

FOIA MARKER

This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

Folder Title: Chron File - May 1993 #1 [4]				
Staff Office-Individual: Defense Policy-Bell, Robert				
Original OA/ID Number: 44				
Row: 31	Section: 3	Shelf: 1	Position: 3	Stack: V

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. letter	[Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	04/24/1993	b(6)
002. minutes	[NSC Deputies Committee] Meeting on U.S. Policy on Nuclear Testing and a Comprehensive Test Ban. April 30, 1993. Record ID: 9320453. (13 pages)	05/07/1993	P1/b(1)
003. memo	For the Director of the White House Military Office from Lt. Gen. Robert Alexander. Subject: Navy Flag Officer Nomination. (1 page)	04/09/1993	b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 44

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - May 1993 #1 [4]

2016-0152-F

vz4396

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

May 6, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

FROM: ROBERT BELL *RB*

SUBJECT: Schedule Proposal for President and Senate Arms
Control Observer Group (SACOG)

Purpose

To recommend to Marcia Hale that the President meet with the SACOG delegation led by Senator Nunn upon its return from Moscow.

Background

In February, the U.S. Embassy in Moscow reported that the prospects for attaining Russian ratification of the START II Treaty would be enhanced if U.S. Senators met with their Russian counterparts to discuss the mutual benefits of the Treaty. On March 9, the President wrote Senators Mitchell and Dole requesting that they authorize the SACOG to undertake a mission to Moscow for this purpose. In response to that request, six members of the Group (Senators Nunn, Pell, Lugar, Stevens, Warner, and Bumpers) visited Moscow between May 3-5. Enroute to Russia, the delegation stopped in Croatia, and they had an opportunity to consult with Secretary Christopher on the situation in Bosnia when their paths crossed in Moscow.

Concurrences: Jeremy Rosner, Rose Gottemoeller *RS*

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the Schedule Proposal at Tab I to Marcia Hale.

Attachment

Tab I Schedule Proposal

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

May 7, 1993

FOR MARCIA HALE

Marcia,

I know you're already working on a meeting between Sen. Nunn's codel and the President. The attached memo is a reminder that there is an arms control side to this meeting as well.

Thanks very much.

DON GROSS

THE WHITE HOUSE

Schedule Proposal

WASHINGTON

date ____/____/____

☐ ACCEPT	☐ REGRET	☐ PENDING
---------------------------------	---------------------------------	----------------------------------

TO: Marcia L. Hale
Assistant to the President and Director
of Scheduling and Advance

FROM: Anthony Lake
Howard Paster

REQUEST: Meeting with Senate Arms Control
Observer Group (SACOG)

PURPOSE: To receive report from the SACOG
delegation led by Senator Nunn upon its
return from Moscow.

BACKGROUND: In February, the U.S. Embassy in Moscow
reported that the prospects for
attaining Russian ratification of the
START II Treaty would be enhanced if
U.S. Senators met with their Russian
counterparts to discuss the mutual
benefits of the Treaty. On March 9, you
wrote Senators Mitchell and Dole
requesting that they authorize the SACOG
to undertake a mission to Moscow for
this purpose. In response to your
request, six members of the Group
(Senators Nunn, Pell, Lugar, Stevens,
Warner, and Bumpers) visited Moscow
between May 3-5. Enroute to Russia, the
delegation stopped in Croatia, and they
had an opportunity to consult with
Secretary Christopher on the situation
in Bosnia when their paths crossed in
Moscow.

PREVIOUS PARTICIPATION: On February 15, you wrote to the five
Co-Chairmen of the SACOG expressing your
commitment to use the Group as a
valuable consultative mechanism on arms
control matters.

DATE AND TIME: Open

DURATION: 30 minutes

LOCATION: Roosevelt Room

PARTICIPANTS: The President; the Vice President;
Senators Nunn, Pell, Lugar, Stevens,
Warner, and Bumpers; Ambassador Talbott;
Howard Paster; Toby Gati; Robert Bell
(notetaker).

OUTLINE OF EVENTS: Welcome Senators back and ask Senator
Nunn to present a summary of their
impressions and recommendations.
Solicit other Senators' comments as
appropriate.

REMARKS REQUIRED: None

MEDIA COVERAGE: None

FIRST LADY'S ATTENDANCE: No

VICE PRESIDENT'S ATTENDANCE: Yes

SECOND LADY'S ATTENDANCE: No

RECOMMENDED BY: NSC

CONTACT: Robert Bell (x-3330)

UNCLASSIFIED
NSC/RMO PROFILE

RECORD ID: 9303106
RECEIVED: 05 MAY 93 13

TO: JUKES, J

FROM: ITOH

DOC DATE: 07 MAY 93
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: DEFENSE BUDGET
LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL

EME

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: DOD PROPOSED TESTIMONY ON FEMA CIVIL DEFENSE BUDGET REQUEST

ACTION: ITOH SGD MEMO

DUE DATE: 06 MAY 93 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: HAHN

LOGREF:

FILES: WH

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL
EXECSEC
HAHN

COMMENTS: _____

DISPATCHED BY _____ DATE _____ BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSJEB

CLOSED BY: NSASK

DOC 3 OF 3

UNCLASSIFIED

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

May 7, 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES J. JUKES

FROM: WILLIAM H. ITOH *Will*

SUBJECT: Defense Proposed Testimony on FEMA's Civil Defense
Budget Request

The National Security Council staff concurs in the proposed
testimony.

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

May 5, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM H. ITOH

THROUGH: ROBERT BELL *RB*

FROM: KEITH HAHN *KH*

SUBJECT: Defense Proposed Testimony on FEMA's Civil Defense
Budget Request

Tab II provides the proposed Department of Defense testimony in response to several specific questions posed by the HASC Oversight and Investigations Subcommittee. The acting DoD Director for Emergency Planning, Max Alston, will answer the questions, but he limits his proposed testimony to those FEMA issues in which DoD has a direct interest. He specifically does not answer questions on the FEMA budget submission and how money might be saved in a FEMA reorganization.

The proposed testimony appropriately addresses the questions about the contribution that DoD can make in emergency relief and disaster planning, while restating the importance of maintaining civil defense capabilities.

Concurrence by: Jeremy Rosner *JR*

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I concurring in the proposed testimony.

