

FOIA MARKER

This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

Folder Title:

Chron File - August 1993 #1 [6]

Staff Office-Individual:

Defense Policy-Bell, Robert

Original OA/ID Number:

46

Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:	Stack:
31	3	2	2	V

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001a. memo	Duplicate of vz4450_003a. (1 page)	08/02/1993	P1/b(1)
001b. cable	Duplicate of vz4450_003b. (1 page)	07/08/1993	P1/b(1)
002. letter	[Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	07/30/1993	b(6)
003a. memo	Duplicate of vz4452_002a. (1 page)	07/19/1993	P1/b(1)
003b. cable	Duplicate of vz4452_002b. (2 pages)	07/13/1993	P1/b(1)
003c. memo	Duplicate of vz4452_002c. (1 page)	07/22/1993	P1/b(1)
004a. memo	For Anthony Lake from Anne Witkowsky. Subject: U.S. Reply to the 1993 NATO Defense Planning Review Questionnaire. Record ID: 9305894. (1 page)	08/06/1993	P1/b(1)
004b. memo	For the Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs. Subject: U.S. Reply to the 1993 NATO Defense Planning Review Questionnaire. [incomplete copy] (3 pages)	08/04/1993	P1/b(1)
005. memo	[Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	04/07/1993	b(6)
006. cable	re: Part I Significant Military Exercise Brief [Solid Stance 93]. (3 pages)	06/22/1993	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 46

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - August 1993 #1 [6]

2016-0152-F

vz4453

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
NSC/RMO PROFILE

RECORD ID: 9305798
RECEIVED: 03 AUG 93 18

TO: SHERFIELD, M

FROM: ITOH

DOC DATE: 05 AUG 93
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: MILITARY EXERCISES

SAUDI ARABIA

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: SIGNIFICANT MILITARY EXERCISE NAUTICAL SWIMMER 93-4

ACTION: KENNEY SGD MEMO

DUE DATE: 06 AUG 93 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: HAHN

LOGREF:

FILES: PA

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL

EXECSEC

HAHN

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006

By VL NARA, Date 10/2/2016

7:16-052 -f

COMMENTS:

DISPATCHED BY

DATE

BY HAND

W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSASK

CLOSED BY: NSMEM

DOC 3 OF 3

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

August 5, 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR BG MICHAEL B. SHERFIELD
Executive Secretary
Department of Defense

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise NAUTICAL SWIMMER
93-4

Military exercise NAUTICAL SWIMMER 93-4 is approved.

Kristie A. Kenney
for William H. Itoh
Executive Secretary

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

5798

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

August 4, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RB*

FROM: KEITH HAHN *KH*

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise NAUTICAL SWIMMER
93-4

Attached at Tab I is a proposed memorandum to the Executive Secretary, Department of Defense, approving military exercise NAUTICAL SWIMMER 93-4. At Tab II Defense recommends approval and State concurs.

NAUTICAL SWIMMER 93-4 is a combined naval surface fleet training exercise with the Royal Saudi Arabia Naval Forces. It was originally scheduled to take place August 30-September 4, but has been moved to September 11-15 at the request of Saudi Arabia. It will involve three U.S. ships and a P-3C maritime patrol aircraft and will take place in the central Arabian Gulf.

The critical cancellation date is August 10, 1993.

Concurrences by: Martin *Indyk* and Bruce Riedel

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I approving the exercise.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature

Tab II OSD Executive Secretary Memorandum, August 2, 1993

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By *N* NARA, Date *6/2/06*

206-MS2-F

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001a. memo	Duplicate of vz4450_003a. (1 page)	08/02/1993	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 46

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - August 1993 #1 [6]

2016-0152-F
vz4453

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001b. cable	Duplicate of vz4450_003b. (1 page)	07/08/1993	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 46

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - August 1993 #1 [6]

2016-0152-F
vz4453

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

TO: PODESTA, J

FROM: LAKE

DOC DATE: 05 AUG 93
SOURCE REF: 030945SS

KEYWORDS: MILITARY NOMINATIONS

WH REFERRAL

PERSONS: BILO, WILLIAM C

SUBJECT: MILITARY NOMINATIONS FOR BILO FOR APPT TO BG IN ARMY RESERVE

ACTION: KENNEY APPROVED RECOM

DUE DATE: 09 AUG 93 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: BELL

LOGREF:

FILES: WH

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL

NSC CHRON

COMMENTS: _____

DISPATCHED BY _____ DATE _____ BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSJDA

CLOSED BY: NSMEM

DOC 2 OF 2

5852

Document No. 030945SS

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: 8/4/93 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: 8/9/93

MILITARY NOMINATION: COLONEL WILLIAM C. BILO FOR APPT TO THE
BRIGADIER GENERAL IN THE ARMY RESERVE

SUBJECT: _____

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	☐	☐	PASTER	☐	☐
McLARTY	☐	☐	RASCO	☐	☐
NEEL	☐	☐	RUBIN	☐	☐
PANETTA	☐	☐	SEGAL	☐	☐
BAGGETT	☐	☐	SEIDMAN	☐	☐
EMANUEL	☐	☐	STEPHANOPOULOS	☐	☐
GEARAN	☐	☐	TYSON	☐	☐
GERGEN	☐	☐	VARNEY	☐	☐
GIBBONS	☐	☐	WATKINS	☐	☐
HALE	☐	☐	WILLIAMS	☒	☐
HERMAN	☐	☐	BELL	☒	☐
LAKE	☐	☐	CLERK	☐	☒
LINDSEY	☐	☐	_____	☐	☐
McGINTY	☐	☐	_____	☐	☐
MONTOYA	☐	☐	_____	☐	☐
NUSSBAUM	☐	☐	_____	☐	☐

REMARKS:

Do you have any objection?

RESPONSE:

August 5, 1993

The National Security Council staff concurs in the attached military nomination.

Anthony Lake
Anthony Lake

JOHN D. PODESTA
Assistant to the President
and Staff Secretary
Ext. 2702

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 3, 1993

03 AUG 4 AIO: 15

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

JOHN A. GAUGHAN

DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE

SUBJECT:

Army General Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of Colonel William C. Bilo, for appointment to the grade of brigadier general in the Reserve of the Army of the United States.

This recommendation is based on a promotion board that was approved by the Acting Secretary of the Army. The Deputy Secretary of Defense has reviewed and approved this nomination.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-1000




30 JUL 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Army General Officer Nomination

On behalf of the Secretary of Defense, I recommend you nominate Colonel William C. Bilo for appointment to the grade of brigadier general in the Reserve of the Army of the United States. This officer was recommended for promotion by a promotion board approved by the Acting Secretary of the Army.

This nomination will not result in the Army exceeding the authorized strength of brigadier generals in an active status in the Reserve of the Army of the United States.


