caused by the indiscriminate use of anti-personnel landmines and believe that the United States must exercise a leadership role to prevent the proliferation of such weapons. I also believe that the United States should become a party to the Convention on Conventional Weapons and its Protocol II on the use of landmines and work toward improving that Protocol during the review conference that has been called for by the United Nations. An Administration review of the Convention is nearing conclusion, and I intend to submit the Convention to the Senate for its advice and consent to ratification early this year. We are still reviewing whether to submit Protocol III which, among other things, restricts the use of incendiary weapons in warfare.

The United States was one of the original co-sponsors last year of the resolution you address in your letter. However, after the addition of a last-minute amendment by Mexico that, inter alia, called for an end to the production of landmines, the United States and twenty other nations withdrew sponsorship of the resolution and the U.S. abstained on the vote. While we fully supported the overall thrust of the resolution, we could not vote for it because the U.S. Armed Forces continue to require landmines to accomplish, if necessary, certain military missions.

The United States strongly supports limiting the use and proliferation of anti-personnel landmines, and has approached the governments of landmine-producing nations to join the United States in adopting unilateral export moratoria, with a view toward negotiating permanent export controls. We believe that such moratoria are necessary in part because so many nations fail to comply with the humanitarian standards in the Convention on Conventional Weapons and the basic principles of the Geneva Conventions on humanitarian law. Prohibiting exports is one way of reducing the amount of harm caused by such weapons.

The success the United States has achieved toward limiting the proliferation of these weapons is the product of closely coordinated joint efforts by the Executive and Legislative branches. I fully agree with your desire to see that the United States continues to play a strong leadership role on this issue and I appreciate your tireless efforts to rid the world of the illegal and indiscriminate use of anti-personnel landmines. I look forward to continuing to work together on this important issue.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Patrick J. Leahy
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

PATRICK J. LEAHY
VERMONT

10074

COMMITTEE
AGRICULTURE, NUTRITION, AND
FORESTRY
CHAIRMAN
APPROPRIATIONS
JUDICIARY

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-4502

93 DEC 23 P1: 43

December 23, 1993

The Honorable William J. Clinton
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. President:

I greatly appreciated the honor of being permitted to introduce a resolution in the United Nations on behalf of the United States calling for an international moratorium on the export of anti-personnel landmines. That resolution, which was based on my amendment to impose a moratorium on US exports of these weapons, was adopted unanimously by the General Assembly on December 16, 1993. This important first step put the United States on record as the leader in the growing effort to stop the carnage caused by landmines.


You and I have spoken about the need for the United States to show strong leadership on this issue, and I have told you how grateful I am for your personal support for this effort. I have also been encouraged by the words of support I have received from the Vice-President and the Secretary of State, who also feel that the United States must set an example for strong, international action to stop this carnage.

I was therefore very surprised and disappointed to learn that on December 16, 1993, the United States was one of only three countries to abstain in a General Assembly vote on an important resolution calling for a UN conference to review the 1980 Conventional Weapons Convention, including the Landmine Protocol. This was in sharp contrast to the 162 countries that voted for the resolution. Apparently, the United States abstained because of hortatory language in the resolution which expressed support for possible future talks on disarmament which could include the goal of ending the production, stockpiling and proliferation of these weapons. In adopting this shocking decision for the US to abstain on this key vote, I am concerned that perhaps some US officials are not aware of your personal interest in exercising US leadership in dealing with the landmine scourge.

Mr. President, at least 48 countries produce several hundred varieties of landmines, and the number is rising. The primary purpose of the UN Conventional Weapons Convention review conference, which is expected in late 1994 or early 1995, is to seek international agreement on stronger limits on landmines. Without US leadership there is little hope the review conference will achieve significant results. By refusing to support the resolution calling for further talks on all aspects of the landmine problem, including consideration of an end to production, stockpiling and proliferation of these weapons, the US has weakened its leadership role.

I urge the Administration to move promptly to offset the damage caused by this inexplicable vote. One early positive action would be to seek to submit the Conventional Weapons Convention to the Senate as soon as Congress reconvenes, and to seek its immediate ratification. Ratification will make the US a party to the Convention and therefore eligible to participate fully in the review conference, including to seek the strongest possible limits on landmines.

Sincerely,



PATRICK LEAHY
United States Senator

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

February 3, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL FROM: KEITH HAHN SUBJECT: Transportation Proposed Report RE: HR 3675,
Defense Alternative Use Committee Act

At Tab II Transportation registers its opposition to the Defense Alternative Use Committee Act language that groups U.S. Coast Guard facilities with DoD facilities in the requirement to undertake conversion planning and reemployment preparations for employees at bases being reduced or closed. Transportation argues that the present Base Closure and Realignment legislation does not apply to the Coast Guard and, at any rate, most Coast Guard facilities are too small to economically provide such services.