Attachments

Tab I Memorandum for Signature
Tab II Incoming Correspondence

31063 pages
total
TESTIMONY

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
Washington, D.C. 20503

May 5, 1993

TESTIMONY

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

LRM #M-248

TO: Legislative Liaison Officer -

NSC - William H. Itoh - (202)395-3723 - 249
FEMA - Spence W. Perry - (202)646-4105 - 327

FROM: JAMES J. JUKES (for) *Jin*
Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

OMB CONTACT: Jeffrey WEINBERG (395-3457)
Secretary's line (for simple responses): 395-3454

SUBJECT: DEFENSE Proposed Testimony on FEMA's civil
defense budget request

DEADLINE: 3PM May 6, 1993

OMB requests the views of your agency on the above subject before
advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in
accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

Please advise us if this item will affect direct spending or
receipts for purposes of the the "Pay-As-You-Go" provisions of
Title XIII of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990.

CC:
Ken Rydar
Steve Redburn
Chris Heiser
Don Gessaman
Tom Stanners
Jonathan Breul
Ed Rea

_____ Concur
_____ No objection
_____ No comment
_____ See proposed edits on pages _____
_____ Other: _____
_____ FAX RETURN of _____ pages, attached to this
_____ response sheet

MAY 5 '93 9:38 FROM OSD-LRS
05.05.93 10:00 M

TO JUKES

PAGE.002
0002.003

U.S. House of Representatives
COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES
Washington, DC 20515

May 3, 1993

Mr. Craig Alderman, Jr.
Deputy Under Secretary of Defense
for Security Policy
Washington, D.C. 20301-2200

Dear Mr. Alderman:

On Monday, May 10, 1993, the Oversight and Investigations Subcommittee will review FEMA's fiscal year 1994 Civil Defense budget request. The subcommittee invites you to appear at the 2:00 p.m. hearing; you will be advised of the location as soon as possible.

Your testimony should discuss the Department of Defense position on FEMA's fiscal year 1994 Civil Defense Program. The testimony should also address the following issues:

o In February 1993, the National Academy of Public Administration ("NAPA") issued a comprehensive report about how to reform FEMA. The report was written in consultation with FEMA, the General Accounting Office and the Department of Defense. What NAPA proposals, if any, does DOD favor? What NAPA proposals, if any, is DOD against?

o As you know, the fiscal year 1994 budget does not have line items entitled "Civil Defense" and "Federal Preparedness." Rather, the budget has two new line items: (1) Federal Planning and Response; and (2) State and Local Planning and Response. Both budget items include resources from the old Civil Defense and Federal Preparedness programs. We have two questions about this change.

First, can FEMA absorb larger cuts in these programs? Combining Civil Defense and Federal Preparedness should achieve significant economies of scale because they perform many of the same functions and their assets can be used for all disasters.

Second, will the budget reorganization work? FEMA was criticized for not using Civil Defense and Federal Preparedness assets in preparation for, and in response to, a disaster. The new budget structure attempts to remedy that problem. FEMA's own internal organization, however, has not changed. FEMA is keeping the same number of offices and managers within Civil Defense and Federal Preparedness. As a result, in some instances there will be two managers

MAY 5 '93 9:40 FROM OSD-LRS
05-03-93 15:37

TO JUKES

PAGE.003
0003.003

Mr. Craig Alderman, Jr.
May 3, 1993
Page two

and offices to administer a single program.

o Should the Civil Defense Act be amended? Under current law, FEMA cannot spend money planning for a natural disaster if this will detract from "attack-related civil defense preparedness." Is the Act outdated and shouldn't FEMA be permitted to spend funds preparing for the most likely threats?

o What steps, if any, is the Department of Defense taking to implement "lessons learned" from the recent mass disasters in the United States (Hurricane Andrew, LA riots, etc.).

o Many congressional committees retain jurisdiction over FEMA's activities. Does this impede FEMA's emergency management functions? Would it simplify matters if Congress designated one committee in each house with responsibility over FEMA?


Please provide 25 copies of your testimony 48 hours prior to the hearing to the Subcommittee's office (2343 Rayburn). Also, on May 10th please furnish at least 25 additional copies to the Subcommittee's office. Should you or your staff have any questions, please contact Mr. Ariel David at (202) 225-3036.

In the past, the Military Installations and Facilities Subcommittee had jurisdiction over Civil Defense. In January, however, the full Committee reorganized and Civil Defense was transferred to Oversight and Investigations.

Sincerely,



Norman Sisisky
Chairman
Oversight and Investigations
Subcommittee



James V. Hansen
Ranking Republican
Oversight and Investigations
Subcommittee

MS/JVH/ad

MAY 5 '93 8:22 FROM OSD-LRS

TO JUKES

PAGE.0 2

Draft -- 5 May 93

Sam,
Needs OMB clearance
asap, preferably by COB
Thursday. Thanks, May

NOT FOR PUBLICATION UNTIL
RELEASED BY THE COMMITTEE

STATEMENT OF

MAXWELL ALSTON

ACTING DIRECTOR FOR EMERGENCY PLANNING

OFFICE OF THE

DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (SECURITY POLICY)

BEFORE THE

SUBCOMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

10 May 1993

MAY 5 93 9:22 FROM OSD-LRS

TO JUKES

PAGE.003

Draft -- 5 May 1993

FISCAL YEAR 1994 CIVIL DEFENSE PROGRAM

Mister Chairman, and members of the Committee. I am Maxwell Alston, Acting Director for Emergency Planning of the Department of Defense. I appreciate this opportunity to testify on behalf of the Federal Emergency Management Agency's Civil Defense Budget proposal for Fiscal Year 1994.

While it is customary to have this testimony presented by a more senior official, due to ongoing transition in the Department of Defense, I received the call. However, I have been directly involved in the preparation of DoD's testimony on the Civil Defense Budget for several years, and work closely with FEMA in many aspects of our mutually supportive defense and emergency planning activities. Therefore, I am pleased to speak on behalf of the Department of Defense today.

Civil Defense and the proposed Civil Defense Budget for FY 1994 reflect significant (perhaps radical) changes, which reflect in turn the dramatically changing alignment of world threats and risks. By comparison to previous FEMA budgets, the Civil Defense items historically carried in Defense accounts are both reduced and deemphasized. I assure you, however, that DoD applauds FEMA's general thrust to obtain greater efficiency and effectiveness through realigning many of its historic defense-related functions into two new program areas, respectively called "Federal-" and "State and Local Planning and Response." Therefore, the proposal FEMA is submitting today has the endorsement and full support of the Department of Defense as a vital element of the Nation's preparedness.

MAY 5 93 9:22 FROM OSD-LRS

TO JUKES

PAGE.004

Draft -- 5 May 1993

We appreciate the unusually specific nature of this Committee's invitation to DoD to testify today on a broader range of relationships than the annual FEMA budget submission. Due to time constraints, our response is necessarily abbreviated; but we will provide more complete information for the record at a later date.

