

FOIA MARKER

This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

Folder Title:

Chron File - November 1993 #2 [6]

Staff Office-Individual:

Defense Policy-Bell, Robert

Original OA/ID Number:

48

Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:	Stack:
31	3	3	1	V

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. draft	Draft of U.S. Policy on Ballistic Missile Defenses and the Future of the ABM Treaty. Record ID: 9321355. [PDD-17] (6 pages)	11/29/1993	P1/b(1)
002a. memo	For the President from Anthony Lake and Howard Paster. Subject: The Future of the Army. Record ID: 9308459 [substantially different from vz4506_003] (4 pages)	11/30/1993	P1/b(1)
002b. draft	Letter to Senator Ike Skelton regarding the Future of the Army. (2 pages)	11/30/1993	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 48

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - November 1993 #2 [6]

2016-0152-F

vz4508

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~
NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

File - Chem
21355

November 29, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: ROBERT G. BELL *RGB*
FROM: STEVEN P. ANDREASEN *SAS*
SUBJECT: Proposed PDD on U.S. Policy on Ballistic Missile
Defenses and the Future of the ABM Treaty

The attached PDD reflects the decisions taken by the President on U.S. policy on ballistic missile defenses and the future of the ABM Treaty.

Concurrences: *Am as corrected* Nancy Menan Alan Kreczko *AK*

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum to the President at Tab I.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum to the President
Tab A Proposed PDD

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By *12* NARA, Date *10/3/2016*
7011-012-7

~~SECRET~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

21355

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ANTHONY LAKE

SUBJECT: Proposed PDD on U.S. Policy on Ballistic Missile
Defenses and the Future of the ABM Treaty

Purpose

To submit for your approval a proposed Presidential Decision Directive (PDD) on U.S. policy on ballistic missile defenses and the future of the ABM Treaty.

Background

The proposed PDD reflects the decisions you have taken on Presidential Review Directive (PRD)-31, "U.S. Policy on Ballistic Missile Defenses and the Future of the ABM Treaty."

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the proposed PDD at Tab A.

Attachment
Tab A Proposed PDD

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By VR NARA, Date 10/3/2016
2016-0152-F

~~SECRET~~
Declassify on: OADR

cc: Vice President
Chief of Staff

~~SECRET~~

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. draft	Draft of U.S. Policy on Ballistic Missile Defenses and the Future of the ABM Treaty. Record ID: 9321355. [PDD-17] (6 pages)	11/29/1993	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 48

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - November 1993 #2 [6]

2016-0152-F
vz4508

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

TO: SEIDMAN, R

FROM: LAKE

DOC DATE: 29 NOV 93
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: DEFENSE POLICY
SP

AP
DEFENSE BUDGET

PERSONS: ASP

SUBJECT: SP FOR PRES MTG W/ JCS & SEC ASPIN TO DISCUSS DEFENSE BUDGET ISSUES
OF FY 1995

ACTION: LAKE SGD SP

DUE DATE: 26 NOV 93 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: HAHN

LOGREF:

FILES: PA

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL
HAHN
HILLIARD
ITOH
NSC CHRON
SODERBERG

COMMENTS:

DISPATCHED BY _____ DATE _____ BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSJEB

CLOSED BY: NSJDA

DOC 2 OF 2

UNCLASSIFIED
ACTION DATA SUMMARY REPORT

RECORD ID: 9309239

DOC ACTION OFFICER

CAO ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED

001 LAKE
002

Z 93113007 FOR SIGNATURE
X 93113007 LAKE SGD SP

DISPATCH DATA SUMMARY REPORT

DOC DATE DISPATCH FOR ACTION

DISPATCH FOR INFO

002 931129 SEIDMAN, R

UNCLASSIFIED

Recd 11/24 7:15pm

National Security Council
The White House

JUL
11/24

PROOFED BY: _____ LOG # 9239 11/26
URGENT NOT PROOFED: _____ SYSTEM PRS NSC INT 1230
BYPASSED WW DESK: _____ DOCLOG SK A/O _____

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
W DepExecSec	<u>1</u>		
ExecSec	<u>2</u>	<u>W</u>	
Staff Director	<u>3</u>		
D/APNSA	<u>3</u>	<u>cy provided</u>	<u>W</u>
APNSA	<u>4</u>	<u>TL has approved</u>	<u>JR</u>
Situation Room			
West Wing Desk	<u>5</u>	<u>ASH 11/29</u>	<u>D</u>
NSC Secretariat			<u>PN</u>
<u>Wilma Hall - cy 3 FINAL</u>			

A = Action I = Information D = Dispatch R = Retain N = No Further Action

cc: VP McLarty Other _____

Should be seen by: _____
(Date/Time)

COMMENTS: CC: NS, WI

DISPATCH INSTRUCTIONS:

JR

THE WHITE HOUSE

9239

WASHINGTON

Schedule Proposal

date 11 / 29 / 93

☐ ACCEPT☐ REGRET☐ PENDING

TO: Ricki Seidman
Assistant to the President and Director
of Scheduling and Advance

FROM: Anthony Lake *JWR for TL*

REQUEST: Meeting with the Joint Chiefs of Staff

PURPOSE: To meet with Secretary Aspin and the
Joint Chiefs of Staff to discuss
readiness issues and the FY95 Defense
Budget topfline.

BACKGROUND: The Joint Chiefs are concerned that
continuing demands on the defense budget
for funds to pay for peacekeeping and
other contingency operations may degrade
the readiness of our Armed Forces. At
the same time, budgetary pressures
brought about by developments such as
the unrequested military and civilian
pay raise and a 1/2 percent higher than
expected inflation estimate will place
additional demands on limited defense
funds. The President should discuss
options for maintaining the Bottom-Up
Review (BUR) force structure he approved
on August 30 in the current budgetary
environment. The President should also
discuss plans for the NATO Summit with
the JCS.

PREVIOUS PARTICIPATION: The President last met with the Joint
Chiefs of Staff on August 30 in the
Cabinet Room to discuss the results of
the BUR. Two previous meetings have
been held.

DATE AND TIME: The first two weeks of December.

DURATION: One hour

LOCATION: Cabinet Room

cc: Stephanie Streett

PARTICIPANTS:

Vice President
 Les Aspin, Secretary of Defense
 Tony Lake, Assistant to the President
 for National Security Affairs
 General John Shalikashvili, Chairman,
 Joint Chiefs of Staff
 Admiral David Jeremiah, Vice Chairman,
 Joint Chiefs of Staff
 General Gordon Sullivan, Chief of Staff,
 Army
 Admiral Frank Kelso, Chief of Naval
 Operations
 General Merrill McPeak, Chief of Staff,
 Air Force
 General Carl Mundy, Commandant of the
 Marine Corps
 Robert Bell, Special Assistant to the
 President for Defense Policy and
 Arms Control

OUTLINE OF EVENTS:

Secretary Aspin and General
 Shalikashvili will brief current
 readiness indicators and initial budget
 estimates followed by general
 discussion.