Concurrence by: Jeremy Rosner RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I concurring in the proposed Transportation report.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature

Tab II Incoming Correspondence

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

MEMORANDUM FOR RONALD K. PETERSON

FROM: WILLIAM H. ITOH

SUBJECT: Transportation Proposed Report RE: HR 3675,
Defense Alternative Use Committee Act

The National Security Council staff concurs in the proposed
Transportation report.

0851

**EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
Washington, D.C. 20503**

February 2, 1994

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

LRM #I-1964

TO: Legislative Liaison Officer -

COMMERCE - Michael A. Levitt - (202)482-3086 - 324
DEFENSE - Samuel T. Brick, Jr. - (703)697-1305 - 325
ENERGY - Bob Rabben - (202)586-6718 - 209
EPA - Thomas C. Roberts - (202)260-5414 - 326
GSA - William R. Ratchford - (202)501-0563 - 237
HUD - Edward J. Murphy, Jr. - (202)708-1793 - 215
JUSTICE - Sheila F. Anthony - (202)514-2141 - 217
LABOR - Robert A. Shapiro - (202)219-8201 - 330
NASA - Jeff Lawrence - (202)358-1948 - 219
NEC - Sonyia Matthews - (202)456-6722 - 429
NSC - William H. Itoh - (202)395-3723 - 249
OSTP - Chris Clary - (202)456-7116 - 288
SBA - Christine Swedin - (202)205-6702 - 315
TREASURY - Richard S. Carro - (202)622-1146 - 228

FROM: RONALD K. PETERSON (for) *Ronald K. Peterson*
Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

OMB CONTACT: MIKE GOAD (395-7301)
Secretary's line (for simple responses): 395-6194

SUBJECT: Transportation Proposed Report RE: HR 3675,
Defense Alternative Use Committee Act

DEADLINE: 10:00 A.M., THURSDAY, February 10, 1994

COMMENTS: If you do not respond by the deadline, we may assume that your agency has no comment.

OMB requests the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

Please advise us if this item will affect direct spending or receipts for purposes of the the "Pay-As-You-Go" provisions of Title XIII of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990.

CC:

C. Bertram
B. McLeod
B. Civiak
J. Fellows
C. Dennis
D. Hahn

K. MacIntyre
J. Palmieri
E. Lichtenberg, CEA
(OEOB 328)

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

If your response to this request for views is **simple** (e.g., concur/no comment) we prefer that you respond by **faxing** us this response sheet. If the response is **simple** and you prefer to call, please call the **branch-wide line** shown below (NOT the analyst's line) to leave a message with a secretary.

You may **also respond** by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please **include** the LRM number shown above, and the **subject** shown below.

TO: MIKE GOAD
 Office of Management and Budget
 Fax Number: (202) 395-5691
 Analyst/Attorney's Direct Number: (202) 395-7301
 Branch-Wide Line (to reach secretary): (202) 395-6194

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

SUBJECT: Transportation Proposed Report RE: HR 3675,
 Defense Alternative Use Committee Act

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subject:

_____ Concur
 _____ No objection
 _____ No comment
 _____ See proposed edits on pages _____
 _____ Other: _____
 _____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
 response sheet

The Honorable Gerry E. Studds
Chairman, Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries
U.S. House of Representative
Washington, D.C. 20515

DRAFT

Dear Chairman Studds:

This is in response to your request for the views of the Department of Transportation concerning H.R. 3675, a bill:

"To provide for the establishment of alternate use committees at defense facilities to assist in the economic adjustment of communities, industries, and workers as a result of reductions or realignments in defense or aerospace spending and arms exports and the closure or realignment of military installations."

The primary purpose of the proposed legislation is to provide a means for "defense agencies" to undertake economic conversion planning and preparation for the employment of employees at defense facilities and the utilization of the equipment and the facilities in the event of reduction or closure of a particular facility or the curtailment, conclusion, or disapproval of a defense contract related to a facility. Because present legislation regarding Base Closures and Realignment does not apply to the Coast Guard and the reduction and closure of defense facilities only tangentially affects Coast Guard facilities, the Department of Transportation objects to the application of this bill to the Coast Guard. The Department recommends that the words "the Coast Guard" be removed from the definition of the term "defense agency" set forth in Section 3(1) of the proposed bill.