William J. Perry

Attachments

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002. letter	[Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	07/30/1993	b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 46

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - August 1993 #1 [6]

2016-0152-F
vz4453

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

The White House

Washington

To the Senate of the United States:

I nominate:

The following named United States Army National Guard officer for promotion to the grade of brigadier general in the Reserve of the Army of the United States under the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, sections 593(a) and 3385:

To be Brigadier General

Colonel William C. Bilo, (b)(6), Army National Guard [002]

TIME STAMP

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT STAFFING DOCUMENT

SYSTEM LOG NUMBER: 5852

ACTION OFFICER:

BELL

DUE: 8/9/93

- ☒ Prepare Memo For BELL
- ☐ Prepare Memo For Podesta
- ☐ Prepare Memo _____

- ☐ Appropriate Action
- ☐ Prepare Memo For Itch
to _____

CONCURRENCES/COMMENTS*

PHONE* to action officer at ext. _____

Concur

FYI

- | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| ☐ | ☐ | Andressen |
| ☐ | ☐ | Andricon |
| ☐ | ☐ | Aoki |
| ☐ | ☐ | Barth |
| ☐ | ☐ | Beers |
| ☐ | ☐ | Bell |
| ☐ | ☐ | Burns |
| ☐ | ☐ | Canas |
| ☐ | ☐ | Clarke |
| ☐ | ☐ | Clarke, P. |
| ☐ | ☐ | Claussen |
| ☐ | ☐ | Farrell |
| ☐ | ☐ | Fauver |
| ☐ | ☐ | Feinberg |
| ☐ | ☐ | Forsythe |
| ☐ | ☐ | Fry |
| ☐ | ☐ | Gali |
| ☐ | ☐ | Gottamoeller |
| ☐ | ☐ | Gross |
| ☐ | ☐ | Hahn |

Concur

FYI

- | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| ☐ | ☐ | Harris |
| ☐ | ☐ | Holl |
| ☐ | ☐ | Hooker |
| ☐ | ☐ | Hull |
| ☐ | ☐ | Indyk |
| ☐ | ☐ | Jett |
| ☐ | ☐ | Jones |
| ☐ | ☐ | Kelly |
| ☐ | ☐ | Kristoff |
| ☐ | ☐ | Kupchan |
| ☐ | ☐ | Kyle |
| ☐ | ☐ | Lowenkron |
| ☐ | ☐ | Menan |
| ☐ | ☐ | Merchant |
| ☐ | ☐ | Morley |
| ☐ | ☐ | O'Leary |
| ☐ | ☐ | Owens-Kirkpatrick |
| ☐ | ☐ | Poneman |
| ☐ | ☐ | Primosch |
| ☐ | ☐ | Rice |

Concur

FYI

- | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| ☐ | ☐ | Riedel |
| ☐ | ☐ | Rosner |
| ☐ | ☐ | Saeed |
| ☐ | ☐ | Sanner |
| ☐ | ☐ | Schwartz |
| ☐ | ☐ | Shoehan |
| ☐ | ☐ | Spahr |
| ☐ | ☐ | Tenet |
| ☐ | ☐ | Tilley |
| ☐ | ☐ | Van Eron |
| ☐ | ☐ | Waguespack |
| ☐ | ☐ | Walker |
| ☐ | ☐ | Walsh |
| ☐ | ☐ | Wayne |
| ☐ | ☐ | Wiedemann |
| ☐ | ☐ | Wilson |
| ☐ | ☐ | Witkowski |

INFORMATION

☐ Loh

☐ Loh

☒ Kenney

☐ Berger

☒ Soderberg

☒ Records Mgmt.

☒ Exec Sec Desk

COMMENTS

Logged By

JDA

Return to Records Mgmt.
379 OEOB

TO: SHERFIELD, M

FROM: ITOH

DOC DATE: 05 AUG 93
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: MILITARY EXERCISES

KUWAIT

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: SIGNIFICANT MILITARY EXERCISE INTRINSIC ACTION 93-3

ACTION: KENNEY SGD MEMO

DUE DATE: 07 AUG 93 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: HAHN

LOGREF:

FILES: PA

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL

EXECSEC

HAHN

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006

By ✓ NARA, Date 10/7/2012

206-0152-F

COMMENTS: _____

DISPATCHED BY ✓ DATE _____ BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSWEA

CLOSED BY: NSMEM

DOC 3 OF 3


NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

August 5, 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR BG MICHAEL B. SHERFIELD
Executive Secretary
Department of Defense

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise INTRINSIC ACTION
93-3

Military exercise INTRINSIC ACTION 93-3 is approved.


William H. Itoh
Executive Secretary

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

5850

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

August 5, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *for*

FROM: KEITH HAHN *✓*

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise INTRINSIC ACTION
93-3

Attached at Tab I is a proposed memorandum to the Executive Secretary, Department of Defense, approving military exercise INTRINSIC ACTION 93-3. At Tab II Defense recommends approval and State concurs.

INTRINSIC ACTION 93-3 is a combined field training exercise with the armed forces of Kuwait. It will involve platoon and company size maneuvers and live fire training at training areas and ranges in Kuwait. The advanced party will depart on August 10 and the main body of troops will deploy on August 22. Therefore, the critical cancellation date has been moved up to August 9.

Concurrence by: Bruce *for* Riedel

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I approving the exercise.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature

Tab II OSD Executive Secretary Memorandum, undated

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006

By *✓* NARA, Date *6/3/2006*

616-0152 f

~~SECRET~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~SECRET~~

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
003a. memo	Duplicate of vz4452_002a. (1 page)	07/19/1993	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 46

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - August 1993 #1 [6]

2016-0152-F

vz4453

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
--------------------------	---------------	------	-------------

003b. cable	Duplicate of vz4452_002b. (2 pages)	07/13/1993	P1/b(1)
-------------	-------------------------------------	------------	---------

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 46

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - August 1993 #1 [6]

2016-0152-F
vz4453

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]



OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301-2400

INTERNATIONAL
SECURITY AFFAIRS

18 JUL 1993

I-93/17036

MEMORANDUM FOR DIRECTOR FOR EMERGENCY PLANNING

SUBJECT: Military Exercise Brief--INTRINSIC ACTION 93-3

RSA/NESA concurs in the conduct of INTRINSIC ACTION 93-3.
NESA Point of contact for this exercise is MR. MCMILLAN, X53890.

Frederick C. Smith
Deputy Assistant Secretary (Acting)
Near Eastern and South
Asian Affairs



OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-2500

SPECIAL OPERATIONS/
LOW-INTENSITY CONFLICT

July 22, 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR DIRECTOR, EMERGENCY PLANNING

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise Brief--INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

This office has reviewed the Significant Military Exercise Brief (SMEB) for exercise INTRINSIC ACTION 93-3, and concurs with the exercise as written.

OASD(SO/LIC) point of contact for this action is CDR William C. Stettinius, X35210.


Christopher J. Lamb
Director, Policy Planning

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
003c. memo	Duplicate of vz4452_002c. (1 page)	07/22/1993	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 46

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - August 1993 #1 [6]

2016-0152-F
vz4453

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

TO: PETERSON, R

FROM: ITOH

DOC DATE: 06 AUG 93
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: DEFENSE POLICY

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: DOD REVISED DEFENSE ENTERPRISE DEMILITARIZATION AND RESTRUCTURING
FUND

ACTION: KENNEY SGD MEMO

DUE DATE: 04 AUG 93 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: HAHN

LOGREF:

FILES: WH

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL

EXECSEC

HAHN

COMMENTS: _____

DISPATCHED BY _____ DATE _____ BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSTAS

CLOSED BY: NSMEM

DOC 2 OF 2

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20508

August 6, 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR RONALD K. PETERSON

FROM:

WILLIAM H. ITOM *Hall*

SUBJECT:

Defense Revised Defense Enterprise
Demilitarization and Restructuring Fund

The National Security Council staff concurs in the draft proposal.

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

August 4, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH:

ROBERT BELL *RB*

FROM:

KEITH HAHN *KH*

SUBJECT:

Defense Revised Defense Enterprise
Demilitarization and Restructuring Fund

The draft proposal at Tab II replaces a DoD proposal circulated on May 20 as an amendment to the Defense authorization bill. This proposal would authorize the Defense Enterprise Demilitarization and Restructuring Fund, which is a private, non-profit organization modeled on the more general Enterprise Funds established by Congress to assist in the development of market economies and the privatization of businesses in Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union. This fund, however, will have a more focused objective -- to provide grants, loans and investments specifically to facilitate the demilitarization and conversion of the defense establishment in states eligible for Nunn-Lugar assistance to civilian, commercially-oriented enterprises.