REMARKS REQUIRED:

None

MEDIA COVERAGE:

White House Photographer only

FIRST LADY'S ATTENDANCE:

No

VICE PRESIDENT'S ATTENDANCE:

Yes

SECOND LADY'S ATTENDANCE:

No

RECOMMENDED BY:

Anthony Lake

CONTACT:

Keith Hahn

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

November 24, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RB*FROM: KEITH HAHN *KH*

SUBJECT: Joint Chiefs of Staff Meeting with the President

The Joint Chiefs of Staff last met with the President on August 30 to discuss the results of the Bottom-Up Review. Since that meeting, a number of issues have arisen that warrant discussion between the President and his Service Chiefs. In addition, the JCS has not met with the President since General Shalikashvili assumed the chairmanship.

The schedule proposal at Tab I identifies the related issues of military readiness and the defense budget topline as topics for discussion. Over the next 2-3 weeks the President will be briefed, agency by agency, on the FY95 budget. OMB plans to present the defense and foreign affairs budget on December 11. A meeting with the JCS prior to this brief would provide an opportunity for the President to consider the issue of military readiness in the context of the overall FY95 budget.

Concurrence by: Jeremy Rosner *JR*RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the Schedule Proposal at Tab I.

Attachment
Tab I Schedule Proposal

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506


November 29, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH
THROUGH: ROBERT BELL 
FROM: PETER FEAVER 
SUBJECT: Defense Proposed Report RE: S 133, Authorizing the
Appointment of Health Care Professionals to the
Positions of Surgeon General for the Army, Navy,
and Air Force

Tab II is a proposed report, prepared by the Department of Defense, indicating Defense's objections to S. 133, a bill to authorize the appointment of health care professionals to the positions of the Service Surgeon Generals. Tab I is a proposed letter for your signature indicating NSC staff concurrence in the proposed report.

The Department of Defense objects to portions of the bill that would relax the practice of only having medical doctors, rather than other health care professionals like nurses or dentists, serve as Surgeon General. The Services argue that the unique requirements of the position of Surgeon General dictate that only certified physicians serve in that office.

Concurrence by: Steve Jones and Jeremy Rosner 

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign and forward the memorandum at Tab I concurring in the proposed report.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature
Tab II Incoming Correspondence

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

MEMORANDUM FOR JANET R. FORSGREN

FROM: WILLIAM H. ITOH

SUBJECT: Defense Proposed Report RE: S 133, Authorizing the
Appointment of Health Care Professionals to the
Positions of Surgeon General for the Army, Navy,
and Air Force

The National Security Council staff concurs in the proposed report. This memo confirms the earlier telephonic exchange between Peter Feaver and Melissa Cook.

8951

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
Washington, D.C. 20503

November 12, 1993

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

LRM #I-1680

TO: Legislative Liaison Officer -

TRANSPORTATION - Tom Herlihy - (202)366-4687 - 226

NSC - William H. Itoh - (202)395-3723 - 249

FROM: JANET R. FORSGREN (for *Janet R. Forsgren*)
Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

OMB CONTACT: Melissa COOK (395-3924)
Secretary's line (for simple responses): 395-7362

SUBJECT: DEFENSE Proposed Report RE: S 133,
Authorizing the Appointment of Health Care
Professionals to the Positions of Surgeon
General for the Army, Navy, and Air Force

DEADLINE: December 1, 1993

OMB requests the views of your agency on the above subject before
advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in
accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

Please advise us if this item will affect direct spending or
receipts for purposes of the the "Pay-As-You-Go" provisions of
Title XIII of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990.

CC:

{ Jim Fish
Tom Lewis
Chris Bertram
Jennifer Palmieri
Howard Paster
Bernie Martin
Janet Forsgren
Delphine Motley

NOV 12 '93 15:28 FROM OSD-LRS

TO FORSGREN

PAGE.002



DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-1500

November 12, 1993

Mr. Bernard H. Martin
Assistant Director for Legislative Reference
Office of Management and Budget
Washington, D.C. 20503

Dear Mr. Martin:

The views of the Department of Defense have been requested on S. 133, 103rd Congress, a bill "To amend title 10, United States Code, to authorize the appointment of health care professionals to the positions of the Surgeon General of the Army, the Surgeon General of the Navy, and the Surgeon General of the Air Force."

The General Counsel has approved the forwarding of the attached report for clearance. Advice is requested as to whether there is any objection to the submission of the attached report to the committee.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Samuel T. Brick, Jr.", written over a horizontal line.

Samuel T. Brick, Jr.
Director, Legislative Reference
Service

Enclosure:
Proposed report

NOV 12 '93 15:28 FROM OSD-LRS

TO FORSGREN

PAGE.003

The Honorable Sam Nunn
Chairman, Committee on Armed Services
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510-6050

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This is in response to your request for the views of the Department of Defense on S. 133, 103rd Congress, a bill "To amend title 10, United States Code, to authorize the appointment of health care professionals to the positions of the Surgeon General of the Army, the Surgeon General of the Navy, and the Surgeon General of the Air Force."

S. 133 would authorize health care providers, including doctors of medicine, dentistry, and osteopathy, nurses, and clinical psychologists, to serve as the Surgeon General of a military department. Currently, only physicians are authorized to serve as the Surgeon General of the Navy and as the Surgeon General of the Air Force. The Army is not restricted by statute to having only a physician serve as its Surgeon General; it is not restricted even to having only a health care provider serve as its Surgeon General.

The Department of Defense strongly opposes the second and third sections of S. 133 relating to the Navy and the Air Force.

The Surgeons General of the military departments advise the Secretary of Defense, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, and the military and civilian chiefs within the military departments on all health matters. Physicians are best qualified, by training and experience, to fulfill the responsibilities required of these offices. While the Army is not restricted by statute to having only a physician serve as its Surgeon General, every Army Surgeon General has been a physician, presumably because the selected officer is best qualified for the assignment.

At the core of the military medical profession is the physician treating a patient. Everything else--other medical personnel, supplies, buildings--exists to facilitate the doctor-patient relationship. All actions and decisions must be driven by what the physician requires to render quality care effectively. Medical policy is derived from the physician who best understands

NOV 12 '93 15:29 FROM OSD-LRS

TO FORSGREN

PAGE.004

the ramification of policy decisions on patient care. Other health care professionals complement the physicians in meeting the specialized functions included in the responsibilities. The physician, however, is ultimately responsible for the medical care provided to patients, and therefore, it is appropriate that only a physician be chosen as Surgeon General.

