

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
--------------------------	---------------	------	-------------

001. memo	Loretta Young to Elizabeth Drye re: SSNs and DOBs [partial] (1 page)	02/28/1997	b(6)
-----------	--	------------	------

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Domestic Policy Council
Elizabeth Drye
OA/Box Number: 10450

FOLDER TITLE:

Events List

2014-0046-S

rc1360

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]



Elizabeth Drye
02/26/97 06:46:42 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP

cc:


Subject: Status of Events

Seat Belt Report. DOT expects to deliver to the White House March 10 a report the President requested suggesting ways to increase seat belt use. We could announce it later that week. The report may recommend the President: set an ambitious national goal for seat belt use; challenge the states to pass "primary" seat belt laws (allowing cops to stop passengers not wearing belts); and provide \$9 million in incentive grants to states (consistent with our 1998 budget).

Ban on Cloning. Congress banned the use of Federal funds for cloning in both FY96 and FY97, but privately-funded research is not similarly restricted. The President could call on the scientific community to institute a self-imposed moratorium on human cloning research -- at least while the National Bioethics Advisory Committee implements the President's request to study the issue over the next 90 days. We will make a recommendation next week on this possible action.

Actions responding to the President's Advisory Committee on Human Radiation Experiments. In response to key recommendations of the Committee, we have prepared (1) an Executive Order strengthening protections for human subjects of secret research; and (2) legislation expanding compensation for Cold War-era uranium miners. With one week's notice, we can be ready to announce these policies and release a summary report detailing the Administration's full response to the Committee's 18 recommendations.

Tobacco Advertising on Web Sites We recommend against the President's commenting now on this subject. Because of the First Amendment, FDA's rule focuses on advertising that already reaches kids. The rule also requires companies to notify FDA when initiating advertising in media -- including the internet -- not addressed by the rule, so the FDA can monitor the ads. Industry has challenged FDA's rule in the courts in part on First Amendment grounds. Any statement now prejudging internet ads -- and their effects on kids -- may compromise our efforts to defend the rule's carefully drawn provisions.



Elizabeth Drye
02/21/97 07:06:32 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP

cc:

Subject: Paragraph on Seat Belt Report

In January the President directed the Secretary of DOT to work with consumer and industry groups to develop ways to increase seat belt use and to report back to him in 45 days (March 10). Increasing belt use in the U.S. by 15 percentage points -- from 68% to 83%-- would save over 2000 lives annually and would reduce airbag injuries. DOT's report is likely to urge the President to: set an ambitious national goal for seat belt use (XX % by the year 2000); challenge the states to pass "primary" seat belt laws (laws that allow cops to pull over and ticket passengers solely for not wearing seat belts); provide \$9 million in financial incentives to states to improve and enforce belt laws (consistent with our budget and draft ISTEA proposals); and challenge business groups to fund passenger safety education. DOT is working to get private sector commitments to fund education efforts before the report's release. DOT expects to be ready for any event as soon as March 13 or 14.



Elizabeth Drye
02/21/97 03:14:40 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP, Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP

cc:

Subject: Release of Food Safety Report

You should know that yesterday we published a Federal Register notice announcing the availability of an (OMB-cleared) USDA/FDA/CDC discussion draft of the food safety report the President's requested. The report is due to POTUS April 24, and over the next two months we will consult extensively with stakeholders on its content. I'm hosting 10 short meetings here next week with WH offices and agencies to hear from key industry and consumer players, and the agencies are hosting two public meetings in March. Just wanted you to know in case any of the many players on the issue feel they need to bend your ear as we go forward. I'm working closely w/OMB (particularly Katzen) to keep us all on the same page. So far so good.

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
--------------------------	---------------	------	-------------

001. memo	Loretta Young to Elizabeth Drye re: SSNs and DOBs [partial] (1 page)	02/28/1997	b(6)
-----------	--	------------	------

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
Domestic Policy Council
Elizabeth Drye
OA/Box Number: 10450

FOLDER TITLE:

Events List

2014-0046-S
rc1360

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

**Department of Energy**

Washington, DC 20585

February 28, 1997

MEMORANDUM TO: Elizabeth Drye

FROM: Loretta Young *Loretta Young*
Office of Environment, Safety and Health

Subject: Meeting on March 12, 1997

The following individuals will attend the Public Health meeting on March 12, 1997 at 11:00 in Room 222, OFOB.

Name:
SS#:
Birth Date:
US Citizen:

Richard Jackson

(b)(6)

Yes

(CDC) - Nat Center for Env. Hlth -
Ch { - Infectious Diseases
- Environ Hlth
pediatrician trained as env. epidemiologist

Name:
SS#:
Birth Date:
US Citizen:

Adam Finkel

(b)(6)

Yes

[001]


Name:
SS#:
Birth Date:
US Citizen:

Linda Rosenstock

(b)(6)

Yes





Bruce N. Reed
03/25/97 01:20:24 PM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

cc:

Subject: Update on Ideas

I need a status report by 6pm on a few ideas we've been looking at:

Health Care (Chris):

1. Medical records privacy
2. EO on unemployed workers
3. Criminal background checks on home health care/nannies
4. Diabetes research

Consumer/Safety/Family (Elizabeth)

1. Seat belts - EO - timeframe for clearance?
2. Drunk driving (.08)
3. Aggressive drivers
4. EO on FMLA for federal workers - check w/latky
5. Tobacco/Medicaid

Welfare/Family

1. Child care tax credit
2. Statutory rape
3. When Eli Segal will be ready to announce
4. WR cabinet mtg

Crime

1. Smart guns
2. Youth ROTC

Education

1. NAGB
2. Teacher news

Govt reform

1. Foreign lobbyist ban
2. Sanctions on foreign govts for influence
3. Auto-choice insurance reform

Thanks. Sorry for the short notice.