Accordingly, I turn now to comments on each of the areas you requested in your invitation letter, which is appended to our testimony for reference. Then I will conclude with a brief perspective.

NAPA Recommendations. You have asked which NAPA proposals, if any, does DoD favor or oppose. We answer, first, by commending NAPA and its panel for an outstanding report, which is based on extraordinarily thorough research and sound analysis. However, today, we address only the specific comments captioned "Role of the Military and the Federal Government as First Responder" (page x) and those Panel Recommendations in the list on pages xv-xxi, which specifically refer to the Department of Defense.

DoD concurs with NAPA's comment (page x) that, "The time has come to shift the emphasis [of civil defense] from national security to domestic emergency management using an all-hazards approach." However, we emphasize DoD's perspective that the risk of some forms of attacks by foreign enemies on or within this country have not disappeared, and that the recent World Trade Tower incident may even herald a period of more likely threats to the Nation. Therefore, as the Nation's civil defense preparedness moves to "an all-hazards approach," it must not lose sight of both direct and indirect hazards to large numbers of people, which could result from many forms of attacks that are unprecedented in America but quite familiar around the world. Those include the increasing capability of some potential attackers to employ chemical, biological, and even nuclear weapons.

MAY 5 1993 9:23 FROM OSD-LRS

TO JUKES

PAGE.005

Draft -- 5 May 1993

The Department of Defense concurs especially with NAPA's conclusion that the disaster planning and response functions of civil defense should not be transferred to DoD. We seek to maintain our total capabilities for defense missions, including readiness for armed combat should it be necessary, even as we significantly reduce the total military capability of the Nation. The Defense Department remains committed to historic roles (so evident in response to Hurricanes Andrew and Iniki in 1992) in which the U.S. Armed Forces support civil authorities for disaster planning and response; and we agree that the actual response to Hurricane Andrew on South Florida revealed a need for better civil and military planning for immediate response by the military as one component of a Federal Team. However, we particularly concur with NAPA in this statement:

"The problem should be addressed by improving procedures that enable civilian authorities to call upon the capabilities of the Armed Forces in a timely fashion in those relatively rare circumstances that require response capabilities of a magnitude only they can provide."

As summarized by reference to Chapters of the Report, on pages xv-xxi, we generally concur with the following NAPA recommendations, which relate specifically to DoD. We obviously do not mean to foreclose additional Defense discussion of these or other recommendations, by responding in this testimony:

Chapter Three

- Retain the current mission and role of the Armed Forces....Make certain that processes and procedures exist for promptly triggering their capabilities....
- Set in motion a review by DoD of the role of the National Guard with regard to disaster management and response.

MAY 5 '93 9:24 FROM OSD-LRS

TO JUKES

PAGE.006

Draft -- 5 May 1993**Chapter Four**

- We are prepared to work with FEMA to implement any appropriate change in responsibilities for defense mobilization functions or classified programs (page xvi).
- We do not comment on the appropriate number of FEMA Regions, as discussed by reference to the Continental U.S. Armies on page xviii; and we emphasize that the number and nature of Continental Armies is itself subject to change today. However, we confirm DoD's basic intent to retain and develop an infrastructure of responsibility to cooperate with FEMA in all appropriate matrix management techniques to provide efficient civil and military planning on multi-state bases. To that end, DoD has realigned its previous war planning structure for civil defense into a single system of Military Support to Civil Authorities applicable in both peace and war. We will continue to cooperate with FEMA in applying the talents of Military Reserve officers to civil-military planning tasks in the States and counties, as well as the FEMA Regions, if needed. In that regard, we would question the current proposal to eliminate the 600 Individual Mobilization Augmentees at State and local agencies as being perhaps "penny-wise and pound-foolish."

FEMA Budget Amounts and Form. The Defense Department is not able to answer your questions: "Can FEMA absorb larger cuts in these programs?" and "Will the budget reorganization work?" The questions are more properly addressed to FEMA, and to OMB; although DoD is prepared to assist those agencies in detailed analysis of the question and related data, if needed. For DoD to provide line-by-line oversight of parts of the FEMA budget that are placed in Defense accounts, some change in the new FEMA budget format would be required. Removing those items from the Defense Budget might be preferable.

MAY 5 '93 9:24 FROM OSD-LRS

TO JUKES

PAGE.007

Draft -- 5 May 1993

Should the Civil Defense Act be Amended ? Without prejudice to the specifics of what amendments are needed, most in DoD who are familiar with the Civil Defense Act believe that significant amendments are required to reflect a new statement by the Congress of the desired national goals, purposes, and mechanisms for civil defense. In studying the present Act, it is noteworthy that the Legislative process produced such a broad and flexible definition of "attack" in 1950. FEMA certainly should be permitted -- in fact directed -- to spend funds preparing for the most likely threats and risks to the Nation. Yet, most threats of attack are encompassed by the language of the current Act. Revision of the Act probably should be directed more to providing a clear statutory charter for the Nation's primary disaster preparedness agency itself than to attempted definition of the threats and risks for which it should prepare.

What steps is the Department of Defense taking to implement "Lessons Learned" from Hurricane Andrew, the Los Angeles riots etc. ? We believe our military capabilities to respond to the various civil emergencies of 1992 and 1993 are satisfactory; and the lessons we have learned are more incremental than directional in nature. For example, our response to Hurricane Andrew in South Florida revealed a need for some specific Federal Government planning for immediate response to a truly catastrophic disaster, in which we would muster efficiently all capabilities that could contribute to assessing the situation within the disaster area and prioritizing the response needed to restore State and local government control of the situation and the recovery processes. Frankly, it also revealed that the Armed Forces could respond far more efficiently in applying defense resources, if we could follow more detailed planning, led by civil agencies, for all of the accepted functions of disaster management. We believe the Federal Response Plan can lend itself to this type of preparedness, if all departments and agencies involved make a commitment of time and other resources.

MAY 5 '93 9:25 FROM OSD-LRS

TO JUKES

PAGE.008

Draft -- 5 May 1993

In Los Angeles and South Florida alike, we saw the wisdom of constitutional and historic ~~wisdom~~ in divisions of labor between the National Guard (which responds first under the orders of the Governor) and the Active Components of all military forces. Frankly, we also saw that choices between those divisions of labor can be influenced by economic pressures on the States and command and control issues (as in the decision to federalize the California National Guard), and by the presence or absence of effective planning. Therefore, while basic Defense Department policies and responsive mechanisms are not in need of revolutionary correction, we have learned the need for updated doctrine and increased planning with the civil sector on many fronts, including especially Defense-led planning for mutually supportive employment of the National Guard in state duty status and Federal military capabilities. Those lessons learned are being implemented by developing new doctrinal materials and by various planning initiatives. There also is a recognized need for dynamic new adaptations of historic training and exercise activities, by both civil and military sectors, to address real contingencies for large-scale domestic disasters.

