

FOIA MARKER

This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

Folder Title:

Chron File - January 1994 #1 [4]

Staff Office-Individual:

Defense Policy-Bell, Robert

Original OA/ID Number:

263

Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:	Stack:
31	3	4	1	V

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. cable	Duplicate of vz4523_004. (2 pages)	09/07/1993	P1/b(1)
002. cable	Duplicate of vz4523_004. (2 pages)	09/07/1993	P1/b(1)
003a. memo	Duplicate of vz4523_005a. (1 page)	01/05/1994	P1/b(1)
003b. cable	Duplicate of vz4523_005b. (4 pages)	12/17/1993	P1/b(1)
004a. memo	For Executive Secretary, National Security Council. Subject: Significant Military Exercise - PASSEX 94-2. (1 page)	01/11/1994	P1/b(1)
004b. cable	re: Significant Military Exercise Brief [Passex 94-2] (1 page)	01/05/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 263

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - January 1994 #1 [4]

2016-0152-F

vz4525

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

0169

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

January 13, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH:

ROBERT BELL *RGB*

FROM:

KEITH HAHN *KH*

SUBJECT:

Significant Military Exercise FUERTES CAMINOS 94
AMERICAS - EL SALVADOR

Attached at Tab I is a proposed memorandum to the Executive Secretary, Department of Defense, approving military exercise FUERTES CAMINOS 94 AMERICAS - EL SALVADOR. At Tab II Defense recommends approval and State concurs.

This exercise consists of the deployment, employment and return of an engineering joint task force working with host nation forces to build schools and a clinic, repair and renovate schools and other facilities and upgrade existing roads in areas selected by the host nation. Tab II notes that this exercise has been rescheduled by the U.S. Southern Command in response to concerns regarding elections in El Salvador.

Richard Feinberg would like to conduct a broad review of the basic purposes of such exercises in the Western Hemisphere in light of host nation concerns with recent similar exercises. The critical cancellation date is set at January 15, 1994, so that military planners can begin scheduling the Reserve and Guard units that will participate in this exercise. We recommend approval of this exercise for planning purposes. Since the exercise is not scheduled to begin until May 1, we will have ample time to refocus the tasks of the exercise participants as the review deems appropriate.

Concurrence by:

Richard Feinberg *RF*

RECOMMENDATION

That you have Will Itoh sign the memorandum at Tab I approving the exercise.

Approve _____ Disapprove _____

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature

Tab II OSD Executive Secretary Memorandum, January 5, 1994

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Declassify on: OADR

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By *VL* NARA, Date *10/3/2016*

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

MEMORANDUM FOR COL. ROBERT P. MCALEER
Executive Secretary
Department of Defense

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise FUERTES CAMINOS 94
AMERICAS - EL SALVADOR

Military exercise FUERTES CAMINOS 94 AMERICAS - EL SALVADOR is
approved.

William H. Itoh
Executive Secretary

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

0169



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000


05 JAN 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR EXECUTIVE SECRETARY, NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise -
FUERTES CAMINOS 94 AMERICAS-EL SALVADOR

The Department of Defense recommends NSC approval of the attached Significant Military Exercise Brief, Exercise FUERTES CAMINOS 94 AMERICAS-EL SALVADOR. The schedule for FC94 A-ES has been changed by USCINCSO to 1 May through 13 August 1994 in response to concerns regarding elections in El Salvador. The Department of State concurs with this recommendation.

Please note the critical cancellation date is 15 January 1994. Should you have any questions, our action officers for significant exercise briefs are Lt Col Stan Hoppe, (703)614-2616, or COL Clif Ripperger, (703)697-5454.


Robert P. McAleer
Executive Secretary

Attachment:
As stated

This memo is UNCLASSIFIED when separated from its attachment.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SEC DEF CONTR NO.

X70024

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
--------------------------	---------------	------	-------------

001. cable	Duplicate of vz4523_004. (2 pages)	09/07/1993	P1/b(1)
------------	------------------------------------	------------	---------

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 263

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - January 1994 #1 [4]

2016-0152-F

vz4525

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

January 13, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RBH*FROM: KEITH HAHN *KH*SUBJECT: State Views RE: HR 3355, Public Safety and
Policing Grants

At Tab II State provides its comments on the sections of HR 3355 dealing with maritime jurisdictions in the case of offenses committed at sea. State is primarily concerned that the broad claim of jurisdiction contained in the legislation could set negative precedents in the area of Law of the Sea. State recommends several amendments to ensure that the legislation conforms to international law.

Concurrences by: Alan Kreczko *AK* and Jeremy Rosner *JR*

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I concurring in the draft State views.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature

Tab II Incoming Correspondence

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES J. JUKES

FROM: WILLIAM H. ITOH

SUBJECT: State Views RE: HR 3355, Public Safety and
Policing Grants

The National Security Council staff concurs in the draft State views.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
Washington, D.C. 20503

13 pages
for stat

January 6, 1994

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

LRM #1-1858

TO: Legislative Liaison Officer -

JUSTICE - Sheila F. Anthony - (202) 514-2141 - 217
NSC - William H. Itoh - (202) 395-3723 - 249
INTERIOR - Danny Consenstein - (202) 208-6706 - 329
TRANSPORTATION - Tom Herliny - (202) 366-4687 - 226
DEFENSE - Samuel T. Brick, Jr. - (703) 697-1305 - 325
ONDCP - Babette Hankey - (202) 467-9870 - 257
COMMERCE - Mike Levitt - 482-3086 - 324

FROM: JAMES J. JUKES (for)
Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

OMB CONTACT: Douglas STEIGER (395-3386)
Secretary's line (for simple responses): 395-3454

SUBJECT: STATE Views RE: HR 3355, Public Safety and
Policing Grants

DEADLINE: January 18, 1994

COMMENTS: This referral contains State comments on some international law provisions in the Senate-passed omnibus crime bill. Please advise if you do (or do not) share State's concerns.

OMB requests the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

Please advise us if this item will affect direct spending or receipts for purposes of the the "Pay-As-You-Go" provisions of Title XIII of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990.

CC:

C. Walden/J. Cerda
Clarissa Cerda
Ken Schwartz
Harry Meyers
Jim Duke
Jeff Ashford
Jim Fish
Chris Bertram
Bruce Beard
Tracey Thornton

_____ Concur
_____ No objection
_____ No comment
_____ See proposed edits on pages _____
_____ Other: _____
_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
_____ response sheet

COMMENTS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE
ON SECTIONS 701, 713 to 715, S.1488,
VIOLENT CRIME CONTROL AND LAW ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1993

The following represent the comments of the Department of State on the Crime Bill. Our comments relate primarily to the overly broad claim of jurisdiction that could have negative precedential impacts. Adverse blanket prescriptions in this Crime bill could have serious financial ramifications for the Treasury, if the extended claim were extrapolated to other laws, by virtue of poor draftsmanship.

At the Department of State we are particularly aware of the potential for difficulty as we extend the territorial sea for domestic purposes. As the Chair of the NSC Task Force on the Law of the Sea, we know first hand, from our participation in the drafting of the original Presidential Proclamation on the Territorial Sea, that precision must be the touchstone in this area. That is why we have worked very closely in previous Congresses to propose amendments to various specific pieces of legislation, rather than make broad pronouncements on the subject. We note that a key player, the Department of the Interior is not down for distribution on this package.

In PRD 12 on Oceans, the Interagency Working Group on Global Environmental Affairs noted in the chapter on National Security and Commercial Navigation Interests that "... the U.S. must remain vigilant in assuring that its legislation and actions conform to international law reflected in the 1982 United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea. ..." and noted that this issue would come up in the context of implementation of the territorial sea Proclamation.

1. Section 701 (b): This section must make it clear that any jurisdiction claim by the U.S. must be to the extent consistent with international law.