Message Sent To:

MASTER - SUFINS

3/22

List for Elena -

e-mail
Safety

- Seat Belt Rpt. - Circulate
 - 4/3 date OK w/ auto exers? ^{Narrow} _{Idea}
- Reckless drivers
- Pediatric labeling - Rpt. to Congress on AIDS drugs
 - Can materials be distributed. ^{what could we say}
- Tobacco
 - NOIR to Bruce on WEB ^{Options memo}
 - Counsel - Contacts ^{YOS - HHS}
 - 4/15 event


ACTHRE

- paper - Assign Q and A -
- Call George
- Fact Sheet

Family leave - Circulate

~~the~~

CPSC -



Elizabeth Drye
03/25/97 05:59:44 PM

Seatbelt report
4/15 Kick Bums
4/17 FMLA
4/25 - Food safety?

Record Type: Record

To: Bruce N. Reed/OPD/EOP, Elena Kagan/OPD/EOP

cc:

Subject: Status of Policy Ideas

1. **Seatbelt Report** will be ready early next week. Report sets new national goals of 85% use by 2000 and 90% use by 2005 (from 68% today); At its release, we will announce our support for legislation (part of pending NEXTEA safety title) requiring states to implement primary seatbelt laws or divert 1% of highway funds to safety (so-called "soft sanction"); and 3) update an outdated EO requiring federal employees to use seatbelts -- changes will bring EO in line with current belt technology and will add provisions to it to increase enforcement of seatbelt use on Federal lands. EO is expected to go to OMB for review tomorrow.

NEC staff (Dorothy Robyn) and I agree we should try to get NEXTEA safety title done and release with seatbelt report next week. In addition to soft sanction for primary seatbelt laws, safety title includes truck size and weight restrictions (big deal for safety advocates); railroad terrorism provisions; and a number of smaller provisions. We suggest having POTUS announce seatbelt report and safety title directly following CEO meeting next week to a broad-based audience that includes advocates and other industry members of the safety coalition. A little strange, but DOT is adamantly opposed to POTUS doing safety announcement w/big 3 alone. NEC and DOT think the Big 3 CEOs will be receptive to that approach.

2. **Drunk Driving/.08 BAC** -- We could put .08 BAC provision in NEXTEA safety title as well, but DOT, NEC, OIRA and I are all ambivalent about that. We are on record supporting .08 BAC -- our NEXTEA legislation sent to the Hill recently has incentives for states to pass .08 laws. We could push for a "soft sanction," but it will be a much tougher fight than for seatbelts and the payoff is less clear. DOT anticipates that the industry will put up a very aggressive fight, and the effect of .08 laws on crashes is not very well documented. Still, .08 is good public health policy. We all agree that we shouldn't put .08 in the safety legislation unless the President and Slater are willing to fight for it. We will chew on this for another day, but welcome your guidance.

3. **Aggressive Driving** -- DOT has been digging for the past two weeks and hasn't come up with quite enough yet. The obvious policy response is aggressive local enforcement. State and local investment in traffic enforcement has declined over the last several years as we and others have pushed cops toward higher priority efforts. DOT is taking some small steps to promote local law enforcement that we could package together in an event. As the Post reported this weekend, DOT is working with DC-area jurisdictions to mount a DC enforcement effort later this month. DOT also has a "Red Light Running" technical assistance program we could highlight. DOT is interested in working with Justice to push for stronger sentencing guidelines, but Dennis is not enthusiastic. These ideas aren't enough for a POTUS event, but DOT is trying to come up with more. If we get a good package, April is a good time for an event. April 20-26 is National Drive Safely week. The Network of Employees for Traffic Safety (NETS) -- 200 public and private organizations -- would like to do an April 17 event in anticipation of Drive Safely week.

4. **PRESIDENTIAL MEMO on FMLA** -- I've surveyed people but haven't found a good reason why we

didn't send this in July. It may be because we prepared it BEFORE we sent the legislation to the Hill in September. I just found out John Angell worked on it and will call him at DOE. Per our discussion this morning, I will ask OMB to circulate to agencies and WH offices for comment. Barring any unforeseen objections, we should be able to do soon.

5. Tobacco / Medicaid. HHS and Justice vetted this earlier. Here's a brief overview. HHS argued strongly against bringing a suit against the industry to recover Medicaid and/or Medicare costs for the following reasons. First, under Medicaid, states -- not the feds -- are responsible for seeking recovery of Medicaid expenditures from liable third parties. However, the feds do share in the recovery (after deducting state litigation costs), so feds will be eligible for some of (the limited) funds recovered from Liggett. **We could raise the profile of this result of the suit, but AGs are extremely sensitive about it, and the dollar amounts are likely to be very small.** Let me know if you want me to explore this. HHS decided earlier not to go after Medicare costs because, roughly speaking, (1) the litigation and analysis needed to support it would be extremely costly and (2) an analysis may indicate that smokers save the government money (by dying before their eligible for Medicare). Let me know if you want me to explore in greater depth and with more precision.