Furthermore, in the Air Force, the Surgeon General is the consultant to top military and civilian leaders for aerospace medical advice. As the ranking flight surgeon and the recognized authority on matters such as medical qualifications for flying, the human factors aspects of flying safety and aeromedical air-lift of patients, a deep understanding of this medical specialty is required. The ability to integrate medical practice, human performance concepts and current operational support issues is critical to supporting the Air Force successfully.

The Office of Management and Budget advises that, from the standpoint of the Administration's program, there is no objection to the presentation of this report for the consideration of the Committee.

Sincerely,

•

II

103D CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

S. 133

To amend title 10, United States Code, to authorize the appointment of health care professionals to the positions of the Surgeon General of the Army, the Surgeon General of the Navy, and the Surgeon General of the Air Force.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

JANUARY 21 (legislative day, JANUARY 5), 1993

Mr. INOUE introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Armed Services

A BILL

To amend title 10, United States Code, to authorize the appointment of health care professionals to the positions of the Surgeon General of the Army, the Surgeon General of the Navy, and the Surgeon General of the Air Force.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*
3 **SECTION 1. SURGEON GENERAL OF THE ARMY.**

4 Section 3036 of title 10, United States Code, is
5 amended—

6 (1) in subsection (b), by inserting before the pe-
7 riod at the end of the third sentence the following:

2

1 "and shall be appointed as prescribed in subsection
2 (f)"; and

3 (2) by adding at the end the following new sub-
4 section (f):

5 "(f) The President shall appoint the Surgeon General
6 from among commissioned officers in any corps of the
7 Army Medical Department who are educationally and pro-
8 fessionally qualified to furnish health care to other per-
9 sons, including doctors of medicine, dentistry, and osteop-
10 athy, nurses, and clinical psychologists."

11 **SEC. 2. SURGEON GENERAL OF THE NAVY.**

12 Section 5137 of title 10, United States Code, is
13 amended—

14 (1) in the first sentence of subsection (a), by
15 striking out "in the Medical Corps" and inserting in
16 lieu thereof "who are educationally and profes-
17 sionally qualified to furnish health care to other per-
18 sons, including doctors of medicine, dentistry, and
19 osteopathy, nurses, and clinical psychologists"; and

20 (2) in subsection (b), by striking out "in the
21 Medical Corps" and inserting in lieu thereof "who is
22 qualified to be the Chief of the Bureau of Medicine
23 and Surgery".

3

1 SEC. 3. SURGEON GENERAL OF THE AIR FORCE.

2 The first sentence of section 8036 of title 10, United
3 States Code, is amended by striking out "designated as
4 medical officers under section 8067(a) of this title" and
5 inserting in lieu thereof "educationally and professionally
6 qualified to furnish health care to other persons, including
7 doctors of medicine, dentistry, and osteopathy, nurses, and
8 clinical psychologists".

O

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Be

UNCLASSIFIED WITH

~~CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT~~

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

8459

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

November 30, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: KEITH HAHN *KH*

FROM: PETER FEAVER *PDF*

SUBJECT: The Future of the Army

As requested, I have prepared a memorandum (Tab I) to the President assessing the concerns about the Army raised by Congressman Skelton and General Sullivan. The memo also provides a letter from the President to Congressman Skelton addressing the same subject.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum to the President at Tab I.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum to the President

Tab A Letter to Congressman Skelton

Tab B General Sullivan Memorandum, October 25, 1993

Tab C General Sullivan Memorandum, October 21, 1993

UNCLASSIFIED WITH

~~CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
--------------------------	---------------	------	-------------

002a. memo	For the President from Anthony Lake and Howard Paster. Subject: The Future of the Army. Record ID: 9308459 [substantially different from vz4506_003] (4 pages)	11/30/1993	P1/b(1)
------------	--	------------	---------

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 48

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - November 1993 #2 [6]

2016-0152-F
vz4508

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
--------------------------	---------------	------	-------------

002b. draft	Letter to Senator Ike Skelton regarding the Future of the Army. (2 pages)	11/30/1993	P1/b(1)
-------------	---	------------	---------

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 48

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - November 1993 #2 [6]

2016-0152-F

vz4508

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF STAFF
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20310

October 25, 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR DIRECTOR, NATIONAL SECURITY
COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Impact of Current Operations on Near-Term Army
Funding

As a follow-up to our conversation on Sunday, I offer a summary of the impact of contingency operations on Army funding. The cumulative effect of resourcing current operations places Army Readiness in an increasingly perilous position. Contingency operations continue to consume Army resources at an alarming rate.

The Army currently has over 18,000 soldiers deployed in some 61 countries in support of the National Military Strategy. This is an increase of some 300% since 1990. More are enroute to Somalia. As the Army begins FY1994, our immediate resource shortfall approaches \$1B attributable to "up front" costs for contingencies and other factors, \$300M for Somalia alone. This is on top of almost \$1B of other serious budget shortfalls carried into FY1994. If any operation expands or accelerates, the cost to the Army increases. Bosnia and possible requirements in the Middle East, following the 13 September agreements, could raise this number dramatically. For example, operations in Bosnia, if required, could exceed another \$1B in incremental costs for one year. In total the potential shortfall is \$3B in FY1994.

The burdens of peace are real for America's Army. If FY1993 is any gauge, reimbursement from the United Nations will be minimal, and in any case, all costs are not subject to U.N. reimbursement. The Army received no U.N. reimbursement in FY 1993. The Army diverted funds from readiness, quality of life,

and modernization to pay for contingency operations. Due to this diversion, training activities had to be canceled or postponed. In addition, modernization that is postponed can cause bad business decisions to be made, e.g., uneconomical buys that actually increase costs in later years.

The Army supports today, and will continue to support, every assigned mission. We will sacrifice and defer whatever is necessary to support our deployed soldiers. Over time, however, such short term management takes its toll on readiness. Without additional help, to fill the void in near-term resources, the readiness of America's Army is at risk in FY 1994.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Gordon R. Sullivan', with a large, stylized initial 'G'.

GORDON R. SULLIVAN
General, United States Army
Chief of Staff

CF: Secretary Aspin
General Shalikashvili



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20310

October 21, 1993



MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

SUBJECT: Army FY 95 Budget Estimate Submission—INFORMATION
MEMORANDUM

This memorandum transmits the Army's FY 95 Budget Estimate Submission. The Executive Summary overview of our detailed submission will follow under separate cover. Automated data and justification materials will be provided by October 25 and October 29 respectively.

Consistent with our FY 95-99 Program Objective Memorandum (POM), we have developed the budget in accordance with the priorities established in the Defense Planning Guidance: Readiness and Sustainability, Force Structure, Science and Technology, Systems Acquisition, and Infrastructure. Also compatible with the POM, our intent in developing the budget was to maintain a balanced and capable Army—a world class institution that will continue to excel in an ever broadening range of missions.