2. Section 713.

In order to avoid applying a 12-mile territorial sea for domestic purposes and undermining the careful statute-by-statute review, we propose deleting the word "all" in the first line of Section 713 and inserting "for purposes of Title 18, United States Code," in its place so that it would read as follows:

The Congress declares that for purposes of Title 18, United States Code, the territorial sea of the United States, as defined by Presidential Proclamation 5928

of December 27, 1988, is part of the United States, subject to its sovereignty, and, for purposes of Federal criminal jurisdiction, is within the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States wherever that term is used in Title 18, United States Code.

The Department of State has no objections to this section which would have Congress declare the 12 mile territorial sea as part of the United States subject to its sovereignty, on the understanding that this section otherwise only applies for the purposes of extending Federal criminal jurisdiction to include the 12-mile territorial sea within the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States wherever that term is used in title 18, United States Code, and nowhere else. It is the Department of State's understanding that section 713 is not intended to extend the territorial sea of the United States for the purpose of any other Federal law or regulation, for the purpose of any State or local law or regulation, or for any other purpose.

We also recommend that the legislative history refer to consultation with the State Department and provide examples of the most important provisions of international law with regard to non-U.S. flag vessels on the U.S. territorial sea, the U.S. contiguous zone, the U.S. exclusive economic zone, or otherwise on the high seas. We will be happy to propose some language for this purpose.

3. Section 714. In subsection (1), the words "not within the territory of any State" should be replaced with the words "not within the jurisdiction of any State". In subsection (2), the words "outside the territory of any State" should be replaced with the words "not within the jurisdiction of any State". These changes were agreed to by the Department of Justice pursuant to an inter-agency meeting held at the Department of State on February 8, 1993, to avoid the effect of granting to the coastal States of the Union at all more rights in those areas than may be permitted by existing U.S. law. Under existing U.S. law, the "territory" of the States of the Union do not extend to the territorial sea (as distinguished to the lands submerged under those waters). The word "jurisdiction" is presently used in 18 USC 7(1) in defining the "United States".

In subsections (1) and (2), the word "Commonwealth," must be added between the words "State" and "Territory" where appearing. These changes were agreed to by the Department of Justice pursuant to an inter-agency meeting held at the Department of State on February 8, 1993, to ensure application of title 18 in the expanded territorial sea around the Commonwealths of Puerto Rico and the Northern Mariana Islands.

01/05/94

13:42

202 647 4462

DOS LEGIS AFFAIR

0001

4. Section 715. The Department of State understands the words "to the extent permitted by international law," to refer to the limited competence accorded to nations by the law of the sea, set forth in the 1958 Geneva Convention on the Law of the Sea to which the United States is a party, and as reflected in the 1982 United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, with regard to the exercise of criminal jurisdiction with respect to the conduct of any person aboard a foreign flag vessel passing through the territorial sea of the United States or on waters seaward of the territorial sea of the United States.

1 "(B) ammunition that is suitable for use only in
2 a handgun.

3 "(2) For purposes of this subsection—

4 "(i) the term 'juvenile' means a person who is
5 less than 18 years of age; and

6 "(ii) the term 'handgun' means—

7 "(I) a firearm that has a short stock and is
8 designed to be held and fired by the use of a sin-
9 gle hand; and

10 "(II) any combination of parts from which
11 a firearm described in subclause (I) can be as-
12 sembled.

13 "(3) This subsection shall not apply to a sale or
14 transfer of a handgun or ammunition if the sale or trans-
15 fer was made in accordance with State and local law and with
16 the prior consent of the juvenile's parent or legal guardian
17 who is not prohibited by Federal, State, or local law from
18 possessing a firearm."

19 (b) **PENALTIES.**—Section 924(a) of title 18, United
20 States Code, is amended—

21 (1) in paragraph (1) by striking out "paragrap-

22 (2) or (3) of"; and

23 (2) by adding at the end the following new para-
24 graph:

1 "(5)(A) Except as provided in subparagraph
2 (B), whoever knowingly violates subsection (1) of sec-
3 tion 922 shall be fined not more than \$5,000, impris-
4 oned not more than five years, or both.

5 "(B) Whoever knowingly violates subsection (1) of
6 section 922 knowing or having reasonable cause to
7 know that the juvenile to whom the handgun or am-
8 munition was sold or otherwise transferred for consid-
9 eration intended to carry, possess, discharge, or other-
10 wise use such handgun or ammunition in the com-
11 mission of a crime of violence, shall be fined under
12 this title, imprisoned not more than 10 years, or
13 both."

14 **TITLE VII—TERRORISM**

15 **Subtitle A—Maritime Navigation** 16 **and Fixed Platforms**

17 **SEC. 701. OFFENSES OF VIOLENCE AGAINST MARITIME** 18 **NAVIGATION OR FIXED PLATFORMS.**

19 Chapter 111 of title 18, United States Code, is amend-
20 ed by adding at the end the following new sections:

21 **"§2280. Violence against maritime navigation**

22 **"(a) OFFENSES.—**

23 **"(1) IN GENERAL.—**A person who unlawfully
24 and intentionally—

HR 3355
as passed by Senate

"(A) seizes or exercises control over a ship by force or threat thereof or any other form of intimidation;

"(B) performs an act of violence against a person on board a ship if that act is likely to endanger the safe navigation of that ship;

"(C) destroys a ship or causes damage to a ship or to its cargo which is likely to endanger the safe navigation of that ship;

"(D) places or causes to be placed on a ship, by any means whatsoever, a device or substance which is likely to destroy that ship, or cause damage to that ship or its cargo which endangers or is likely to endanger the safe navigation of that ship;

"(E) destroys or seriously damages maritime navigational facilities or seriously interferes with their operation, if such act is likely to endanger the safe navigation of a ship;

"(F) communicates information, knowing the information to be false and under circumstances in which such information may reasonably be believed, thereby endangering the safe navigation of a ship;

"(G) injures or kills any person in connection with the commission or the attempted commission of any of the offenses set forth in subparagraphs (A) through (F); or

"(H) attempts to do any act prohibited under subparagraphs (A) through (G), shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both; and if the death of any person results from conduct prohibited by this paragraph, shall be punished by death or imprisoned for any term of years or for life.

"(2). **THREAT TO NAVIGATION.**—A person who threatens to do any act prohibited under paragraph (1) (B), (C) or (E), with apparent determination and will to carry the threat into execution, if the threatened act is likely to endanger the safe navigation of the ship in question, shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 5 years, or both.

"(b) **JURISDICTION.**—There is jurisdiction over the prohibited activity in subsection (b)—

"(1) in the case of a covered ship, if—

"(A) such activity is committed—

"(i) against or on board a ship flying the flag of the United States at the time the prohibited activity is committed;

1. “(ii) in the United States and the ac-
 2. tivity is not prohibited as a crime by the
 3. State in which the activity takes place; or
 4. “(iii) the activity takes place on a ship
 5. flying the flag of a foreign country or out-
 6. side the United States, by a national of the
 7. United States or by a stateless person whose
 8. habitual residence is in the United States;
 9. “(B) during the commission of such activ-
 10. ity, a national of the United States is seized,
 11. threatened, injured or killed; or
 12. “(C) the offender is later found in the Unit-
 13. ed States after such activity is committed;
 14. “(2) in the case of a ship navigating or sched-
 15. uled to navigate solely within the territorial sea or
 16. internal waters of a country other than the United
 17. States, if the offender is later found in the United
 18. States after such activity is committed; and
 19. “(3) in the case of any vessel, if such activity is
 20. committed in an attempt to compel the United States
 21. to do or abstain from doing any act.
 22. “(c) It is a bar to Federal prosecution under subsection
 23. (a) for conduct that occurred within the United States that
 24. the conduct involved was during or in relation to a labor
 25. dispute, and such conduct is prohibited as a felony under

1. the law of the State in which it was committed. For pur-
 2. poses of this section, the term ‘labor dispute’ has the mean-
 3. ing set forth in section 2(c) of the Norris-LaGuardia Act,
 4. as amended (29 U.S.C. 113(c)).
 5. “(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—
 6. “‘covered ship’ means a ship that is navigating
 7. or is scheduled to navigate into, through or from wa-
 8. ters beyond the outer limit of the territorial sea of a
 9. single country or a lateral limit of that country’s ter-
 10. ritorial sea with an adjacent country.
 11. “‘national of the United States’ has the meaning
 12. stated in section 101(a)(22) of the Immigration and
 13. Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(22)).
 14. “‘territorial sea of the United States’ means all
 15. waters extending seaward to 12 nautical miles from
 16. the baselines of the United States determined in ac-
 17. cordance with international law.
 18. “‘ship’ means a vessel of any type whatsoever
 19. not permanently attached to the sea-bed, including
 20. dynamically supported craft, submersibles or any
 21. other floating craft, but does not include a warship,
 22. a ship owned or operated by a government when
 23. being used as a naval auxiliary or for customs or po-
 24. lice purposes, or a ship which has been withdrawn
 25. from navigation or laid up.

