

6/93

ACU

New
File
HRC
Attacks



THE AMERICAN CONSERVATIVE UNION
38 Ivy Street, S.E.
Washington, D.C. 20003

Dear Supporter of the American Conservative Union,

Here is your opportunity to do what you couldn't do in 1992...

...vote FOR or AGAINST First
Person Hillary Rodham Clinton.

Please, take out a pen right now and sign the enclosed HILLARY
BALLOT. Then rush it back to me today.

Hillary "Rodham" Clinton is now the second, if not the first,
most powerful person in the world.

She sits in on Cabinet meetings...

... her lackeys are in charge of, among other things,
the Justice Department and the Department of Health
and Human Services;

... she has a huge taxpayer-paid staff and flies on U.S.
military aircraft at your and my expense;

... her lavish offices take up nearly an entire floor of the
West Wing of the White House;

... and even though Hillary is in the process of dictating
what health care will be available to you...

... she was elected by no one and is accountable to no one.

You and I didn't have a chance to vote for or against "First
Person" Hillary Clinton before.

But you can now. And this time, I'll personally make sure your
voice will be heard.

So please, do not delay your response. Complete your HILLARY
BALLOT and rush it back to me today. Your HILLARY BALLOT will be
tabulated in our national processing center here in Washington. D.C.

Your vote will be kept strictly confidential.

But the overall results will be sent to the White House,
Congress, and to the national media.

Our goal: to counter the biased media's ridiculous claim that
Americans approve of Hillary and her enormous illegitimate (or
illegal) power. What's more, we want to use the results of our
HILLARY BALLOTS to force Bill Clinton to rein in his wife before she

can do any serious and long term damage to our nation.

"Billary" (Bill and Hillary) fooled America last November. They deliberately misled voters into believing that Hillary would not have enormous power.

We all know now that this was not true. We know that Hillary's "down home" act and cookie baking were all a charade.

And we know that Hillary intends to rule the White House and our nation with an iron fist.

In fact, that is exactly what she is already doing:

- * Hillary demanded that Bill Clinton pick a woman for Attorney General -- no matter how many times it took to get it right;
- * Hillary played a major role in nearly all Cabinet appointments making sure her friends, such as the ultra-liberal Donna Shalala, were chosen over others;
- * Hillary sits in on all Cabinet meetings, was a major player in the White House/Governors conference, and has already begun lobbying -- and bullying -- Senators and Congressmen from both political Parties.

Do you ever wonder why Bill Clinton caters so much to the radical homosexual crowd?

Why he insists on homosexuals in the military? Or why he wants AIDS infected immigrants to come to America?

Part of the answer is "Queen Hillary."

Her radical agenda includes special privileges for homosexuals, feminists, abortionists and other left-wing kooks.

It also includes... sky-high taxes... oppressive government regulations... a massive redistribution of wealth... an expansion of the welfare state... racial quotas... federally funded pornography... the complete dismantling of the military and the CIA...

... and total control over your life and your income.

That's why she told Bill Clinton to give her the one job in the one area in which she can cause the most damage -- health care.

Nothing frightens me more than Hillary deciding for me and for you what kind of health care we should have. Because despite what she says, Hillary's health care plan will almost certainly lead to:

- * rationed health care;
- * new taxes on Social Security, income and health care benefits;
- * long waiting periods and delays

Broadly applying her scary faith

Mrs. Clinton's worldview: Its ultimate trust is in power

More and more, an otherwise admirable trait is evident in the approach of Hillary Clinton to her role as First Lady. Obviously, she takes seriously the task of applying her faith to all of life. Other things being equal, that should excite evangelical Christians.

But sadly, the evidence also grows that Mrs. Clinton puts her faith in the wrong god.

No, this is not just another beat-up-on-Hillary piece. Yet, given the prominence she has had in recent weeks in the media—along with the intensity with which she has set out

to reshape American life—it is vital to explore what drives her.

Few sources are more revealing in that respect than *The New York Times*

Magazine of Sunday, May 23, whose front cover adoringly framed the First Lady in garments of white overprinted with the headline: "The Politics of Virtue."

Inside, the article's title "Saint Hillary" is no mocking put-down. Instead, it's hard to imagine a piece on Mother Theresa cramming more religious imagery into a few lines. The subhead teases: "More preacher than politician, the First Lady seeks a new Reformation, concerned less with how government should behave than with how people should." Writer Michael Kelly continues: "She would like to do good, on a grand scale, and she would like others to do good as well. She would like to make the world a better place—as she defines better. . . . Her sense of purpose stems from a world view rooted in the activist religion of her youth and watered by the conviction of her generation that it was destined (and equipped) to teach the world the error of its ways. Together, both faiths form the true politics of her heart, the politics of virtue."