Congressional Jurisdiction for FEMA. DoD would not presume to judge this matter. However, in answer to your specific question, some reduction in the current multiple jurisdictions obviously could benefit all concerned.

The Defense Department annually has expressed appreciation for actions of the Congress to fund programs to implement the national civil defense policies, as they have evolved to reflect changes in the national security environment. Last year, we supported the Civil Defense Program proposed for FY 1992, not only for the dollars it requested, but for its shift in emphasis and its spirit of revitalization. Rapid changes in the world make it extraordinarily difficult to keep written policy statements and national strategies apace with reality; but we all are pleased to have a new statement of civil defense policy after two years of development.

MAY 5 1993 9:25 FROM OSD-LRS

TO JUKES

PAGE.009

Draft -- 5 May 1993

In addition to those answers to your questions, we call to your attention the fact that the Defense Department and our military forces have a double interest in civil defense. First, we view our participation in civil defense as a responsibility to protect Americans, in which, whenever authorized by law and national priorities, we provide military support to civil government. Secondly, we know civil defense can ensure civil government effectiveness in times of national security crisis, when the Department of Defense could be a prime beneficiary of many forms of civil emergency preparedness.

Throughout the Cold War era, the Nation's civil defense policy focused on Soviet capabilities for nuclear attack. Today, that threat has been greatly diminished. However, even if current best-case scenarios for reducing and controlling strategic weapons and long-term cooperation with the Russian Republic are realized, Russia and others in the world will retain nuclear capabilities that can significantly threaten the national welfare, if not the physical survival, of the United States.

For as far as we dare try to see into the future, our civil defense program will need to deal with the contingent consequences of many forms of attack, while it provides reliable capabilities to respond to natural and man-made catastrophes of all descriptions. A national program of "civil defense" -- however, it may be defined in detail -- is an important link for planning by the Department of Defense with civil authorities, as one element of total preparedness.

The military response to Hurricane Andrew in South Florida reinforced our awareness, stated in testimony on the Civil Defense Budget last year, that

"...inherent responsibility of the State governments to prepare for and respond to all forms of disaster must not be assumed by the

MAY 5 93 9:26 FROM OSD-LRS

TO JUKES

PAGE.010

Draft - 5 May 1993

Federal Government - - under any planning mechanism. For the Department of Defense, all hazards emergency preparedness must be coordinated with the States through the National Guard, as well as through FEMA."

Except for urgent actions to save lives or protect Federal property, the law prohibits use of resources of the Department of Defense to respond to civil emergencies, unless there is a clear source for reimbursement (e.g., under the Stafford Act, if the President declares a major disaster). The Department of Defense also is acutely aware that civil government reliance on large-scale military response to disasters could be dangerously misleading. The much smaller military forces of the future might be drastically constrained in their ability to support civil government, if a disaster coincides with any circumstances of actual or probable military engagement.

We support FEMA's proposal to apply \$146 Million in the DoD accounts to adapting historic civil defense programs, for which \$159 Million is appropriated in FY 1993. We also endorse FEMA's intent to coordinate use of those funds effectively with other FEMA activities to build a dynamic all-hazards emergency management program that can meet real needs of the Nation for the future. A more definitive method of budgeting for those activities outside of the Defense accounts may be preferred in future years; but we believe FEMA's budget proposal for FY 1994 represents a prudent application of available resources to civil defense needs in this time of transition and uncertainty.

Thank you for your courtesy in inviting and hearing this testimony on behalf of the Department of Defense.

UNCLASSIFIED
NSC/RMO PROFILE

RECORD ID: 9302924
RECEIVED: 29 APR 93 08

TO: LOBER, J
ET AL

FROM: PRESIDENT

DOC DATE: 07 MAY 93
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: MILITARY NOMINATIONS

WH REFERRAL

PERSONS: LORBER, JOHN G
JAMERSON, JAMES L

JACKSON, JOHN E
CROKER, STEPHAN B

SUBJECT: LTRS OF RECOGNITION FOR PROMOTIONS TO LT GENERAL FOR CERTAIN AIR
FORCE OFFICERS

ACTION: PRES SGD LTR

DUE DATE: 03 MAY 93 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: BELL

LOGREF:

FILES: WH

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL
HOOKER
NSC CHRON

COMMENTS: _____

DISPATCHED BY _____ DATE _____ BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSJDA

CLOSED BY: NSASK

DOC 4 OF 4

UNCLASSIFIED

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 6, 1993

Dear General Jackson:

It is a pleasure to send my personal congratulations to you on the occasion of your nomination for reappointment as Lieutenant General and assignment as Vice Commander, Air Mobility Command. Through long years of arduous service to our great nation, you have served faithfully in positions of ever-increasing responsibility and importance. The American people are indeed fortunate to be served by senior military leaders of your stature and accomplishments.

Hillary and I wish you every success as you take up your new duties. Good luck, and best wishes for your continued service to the nation.

Sincerely,

Bill Clinton

Lieutenant General John E. Jackson, Jr., USAF
Commander, Fifteenth Air Force
March Air Force Base, California 92518-5000

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 6, 1993

Dear General Jamerson:

It is a pleasure to send my personal congratulations to you on the occasion of your nomination for reappointment as Lieutenant General and assignment as Commander, Twelfth Air Force. Through long years of arduous service to our great nation, you have served faithfully in positions of ever-increasing responsibility and importance. The American people are indeed fortunate to be served by senior military leaders of your stature and accomplishments.

Hillary and I wish you every success as you take up your new duties. Good luck, and best wishes for your continued service to the nation.

Sincerely,

Bill Clinton

Lieutenant General James L. Jamerson, USAF
Vice Commander-in-Chief
United States Air Forces Europe
APOE 09094-5001

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 6, 1993

Dear General Lorber:

It is a pleasure to send my personal congratulations to you on the occasion of your nomination for promotion to Lieutenant General. Through long years of arduous service to our great nation, you have served faithfully in positions of ever-increasing responsibility and importance. The American people are indeed fortunate to be served by senior military leaders of your stature and accomplishments.

Hillary and I wish you every success as you take up your new duties. Good luck, and best wishes for your continued service to the nation.

Sincerely,

Bill Clinton

Major General John G. Lorber, USAF
Director, Plans
Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff
for Plans and Operations
Headquarters, United States Air Force
Washington, D.C. 20330-1630

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 6, 1993

Dear General Croker:

It is a pleasure to send my personal congratulations to you on the occasion of your nomination for reappointment as Lieutenant General and assignment as Commander, Eighth Air Force. Through long years of arduous service to our great nation, you have served faithfully in positions of ever-increasing responsibility and importance. The American people are indeed fortunate to be served by senior military leaders of your stature and accomplishments.