Concurrences by:

Rose Gottemoeller *RG* and Jeremy Rosner *JR*

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I concurring in the revised Defense draft.

Attachments:

Tab I Memorandum for Signature
Tab II Incoming Correspondence

5804

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
Washington, D.C. 20503

URGENT

August 4, 1993

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUMLRM #D-334
DRAFT #310**TO: Legislative Liaison Officer -**

ACDA - Barbara Starr - (202)647-8478 - 234
AID - Robert M. Lester - (202)647-8371 - 202
COMMERCE - Michael A. Lavitt - (202)482-3086 - 324
ENERGY - Bob Rabben - (202)586-6718 - 209
JUSTICE - Sheila F. Anthony - (202)514-2141 - 217
NEC - William H. Itoh - (202)395-3723 - 249
NEC - Sonia Mathews - (202)456-6722 - 429
STATE - Julie C. Norton - (202)647-2137 - 225
TREASURY - Richard S. Carro - (202)622-1146 - 228
OGE - Jane Ley - (202)523-5377 - 262

FROM: RONALD K. PETERSON (for) *Ronald K. Peterson*
Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

OMB CONTACT: Annette ROONEY (395-7300)
Secretary's line (for simple responses): 395-6194

SUBJECT: DEFENSE REVISED Defense Enterprise
Demilitarization and Restructuring Fund

DEADLINE: 11:00 A.M.
TODAY August 4, 1993


COMMENTS: This draft proposal replaces a Defense proposal circulated on May 20, 1993 (As an amendment to the Defense Authorization). Because the Defense Authorization Bill may be considered at any time, this needs to be cleared immediately. If you have not responded by the deadline, we will assume you have no objection.

OMB requests the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

Please advise us if this item will affect direct spending or receipts for purposes of the the "Pay-As-You-Go" provisions of Title XIII of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990.

CC:
G. Adams
D. Gassaman
B. Smith
K. MacIntyre
H. Paster

T. Chavez
M. Casella

**URGENT**

_____	Concur
_____	No objection
_____	No comment
_____	See proposed edits on pages _____
_____	Other: _____
_____	FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this response sheet

3 Aug 93

Draft Authorization Act Language for Defense Enterprise Demilitarization and Restructuring Fund

Sec. 5. Contracts and Grants for Defense Conversion Assistance for the Independent States of the Former Soviet Union: Defense Enterprise Demilitarization and Restructuring Fund.

(a) Purposes. -- The purposes of this section are to promote--

(1) the demilitarization of the former Soviet Union through the conversion of the military establishment and defense industry to civilian activities through loans, grants, equity investments, feasibility studies, technical assistance, training, insurance, guarantees, and other measures.

(2) market reforms by promoting private capital investments in defense industry firms that are converting to the production of goods for the civilian market, thus furthering the independence of these firms from the state.

(b) Authorization of Appropriations. -- To carry out the purposes specified in subsection (a), the President may authorize the Defense Enterprise Demilitarization and Restructuring Fund to undertake defense conversion assistance for the independent states of the former Soviet Union for demilitarization of military capabilities and defense-related industry and equipment and the conversion of such military capabilities and defense-related industry to civilian purposes and uses. Funds are authorized to be made available until expended

(c) Designation of Defense Enterprise Demilitarization and Restructuring Fund--

(1) **Designation.** -- The President is authorized to designate a private, nonprofit organization -- the Defense Enterprise Demilitarization and Restructuring Fund (hereinafter in this section referred to as the "Fund") -- as eligible to receive funds and support pursuant to this section upon determining that such an organization has been established for the purposes specified in subsection (a). One or more such funds may be established under this authorization.

(2) **Consultation with Congress** -- The President shall consult with the leadership of each House of Congress before designating an organization pursuant to paragraph (1).

(3) **Board of Directors.** --(A) The Fund shall be governed by a Board of Directors comprised of private citizens of the United States who have demonstrated experience and expertise in those areas of private sector development in which the Fund is involved.

(B) The Fund may consult with citizens of countries in which Fund-sponsored projects are located. A host country citizen who is not committed to respect for democracy and a free market economy may not serve as a consultant to the Fund.

(4) **Eligibility of Fund for Grants.** -- Grants may be made to the Fund under this section only if the Fund agrees to comply with the requirements specified in this section.

(5) Private Character of Fund.--Nothing in this section shall be construed to make a Fund an agency or establishment of the United States Government, or to make the officers, employees, or members of the Board of Directors of the Fund officers or employees of the United States for the purposes of title 5, United States Code.

(d) Grants to Fund.--Funds appropriated to the Department of Defense pursuant to section ____ may be granted to the Fund by the Department of Defense to enable the Fund to carry out the purposes specified in subsection (a) and for the administrative expenses of the Fund.

(e) Eligible Programs and Projects.--

(1) In General.--The Fund may provide assistance pursuant to this section only for the programs and projects which are consistent with the purposes set forth in subsection (a). The Fund shall have as an objective that at least 50 percent of the projects sponsored shall involve conversion of the workforce, facilities, and equipment associated with the research, development, or production of nuclear, chemical and other weapons of mass destruction and their delivery vehicles. Where possible, U.S. firms should participate as partners in projects to assure that solid management techniques are applied and access to hard currency sources are developed. Assistance may not be provided to any country not certified as eligible for such assistance. Private financial investors should be encouraged to co-invest in projects with the Fund to build diversity in the private capital sources available to industry in the former Soviet Union.

(f) Matters to be Considered by the Fund.--In carrying out this section, the Fund shall take into account such considerations as are spelled out in section 3(d).

(g) Retention of Interest.--The Fund may hold funds granted to it pursuant to this section in interest-bearing accounts, prior to the disbursement of such funds for the purposes specified in subsection (a), and may retain for such program purposes any interest earned on such deposits without returning such interest to the Treasury of the United States and without further appropriation by the Congress.

(h) Reinvestment of Profits.--Profits generated by and payments received by the Fund may be reinvested in new projects.

(i) Use of United States Private Venture Capital.--In order to maximize the effectiveness of its activities, the Fund may conduct public offerings or private placements for the purpose of soliciting and accepting United States venture capital which may be used, separately or together with funds made available pursuant to this section, for any lawful investment purpose that the Board of Directors of the Fund may determine in carrying out this section. Financial returns on Fund investments that include a component of private venture capital may be distributed, at such times and in such amounts as the Board of Directors of the Fund may determine, to the investors of such capital.

(j) Nonapplicability of Other Laws.--Executive branch agencies may conduct programs and activities and provide services in support of the activities of the Fund notwithstanding any other provision of law.

(k) Limitations on Payments to Fund Personnel.-- No part of the funds of the Fund shall inure to the benefit of any board member, officer, or employee of such Fund, except as salary or reasonable compensation for services.

(l) Independent Private Audits.-- The accounts of the Fund shall be audited annually in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards by independent certified public accountants or independent licensed public accountants certified or licensed by a regulatory authority of a State or other political subdivision of the United States. The report of each independent audit shall be included in the annual report required by this section.

(m) GAO Audits.-- The financial transactions pursuant to this section by the Fund may be audited by the General Accounting Office in accordance with such principles and procedures and under such rules and regulations as may be prescribed by the Comptroller General of the United States, so long as the Fund is in receipt of United States Government grants.