World, you may recall, highlighted the same themes in its May 22 issue (the week before the *New York Times* piece) in an article by Steve Beard. There, we stressed the sense in which Mrs. Clinton had been shaped by liberal Methodism—and suggested there might be a certain naivete in her perspective on the human condition.

Kelly's *New York Times* article also worries about naivete, but finds it in a different part of Mrs. Clinton's belief system. He rejects the

possibility that Mrs. Clinton has taken on all the world's woes with no more than the traditional liberal assumption that human beings are basically good folk, able to achieve just about any wonderful thing they set their minds to. He quotes Donald G. Jones, youth minister to Hillary Rodham during her high-school years, who says pointedly: "My sense of Hillary is that she realizes absolutely the truth of the human condition, which is that you cannot depend on the basic nature of man to be good and you cannot depend entirely on moral suasion to make it good. You have to use power. And there is nothing wrong with wielding power in the pursuit of policies that will add to the human good."

You have to use power.

Before considering what a chilling thought that really is, let's give the woman her due. She has moved far beyond two categories of

people who tend to dominate this world. First, she has moved beyond the millions of people who simply don't care about the world's ills. Mock her if you will, but she is persuasive and sometimes eloquent in her passion to set right some of the things that have gone so wrong. She says herself that she seeks a new union of conservatism and liberal thinking, and some of

her goals—even for children and families—are manifestly worthy. Second, Mrs. Clinton has moved beyond those who still believe that we're all pretty good people, if we could only get rid of all these evil influences around us. She seems to understand that the evil isn't just around us, but within us. And she seems to understand that it is so pervasive that only the remaking of a whole nation of people will change things.

But how do you remake a whole nation of people? That's where the First Lady's frank embrace of power gets scary. Do you turn to the institutions that are made up of those same ultimately evil and fallible people? Do you turn to executive staffs who don't even know how to schedule a haircut? Are those the people you want to trust to make a nation good and to instill it with virtue?

It is one thing to go beyond traditional understandings of a limited role for government and to ask the state to provide health and education and welfare for increasing numbers of its citizens. Hard though all that has been for many people to swallow, it has

become a way of life—although bringing at least as many problems as it has solved.

Amazingly, Mrs. Clinton seems to understand the failures of the traditional liberal state. But instead of conceding that the state's great powers should therefore be trimmed back, she wants now to extend the provisions of this false god to the issues of virtue and ethics in every area of life. Francis Schaeffer warned us more than a decade ago about the "powerful elite" who would seek to dictate right and wrong. Now, you're seeing that warning fulfilled—and you read about it not in some right-wing rag, but in *The New York Times* itself.

World welcomes to its masthead a familiar name, and is delighted to tell you why you won't see another name there any time soon.

The name you will see is Fred Barnes, certainly one of the most respected journalists in America today. He is senior editor of *The New Republic*, and appears regularly as a commentator on the McLaughlin Group and on National Public Radio. He is also a committed Christian, who loves being asked to tell how he became a believer. We've quoted Barnes and interviewed him often in *World*, but now he's agreed to write regular news and analyses for us as well—the first of which appears on p. 18 of this issue.

Another thoughtful Christian journalist will also write regularly for *World* in the months ahead, as he has in recent issues. He is Terry Eastland, a fellow with the Ethics and Public Policy Center in Washington, a public affairs spokesman for the Justice Department

under Ronald Reagan, and a regular contributor now to *The Wall Street Journal*. Eastland too would normally take a place on *World's* masthead as a contributing editor, except that he has also just taken on duties as



Eastland

editor of a new publication on media ethics sponsored by *Forbes* magazine. That assignment came with the understandable request that he not appear on the masthead of any publications.

Both writers will add dimension and maturity to the reporting and analysis you find in *World's* pages. More importantly, they will also enhance what you find only in *World*: a perspective on the weekly news instructed by a self-conscious commitment to biblical truth.

Steve Beard



Barnes



**WILL YOU HELP THE ACU
FIGHT HILLARY RODHAM
CLINTON?
Please respond today.**

GOP CONGRESSMEN QUESTION HILLARY CLINTON'S CLOSED DOOR MEETINGS

— *The Washington Post*

HILLARY CLINTON TO SIT AT CABINET

— *The New York Times*

HILLARY AND JUSTICE

— Evans and Novak



“As one White House aide put it, she isn’t just in the loop, she is the loop. The only question is when — if ever — Hillary’s unique role will raise the issue of who’s really in charge in the Oval Office.”

— **NEWSWEEK MAGAZINE**

in treatment;
* fewer doctors, less drug research,
and poorer health care quality.

This is the future if Hillary is allowed to decide our nation's health care system -- guaranteed.

Guaranteed because Hillary is a pro-socialist, pro-Marxist leftist who will lead our nation down the same path as the Soviet Union, Eastern Europe and other nations who have bankrupted themselves by trying to provided "free" government run health care.