As presently drafted, this bill would require many Coast Guard facilities to establish alternate use committees, despite their relatively small size and the inapplicability of Base Closure legislation. Nevertheless, if H.R. 3675 becomes law, Coast Guard facilities would have to form and fund alternate use committees that would, for most facilities, serve no useful purpose. Also, considering these times of financial austerity, the funding of alternate use committees out of facility operating accounts would be particularly burdensome.

Accordingly, the Department of Transportation objects to the application of H.R. 3675 to the Coast Guard.

The Office of Management and Budget advises that, from the standpoint of the Administration's program, there is no objection to the submission of this letter for the consideration of the Committee.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this bill.

Sincerely,

Stephen H. Kaplan

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

February 3, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH:

ROBERT BELL *RH*

FROM:

KEITH HAHN *KH*

SUBJECT:

Defense Proposed Report RE: HR 4128, National
Board for the Promotion of Rifle Practice
Abolishment

At Tab II Defense provides comments on proposed legislation which would abolish the National Board for the Promotion of Rifle Practice first set up in 1903. This legislation will save approximately \$2.5 million a year. Defense concurs in the legislation but proposes changes that would change the effective date to permit an orderly termination of the program. Under these changes, the program would be completed by September 30, 1995.

Concurrence by:

Jeremy Rosner *JR*RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I concurring in the proposed Defense report.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature

Tab II Incoming Correspondence

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

MEMORANDUM FOR RONALD K. PETERSON

FROM: WILLIAM H. ITOH

SUBJECT: Defense Proposed Report RE: HR 3128, National
Board for the Promotion of Rifle Practice
Abolishment

The National Security Council staff concurs in the proposed
Defense report.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
Washington, D.C. 20503

February 2, 1994

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM



TO: Legislative Liaison Officer -

NSC - William H. Itoh - (202)395-3723 - 249

FROM: RONALD K. PETERSON (for) *Ronald K. Peterson*
Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

OMB CONTACT: MIKE GOAD (395-7301)
Secretary's line (for simple responses): 395-6194

SUBJECT: Defense Proposed Report RE: HR 3128, National
Board for the Promotion of Rifle Practice
Abolishment

DEADLINE: MONDAY, February 7, 1994

OMB requests the views of your agency on the above subject before
advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in
accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

Please advise us if this item will affect direct spending or
receipts for purposes of the the "Pay-As-You-Go" provisions of
Title XIII of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990.

CC:

J. Fish
E. Rea
K. MacIntyre

_____ Concur

_____ No objection

_____ No comment

_____ See proposed edits on pages _____

_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
_____ response sheet



THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

General Counsel

The Honorable Ronald V. Dellums
Chairman, Committee on Armed Services
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This is in response to your request for the views of the Department of Defense on H.R. 3128, 103rd Congress, a bill "To abolish the National Board for the Promotion of Rifle Practice and to eliminate the promotion of civilian marksmanship by the Department of Defense."

The Department of Defense does not object to this legislation; however, the effective date of the legislation should be extended to permit an orderly termination of the program. The purpose of the Civilian Marksmanship Program, as originally intended in 1903, was centered around the need to bolster American marksmanship preparedness for the eventualities of War. Today, there is no formally recognized link to the combat readiness of military units. In an era of declining resources, programs which do not contribute directly to the Army's primary mission must be reduced or terminated.

H.R. 3128 will terminate this 90-year long, Congressionally supported program, ending target rifle and ammunition support for 1,500 junior-oriented marksmanship clubs throughout the nation; ammunition support to the Boy Scouts of America summer camp program; the WWII surplus M-1 Garand rifle sales program; and the Camp Perry, Ohio, annual National Trophy Rifle and Pistol Matches.

The net savings to the federal government will be \$2.5 million annually; elimination of one military and 32 civilian spaces; and closure of the Washington, D.C. office and the Port Clinton, Ohio, Civilian Marksmanship Support Detachment. Shutdown costs amounting to \$2 million will be required. Costs include civilian reduction in force actions, facility closure, recovery of firearms on loan to affiliated clubs, and National Matches related property disposal.

-2-

To terminate the program in an orderly manner, the Army proposes a phased program termination beginning in Fiscal Year 1995, following the 1994 Camp Perry National Matches. This could be accomplished by amending section 2 of H.R. 3128 to provide that the final matches to be conducted under the Civilian Marksmanship Program shall be the 1994 Camp Perry National Matches and that after such matches the Army shall begin a phased termination of the Civilian Marksmanship Program to be completed by September 30, 1995. Correspondingly, section 3 of H.R. 3128 should be amended to provide that the amendments made by section 2 of the Act shall be effective on September 30, 1995.

The Office of Management and Budget advises that, from the standpoint of the Administration's program, there is no objection to the presentation of this report for the consideration of the Committee.