We are now at a critical turning point in shaping the Army of the future. At mid-course in transforming to an Army smaller than previously envisioned, we are also reshaping to achieve a major shift in focus—to a CONUS based, power projection Army. From a resourcing perspective, FY 95 will be a crucial period for effecting major changes to accomplish this shift. Balance is the key. Funding and end strength have declined, yet requirements are growing. Today's Army is in 71 countries, with over 21,000 troops, plus 125,000 soldiers forward deployed. I need your support of our request—the topline, as well as the balance of resources within the topline.

In my POM transmittal memorandum, I mentioned risk associated with the fiscal guidance provided. With regard to FY 95, there is less risk than in the outyears, but there are still important programs that remain underfunded—especially in RDA. Nevertheless, our budget supports a level of funding that is integral to the transformation mentioned above. We have taken extensive measures to achieve a delicate balance of programs to meet our strategic objectives within the level of funding provided. Maintaining this FY 95 funding level is imperative in light of projected FY 94 appropriations which will threaten our capability to accomplish a variety of missions. The balance of resources is in jeopardy as contingency operations continue. Depending on how these missions develop, I may have to delay the drawdown of forces. We need to revisit this issue in the near future.

In the FY 95 budget we made some adjustments from the POM as we refined the program into an appropriation oriented budget. The adjustments were necessary to accommodate pricing changes and incremental requirements for critical programs that surfaced since final POM decisions. These adjustments are addressed in the Executive Summary. We have made no changes to FY 95 force structure or end strength, either military or civilian, from the POM.

As stated previously, our FY 95 budget is vital to building a smaller, mobile, high quality Army. Although on the surface the Army topline for FY 95 increases relative to FY 94, the fact is that buying power—"real growth" actually declines. I urge you, the Comptroller, budget directors and analysts to bear this in mind while pressures to reduce overall funding persist. Following are several areas where we consciously dedicated additional funding to achieve balance in support of our goals.

In order to protect readiness and sustainability, we have directed funding to negate partially the effects of previous program assumptions that have failed to produce projected savings. Burdensharing, Residual Value of overseas investments, and delay in Nunn-Warner Phase II all cut deep into our Operation and Maintenance Army (OMA) account, resulting in drastic underfunding of base operations support and sustainment programs, and in unacceptable backlogs of facilities and equipment maintenance and repair. In order to alleviate these negative effects, we have had to reprogram major amounts of funding just to "get by" in the year of execution. In FY 95 we have made partial corrections and replaced funding previously decremented as a result of these failed assumptions.

We have further earmarked Operation and Maintenance funding to stay on track in building the Army of the future in areas such as: reducing our presence in Europe by supporting the rapid retrograde, redistribution and disposal of equipment and ammunition from Europe (RETROEUR); cascading much of the equipment to the Reserve Components; expanding support to our power projection mission through the Army Strategic Mobility Program; and complying with ever increasing environmental mandates, which continue to grow as we close installations and facilities.

As addressed in the POM transmittal, I am concerned about modernization program funding from the perspective of long term readiness. RDA programs were significantly reduced in the POM (57 kills, including Blackhawk, NLOS, and MLRS launcher; and 77 decrements) in order to sustain funding in higher priority areas. In a very few programs, we added funds in the budget to optimize limited funding in balance with overall priorities: Paladin—to prevent contract cancellation and avoid costly penalties; Comanche—to support

DEMVAL without restructuring the program; Apache Longbow—to complete EMD in FY 95 without production break, achieving initial fielding in FY 97.

FY 95 funding for Military Construction and Army Family Housing Construction represents a major shift from FY 94. These resources are required to improve living conditions for soldiers in CONUS through the whole barracks and family housing renewal programs, to enhance CONUS power projection platforms for deployability, and to construct chemical demilitarization disposal facilities at Umatilla Depot Activity and Pine Bluff Arsenal. This budget will correct the serious underfunding of prior years, including the MILCON Pause, and will provide improved living and working conditions of our soldiers and their families.

While our strategy was to abide by the DPG priorities and to maintain balance by adjusting funding levels within fiscal guidance, some important programs remain underfunded. The Executive Summary provides details for each. We request additional resources for these programs. They exceed our resourcing capacity, reap joint service benefits, or represent new missions without funds.

In conclusion, the Army FY 95 Budget Estimate Submission represents a carefully crafted balance of resources that will continue the transition to a smaller, highly capable force, an Army ready to project its power anywhere in the world, anytime, under any conditions. We have made major contributions, serving the nation at home and abroad, while downsizing at the same time. Only a world class institution could restructure and meet the demands of peace as we have been asked to do over the last two years, and do it as well as we have. I solicit your support in defending this budget to help us maintain America's Army as a strategic force, capable of decisive victory.


Gordon R. Sullivan
General, United States Army
Acting Secretary of the Army

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
NSC/RMO PROFILE

RECORD ID: 9309276
RECEIVED: 24 NOV 93 15

TO: SEIDMAN, R

FROM: LAKE

DOC DATE: 30 NOV 93
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: DEFENSE POLICY
KOREA SOUTH

AP

PERSONS: SHALIKASHVILI, J

SUBJECT: SP TO REVIEW US MILITARY CAPABILITIES IN SOUTH KOREA

ACTION: LAKE SGD SP

DUE DATE: 27 NOV 93 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: HAHN

LOGREF:

FILES: PA

NSCP:

CODES:

DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL
HAHN
HILLIARD
ITOH
NSC CHRON
SODERBERG

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By 12 NARA, Date 10/31/06
206-052-F

COMMENTS: _____

DISPATCHED BY _____ DATE _____ BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSGP

CLOSED BY: NSJDA

DOC 2 OF 2

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
ACTION DATA SUMMARY REPORT

RECORD ID: 9309276

DOC ACTION OFFICER

CAO ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED

001 LAKE
002

Z 93120107 FOR SIGNATURE
X 93120107 LAKE SGD SP

DISPATCH DATA SUMMARY REPORT

DOC DATE DISPATCH FOR ACTION

DISPATCH FOR INFO

002 931130 SEIDMAN, R

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Rec'd 11/24 7:15pm

National Security Council
The White House

Rec'd
11/26 1030

PROOFED BY: _____ LOG # 9276
URGENT NOT PROOFED: _____ SYSTEM PBS NSC INT
BYPASSED WW DESK: _____ DOCLOG ASK A/O _____

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
DepExecSec			
ExecSec	<u>1</u>	<u>W</u>	
Staff Director			
D/APNSA	<u>2</u>	<u>W</u>	
APNSA	<u>3</u>	Nat Sec Advisor has seen	<u>Pbs info 11/24</u> <u>CHS</u>
Situation Room			
West Wing Desk	<u>4</u>	<u>W</u> 11/30	<u>D</u>
NSC Secretariat	<u>5</u>		<u>N</u>
<u>WILSON HALL - CY of FINAL</u>			

A = Action I = Information D = Dispatch

cc: VP McLarty

Sho

edits made
ready for
signature

COMMENTS:

CC: NS, W

DISPATCH INSTRUCTIONS:

g: drive (execsec)

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

9276

Schedule Proposal

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

date 11/30/93

ACCEPT

REGRET

PENDING

TO:

Ricki Seidman
Assistant to the President and
Director of Scheduling and Advance

FROM:

Anthony Lake

REQUEST:

Brief by Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff
on Korean Military Plans

PURPOSE:

To review U.S. military capabilities in
South Korea.