1 "United States", when used in a geographical
2 sense, includes the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the
3 Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands and
4 all territories and possessions of the United States.

5 **§2281. Violence against maritime fixed platforms**

6 **"(a) OFFENSES.—**

7 **"(1) IN GENERAL.—**A person who unlawfully
8 and intentionally—

9 **"(A)** seizes or exercises control over a fixed
10 platform by force or threat thereof or any other
11 form of intimidation;

12 **"(B)** performs an act of violence against a
13 person on board a fixed platform if that act is
14 likely to endanger its safety;

15 **"(C)** destroys a fixed platform or causes
16 damage to it which is likely to endanger its safety;

17 **"(D)** places or causes to be placed on a fixed
18 platform, by any means whatsoever, a device or
19 substance which is likely to destroy that fixed
20 platform or likely to endanger its safety;

21 **"(E)** injures or kills any person in connection with the commission or the attempted commission of any of the offenses set forth in subparagraphs (A) through (D); or

1 **"(F)** attempts to do anything prohibited
2 under subparagraphs (A) through (E),

3 shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more
4 than 20 years, or both; and if death results to any
5 person from conduct prohibited by this paragraph,
6 shall be punished by death or imprisoned for any
7 term of years or for life.

8 **"(2) THREAT TO SAFETY.—**A person who threatens to do anything prohibited under paragraph (1)
9 (B) or (C), with apparent determination and will to
10 carry the threat into execution, if the threatened act
11 is likely to endanger the safety of the fixed platform,
12 shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more
13 than 5 years, or both.

14 **"(b) JURISDICTION.—**There is jurisdiction over the
15 prohibited activity in subsection (b) if—

16 **"(1)** such activity is committed against or on
17 board a fixed platform—

18 **"(A)** that is located on the continental shelf
19 of the United States;

20 **"(B)** that is located on the continental shelf
21 of another country, by a national of the United
22 States or by a stateless person whose habitual
23 residence is in the United States; or

"(C) in an attempt to compel the United States to do or abstain from doing any act;

"(2) during the commission of such activity against or on board a fixed platform located on a continental shelf, a national of the United States is seized, threatened, injured or killed; or

"(3) such activity is committed against or on board a fixed platform located outside the United States and beyond the continental shelf of the United States and the offender is later found in the United States.

"(c) It is a bar to Federal prosecution under subsection (a) for conduct that occurred within the United States that the conduct involved was during or in relation to a labor dispute, and such conduct is prohibited as a felony under the law of the State in which it was committed. For purposes of this section, the term 'labor dispute' has the meaning set forth in section 2(c) of the Norris-LaGuardia Act, as amended (29 U.S.C. 113(c)).

"(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—

"'continental shelf' means the sea-bed and subsoil of the submarine areas that extend beyond a country's territorial sea to the limits provided by customary international law as reflected in Article 76 of the 1982 Convention on the Law of the Sea.

"'fixed platform' means an artificial island, installation or structure permanently attached to the sea-bed for the purpose of exploration or exploitation of resources or for other economic purposes.

"'national of the United States' has the meaning stated in section 101(a)(22) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(22)).

"'territorial sea of the United States' means all waters extending seaward to 12 nautical miles from the baselines of the United States determined in accordance with international law.

"'United States', when used in a geographical sense, includes the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands and all territories and possessions of the United States."

SEC. 702. TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.

The chapter analysis for chapter 111 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new items:

"2380. Violence against maritime navigation.

"2381. Violence against maritime fixed platforms."

SEC. 703. EFFECTIVE DATES.

This subtitle and the amendments made by this subtitle shall take effect on the later of—

(1) the date of the enactment of this Act; or

1 (2)(A) in the case of section 2280 of title 18,
2 United States Code, the date the Convention for the
3 Suppression of Unlawful Acts Against the Safety of
4 Maritime Navigation has come into force and the
5 United States has become a party to that Convention;
6 and

7 (B) in the case of section 2281 of title 18, United
8 States Code, the date the Protocol for the Suppression
9 of Unlawful Acts Against the Safety of Fixed Plat-
10 forms Located on the Continental Shelf has come into
11 force and the United States has become a party to
12 that Protocol.

13 **Subtitle B—General Provisions**

14 **SEC. 711. WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION.**

15 (a) **FINDINGS.**—The Congress finds that the use and
16 threatened use of weapons of mass destruction (as defined
17 in section 2332a of title 18, United States Code, as added
18 by subsection (b)) gravely harm the national security and
19 foreign relations interests of the United States, seriously af-
20 fect interstate and foreign commerce, and disturb the domes-
21 tic tranquility of the United States.

22 (b) **OFFENSE.**—Chapter 113A of title 18, United
23 States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2332 the
24 following new section:

1 **§2332a. Use of weapons of mass destruction**

2 **“(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—**

3 “‘national of the United States’ has the meaning
4 given in section 101(a)(22) of the Immigration and
5 Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(22)).

6 “‘weapon of mass destruction’ means—

7 “(A) any destructive device (as defined in
8 section 921);

9 “(B) poison gas;

10 “(C) any weapon involving a disease orga-
11 nism; or

12 “(D) any weapon that is designed to release
13 radiation or radioactivity at a level dangerous to
14 human life.

15 **“(b) OFFENSE.—A person who uses, or attempts or**
16 **conspires to use, a weapon of mass destruction—**

17 “(1) against a national of the United States
18 while such national is outside of the United States;

19 “(2) against any person within the United
20 States; or

21 “(3) against any property that is owned, leased
22 or used by the United States or by any department
23 or agency of the United States, whether the property
24 is within or outside of the United States;

1 shall be imprisoned for any term of years or for life, and
 2 if death results, shall be punished by death or imprisoned
 3 for any term of years or for life."

4 (c) **TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.**—The chapter analysis
 5 for chapter 113A of title 18, United States Code, is amended
 6 by inserting after the item relating to section 2332 the fol-
 7 lowing new item:

"2332a. Use of weapons of mass destruction."

8 **SEC. 712. ENHANCED PENALTIES FOR CERTAIN OFFENSES**

9 (a) **SECTION 1705(b).**—Section 206(b) of the Inter-
 10 national Economic Emergency Powers Act (50 U.S.C.
 11 1705(b)) is amended by striking "\$50,000" and inserting
 12 "\$1,000,000".

13 (b) **SECTION 1705(a).**—Section 206(a) of the Inter-
 14 national Economic Emergency Powers Act (50 U.S.C.
 15 1705(a)) is amended by striking "\$10,000" and inserting
 16 "\$1,000,000".

17 (c) **SECTION 1541.**—Section 1541 of title 18, United
 18 States Code, is amended—

19 (1) by striking "\$500" and inserting
 20 "\$250,000"; and

21 (2) by striking "one year" and inserting "5
 22 years".

23 (d) **CHAPTER 75.**—Sections 1542, 1543, 1544 and
 24 1546 of title 18, United States Code, are each amended—

1 (1) by striking "\$2,000" each place it appears
 2 and inserting "\$250,000"; and

3 (2) by striking "five years" each place it appears
 4 and inserting "10 years".