(n) Recordkeeping Requirements.-- The Fund shall ensure--

(1) that each recipient of assistance provided through the Fund under this section keeps--

(A) separate accounts with respect to such assistance;

(B) such records as may be reasonably necessary to disclose fully the amount and the disposition by such recipient of the proceeds of such assistance, the total cost of the project or undertaking in connection with which such assistance is given or used, and the amount and nature of that portion of the cost of the project or undertaking supplied by other sources; and

(C) such other records as will facilitate an effective audit; and

(2) that the Fund, or any of their duly authorized representatives, have access for the purpose of audit and examination to any books, documents, papers, and records of the recipient that are pertinent to assistance provided through the Fund under this section.

(o) Annual Reports.-- The Fund shall publish an annual report, which shall include a comprehensive and detailed description of the Fund's operations, activities, financial condition, and accomplishments under this section for the preceding fiscal year. This report shall be published not later than January 31 each year, beginning in 1995.

(p) Sunset Provision. -- Any document to establish and finance the Fund shall specify provisions for the Fund's eventual disestablishment.

UNCLASSIFIED
NSC/RMO PROFILE

RECORD ID: 9305682
RECEIVED: 30 JUL 93 14

TO: HALE, M

FROM: LAKE

DOC DATE: 06 AUG 93
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: DEFENSE POLICY

AP

PERSONS: POWELL, C

SUBJECT: INVITAION FOR PRES TO ATTEND RETIREMENT CEREMONY FOR GEN POLELL

ACTION: LAKE SGD MEMO

DUE DATE: 03 AUG 93 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: HAHN

LOGREF:

FILES: PA

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL

HAHN

HILLIARD

NSC CHRON

COMMENTS: _____

DISPATCHED BY _____ DATE _____ BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSWEA

CLOSED BY: NSMEM

DOC 3 OF 3

UNCLASSIFIED

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 6, 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR MARCIA HALE

FROM: ANTHONY LAKE

SUBJECT: Invitation for the President to Attend General
Powell's Retirement Ceremony

You asked my advice on the schedule proposal at Tab A. While there will be several military events recognizing General Powell upon his retirement, DoD plans to make the official retirement ceremony on September 30 the focus of their recognition. It would be most appropriate for the President to attend and participate in this event.

Attachment

Tab A Schedule Proposal

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

33 JUL 21 49:38

Schedule Proposal

July 13, 1993

<u> </u> ACCEPT	<u> </u> REGRET	<u> </u> PENDING
TO:	Marcia L. Hale Assistant to the President and Director of Scheduling and Advance	
FROM:	David Watkins <i>D. Watkins</i> Assistant to the President for Management and Administration	
REQUEST:	The President to participate in the retirement ceremony of General Colin L. Powell, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.	
PURPOSE:	To bid farewell and to thank him for his support of the Office of the President.	
BACKGROUND:	General Powell has served as Chairman of the Joint Chief of Staff since October 1989.	
PREVIOUS PARTICIPATION:	None	
DATE AND TIME:	Thursday, September 30, 1993, 4:00 p.m.	
DURATION:	To be determined	
LOCATION:	Fort Myer, Virginia	
PARTICIPANTS:	To be determined	
OUTLINE OF EVENT:	To be determined	
REMARKS REQUIRED:	To be provided by speechwriters.	
MEDIA COVERAGE:	Open	
RECOMMENDED BY:	Mr. David Watkins Secretary of Defense	

ROUTING SLIP

DATE: 7/2/80

FROM: Marcia Hale
Director of Scheduling & Advance

SUBJECT:

TO:

Rahm Emanuel	_____	Howard Paster	_____
Mark Gearan	_____	John Podesta	_____
David Gergen	_____	Jack Quinn	_____
Alexis Herman	_____	Carol Rasco	_____
Nancy Hernreich	_____	Bob Rubin	_____
Anthony Lake	☒	Eli Segal	_____
Bruce Lindsey	_____	George Stephanopolous	_____
Mack McLarty	_____	Ann Stock	_____
Regina Montoya	_____	Christine Varney	_____
Roy Neel	_____	David Watkins	_____
Bernie Nussbaum	_____	Maggie Williams	_____

FOR YOUR:

Information _____

Advice ☒ _____

Action _____

COMMENTS:

*Doing, being planned for. There's other events
being planned for. Kuroki's retirement?
Is this the event that's going to go to?*



THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301

June 28, 1993

The President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

On behalf of the Department of Defense, I cordially invite you to participate in the retirement ceremony of General Colin L. Powell, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. The ceremony will be held on Thursday, September 30, 1993, at 4 p.m. at Fort Myer, Virginia.

As our Commander-in-Chief, your participation would certainly make this occasion special for General Powell, his family, and for all of us in the Department. Your favorable response to this invitation would be most appreciated. Thank you for your consideration of this invitation.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized 'P' with a small 'h' or similar mark at the bottom.

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

August 2, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RB*
FROM: KEITH HAHN *KH*
SUBJECT: Invitation for the President to Attend General
Powell's Retirement Ceremony

At Tab B Marcia Hale asks whether there are other events being planned for General Powell's retirement and whether this is the event that the President should attend. I was assured by the OSD Executive Secretary that the retirement ceremony on September 30 is to be the most important and most visible event associated with the General's retirement and the one which the President should attend. DoD does not yet have a clear agenda for the ceremony, but we can assume there will be a speaking role for the President.

Concurrence by: Jeremy Rosner *(P)*

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum to Marcia Hale at Tab I recommending that the President attend General Powell's retirement ceremony.

Attachments

Tab I . Memorandum for Signature
Tab A Schedule Proposal

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
004a. memo	For Anthony Lake from Anne Witkowsky. Subject: U.S. Reply to the 1993 NATO Defense Planning Review Questionnaire. Record ID: 9305894. (1 page)	08/06/1993	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 46

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - August 1993 #1 [6]

2016-0152-F
vz4453

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

5894

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

MEMORANDUM FOR THE HONORABLE LES ASPIN
The Secretary of Defense

SUBJECT: U.S. Reply to 1993 NATO Defense Planning Review
Questionnaire (DPQ-93) (U)

I approve the proposed U.S. reply to the 1993 NATO DPQ for
release to NATO. ~~(S)~~

Anthony Lake
Assistant to the President
for National Security Affairs

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Declassify on: OADR

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By VZ NARA, Date 10/3/2016
2016-052-F

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
004b. memo	For the Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs. Subject: U.S. Reply to the 1993 NATO Defense Planning Review Questionnaire. [incomplete copy] (3 pages)	08/04/1993	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 46

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - August 1993 #1 [6]

2016-0152-F
vz4453

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

5897

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

August 6, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR NANCY SODERBERG

THROUGH: ROBERT G. BELL *RG*
FROM: ANNE WITKOWSKY *AW*
SUBJECT: Open Skies Treaty Press Release

We are seeking your approval for the press release on Open Skies attached at Tab I.

The Open Skies Treaty is now on the Senate's Unanimous Consent calendar. While we cannot be certain that it will be voted upon before recess, it could be voted upon as early as today. The vote follows on hearings this past Spring before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, and briefings to Senate Armed Services Committee staff. We have every expectation that the resolution of ratification will not be controversial.

Concurrences: Don Steinberg *DS* and Don Gross *in draft*

RECOMMENDATION

That you approve the press release at Tab I.