BACKGROUND:

The President asked that General
Shalikashvili brief him on this topic.

PREVIOUS PARTICIPATION:

Periodic

DATE AND TIME:

Week of November 29, 1993

DURATION:

One hour

LOCATION:

Oval Office

PARTICIPANTS:

Vice President
Les Aspin, Secretary of Defense
Anthony Lake, Assistant to the President
for National Security Affairs
General John Shalikashvili, Chairman,
Joint Chiefs of Staff
Samuel Berger, Deputy Assistant to the
President for National Security
Affairs
Robert Bell, Special Assistant to the
President for Defense Policy and
Arms Control

OUTLINE OF EVENTS:

General Shalikashvili will brief current
U.S. military operation plans followed
by general discussion.

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006

By VJ NARA, Date 10/3/2016

2016-0152 - f

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Declassify on: OADR

cc: Stephanie Streett

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

2

SECOND LADY'S ATTENDANCE: No

RECOMMENDED BY: Anthony Lake

CONTACT: Keith Hahn

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

9276

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

November 24, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *rcb*
FROM: KEITH HAHN *kh*
SUBJECT: Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff Brief to the President

The President asked to be briefed by General Shalikashvili on U.S. military plans to respond to various levels of aggression by the North Koreans against the Republic of Korea. The brief also should address our capability to pre-empt a North Korean nuclear attack should they develop nuclear weapons.

I have given OSD and JCS a "heads-up" and told them that the Chairman should be ready to brief next week. Secretary Aspin's office indicated that he will probably want to accompany General Shalikashvili.

Concurrence by: Kent Wiedemann *kw*

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the Schedule Proposal at Tab I.

Attachment
Tab I Schedule Proposal

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Declassify on: OADR

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By *YZ* NARA, Date *10/3/2016*
2016-0152-f

~~SECRET~~

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED WHEN SEPARATED
FROM ATTACHMENT

12
to: Mike Andricos

November 30, 1993

I concur.
R. Bell

MEMORANDUM FOR KRISTIE KENNEY
RICHARD CLARKE
NICK BURNS
BOB BELL
ALAN KRECZKO

FROM: MIKE ANDRICOS
SUBJECT: Question for the Record

During his testimony before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, Secretary Christopher was asked the following question:

"The respected *Washington Post* columnist Jim Hoagland wrote on Sunday that the Clinton Administration has had roughly one National Security Council meeting per month since it came to office and that they have all been about how to limit the political fall-out of crises that have already erupted. He likewise reported that no full NSC meetings have been held on developments in Russia.

- Is Mr. Hoagland right in his depiction of the number of these meetings -- if not their character? And if so, are we to understand this astonishing record -- all the more astonishing in light of the myriad international issues currently in play -- as simply a function of the low priority the President accords to foreign and defense policy relative to his domestic agenda?
- Or do you believe that, given the serious problems developing the U.S. interests around the world, the President is giving adequate attention to the development and execution of security policy?

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED WHEN SEPARATED
FROM ATTACHMENT

Declassify on: OADR

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

2

UNCLASSIFIED WHEN SEPARATED
FROM ATTACHMENT

-- If so, how could he make a statement to the effect that he did not know who changed the mission in Somalia?"

A background paper is at Tab A.

A proposed unclassified response is at Tab B.

Request your comments and/or concurrence on the proposed response.

Attachments

Tab A Background paper

Tab B Draft unclassified response

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED WHEN SEPARATED
FROM ATTACHMENT

~~SECRET~~

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

November 29, 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR MIKE ANDRICOS
THROUGH: KRISTIE KENNEY *OK*
FROM: CATHY MILLISON *Cm*
SUBJECT: Meetings with the President

*Andricos:
There were
several meetings with
the Pres. on, for
example, Russia
we can make
more if
needed.
KLM*

I have checked my records and find that the following meetings with the President have taken place.

I show that only one formal NSC Meeting has taken place. That was held on March 3 and the following topics were on the agenda for the meeting:

Secretary Christopher's Middle East Trip
Bosnia
Defense Budget
Secretary Bentsen's G-7 Meetings
Russia

In addition the President has attended the following meetings which have been referred to as "Principals Committee" meetings.

April 20	Bosnia
May 1	Bosnia
October 5	Somalia
October 6	Somalia (2 meetings held on October 6, one in the morning and one in the afternoon)

Also, please be aware that early in the Administration, some meetings were handled by Mr. Lake's office so there is a possibility that during the first month additional meetings may have taken place which I don't have a record of. Any questions, please give me a call.

~~SECRET~~

Declassify on: OADR

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By *VR* NARA, Date *10/3/2016*
2016-0152-F

Process

S/P

- The respected *Washington Post* columnist Jim Hoagland wrote on Sunday that the Clinton Administration has had roughly one National Security Council meeting per month since it came to office and that they have all been about how to limit the political fall-out of crises that have already erupted. He likewise reported that no full NSC meetings have been held on developments in Russia.
- Is Mr. Hoagland right in his depiction of the number of these meetings -- if not their character? And if so, are we to understand this astonishing record -- all the more astonishing in light of the myriad international issues currently in play -- as simply a function of the low priority the President accords to foreign and defense policy relative to his domestic agenda? L.H.P.T
- Or do you believe that, given the serious problems developing for U.S. interests around the world, the President is giving adequate attention to the development and execution of security policy?
- If so, how could he make a statement to the effect that he did not know who changed the mission in Somalia?

UNCLASSIFIED

The President's daily schedule includes briefings and discussions on national security issues. He has met separately with members of the National Security Council to discuss a wide range of national security issues on a regular basis. In addition, the President has met formally with the National Security Council, and in similar meetings, known as "principals meetings" on several occasions. Reports that none of these meetings have been held on developments in Russia are incorrect.

The President has repeatedly stated that the mission in Somalia has not changed.

UNCLASSIFIED

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

November 30, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH:

ROBERT BELL *RB*

FROM:

KEITH HAHN *KH*

SUBJECT:

Letter to the President from Representative Dicks
RE Acquisition of Commercially Derived Aircraft

At Tab B Representative Norman Dicks of Washington has written the President urging him to purchase Boeing 747 and 767 aircraft as part of the U.S. strategic airlift fleet. This letter was mailed November 17, before the President's visit to Seattle. The President and Representative Dicks talked about this subject on the flight to Seattle and the President subsequently inserted language into his speech to Boeing workers.