5 (e) **SECTION 1545.**—Section 1545 of title 18, United
 6 States Code, is amended—

7 (1) by striking "\$2,000" and inserting
 8 "\$250,000"; and

9 (2) by striking "three years" and inserting "10
 10 years".

11 **SEC. 713. TERRITORIAL SEA EXTENDING TO TWELVE MILES**

12 ~~INCLUDED IN SPECIAL MARITIME AND TERRI-~~

13 **TORIAL JURISDICTION.**

14 The Congress declares that all the territorial sea of the
 15 United States, as defined by Presidential Proclamation
 16 5928 of December 27, 1988, is part of the United States,
 17 subject to its sovereignty, and, for purposes of Federal
 18 criminal jurisdiction, is within the special maritime and
 19 territorial jurisdiction of the United States wherever that
 20 term is used in title 18, United States Code.

21 **SEC. 714. ASSIMILATED CRIMES IN EXTENDED TERRI-**
 22 **TORIAL SEA.**

23 Section 13 of title 18, United States Code (relating to
 24 the adoption of State laws for areas within Federal jurisdic-
 25 tion), is amended—

(1) by inserting after "title" in subsection (a) the following: "or on, above, or below any portion of the territorial sea of the United States not within the territory of any State, Territory, Possession, or District"; and

(2) by inserting at the end the following new subsection:

"(c) Whenever any waters of the territorial sea of the United States lie outside the territory of any State, Territory, Possession, or District, such waters (including the air space above and the seabed and subsoil below, and artificial islands and fixed structures erected thereon) shall be deemed for purposes of subsection (a) to lie within the area of that State, Territory, Possession, or District if would lie within if the boundaries of such State, Territory, Possession, or District were extended seaward to the outer limit of the territorial sea of the United States."

SEC. 716. JURISDICTION OVER CRIMES AGAINST UNITED STATES NATIONALS ON CERTAIN FOREIGN SHIPS.

Section 7 of title 18, United States Code (relating to the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States), is amended by inserting at the end thereof the following new paragraph:

"(8) To the extent permitted by international law, any foreign vessel during a voyage having a scheduled departure from or arrival in the United States with respect to an offense committed by or against a national of the United States."

SEC. 716. TORTURE

(a) IN GENERAL.—Part I of title 18, United States Code, is amended by inserting after chapter 113A the following new chapter:

"CHAPTER 113B—TORTURE

"Sec.

2340. Definitions.

2340A. Torture.

2340B. Exclusive remedies.

"§ 2340. Definitions

"In this chapter—

"severe mental pain or suffering" means the prolonged mental harm caused by or resulting from—

"(A) the intentional infliction or threatened infliction of severe physical pain or suffering;

"(B) the administration or application, or threatened administration or application, of mind altering substances or other procedures calculated to disrupt profoundly the senses or the personality;

"(C) the threat of imminent death; or

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

January 13, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH: ROBERT G. BELL *RG*
FROM: STEVEN R. JONES *J*
SUBJECT: Defense Proposed Report RE: H.R. 2692, Federal
Emergency Response Improvement Act (LRM I-1863)

OMB requested views on the subject Defense proposed report regarding H.R. 2692. The draft legislation restructures FEMA and mandates specific actions for an all hazards approach to disaster management. The DoD proposed report outlines the contents of the bill, defers comment on FEMA organizational issues, expresses the DoD requirement to be reimbursed for defense assets used in conjunction with Federal Response Plan actions, and states the view that creating a Domestic Crisis Monitoring Unit as a statutory part of the National Security Council is unnecessary.

We have no objections to the DoD proposed report and would strongly oppose any legislation that would mandate that a Domestic Crisis Monitoring Unit be formed within the NSC. That function is currently and appropriately the responsibility of Cabinet Affairs.

In draft
Concurrence by: Jeremy Rosner

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature
Tab II Incoming Correspondence

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES J. JUKES

FROM: WILLIAM H. ITOH

SUBJECT: Defense Proposed Report RE: H.R. 2692, Federal
Emergency Response Improvement Act (LRM I-1863)

The NSC has no objection to the proposed DoD report and opposes any legislation that would seek to mandate that a Domestic Crisis Monitoring Unit be formed within the NSC.

**EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
Washington, D.C. 20503**

0295
4 pages
total

January 7, 1994

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

LRM #1-1863

TO: Legislative Liaison Officer -

HHS - Frances White - (202) 690-7760 - 328
HUD - Edward J. Murphy, Jr. - (202) 708-1793 - 215
JUSTICE - Sheila F. Anthony - (202) 514-2141 - 217
NEC - Sonyia Matthews - (202) 456-6722 - 429
NSC - William H. Itoh - (202) 395-3723 - 249
SBA - Christine Swedin - (202) 205-6702 - 315
FEMA - John P. Carey - (202) 646-4105 - 327

FROM: JAMES J. JUKES (for)
Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

OMB CONTACT: Jeffrey WEINBERG (395-3457)
Secretary's line (for simple responses): 395-3454

**SUBJECT: DEFENSE Proposed Report RE: HR 2692, Federal
Emergency Response Improvement Act**

DEADLINE: NOON January 14, 1994

**COMMENTS: Defense has requested expedited clearance because
the House Armed Services Committee is planning hearings on
this issue later this month.**

OMB requests the views of your agency on the above subject before
advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in
accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

Please advise us if this item will affect direct spending or
receipts for purposes of the the "Pay-As-You-Go" provisions of
Title XIII of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990.

CC:
Christine Varney
C. Cerda
Ken Ryder
Steve Redburn
Chris Heiser
Don Gessaman
Jim Fish
Jonathan Breul
Bruce Long
Kevin Neyland

Defense Draft

Honorable Ronald V. Dellums
Chairman, Armed Services Committee
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This is in response to your request for the views of the Secretary of Defense with regard to H.R. 2692, 103d Congress, a bill, "To improve the ability of the Federal Government to prepare for and respond to major disasters, and for other purposes."

H.R. 2692 would legislate an increased emphasis by the Federal Emergency Management Agency on natural, accidental, or man-caused events resulting in a major disaster that overwhelms the ability of State, local, and community agencies adequately to provide life sustaining services to the affected areas. The bill would require an inventory of critical resources available to the Federal government for use in disasters and would require its organization in such a manner as to facilitate its use in an operational mission. Such an inventory would be published in a form that would be available to State representatives. There is no indication whether Department of Defense assets programmed for National Security purposes, to include Federal assets under the control of the Adjutant Generals of the various States, are to be included in such an inventory.

The bill also would encourage the States to obtain a certification by the Director of FEMA of certain State performance criteria for disaster assistance. It would require the President to establish within the National Security Council a "Domestic Crisis Monitoring Unit" monitor pending disasters, keep the President and Federal agencies aware of such imminent disasters, and to ensure proper State and Federal responses to the disaster. The Director of FEMA would set up strike teams to deploy to areas where disasters are likely to be declared. The strike team would assess damage and the resulting needs. Representatives of the Department of Defense would be included on such strike teams.

When a strike team is deployed to an area of concern, the team would classify the problem as a catastrophic disaster, major disaster, or emergency. A governor would request that the President declare an event a catastrophic disaster. When the President makes such a declaration, provided the State has been certified by the Director of FEMA for appropriate capability to respond to emergencies, 100% of the funding for the first 72 hours following the declaration will be Federal. After the declaration of a catastrophic disaster, the head of the Domestic Crisis Monitoring Unit would examine whether ancillary Federal resources are necessary to meet the emergency. Such ancillary resources could be resources of the Department of Defense for which the bill provides reimbursement from a disaster relief fund. The bill does not designate the goal of the Federal government in its provision of resources. It does list certain basic services that might be provided to victims of disasters who would be without such resources, but does not identify for how long or to what goal the Federal government should reach in this regard.