Attachment

Tab I Proposed Press Release on Open Skies

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Declassify on: OADR

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By *VZ* NARA, Date *12/3/2016*
256 -0152 -F

THE WHITE HOUSE

Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release

Open Skies Treaty

Today, the Senate has given its unanimous advice and consent to the ratification of the Open Skies Treaty. The Administration applauds the Senate's decision on this important agreement.

The Treaty on Open Skies represents the broadest and most flexible effort to date to promote openness and transparency of military forces and activities. Present signatories include all NATO Allies, the East European members of the former Warsaw Pact, Russia, Ukraine, Belarus, Georgia, and Kyrgyzstan.

The Treaty on Open Skies will give all participants, regardless of size, an agreed way to gather information about foreign military forces and activities of concern to them. Under the Treaty, each participating state may conduct a limited number of unarmed flights anywhere over the territory of the other participants, using approved photographic, radar, and infrared sensors to make and record observations. The information from all Open Skies observations will be made available to all participants. This innovative combination of breadth of coverage, flexibility of use, and availability of information enables the Open Skies regime to make a unique contribution to building confidence and enhancing stability.

The Open Skies concept was first put forward by President Eisenhower in 1955, and then revived in a Treaty proposal by President Bush in 1989. It was signed by twenty-five nations on March 24, 1992.

The United States looks forward to early ratification of the Treaty by all signatories and its early entry into force. The United States also welcomes the participation in the Treaty of additional states which want to further its goals of openness and transparency.

TO: SHERFIELD, M

FROM: ITOH

8/6/93

DOC DATE: 07 APR 93
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: ADMINISTRATIVE

FOREIGN TRAVEL

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: TRAVEL ORDERS FOR COL STEVEN JONES

ACTION: ITOH SGD MEMO

DUE DATE: 10 APR 93 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: JONES

LOGREF:

FILES: PA

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL
EXECSEC
JONES
PAHLKE

COMMENTS: _____

DISPATCHED BY _____ DATE _____ BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSJEB

CLOSED BY: NSJEB

DOC 2 OF 2

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
005. memo	[Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	04/07/1993	b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 46

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - August 1993 #1 [6]

2016-0152-F

vz4453

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]


NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON D C 20506

April 7, 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR COL. MICHAEL B. SHERFIELD
Executive Secretary
Department of Defense

SUBJECT: Travel Orders for Colonel Steven R. Jones,
Director of Defense Policy and Arms Control

Colonel Steven R. Jones, (b)(6), has been designated the [005]
Director of Defense Policy and Arms Control for the National
Security Council. It is requested that Air Force blanket travel
orders be issued to cover costs incurred by Colonel Jones on
those occasions when he travels in direct support of the National
Security Council.


William H. Itoh
Executive Secretary

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

April 7, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

FROM: ROBERT G. BELL 

SUBJECT: Blanket Travel Orders for Steven R. Jones

Attached at Tab I is a memo from you to Colonel Sherfield requesting blanket travel orders be issued to cover costs incurred by Steve Jones on official travel.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memo at Tab I.

Attachment

Tab I Memo to Colonel Sherfield

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

July 16, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH: ROBERT G. BELL *RB*
FROM: RICHARD HOOKER *RH*
SUBJECT: Draft Defense Bill: Service Academy Faculty
Management Act

We have reviewed and recommend that you concur with the draft Service Academy Faculty Management Act. The Act modifies existing Title V legislation to permit the academies to implement provisions of the 1993 Defense Authorization Act which call for an increase in civilian faculty at West Point and the Air Force Academy. The Naval Academy is already exempt from these Title V provisions.

Concurrences by: Keith Hamm *KH* and Jeremy Rosner *JR*

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memo of concurrence at Tab I.

Attachments

Tab I Memo of Concurrence
Tab II Incoming Correspondence

Bob

This was "found" in Admin.
They didn't realize Hooker was
gone. Still need to send it
forward for OMB record purposes.

Keith

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

MEMORANDUM FOR RONALD K. PETERSON

FROM: WILLIAM H. ITOH

SUBJECT: Draft Defense Bill: Service Academy Faculty
Management Act

The National Security Council staff has reviewed and concurs with the proposed legislation.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
Washington, D.C. 20503

July 13, 1993

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

LRM #D-305
DRAFT #303

5148
SPECIAL

TO: Legislative Liaison Officer -

EDUCATION - John Kristy - (202)401-2670 - 207
NSC - William H. Itoh - (202)395-3723 - 249
OPM - James N. Woodruff - (202)606-1424 - 331
TRANSPORTATION - Tom Herlihy - (202)266-4687 - 226

FROM: RONALD K. PETERSON (for) *Ronald K Peterson*
Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

OMB CONTACT: MIKE GOAD (395-7301)
Secretary's line (for simple responses): 395-6194

SUBJECT: DEFENSE Draft Bill Service Academy Faculty
Management Act (DOD 103-020)

DEADLINE: TUESDAY, July 20, 1993

COMMENTS: Attached are: (1) a draft report, entitled "A Blend of Excellence: Military-Civilian Faculty Mix at the Service Academies", and (2) the implementing legislation. Your comments on both the draft report and implementing legislation are requested. Please note that both are overdue to Congress (were to be submitted no later than April 1, 1993). For this reason, the Department of Defense has requested expedited clearance.

OMB requests the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

Please advise us if this item will affect direct spending or receipts for purposes of the the "Pay-As-You-Go" provisions of Title XIII of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990.

CC:

T. Stanners
B. Rideout
B. White
B. Thierwechter
H. Paster

You may also respond by (1) calling the analyst/attorney's direct line (you will be connected to voice mail if the analyst does not answer); (2) sending us a memo or letter; or (3) if you are an OASIS user in the Executive Office of the President, sending an E-mail message. Please include the LRM number shown above, and the subject shown below.

FROM: _____ (Date)
 _____ (Name)
 _____ (Agency)
 _____ (Telephone)

_____ Concur

_____ No objection

_____ No comment

_____ See proposed edits on pages _____

_____ Other: _____

_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
_____ response sheet



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301

Honorable Thomas S. Foley
Speaker of the House of
Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Speaker:

Enclosed is a draft of legislation, "To amend title 10, United States Code, to improve the management for faculties of the Service Academies."

This proposal is part of the Department of Defense Legislative Program for the 103d Congress. The Office of Management and Budget advises that, from the standpoint of the Administration's program, there is no objection to the presentation of this proposal for the consideration of the Congress. It is recommended that this proposal be enacted.

Purpose of the Legislation

This proposed legislation is submitted as required by section ⁵²⁵~~503~~ of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (PL 102-484).

The enclosed report, *A Blend of Excellence*, documents our review of pertinent considerations, and the rationale supporting our legislative proposal.

The purpose of this legislation is to provide to the Service Academies the necessary flexibility to successfully manage increases to the size of their civilian faculties, as authorized by PL 102-484. Specifically, the legislation clarifies that faculty positions at the Service Academies would be exempt from the classification restrictions reflected in title 5, United States Code, section 5101, et seq; such action is consistent with the Report of the Conference accompanying PL 102-484 which specified that the U.S. Military and Air Force Academies should enjoy "the same hiring flexibility that currently is authorized for (the United States Naval Academy)". The Naval Academy currently is exempt from such classification restrictions. The legislation also would provide flexibility to manage certain aspects of personnel and pay management, in a way that better suits the academic environment of the Academies, as discussed in the enclosed report.

Finally, the legislation would impose penalties of reassignment or dismissal for those faculty members who fail to report violations of Academy regulations, including hazing; concurrently, section 6965 of title 10, United States Code, which applies only to the Naval Academy, would be repealed. The legislation would enact a common standard for all three Service Academies.