Hillary and I wish you every success as you take up your new duties. Good luck, and best wishes for your continued service to the nation.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Bill Clinton". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a large initial "B" and a prominent dot over the "i" in "Clinton".

Lieutenant General Stephen B. Croker, USAF
Vice Commander, Air Combat Command
Langley Air Force Base, Virginia 23665-2788

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

May 6, 1993

33 MAY 6 PM: 2

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ANTHONY LAKE ✓
SUBJECT: Letters of Congratulation

Purpose

To recognize the nomination for promotion or reappointment as Lieutenant General of certain senior Air Force officers.

Background

Major General Lorber, USAF has been nominated for promotion to Lieutenant General and Air Force Lieutenant Generals Jackson, Jamerson and Croker have been nominated for reappointment in their current grade. We routinely prepare Presidential letters of congratulation to recognize these achievements.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the letters of congratulation at Tab A.

Attachments

Tab A Letters of Congratulations

cc: Vice President
Chief of Staff

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

May 5, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: ROBERT G. BELL *RGB*
FROM: RICHARD HOOKER *RH*
SUBJECT: Letters of Congratulations

We have prepared letters of congratulations for several Air Force general officers nominated for promotion or reappointment in the grade of Lieutenant General.

Concurrence by: Keith Hahn *KH*

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memo to the President at Tab I

Attachments

Tab I Memo to the President
Tab A Letters of Congratulations
Tab II Incoming Correspondence

2924

Document No. _____

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: 04/28/93 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: 05/08/93

SUBJECT: MILITARY NOMINATIONS:

1. MAJGEN JOHN G. LORBER, USAF
2. LTGEN JOHN E. JACKSON, JR. USAF
3. LTGEN JAMES L. JAMERSON, USAF
4. LTGEN STEPHEN B. CROKER, USAF

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	☐	☐	PASTER	☐	☐
McLARTY	☐	☐	RASCO	☐	☐
GEARAN	☐	☐	RUBIN	☐	☐
PANETTA	☐	☐	SEGAL	☐	☐
EMANUEL	☐	☐	STEPHANOPOULOS	☐	☐
GIBBONS	☐	☐	VARNEY	☐	☐
HALE	☐	☐	WATKINS	☐	☐
HERMAN	☐	☐	WILLIAMS	☐	☐
LAKE	☐	☐	<i>Bob Bell</i>	☐	☐
LINDSEY	☐	☐	CLERK	☐	☒
MONTOYA	☐	☐		☐	☐
NUSSBAUM	☐	☐		☐	☐

REMARKS:

Do you have any objections?

RESPONSE:

JOHN D. PODESTA
 Assistant to the President
 and Staff Secretary
 Ext. 2702

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

93 APR 28 P2:48

April 27, 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ~~JOHN A. GAUGHAN~~
DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE

SUBJECT: Air Force General Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of Major General John G. Lorber, United States Air Force, for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general and a recommendation for his assignment as Vice Commander in Chief, United States Air Forces in Europe, and Director, European Air Combat Operations Staff.

The Deputy Secretary of Defense has attached an evaluation of General Lorber's performance in a joint duty assignment from the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

This nomination has been staffed by the Acting Secretary of the Air Force and approved by the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-1000



24 APR 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT


SUBJECT: Air Force General Officer Nomination

On behalf of the Secretary of Defense, I recommend the duties of Vice Commander in Chief, United States Air Forces in Europe, and Director, European Air Combat Operations Staff, a position of importance and responsibility previously designated to carry the grade of lieutenant general, be assigned to Major General John G. Lorber, and that you nominate him for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general. General Lorber, who is 51 years of age, is currently assigned as Director, Plans, Deputy Chief of Staff Plans and Operations, Headquarters, United States Air Force. He will succeed Lieutenant General James L. Jamerson, United States Air Force, who is being nominated for another position.

In order to carry out the duties and responsibilities of the proposed assignment, an officer must have demonstrated highly effective performance in a number of senior leadership positions involving offensive and defensive air operations. He must also possess a thorough understanding of wartime planning for the European Theater, and be an outstanding representative of the United States to the allied nations of the region. General Lorber meets these requirements.

The above action will not result in the Air Force exceeding the number of officers authorized to be serving in the grade of lieutenant general.

As required by title 10, United States Code, section 601(d)(1), I have attached an evaluation of General Lorber's performance in a joint duty assignment from the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.


William J. Perry

Attachments



CHAIRMAN, JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20318-0001

CN-128-93
6 April 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Through: Deputy Secretary of Defense

Subject: General Officer Nomination

1. In accordance with the provisions of title 10, United States Code, and DOD Instruction 1320.4, the Acting Secretary of the Air Force has recommended Major General John G. Lorber for assignment as Vice Commander in Chief, United States Air Forces in Europe, and Director, European Air Combat Operations Staff, and appointment to the grade of lieutenant general.
2. I have reviewed Major General Lorber's performance in one joint duty assignment. As Chief, Theater Nuclear Policy Branch and Nuclear Employment and Policy Planner, J-5, Joint Staff, he was the principal expert in theater nuclear policy and theater planning for NATO. His consequent success in dealing with crucial and highly sensitive issues and in-depth understanding of the requirements facilitated his interaction with the Services, Office of the Secretary of Defense, Department of State, and the National Security Council. His development of the 1984 DOD Nuclear Posture Report to Congress, and its subsequent acceptance by the cognizant Committee, was a major step in resolving a number of troublesome nuclear issues. I am confident he is well qualified for this assignment and advancement.
3. After consultation with the other members of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, I concur in the Acting Secretary of the Air Force's nomination of Major General Lorber for this assignment and appointment to the grade of lieutenant general. Accordingly, I recommend you forward the enclosed nomination to the President approving these actions.



COLIN L. POWELL
Chairman
of the
Joint Chiefs of Staff

Enclosure

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. letter	[Personally Identifiable Information] [partial] (1 page)	04/24/1993	b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 44

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - May 1993 #1 [4]

2016-0152-F
vz4396

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1** National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2** Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3** Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4** Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5** Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6** Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1)** National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2)** Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3)** Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4)** Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6)** Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7)** Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8)** Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9)** Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

The White House

Washington

To the Senate of the United States:

I nominate:

The following named officer for appointment to the grade of Lieutenant General while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under Title 10, United States Code, Section 601:

To be Lieutenant General

Major General John G. Lorber, (b)(6)
United States Air Force

[004]



THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-1000



84 APR 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT


SUBJECT: Air Force General Officer Nomination

On behalf of the Secretary of Defense, I recommend you designate the position of Commander, Twelfth Air Force, Air Combat Command, and Commander, United States Southern Command Air Forces, as a position of importance and responsibility designated to carry the grade of lieutenant general under the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 601. This position comes from the Air Force's restructure of Tactical Air Command, Strategic Air Command, and Military Airlift Command into two commands. Consequently, the position of Commander, Twelfth Air Force, Tactical Air Command, and Commander, United States Southern Command Air Forces, should no longer be designated as a position of importance and responsibility. Your signature on this memorandum will constitute your approval.