Sincerely,

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

0860

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

February 3, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RBH*

FROM: KEITH HAHN *KH*

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise BLACK SEA PASSEX
94-2

Attached at Tab I is a proposed memorandum to the Executive Secretary, Department of Defense, approving military exercise BLACK SEA PASSEX 94-2. At Tab II Defense recommends approval and State concurs.

BLACK SEA PASSEX 94-2 is a small-scale exercise between U.S. naval units and naval ships from Bulgaria and Romania. The Bulgarian portion will take place on February 28 and the Romanian portion on March 2. The exercise will consist of basic seamanship maneuvering, search and rescue and electronic warfare support exercises. U.S. maritime patrol aircraft will conduct coordinated surface surveillance exercises.

The critical cancellation date is February 21, 1994.

Concurrence by: *DF* Dan Fried

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I approving the exercise.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature

Tab II OSD Executive Secretary Memorandum, February 1, 1994

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006

By *VZ* NARA, Date *10/3/2016*

2016-0152-F

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

MEMORANDUM FOR COL. ROBERT P. MCALEER

Executive Secretary

Department of Defense

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise BLACK SEA PASSEX
94-2

Military exercise BLACK SEA PASSEX 94-2 is approved.

William H. Itoh
Executive Secretary

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000

0860

1 FEB 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR EXECUTIVE SECRETARY, NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise -
BLACK SEA PASSEX 94-2 (U)

The Department of Defense recommends NSC approval of BLACK SEA PASSEX 94-2, a Significant Military Exercise. Attached for your information is the Significant Military Exercise Brief. The Department of State concurs with this recommendation.

Please note the critical cancellation date is 21 February 1994. Should you have any questions or comments, the OSD action officers for Significant Military Exercises are Lt Col Stan Hoppe, (703)614-2616, or COL Clif Ripperger, (703)697-5454.

Robert P. McAleer
Robert P. McAleer
Executive Secretary

Attachment:
As stated

This memo is UNCLASSIFIED when separated from its attachment.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SEC DEF CONTR No.

X70175

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
--------------------------	---------------	------	-------------

004. cable	re: Part I Significant Military Exercise Brief. [Black Sea Passex 94-2] (2 pages)	01/10/1994	P1/b(1)
------------	--	------------	---------

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 263

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - February 1994 #1 [1]

2016-0152-F

vz4532

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

0859

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

February 3, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH:

ROBERT BELL *RB*

FROM:

KEITH HAHN *KH*

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise FLEXIBLE ACTION 94

Attached at Tab I is a proposed memorandum for the Executive Secretary, Department of Defense, approving military exercise FLEXIBLE ACTION 94. At Tab II Defense recommends approval and State concurs.

FLEXIBLE ACTION 94 is a command post exercise involving U.S. and Turkish military personnel designed to demonstrate NATO capabilities in the southern region. It will involve combined intelligence, logistics and battle staffs in planning for the reception, movement, staging and employment of NATO forces into the region. It will take place simultaneously in Istanbul and Ismir February 17-26.

The critical cancellation date is February 11, 1994.

Concurrence by: Jane Holl *JH*

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I approving the exercise.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature

Tab II OSD Executive Secretary Memorandum, February 1, 1994

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006

By *VZ* NARA, Date *10/3/2016*

706-0152-F

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

MEMORANDUM FOR COL. ROBERT P. MCALEER
Executive Secretary
Department of Defense

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise FLEXIBLE ACTION 94
Military exercise FLEXIBLE ACTION 94 is approved.

William H. Itoh
Executive Secretary

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

0859



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000

1 FEB 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR EXECUTIVE SECRETARY, NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise - FLEXIBLE ACTION 94

The Department of Defense recommends NSC approval of FLEXIBLE ACTION 94, a Significant Military Exercise. Attached for your information is the Significant Military Exercise Brief. The Department of State concurs with this recommendation.

Please note the critical cancellation date is 11 February 1994. Should you have any questions or comments, the OSD action officers for Significant Military Exercises are Lt Col Stan Hoppe, (703)614-2616, or COL Clif Ripperger, (703)697-5454.

Robert P. McAleer
Robert P. McAleer
Executive Secretary

Attachment:
As stated

This page is UNCLASSIFIED when separated from its attachment.

CLASSIFIED BY USCINCEUR
DECLASSIFY ON OADR

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

V70100

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
005. cable	re: Part I Significant Military Exercise Brief. [Flexible Action 94] (2 pages)	01/21/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 263

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - February 1994 #1 [1]

2016-0152-F

vz4532

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]