The bottom line my friend is that nothing is "free." One way or another, you and I will always pay for health care.

But with Hillary in charge, the health care we get will be far worse then what we get now.

That's why you and I have to stop her.

And why, I hope I can count on your continued support of "HILLARY ALERT," a special project of the American Conservative Union (ACU).

As you know, considered by many to be the most respected and effective grassroots organization in America, the ACU is the one group that truly frightens the liberals.

And for good reason.

From standing up for the "Silent Majority" in the 1960s... to limiting the damage caused by Jimmy Carter in the 1970s.. to helping Ronald Reagan build his conservative "revolution" in the 1980s...

... the ACU has helped make history.

And with your continued support today, we intend to continue to make history in opposing the socialist agenda of Bill and Hillary Clinton.

We believe in lower taxes... less government... a strong defense... the traditional family values that made America great.

In short, the ACU stands for everything Bill and Hillary detest... God, family, and patriotism.

That's why one of our top priorities is using HILLARY ALERT to limit the power of Hillary "Rodham" Clinton. In many ways, she is far more dangerous than her husband because she fanatically believes her leftist philosophy. And about all that stands in her way right now are you, me, and the taxpayers of America. That's why, in addition to your HILLARY BALLOT...

... I also hope I can count on you to rush the ACU a most

PERSONAL REPLY TO DAVID A. KEENE

Chairman of American Conservative Union (ACU)
Hillary Alert

Dear David,

- ☐ I am angry and frightened by the enormous power that has been given to Hillary Clinton. We must do all we can to rein in this pro-socialist before she can seriously damage our nation. You can count on me. I am completing my HILLARY BALLOT and rushing it back to you today along with my check for:

☐ \$15 ☐ \$20 ☐ \$25 ☐ \$50 ☐ \$75 ☐ \$100
☐ \$250 ☐ \$500 ☐ \$1,000 ☐ Other \$ _____

Please make your check payable to: Hillary Alert or the American Conservative Union.

ACU is a non-profit, grassroots organization. Contributions to the ACU are not tax-deductible. Corporate contributions are welcome.
 Not authorized or printed at government or taxpayer expense.

DO NOT CUT OR SEPARATE. RETURN THIS ENTIRE FORM TODAY.

HILLARY BALLOT

Sponsored by "Hillary Alert"
 A Special Project of the American Conservative Union.

Instructions: Please answer each question. Then place your ballot and your donation check in the enclosed, pre-addressed, return envelope. Thank you.

- 1) Do you approve or disapprove of Hillary "Rodham" Clinton?
☐ Approve ☐ Disapprove ☐ Undecided
- 2) Should Hillary Clinton have a taxpayer funded, White House political staff to help her determine U.S. policy?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided
- 3) Should Hillary "Rodham" Clinton determine a national health care policy?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided
- 4) Do you think you were lied to during the campaign about how much power Hillary Clinton would have in the White House?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided
- 5) Do you approve or disapprove of Hillary's decision to use her maiden name, "Rodham," since Bill Clinton was elected President?
☐ Approve ☐ Disapprove ☐ Undecided

generous donation of \$15, \$20, \$25, \$50, \$100 or more today.

Please, your gift is just as important as your HILLARY BALLOT.

You see, in taking on Hillary Clinton we are taking on the darling of the media, Hollywood, and the entire establishment Left.

To counter this force, we need to:

- * distribute a minimum of 3 million HILLARY BALLOTS nationwide as soon as possible;
- * recruit an army of 100,000 Americans willing to flood the White House, the Congress and the radio talk shows in a sea of petitions, phone calls and letters demanding Bill Clinton rein in his radical wife;
- * create and publish a HILLARY ALERT newsletter which will track and expose every move made by our new "First Person";
- * form an alliance of Congressional leaders who will help us fight Hillary's socialist health care proposals in the House and Senate.

My friend, this is indeed a massive endeavor. And it will take your help, both political and financial to make it work.

But with your continued support, it can and will work. As long as we begin today, before it's too late.


The ACU needs your completed HILLARY BALLOT today so we can launch a counter strike against her biased allies in the media.

And we need your gift of \$15, \$20, \$25, \$50, or \$100 to fund this counter strike.

So please, complete your HILLARY BALLOT and then rush it back to me today along with your most urgent, most generous donation.

Thank you.

Sincerely,



David A. Keene
Chairman

P.S. In an arrogant and symbolic slap in the face to all Americans, Hillary Clinton changed her name to Hillary "Rodham" Clinton after the election. This use of her maiden name is Hillary's way of saying, "I fooled you in November. Too bad. I'm in power now and there is nothing you can do stop me!".

Please, help me and the ACU prove Hillary "Rodham" Clinton wrong -- today.