JAN 7 '94 13:53 FROM OSD-LRS

TO JUKES

PAGE 004

The bill provides for a restructuring of the organization of FEMA to implement an all hazards approach to disaster management. It also would make certain positions in FEMA career reserved positions. These would be the regional directors, the general counsel, and the senior policy advisor to the State and Local Programs and Support Directorate.

The Department of Defense defers to other Federal agencies more concerned with regard to the restructuring of the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the certification program for States in emergency management. We believe that it is unnecessary for a Domestic Crisis Monitoring Unit to be a statutory part of the National Security Council. We believe that the President should have the flexibility to organize his immediate staff in a manner compatible to his needs and style. We do consider that the Director of FEMA should have the authority to direct, without prejudice, supporting agencies of the Federal Response Plan to take predeclaration and predisaster actions. We concur in that part of H.R. 2692 that provides for reimbursement for any fiscal liability in the provision of services or other assets of the Department of Defense in disaster relief activities.

The Department of Defense also has examined related legislation. In all cases we require reimbursement for our assets, a unified management approach with responsibility to one agency for consolidating Federal assets to meet emergency situations, and an understanding that the utilization of assets for purposes other than for what they are designed must be weighed in the efficiency of and need for the response. We consider a top down coordinated exercise program necessary to permit the various Federal and state agencies to interact, identify responsibilities, refine coordination procedures, and experience capabilities and response periods. Such exercise programs can be interdisciplinary to combine both natural and environmental disasters in order to work at all levels of the response hierarchy. Funding for such a program should be from the national level. The program should be directed by FEMA.

The Office of Management and Budget advises that there is no objection, from the standpoint of the Administration's program, to the presentation of these views for the consideration of the Committee.

Sincerely,

Jamie S. Gorelick

** TOTAL PAGE.004 **

TO: ITOH

FROM: HAHN

DOC DATE: 14 JAN 94
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: ADMINISTRATIVE

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: TRAVEL REQUEST FOR HAHN TO RHODE ISLAND

ACTION: SODERBERG APPROVED RECOM DUE DATE: 18 JAN 94 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: HAHN LOGREF:

FILES: ADMIN NSCP: CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO
BELL
HAHN
MANZANARES
NSC CHRON

COMMENTS: _____

DISPATCHED BY _____ DATE _____ BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSTAS CLOSED BY: NSASK DOC 1 OF 1

UNCLASSIFIED
ACTION DATA SUMMARY REPORT

RECORD ID: 9420061

DOC ACTION OFFICER

001 SODERBERG
001

CAO ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED

Z 94011915 FOR DECISION
X 94012013 SODERBERG APPROVED RECOM

UNCLASSIFIED

National Security Council
The White House

PROOFED BY: 4SK
URGENT NOT PROOFED: _____
BYPASSED WW DESK: _____

LOG # 20061
SYSTEM PR8 NSC INT
DOCLOG 4SK A/O _____

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
<u>W</u> DepExecSec	<u>1 / 3</u>	<u>W/15</u>	
ExecSec	<u>2</u>	<u>W</u>	
Staff Director			
D/APNSA			
APNSA			
Situation Room			
West Wing Desk	<u>4</u>	<u>4SK 1/20</u>	<u>N</u>
NSC Secretariat	<u>5</u>		

A = Action I = Information D = Dispatch R = Retain N = No Further Action

cc: VP McLarty Other _____

Should be seen by: _____
(Date/Time)

COMMENTS:

DISPATCH INSTRUCTIONS:

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D C 20506

January 14, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR NANCY E. SODERBERG

THROUGH: J. ROBERT MANZANARES *JRM*

FROM: ROBERT G. BELL *RB*

SUBJECT: Travel Request for Keith Hahn to Newport, Rhode Island, February 1, 1994

Request travel to Newport, Rhode Island February 1 for Keith Hahn to present opening address to the Naval War College National Security curriculum, meet with Law of the Sea symposium and brief the Navy Strategic Studies Group. Keith routinely briefs the service war colleges on the process of national security decisionmaking and policy formulation.

RECOMMENDATION

That you authorize Will Itoh to sign the travel authorization form at Tab I.

Approve *W* Disapprove _____

Attachment
Tab I Travel Authorization Form

NSC STAFF TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION

1. TRAVELER'S NAME: KEITH HAHN
2. PURPOSE/EVENT (Include Dates): To present opening address
to the Naval War College National Security curriculum,
brief Navy Strategic Studies Group, February 1, 1994
3. ITINERARY (Please Attach Copy of Proposed Itinerary): _____
4. DEPARTURE DATE 2/1/94 RETURN DATE 2/1/94
DEPARTURE TIME AM RETURN TIME PM
5. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION:
GOVT. AIR _____ COMMERCIAL AIR x POV _____ RAIL _____
OTHER _____
6. ESTIMATED EXPENSES:
TRANSPORTATION x PER DIEM _____ OTHER _____
TOTAL _____
7. WHO PAYS EXPENSES: NSC _____ OTHER x
IF NOT NSC, DESCRIBE SOURCE AND ARRANGEMENTS: _____
DoD Blanket Travel Orders
8. WILL FAMILY MEMBER ACCOMPANY YOU: YES _____ NO x
IF SO, WHO PAYS FOR FAMILY MEMBER (If Travel Not Paid By
Traveler, Describe Source & Arrangements): _____
9. TRAVEL ADVANCE REQUESTED: \$ 0
10. REMARKS (Use This Space to Indicate Any Additional Items You
Would Like to Appear on Your Travel Orders): _____
11. TRAVELER'S SIGNATURE: L. S. Hahn
12. APPROVALS: Senior Director Robert A. Boes
Director of Administration Robert Mays
Executive Secretary Alvin

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506


January 14, 1994

Dear Colonel Hawley:

Thank you very much for your offer to serve as an intern on the staff of the National Security Council. With your extensive background in defense planning and programming and interagency decisionmaking, I'm sure you know that there never seems to be enough time and people to cover all of the issues.

Unfortunately, we are constrained by availability of facilities and unique clearance requirements from including short-term interns on the NSC staff. I hope that we can continue to provide insight into the NSC decisionmaking process by making our people available to talk to your students and faculty.

Sincerely,



Robert Bell
Special Assistant to the President
for Defense Policy and Arms Control

Colonel Leonard R. Hawley, U.S. Army
Department of Strategy
Industrial College of the Armed Forces
National Defense University
Washington, D.C. 20319-6000



NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY

INDUSTRIAL COLLEGE OF THE ARMED FORCES
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20319-6000

21 December 1993

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF:

Department of Strategy

Captain Keith Hahn
Director of Defense Policy
National Security Council
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20506

Dear Captain Hahn:

I wish to endorse Colonel Leonard R. Hawley's request for an intern assignment on the staff of the National Security Council from January 19 to March 18, 1994.

Colonel Hawley is the Exercise Coordinator for the college. He designs and plans five major national security decisionmaking exercises that place our students in the role of the Deputies Committee of the NSC. We challenge our students to analyze current national security problems and make recommendations to the NSC for presidential decision.

His internship would provide valuable insights to national security decisionmaking for use in our education program. Most significantly, the internship would enable us to create a realistic decision environment in our exercises.

Colonel Hawley has had several years of experience at the highest levels of defense decisionmaking. Most recently, he served in a selective assignment on the Joint Staff as a strategic planner, a defense policy developer, and a Congressional liaison officer. He is experienced and capable of serving you well. I believe he will make a worthy contribution in your service.

Thank you for your consideration and support of our academic program.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "J. F. Smith, Jr.", is positioned above the typed name.

J. F. Smith, Jr.
Rear Admiral, U.S. Navy
Commandant



NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY

INDUSTRIAL COLLEGE OF THE ARMED FORCES
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20319-6000

14 December 1993

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF:

Department of Strategy

Captain Keith Hahn
Director of Defense Policy
National Security Council
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20506

Dear Captain Hahn:

Request consideration for assignment as an intern on the staff of the National Security Council. My availability is from January 19 to March 18, 1994.