I also recommend the duties of Commander, Twelfth Air Force, Air Combat Command, and Commander, United States Southern Command Air Forces, be assigned to Lieutenant General James L. Jamerson, and that you nominate him for reappointment to the grade of lieutenant general. General Jamerson, who is 52 years of age, is currently serving as Vice Commander in Chief, United States Air Forces in Europe, and Director, European Air Combat Operations Staff.

In order to carry out the duties and responsibilities of the proposed assignment, an officer must have demonstrated highly effective performance in a number of senior leadership positions involving the deployment and employment of tactical forces in support of United States security interests on a worldwide basis. He must also have a thorough understanding of the requirements and processes associated with wartime contingency planning and insuring that assigned forces are maintained in a state of readiness sufficient to carry out specified wartime and peacetime missions. General Jamerson meets these requirements.

This action will not result in the Air Force exceeding the number of officers authorized to be serving in the grade of lieutenant general.


William J. Perry

Attachments

APPROVED _____
President of the United States

DATED _____

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~
NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

20453
Add-on

May 7, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR SAMUEL R. BERGER

THROUGH: ROBERT G. BELL *RB*
FROM: STEVEN ANDREASEN *SA*
SUBJECT: Minutes of April 30, 1993, DC Meeting on U.S.
Policy on Nuclear Testing and a Comprehensive
Test Ban

Attached for your review is the Minutes of the April 30, 1993, DC meeting on U.S. Policy on Nuclear Testing and a Comprehensive Test Ban.

RECOMMENDATION

That you review the attached Minutes at Tab I.

Attachment
Tab I DC Meeting Minutes

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526
White House Guidelines, September 11, 2006
By *VZ* NARA, Date *10/3/2016*
2016-0152-F

~~SECRET~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~SECRET~~

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002. minutes	[NSC Deputies Committee] Meeting on U.S. Policy on Nuclear Testing and a Comprehensive Test Ban. April 30, 1993. Record ID: 9320453. (13 pages)	05/07/1993	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 44

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - May 1993 #1 [4]

2016-0152-F

vz4396

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

May 7, 1993

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ANTHONY LAKE

THROUGH: ROBERT G. BELL *RB*
FROM: RICHARD HOOKER *RH*
SUBJECT: Letters of Congratulations

We were asked to prepare letters of congratulations for certain general and flag officers recently nominated for promotion or retirement in grade.

Concurrence by: Keith Hahn *KH*

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memo to the President at Tab I.

Attachments

Tab I Memo to the President
Tab A Letters of Congratulations
Tab II Incoming Correspondence

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ANTHONY LAKE

SUBJECT: Letters of Congratulations

Purpose

To personally recognize certain Air Force, Army and Navy general and flag officers recently nominated for promotion or retirement.

Background

We routinely prepare Presidential letters of congratulations for flag and general officers when nominated for promotion or retirement in grade to recognize their achievements and foster relations with the defense community.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the letters of congratulations at Tab A.

Attachment

Tab A Letters of Congratulations

cc: Vice President
Chief of Staff

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Dear General Trzaskoma:

As your long and distinguished career comes to a close, I want to extend my personal thanks and warm congratulations to you for many years of faithful and distinguished service. For more than three decades, you have set a standard for achievement and accomplishment in peace and war. The American people are indeed fortunate to have been served by an officer of your unique talents and abilities.

Hillary and I wish you every success and happiness as you complete your remarkable military career.

Sincerely,

Lieutenant General Richard J. Trzaskoma, USAF
Commander, Twenty Second Air Force
Travis Air Force Base, California 94535-5002

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Dear Admiral Moore:

It is a pleasure to send my personal congratulations to you on the occasion of your nomination for promotion to Rear Admiral (Upper Half). Through long years of arduous service to our great nation, you have served faithfully in positions of ever-increasing responsibility and importance. The American people are indeed fortunate to be served by senior military leaders of your stature and accomplishments.

Hillary and I wish you every success as you take up your new duties. Good luck, and best wishes for your continued service to the nation.

Sincerely,

Rear Admiral (Lower Half) Douglas M.
Moore, USNR
Special Assistant to the Commander
Defense Fuel Support Center
Alexandria, Virginia 22304-6160

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Dear General Fain:

It is a pleasure to send my personal congratulations to you on the occasion of your nomination for promotion to Lieutenant General and assignment as Commander, Aeronautical Systems Center, Air Force Materiel Command. Through long years of arduous service to our great nation, you have served faithfully in positions of ever-increasing responsibility and importance. The American people are indeed fortunate to be served by senior military leaders of your stature and accomplishments.

Hillary and I wish you every success as you take up your new duties. Good luck, and best wishes for your continued service to the nation.

Sincerely,

Major General James A. Fain, Jr., USAF
Deputy Chief of Staff, Requirements
Air Force Materiel Command
Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433-
5001

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Dear General Ryan:

As your long and distinguished career comes to a close, I want to extend my personal thanks and warm congratulations to you for many years of faithful and distinguished service. For more than three decades, you have set a standard for achievement and accomplishment in peace and war. The American people are indeed fortunate to have been served by an officer of your unique talents and abilities.

Hillary and I wish you every success and happiness as you complete your remarkable military career.

Sincerely,

Lieutenant General Martin J. Ryan, Jr., USAF
Commander, Eighth Air Force
Air Combat Command
Barksdale Air Force Base, Louisiana 71110-
5002

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Dear General Mears:

As your long and distinguished career comes to a close, I want to extend my personal thanks and warm congratulations to you for many years of faithful and distinguished service. For more than three decades, you have set a standard for achievement and accomplishment in peace and war. The American people are indeed fortunate to have been served by an officer of your unique talents and abilities.

Hillary and I wish you every success and happiness as you complete your remarkable military career.