The response to Representative Dicks assures him that we will continue to consider the option of purchasing commercial aircraft to meet part of our airlift requirements. The letter also thanks him for his recent support on several important congressional votes.

Concurrence by:

Jeremy Rosner *JR*RECOMMENDATION

That you forward Tab I to the President.

Attachments

Tab I	Memorandum for the President
Tab A	Letter to Representative Dicks
Tab B	Incoming Correspondence

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ANTHONY LAKE
HOWARD PASTER

SUBJECT: Letter from Representative Dicks RE Acquisition of
Commercially Derived Aircraft

Purpose

To respond to a letter from Representative Norman Dicks of Washington in which he urges you to consider purchasing Boeing 747 and 767 aircraft as part of program to upgrade and expand our strategic airlift capability.

Background

Representative Dicks wrote the letter at Tab B before he accompanied you on your flight to Seattle to attend APEC. After your discussion with him on that flight, you acknowledged the feasibility of using commercially derived aircraft to meet U.S. strategic airlift requirements in your remarks to workers at the Boeing plant. The Department of Defense continues to view this as a viable option in light of continuing problems with the C-17 aircraft program.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the letter to Representative Dicks at Tab A.

Attachments

Tab A Letter to Representative Norman Dicks
Tab B Incoming Correspondence

cc: Vice President
Chief of Staff

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Dear Norm:

I certainly enjoyed our trip to Seattle together. I found our discussion about the feasibility of using commercially derived aircraft to meet some of our strategic airlift requirements particularly enlightening. I hope that my subsequent remarks to the outstanding workers at the Boeing plant conveyed my belief that this remains a very viable option.

I also want to thank you for your support on a number of very important issues that have come before Congress the past several months. I look forward to working with you when you return from recess to find the most cost-effective and capable way of providing strategic airlift for our Armed Forces.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Norman D. Dicks
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515



HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20515

NORMAN D. DICKS
WASHINGTON, SIXTH DISTRICT

93 NOV 19 PM 2:10

November 17, 1993

Dear Mr. President:

I thoroughly enjoyed the chance to visit with you while watching Sidwell Friends complete their undefeated football season.

In preparation to your trip to Seattle for the APEC Conference I want to bring to your attention an issue of tremendous importance for the Puget Sound region.

The Department of Defense is currently giving serious review to acquisition of commercially derived aircraft to meet our critical airlift requirements. Undersecretary of Defense John Deutch last week visited Boeing facilities in Seattle with me to review the potential to utilize 747 and 767s for this mission. We are optimistic that a decision on this issue from the Department will be coming shortly, and the Congress has provided funding to initiate such a program.

I sincerely believe this is an opportunity to provide the nation with cost effective military assets while at the same time providing important work that can help avoid further employment

9151

I have provided background information on the issue to your staff and hope that you will be able to give this matter your personal attention.

Sincerely,

Norm

NORM DICKS
Member of Congress

NDD:tlf

The President of the United States
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20500

*PS: This is very important
to me.*

BELL
December 6, 1993



MEMO TO TONY LAKE
SANDY BERGER
LEON FUERTH
DAVID GERGEN
MARK GEARAN
GEORGE STEPHANOPOULOS
RICKI SEIDMAN
DON STEINBERG
JEREMY ROSNER
NSC SENIOR DIRECTORS

FROM: NANCY SODERBERG *W*

Attached please find a summary of the
decisions reached at the December 3
meeting on foreign policy speeches.

Please note follow up items in your
area.



December 4, 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR THE FILES

FROM: NANCY SODERBERG

SUBJECT: National Security Speeches

Below is a summary of decisions from the December 3 meeting on foreign policy speeches attended by Tony Lake, Sandy Berger, Leon Fuerth, David Gergen, Mark Gearan, George Stephanopoulos, Ricki Seidman, Don Steinberg, Jeremy Rosner and myself.

KEY EVENTS:

December 10: Human Rights Day -- Agreed that POTUS to do values speech at Eleanor Roosevelt High School which will include mention of Human Rights Day. Tony will use his morning briefing to get a White House photo of POTUS signing the Human Rights Day Proclamation which we then will release.

December 12: Russian elections -- Scheduling will set aside 30 minutes for a possible call to Yeltsin (depending on the election results).

December 13: Scheduled date for Israeli pull-out of Gaza -- Agreed that POTUS should do something - still need to decide on possible event. Need to consult with Jim Zogby and Mel Levine.

I. END OF YEAR WRAP UP:

We want to underscore and reiterate at all levels between now and the end of the year our national security accomplishments of 1993. Should be discussed in the context of our agenda for next year. Below is an agreed schedule:

December 14: Anthony Lake, Council on Foreign Relations, NYC.
Administration accomplishments and vision/peacekeeping.

December 15-20: President, Location tbd - perhaps the State Department press room.
Comments on the successful Uruguay Round negotiations as well as on the accomplishments of the GATT, NAFTA, APEC, Japan Framework and G-7.

Follow on speeches by Secretaries Bentsen and Brown, Kantor, Reich, Rubin and possibly Christopher. [**Gergen/Gearan to arrange.**]

December 18: President, Weekly Radio Address
Reiterating points of speech. Alternatively, defense budget issues following decision on spending.

We would then use the Vice President and the Cabinet to highlight our major accomplishments of the past year. [**Steinberg/Rosner to handle.**]

Vice President: December 18-22: Russia (following his trip.) He has a standing invitation to address the Los Angeles Times.

Sec. Christopher: December 11-22: Middle East (following his trip.)

Sec. Aspin: December 13-22: Strong defense

Amb. Albright: December 13-22: Democracy

II. LEAD UP TO EUROPE/MOSCOW TRIP: First week in Jan.

President: Agreed that POTUS to give major foreign policy speech during week of January 1 - possibly January 6 in Chicago [**Ricki to work out location and time.**]
Outlining goals of the NATO/Russia trip, summarizing major foreign policy accomplishments of 1993 and vision for foreign policy.

Gen. Shali: Possibly at the National Press Club.
Future of NATO

Sec. Christopher: Europe

III. SUCCESS OF TRIP/LEAD UP TO STATE OF THE UNION:

Anthony Lake: Following the return from the NATO/Russia trip and before the State of the Union address [**Rosner to work out location and time.**]
Speech highlighting accomplishments of trip and vision of foreign policy.

IV. POST-STATE OF THE UNION:

Sec. Christopher: Follow up to State of the Union reiterating vision of foreign policy.