Cost and Budget Data

The proposed legislation would have little or no effect on costs since the Academies simply would be replacing military faculty members with civilians, at similar cost, as discussed in the attached report.

A copy of this legislative proposal is being provided to the Chairman of the Committee on Armed Services, as required by section 523 of PL 102-484.

Sincerely,

Enclosure:
As Stated

A BILL

To amend title 10, United States Code, to improve the management of faculties of the Service Academies.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States*
2 *of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. IMPROVEMENT OF THE MANAGEMENT OF FACULTIES**
4 **OF THE SERVICE ACADEMIES.**

5 (a) IN GENERAL.—Title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after
6 chapter 111 the following new chapter:

7 **"CHAPTER 112—MANAGEMENT OF FACULTIES OF THE**
8 **SERVICE ACADEMIES**

9 "2197. Definitions
10 "2198. Faculty management
11 "2199. Failure to report violation

12 **"§ 2197. Definitions**

13 "For purposes of this chapter, 'Academy' means the United States Military
14 Academy, the United States Naval Academy or the United States Air Force
15 Academy.

16 **"§ 2198. Faculty management**

17 "(a) The Secretary of Defense, without regard to the provisions of any other
18 law relating to the number, classification, or compensation of employees, may—

19 "(1) establish such positions for civilian faculty of the Academy as neces-
20 sary to carry out the function of the Academy;

21 "(2) appoint individuals to such positions; and

22 "(3) fix the compensation of such individuals for service in such positions.

23 "(b) No civilian member of the Academy faculty may be paid at an annual
24 rate of basic pay in excess of the maximum rate set forth in section 5373 of

1 title 5.

2 "(c) To provide for the effective and efficient management of civilian faculty
3 of the Academy, such faculty shall be exempt from the following provisions of
4 title 5:

5 "(1) Chapter 43—Performance Ratings;

6 "(2) Chapter 51—Classification;

7 "(3) Chapter 53—Pay Ratings and Systems;

8 "(4) Chapter 55—Pay Administration; and

9 "(5) Chapter 61—Hours of Work.

10 "§ 2199. Failure to report violation

11 "(a) Each officer and each civilian member of the teaching staff of an
12 Academy shall report to the Superintendent of the Academy, or the
13 Superintendent's designee, any fact that tends to show the commission of
14 hazing or any violation of an Academy regulation by a cadet or midshipman.

15 "(b) Any officer who willfully fails to make a report required by subsec-
16 tion (a) shall be reassigned from duties involving the teaching or supervision of
17 cadets or midshipmen and, at the request of the Superintendent, shall be
18 reassigned from the Academy.

19 "(c) Any civilian member of the teaching staff of the Academy who willfully
20 fails to make a report required by subsection (a) shall be removed by the
21 Superintendent, with the approval of the Secretary concerned."

22 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—(1) Section 6965 of title 10, United States
23 Code, is repealed.

24 (2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 603 of title 10, United
25 States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 6965.

A BLEND of EXCELLENCE



Military - Civilian Faculty Mix At the Service Academies

**Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense
(Force Management & Personnel)
May 1993**

A Blend of Excellence

Overview

This paper describes the plans of the United States Military Academy (USMA) and the United States Air Force Academy (USAFA) to expand the size of their civilian faculties, while reducing their military faculties. In this report, we review the reasons for the change, overview the design of the faculties to meet institutional objectives, and preview the main features of the new faculty programs. A detailed discussion of the interplay of law and policy in the management of this transition also is provided, as background for the legislative proposal discussed in the concluding segment of this paper.

Background

For some time, many have expressed a desire to increase the civilian component of the faculties of USMA and USAFA in order to provide the cadets with greater diversity of experience in the classroom. While the USMA and USAFA frequently have relied on outside lecturers, the use of visiting professors, and the broad-based academic backgrounds of the military faculty to achieve this diversity, the United States Naval Academy (USNA) has relied on a faculty structure with a greater number of civilians.

The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (PL 102-484, §523) granted authority for the Secretaries of the Army and the Air Force to, "...employ as many civilians as professors, instructors, and lecturers at the Academy as the Secretary considers necessary". The Act also stipulated that,

"...the Secretary of Defense shall transmit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives recommended legislation for --

(1) increasing the number of civilians on the faculty at the United States Military Academy and the United States Air Force Academy; and

•• (2) reducing the number of officers of the Armed Forces assigned or appointed as permanent faculty at the United States Military Academy and the United States Air Force Academy."

The legislation transmitted with this report is provided in compliance with that direction.

The Department views this transition as an opportunity to adjust and develop the academies through integrated change. The superintendents have reviewed their institutional strategic planning, and the deans of the faculties have closely scrutinized their respective curricula.

Changing Educational Demands

Our strategic planning recognizes that a large, well-defined, stable threat has been replaced by multiple, ambiguous, uncertain ones. Thus, the Service academies must graduate officers able to solve problems and make decisions under conditions of uncertainty and ambiguity. The years ahead will be marked by unprecedented technological complexity. Operating in peace or war, military leaders must be able to handle technology. Furthermore, these leaders must have a sound historical perspective and an understanding of different cultures; they also must communicate effectively. Consequently, the academies must ensure their curricula are broadly based in both the physical sciences and the arts.

The long standing learner-centered mode of education at the academies must be sustained with outstanding teachers. Those selected for civilian faculty positions at the Service academies will bring along valuable and diverse experience, which will benefit the academies in meeting the educational challenges of the twenty-first century.

Integrated Faculty

An integrated faculty is required—one in which military and civilian members play synergistic roles. No less important, all faculty must be devoted to core institutional values of integrity, excellence, selfless service, and a commitment to the development of the whole person.

Even so, distinctive roles of military and civilian faculty can be identified. Military faculty not only must be competent in their disciplines, but also must preserve and exemplify officership. The civilian faculties not only must be competent in their disciplines, but also must be adept at educational innovation, and be abreast of educational advances. Furthermore, the civilian faculty will be expected to participate in the full spectrum of academy programs, to include providing leadership for curricular and extracurricular activities of the students, and participating in academic affairs of the academies. But these roles are not exclusive—civilians certainly will have important lessons to impart about citizenship and leadership, and military officers know important things about learning. The faculties can act in unity but not identically--*a blend of excellence.*

The expansion of civilian faculties is underway, with hiring in progress for academic year 1993-94. The Military Academy transition is displayed in Figure 1, and the Air Force Academy transition in Figure 2. The target for both academies is to have a mix of approximately 25 percent civilian faculty by the year 2000 (the 25-percent composition for the Military Academy will be reached in 2002).




Figure 1




Figure 2

The decision to hire a significant number of new faculty members as assistant professors will provide the academies with the flexibility to hire some younger faculty members with the expectation that these people will remain longer before retiring. This not only provides the opportunity to develop teachers, but also to prepare them for promotion.

The plans shown in Figures 1 and 2 each are characterized by an aggressive expansion of civilian faculties; however, the academies will avoid truncating the tour-length of military members of the faculties, with the associated turbulence for families.

Recruiting and Hiring

Both academies will seek civilian candidates with reputable, earned doctorates. Vacancies will be widely publicized to ensure fairness; this also will help in reaching the best candidates. Those selected normally will be tendered appointments of up to 5 years, with first-year probation, by an excepted appointment authority. This allows candidate selections that are tailored to the academies' needs. The vehicle to bring about this excepted appointment authority is not proposed as a part of our legislation, since such exception can be granted by the Office of Personnel Management (OPM).