Sincerely,

Lieutenant General Gary H. Mears, USAF
Director, J-4/Logistics
The Joint Staff
Washington, D.C. 20318-4000

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Dear General Wykle:

It is a pleasure to send my personal congratulations to you on the occasion of your nomination for promotion as Lieutenant General and assignment as Deputy Commander-in-Chief, U.S. Transportation Command. Through long years of arduous service to our great nation, you have served faithfully in positions of ever-increasing responsibility and importance. The American people are indeed fortunate to be served by senior military leaders of your stature and accomplishments.

Hillary and I wish you every success as you take up your new duties. Good luck, and best wishes for your continued service to the nation.

Sincerely,

Major General Kenneth R. Wykle, USA
Commanding General
U.S. Army Transportation Center
and Fort Eustis

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Dear Captain Wright:

It is a pleasure to send my personal congratulations to you on the occasion of your nomination for promotion to Rear Admiral (Lower Half). Through long years of arduous service to our great nation, you have served faithfully in positions of ever-increasing responsibility and importance. The American people are indeed fortunate to be served by senior military leaders of your stature and accomplishments.

Hillary and I wish you every success as you take up your new duties. Good luck, and best wishes for your continued service to the nation.

Sincerely,

Captain Dennis I. Wright, USN
Chief, Medical Readiness Command
Department of the Navy
Washington, D.C. 20372

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: 4/24/93 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: 4/27/93
MILITARY NOMINATIONS: LT GEN MARTIN J. RYAN, JR., USAF
MAJ GEN KENNETH R. WYKLE, USA
SUBJECT: LT GEN RICHARD J. TRZASKOMA, USAF
REAR ADMIRAL (LOWER HALF) DOUGLAS MATTHEW

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	☐	☐	PASTER	☐	☐
McLARTY	☐	☐	RASCO	☐	☐
GEARAN	☐	☐	RUBIN	☐	☐
PANETTA	☐	☐	SEGAL	☐	☐
EMANUEL	☐	☐	STEPHANOPOULOS	☐	☐
GIBBONS	☐	☐	VARNEY	☐	☐
HALE	☐	☐	WATKINS	☐	☐
HERMAN	☐	☐	WILLIAMS	☐	☐
LAKE	☐	☐	BELL, Bob	☒	☐
LINDSEY	☐	☐	CLERK	☐	☒
MONTOKA	☐	☐		☐	☐
NUSSBAUM	☐	☐		☐	☐

REMARKS: MAJ GEN JAMES A. FAIN, JR., USAF
LT GEN GARY H. MEARS, USAF
CAPTAIN DENNIS I. WRIGHT, USN

Do you have any objections to the attached?

RESPONSE:

National Security Council staff concurs in the attached military nominations.

Anthony Lake

JOHN D. PODESTA
Assistant to the President
and Staff Secretary
Ext. 2702

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

April 21, 1993

21 P5:13

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

~~JOHN A. GAUGHAN~~

DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE

SUBJECT:

Air Force General Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of Lieutenant General Martin J. Ryan, Jr., United States Air Force, for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general on the retired list.

This recommendation has been staffed by the Acting Secretary of the Air Force and approved by the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON D C. 20301-1000




18 SEP 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Air Force General Officer Nomination

On behalf of the Secretary of Defense, I recommend you nominate Lieutenant General Martin J. Ryan, Jr., United States Air Force, for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general on the retired list. General Ryan, who is 56 years of age, is currently assigned as Commander, Eighth Air Force, Air Combat Command.


William J. Perry

Attachments

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

03 APR 21 P5:13

April 21, 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:  JOHN A. GAUGHAN
DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE

SUBJECT: Army General Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of Major General Kenneth R. Wykle, United States Army, for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general and a recommendation for his assignment as Deputy Commander in Chief/Chief of Staff, United States Transportation Command.

The Deputy Secretary of Defense has attached an evaluation of General Wykle's performance in joint duty assignments from the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

This nomination has been staffed by the Acting Secretary of the Army and approved by the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D C 20301-1000



17 APR 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Army General Officer Nomination

On behalf of the Secretary of Defense, I recommend the assignment of Major General Kenneth R. Wykle, United States Army, as Deputy Commander in Chief/Chief of Staff, United States Transportation Command, and his nomination for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general. General Wykle, who is 51 years of age, is currently serving as Commanding General, United States Army Transportation Center and Fort Eustis. He will succeed Lieutenant General James D. Starling, United States Army, who is retiring.

In order to carry out the duties and responsibilities of the proposed assignment, an officer must have demonstrated highly effective performance in senior leadership positions. He must have a thorough knowledge of operational and planning aspects of global transportation. He must also be knowledgeable about the Joint Operational Planning and Execution System and the Department of Defense Planning, Programming and Budgeting System. General Wykle meets these requirements.

This action will not result in the Army exceeding the number of officers authorized to be serving in the grade of lieutenant general. As required by title 10, United States Code, section 601(d)(1), I have attached an evaluation of General Wykle's performance in joint duty assignments from the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.


William J. Perry

Attachments

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

April 21, 1993

60 21 P5: 13

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ~~JOHN A. GAUGHAN~~
DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE

SUBJECT: Air Force General Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of Lieutenant General Richard J. Trzaskoma, United States Air Force, for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general on the retired list.

This recommendation has been staffed by the Acting Secretary of the Air Force and approved by the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-1000




19 APR 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Air Force General Officer Nomination

On behalf of the Secretary of Defense, I recommend you nominate Lieutenant General Richard J. Trzaskoma, United States Air Force, for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general on the retired list. General Trzaskoma, who is 56 years of age, is currently assigned as Commander, Twenty-Second Air Force.


William J. Perry

Attachments

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

April 20, 1993 10 21 P5:13

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ~~JOHN A. GAUGHAN~~
DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE

SUBJECT: Navy Flag Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is a nomination containing the name of one officer for promotion to the grade of rear admiral in the Supply Corps of the United States Naval Reserve.

This recommendation is based on a selection board that was approved by the Acting Secretary of the Navy, and forwarded by the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-1000



15 APR 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Navy Flag Officer Nomination

On behalf of the Secretary of Defense, I recommend you nominate the officer whose name is on the attached list for appointment to the grade of rear admiral in the Supply Corps of the United States Naval Reserve.

This nomination is based on the results of a selection board approved by the Acting Secretary of the Navy. This nomination will not result in the Navy exceeding the authorized strength of flag officers in an active status in the Naval Reserve.

William J. Perry
William J. Perry

Attachments

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

April 20, 1993

03 APR 21 P5:13

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

~~JOHN A. CAUGHAN~~
DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE

SUBJECT:

Air Force General Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of Major General James A. Fain, Jr., United States Air Force for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general and a recommendation for his assignment as Commander, Aeronautical Systems Center, Air Force Materiel Command.