TO: HAMBY, THOMAS L

FROM: NEEL, R

DOC DATE: 10 NOV 93
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: DEFENSE POLICY
AP

NAVY
MP

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: REPLY TO INVITATION TO REUNION OF MARINE BOMBING SQUADRON

ACTION: FOR RECORD PURPOSES

DUE DATE: 02 DEC 93 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: NONE

LOGREF:

FILES: WH

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL
HAHN

COMMENTS: _____

DISPATCHED BY _____ DATE _____ BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSWEA

CLOSED BY: NSWEA

DOC 3 OF 3

9343

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

November 10, 1993

Mr. Thomas L. Hamby
1147 4th Street, S.W.
Moultrie, Georgia 31768-5419

Dear Mr. Hamby:

Thank you for inviting President Clinton to attend the reunion of Marine Bombing Squadron VMB 611. The President appreciates your offer and is sorry that he was unable to accept.

Unfortunately, the tremendous demands on the President as he works to move our country forward do not give him the opportunity to attend as many events as he would like.

On behalf of the President, thank you again for your invitation. Please do not hesitate to contact my office if we can provide you with any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Roy M. Neel
Deputy Chief of Staff

RMN/ink

✓ cc: National Security Council

WESTERN UNION FSI
RENO, NEVADA 89502-2375

WESTERN
UNION MAILGRAM®



009465000168 04/29/93

MNCC

THOMAS L HAMBY
PO BOX 885
MOULTRIE, GA 31776-0885

THIS IS A CONFIRMATION COPY OF THE FOLLOWING MESSAGE:

9129852855 TDBN MOULTRIE GA 47 04-29 0830A EST
9311909992534061-1 SUSPECTED DUPLICATE

PRESIDENT BILL CLINTON
WHITE HOUSE DC 20500

MR PRESIDENT,

ABOUT TWO WEEKS AGO, I WROTE YOU A LETTER ASKING YOU TO CALL ME
ABOUT A REUNION OF MARINE BOMBING SQUADRON VMB611 TO BE HELD IN
D.C. IN OCTOBER '93. WE WERE FORMED IN 1943. PLEASE CALL ME AT
1-800-544-3479. THE PASSWORD IS MAG00 41266.

THOMAS L HAMBY
()

15067

21:06 EST

MGMCOMP

POTUS sent letter (see
last pg) Send to NSC to
advise regret mtg in October
is obvious.

cc: WJC

cc: National Security Council

WESTERN UNION FSI
RENO, NEVADA 89502-2375

WESTERN
UNION MAILGRAM®



010569001319 05/23/93

MNCA

Thomas L Hamby
1147 4th St SW
Moultrie, GA 31768-5419

THIS IS A CONFIRMATION COPY OF THE FOLLOWING MESSAGE:

9129852255 TDBN MOULTRIE GA 235 05-22 0715P EST
9314209991026850-1

PRESIDENT BILL CLINTON
WHITE HOUSE DC 20500

ON APRIL 29, 1993, I SENT YOU A TELEGRAM REQUESTING THAT YOU CALL ME REGARDING A REUNION OF MARINE BOMBING SQUADRON VMB 611 TO BE HELD IN DC ON OCTOBER 1993. I ASKED THAT YOU CALL ME. I HAVE NOT HEARD FROM YOU. PRIOR TO THE TELEGRAM, I SENT YOU A LETTER ASKING THAT YOU CALL ME, NO RESPONSE. I FEEL SURE THAT YOU ARE NOT RECEIVING MY MESSAGE OR YOU WILL RESPONSE. I KNOW YOU GET A LOT OF CALLS BUT IF YOU HAVE TIME TO STOP AND GET A HAIRCUT AND A HOLD TRAFFIC YOU SHOULD HAVE TIME TO RESPONSE TO MY CALL. WHOEVER IS RECEIVING THIS MESSAGE, I WISH YOU SHOULD CONVEY THEM DIRECTLY TO PRESIDENT CLINTON. I GAVE YOU A PASSWORD, MAGG00 41266. THE REASON FOR THE PASSWORD IS BECAUSE MY MARINE FRIENDS KNOW THAT I HAVE INVITED YOU. SOME OF THEM MIGHT PULLED A PRANK CALL SAYING THEY ARE THE PRESIDENT. IN ORDER TO VOID THIS I GAVE YOU THE PASSWORD. WE'RE HAVING A REUNION OF OUR SQUADRON IN DC IN OCTOBER, 1993. WE WOULD LIKE FOR YOU, HILLARY AND CHELSEA TO BE THERE. THIS IS NO PRANK CALL, I HAVE A DFC AND 6 AIR MEDALS. PLEASE CALL ME 1-800-544-3479 AND REMEMBER THE PASSWORD, THE PASSWORD IS MAGG00 41266. MY GRANDSON'S BIRTHDAY. WHOEVER IS RECEIVING MY MEASSAGES, PLEASE GIVE THEM TO THE PRESIDENT SO HE CAN ACT UPON IT.

THOMAS L HAMBY
(912) 985-2255
PO BOX 885
MOULTRIE

GA 31776

15067

15:05 EST

MGMCOMP

maultrie, Georgia
June 7, 1993

Mr. Bill Clinton
President of The United States

Dear Mr. President;

Since around the middle of April I've been trying to get you to call me - 1-800-544-3479 (24 hour service). My first note was a scribbled note. I did not keep a copy. The second was a mailgram 4/29/93. The third was a mailgram 5/23/93.

In my mailgram 5/23/93 I made a remark about your hair cut on the runway in L.A. Please forgive me. Where does an eight hundred pound bear sleep? Where ever he wants to! Where & when does the President get his hair cut? Where & when he wants to!

Back during your campaign for President when you were getting a lot of heat about the Viet Nam situation I tried to get my feelings about the matter to you but to no avail. Briefly here they are. I have a son born 7/26/59. In the summer of 1967 I attended an insurance meeting in Biloxi, Ms.

Page 2

we were discussing the "war" and I made the statement that I did not understand it and that if my son was old enough to go I would not let him. I was a pilot in marines during WWII. I have one DFC and six air medals and I am no coward and I don't think you are either Bill Clinton! Continue to fight for what you think is right, Mr. President.

Mr. President, I saw you on TV Sunday June 6th pleading for people not to Drink & Drive - to use a non-Drinker Designated Driver. I taught DUI school for 7 years. That is the only solution. I have a copyright on 2 Designated Driver buttons. I don't teach DUI now but I do run 2 Defensive Driving schools.

Please call me Mr. President -
1-800-544-3479

Thanks.
Thomas Z. Hamby
DOB 4/23/22

Marine Corp serial # 0266J8
social security # 258-20-0248

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

June 17, 1993

Mr. Thomas L. Hamby
BADD, Incorporated
Post Office Box 885
Moultrie, Georgia 31776

Dear Thomas:

I appreciate your taking the time to write.

It's important to me to hear the thoughts and experiences of people who care about the future of America and the world. We face many challenges ahead, and in order for us to come together and build consensus, we must all share our ideas and concerns.