Currently, I am a faculty member at the National Defense University. I teach Strategic Decisionmaking and serve as Exercise Coordinator for the Industrial College of the Armed Forces. My duties require an understanding of national security decisionmaking at the highest levels of government.


I can make a meaningful contribution to NSC staff requirements. I am experienced in several key areas, having served on the Joint Staff and the Office of the Chief of Staff, Army. My staff expertise is in defense planning and programming, Congressional liaison, and joint-interagency decisionmaking.

An internship would be invaluable in developing realistic and challenging exercises for use at the National Defense University. Upon graduation, most of our students serve in senior positions in government. Through our exercise program, we attempt to develop their potential for strategic analysis and decisionmaking using current national security staff roles. In addition, we rely on scenarios similar to those confronting the NSC. An internship would enable us to better represent the actual challenges of strategic decisionmaking in our curriculum.

I serve as a Colonel in the U.S. Army. A short biographical outline is attached. I hold a Top Secret security clearance.

Thank you for your consideration.

Very respectfully,


Leonard R. Hawley
Colonel, USA

Attachment

LEONARD R. HAWLEY
Colonel, United States Army

EDUCATION:

- Industrial College of the Armed Forces, 1989
- Naval College of Command and Staff, 1981
- MBA, Long Island University, 1979
- MS, University of Michigan, 1977
- BS, United States Military Academy, 1969

EXPERIENCE:

- Division Chief, Strategic Plans and Priorities Division, Joint Staff (J-6), 1989-1992.
- Research Fellow, National Defense University, 1988-1989.
- Armor Battalion Commander, 1st Cavalry Division, Ft. Hood, Texas, 1986-1988.
- Close Combat Analyst, Office of the Chief of Staff Army (PA&E), 1984-1986.
- Brigade Executive Officer, 1st Armd Div, FRG, 1983-1984.

SELECTED PUBLICATIONS:

- "Consensus Decisionmaking for Joint-Interagency Teams", National Defense University, 1993.
- "Warriors and Warfighting: Developing Officers to Lead in Combat", National Defense University, 1990.
- "Brilliant Battalions", *Armor Magazine*, 1991.
- "Structuring Rapid Deployment Forces", Naval War College, 1981.
- *The Industrial Engineering Plant Audit*, University of Michigan Press, 1977.

HONORS:

- Defense Superior Service Medal
- Governor's Medal--State of Mississippi
- Rist Prize--1982 Best Working Group Paper--Military Operations Research Society.
- Distinguished Graduate, Naval College of Command and Staff
- National Industrial Engineering Honor Society, University of Michigan
- Vietnamese Cross of Gallantry

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

January 14, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR SAMUEL R. BERGER

THROUGH:

ROBERT BELL *RB*

FROM:

PETER FEAVER *PDF*

SUBJECT: Letter to Berger from Rep. Pelosi on Behalf of
Hayden Williams for Appointment to Battle
Monuments Commission

Tab A of Tab II is a letter from Rep. Pelosi endorsing Mr. Hayden Williams, whom Admiral Crowe has sponsored for an appointment on the Battle Monuments Commission.

Tab I is a proposed response, thanking Rep. Pelosi for writing and indicating that you have forwarded her letter to the appropriate person in Presidential Personnel (via Tab II). I have contacted the office responsible for appointments to the Battle Monuments Commission and they have assured me that Mr. Williams will receive due consideration.

Concurrence by:

In Draft
Jeremy RosnerRECOMMENDATION

That you sign the letter at Tab I thanking Rep. Pelosi for writing.

That you forward the Rep. Pelosi's letter at Tab II to Laura Segal (NEOB 4026) in Presidential Personnel.

Attachments

Tab I Letter to Rep. Pelosi

Tab II Letter of Transmittal to Laura Segal

Tab A Incoming Correspondence

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Dear Nancy:

Thank you for writing to endorse Mr. Hayden Williams, whom Admiral Crowe has sponsored for an appointment on the Battle Monuments Commission.

I have forwarded your letter to the appropriate people in Presidential Personnel. I have been assured that Mr. Williams will receive close consideration for one of the remaining open positions.

Sincerely,

Samuel R. Berger
Deputy Assistant to the President
for National Security Affairs

The Honorable Nancy Pelosi
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

MEMORANDUM FOR LAURA SEGAL
Presidential Personnel (NEOB 4026)

SUBJECT: Letter from Representative Pelosi

Tab A is a letter from Representative Pelosi endorsing Mr. Hayden Williams, whom Admiral Crowe sponsored for an appointment on the Battle Monuments Commission. In view of the distinguished people endorsing Mr. Williams, please give his candidacy all due consideration.

Sandy Berger
Deputy Assistant to the President
for National Security Affairs

Attachments

Tab A Letter from Representative Pelosi

0320

NANCY PELOSI
8TH DISTRICT, CALIFORNIA

240 CANNON BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-0508
(202) 225-4965

DISTRICT OFFICE
FEDERAL BUILDING
450 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3460
(415) 556-4862

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515-0508

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS
SUBC I:
LABOR-HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES-EDUCATION
FOREIGN OPERATIONS, EXPORT FINANCING
AND RELATED PROGRAMS
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
PERMANENT SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE
COMMITTEE ON STANDARDS OF
OFFICIAL CONDUCT
CONGRESSIONAL WORKING GROUP
ON CHINA, CHAIR

January 12, 1994

The Honorable Samuel Berger
Deputy Assistant to the President for
National Security Affairs
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. *Sandy* Berger:

I am writing on behalf of Mr. Hayden Williams, who has been sponsored by Admiral William J. Crowe, Jr. for an appointment on the Battle Monuments Commission.

Hayden Williams is held in the highest esteem in our community and has my fullest support. He would be an excellent appointment to the Battle Monuments Commission and would bring great credit to the Clinton Administration.

Thank you for your consideration of my request.

Sincerely,

Nancy
NANCY PELOSI
Member of Congress

NP:kmk

TO: MCALEER, R

FROM: ITOH

DOC DATE: 15 JAN 94
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: MILITARY EXERCISES

EL SALVADOR

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: SIGNIFICANT MILITARY EXERCISE FUERTES CAMINOS 94 - AMERICAS - EL
SALVADOR

ACTION: SODERBERG SGD MEMO

DUE DATE: 11 JAN 94 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: HAHN

LOGREF:

FILES: PA

NSCP:

CODES:

DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL
EXECSEC
HAHN

COMMENTS:

DISPATCHED BY

DATE

BY HAND

W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSJWF

CLOSED BY: NSMEM

DOC 3 OF 3

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By VL NARA, Date 10/3/2006
2016-052-F

TIME OF TRANSMISSION

TIME OF RECEIPT

**WHITE HOUSE
SITUATION ROOM**PRECEDENCE: IMMEDIATE
PRIORITY
ROUTINE

RELEASER: _____

DTG: _____

UNCLASSIFIED			
MESSAGE NO.	CLASSIFICATION	PAGES <u>1</u>	
FROM	MR. WILLIAM H. ITOH <i>W</i>	456-6534	
	(NAME)	(PHONE NUMBER)	(ROOM NO.)
MESSAGE DESCRIPTION	<u>Significant Military Exercise</u>		
	<u>#0169</u>		
TO (AGENCY)	DELIVER TO	DEPT/ROOM NO.	PHONE NUMBER
<u>DEFENSE</u>	<u>Col. Robert McAleer</u>	<u>Exec Sec</u>	
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
REMARKS:			


NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

January 15, 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR COL. ROBERT P. MCALEER
Executive Secretary
Department of Defense

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise FUERTES CAMINOS 94
AMERICAS - EL SALVADOR

Military exercise FUERTES CAMINOS 94 AMERICAS - EL SALVADOR is
approved.


William H. Itoh
Executive Secretary

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

0169

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

January 13, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RGB*
FROM: KEITH HAHN *KK*
SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise FUERTES CAMINOS 94
AMERICAS - EL SALVADOR

Attached at Tab I is a proposed memorandum to the Executive Secretary, Department of Defense, approving military exercise FUERTES CAMINOS 94 AMERICAS - EL SALVADOR. At Tab II Defense recommends approval and State concurs.