The Deputy Secretary of Defense has also recommended that you designate the position of Commander, Aeronautical Systems Center, Air Force Materiel Command, as a position of importance and responsibility to carry the grade of lieutenant general under the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 601. Consequently, the position of Commander, Aeronautical Systems Division, Air Force Systems Command, should no longer be designated as a position of importance and responsibility. Your signature on the attached memorandum will signify your approval of this recommendation.

The Deputy Secretary of Defense has waived the joint duty assignment requirements in the case of General Fain based on his scientific/technical experience and utilization.

This nomination was staffed by the Acting Secretary of the Air Force and approved by the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-1000



15 APR 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Air Force General Officer Nomination

On behalf of the Secretary of Defense, I recommend you designate the position of Commander, Aeronautical Systems Center, Air Force Materiel Command, as a position of importance and responsibility designated to carry the grade of lieutenant general under the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 601. Consequently, the position of Commander, Aeronautical Systems Division, Air Force Systems Command, should no longer be designated as a position of importance and responsibility. Your signature on this memorandum will constitute your approval.

I also recommend the duties of Commander, Aeronautical Systems Center, Air Force Materiel Command, be assigned to Major General James A. Fain, Jr., and that you nominate him for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general. General Fain, who is 51 years of age, is currently serving as Deputy Chief of Staff, Requirements, Headquarters, Air Force Materiel Command.

In order to carry out the duties and responsibilities of the proposed assignment, an officer must have demonstrated highly effective performance in a number of senior leadership positions involving the acquisition of aeronautical systems, subsystems, and related equipment. He must also be able to plan and manage advanced engineering development projects. General Fain meets these requirements.

The joint duty assignment requirements were waived in the case of General Fain based on his scientific/technical experience and utilization. Joint duty requirements do not exist for officers with scientific/technical qualifications.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

April 21, 1993 00:21 P5:13

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:


~~JOHN A. GAUGHAN~~
DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE

SUBJECT:

Air Force General Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of Lieutenant General Gary H. Mears, United States Air Force, for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general on the retired list.

This recommendation has been staffed by the Acting Secretary of the Air Force and approved by the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-1000




17 APR 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Air Force General Officer Nomination

On behalf of the Secretary of Defense, I recommend you nominate Lieutenant General Gary H. Mears, United States Air Force, for appointment to the grade of lieutenant general on the retired list. General Mears, who is 56 years of age, is currently assigned as Director, Logistics, J-4, Joint Staff.


William J. Perry

Attachments

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

April 20, 1993 3 21 P5:13

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

~~JOHN A. GAUGHAN~~
DIRECTOR, WHITE HOUSE MILITARY OFFICE

SUBJECT:

Navy Flag Officer Nomination

Forwarded for your approval and signature is the nomination of Captain Dennis I. Wright, United States Navy, for appointment to the grade of rear admiral (lower half).

The nomination is submitted by the Deputy Secretary of Defense after considering information pertaining to Captain Wright, which has been provided by the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Military Manpower and Personnel Policy) (TAB A). If you approve this nomination, the adverse information will be provided to the Senate Armed Services Committee.

On January 3, 1992, then President Bush, nominated seven officers for appointment to the grade of rear admiral (lower half), based on results of a promotion board approved by the former Secretary of Defense. The Senate did not act on Captain Wright's nomination prior to adjournment of the 102d Congress.

This nomination has been staffed by the Acting Secretary of the Navy and approved by the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

Recommendation

That you approve and sign the attached.

Attachment



THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D C 20301-1000



15 APR 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT


SUBJECT: Navy Flag Officer Nomination

On behalf of the Secretary of Defense, I recommend you nominate Captain Dennis I. Wright, United States Navy, for appointment to the grade of rear admiral (lower half) in the United States Navy.

On January 3, 1992, President Bush nominated seven officers for appointment to the grade of rear admiral (lower half), based on results of a promotion board approved by the former Secretary of the Defense. The Senate did not act on Captain Wright's nomination prior to adjournment of the 102d Congress.

I have personally reviewed information concerning Captain Wright and will provide the information to the White House Military Office separately. Should you approve this nomination recommendation, the same information will be provided to the Senate Armed Services Committee.

This action will not result in the Navy exceeding the number of officers authorized to be serving in the grade of rear admiral.


William J. Perry

Attachments

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
003. memo	For the Director of the White House Military Office from Lt. Gen. Robert Alexander. Subject: Navy Flag Officer Nomination. (1 page)	04/09/1993	b(6)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
National Security Council
Defense Policy and Arms Control (Robert Bell)
OA/Box Number: 44

FOLDER TITLE:

Chron File - May 1993 #1 [4]

2016-0152-F

vz4396

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

TIME STAMP

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT STAFFING DOCUMENT

SYSTEM LOG NUMBER: 2789ACTION OFFICER: BELLDUE: COB, 26 APRIL 1993☐ Prepare Memo For Lake/Berger☐ Appropriate Action☐ Prepare Memo For Podesta☐ Prepare Memo For Itoh☒ Prepare MemoITOHto PODESTA

CONCURRENCES/COMMENTS*

PHONE* to action officer at ext. _____

Concur

FYI

☐☐ Andreasen☐☐ Andricos☐☐ Aoki☐☐ Barth☐☐ Beers☐☐ Bell☐☐ Burns☐☐ Canas☐☐ Clarke☐☐ Clarke, P.☐☐ Claussen☐☐ Farrell☐☐ Fauver☐☐ Feinberg☐☐ Forsythe☐☐ Fry☐☐ Gati☐☐ Gottemoeller☐☐ Gross☐☒ Hahn

Concur

FYI

☐☐ Harris☐☐ Holl☐☐ Hooker☐☐ Hull☐☐ Indyk☐☐ Jett☐☒ Jones☐☐ Kelly☐☐ Kristoff☐☐ Kupchan☐☐ Kyle☐☐ Lowenkron☐☐ Menan☐☐ Merchant☐☐ Morley☐☐ O'Leary☐☐ Owens-Kirkpatrick☐☐ Poneman☐☐ Primosch☐☐ Rice

Concur

FYI

☐☐ Riedel☐☐ Rosner☐☐ Saeed☐☐ Sanner☐☐ Schwartz☐☐ Sheehan☐☐ Spalter☐☐ Tenet☐☐ Tilley☐☐ Van Eron☐☐ Waguespack☐☐ Walker☐☐ Walsh☐☐ Wayne☐☐ Wiedemann☐☐ Wilson☐☐ Witkowski☐☐☐

INFORMATION

☒ Itoh☒ Kenney☒ Soderberg☒ Exec Sec Desk☐ Lake☐ Berger☒ Records Mgmt.

COMMENTS

Logged By Return to Records Mgmt.
379 OEGB