Veterans preference will be provided to applicants in the hiring process, consistent with current law (5 USC, §§1302, 2108); in fact, the Naval Academy's experience reveals that such preference does not limit an academy's ability to match the best-qualified applicant with a teaching vacancy.

The appointments will be renewable, to encourage long-term service, and will be based on performance and the needs of the academies. This encourages sustained excellence. Each academy will offer pay, benefits, and working conditions that are sufficient to attract and retain an excellent faculty. The academies will contract for a 10- to 12-month work year, with the period dependent upon academy requirements and funding. Where feasible, civilian faculty members will be offered the opportunity to participate in summer research in their fields either at the academies or in Army or Air Force laboratories or institutes.

Faculty Costs

Faculty costs should not increase under the Department's proposal. For example, associate professors serve in pay grade O-4; as such, the annual "cost" for each (including pay, allowances, and retirement accrual) would be roughly \$81,000. We currently anticipate that salaries of the civilian faculty, including benefits, initially will range somewhat below that amount. The Department will design a competitive compensation system that will ensure continuous success with recruitment and retention of a high-quality faculty. The pay-plan will strike a balance between internal equity within the Federal government, and external equity with comparable private sector positions. Additional pay flexibilities, such as recruitment bonuses, relocation bonuses, and retention allowances may be used to augment the basic salary structure.

An unknown we face is the propensity of professors to migrate to the academies. While the Service academies are renowned institutions, some professors now in the private sector might be reluctant to be among the first of a growing faculty minority. Each academy will do its best to ensure that such concerns do not retard the recruiting effort, recognizing that such a goal is best accomplished by generating positive experiences among those prospective faculty members who visit as part of the hiring process. However, we undertake this transition at a time of retrenchment in the universities generally, and this may benefit our recruiting.

Faculty Management

Job descriptions and evaluations will emphasize contribution in support of the missions of the Service academies, and will emphasize our continuing demand for excellence in undergraduate education. Career progression among the civilian faculty will be through academic rank comparable to other leading undergraduate institutions, based on the sine qua non of outstanding teaching, along with scholarly achievement, and service to the academies and the Armed Forces.

The size of each faculty will be relatively constant. As civilian faculty positions are increased, military faculty positions will be reduced correspondingly. Officers serving sequential tours of duty (previously known as either tenured faculty or continuous-tour staff officers), as well as officers on normal rotation, will be reduced proportionately.

Faculty Composition

The planned faculty mix for civilians, sequential tour military, and normal (non-sequential tour) military are shown in Figure 3 for the U.S. Military Academy. The civilian faculty expansion is depicted by the regions in white.




Figure 3

Note that the total complement (military plus civilian) of faculty with doctorates rises from 28 percent at present to about 48 percent in the year 2000.

Both academies will continue to sponsor some military officers for master and doctoral degrees, but at proportionately lower levels. Current obligations will be honored. Each academy will continue to develop its military faculty with expertise across the spectrum of officer specialties; they also will develop senior military faculty to provide senior officer role models to both cadets and to members of the junior military faculty.

Civilian Faculty (Composition) U.S. Air Force Academy




Figure 4

As shown in Figure 4, for the Air Force Academy, the total complement (military plus civilian) of faculty with doctorates rises from 43 percent at present to about 54 percent in the year 2000.

The new faculty composition at each academy will not only sustain its ability to develop and inspire future military leaders, but also will strengthen the bond between the vital American professions of academe and national security.

Department Review of Policies and Laws

Following enactment of PL 102-484, the Department formed a group comprised of representatives of the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD), the Military Departments, and the Service academies. The Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Military Manpower and Personnel Policy) provided oversight to the study group. This structure brought together the most knowledgeable individuals in these organizations and provided an efficient way to address and resolve the important issues under review.

Over the course of these reviews, a variety of faculty management issues were explored; frequently, it was concluded that changes to current law were not required in order to provide the superintendents of the Service academies with the flexibility needed to handle this faculty transition.

The group identified 14 issues, summarized in Figure 5.

<i>Issue (1)</i>	<i>Policy (2)</i>	<i>Law</i>
Classification Exemption		X
Veterans Preference	X	
Appointing Authority	X	
Retention Preference	X	
Training	X	
Performance Appraisals		X
Incentive Awards	X	
Pay Rates / Systems		X
Premium Pay		X
Travel & Transportation	X	
Hours of Work		X
Adverse Action Laws	X	
Retirement	X	
Failure to Report Violations		X

1. Shaded regions show issues for which legislative action is required

2. Includes issues for which any actions short of law (including policy or no action) were appropriate

Figure 5

We turn now to a discussion of issues for which legislative remedy was judged to be either unnecessary or inappropriate.

Policy Solutions Adequate For...

- **Appointing Authority (5 USC §3301):** We reviewed whether statute should be modified to stipulate that academy faculty positions would be excepted service (this status is for situations wherein it is not practicable to recruit through the competitive Civil Service system). Our review concluded that this (excepted service) status can be acted on administratively by the Office of Personnel Management (OPM); we initiated that request, and OPM has granted our request to provide excepted hiring authority to the academies.
- **Retention Preference and Reemployment (5 USC §3501):** We reviewed current law to determine whether it provided reasonable authority to manage reductions in force (RIF). Our review determined that, even without legislative change, the academies can regulate a RIF, if one is required, across disciplines (e.g., by rank, discipline, tenure, appraisal).
- **Training (5 USC §5101):** This section of law allows training for an academic degree, when necessary to assist in the recruitment or retention of employees in shortage occupations, or to meet gender and minority goals. We evaluated the need to modify statute; our review concluded that current laws and regulations provide sufficient flexibility to authorize academic-degree training, when required to support the needs of the academies.
- **Incentive Awards (5 USC §4501):** Our review evaluated but dismissed the need to pursue a change to law that would permit the academies to establish awards programs comparable to those found at private-sector universities. We determined that Department policy provides sufficient authority to establish such flexible incentive award programs.

- **Travel & Transportation (5 USC §§5723-24):** We explored whether these sections of law are adequate to support effective recruitment of academy faculties; our review concluded that they are. Moreover, these authorities are sufficiently flexible to generate a reasonable parallel with private-sector university practices in the management of funding for relocation.
- **Adverse Action Laws (5 USC §7501):** A proposal was evaluated that would exempt the academies from the adverse action/grievance system that is used to manage the regular Civil Service. However, this proposal was not advanced because the academies anticipate hiring civilian faculty using fixed-term contracts; as such, tenure would not be an issue. Moreover, if an exemption to chapter 75 were enacted, the academies would have to develop a system to replace it; this would create unwanted problems.
- **Retirement (5 USC §8301):** We evaluated whether this statute should be modified to allow immediate vesting under the Federal Employee Retirement System (FERS), or an option to be covered for retirement purposes under the Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association College Retirement-Equities Fund (TIAA-CREF). Our review noted that FERS is a three-part retirement package (social security, basic benefit, thrift savings) that was designed to be portable; therefore, there was no demonstrated need for legislative change.

Policy solutions were neither sufficient nor appropriate for six issues identified over the course of the Department's review; the Congress perhaps anticipated such an outcome, as indicated by the requirement in PL 102-484 to transmit appropriate legislative proposals to the Congress in support of this faculty adjustment. This final section of the report outlines the legislation that must be enacted to enable the Service academies to successfully manage this important transition.

Legislative Changes Required For...