Your letter is valuable to me. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bill Clinton

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON



Mr. Thomas L. Hamby
BADD, Incorporated
Post Office Box 885
Moultrie, Georgia 31776

100 110



TO: LAKE

FROM: PANETTA, L
O'LEARY, H

DOC DATE: 29 NOV 93
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: HEU

RUSSIA

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: COPY TO LAKE OF PANETTA LTR TO ENERGY SEC O'LEARY RE RUSSIAN
FEDERATION PURCHASE OF HIGHLY ENRICHED URANIUM / HEU

ACTION: FOR RECORD PURPOSES

DUE DATE: 06 JAN 94 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: NONE

LOGREF:

FILES: WH

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

ANDREASEN

AOKI

BELL

BEYRLE

BURNS

FORSYTHE

GOTTEMOELLER

WALES

COMMENTS:

DISPATCHED BY

DATE

BY HAND

W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSKDB

CLOSED BY: NSKDB

DOC 1 OF 1



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

0022
Step

THE DIRECTOR

Honorable Hazel R. O'Leary
Secretary of Energy
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Secretary O'Leary:

On June 30, 1993, I issued a Determination Order (Interim) that transferred certain items and assets from the Department of Energy (DOE) to the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) consistent with section 901 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 2923). At the time, \$107 million in appropriated balances and \$143 million in net accounts receivable were transferred. In the June 30th letter that forwarded this Order, I stated that the remaining appropriated balances and receivables (estimated to be \$250 million) would be transferred after all remaining issues had been resolved. One of the issues stated in the June 30th letter concerns financial responsibility for the costs associated with agreements between the United States and the Russian Federation for the purchase of highly enriched uranium (HEU).

All of the substantive issues identified in the June 30th letter have now been resolved. In particular, as a result of an understanding that was reached on November 19, 1993 among OMB, DOE, and USEC, USEC has agreed to assume the financial obligations of the initial HEU implementing contract (see Enclosure #1).

I am issuing today a Determination Order (Interim - No.2) that addresses the remaining financial assets to be transferred from DOE to USEC (see Enclosure #2). It states that the effective date of this transfer shall be when OMB receives notice that the initial implementing contract has been signed by USEC, or March 31, 1994, if the contract has not been presented to USEC for execution prior to that date. When OMB receives notification from the Department of State regarding the execution of this contract, OMB will notify DOE, Department of the Treasury, and

044022

USEC that the contract has been executed, and that these financial assets should be transferred from DOE to USEC.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By
Leon E. Panetta
Leon E. Panetta
Director

Enclosures

cc: Lynn E. Davis, Under Secretary of State
for International Security Affairs
Frank Wisner, Under Secretary of
Defense for Policy
Anthony Lake, Assistant to the President
for National Security Affairs

Identical Letter Sent to Honorable William H. Timbers



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

THE DIRECTOR

Mr. William H. Timbers, Jr.
Transition Manager
United States Enrichment Corporation
2300 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Dear Mr. Timbers:

On June 30, 1993, I issued a Determination Order (Interim) that transferred certain items and assets from the Department of Energy (DOE) to the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) consistent with section 901 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 2923). At the time, \$107 million in appropriated balances and \$143 million in net accounts receivable were transferred. In the June 30th letter that forwarded this Order, I stated that the remaining appropriated balances and receivables (estimated to be \$250 million) would be transferred after all remaining issues had been resolved. One of the issues stated in the June 30th letter concerns financial responsibility for the costs associated with agreements between the United States and the Russian Federation for the purchase of highly enriched uranium (HEU).

All of the substantive issues identified in the June 30th letter have now been resolved. In particular, as a result of an understanding that was reached on November 19, 1993 among OMB, DOE, and USEC, USEC has agreed to assume the financial obligations of the initial HEU implementing contract (see Enclosure #1).

I am issuing today a Determination Order (Interim - No.2) that addresses the remaining financial assets to be transferred from DOE to USEC (see Enclosure #2). It states that the effective date of this transfer shall be when OMB receives notice that the initial implementing contract has been signed by USEC, or March 31, 1994, if the contract has not been presented to USEC for execution prior to that date. When OMB receives notification from the Department of State regarding the execution of this contract, OMB will notify DOE, Department of the Treasury, and

USEC that the contract has been executed, and that these financial assets should be transferred from DOE to USEC.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By
Leon E. Panetta
Leon E. Panetta
Director

Enclosures

cc: Lynn E. Davis, Under Secretary of State
for International Security Affairs
Frank Wisner, Under Secretary of
Defense for Policy
Anthony Lake, Assistant to the President
for National Security Affairs

Identical Letter Sent to Honorable Hazel R. O'Leary

Financial Obligations for Russian HEU Purchase Contract

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) concurs with the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) being the Executive Agent and signing the initial implementing contract negotiated under the Agreement concerning the disposition of highly enriched uranium extracted from nuclear weapons. The obligation under this initial implementing contract covers the period for the first two years of purchases. By November 23, 1993, OMB will execute an amendment to the Determination Order (Interim) of June 30, 1993, that pertains to the balances and accounts receivable (estimated to be \$250 million) to be transferred from the Department of Energy to USEC. This amendment will state that when OMB receives notice that the initial implementing contract has been signed by USEC, or on March 31, 1994, if the contract has not been presented to USEC for execution, OMB will immediately notify the Department of the Treasury to transfer these financial assets.

**DETERMINATION ORDER (INTERIM - NO. 2) WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN
MATTERS PURSUANT TO PUBLIC LAW 102-486
The Energy Policy Act of 1992**

Determination. Consistent with the transfer of functions provided by Section 901 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (P.L. 102-486; 106 Stat. 2923) (the "Act"), and pursuant to Section 202 of the Budget and Accounting Procedures Act of 1950, as amended (31 U.S.C. 1531), and Section 9(3) of Executive Order No. 11609 of July 22, 1971, it is hereby determined that \$106,487,810 of appropriated balances and \$141,372,103, of net accounts receivable as provided for in Attachment G of the June 28, 1993, proposed Determination Order (Interim) are transferred from the Department of Energy (DOE) to the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC). These amounts are the remaining financial assets associated with the functions transferred from DOE to the USEC pursuant to the Act.

Effective Date

The transfers provided for by this Determination Order (Interim - No. 2) shall be accomplished, as provided in P.L. 102-486, and the transfer shall be effective when OMB receives notice that the initial implementing contract (negotiated under the Agreement between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Russian Federation to purchase low enriched uranium derived from Russian highly enriched uranium extracted from nuclear weapons) (the "contract") has been signed by USEC, or March 31, 1994, if the contract has not been presented to USEC for execution prior to that date. When OMB receives notification from the Department of State regarding the execution of the contract, OMB will notify DOE, Department of the Treasury, and USEC that the contract has been executed, and that these financial assets shall be transferred from DOE to USEC.