This exercise consists of the deployment, employment and return of an engineering joint task force working with host nation forces to build schools and a clinic, repair and renovate schools and other facilities and upgrade existing roads in areas selected by the host nation. Tab II notes that this exercise has been rescheduled by the U.S. Southern Command in response to concerns regarding elections in El Salvador.

Richard Feinberg would like to conduct a broad review of the basic purposes of such exercises in the Western Hemisphere in light of host nation concerns with recent similar exercises. The critical cancellation date is set at January 15, 1994, so that military planners can begin scheduling the Reserve and Guard units that will participate in this exercise. We recommend approval of this exercise for planning purposes. Since the exercise is not scheduled to begin until May 1, we will have ample time to refocus the tasks of the exercise participants as the review deems appropriate.

** Should I
be then
Concluded?*

Concurrence by: Richard Feinberg *RF*

RECOMMENDATION

That you have Will Itoh sign the memorandum at Tab I approving the exercise.

Approve *MT* Disapprove _____

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature

Tab II OSD Executive Secretary Memorandum, January 5, 1994

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By *W* NARA, Date *11/3/06*
206-0152 F

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

C 169



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000


0 5 JUL 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR EXECUTIVE SECRETARY, NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise -
FUERTES CAMINOS 94 AMERICAS-EL SALVADOR

The Department of Defense recommends NSC approval of the attached Significant Military Exercise Brief, Exercise FUERTES CAMINOS 94 AMERICAS-EL SALVADOR. The schedule for FC94 A-ES has been changed by USCINCSO to 1 May through 13 August 1994 in response to concerns regarding elections in El Salvador. The Department of State concurs with this recommendation.

Please note the critical cancellation date is 15 January 1994. Should you have any questions, our action officers for significant exercise briefs are Lt Col Stan Hoppe, (703)614-2616, or COL Clif Ripperger, (703)697-5454.


Robert P. McAleer
Executive Secretary

Attachment:
As stated

This memo is UNCLASSIFIED when separated from its attachment.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SEC DEF COM

X70024

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002. cable	Duplicate of vz4523_004. (2 pages)	09/07/1993	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 263

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - January 1994 #1 [4]

2016-0152-F
vz4525

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

TO: MCALEER, R

FROM: ITOH

DOC DATE: 15 JAN 94
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: NAVIGATIONAL FREEDOM
SUDAN
YEMEN

EGYPT
OMAN
DJIBOUTI

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: FREEDOM OF NAVIGATION PROGRAM / FON

ACTION: SODERBERG SGD MEMO

DUE DATE: 11 JAN 94 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: HAHN

LOGREF:

FILES: PA

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL
EXECSEC
HAHN

COMMENTS:

DISPATCHED BY

DATE

BY HAND

W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSJWF

CLOSED BY: NSMEM

DOC 3 OF 3

~~SECRET~~

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006

By VL NARA, Date 10/3/2001

7516-0152-F

TIME OF TRANSMISSION

TIME OF RECEIPT

WHITE HOUSE SITUATION ROOM

PRECEDENCE: IMMEDIATE
PRIORITY
ROUTINE

RELEASER: _____

DTG: _____

UNCLASSIFIED

MESSAGE NO. _____ CLASSIFICATION _____ PAGES 1

FROM MR. WILLIAM H. ITOH 456-6534
(NAME) (PHONE NUMBER) (ROOM NO.)

MESSAGE DESCRIPTION FREEDOM OF NAVIGATION
PROGRAM # 0170

TO (AGENCY) _____ DELIVER TO _____ DEPT/ROOM NO. _____ PHONE NUMBER _____
DEFENSE Col. Robert McAleer Exec Sec

REMARKS:

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

January 15, 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR COL. ROBERT P. MCALEER
Executive Secretary
Department of Defense

SUBJECT: Freedom of Navigation (FON) Program

The National Security Council staff concurs in the proposed FON assertions.

W. H. Iton WT.
William H. Iton
Executive Secretary

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
--------------------------	---------------	------	-------------

003a. memo	Duplicate of vz4523_005a. (1 page)	01/05/1994	P1/b(1)
------------	------------------------------------	------------	---------

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 263

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - January 1994 #1 [4]

2016-0152-F

vz4525

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
003b, cable	Duplicate of vz4523_005b. (4 pages)	12/17/1993	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 263

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - January 1994 #1 [4]

2016-0152-F

vz4525

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

TO: JUKES, J

FROM: ITOH

DOC DATE: 17 JAN 94
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: DEFENSE PROPOSED RPT RE HR-2692 FEDERAL EMERGENCY RESPONSE
IMPROVEMENT ACT LRM I-1863

ACTION: ITOH SGD MEMO

DUE DATE: 14 JAN 94 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: JONES

LOGREF:

FILES: WH

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL
EXECSEC
JONES
ROSNER

COMMENTS:

DISPATCHED BY _____ DATE _____ BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSJDA

CLOSED BY: NSGP

DOC 3 OF 3

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

January 17, 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES J. JUKES

FROM:

WILLIAM H. ITO *W.H.I.*

SUBJECT:

Defense Proposed Report RE: H.R. 2692, Federal
Emergency Response Improvement Act (LRM I-1863)

The NSC has no objection to the proposed DoD report and opposes any legislation that would seek to mandate that a Domestic Crisis Monitoring Unit be formed within the NSC.

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

January 13, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH: ROBERT G. BELL *RG*
FROM: STEVEN R. JONES *J*
SUBJECT: Defense Proposed Report RE: H.R. 2692, Federal
Emergency Response Improvement Act (LRM I-1863)

OMB requested views on the subject Defense proposed report regarding H.R. 2692. The draft legislation restructures FEMA and mandates specific actions for an all hazards approach to disaster management. The DoD proposed report outlines the contents of the bill, defers comment on FEMA organizational issues, expresses the DoD requirement to be reimbursed for defense assets used in conjunction with Federal Response Plan actions, and states the view that creating a Domestic Crisis Monitoring Unit as a statutory part of the National Security Council is unnecessary.

We have no objections to the DoD proposed report and would strongly oppose any legislation that would mandate that a Domestic Crisis Monitoring Unit be formed within the NSC. That function is currently and appropriately the responsibility of Cabinet Affairs.

In draft
Concurrence by: Jeremy Rosner

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature
Tab II Incoming Correspondence

0295
4 pages
total

**EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
Washington, D.C. 20503**

January 7, 1994

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

LRM #1-1863

TO: Legislative Liaison Officer -

HHS - Frances White - (202)690-7760 - 328
HUD - Edward J. Murphy, Jr. - (202)708-1793 - 215
JUSTICE - Sheila F. Anthony - (202)514-2141 - 217
NEC - Sonyia Matthews - (202)456-6722 - 429
NSC - William H. Itoh - (202)395-3723 - 249
SBA - Christine Swedin - (202)205-6702 - 315
FEMA - John P. Carey - (202)646-4105 - 327

FROM: JAMES J. JUKES (for)
Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

OMB CONTACT: Jeffrey WEINBERG (395-3457)
Secretary's line (for simple responses): 395-3454

**SUBJECT: DEFENSE Proposed Report RE: HR 2692, Federal
Emergency Response Improvement Act**

DEADLINE: NOON January 14, 1994

**COMMENTS: Defense has requested expedited clearance because
the House Armed Services Committee is planning hearings on
this issue later this month.**

OMB requests the views of your agency on the above subject before
advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in
accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

Please advise us if this item will affect direct spending or
receipts for purposes of the the "Pay-As-You-Go" provisions of
Title XIII of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990.