- **Classification Exemption (10 USC §§4331, 6952, 9331; 5 USC §5101, et seq):** These sections of title 5 establish guidelines for classification of civilian positions; its provisions do not apply to the U.S. Naval Academy (5 USC §5102). A similar exemption for the U.S. Military Academy and U.S. Air Force Academy seems fully consistent with the intent of the 102d Congress, which stated in the Report of the Conferees accompanying PL 102-484 that these two academies should enjoy, "...the same civilian hiring flexibility that currently is authorized for the USNA." The Department's legislative proposal would extend that same hiring flexibility uniformly to all three Service academies.
- **Performance Appraisals (5 USC §4301):** The Department believes that current laws related to performance appraisals establish a system that is ill-suited to the (academic) environment of the academies; therefore, the Department proposes legislation that would provide the Secretary of Defense with the necessary latitude to establish a DoD civilian performance appraisal system sufficient to satisfy the particular needs of the academies. OPM has issued documents recognizing the need for more flexible appraisal systems, yet this recognition has not been transformed into a clear and present capability to employ such adaptive appraisal systems at the academies; therefore, advancement of statutory change seems reasonable. Notably, such action would not be inconsistent with principles

recently outlined by OPM. The Department's legislative proposal would provide an exception to 5 USC, chapter 43.

- **Pay Rates / Systems (5 USC §5301):** Section 523 of PL 102-484 provides that, "...the compensation of persons employed under this subsection shall be as prescribed by the Secretary". Based on the current (title 10) statutory authorities, modification to 5 USC §5301, could be viewed as a technical amendment to bring title 5 into conformance with the new (title 10) language. Therefore, the Department proposes conforming legislation to align 5 USC, chapter 53, with title 10 (§§4331 and 9331), as modified by PL 102-484.
- **Hours of Work (5 USC §6101):** Chapter 61 of title 5 requires establishment of a 40-hour week, eight hours per day, for full time employees; yet the academies effectively argue that greater flexibility is required to support variable faculty schedules (it is not customary for private-sector universities to operate on such "8/40"-fixed schedules). Maintenance of such a constraint has no clear benefit, yet it does have (schedule-limiting) disadvantages. An exception to this statutory limitation would permit the academies to guarantee faculty members an annual salary irrespective of the distribution of teaching schedules. If enacted, the Department's legislative proposal would provide the academies an exception to the hours of work constraint reflected in 5 USC, chapter 61.
- **Premium Pay (5 USC §5542):** Premium pay must be provided to those who are required to work more than 40 hours per week; compensatory time may be given in lieu of premium pay for certain employees. The preceding segment ("Hours of Work, 5 USC §6101") addressed the need for flexibility. That recommended exception (to the eight hours / 40 hours constraint) would eliminate the reference point from which premium pay would be computed; therefore, it is appropriate also to pursue exception to premium-pay constraints embodied in current law. Specifically, our legislative proposal, if enacted, would waive premium pay provisions for the academies, by exemption from 5 USC, chapter 55.
- **Failure to Report Violation (10 USC §6965):** Existing law in this area (10 USC §6965) applies only to USNA; that law specifies that teaching staff shall report promptly, "...any fact that tends to show the commission of hazing or any violation of Academy regulations by a midshipman". A civilian faculty member who fails in this standard, "shall, with the approval of the Secretary of the Navy, be dismissed by the Superintendent". A military faculty member who fails, "shall be tried by court-martial and if convicted shall be dismissed from the naval service". The Department's legislative proposal seeks to modify the single-solution approach ("shall be court-martialed") reflected in current law, while providing for processes that signal the Department's continuing resolve in this area. Specifically, the legislation seeks to modify existing law (10 USC §6965) for the U.S. Naval Academy, and concurrently enact this same standard for the other two Service academies, to state: "...any officer who willfully fails to make a (required) report...shall be reassigned from duties involving the teaching or supervision of cadets or midshipmen; and shall, at the request of the Superintendent, be reassigned from the Academy".

Proposed Legislation

The Department's legislative proposal, submitted with the encouragement of Congress, is attached. We recommend its enactment as a means of ensuring that our efforts to expand civilian faculty can progress without the impediments created by the statutes we seek to amend. In turn, we can adjust the faculty mix as outlined in this report, generating the blend of excellence that was so strongly encouraged by PL 102-484 and its accompanying Report of the Conference. We look forward to this challenge; we are confident that Congress, and our future students and faculties, will be pleased with our management of this important transition.

Attachment:
Legislative Proposal

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
NSC/RMO PROFILE

RECORD ID: 9305835
RECEIVED: 04 AUG 93 14

TO: SHERFIELD, M

FROM: ITOH

DOC DATE: 06 AUG 93
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: MILITARY EXERCISES
BELGIUM
DENMARK

NATO
CANADA
FRANCE

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: SIGNIFICANT MILITARY EXERCISE SOLID STANCE 93

ACTION: KENNEY SGD MEMO

DUE DATE: 07 AUG 93 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: HAHN

LOGREF:

FILES: PA

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL
EXECSEC
HAHN

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By W NARA, Date 10/3/2016
7216-0152-F

COMMENTS: _____

DISPATCHED BY _____ DATE _____ BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSASK

CLOSED BY: NSJD

DOC 3 OF 3

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

ACTION DATA SUMMARY REPORT

DOC ACTION OFFICERCAO ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED

001 HAHN
002 ITOH
003

Z 93080414 PREPARE MEMO FOR ITOH
Z 93080614 FOR SIGNATURE
X 93080911 KENNEY SGD MEMO

DISPATCH DATA SUMMARY REPORT

DOC DATE DISPATCH FOR ACTIONDISPATCH FOR INFO

003 930806 SHERFIELD, M

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL


WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

August 6, 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR BG MICHAEL B. SHERFIELD
Executive Secretary
Department of Defense

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise SOLID STANCE 93

Military exercise SOLID STANCE 93 is approved.


for William H. Itoh
Executive Secretary

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

5835

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

August 5, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RB*

FROM: KEITH HAHN *KH*

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise SOLID STANCE 93

Attached at Tab I is a proposed memorandum to the Executive Secretary, Department of Defense, approving military exercise SOLID STANCE 93. At Tab II Defense recommends approval and State concurs.

SOLID STANCE 93 is a major NATO exercise scheduled to take place in the northeast Atlantic, southern Norwegian Sea, North Sea and Baltic Sea August 12 - October 1. It will involve headquarters and maritime forces up to NATO Task Force level in crisis response operations, including surveillance, intelligence gathering, sea control, sea lines of communications protection and power projection. Approximately 3400 U.S. and 8200 Allied personnel will participate.

Concurrences by: Charles Kupchan, Barry Lowenkron and Anne Witkowsky *AK*

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I approving the exercise.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature

Tab II OSD Executive Secretary Memorandum, August 3, 1993

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 13526

White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006

By *VZ* NARA, Date *10/3/2016*

2016-0152-F

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000

3 AUG 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR EXECUTIVE SECRETARY, NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Significant Military Exercise - SOLID STANCE 93

The Department of Defense recommends NSC approval of the attached Significant Military Exercise Brief, Exercise SOLID STANCE 93. The Department of State concurs with this recommendation.

Please note the critical cancellation date is 12 August 1993. The OSD action officers for this event are Lt Col Stan Hoppe, (703)614-2616, or LTC(P) Cliff Ripperger, 697-5454.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Michael B. Sherfield", is positioned above the printed name.

Michael B. Sherfield
Executive Secretary

Attachment:
As stated

This document is UNCLASSIFIED when separated from its attachment.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~Sec Def Cont Nr. X62149

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
006. cable	re: Part I Significant Military Exercise Brief [Solid Stance 93]. (3 pages)	06/22/1993	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 46

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - August 1993 #1 [6]

2016-0152-F

vz4453

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]