CC:
Christine Varney
C. Cerda
Ken Ryder
Steve Redburn
Chris Heiser
Don Gessaman
Jim Fish
Jonathan Breul
Bruce Long
Kevin Neyland

002

LRN #I-1863

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

If your response to this request for views is simple (e.g., concur/no comment) we prefer that you respond by faxing us this response sheet. If the response is simple and you prefer to call, please call the branch-wide line shown below (NOT the analyst's line) to leave a message with a secretary.

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

TO: Jeffrey WEINBERG
Office of Management and Budget
Fax Number: (202) 395-3109
Analyst/Attorney's Direct Number: (202) 395-3457
Branch-Wide Line (to reach secretary): (202) 395-3454

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

**SUBJECT: DEFENSE Proposed Report RE: HR 2692, Federal
Emergency Response Improvement Act**

The following is the response of our agency to your request for views on the above-captioned subjects:

_____ Concur
_____ No objection
_____ No comment
_____ See proposed edits on pages _____
_____ Other: _____
_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
_____ response sheet

Defense Draft

Honorable Ronald V. Dellums
Chairman, Armed Services Committee
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This is in response to your request for the views of the Secretary of Defense with regard to H.R. 2692, 103d Congress, a bill, "To improve the ability of the Federal Government to prepare for and respond to major disasters, and for other purposes."

H.R. 2692 would legislate an increased emphasis by the Federal Emergency Management Agency on natural, accidental, or man-caused events resulting in a major disaster that overwhelms the ability of State, local, and community agencies adequately to provide life sustaining services to the affected areas. The bill would require an inventory of critical resources available to the Federal government for use in disasters and would require its organization in such a manner as to facilitate its use in an operational mission. Such an inventory would be published in a form that would be available to State representatives. There is no indication whether Department of Defense assets programmed for National Security purposes, to include Federal assets under the control of the Adjutant Generals of the various States, are to be included in such an inventory.

The bill also would encourage the States to obtain a certification by the Director of FEMA of certain State performance criteria for disaster assistance. It would require the President to establish within the National Security Council a "Domestic Crisis Monitoring Unit" monitor pending disasters, keep the President and Federal agencies aware of such imminent disasters, and to ensure proper State and Federal responses to the disasters. The Director of FEMA would set up strike teams to deploy to areas where disasters are likely to be declared. The strike team would assess damage and the resulting needs. Representatives of the Department of Defense would be included on such strike teams.

When a strike team is deployed to an area of concern, the team would classify the problem as a catastrophic disaster, major disaster, or emergency. A governor would request that the President declare an event a catastrophic disaster. When the President makes such a declaration, provided the State has been certified by the Director of FEMA for appropriate capability to respond to emergencies, 100% of the funding for the first 72 hours following the declaration will be Federal. After the declaration of a catastrophic disaster, the head of the Domestic Crisis Monitoring Unit would examine whether ancillary Federal resources are necessary to meet the emergency. Such ancillary resources could be resources of the Department of Defense for which the bill provides reimbursement from a disaster relief fund. The bill does not designate the goal of the Federal government in its provision of resources. It does list certain basic services that might be provided to victims of disasters who would be without such resources, but does not identify for how long or to what goal the Federal government should reach in this regard.

JAN 7 '94 13:53 FROM OSD-LRS

TO JUKES

PAGE 004

The bill provides for a restructuring of the organization of FEMA to implement an all hazards approach to disaster management. It also would make certain positions in FEMA career reserved positions. These would be the regional directors, the general counsel, and the senior policy advisor to the State and Local Programs and Support Directorate.

The Department of Defense defers to other Federal agencies more concerned with regard to the restructuring of the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the certification program for States in emergency management. We believe that it is unnecessary for a Domestic Crisis Monitoring Unit to be a statutory part of the National Security Council. We believe that the President should have the flexibility to organize his immediate staff in a manner compatible to his needs and style. We do consider that the Director of FEMA should have the authority to direct, without prejudice, supporting agencies of the Federal Response Plan to take predeclaration and predisaster actions. We concur in that part of H.R. 2692 that provides for reimbursement for any fiscal liability in the provision of services or other assets of the Department of Defense in disaster relief activities.

The Department of Defense also has examined related legislation. In all cases we require reimbursement for our assets, a unified management approach with responsibility to one agency for consolidating Federal assets to meet emergency situations, and an understanding that the utilization of assets for purposes other than for what they are designed must be weighed in the efficiency of and need for the response. We consider a top down coordinated exercise program necessary to permit the various Federal and state agencies to interact, identify responsibilities, refine coordination procedures, and experience capabilities and response periods. Such exercise programs can be interdisciplinary to combine both natural and environmental disasters in order to work at all levels of the response hierarchy. Funding for such a program should be from the national level. The program should be directed by FEMA.

The Office of Management and Budget advises that there is no objection, from the standpoint of the Administration's program, to the presentation of these views for the consideration of the Committee.

Sincerely,

James S. Gorelick

** TOTAL PAGE.004 **

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
NSC/RMO PROFILE

RECORD ID: 9400277
RECEIVED: 12 JAN 94 13

TO: MCALEER, R

FROM: ITOH

DOC DATE: 18 JAN 94
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: MILITARY EXERCISES
OMAN

PERSIAN GULF

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: SIGNIFICANT MILITARY EXERCISE PASSEX 94-2

ACTION: SODERBERG SGD MEMO

DUE DATE: 15 JAN 94 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: HAHN

LOGREF: 9400290

FILES: PA

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL

EXECSEC

HAHN

COMMENTS:

DISPATCHED BY

DATE

BY HAND

W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSASK

CLOSED BY: NSMEM

DOC 3 OF 3

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By VL NARA, Date 10/2/2016
7016-0152-F

**WHITE HOUSE
SITUATION ROOM**PRECEDENCE: IMMEDIATE
PRIORITY
ROUTINE

RELEASER: _____

DTG: _____

MESSAGE NO. _____ CLASSIFICATION **UNCLASSIFIED** PAGES 1FROM WILLIAM H ITOH 456-6534 _____
(NAME) (PHONE NUMBER) (ROOM NO.)MESSAGE DESCRIPTION SIGNIFICANT MILITARY
EXERCISE PASSEX 94-2 NSC LOG # Q277

TO (AGENCY) _____ DELIVER TO _____ DEPT/ROOM NO. _____ PHONE NUMBER _____

DOD COL. ROBERT MCALEER EXEC SEC

REMARKS:


NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

January 18, 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR COL. ROBERT P. MCALEER
Executive Secretary
Department of Defense

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise PASSEX 94-2

Military exercise PASSEX 94-2 is approved.



William H. Itoh
Executive Secretary

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

0277

SIGNED

January 13, 1994

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RB*

FROM: KEITH HAHN *KH*

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise PASSEX 94-2

Attached at Tab I is a proposed memorandum to the Executive Secretary, Department of Defense, approving military exercise PASSEX 94-2. At Tab II Defense recommends approval and State concurs.

PASSEX 94-2 is a anti-submarine warfare and air defense exercise with the Russian Navy. We do not usually process small exercises such as this (one Russian ship, one U.S. maritime patrol aircraft and one U.S. ship) as a Significant Military Exercise, but since we do not routinely exercise with the Russians I asked OSD to forward to us, through State, to ensure there were no specific concerns. The exercise is scheduled to take place in the Arabian Gulf and Gulf of Oman between January 19 and February 7.

The critical cancellation date is January 10, 1994.

Concurrences by: Ellen Lipson *EL* and Martin Indyk *MI*, Rosemarie Forsythe *RF*

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I approving the exercise.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature

Tab II OSD Executive Secretary Memorandum, January 11, 1994

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006

By *VL* NARA, Date *10/3/2006*

2016-0152-2

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
004a. memo	For Executive Secretary, National Security Council. Subject: Significant Military Exercise - PASSEX 94-2. (1 page)	01/11/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 263

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - January 1994 #1 [4]

2016-0152-F

vz4525

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
004b. cable	re: Significant Military Exercise Brief [Passex 94-2] (1 page)	01/05/1994	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 263

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - January 1994 #1 [4]

2016-0152-F

vz4525

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]